

JOHN FRANK ADAMS

5 November 1930—7 January 1989

Elected F.R.S. 1964

By I.M. JAMES, F.R.S.

FRANK ADAMS* was born in Woolwich on 5 November 1930. His home was in New Eltham, about ten miles east of the centre of London. Both his parents were graduates of King's College London, which was where they had met. They had one other child – Frank's younger brother Michael – who rose to the rank of Air Vice-Marshal in the Royal Air Force.

In his creative gifts and practical sense, Frank took after his father, a civil engineer, who worked for the government on road building in peace-time and airfield construction in war-time. In his exceptional capacity for hard work, Frank took after his mother, who was a biologist active in the educational field.

In 1939, at the outbreak of World War II, the Adams family was evacuated first to Devon, for a year, and then to Bedford, where Frank became a day pupil at Bedford School; one of a group of independent schools in that city. Those who recall him at school describe him as socially somewhat *gauche* and quite a daredevil; indeed there were traces of this even when he was much older. In 1946, at the end of the war, the rest of the family returned to London while Frank stayed on at school to take the usual examinations, including the Cambridge Entrance Scholarship examination at which he won an Open Scholarship to Trinity College. The Head of Mathematics at Bedford, L.H. Clarke, was a schoolmaster whose pupils won countless open awards, especially at Trinity.

Although National Service was still compulsory at this time, it could be deferred by those accepted for university entrance. Frank, however, decided to get it out of the way and served for a year in the Royal Engineers, where he attained the rank of Corporal. He looked back on this experience with amusement if not enthusiasm.

TRINITY COLLEGE

Adams, as I shall call him in relation to his professional life, entered Trinity in 1949, which was a vintage year for mathematicians. His contemporaries included several future Fellows of the Royal Society such as M.F. Atiyah, I.G. MacDonald, and J.C. Polkinghorne. He was successful academically, obtaining a first in Part II of the accelerated Mathematical Tripos and a distinction in Part III. Outside academic work he developed an enthusiasm for

* Adams was always known as Frank, rather than by his first name of John.



J. F. Adams.

rock-climbing and mountaineering generally, which lasted the rest of his life. He was also an active 'night-climber' of college buildings and, with a friend, performed the remarkable feat of climbing into and out of every one of the then men's colleges in the course of a single night. In addition, he built up a remarkable repertoire of strenuous parlour tricks which he would exhibit on social occasions in later life – given a little encouragement – such as manoeuvring himself all round the walls of a room without touching the ground, or drinking a glass of beer placed on top of his head without using his hands.

After completing Part III, Adams began graduate work at Cambridge under the distinguished Russian emigré mathematician A.S. Besicovitch, whose main interest at this period was in what would nowadays be called geometric measure theory. Adams's first published paper, 'On decompositions of the sphere' (1)* was obviously influenced by Besicovitch, and later he provided an extended appendix to a paper by the latter's former student E.R. Reifenberg (15), whose tragic death while rock-climbing, a few years later, cut short a most promising career. However, the Besicovitch phase of Adams's graduate studies did not last long and by 1953 he had settled down as a research student of S. (Shaun) Wylie, whose lectures had introduced him to algebraic topology. Wylie, with characteristic modesty, described Adams as 'essentially self-taught'. Be that as it may, Adams soon began to forge ahead in his new field.

It was in the previous year that Adams met his future wife, Grace, at a Congregational Youth Club in New Eltham. At this time they were both graduate students in Cambridge, where she was preparing to become a minister of the Congregational Church. Their marriage took place in 1953. Grace's early career took her first to Brighton and then Bristol, with Frank joining her at weekends, and it was some little while before they were able to establish a regular home together.

Photographs of Adams taken at this time show a strikingly handsome young man. Fairly tall and of excellent physique, he enjoyed good physical health at least until he was in his mid-fifties and, without making a show of it, kept remarkably fit. He was always ready to outwalk almost anyone else and if he ever happened to find himself near a mountain of any note he would set off and climb it as soon as he could.

OXFORD AND CAMBRIDGE

The leading school of algebraic topology in the United Kingdom in the post-war period was that led by J.H.C. (Henry) Whitehead at Oxford. The Whitehead influence on Adams's early work is unmistakable, and must derive considerably from the seminar run by P.J. Hilton, a former research student of Whitehead's who was then a lecturer at Cambridge. In the summer of 1954, Whitehead organized a 'Young Topologists' conference in Oxford, at which Adams had the opportunity to meet not only members of the Whitehead circle, but also several overseas topologists such as J.C. Moore from Princeton, J-P. Serre from Paris and H. Toda from Osaka.

* Numbers in this form refer to entries in the bibliography at the end of the text.

When Adams was appointed to a Junior Lecturership at Oxford in 1955, just before he completed his Ph.D., he came more directly under the influence of Whitehead and joined the circle surrounding him, which included M.G. Barratt and V.K.A.M. Gugenheim, among others. He was particularly close to Barratt, who did so much to transmit Whitehead's thinking to younger mathematicians. Adams always referred to his Oxford year with great pleasure. He liked to describe himself as morally a Whitehead student, and would frequently quote Whitehead's aphorisms.

