The Hotel of Algebraic Surgery

Dissertation
zur Erlangung des Doktorgrades (Dr. rer. nat.)
der Mathematisch-Naturwissenschaftlichen Fakultät
der Rheinischen Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität

vorgelegt von
Philipp Kühl
aus Heidelberg

Bonn, Februar 2014
Angefertigt mit Genehmigung der Mathematisch-Naturwissenschaftlichen Fakultät der Rheinischen Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn.

Teile dieser Arbeit wurde bereits veröffentlicht in
## Contents

Lobby 1
Reception 5

Level 0 9
- In the elevator ................................................. 9
- Main theorem .................................................. 10

Level 1 13
- In the elevator .................................................. 13
- 1 TSO and bundle reductions ................................. 14
- 2 TSO and quadratic signatures ............................. 18

Level 2 21
- In the elevator .................................................. 21
- 11 Exact sequence of $L$-groups ............................. 23
- 12 Exact sequence of homology $L$-groups ................. 28
- 13 The visible mosaicked signature ......................... 35
- 14 Canonical $L$-orientations ................................ 38
- 15 Orientations and signatures ............................... 42
- 16 The homotopy pullback square ........................... 47
- 21 The algebraic surgery exact sequence .................. 51
- 22 Coset step .................................................... 51
- 23 Subset step ................................................... 55

Level 3 and 4 60
- In the elevator .................................................. 60
- 111 Poincaré symmetric and Poincaré normal ............. 60
- 112 Quadratic and (normal, Poincaré symmetric) ......... 61
- 121 Exact sequences for inclusions of bordism categories
- 122 Quadratic assembly isomorphism ....................... 65
- 123 L-spectra and homology .................................. 65
- 1231 L-spectra .................................................. 67
- 1232 L-spectra and smash products ......................... 70
- 131 $E_*$-cycles ............................................... 72
- 1311 Simplicial dual complex ............................... 73
- 1312 Simplicial description of homology .................. 74
- 132 Normal cycles ............................................. 75
- 141 Signature spectra maps .................................... 78
- 1411 $L$-spectra fibration sequences ...................... 80
The classification of manifolds is a celebrity under the problems in topology and attracted a lot of attention during the last century. One important aspect of this problem is to decide whether there is an $n$-dimensional closed topological manifold in the homotopy type of a given $n$-dimensional finite Poincaré space $X$. The “classic surgery theory” developed by Brower, Novikov, Sullivan, Wall, Kirby and Siebenmann provides an algorithm to decide this question in the form of a two-stage obstruction theory, when $n \geq 5$. This two-stage obstruction reflects the crucial questions:

1. Does $X$ admit a degree one normal map $\hat{f}$ from a manifold to $X$?
2. If the answer is yes, is there a degree one normal map $\hat{f}$ which is bordant to a homotopy equivalence?

These two question have been dealt with in the following way. A result of Spivak provides us with the Spivak normal fibration $\nu_X : X \rightarrow \text{BSG}$, which is a spherical fibration, stably unique in some sense. If $X$ is homotopy equivalent to a closed manifold, then $\nu_X$ reduces to a stable topological bundle, say $\pi_X : X \rightarrow \text{BSTOP}$. This gives a positive answer to question (1). Any reduction $\pi_X$ determines a degree one normal map $\hat{f} : M \rightarrow X$ from some $n$-dimensional closed topological manifold $M$ to $X$ using the Pontrjagin-Thom construction. Such a normal map has a surgery obstruction

$$\theta(\hat{f}) \in L^w_n(\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(X)])$$

which is an element in the $L$-group* of the group ring $\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(X)]$. The vanishing of $\theta$ is equivalent to a positive answer of question (2). Hence we can reformulate the two-stage obstruction as follows: The Poincaré space $X$ is homotopy equivalent to a manifold if and only if

1. there are reductions $\pi_X : X \rightarrow \text{BSTOP}$ of its Spivak normal fibration $\nu_X$ and
2. at least one reduction has an associated degree one normal map $\hat{f} : M \rightarrow X$ such that $\theta(\hat{f}) = 0$.

The algebraic theory of surgery of Ranicki replaces the two obstructions above with a single obstruction, namely the total surgery obstruction

$$s(X) \in S_n(X)$$

where $S_n(X)$ is the $n$-dimensional structure group of $X$ in the sense of the algebraic theory of surgery, which is a certain abelian group associated to $X$. It overcomes several drawbacks of the classical approach. First of all, no surgery below the middle dimension in advance is needed anymore before we can apply the whole machinery. Secondly, the algebraic approach provides a uniform definition for the odd and even dimensional cases. Finally, the total surgery obstruction

*Read $L^w$ as Wall’s $L$-group in the sense of the classical theory. Strictly speaking the ‘$w$’ should be, additionally to a dedication, a choice of an orientation character $w : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$. We use $L$ without the $w$-superscript for the $L$-groups in the sense of the algebraic surgery theory.
is defined via an assembly map. In the recent decades there has been a lot of progress in studying these types of maps and especially the related Farrell-Jones and Baum-Connes conjecture [LR05]. One outcome of the algebraic surgery approach is that the Farrell-Jones conjecture implies the Borel conjecture.

A lot of material on algebraic surgery has been developed in the last 40 years and spread out over a few books, some PhD thesis and several papers. Based on the work of Ranicki, Weiss, Quinn, recently Laures and McClure and many others, this thesis gives a complete proof of the total surgery obstruction and the identification of the algebraic surgery exact sequence with its geometric equivalent. In joint work with Macko and Mole there has already been published a first approach [KMM13] to assemble the material concerning the total surgery obstruction in one place in one consistent notation. We wrote it with the intention to create a concise guide to the theory and to fill in the details that we missed when we were learning the theory or that we had heard other mathematicians complain about. However, we still remained sloppy in some points to keep the paper reasonably short. Nevertheless, our attempt to write a self-contained article including all necessary definitions resulted in an unwieldy paper.

Based on our first approach, this thesis is a further development that completely reorganizes and enhances the material in order to make it both a guide for beginners as well as a reliable reference for experts. Unfortunately, this work also fails to achieve completeness in a fully satisfactory way. For the following details we refer to other sources:

- The identification of Wall’s surgery obstruction with the quadratic signature $\text{sgn}_{L^*}$ as carried out by Ranicki in [Ran80a] and [Ran80b]. There is also an upcoming book by Crowley, Lück and Macko which will provide a profound discussion of this identification.

- The construction of the normal signature is a thesis on its own. Here we recap only the absolute case although we need it in full generality as presented in [Wei85a] and [Wei85b]. It is supposed to provide everything we need about normal chain complexes although the connection to our setting is not always obvious.

- The relation between $L$-groups of algebraic bordism categories and $L$-spectra. There has been an inaccuracy in Ranicki’s work. Laures and McClure corrected it and provide all the details in [LM09].

- We do not pay attention to the subtleties of transversality in the topological category as for example the necessity of using micro and block bundles. We rely on that there is a sufficient notion of transversality in our situation and just use the general term topological bundle. For more details we refer to [KS77] and [FQ90].

- Eventually, we are not able to carry out all algebraic constructions in all detail. You find much more material on these things in Ranicki’s extensive book [Ran81] and also in his papers [Ran80a] and [Ran80b].

In order to provide the reader with more insight into the motivation of the unwieldy amount of necessary and abstract definitions, thus, making the proof easier to follow, this thesis is organized in an unconventional way. Its hierarchical structure with different levels of details is based on the ideas of Leron [Ler83]. The details of the structure will be explained in the following chapter, the Reception.

