

# THE MAPS OF AN $n$ -COMPLEX INTO AN $n$ -SPHERE

BY HASSSLER WHITNEY

**1. Introduction.** The classes of maps of an  $n$ -complex into an  $n$ -sphere were classified by H. Hopf<sup>1</sup> in 1932. Recently, W. Hurewicz<sup>2</sup> has extended the theorem by replacing the  $n$ -sphere by much more general spaces. Freudenthal<sup>3</sup> and Steenrod<sup>4</sup> have noted that the theorem and proof are simplified by using real numbers reduced mod 1 in place of integers as coefficients in the chains considered. We shall give here a statement of the theorem which seems the most natural; the proof is quite simple. As in the original proof by Hopf, we shall base it on a more general extension theorem.

The fundamental tool of the paper is the relation of “coboundary”;<sup>5</sup> it has come into prominence in the last few years.

In later papers we shall classify the maps of a 3-complex into a 2-sphere and of an  $n$ -complex into projective  $n$ -space.

## I. Elementary facts

**2. Boundaries and coboundaries.** Let  $K$  be a complex, with oriented cells  $\sigma_i^r$  (not necessarily simplicial) of dimension  $r$ ,  $r = 0, \dots, n$ . Let  $\delta_{ij}^r = 1, -1$ , or 0 according as  $\sigma_i^{r-1}$  is positively, negatively, or not at all, on the boundary of  $\sigma_j^r$ . An  $r$ -chain  $C^r$  is a linear form  $\sum \alpha_i \sigma_i^r$ , the  $\alpha_i$  being integers (or elements of an abelian group). The *boundary* (or *contraboundary*) and *coboundary* of  $C^r$  are defined by

$$(2.1) \quad \delta\left(\sum_i \alpha_i \sigma_i^r\right) = \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \delta_{ij}^r \sigma_j^{r-1}, \quad \delta\left(\sum_i \alpha_i \sigma_i^r\right) = \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \delta_{ij}^{r+1} \sigma_j^{r+1}.$$

As in the ordinary theory, we say  $C^r$  is a *cocycle* if its coboundary vanishes, and  $C^r$  is *cohomologous* to  $D^r$ ,  $C^r \sim D^r$ , if  $C^r - D^r$  is a coboundary. The relation  $\delta\delta C^r = 0$  (easily proved; equivalent to  $\partial\partial C^r = 0$ ) says that every coboundary

Received December 1, 1936; presented to the International Topological Conference in Moscow, September 1935, and to the American Mathematical Society, October 1935.

<sup>1</sup> H. Hopf, Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici, vol. 5 (1932), pp. 39–54. See also Alexandroff-Hopf, *Topologie I*, Ch. XIII. A recent proof has been given by S. Lefschetz, Fund. Math., vol. 27 (1936), pp. 94–115. In Lemma 3 he gives a new proof of the theorem of the preceding paper; the author does not understand how the final map is made simplicial.

<sup>2</sup> W. Hurewicz, Proc. Kon. Akad. Wet. Amsterdam, vols. 38–39 (1935–36); in particular, vol. 39, pp. 117–126. The full paper will appear in the Annals of Math.

<sup>3</sup> H. Freudenthal, Compositio Math., vol. 2 (1935), footnote 8.

<sup>4</sup> Unpublished.

<sup>5</sup> This is discussed briefly in §2. For further details, see our paper *On matrices of integers*, pp. 35–45 of this volume of this Journal. We refer to this paper as I. The relation of Theorems 2, 3 and 4 to the theorems as stated by Hopf are made apparent by the theorems in I. The present paper is independent of I.

is a cocycle. Hence we may define the difference group of the group of  $r$ -cocycles over the group of  $r$ -boundaries, forming the  $r$ -th *cohomology group*.<sup>6</sup>