Adams's Ph.D. thesis, dated 1955, is really more a collection of articles with some common elements than a conventional thesis. He expresses his gratitude to Gugenheim, Hilton, Moore and Whitehead, as well as to Wylie. The thesis, which was examined by Hilton and Whitehead, is entitled 'On spectral sequences and self-obstruction invariants'. In the first three chapters Adams makes comparisons between various types of spectral sequence. The fourth chapter, on the self-obstruction invariants (i.e. what are now usually called Postnikov invariants) was later published (3). Of the three appendices, the first two, 'On a theorem of Cockcroft' and 'On products in minimal complexes', were published as (2) and (4), respectively. The last appendix, which is the most interesting part of the whole thesis, later appeared as a joint paper with Hilton, after great improvement (5). Entitled 'On the chain algebra of a loop space' it introduces what is nowadays called the cobar construction.

Members of Trinity, when they reach an appropriate stage in their research, have the opportunity to enter the examination for research fellowships, which are awarded competitively. Adams submitted his Ph.D. thesis for the examination, and was elected for the period 1955–58. For the first of these three years he was on leave at Oxford (see above) and so he did not really take up his research fellowship until the second year. He and Grace were then able to establish their first real home together, at Wood Ditton near Newmarket, where Grace was responsible for a group of congregational churches.

It was during this period that Adams began to develop the ideas that made him famous, most notably the spectral sequence which quickly came to bear his name. The Cartan–Serre spectral sequence had been shown by Serre to be a most powerful tool in homotopy theory. It may be described as a singular version of the original Leray spectral sequence, which used Čech cohomology. However, Adams's spectral sequence was of a different type altogether, for which the theoretical basis was to be found in the homological algebra of H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg.

The theory of Postnikov systems is dual to the theory of CW complexes. The idea is to build up spaces through fibrations where the fibre is an Eilenberg–MacLane space, rather than cofibrations where the cofibre is a Moore space. This provides a valuable alternative conceptual framework for homotopy theory and Adams was the first to show how it could be applied effectively, through a spectral sequence which establishes a mechanism linking the cohomology of a space to its homotopy properties. In particular, it provides upper bounds for the orders of the elements in the homotopy groups. At first it was doubted whether this gave much of an improvement over what could be obtained from the Cartan–Serre spectral sequence. However, as the internal structure of the Adams spectral sequence became better understood, and as other spectral sequences of the same general type were introduced, it

became clear that Adams's method held out the best hope of progress in this difficult and fundamental area of the subject.

COMMONWEALTH FELLOWSHIP AND ITS RESULTS

The ambition of every young scientist then, as now, was to spend a year or so in the United States. The most prestigious of a variety of fellowships that were available for this purpose were those offered by the Commonwealth Fund. Adams won one of these coveted awards, then called Commonwealth, but later Harkness Fellowships, and as a result he spent the academic year 1957–58 in the United States. This was unquestionably a most important step in his professional development. In fact Adams arranged to go out early and spend the summer of 1957 at the University of Chicago, as a Research Associate. In June, just before leaving England, he had sent off his main paper on the spectral sequence to the *Commentarii* (9). The lectures he gave at Chicago on this work made a deep impression on Eilenberg, in particular, who gave an account of it at the International Congress of Mathematicians in Edinburgh the following year.

Adams took up his Commonwealth Fellowship in the autumn, when he moved to Princeton; his wife joining him for the customary tour of the United States the following summer. In the report he wrote for the Commonwealth Fund at the end of his stay, he describes the leading American algebraic topologists at the time as S. Eilenberg (Columbia), S. MacLane (Chicago), N.E. Steenrod (Princeton), and G.W. Whitehead (MIT). He also gives a long list of other mathematicians with whom he had contact, including for example: A. Borel, J. Milnor, D. Montgomery, J.C. Moore and C. Papakyriakopoulos at Princeton; S.S. Chern and E. Spanier at Chicago and R. Bott, E. Moise and H. Samelson at Ann Arbor. He summarizes his experiences in the following words:

... I regard the progress of my researches in America as most successful. Without going into technicalities, I can explain that my programme specified a quantity of preliminary work, leading to certain goals; and that by taking thought I was able to attain these goals without ploughing through all the preliminary work. By good luck, moreover, my new methods were sufficiently powerful to answer one of the classical problems of my subject, that proposed by H. Hopf in 1935.