At several points the notation of the original sources appeared not to be outstandingly convincing and I took the liberty of deviating from it. Experts who are used to the original notation find a dictionary in the appendix. We will use the following conventions.
Notation conventions

$M$ closed manifold of dimension $n \geq 5$

$X$ Poincaré space of dimension $n \geq 5$

$Y$ normal space of dimension $n \geq 5$

$K$ simplicial complex

$\sigma, \tau$ simplices

$\nu$ spherical fibration

$\nu_X$ Spivak normal fibration of $X$

$\pi, \xi$ bundles

$\mathbb{Z}_\pi := \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(X)]$, the fundamental group ring of $X$

$R$ ring with involution

$C, D$ chain complexes

$\varphi, \psi, \lambda$ structures on chain complexes

$A$ additive category

$\mathbb{B}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{D}, \mathbb{G}, \mathbb{L}$ categories of chain complexes

$L, NL, E, \Omega$ spectra

$\hat{f} := (\tilde{f}, f): M \to X$ a degree one normal map with source a manifold

$\hat{g} := (\tilde{g}, g): X \to Y$ a degree one normal map with source a Poincaré space

$\partial$ something one dimension lower

$\delta$ something one dimension higher

$\partial^3$ something of the same dimension (algebraic surgery\(^\dagger\))

$\text{con}_{\varphi/\psi/\gamma}$ chain maps with target the chain complexes where symmetric and quadratic structures respectively chain bundles live

$\text{sgn}_L^*/L_*/NL^*$ creates chain complexes with symmetric/quadratic/normal structures

$\text{sgn}_{\mathbb{Z}_\pi}$ creates a structured chain complex in a $L$-group over $\mathbb{Z}_\pi$

(i.e. something Poincaré in the symmetric and quadratic case)

$\text{sgn}_{\#}$ creates a structured chain complex not necessarily Poincaré

$\text{sgn}_{\rightarrow}$ creates a structured chain complex pair

\(^\dagger\)Besides that the symbol $\partial^3$ looks like a pair of scissors it is justified by the fact that algebraic surgery is a reversible process closely related to the boundary construction denoted by $\partial$
sgn$_X$ creates something $X$-mosaicked
(i.e. a chain complex in a $X$-based algebraic bordism category like $\Lambda_LX$ or $\Lambda_GX$)

$\Lambda$ algebraic bordism category

$\Lambda_{L/G/N}$ mosaicked algebraic bordism category with local/global/no Poincaré duality

$\partial^{S/Q/N}$ boundary construction for symmetric/quadratic/normal chain complexes

$\partial_C$ boundary construction applied to chain complexes that are $C$-Poincaré,
the result is a chain complex in $C$
Welcome to the Hotel of Algebraic Surgery. The hotel serves as the metaphorical frame for the proof of the total surgery obstruction theorem and two corollaries which we discuss at the end of this section.

For your stay here we strongly recommend the use of an electronic device such as a pdf reader which supports hyperlinks. In that case all you have to know is that, in order to keep this thesis readable, links are not highlighted and appear as usual text but keep in mind that almost everything here is a link. If you do not know or do not remember the meaning of an expression try a click on it. All statements have room numbers. If you do not believe an assertion, a click on the room number will guide you to the next level and you will find a proof. There is also an online version available at http://surgery-hotel.de. This thesis is presented there in a self-made pdf viewer especially developed to make this highly linked document easier to read. It provides a foldable tree view for the nested structure of the hotel rooms, definitions are displayed separately at the top and if you keep your mouse over a citation the details of the reference are displayed, if you click on it you get the complete paper.

In case you prefer or are forced to use an old fashioned reading device like paper that does not support clickable links, the margin is extensively used for navigation hints and there has been put some effort in the structure of the proof to keep your reading experience comfortable as well. The proof is organized in 4 levels. Each one provides more details to its preceding level. After each level you find an in the elevator paragraph that gives a brief overview of the next level, mentions the main ideas in the proceeding proofs and helps to develop a general picture of what is going on. The levels itself are organized in rooms. Each room provides a proof for a statement that was claimed and used in a preceding level. If a new statement is used in a proof it is marked on the margin with its room number and the page number of its proof in the next level is provided.

At the beginning of the rooms you usually find a porter paragraph which serves you with more motivational background and references for the material used in the room. It repeats the statement that will be proved and gives an overview of all substatements that will be needed. The notation is explained in Figure 1.

The room itself contains the pure proof without any other distracting material. So if you are basically comfortable with the material, just follow your way through the hotel rooms from level to level as high as you are not willing to believe the statements and hunger for more details.

In order to make it easier to find the definitions in the paper version, each room is usually followed up by an room service paragraph that gives short and precise definitions of all the terms used in that room. But there is a point where the helpfulness of verbosity bums up against the clarity and handiness of compactness so in higher levels we will weaken this paradigm and will...
6 Theorem

The statement we want to prove in this room.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>61 Lemma/Proposition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A statement used to prove Theorem 6. Substatements are numbered with an additional digit attached to the number of the room where they are used. So (642) is the second statement used to prove (64) which is part of the proof of (6). Each digit is a link to the corresponding room, i.e. if you click on the 4 in 642 you get to room number 64.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>51 (6, 71) Lemma/Proposition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The room numbers in brackets indicate additional rooms where a statement is also needed. So this is a statement that was first stated and used in the proof of room 5 but is also necessary to prove 6 and 71.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>[62 → Reference] Exercise/Citation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Statements with white background and the room number in square brackets are not proven in this thesis. They either cite statements of other references or are considered as exercises. So one could say that the black boxes we use are displayed as white boxes in order to remain readable.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>63 Corollary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Corollaries are indicated by an implication arrow in front of the box.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1: Example of a surgery hotel room

not repeat every definition that has already been introduced in a preceding level. An overview of all definitions and notations can be found at the very end in the help desk section.

Have a nice stay and enjoy the proof of

**Main Theorem** (Ranicki). Let \( X \) be a finite Poincaré space of dimension \( n \geq 5 \). Then \( X \) is homotopy equivalent to a closed \( n \)-dimensional topological manifold if and only if

\[
0 = s(X) \in S_n(X).
\]

More explicitly: \( X \) is a topological space such that \( H^{n-*}(X) \cong H_*(X) \) with arbitrary coefficients and has the homotopy type of a finite CW complex. The total surgery obstruction \( s(X) \) measures the failure on the chain level of the local homology groups \( H_*(X, X \setminus \{x\}) \) (\( x \in X \)) to be isomorphic to \( H^{n-*}({\{x\}}) = H_*(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \). It vanishes if and only if the cellular \( \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(X)] \)-module chain complex \( C(\tilde{X}) \) of the universal cover \( \tilde{X} \) of \( X \) is algebraic Poincaré cobordant to the assembly of a local system of \( \mathbb{Z} \)-module chain complexes over \( X \) with Poincaré duality.

We obtain from the Main Theorem and its proof the following two corollaries.

**Corollary 1** ([Ran92]Thm. 18.5 [Ran79]). Let \( M \) be a manifold of dimension \( n \geq 5 \). Then there are isomorphisms from the geometric to the algebraic exact surgery sequence for \( M \) such that the following diagram commutes.

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
L_n^w(\mathbb{Z}\pi) & \xrightarrow{\text{action}} & \mathcal{A}(M) & \xrightarrow{\theta} & \mathcal{N}(M) = H^0(M, G/TOP) & \xrightarrow{\theta} & L_n^w(\mathbb{Z}\pi) \\
\cong & & \cong & & \cong & & \cong \\
L_{n+1}(\mathbb{Z}\pi) & \xrightarrow{\pi} & S_{n+1}(M) & \xrightarrow{\pi} & H_n(M; L_*^1(1)) & \xrightarrow{A} & L_n(\mathbb{Z}\pi)
\end{array}
\]

Note that it is crucial for this that we work in the topological category. In the smooth and PL-category there is no known group structure on \( \mathcal{N}(X) \). For more details on that see for example [Lüct02, §5].
Corollary 1 follows from the statement of room 3 and the proof of 22. They provide the commutativity of the diagram and that the vertical maps of the $L$-groups and the normal invariants are isomorphisms. But then, because of the exactness of both sequences, the map $\mathcal{S}(M) \to \mathcal{S}_{n+1}(M)$ has to be an isomorphism as well.

An important aspect of this identification is that it relates the geometric surgery sequence with the assembly map which leads to the second corollary.

**Corollary 2.** The Farrell-Jones Conjecture implies the Borel conjecture.

We recall some common terminology to explain these two conjectures.

**Definition.** A manifold $M$ is rigid if any homotopy equivalence $M \simeq N$ implies that $M$ is actually homeomorphic to $N$.

Examples of rigid manifolds are surfaces and spheres $S^n$ of arbitrary dimensions according to the (generalized) Poincaré conjecture. Counterexamples that are not rigid in general are Lens spaces and products of spheres.