**3. Normal maps of cells into  $S_0^n$ .** Let  $S_0^n$  be the (oriented) unit  $n$ -sphere in  $(n + 1)$ -space. Let  $f$  map the (oriented)  $n$ -cell  $\sigma^n$  into  $S_0^n$ . We say  $f$  is *normal* if  $f(p) \equiv P_0$ , a fixed point of  $S_0^n$ , for  $p$  in the boundary  $\partial\sigma^n$  of  $\sigma^n$ . This is equivalent to identifying the points of  $\partial\sigma^n$  in  $\sigma^n$ , forming an  $n$ -sphere  $S^n$ , and mapping this sphere into  $S_0^n$ . Hence we may define the degree<sup>7</sup>  $d_f(\sigma^n)$ . If  $f$  and  $g$  are normal in  $\sigma^n$  and  $d_f(\sigma^n) = d_g(\sigma^n)$ , then we may deform  $f$  into  $g$ , keeping  $\partial\sigma^n$  at  $P_0$ , by II, corollary.

Any map  $f$  of  $\sigma^r$  into  $S_0^n$ ,  $r < n$ , may be shrunk to  $P_0$ : we deform  $f$  into a simplicial map, and apply  $\Omega_t$  (see II, §3).  $P_0$  being assumed a vertex of  $K_0^n$ , if  $\partial\sigma^r$  is at  $P_0$  it remains there during the deformation.

If  $K$  is any complex, let  $K^r$  be the subcomplex of  $K$  containing all its cells of dimension  $\leq r$ . The map  $f$  of  $K$  into  $S_0^n$  is *normal* if  $f(p) \equiv P_0$  for  $p$  in  $K^{n-1}$ . Suppose  $\sigma^n$  or  $S^n$  is subdivided into cells  $\sigma_i^n$ , and  $f$  is a normal map of it into  $S_0^n$ . Then the  $d_f(\sigma_i^n)$  are defined, and

$$(3.1) \quad d_f(\sigma^n) \text{ or } d_f(S^n) = \sum_i d_f(\sigma_i^n).$$

To show this, subdivide  $\sigma^n$  or  $S^n$  further, so that we can deform  $f$  into a simplicial map, and apply  $\Omega_1$  (see II, §3). The above quantities are unchanged, and (3.1) is now a consequence of II, (3.1).

**4. On deformations.** We shall need the following elementary results. Let  $K \times I$  be the product of  $K$  and the unit interval  $I$ , consisting of all pairs  $(p, t)$ ,  $p$  in  $K$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ . The deformation  $\phi_t(p)$  of  $K$  in  $S_0^n$  is equivalent to the map  $\Phi(p, t) = \phi_t(p)$  of  $K \times I$  into  $S_0^n$ . Hence  $\phi_0$  is homotopic to  $\phi_1$  if and only if  $\Phi$ , defined over  $K \times 0 + K \times 1$ , may be extended over  $K \times I$ .

Let  $f$  map the boundary  $\partial\sigma^r$  of  $\sigma^r$  into  $S_0^n$ . Then  $f$  is homotopic to zero (in  $\partial\sigma^r$ ) if and only if it may be extended through  $\sigma^r$ . For the deformation  $f_t(p)$  ( $p$  in  $\partial\sigma^r$ ) into  $f_1(p) \equiv P$  is equivalent to the map  $f(p_{1-t})$  (see II, §5) =  $f_t(p)$  of  $\sigma^r$  into  $S_0^n$ .

**LEMMA 1.** *If  $\phi \equiv \phi_0$  maps  $\sigma^n$  into  $S$ , and the deformation  $\phi_t$  of  $\phi$  is defined over  $\partial\sigma^n$ , then its definition may be extended over  $\sigma^n$ .*

We define  $\phi_t$  in  $\sigma^n$  by

$$(4.1) \quad \phi_t(p_u) = \begin{cases} \phi(p_{(1+t)u}) & \left(0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{u} - 1\right), \\ \phi_{t+1-\frac{1}{u}}(p) & \left(\frac{1}{u} - 1 \leq t \leq 1\right). \end{cases}$$

<sup>6</sup> This is the character group of the homology group with numbers mod 1 as coefficient group.

<sup>7</sup> See pp. 46–50 of this volume of this Journal; we refer to this paper as II.