The problem Adams is referring to here can be formulated in several ways, of which the following is the simplest. An H-space is a topological space that, like a topological group, admits a continuous multiplication with two-sided identity. Hopf showed that the $(n-1)$ -sphere S^{n-1} can carry such a structure for $n = 2, 4$ or 8 , whereas spheres of even dimension cannot. J. Adem, using the relations between Steenrod operations that he had discovered, further excluded all spheres of odd dimension except those for which n is a power of two. Next the case $n = 16$ was settled by Toda in the negative; he announced this result at the Young Topologists conference in Oxford. A year or two later, Adams announced (8) that if S^{n-1} and S^{2n-1} both admit H-structure then $n \leq 4$; this was rapidly followed by a further announcement (11) that (as many had conjectured) only $n = 2, 4$ and 8 are possible. It was this result which made Adams famous. In the lengthy paper (14) in which he gave the complete proof, he developed a theory of secondary Steenrod operations. These were constructed from the Adem relations between the (primary) Steenrod operations and satisfied relations in their turn, from which Adams was able to deduce his result.

On his return from the United States in 1958, Adams succeeded Wylie, his former research supervisor, as Fellow, College Lecturer and Director of Studies at Trinity Hall, Cambridge.* A contemporary described him as ‘an agreeable and interesting colleague, exceptionally courteous and considerate, honest and scrupulous, and helpful in college business’.

In research, Adams was beginning to become interested in K-theory, the generalized cohomology theory based on vector bundles, which was then being developed by Atiyah and F. Hirzebruch. At this time, Atiyah held a similar position to Adams at Pembroke College, Cambridge, and although he was originally an algebraic geometer their professional interests converged for the next few years.

The next major problem to seize Adams’s attention was also a classical problem on the interface of algebra and topology. Hopf had shown that a compact manifold admits a continuous field of non-singular tangent vectors if, and only if, the Euler characteristic of the manifold vanishes. In the case of the sphere S^{n-1} this occurs if, and only if, n is even. B. Eckmann and others had gone on to consider the more general problem of determining the greatest integer k such that S^{n-1} admits a continuous field of $k-1$ linearly independent tangent vectors at each point. Eckmann had observed that by using algebraic results of A. Hurwitz and J. Radon it was possible to achieve $k = 2^c + 8d$ (known as the Hurwitz–Radon number) when $n = (2a+1)2^b$ with $b = c + 4d$ and $0 \leq c \leq 3$. In the other direction, Steenrod and J.H.C. Whitehead had shown, using Steenrod squares, that 2^r was impossible when n is an odd multiple of 2^r .

By 1961, however, it was already being recognized that K-theory could often provide a more powerful method than ordinary cohomology. Although cohomology operations in K-theory, such as the exterior powers, had been investigated by Atiyah, among others, it was Adams who realized precisely what was needed for the vector field problem. The operations he invented for the purpose enabled him to show that the Hurwitz–Radon number is the best possible, and proved to occupy a central position in K-theory. This second triumph of Adams, which confirmed his already high international reputation, can be dated to September 1961, when he was spending a further period at the Institute for Advanced Study. Some years later, these same operations were used by Adams and Atiyah (34) to give a much simpler proof of Adams’s earlier theorem about H-structures on spheres.

MANCHESTER UNIVERSITY

Adams moved to Manchester University in 1962, first as Reader and then, on the retirement of M.H.A. Newman, as Fielden Professor. Those who remember the mathematics department at Manchester in the 1960s describe it as having a particularly stimulating atmosphere. ‘Spores through the pores’ was his motto, meaning that so much could be learned from the informal discussions that took place in the common room. A colleague wrote: ‘You could test out any proposed line of investigation on him, and he would usually be able to tell you immediately that it was trivial, or do-able, or inaccessible at the time.’

* In fact, Wylie left Cambridge a year or two earlier.

Also you could ask him anything in topology and he either knew the answer or knew where to find it'.

In his own sphere, Adams presided over a remarkable team of homotopy theorists, including M.G. Barratt, J.R. Hubbuck, W.A. Sutherland and R.M. Wood, who were from Oxford. Another member of the team was his former Cambridge research student G. Walker with whom he wrote an important paper (29) on the complex version of the vector field problem, showing that a certain necessary condition obtained earlier by Atiyah and J.A. Todd was also sufficient.

At Manchester, Adams continued to develop the theoretical investigations that he had begun at Cambridge. This work was published in a famous series of papers (25, 28, 31, 35) which appeared in the new journal *Topology* under the title 'On the groups $J(X)$ '. These papers may be said to have revolutionized homotopy theory. Deriving at least in part from his work on the vector field problem they show how K-theory can be used in other ways, for example to obtain deep information about the homotopy groups of spheres. It was in the first of these papers that Adams made a bold conjecture which excited the interest of homotopy theorists everywhere. The Adams conjecture, which it became known as, relates the classification of vector bundles by stable isomorphism to their classification by stable homotopy equivalence of the associated sphere-bundles. Reformulated in various ways the conjecture, now a theorem, is one of the key results of homotopy theory today. Adams himself proved a special case in (25); later, D.G. Quillen and D.P. Sullivan, independently, showed that the general case could be reduced to the special case.

In 1964 Adams was elected a Fellow of the Royal Society at the early age of 34. He could look back on the achievements of the previous decade with well-justified satisfaction. Some idea of Adams's general outlook on algebraic topology in the mid-1960s, when he was at the height of his powers, can be obtained from the survey (37) which he gave at the International Congress of 1966 in Moscow.