**Definition.** A manifold $M$ is aspherical if maps $S^n \to M$ are always null-homotopic for $n > 1$ or, equivalently, if the universal covering is contractible.

Now we have a handy formulation of the Borel conjecture.

**Conjecture (Borel).** Aspherical manifolds are rigid.

More explicitly, the conjecture predicts that two aspherical manifolds $M$ and $N$ are homeomorphic if and only if $\pi_1(M) \cong \pi_1(N)$. In terms of the classical surgery theory this can be reformulated as follows.

**Conjecture (Borel).** For an aspherical manifold $M$ the structure set $\mathcal{S}(M)$ consists of a single point.

When we switch to the algebraic formulation of the surgery exact sequence, this becomes a question of assembly maps. Informally, assembly maps determine $K$- and $L$-theory of group rings $R[G]$ by looking at small subgroups of $G$. Formally, we have the following type of map:

$$A: H^n_G(E_F G) \to H^n_G(pt)$$

where $G$ is a discrete group, $F$ a family of subgroups of $G$ and $H^G$ a $G$-homology theory. The Farrell-Jones conjecture makes the following statement about assembly maps.

**Conjecture (Farrell-Jones).** Let $\text{Vcyc}$ be the family of virtual cyclic subgroups. Then

$$A_K: H^n_G(E_{\text{Vcyc}}G, K_\bullet(R)) \to K_n(R[G])$$

and

$$A_L: H^n_G(E_{\text{Vcyc}}G, L_\bullet(R)) \to L_n(R[G])$$

are isomorphisms.

How does this help in our situation? The fundamental group of an aspherical manifold is torsion free, so we have $\text{Vcyc} = \{1, \mathbb{Z}\}$. The Farrell-Jones conjecture for $L$-theory implies $H_n(M; L_\bullet(\mathbb{Z})) \cong L_n(\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(M)])$. Using the identification of the surgery sequences of Corollary 1, this means the structure set vanishes and hence the Borel conjecture holds. There are some subtle details, especially we ignored the decorations which make it necessary to deal also with the $K$-theoretic assembly map. For more information about assembly maps and the isomorphism conjectures see for example [LR05] and in particular section 1.6 for more details on their geometric implications for manifolds.
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In the elevator

As algebraic counterparts of manifolds and Poincaré spaces\(^5\) we consider chain complexes together with a chain equivalence to its dual chain complex. Manifolds and Poincaré spaces differ in their strengths of duality and this is captured basically in the relation of three different types of duality structures on the chain complexes. Namely we have symmetric, quadratic and normal structures. If they provide a chain equivalence to the dual chain complex, they are called Poincaré structures.

The key observation is that the pieces of a (reasonable) subdivided manifold have Poincaré duality, but the pieces of a Poincaré space \(X\) are only normal. Normal means that we still have a fundamental class, but it does not provide a Poincaré duality isomorphism. Simply speaking, the Poincaré pieces of a manifold yield symmetric Poincaré structures on the corresponding chain complexes; the normal pieces of a Poincaré complex give normal structures and the difference between symmetric and normal is measured in quadratic terms.\(^6\) Hence, in the local quadratic structures, we find the information on whether a Poincaré space is homotopy equivalent to a manifold and so that is where the surgery obstruction lives.

The classical surgery obstruction of Wall [Wal99] is an element in the \(L\)-groups of quadratic forms \((L^{w}_{2k})\) and formations \((L^{w}_{2k+1})\). Mishchenko [Mis71] and Ranicki [Ran80a] came up with an analog definition for chain complexes, the quadratic \(L\)-group \(L_{n}(R)\) for a ring \(R\) with involution as cobordism groups of chain complexes with quadratic Poincaré structures. They are isomorphic to Wall’s \(L\)-groups but there are some advantages of the chain complex \(L\)-groups:

- They are uniformly defined for even and odd dimensions.
- There exist, as mentioned above, the two other notions of structures on chain complexes: the symmetric Poincaré structures and normal structures. Cobordism of chain complexes with such structures defines the symmetric and normal \(L\)-groups \(L^{n}(R)\) and \(NL^{n}(R)\), which fit into the important long exact sequence

\[
\cdots \rightarrow L_{n}(R) \xrightarrow{1+\iota} L^{n}(R) \xrightarrow{J} NL^{n}(R) \xrightarrow{\partial^{n}} L_{n-1}(R) \rightarrow \cdots
\]

- They can be generalized to the crucial concept of \(L\)-groups of algebraic bordism categories. An algebraic bordism category \(\Lambda\) comes with an additive category \(\mathcal{A}\) with chain duality. Instead of chain complexes over a ring \(R\) we consider chain complexes of objects of \(\mathcal{A}\). The explicit definition of the chain duality on \(\mathcal{A}\) leads to more sophisticated types of (Poincaré) duality on the chain complexes and thereby to useful subcategories of the category of quadratic, symmetric and normal chain complexes.

\(^5\)Often called geometric Poincaré complexes in the literature. We spare the ‘geometric’ and call them Poincaré spaces to distinguish them from (algebraic) Poincaré chain complexes although these spaces usually appear in the following as simplicial complexes.

\(^6\)This is the geometrically motivated view. From the algebraic point of view it seems more convenient to start with the symmetrization map from quadratic to symmetric and to consider normal as the relative term measuring the difference.
Main theorem

These properties enable us to establish the total surgery obstruction. The setting is the following: Let $X$ be a simplicial complex (or at least homotopy equivalent to a simplicial complex) and $\pi = \pi_1(X)$. We consider the algebraic bordism category $\Lambda L X$ with underlying additive category $A = \mathbb{Z}_* X$, the additive category of free $\mathbb{Z}$-modules. There is also a spectrum version $L_\bullet(\Lambda L pt) =: L_\bullet$ and we get the $L$-groups as the generalized homology groups

$$H_n(X; L_\bullet) \cong L_n(\Lambda L X).$$

Forgetting the indexing of a $\mathbb{Z}_* X$-chain complex in an equivariant way gives a chain complex over $\mathbb{Z}_\pi$ with global Poincaré duality. Global Poincaré means that the assembled quadratic structure is a Poincaré duality isomorphism on the assembled chain complex. This induces the assembly map on $L$-groups

$$A: H_n(X; L_\bullet) \to L_n(\mathbb{Z}_\pi),$$

which encodes the passage from manifolds to Poincaré spaces in terms of Poincaré bordism classes of chain complexes. So the difference between the local manifold world and the global Poincaré complex world is captured in the relative homotopy groups of $A$, the structure groups $S_n(X)$, which fit into the algebraic surgery exact sequence

$$\ldots \to H_n(X; L_\bullet) \xrightarrow{\Delta} L_n(\mathbb{Z}_\pi) \xrightarrow{\partial Q} S_n(X) \to H_{n-1}(X; L_\bullet) \to \ldots$$

Andrew Ranicki was able to construct an element $s(X) \in S_n(X)$ which, as we verify in room 1 and 2, encodes both obstructions of the classical surgery theory.

Main theorem

Porter

The Main Theorem relies heavily on the work of the surgery pioneers Browder [Bro72, Bro62], Novikov [Nov64], Sullivan [Sul96] and Wall [Wal99]. In [Ran80a, Ran80b] Ranicki defined a purely algebraic twin of Wall’s surgery obstruction and gave a first account in [Ran79] of how the two-stage obstruction can be unified using this twin brother. But the proof is not independent. Its geometrical implications about manifold homotopy types stem from the classic surgery, summarized below in the cited theorems in room 3 and 4. See [Ran80a] and [Ran80b] or the upcoming book on surgery theory by Crowley, Lück and Macko for more details on the identification of the quadratic signature with Wall’s surgery obstruction. Be aware of the corrections for the quadratic construction in [Ran81, p.30].

The complete theory surrounding the total surgery obstruction is developed from scratch in [Ran92], which is the main source of this thesis and to which we refer usually and constantly. Other important contributions are

- transversality in the topological category by Kirby-Siebenmann [KS77] and Freedman-Quinn [FQ90],
- normal transversality by [Qui72],
- the normal $L$-groups by [Wei85a, Wei85b], and
- the surgery obstruction isomorphism $\pi_n(G/TOP) \xrightarrow{\cong} L_n(\mathbb{Z})$ for $n \geq 1$ by Siebenmann [KS77, Essay V, Theorem C.1].