**LEMMA 2.** *Any map  $\phi$  of  $K$  into  $S_0^n$  may be deformed into a normal one; all cells already at  $P_0$  we may keep fixed.*

We deform the map successively so that  $K^0, K^1, \dots, K^{n-1}$  are at  $P_0$ . Suppose  $K^{r-1}$  is at  $P_0$  (if  $0 < r < n$ ). As each  $\partial\sigma^r$  is at  $P_0$ , we may deform each  $\sigma^r$  into  $P_0$ , keeping  $\partial\sigma^r$  at  $P_0$  (see §3). This deformation, defined over  $K^r$ , is extended over all  $(r+1)$ -cells,  $(r+2)$ -cells, etc., by Lemma 1. It is now defined over  $K$ , and  $K^r$  is at  $P_0$ .

**5. Parts of cocycles.** Let  $K'$  be a subcomplex of  $K$ . Any  $r$ -chain  $C$  of  $K$  may be written  $C' + C''$ , the coefficients of cells of  $K - K'^8$  [of  $K'$ ] being zero in  $C'$  [in  $C''$ ]. We say  $C'$  is *part* of  $C$ . Clearly the chain  $C'$  in  $K'$  is part of a cocycle if and only if  $\delta C'$  cobounds in  $K - K'$ , i.e., if and only if for some chain  $C''$  in  $K - K'$ ,  $\delta C' = \delta C''$ . The  $(r+1)$ -chains are chains of  $K$ .

**6. The product  $K \times I$ .** We subdivide  $K \times I$  (see §4) by means of all cells  $\sigma_i^r \times I$  ( $\sigma_i^r$  in  $K$ ). Orient the cells  $\sigma_i^r \times 0$  and  $\sigma_i^r \times 1$  like the  $\sigma_i^r$ , and orient each  $(r+1)$ -cell  $\sigma_i^r \times I$  so that  $\sigma_i^r \times 1$  is on its boundary positively. Then

$$(6.1) \quad \delta(\sigma_i^r \times 0) = -\sigma_i^r \times I + \dots, \quad \delta(\sigma_i^r \times 1) = \sigma_i^r \times I + \dots,$$

$$(6.2) \quad \delta(\sigma_i^r \times I) = -\sum_j \partial_{ij}^{r+1} (\sigma_j^{r+1} \times I).$$

To prove (6.2), say  $\delta(\sigma_i^r \times I) = A_{ij}^{r+1} (\sigma_j^{r+1} \times I) + \dots$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\delta(\sigma_i^r \times 1) &= \delta[(\sigma_i^r \times I) + \sum_j \partial_{ij}^{r+1} (\sigma_j^{r+1} \times 1)] \\ &= (A_{ij}^{r+1} + \partial_{ij}^{r+1}) (\sigma_j^{r+1} \times I) + \dots = 0, \end{aligned}$$

and  $A_{ij}^{r+1} = -\partial_{ij}^{r+1}$ . The first equation in (6.1) is clear for  $r = 0$ ; it is proved in succession for  $r = 1, 2, \dots$  by considering the coefficient of  $\sigma_i^r \times I$  in  $\delta\delta(\sigma_i^{r-1} \times 0)$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $C_0$  and  $C_1$  be  $n$ -chains in  $K = K^n$ , and let  $D_0$  and  $D_1$  be the corresponding chains in  $K \times 0$  and  $K \times 1$ . Then  $D_0 + D_1$  (as a chain in  $K \times I$ ) is part of a cocycle if and only if  $C_0 \curvearrowright C_1$  in  $K$ .*

Say

$$C_0 = \sum a_i \sigma_i^n, \quad C_1 = \sum b_i \sigma_i^n.$$

Consider any  $n$ -chain

$$(6.3) \quad D = D_0 + D_1 + \sum h_j (\sigma_j^{n-1} \times I);$$

then, by (6.1) and (6.2),

$$\begin{aligned} \delta D &= -\sum a_i (\sigma_i^n \times I) + \sum b_i (\sigma_i^n \times I) - \sum h_j \partial_{ji}^n (\sigma_i^n \times I) \\ (6.4) \quad &= \sum_i [b_i - a_i - \sum_j h_j \partial_{ji}^n] (\sigma_i^n \times I). \end{aligned}$$

<sup>8</sup>  $K - K'$  is in general not a subcomplex of  $K$ , i.e., is not closed in  $K$ .