It was in 1965, however, that he suffered the first attack of a psychiatric illness, as a result of which he was on sick leave for some months.* It was apparently brought on by the worry caused by his responsibilities as head of department, which he took extremely seriously; after this experience he tried as far as possible to avoid stressful positions of this type. To what extent his professional work was adversely affected by the nature of the treatment he received to help control the condition is not clear. Certainly, his contributions to research in later years were less innovative than those of his youth, although just as impressive technically; of course, this could also be attributed to increasing age.

Hypomania is not uncommon among those with exceptional creative gifts. Those who suffer from it, as Adams did, have a deep psychological need to defend themselves against the underlying depression, in one way or another. Adams's response to this need was not unusual, but it was at times disconcerting to those who were not fully aware of the situation. For example, the competitive instinct in Adams was particularly highly developed: J.P. May, in his memorial address,** described him as 'excruciatingly competitive'. This was seen in

* I am most grateful to professionally qualified colleagues for guidance here.

his attitude to research. Priority of discovery mattered a great deal to him and he was known to argue such questions not just as to the day, but even as to the time of day. Again, in a subject where 'show and tell' is customary, he was extraordinarily secretive about research in progress. Certainly his urge to compete was extraordinary, and many stories are told about it. He very much enjoyed punting on the river, for example, but he liked to turn it into a race if he possibly could. He drove cars with remarkable skill but in a style that left a lasting impression on his passengers.

RETURN TO CAMBRIDGE

By 1970 Adams was the undisputed leader in his field and his reputation was such that he was seen as the obvious person to succeed Sir William Hodge on the latter's retirement as Lowndean Professor of Astronomy and Geometry at Cambridge. Unfortunately, returning to Cambridge was not without drawbacks. His family was unable to move to the Cambridge area immediately and so he had to concentrate his teaching duties there into one exhausting day each week. Some of the Cambridge mathematicians Adams knew best were no longer there; Atiyah had moved to Oxford the year before Adams went to Manchester and, not long afterwards, Wall, Zeeman and others had left. As a result, Adams found himself in some ways more isolated than before, and this remained the situation until C.B. Thomas joined him some ten years later. However, he was delighted to return to Trinity, his old college. Although he was seldom very active in college affairs he took great pride in being a Fellow of Trinity and loved showing mathematical visitors around.

From 1972 onwards, the family lived at Hemingford Grey, a semi-rural community on the Cambridge side of Huntingdon; Frank took pride in their comfortable modern home and its attractive garden. It was here that their children grew up. Family life was extremely important to Frank although, on the whole, he preferred to keep his private life separate from his professional life. The four children – a son and three daughters (one adopted) – describe him as a 'full-time father'; they were hardly aware of his stature as a mathematician. When he was away from home he kept in close touch with them with frequent postcards and daily telephone calls.

Members of the family used to do many things together, especially fell-walking in the Lake District: they ascended all the 'Wainwrights', i.e. peaks over 2500 ft. Grace had by this time migrated from the Congregational Church to the Society of Friends (Quakers), and although without strong religious convictions himself, Frank regularly accompanied Grace to the meetings on Sunday mornings. In the afternoon, they would often go to Alconbury, the local cruise-missile base, to support the peace-vigil. On one occasion Frank went to court to stand bail for another supporter who had been arrested. Frank acted as treasurer for the local branch of the Labour Party and might be described as an intellectual Fabian in outlook.

Among Adams's various research interests in the 1970s and later, there are perhaps three closely related subjects that predominate. One is the homotopy theory of the classifying spaces of topological groups. Indeed, the Adams conjecture, suitably reformulated, becomes

a statement about the properties of maps between classifying spaces. He published three substantial papers (55, 62, 71) on the interesting existence and classification problem for maps between such spaces, partly in collaboration with Z. Mahmud. As Adams writes (62), these classifying spaces have ‘a very rich and a very rigid structure and the effect of this is that there are very few maps compared with what one might expect’. At one time it was conjectured that the only maps that could occur were those which arose from homomorphisms between the groups in question, but this is untrue and the interest in the investigation was to discover what other maps could occur. Another important publication in the same area is Adams’s joint paper with S.B. Priddy (58) in which it is shown that after localization at any given prime the infinite loop-space structure on the classifying space of the stable rotation group is essentially unique.

Another major research project was the joint work with C.W. Wilkerson (63) on finite H-spaces. To quote from the introduction to that paper:

What polynomial algebras can arise as cohomology rings of spaces? More precisely, let p be a fixed prime, and let $F_p[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_l]$ be a polynomial algebra on generators x_1, x_2, \dots, x_l of degrees $2d_1, 2d_2, \dots, 2d_l$; then is there or is there not a space X such that $H^*(X; F_p) \approx F_p[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_l]$? This problem is related to the study of ‘finite H-spaces’. More precisely, let X be a 1-connected space such that ΩX is homotopy-equivalent to a finite complex; then $H^*(X; F_p)$ has the form considered above for all but a finite number of primes p and one would like to infer restrictions on the ‘type’ $(2d_1, 2d_2, \dots, 2d_l)$. We will complete the solution of this problem when the prime p is sufficiently large, in the sense that p does not divide $d_1 d_2 \dots d_l$.