We consider only the orientable case. For the adjustments that are necessary for the non-orientable case see [Ran92, Appendix A].
**Main theorem [Ran79, Theorem 1]**

Let $X$ be a finite Poincaré space of dimension $n \geq 5$. Then $X$ is homotopy equivalent to a closed $n$-dimensional topological manifold if and only if

$$0 = s(X) \in S_n(X).$$

1 **TSO and bundle reductions**

$I(s(X)) = 0$ if and only if there exists a topological bundle reduction of the Spivak normal fibration $\nu_X : X \to \text{BSG}$.

2 **TSO and quadratic signatures**

If $I(s(X)) = 0$ then we have

$$\partial Q^{-1}_{n\pi} s(X) = \{ -\text{sgn}_n \hat{f} \in L_n(\mathbb{Z}\pi) : \hat{f} : M \to X \text{ degree one normal map, } M \text{ manifold} \}.$$

3 → [Ran80b, Proposition 7.1] **Quadratic signatures and Wall’s surgery obstruction**

There is an isomorphism

$$L_n(\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(X)]) \xrightarrow{\cong} L_n^w(\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(X)])$$

such that for an $n$-dimensional normal map $\hat{f} : M \to X$ ($n \geq 5$) the quadratic signature $\text{sgn}_n \hat{f}$ gets mapped to Wall’s surgery obstruction $\theta(\hat{f})$.

4 → [Wal99] **Wall Surgery Obstruction Theorem**

Let $n \geq 5$. An $n$-dimensional degree one normal map $\hat{f} : M \to X$ has a surgery obstruction $\theta(\hat{f}) \in L_n^w(\mathbb{Z}\pi)$ such that $\theta(\hat{f}) = 0$ if and only if $\hat{f}$ is bordant to a homotopy equivalence.

---

**Proof Main Theorem**

Let $X$ be a finite Poincaré space of dimension $n \geq 5$ and let $\pi$ denote its fundamental group.

If $X$ is homotopy equivalent to a manifold then its Spivak normal fibration $\nu_X : X \to \text{BSG}$ has a bundle reduction and we get $I(s(X)) = 0$ because of 1. By 2 combined with 3 and 4 the set $\partial Q^{-1}_{n\pi} s(X)$ contains a vanishing Wall surgery obstruction and hence $s(X) = 0$.

Conversely, if $s(X) = 0$, 3 then of course its image $I(s(X))$ vanishes and hence by 1 the Spivak normal fibration of $X$ has a topological bundle reduction. Also the preimage $\partial Q^{-1}_{n\pi} s(X)$ contains 0 and hence by 2 and 3 Wall’s surgery obstruction $\theta(\hat{f})$ vanishes, meaning that $X$ is homotopy equivalent to a manifold.

Room service

The maps $I$ and $\partial Q_{n\pi}$ and the element $s(X) \in S_n(X)$ used above are part of the algebraic surgery exact sequence

$$\ldots \to H_n(X; L_\bullet) \overset{A}{\to} L_n(\mathbb{Z}\pi) \overset{\partial Q_{n\pi}}{\to} S_n(X) \overset{I}{\to} H_{n-1}(X; L_\bullet) \overset{A}{\to} \ldots$$

which we use as a black box for now.

At this stage we only give short definitions of the terms taken from the classic surgery theory. The details for all the other groups, maps and elements used above follow in the proceeding levels. For an introduction and more details about the classic theory see for example [Lüc02] and [Ran02] or the original sources like [Wal99] and [Bro72].
Main theorem

Classic surgery theory

**X** an *n*-dimensional Poincaré space, i.e. a finite CW complex together with an orientation homomorphism *w*: \(\pi_1(X) \to \{\pm 1\}\) and a fundamental class \([X]\).

**[X]** fundamental class for an *n*-dimensional Poincaré space \(X\) is a cycle in the cellular \(\mathbb{Z}\pi\)-chain complex \(C_n(\tilde{X})\) which represents an *n*-dimensional homology class in \(H_n(X; \mathbb{Z})\) such that \(\cdot \cap [X]: C^{n-1}(\tilde{X}) \to C_n(\tilde{X})\) is a \(\mathbb{Z}\pi\)-chain homotopy equivalence where \(\tilde{X}\) is the universal covering.

**\(\nu_X: X \to \text{BSG}\)** the Spivak normal fibration of \(X\), i.e. an oriented \((k-1)\)-spherical fibration of an *n*-dimensional Poincaré space \(X\) for which a class \(\alpha \in \pi_{n+k}(\text{Th}(\nu_X))\) \((k > n + 1)\) exists such that \(h(\alpha) \cap u = [X]\). Here \(u \in H^k(\text{Th}(\nu_X))\) is the Thom class and \(h: \pi_*(\cdot) \to H_*(\cdot)\) is the Hurewicz map.

**BSG** the classifying space of stable \(\mathbb{Z}\)-oriented spherical fibrations.

**\(\text{Th}(\xi)/S(\xi)\)** the Thom space of a vector bundle \(\xi\), i.e. the quotient of disk and sphere bundle \(D(\xi)/S(\xi)\). This agrees with the mapping cone of the projection map \(S(\xi) \to X\) which gives rise to a general definition of the Thom space for a spherical fibration \(\nu: E \to X\) as \(\text{Th}(\nu) := C(\nu)\).

**\(\theta(\hat{f})\)** Wall’s surgery obstruction for a degree one normal map \(\hat{f}: M \to X\). It is an element in \(L_w^n(\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(X)])\) and if \(n \geq 5\) it vanishes if and only if \(\hat{f}\) is cobordant to a homotopy equivalence \(\hat{f}': M' \to X\).

**\(L_w^n(R)\)** the Wall surgery groups of quadratic forms for \(n\) even resp. of formations for \(n\) odd where \(R\) is an associative ring with unit and involution.

\[ \hat{f} := (\tilde{f}, f): M \to X \]

an *n*-dimensional degree one normal map, i.e. a commutative square

\[ M \quad \xrightarrow{\nu_M} \quad \xrightarrow{\nu_X} \quad \text{BSTOP} \]

**\(\text{BSTOP}\)** the classifying space of stable \(\mathbb{Z}\)-oriented topological bundles.
Level 1

In the elevator

In each of the two following rooms 1 and 2 an exact sequence of $L$-groups plays a key role. They are connected in a braid of exact sequences.

The first one used in room 2 is the surgery exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_n(X; \mathbf{L}_*) \xrightarrow{A} L_n(\mathbb{Z}\pi) \xrightarrow{\partial_*} S_n(X) \xrightarrow{I} H_{n-1}(X; \mathbf{L}_*) \xrightarrow{A} \cdots$$

It is a reformulation of the following sequence of quadratic $L$-groups

$$\cdots \rightarrow L_n(\mathbb{B}, \mathbf{L}) \rightarrow L_n(\mathbb{B}, \mathbf{G}) \rightarrow L_n(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{L}) \rightarrow L_{n-1}(\mathbb{B}, \mathbf{L}) \rightarrow \cdots$$

that are cobordism groups of quadratic chain complexes which are

- Bounded and Locally Poincaré in $L_n(\mathbb{B}, \mathbf{L})$,
- Bounded and Globally Poincaré in $L_n(\mathbb{B}, \mathbf{G})$,
- Globally contractible and Locally Poincaré in $L_n(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{L})$.