Suppose  $D_0 + D_1$  is part of a cocycle  $D$ ; then (6.4) set = 0 gives

$$\delta \left( \sum_i h_j \sigma_i^{n-1} \right) = \sum_{i,j} h_j \partial_{ij}^n \sigma_i^n = \sum_i (b_i - a_i) \sigma_i^n = C_1 - C_0,$$

and  $C_0 \smile C_1$ . Conversely, suppose  $C_1 - C_0 = \delta(\sum h_j \sigma_i^{n-1})$ ; then the last set of equations shows that the bracket in (6.4) vanishes, and hence  $D$ , defined by (6.3), is a cocycle.

## II. The theorems

### 7. The extension theorem.

We shall prove

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $f$  be a normal map of the subcomplex  $K'$  of  $K = K^{n+1}$  into  $S_0^n$ . Then  $f$  can be extended over  $K$  if and only if the chain*

$$(7.1) \quad D' = \sum_{\sigma_i^n \text{ in } K'} d_f(\sigma_i^n) \sigma_i^n$$

*in  $K'$  is part of a cocycle.*

First suppose  $D'$  is part of a cocycle  $D = \sum a_i \sigma_i^n$ :

$$(7.2) \quad a_i = d_f(\sigma_i^n) \quad (\sigma_i^n \text{ in } K'), \quad \sum_i a_i \partial_{ij}^{n+1} = 0 \quad (\text{all } j).$$

$f$  maps  $(K')^{n-1}$  into  $P_0$ ; set  $f(p) \equiv P_0$  in  $K^{n-1}$ . Let  $f$  map each  $\sigma_i^n$  not in  $K'$  into  $S_0^n$  with the degree  $a_i$  (see II, Theorem 2); then (7.2) holds for all  $\sigma_i^n$ . Consider any  $(n+1)$ -cell  $\sigma_j^{n+1}$  of  $K - K'$ . Using (3.1), we find

$$(7.3) \quad \begin{aligned} d_f(\partial \sigma_j^{n+1}) &= d_f \left( \sum_i \partial_{ij}^{n+1} \sigma_i^n \right) = \sum_i \partial_{ij}^{n+1} d_f(\sigma_i^n) \\ &= \sum_i \partial_{ij}^{n+1} a_i = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $f$ , considered only in  $\partial \sigma_j^{n+1}$ , is homotopic to zero (II, Theorem 1), and  $f$  may be extended over  $\sigma_j^{n+1}$  (see §4). Thus we extend  $f$  throughout  $K$ .

Now suppose  $f$  is extended throughout  $K$ . By Lemma 2, we deform  $f$  into a normal map, leaving  $(K')^{n-1}$ , and hence also  $K'$ , fixed. Call the new map  $f$  again, and define the  $a_i$  and  $D$  by (7.2). Then  $D'$  is part of  $D$ . By §4,  $f$ , in each  $\partial \sigma_j^{n+1}$ , is homotopic to zero; hence (7.3) holds, and  $D$  is a cocycle.

*Remark.* If  $f$  is any map of  $K'$  into  $S_0^n$ , we may deform it into a normal map  $\phi$ , by Lemma 2. From Lemma 1, it is apparent that  $f$  can be extended over  $K$  if and only if  $\phi$  can be. Define  $D'$  by (7.1). By Theorem 2,  $\delta D'$  has zero coefficients over cells of  $K'$ , and is therefore a chain, which is clearly a cocycle, of  $K'' = K - K'$ . By Theorem 3, Remark, if  $f$  is also deformed into the normal map  $\psi$ , defining the chain  $C'$  of  $K'$ , then  $C' \smile D'$  in  $K'$ , and hence for some  $H$  in  $K'$ ,

$$C' - D' = (\delta H)' = \delta H - (\delta H)''.$$

Therefore  $\delta C' - \delta D' = \delta[(\delta H)'']$ , which lies in  $K''$ . Thus the cohomology class in  $K''$  of  $\delta D'$  is uniquely determined by  $f$ , and we have (using Theorem 2):  $f$  may be extended over  $K$  if and only if its cohomology class thus defined in  $K''$  is  $\smile 0$  in  $K''$ .