In fact, the relevant spaces had already been identified by A. Clark and J. Ewing; the object of the paper by Adams and Wilkerson was to show, using ideas from Galois theory, that the Clark–Ewing list is exhaustive, i.e. that no other polynomial algebras can arise as the cohomology rings of such spaces.

Finally, Adams developed a deep interest in equivariant homotopy theory, especially in the Segal conjecture. At the 1970 International Congress, G.B. Segal made a far-sighted conjecture about the stable cohomotopy of classifying spaces of finite groups. Although Segal gave a heuristic argument in support of his conjecture, it was not until almost ten years later that it was proved in the simplest non-trivial case by W.H. Lin. After this breakthrough, Adams (76, 78) and others set out to extend the proof to other groups, leading to the proof for finite groups in general by G.E. Carlsson in 1984. Adams also published several expository papers on equivariant homotopy theory, such as (72).

However, these contributions to research in homotopy theory form only part of Adams’s published work. He also wrote several expository books of lasting importance, beginning with the *Lectures on Lie groups* of 1969, which is an invaluable introduction to the subject for non-specialist topologists (38); it is said that he prepared this while in hospital. In 1972 he collected together some of the articles on homotopy theory, by various authors, which he regularly recommended his research students to read, and published them, with connecting passages, as *Algebraic topology: a student’s guide* (44). He contributed greatly to the development of stable homotopy theory and his *Stable homotopy theory and generalized homology* of 1974 is the definitive work in that area to this day (49). This was based on courses he gave at the University of Chicago, which he revisited from time to time.

His last book *Infinite loop spaces* was based on the 1975 Hermann Weyl lectures he gave at the Institute for Advanced Study (61).

AS A TEACHER

Adams was undoubtedly an awe-inspiring supervisor who expected a great deal of his research students and whose criticism of work that did not impress him could on occasion be withering. He gained the reputation of being rather dismissive of the less gifted students who came his way, especially if they seemed to be lacking in initiative, and he was concerned about this. For those who were stimulated rather than intimidated by this treatment, however, he was generous with his help. These included S. Cormack, J.P.C. Greenlees, J.H. Gunawardena, P. Hoffman, P.T. Johnstone, C.R.F. Maunder, R.M.F. Moss, A.A. Ranicki, N. Ray, R.J. Steiner, G. Walker and many others, some of whom became close friends, and all of whom were deeply influenced by his teaching.

Adams's lectures were always well prepared and his delivery was clear and direct; he usually wrote detailed notes. Questions were answered in a forthright manner. These lectures, which made a deep impression on all who heard them,* were enlivened with admonitions such as: 'Be careful to get your signs right here because if you don't they will turn round and BITE you'. On one occasion the undergraduate recipients of a particularly ambitious short course on multilinear algebra delivered a sort of petition: 'The class wishes to inform Professor Adams that it has been left behind'. He was highly amused by this, and kept it pinned up in his office, saying 'At any rate I have done exterior algebra, even if the second year haven't'.

As an examiner he had a reputation for severity, and when his critical instincts were aroused he did not hesitate to speak plainly. Those who collaborated with Adams on research, as many did, did not always find him the easiest of partners. 'After initially finding him abrasive and challenging, I later found him encouraging and stimulating' is a typical comment.

Humorous touches, always with a serious underlying purpose, can frequently be found in his writings. For example, there is the letter in *Finite H-spaces and Lie groups* (66) pretending to be from the exceptional Lie group E_8 'given at our palace, etc.', which makes a good point about the relationships between torsion in K-theory and torsion in ordinary cohomology. Or again, when describing the behaviour of his spectral sequence (57) he wrote that

In this region [it] is a bit like an Elizabethan drama, full of action in which the business of each character is to kill at least one other character, so that at the end of the play one has a stage strewn with corpses and only one actor left alive, namely the one who has to speak the last few lines.

From among the many anecdotes told about Adams I will mention just one more. A certain firm started producing three-dimensional jigsaws. The hardest of them became known as really hard, defeating several very bright people, and so some of the Cambridge students of

* It would be interesting to know the present whereabouts of a tape-recording of a seminar by Adams, with 15 slides, which was made in 1967.

J.H. Conway bought one, dismantled it and gave it to Conway to reassemble. It took him nearly two hours; when he had finished he took it apart and put the pieces on one side. Shortly afterwards Adams came into the room and asked some simple non-mathematical question. While Conway was answering Adams listened attentively, then thanked him, picked up the pieces, put them together and walked away.

Advisedly, after his experience at Manchester, Adams did not seek out the positions of authority and responsibility for which his professional standing would have recommended him. Nevertheless he served a turn as Chairman of the Faculty Board of Mathematics at Cambridge, although this is not a particularly stressful position in a departmentally organized faculty. He also acted in an editorial capacity for several journals, notably the *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*.