The second exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow L_n(\mathbb{Z}\pi) \rightarrow L^n(\mathbb{Z}\pi) \rightarrow NL^n(\mathbb{Z}\pi) \rightarrow L_{n-1}(\mathbb{Z}\pi) \rightarrow \cdots$$

used in room 1 relates the three different duality structures, symmetric, quadratic and normal to each other. There is a variety of constructions which produce symmetric, quadratic and normal structures out of geometric input. For this level, take the existence of the following objects as a black box. They will be defined in the next level. A complete overview and more detailed explanations can be found in the basement. The signatures listed in the table above give elements $L$-group spectrum signature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>L-group spectrum</th>
<th>signature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>symmetric $L^n(\mathbb{Z}\pi)$</td>
<td>$L^*$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>quadratic $L_n(\mathbb{Z}\pi)$</td>
<td>$L_*$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>normal $NL^n(\mathbb{Z}\pi)$</td>
<td>$NL^*$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

in the corresponding $L$-groups over the fundamental group ring $\mathbb{Z}\pi$ for appropriate input.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>input</th>
<th>output</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$X$ an $n$-dimensional Poincaré space</td>
<td>$\text{sgn}_{\mathbb{Z}\pi}L^*(X) \in L^n(\mathbb{Z}\pi)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tilde{f}: M \to X$ a degree one normal map</td>
<td>$\text{sgn}<em>{\mathbb{Z}\pi}L</em>*(\tilde{f}) \in L_n(\mathbb{Z}\pi)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Y$ an $n$-dimensional normal space</td>
<td>$\text{sgn}_{\mathbb{Z}\pi}NL^*(Y) \in NL^n(\mathbb{Z}\pi)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

where $\pi = \pi_1(X)$ or $\pi_1(Y)$. The quadratic signature $\text{sgn}_{\mathbb{Z}\pi}L_*(\tilde{f})$ can be identified with Wall’s surgery obstruction $\theta(\tilde{f})$. 
1 TSO and bundle reductions

There is a corresponding homotopy fibration sequence of spectra

$$L_\bullet \rightarrow L^* \rightarrow NL^* \rightarrow \Sigma L_\bullet$$

which induces the following reformulation of the long exact sequence in terms of homology groups

$$\ldots \rightarrow H_n(X; L_\bullet) \rightarrow H_n(X; L^*) \rightarrow H_n(X; NL^*) \rightarrow H_{n-1}(X; L_\bullet) \rightarrow \ldots$$

In order to define the total surgery obstruction $s(X)$ we need an important variant of the signatures above that takes into account the local structure. We call them mosaicked signatures. They take values in the homology groups with the corresponding spectra as coefficients. For the geometric input we need a local structure organized in some way:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>input</th>
<th>output</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$M$ a triangulated $n$-dimensional manifold</td>
<td>$\text{sgn}<em>M^{L</em>\bullet}(M) \in H_n(M; L^*)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\hat{f}: M' \rightarrow M$ a degree one normal map between $n$-dimensional triangulated manifolds</td>
<td>$\text{sgn}<em>X^{L</em>\bullet}(\hat{f}) \in H_n(M; L_\bullet)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Y$ a simplicial normal complex</td>
<td>$\text{sgn}_Y^{NL^<em>}(Y) \in H_n(Y; NL^</em>)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The assembly maps which forget the local structure in an equivariant way give back the signatures over the group ring

$$A(\text{sgn}_X^{L^*}(M)) = \text{sgn}_X^{L^*}(M), \quad A(\text{sgn}_X^{L^*}(\hat{f})) = \text{sgn}_X^{L^*}(\hat{f}), \quad A(\text{sgn}_Y^{NL^*}(Y)) = \text{sgn}_Y^{NL^*}(Y).$$

In the special case when $Y$ is a simplicial Poincaré space, i.e. has global Poincaré duality, but is locally only normal, then the mosaicked normal signature gives a refined element called the visible signature

$$\text{sgn}_X^{VL^*}(Y) \in VL^n(Y).$$

The total surgery obstruction $s(X)$ is obtained as the boundary of the visible signature

$$s(X) = \partial_N^n \text{sgn}_X^{VL^*} \in S_n(X).$$

1 TSO and bundle reductions

Porter

In the following room, orientations with respect to $L$-theory spectra play the key role in relating bundle reductions to $L$-theory. The original source for this is [Ran79]. The statement of room 1 with some comments on the proof can also be found in [Ran92, 16.1 (iii)]. $L$-spectra were introduced by [Qui70] but in a more geometric way than used here. Further we use the description of $E^\infty$-spectra introduced in [May77].

### 1 TSO and bundle reductions

$I(s(X)) = 0$ if and only if there exists a topological bundle reduction of the Spivak normal fibration $\nu_X: X \rightarrow \text{BSG}.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>11 Exact sequence of $L$-groups [Ran92, Prop. 2.11]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>There is a long exact sequence of $L$-groups</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$$\ldots \rightarrow L_n(R) \xrightarrow{\text{id}} L^n(R) \xrightarrow{J} NL^n(R) \xrightarrow{\partial N} L_{n-1}(R) \rightarrow \ldots$$
12 Exact sequence of homology $L$-groups [Ran92, Proposition 15.16]

The diagram

$$
\begin{array}{c}
\vdots \\
H_n(X;L^\bullet) \xrightarrow{\partial_n^L} H_{n-1}(X;L^\bullet) \\
\uparrow \\
\downarrow
\end{array}
\xrightarrow{\alpha_n^L} \begin{array}{c}
H_n(X;\text{NL}^\bullet) \\
\downarrow
\end{array}
\xrightarrow{\nu_n} H_{n-1}(X;\text{L}^\bullet)
$$

is commutative and exact in the top row.

13 The visible mosaicoid signature [Ran92, Example 9.13]

For an $n$-dimensional finite simplicial Poincaré complex $X$ there is a visible signature

$$\text{sgn}_{X}^V \in \text{NL}^n(\Lambda_G X) =: \text{VL}^n(X)$$

as a refinement of a normal signature

$$\text{sgn}_{X}^{\text{NL}^\bullet} \in \text{NL}^n(\Lambda_X X).$$

14 Canonical $L$-orientations [Ran79, p. 284-289, Ran92, 16.1(ii)[KMM13, Prop. 13.3 and 13.4]

(i) For a $k$-dimensional $Z$-oriented spherical fibration $\alpha : X \to B\text{S}G(k)$ there is a canonical $\text{NL}^\bullet$-orientation

$$u_{\text{NL}^\bullet}(\alpha) \in H^k(\text{Th}(\alpha); \text{NL}^\bullet).$$

(ii) For a $k$-dimensional $Z$-oriented topological bundle $\beta : X \to \text{BSTOP}(k)$ there is a canonical $\text{L}^\bullet$-orientation

$$u_{\text{L}^\bullet}(\beta) \in H^k(\text{Th}(\beta); L^\bullet).$$

(iii) For a $k$-dimensional $Z$-oriented topological bundle $\beta : X \to \text{BSTOP}(k)$ together with a homotopy $h : J(\beta) \simeq \nu_X$

there is a canonical $\text{NL}/L$-orientation

$$u_{\text{NL}/L^\bullet}(\beta, h) \in H^k(\text{Th}(\nu_X); \text{NL}(1/2)/L^0)^\bullet).$$

They are related via $J(u_{\text{L}^\bullet}(\beta)) = u_{\text{NL}^\bullet}(J(\beta))$ and $u_{\text{NL}/L^\bullet}(\beta, h) = (u_{\text{NL}^\bullet}(h), u_{\text{L}^\bullet}(\beta) - u_{\text{L}^\bullet}(\nu_X)).$

15 (16) Orientations and signatures [Ran92, Proposition 16.1]

(i) Let $X$ be an $n$-dimensional Poincaré space with Spivak normal fibration $\nu_X : X \to B\text{S}G$. Then we have

$$S(u_{\text{NL}^\bullet}(\nu_X)) = \text{sgn}_{\text{NL}^\bullet}(X) \in H_n(X; \text{NL}^\bullet).$$

(ii) Let $\pi$ be a topological bundle reduction of the Spivak normal fibration $\nu_X : X \to \text{BS}G$ of $X$ and $\tilde{f} : M \to X$ its associated degree one normal map. Then we have $S(u_{\text{L}^\bullet}(\nu_X)) = \text{sgn}_{\text{L}^\bullet}(X) \in H_n(X; \text{L}^\bullet).$

(iii) Let $\tilde{f} : M \to M'$ be a degree one normal map of $n$-dimensional simply-connected topological manifolds with $M'$ triangulated, corresponding to a pair $(\beta, h)$ with $\beta : M' \to \text{BSTOP}$ and $h : J(\beta) \simeq \nu_{M'}$. Then we have

$$S(u_{\text{NL}/L^\bullet}(\beta, h)) = \text{sgn}_{\text{NL}/L^\bullet}(\tilde{f}) \in H_n(M'; \text{NL}(1/2)/L^0)^\bullet).$$