**8. The classes of maps of  $K^n$  into  $S_0^n$ .** If we put two maps of  $K^n$  into  $S_0^n$  into the same class if they are homotopic, the maps fall into classes, the *homotopy classes*. To any normal map  $f$  of  $K^n$  into  $S_0^n$  we let correspond a chain  $C$ , as in (7.1).

**THEOREM 3.** *The normal maps  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  of  $K = K^n$  into  $S_0^n$  are homotopic if and only if  $C_\phi \sim C_\psi$ .*

Set  $\Phi(p \times 0) = \phi(p)$ ,  $\Phi(p \times 1) = \psi(p)$ ; then  $\phi$  is homotopic to  $\psi$  if and only if  $\Phi$  may be extended through  $K \times I$  (see §4). If  $D_0$  and  $D_1$  correspond to  $C_\phi$  and  $C_\psi$  in  $K \times 0$  and  $K \times 1$ , Theorem 2 shows that this is possible if and only if  $D' = D_0 + D_1$  is part of a cocycle in  $K \times I$ . By Theorem 1, this is true if and only if  $C_\phi \sim C_\psi$ .

*Remark.* If  $K$  is of any dimension and  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are homotopic, then  $C_\phi$  and  $C_\psi$  are cocycles and  $C_\phi \sim C_\psi$ . The first statement follows from Theorem 2; the second follows on considering  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  in  $K^n$  alone.

**THEOREM 4.** *The classes of maps of  $K^n$  into  $S_0^n$  are in  $(1 - 1)$  correspondence with the elements of the  $n$ -th cohomology group of  $K$  with integer coefficients. The correspondence is given by deforming the map  $f$  into a normal one and taking the cohomology class of the resulting cocycle. In particular,  $f$  is homotopic to zero if and only if the corresponding cohomology class is zero.*

The deformation is possible, by Lemma 2. The cohomology class is uniquely determined by  $f$ , and non-homotopic maps determine different classes, by Theorem 3. Finally, to each cohomology class corresponds a map; we take a cocycle  $C$  of the class, and let  $f$  map each  $\sigma^n$  normally into  $S_0^n$  with the degree equal to its coefficient in  $C$  (see II, Theorem 2).

**9. The Theorem of Hurewicz.** Let  $Q_0$  be a fixed point of a space  $S$ . Then the classes of maps of  $S_0^n$  into  $S$  for which  $P_0$  goes into  $Q_0$  form an abelian group, the *r-th homotopy group* of  $S$ .<sup>9</sup> If  $f$  maps  $\sigma^n$  [or  $S_0^n$ ] into  $S$ , and  $f(p) = Q_0$  in  $\partial\sigma^n[f(P_0)] = Q_0$ , we may call the corresponding homotopy element the *degree*  $d_f(\sigma^n)[d_f(S_0^n)]$  of  $f$ . (If  $S = S_0^n$ , the  $n$ -th homotopy group is the group of integers, as was seen in II, so that this is a natural generalization of the term degree.) The fundamental formula (3.1) holds still. The theorems of the preceding paper become matters of definition. The proofs in the present paper hold without change, and we have a new version of the Theorem of Hurewicz:

**THEOREM 5.** *Theorems 2, 3 and 4 hold if we replace  $S_0^n$  by any locally contractible space  $S_0$  whose r-th homotopy groups vanish for  $r < n$ , and replace the integers by the n-th homotopy group of  $S$  as coefficient group in the chains and cohomology classes.*

Hurewicz also shows that in the above space  $S_0$  the  $n$ -th homotopy group is the same as the  $n$ -th homology group with integer coefficients.

HARVARD UNIVERSITY.

<sup>9</sup> See Hurewicz, loc. cit. We assume a knowledge of the fundamental properties of homotopy groups.