Editors of research journals knew Adams as an exceptionally conscientious referee who would go to a lot of trouble to explain what he thought was wrong with a submitted paper and how it might be improved. Such reports, and other critiques that he circulated privately, show in a most vivid manner how he would set to work on a piece of mathematics. He maintained an extensive international correspondence, dispensing much useful advice and good sense. To quote one characteristic example, from the end of a long letter to a young American who wrote to Adams about the problem he had been working on:

In my opinion this is an ill-chosen problem. (a) If you solve it, it won't do the rest of algebraic topology much good. (b) It's hard so you stand to lose time and self-esteem. (c) Finally, it's addictive ... kick the habit now.

After the last episode of psychiatric illness, in 1986, Adams became markedly less intense. He continued to write research papers in collaboration with other workers in the field, but he started to reduce his activities in other directions, for various reasons. He let it be known that he would not be taking on any new research students. He stopped writing up the lecture notes of his course on the exceptional Lie groups of which (73) may be regarded as a sample.

The last major mathematical event Adams attended was the conference held at Kinosaki in August 1988. This was in honour of the 60th birthday of Toda, the distinguished Japanese homotopy theorist whom he first met as a graduate student. Adams gave the opening address – a review of Toda's work – and when this appears in the proceedings of the conference it will, presumably, be his last publication (82). A similar meeting to celebrate Adams's own 60th birthday was being organized by his former research students but, sadly, this will now take the form of a memorial conference.

January 6, 1989 was a particularly happy day for the Adams family. Katy, the youngest member, had come home to attend the Twelfth Night feast at Trinity. To mark the occasion Frank gave her a beautifully made piece of *cloisonné* work, a technique which was a hobby of his: he was extremely clever with his hands.

The following day Frank and Grace had been invited to attend the retirement party of an old friend in London. Neither of them was feeling very well, but at the last moment Frank decided to go on his own. On the way back that evening, within a few miles of home, his car skidded on a slippery bend of the Great North Road, overturned after striking the edge of a culvert, and smashed into a tree. He sustained very severe injuries and died almost immediately, at the age of 58.

Looking back over Adams's professional life, one can distinguish two main phases, with a dividing line about 1970, when he returned to Cambridge from Manchester. Although his reputation for research rests more on the spectacular achievements of the first phase, he continued to publish important new results throughout the second.

Increasingly, he devoted himself to expository work, for which he had an uncommon gift. Over the years, he saw numerous graduate students through the Ph.D. stage and was always ready to give advice and help to those who were trying to get started in the subject. In all he did, he set himself a high standard of excellence, and this was recognized by national and international honours of various kinds. He was awarded the Junior Berwick and Senior Whitehead Prizes of the London Mathematical Society and the Sylvester Medal of the Royal Society. The National Academy of Sciences of Washington elected him a Foreign Associate, the Royal Danish Academy elected him an honorary member and the University of Heidelberg conferred on him an honorary doctorate.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Because Adams left no biographical material with the Royal Society, apart from a curriculum vitae and list of publications, this memoir has been built up with the help of information obtained from a wide variety of sources. I would particularly like to thank his wife Grace, son Adrian, and brother Michael, for most patiently answering my questions about his family life. As regards his professional life, I am most grateful to Sir Michael Atiyah, F.R.S., A.K. Bousfield, A.B. Clegg, D.M. Davis, J.P.C. Greenlees, P.J. Hilton, J.P. May, R.M.F. Moss, D.C. Ravenel, N. Ray, G.B. Segal, F.R.S., W.A. Sutherland, C.B. Thomas, G. Walker, C.W. Wilkerson, S. Wylie and many others for valuable material. I would also like to express my gratitude to the Headmaster of Bedford School, the Officers of the Commonwealth Fund, and the Librarians of Trinity College and Trinity Hall for their assistance.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- (1) 1954 On decompositions of the sphere. *J. Lond. math. Soc.* **29**, 96–99.
- (2) 1955 A new proof of a theorem of W.H. Cockcroft. *J. Lond. math. Soc.* **30**, 482–488.
- (3) 1956 Four applications of the self-obstruction invariants. *J. Lond. math. Soc.* **31**, 148–159.
- (4) On products in minimal complexes. *Trans. Am. math. Soc.* **82**, 180–189.
- (5) (With P.J. HILTON) On the chain algebra of a loop space. *Comment. math. Helvet.* **30**, 305–330.
- (6) On the cobar construction. *Proc. natn. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **42**, 409–412. (Also in *Colloque de topologie algébrique*, Louvain 1956 Georges Thone 1957, 81–87).
- (7) 1957 An example in homotopy theory. *Proc. Camb. phil. Soc.* **53**, 922–923.
- (8) Une relation entre groupes d'homotopie et groupes de cohomologie. *C.r. hebdom. Séanc. Acad. Sci., Paris* **245**, 24–26.
- (9) 1958 On the structure and applications of the Steenrod algebra. *Comment. math. Helvet.* **32**, 180–214.
- (10) On the non-existence of elements of Hopf invariant one mod p . *Not. Am. math. Soc.* **5**, 25.
- (11) On the non-existence of elements of Hopf invariant one. *Bull. Am. math. Soc.* **64**, 279–282.
- (12) 1959 Théorie de l'homotopie stable. *Bull. Soc. math. Fr.* **87**, 277–280.