16 The homotopy pullback square [Ran79, p. 291][KMM13, Prop. 13.7]

The following diagram is a homotopy pullback square:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{BSTOP} & \xrightarrow{\text{sgn}_{\text{L}^\bullet}} & \text{BL}^\bullet \text{G} \\
\downarrow \downarrow & & \downarrow \downarrow \\
\text{BSG} & \xrightarrow{\text{sgn}_{\text{NL}^\bullet}} & \text{BNL}^\bullet \text{G}
\end{array}
$$

Proof 1

Let $X$ be an $n$-dimensional Poincaré space and denote its Spivak normal fibration by $\nu$. We assume that $X$ is a simplicial complex. Otherwise we would have to use a reference map $r : X \to |K|$ to a
1 TSO and bundle reductions

simplicial complex $K$ and work with mosaicked signatures over $K$. We denote $t(X) \equiv I(s(X))$. We want to relate the vanishing of $t(X)$ to the existence of bundle reductions. The main idea is to translate the statement about the reduction of the spherical fibration $\nu$ into a statement about orientations with respect to $L$-theory spectra. We split this up into the following four equivalent statements:

(i) $0 = t(X) \in H_{n-1}(X; L_\bullet)$.

(ii) There exists a preimage $\text{sgn}^L_X(X)$ of the normal signature $\text{sgn}^{NL}_X(X)$ under the map $J: H_n(X; L^\bullet) \to H_n(X; NL^\bullet)$.

(iii) There exists a preimage $u^L(\nu)$ of the normal canonical orientation $u^{NL}(\nu)$ under the map $J: H^k(Th(\nu); L^\bullet) \to H^k(Th(\nu); NL^\bullet)$ such that $S(u^L(\nu)) = \text{sgn}^L_X(X)$.

(iv) There exists a lift $\nu^\prime: X \to \text{BSTOP}$ of $\nu$.

We now take a first step into the proof of these equivalences.

Proof (i)$\iff$(ii). The long exact sequence of $L$-groups induces a long exact sequence of generalized homology groups which fit into the following commutative diagram.\footnote{In the right column we recover a part of the algebraic surgery exact sequence. In fact this is a section of a braid in which the whole surgery sequence is contained.}

```
\begin{tikzcd}
H_n(X; L^\bullet) \ar[r, shift left=1] \ar[d, shift left=1,Rightarrow] & H_n(X; NL^\bullet) \ar[r] \ar[d, shift left=1,Rightarrow] & H_{n-1}(X; L_\bullet) \ar[r] \ar[d, shift left=1,Rightarrow] & H_{n-2}(X; NL^\bullet) \ar[d, shift left=1,Rightarrow] \\
\text{sgn}^L_X(X) \ar[ur] & \text{sgn}^{NL}_X(X) \ar[u, shift left=1,Rightarrow] \ar[r] & \text{sgn}^{L}_X(X) \ar[r] & \text{sgn}^{NL}_X(X) \ar[u, shift left=1,Rightarrow] \\
VL^n(X) \ar[r, shift left=1] \ar[u, shift left=1,Rightarrow] & S^n(X) \ar[u, shift left=1,Rightarrow] \ar[r] & S^n(X) \ar[r] & S^n(X) \ar[u, shift left=1,Rightarrow] \ar[r] & S^n(X) \ar[u, shift left=1,Rightarrow]
\end{tikzcd}
```

Because $X$ is $p$, i.e. locally normal and globally Poincaré, it has a visible $X$-mosaicked signature $\text{sgn}^{L}_X(X) \in VL^n(X)$ as a refinement of the normal $X$-mosaicked signature $\text{sgn}^{NL}_X(X) \in H_n(X; NL^\bullet)$. The equivalence follows immediately from the definitions of $s(X)$ and $t(X)$ as images of the appropriate maps. \hfill \square

Remark. For one direction of the equivalence (i) $\iff$ (iv) we are aiming for this would be already enough. We could conclude (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) from the following geometric background. Suppose $\nu$ has a bundle reduction. Then it has an associated degree one normal map $\tilde{f}: M \to X$ which can be made transverse to the dual cells of $X$. For each $\sigma$ the preimage $(M(\sigma), \partial M(\sigma))$ of the dual cell $(D(\sigma), \partial D(\sigma))$ is an $(n - |\sigma|)$-dimensional submanifold with boundary and we obtain a mosaicked signature over $X$

$$\text{sgn}^L_X(M) \in L^n(\Lambda_L X).$$

The mapping cylinder of the degree one normal map $\tilde{f}$ is a normal cobordism between $M$ and $X$ and produces an algebraic normal cobordism between $J(\text{sgn}^L_X(M))$ and $\text{sgn}^{NL}_X(X)$. In other words there exists a lift of $\text{sgn}^{NL}_X(X)$ in the exact sequence above.

For the other direction, orientations with respect to $L$-theory spectra come into play and we need statement (iii).
Theorem 1.10: For \( k \) large enough we have an embedding \( X \subset S^{n+k} \) and because \( X \) is Poincaré we can use the well-known S-duality \( \text{Th}(\nu)^* \simeq X_+ \) to obtain the isomorphisms
\[
S : H^k(\text{Th}(\nu); L^*) \cong H_n(X; L^*) \quad \text{and} \quad S : H^k(\text{Th}(\nu); NL^*) \cong H_n(X; NL^*).
\]

Let \( \nu : X \to \text{BSTOP} \) be a topological bundle reduction of \( \nu \). There are canonical orientations \( u^L^* (\nu) \) and \( u^{NL^*} (\nu) \) and they fit into the following commutative diagram.

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\xymatrix{
H^k(\text{Th}(\nu); L^*) \ar[r]^S \ar[d]^{u^L^* (\nu)} & H_n(X; L^*) \ar[d]^{\text{sgn}_X^L (X)} \\
H^k(\text{Th}(\nu); NL^*) \ar[r]^S \ar[d]^{u^{NL^*} (\nu)} & H_n(X; NL^*) \ar[d]^{\text{sgn}_X^{NL^*} (X)} \\
\text{BSTOP} \ar[r]^{\text{sgn}_B^L} & BL^* \text{G} \\
\text{BSG} \ar[u]^{J} \ar[r]^{\text{sgn}_B^{NL^*}} & BNL^* \text{G}. \\
\end{array}
\]

Proof (ii) \( \iff \) (iii). We claim that there is a homotopy pullback square

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\xymatrix{
\text{BSTOP} \ar[r]^{\text{sgn}_B^L} \ar[d]^{J} & BL^* \text{G} \ar[d]^{J} \\
\text{BSG} \ar[r]^{\text{sgn}_B^{NL^*}} & BNL^* \text{G}. \\
}\end{array}
\]

For a ring spectrum \( E \) according to [May77] there is a one-to-one correspondence between the following maps and pairs of maps
\[
(\alpha : X \to \text{BE}_G) \leftrightarrow (\nu : X \to \text{BSG}, u^n(\alpha) : \text{Th}(\nu) \to E_k)
\]
where \( u^n \) is an \( E \)-orientation.

Now for \( E = NL \) we get the identification
\[
(\text{sgn}_B^{NL^*} \circ \nu : X \to BNL^* \text{G}) = (\nu, u^{NL^*} (\nu)).
\]

Using the homotopy pullback square from above as indicated in the diagram below it follows that the existence of a lift \( \nu : X \to \text{BSTOP} \) is equivalent to the existence of an orientation \( u^L^* (\nu) : \text{Th}(\nu) \to L^* \) such that
\[
(\nu, u^{NL^*} (\nu)) = J \circ (\nu, u^L^* (\nu))
\]
i.e. the symmetric orientation is a lift of the normal orientation \( u^{NL^*} (\nu) = J(u^L^* (\nu)) \).
2 TSO and quadratic signatures

Room service 1

\[ \mathbf{L}^\bullet, \mathbf{L}_\bullet, \mathbf{NL}^\bullet \]  short for \( \mathbf{L}^\bullet(0), \mathbf{L}_\bullet(1), \mathbf{NL}^\bullet(1/2) \), connective \( \Omega \)-spectra of Kan \( \Delta \)-sets; for more details see section 1231.