- (13) Exposé sur les travaux de CTC Wall sur l'algèbre de cobordisme Ω . *Bull. Soc. math. Fr.* **87**, 281–284.
- (14) 1960 On the non-existence of elements of Hopf invariant one. *Ann. Math.* **72**, 20–104.
- (15) Appendix to a paper of E.R. REIFENBERG, *Acta math., Stockh.* **104**, 76–91.
- (16) 1961 The sphere, considered as an H-space mod p . *Q. Jl Math.* **12**, 52–60.
- (17) A finiteness theorem in homological algebra. *Proc. Camb. phil. Soc.* **57**, 31–36.
- (18) On Chern characters and the structure of the unitary group. *Proc. Camb. phil. Soc.* **57**, 189–199.
- (19) On formulae of Thom and Wu. *Proc. Lond. math. Soc.* **11**, 741–752.
- (20) 1962 Vector fields on spheres. *Topology* **1**, 63–65.
- (21) H-spaces with few cells. *Topology* **1**, 67–72.
- (22) Vector fields on spheres. *Bull. Am. math. Soc.* **68**, 39–41.
- (23) Vector fields on spheres. *Ann. math.* **75**, 603–632.
- (24) Applications of the Grothendieck–Atiyah–Hirzebruch functor $K(X)$, *Proc. Int. Congr. Math.* 1962, 435–441. (Also in *Proc. Coll. Alg. Top.* Aarhus 104–113.)
- (25) 1963 On the groups $J(X)$ -I. *Topology* **2**, 181–195.
- (26) 1964 *Stable homotopy theory. Lect. Notes in maths.* **3**; 2nd ed. 1966; 3rd ed. 1969. Springer.
- (27) (With G. WALKER) An example in homotopy theory. *Proc. Camb. phil. Soc.* **60**, 699–700.
- (28) 1965 On the groups $J(X)$ -II. *Topology* **3**, 137–171.
- (29) (With G. WALKER) On complex Stiefel manifolds. *Proc. Camb. phil. Soc.* **61**, 81–103.
- (30) On the groups $J(X)$. In *Diff. and Comb. Top.*, pp. 121–143. Princeton University Press.
- (31) On the groups $J(X)$ -III. *Topology* **3**, 193–222.
- (32) (With P.D. LAX & R.S. PHILLIPS) On matrices whose real linear combinations are non-singular. *Proc. Am. math. Soc.* **16**, 318–322; with a correction, *Proc. Am. math. Soc.* **17**, 945–947 (1966).
- (33) 1966 A spectral sequence defined using K-theory. *Proc. Coll. de Top., Bruxelles 1964*, 149–166. Gauthier-Villars.
- (34) (With M.F. ATIYAH) K-Theory and the Hopf invariant. *Q. Jl Math.* **17**, 31–38.
- (35) On the groups $J(X)$ -IV. *Topology* **5**, 21–71; with correction, *Topology* **7**, 331 (1968).
- (36) A periodicity theorem in homological algebra. *Proc. Camb. phil. Soc.* **62**, 365–377.
- (37) 1968 A survey of homotopy theory. *Proc. Int. Congr. MIR* 3–43.
- (38) 1969 *Lectures on Lie groups*. New York: W.A. Benjamin (Reprinted Chicago University Press, 1982.)
- (39) Lectures on generalized cohomology. *Lect. Notes in maths.* **99**, 1–138. Springer.
- (40) 1971 A variant of E.H. Brown's representability theorem. *Topology*, **10**, 185–198.
- (41) (With H.R. MARGOLIS) Modules over the Steenrod algebra. *Topology* **10**, 271–282.
- (42) (With A.S. HARRIS & R.M. SWITZER) Hopf algebras of cooperations for real and complex K-theory. *Proc. Lond. math. Soc.* **23**, 385–408.

- (43) Algebraic topology in the last decade. *Proc. symp. Pure math.* **22**, 1–22. Am. math. Soc.
- (44) 1972 *Algebraic topology; a student's guide. Lond. math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.* **4**, Cambridge University Press.
- (45) (With A. LIULEVICIUS) The Hurewicz homomorphism for MU and BP. *J. Lond. math. Soc.* **5**, 539–545.
- (46) The Kahn-Priddy theorem. *Proc. Camb. phil. Soc.* **73**, 45–55.
- (47) Chern characters revisited. *Ill. J. Math.* **17**, 333–336; with an addendum, *Ill. J. Math.* **20**, 372, (1976).
- (48) 1974 Operations of the N^{th} kind in K-theory, and what we don't know about RP^{∞} . *Lond. math. Soc. Lect. Note Ser.* **11**, 1–9. Cambridge University Press.
- (49) *Stable homotopy and generalised homology*. Chicago University Press.
- (50) (With H.R. MARGOLIS) Sub-Hopf-algebras of the Steenrod algebra. *Proc. Camb. phil. Soc.* **76**, 45–52.
- (51) Geometric dimension of bundles over RP^n . *Res. Inst. math. Sci.*, 1–17. Kyoto University.
- (52) 1975 Idempotent functors in homotopy theory. In *Manifolds – Tokyo 1973*, 247–253. Univ. Tokyo Press.
- (53) (With A. LIULEVICIUS) Buhstaber's work on two-valued formal groups. *Topology* **14**, 291–296.
- (54) 1976 (With P. HOFFMAN) Operations on K-theory of torsion-free spaces. *Math. Proc. Camb. phil. Soc.* **79**, 483–491.
- (55) (With Z. MAHMUD) Maps between classifying spaces. *Invent. Math.* **35**, 1–41.
- (56) Primitive elements in the K-theory of BSU. *Q. Jl Math.* **27**, 253–262.
- (57) The work of H. Cartan in its relation with homotopy theory. *Astérisque* **32–33**, 29–41.
- (58) (With S.B. PRIDDY) Uniqueness of BSO. *Math. Proc. Camb. phil. Soc.* **80**, 475–509.
- (59) 1977 (With F.W. CLARKE) Stable operations on complex K-theory. *Ill. J. Math.* **21**, 826–829.
- (60) 1978 Maps between classifying spaces. *L'Enseignement Math.* **24**, 79–85. (Also in *Lect. Notes in maths.* **658**, 1–8. Springer.
- (61) *Infinite loop spaces. Ann. Math. Stud.* **90**.
- (62) Maps between classifying spaces II. *Invent. Math.* **49**, 1–65.
- (63) 1980 (With C.W. WILKERSON) Finite H-spaces and algebras over the Steenrod algebra. *Ann. Math.* **111**, 95–143; with a correction, *ibid.* **113**, 621–622 (1981).
- (64) (With W.H. LIN, D.M. DAVIS & M.E. MAHOWALD) Calculation of Lin's Ext groups. *Math. Proc. Camb. phil. Soc.* **87**, 459–469.
- (65) Graeme Segal's Burnside ring conjecture. *Lect. Notes in maths.* **788**, 378–395. Springer.
- (66) Finite H-spaces and Lie groups. *J. pure appd. Alg.* **19**, 1–8.
- (67) 1981 Spin(8), triality, F_4 and all that. In *Superspace and supergravity*, (eds S.W. Hawking & M. Roček) pp. 435–445. Cambridge University Press.
- (68) 1982 Graeme Segal's Burnside ring conjecture. *Bull. Am. math. Soc.* **6**, 201–210; (Also in *Proc. Symp. Pure maths.* **39**, part 1, 77–86. Am. math. Soc., 1983.)
- (69) Graeme Segal's Burnside ring conjecture. *Contemporary maths* **12**, 9–18. Am. Math. Soc.

- (70) Maps from a surface to the projective plane. *Bull. Lond. math. Soc.* **14**, 533–534.
- (71) 1983 (With Z. MAHMUD) Maps between classifying spaces III. In *Topological topics* (*Lond. math. Soc. Lec. Note Ser.* **86**), pp. 136–153. Cambridge University Press.
- (72) 1984 Prerequisites (on equivariant stable homotopy) for Carlsson’s lecture. In *Algebraic topology. Lect. Notes in maths.* **1051**, 483–532. Springer.
- (73) 1985 The fundamental representations of E_8 . *Contemporary maths* **37**, 1–10.
- (74) La conjecture de Segal. *Sém. Bourbaki*, 1984–85, no. 645.
- (75) Maxwell Herman Alexander Newman. *Biogr. Mem. Fell. R. Soc.* **31**, 437–452.
- (76) (With J.H. GUNWARDENA & H. MILLER) The Segal conjecture for elementary abelian p -groups. *Topology* **24**, 435–460.
- (77) 1987 2-Tori in E_8 . *Math. Annln.* **278**, 29–39.
- (78) 1988 (With J.-P. HAEBERLY, S. JACKOWSKI & J.P. MAY) A generalisation of the Segal conjecture. *Topology* **27**, 7–21.
- (79) (With J.-P. HAEBERLY, S. JACKOWSKI & J.P. MAY) A generalisation of the Atiyah–Segal completion theorem. *Topology* **27**, 1–6.
- (80) 1989 (With N.J. KUHN) Atomic spaces and spectra. *Proc. Edinb. math. Soc.* **32**, 473–481.
- (81) (With Z. WOJTKOWIAK) Maps between p -completed classifying spaces. *Proc. R. Soc. Edinb.* **112A**, 231–235.
- (82) 1990 Talk on Toda’s work, *Homotopy theory and related topics. Lect. Notes Math.* no. 1418. (ed. M. Mimura) 7–14. Springer.