\( \Delta \)-set  a simplicial set without degeneracies.

Kan  is what a \( \Delta \)-set \( X \) is called if every map \( \Lambda^i_n \rightarrow X \) extends to a map \( \Delta^i_n \rightarrow X \); this property is necessary to do homotopy theory on \( \Delta \)-sets.

\( \Lambda^i_n := \Delta^i_n - (\Delta^i_n \cap \partial_i \Delta^i_n) \)  the subcomplex of \( \Delta^i_n \) obtained by removing the interior of \( \Delta^i_n \) and a single face of \( \Delta^i_n \).

\( \text{Th}(\xi) \)  the Thom space of a vector bundle \( \xi \), i.e. the quotient of disk and sphere bundle \( D(\xi)/S(\xi) \). This agrees with the mapping cone of the projection map \( S(\xi) \rightarrow X \) which gives rise to a general definition of the Thom space for a spherical fibration \( \nu : E \rightarrow X \) as \( \text{Th}(\nu) := \mathcal{C}(\nu) \).

\( u^E(\nu) \)  an \( E \)-orientation of a \( Z \)-oriented spherical fibration \( \nu : X \rightarrow \text{BSG}(k) \) is an element \( u^E(\nu) \in H^k(\text{Th}(\nu); E) \) such that \( u^E(\nu) \) restricts to a generator of \( H^k(\text{Th}(\nu_x); E) \) for each fiber \( \nu_x \) of \( \nu \).

\( \text{BSG} \)  the classifying space of stable \( Z \)-oriented spherical fibrations.

\( \text{BSTOP} \)  the classifying space of stable \( Z \)-oriented topological bundles.

\( I : S_n(X) \rightarrow H_{n-1}(X; \mathbf{L}_\bullet) \)  group homomorphism, see room 12.

\[ S : [Y, Z] \xrightarrow{\sim} [S^N, X \wedge Y] \]  the S-duality isomorphism; for an \( N \)-dimensional S-duality map \( \alpha : S^N \rightarrow X \wedge Y \) and an arbitrary space \( Z \) defined by \( S(\gamma) = (\text{id}_Y \wedge \gamma) \circ \alpha \); denotes the induced isomorphism \( S : H^{N-*}(X; E) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_*(X, E) \) as well.

2 TSO and quadratic signatures

Porter

By 3 the quadratic signatures establish the connection between the total surgery obstruction and Wall’s surgery obstruction. We want to show that the preimage of the total surgery obstruction under the boundary map \( \partial^Q_\mathbb{Z} : L_n(\mathbb{Z}_\pi) \rightarrow S_n(X) \) is the subset of \( L_n(\mathbb{Z}_\pi) \) consisting of quadratic signatures of degree one normal maps \( f : M \rightarrow X \).

By the algebraic \( \pi-\pi \)-Theorem 1221 the boundary map \( \partial^Q_\mathbb{Z} \) factors through \( \partial^Q_\mathbb{L} : L_n(\Lambda_G X) \rightarrow S_n(X) \). This enables us to work on both sides with \( L \)-groups of algebraic bordism categories. But for this we need a refined version of the quadratic signature \( \text{sgn}^L_{2\pi} \), the mosaicked quadratic signature \( \text{sgn}_{\Delta} \), which produces a chain complex in \( L_n(\Lambda G X) \) with global Poincaré duality. In fact, we will need even more. In 22 we have to produce something in the source of the assembly map which is the quadratic \( L \)-group \( L_n(\Lambda L X) = H_n(X; \mathbf{L}_\bullet) \) where local Poincaré duality is
required. It turns out that the difference $\text{sgn}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}^{L^*}(\tilde{f}) - \text{sgn}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}^{L^*}(\tilde{f}_0)$ for two degree one normal maps lives in that group. The prerequisite $I(s(X)) = 0$ of this statement ensures that there exists at least one such degree one normal map $\tilde{f}_0$ that we can use as reference map for this construction.

### 2 TSO and quadratic signatures
If $I(s(X)) = 0$ then we have

$$\partial_{2\pi}^Q s(X) = \{ - \text{sgn}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}^{L^*}(\tilde{f}) \in L_n(\mathbb{Z}_\pi) \mid \tilde{f} : M \to X \text{ degree one normal map, } M \text{ manifold} \}.$$

#### 21 The algebraic surgery exact sequence [Ran92, Prop. 14.7]
There is a long exact sequence $\ldots \to H_n(X; L_\bullet) \xrightarrow{\Lambda} L_n(\mathbb{Z}_\pi) \xrightarrow{\partial_{2\pi}^Q} S_n(X) \xrightarrow{\Lambda} H_{n-1}(X; L_\bullet) \xrightarrow{\partial_{2\pi}^Q} \ldots$

#### 22 Coset step
$- \text{sgn}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}^{L^*}(\mathcal{N}(X))$ is a coset of $\text{im}(A)$ in $L_n(\mathbb{Z}_\pi)$ where $A : H_n(X; L_\bullet) \to L_n(\mathbb{Z}_\pi)$ is the assembly map.

#### 23 (221) Subset step
$- \text{sgn}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}^{L^*}(\mathcal{N}(X)) \subseteq \partial_{2\pi}^Q s(X)$ where $\partial_{2\pi}^Q : L_n(\mathbb{Z}_\pi) \to S_n(X)$ is the boundary map from the surgery braid.

**Proof 2**

We denote the restriction of the quadratic $L$-group $L_n(\mathbb{Z}_\pi)$ to signatures coming from degree one normal maps by

$$- \text{sgn}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}^{L^*}(\mathcal{N}(X)) := \{ - \text{sgn}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}^{L^*}(\tilde{f}) \in L_n(\mathbb{Z}_\pi) \mid \tilde{f} : M \to X \text{ degree one normal map, } M \text{ manifold} \}.$$

We have to identify $\partial_{2\pi}^Q s(X)$ with the set of quadratic signatures $- \text{sgn}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}^{L^*}(\mathcal{N}(X))$. The foundation of the proof is that the part

$$H_n(X; L_\bullet) \xrightarrow{\Lambda} L_n(\mathbb{Z}_\pi) \xrightarrow{\partial_{2\pi}^Q} S_n(X)$$

of the surgery exact sequence is exact. Hence $\partial_{2\pi}^Q s(X)$ is a coset of $\text{im}(A)$. The right hand side $- \text{sgn}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}^{L^*}(\mathcal{N}(X))$ is also coset of $\text{im}(A)$ because of 22 and it is a subset of $\partial_{2\pi}^Q s(X)$ by 23 and so both are equal.

**Room service 2**

$S_n(X) := L_{n-1}(\mathbb{Z}_\pi X, G(1), L(1))$ the $n$-dimensional *structure group* of $X$. An element in $S_n(X)$ is represented by an $1$-connective $(n - 1)$-quadratic chain complex in $\mathbb{Z}_\pi X$ which is globally contractible and locally Poincaré.

$\Lambda_G X$ here short for the algebraic bordism category $\Lambda(\mathbb{Z})_G X = (\mathbb{Z}_\pi X, B(1), G(1))$.

$\Lambda_L X$ here short for the algebraic bordism category $\Lambda(\mathbb{Z})_L X = (\mathbb{Z}_\pi X, B(1), L(1))$.

$\Lambda(\mathbb{Z}) = (\Lambda(\mathbb{Z}), B(\mathbb{Z}), C(\mathbb{Z}))$ denotes the algebraic bordism category with
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- \( A(\mathbb{Z}) \): the additive category of finitely generated free left \( \mathbb{Z} \)-modules.
- \( B(\mathbb{Z}) \): the category of bounded chain complexes in \( A(\mathbb{Z}) \).
- \( B(\mathbb{Z}) \): the category of contractible chain complexes in \( A(\mathbb{Z}) \).
- \( Z_\ast X \): short for \( A(\mathbb{Z})_\ast X \), the additive category of \( X \)-based free \( \mathbb{Z} \)-modules with 'non-decreasing' morphisms \( \sum f_{\tau,\sigma} : \sum M_\sigma \to \sum N_\tau \) where \( (f_{\tau,\sigma} : M_\sigma \to N_\tau) \) are \( \mathbb{Z} \)-module morphisms.

- \( G(\mathbb{Z}) \subset G \): the subcategory of chain complexes of \( G \) which are homotopy equivalent to \( q \)-connected chain complexes.
- \( G \): short for \( B(\mathbb{Z})_G X := \{ C \in B(\mathbb{Z}) \mid A(C) \simeq * \} \), the \textit{globally contractible} objects of \( B(\mathbb{Z}) \).
- \( L(\mathbb{Z}) \subset L \): the subcategory of chain complexes of \( L \) which are homotopy equivalent to \( q \)-connected chain complexes.
In the elevator

The diagram on the next page provides an overview of the logical structure of this level. Recall that room 1 realizes the bundle obstruction of the classical theory which means that the Spivak normal fibration of a Poincaré space \( X \) has a topological bundle reduction. If we have such a bundle, then the Pontrjagin-Thom construction provides a degree one normal map from a manifold to \( X \). The existence of such a map is used in the proof of 22 and leads to the second stage of the obstruction theory, statement 2, where a certain preimage of the total surgery obstruction is identified as the set of quadratic signatures of all degree one normal maps from manifolds to \( X \). Finally, the isomorphism from 3, which correlates the quadratic signatures to Wall’s surgery obstruction, proves the main theorem.

The statement 1 is based on the following six statements:

11 introduces the fundamental objects of the whole proof, the symmetric, quadratic and normal \( L \)-groups and shows how they are related via an exact sequence.

12 presents several steps of generalization and adjustments of the concepts introduced in 11 in order to obtain the more sophisticated version of the exact sequence of \( L \)-groups we need.

13 constructs the total surgery obstruction which lives in one of the \( L \)-groups presented in 12.

14 introduces the \( L \)-orientations which have a close connection to bundle theory.

15 realizes the connections between signatures and \( L \)-orientations.

16 realizes the connection between \( L \)-orientations and bundle reductions.

For statement 2 we use the surgery exact sequence of 21 which is part of the braid we already used to obtain the diagram of 12. This exact sequence together with the two statements 22 and 23 identify in the quadratic \( L \)-group \( L_n(\mathbb{Z}\pi) \) the subset of all quadratic signatures coming from degree one normal maps \( \hat{f}: M \to X \) with the preimage of \( s(X) \in \mathbb{S}_n(X) \) under the boundary map \( \partial^Q_{\mathbb{Z}\pi}: L_n(\mathbb{Z}\pi) \to \mathbb{S}_n(X) \).
The total surgery obstruction

$X$ is homotopy equivalent to a manifold if and only if $0 = s(X) \in S_n(X)$ $(n \geq 5)$.

3

There is an isomorphism $\Phi : L^w_n(\mathbb{Z}_\pi) \xrightarrow{\simeq} L_n(\mathbb{Z}_\pi)$ such that $\Phi(\theta(\tilde{f})) = \text{sgn}^{L^w_n}(\tilde{f})$

4

A degree one normal map $\tilde{f}$ is bordant to a homotopy equivalence if and only if $0 = \theta(\tilde{f}) \in L^w_n(\mathbb{Z}_\pi)$.

There exists a degree one normal map $\tilde{f}_0 : M \to X$ if and only if $\text{sgn}^{L^w_n}(\tilde{f}_0)$ is a coset of $\text{im}(A)$ is a subset of $\partial^2_{2n} - 1s(X)$.

1

$\mathcal{T}(s(X)) = 0$ if and only if there exists a bundle reduction $\pi_X : X \to \text{BSTOP}$ of the Spivak normal fibration $\nu_X : X \to \text{BSG}$.

11

$\begin{array}{c}
L^n(R) \xrightarrow{J} NL^n(R) \xrightarrow{\partial N} L_{n-1}(R) \\
\text{sgn}^{NL^*_n}(X) \xrightarrow{\partial^2_{2n}} \text{sgn}^{L^*_n}(X) \xrightarrow{\Phi} \mathcal{T}(s(X))
\end{array}$

12

$H_n(X; L^*_n) \xrightarrow{J} H_n(X; NL^*_n) \xrightarrow{H_{n-1}(X; L^*_n)} H_{n-1}(X; L^*_n)$

13 Construction of $s(X) := \partial^2_{2n} \text{sgn}^{L^*_n}(X) \in S_n(X)$

14 Construction of $uL^*_n$ and $uNL^*_n$.

15

$H_n(X; L^*_n) \xleftarrow{S} H^k(\text{Th}(\nu); L^*_n)$

16

$\begin{array}{c}
\text{BSTOP} \xrightarrow{\tau_X} \text{BL}^*_n \\
\text{BSG} \xrightarrow{\nu_X} \text{BNL}^*_n
\end{array}$

21

$\begin{array}{c}
\xrightarrow{A} L_n(\mathbb{Z}_\pi) \xrightarrow{\partial^2_{2n}} S_n(X) \xrightarrow{I} \\
is exact
\end{array}$
11 Exact sequence of $L$-groups

Porter

The exact sequence as presented in this section is not really used in the proof but it introduces the fundamental objects of the proof in a basic version, i.e. the symmetric, quadratic and normal $L$-groups over a ring, and establishes the crucial relation between them. In the next section we continue with the more sophisticated version of the exact sequence with generalized homology groups with coefficients in $L$-spectra. A more detailed account can be found in the basement.

The quadratic $L$-groups $L_n$ are analogues of the surgery obstruction groups of Wall [Wal99]. They are defined by Ranicki in [Ran80a]. The symmetric $L$-groups $L^n$ are the algebraic Poincaré cobordism groups of Mishchenko [Mis71]. The normal $L$-groups are cobordism groups of normal chain bundles introduced by Ranicki [Ran80a, §9] and Weiss [Wei85a]. An overview of these three different types of $L$-groups can be found in [Ran01]. The constructions that connect these algebraic $L$-groups to geometry were introduced in [Ran80b]. For the quadratic case take into account the corrections in [Ran81, p. 30] and for the normal construction consider also the approach in [Wei85a, Theorem 3.4 and 3.5]. We will give an overview of these constructions in the room service and more details and proofs in the basement.

11 Exact sequence of $L$-groups [Ran92, Prop. 2.11]

There is a long exact sequence of $L$-groups:

$$
\ldots \rightarrow L_n(R) \xrightarrow{1+t} L^n(R) \xrightarrow{J} NL^n(R) \xrightarrow{\partial^N} L_{n-1}(R) \rightarrow \ldots
$$

111 Poincaré symmetric and Poincaré normal [Ran92, Proposition 2.6 (ii)]

There is the following natural one-to-one correspondence of homotopy equivalence classes.

$n$-normal chain complexes $(C, (\phi, \gamma, \chi))$

such that $\phi_0$ is a chain homotopy equivalence

$n$-symmetric Poincaré chain complexes $(C, \varphi)$

$\xleftarrow{1-1}\quad\xrightarrow{1-1}$

112 (121, 1411, 164) Quadratic and (normal, Poincaré symmetric) [Ran92, Proposition 2.8 (ii)]

There is the following natural one-to-one correspondence of cobordism classes.

$n$-dimensional (normal, symmetric) pairs $(f: C \rightarrow D, (\delta\phi, \delta\gamma, \delta\chi), \varphi)$

$(n-1)$-dimensional quadratic chain complexes $(C', \psi')$

$\xleftarrow{1-1}\quad\xrightarrow{1-1}$

Additionally, if $(C, \varphi)$ is Poincaré, then $(C', \psi')$ is Poincaré and vice versa.

Proof 11

Note that for $L^n(R)$ and $L_n(R)$ we consider chain complexes with symmetric and quadratic structures which are Poincaré whereas in $NL^n(R)$ we do not require any part of the normal structures to be a homotopy equivalence. In fact the natural one-to-one correspondence of 111 tells us that a normal structure which is Poincaré is the same as a symmetric Poincaré structure and hence defines a map $J: L^n(R) \rightarrow NL^n(R)$.

Similarly to the long exact sequence of cobordism groups of spaces, we define a relative group $L(J)^n$ as the cobordism group of $n$-dimensional (normal, symmetric Poincaré) pairs, which fits
11 Exact sequence of \( L \)-groups

by definition into a long exact sequence via the maps

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
NL^n(R) & \rightarrow & L^n(J) & \rightarrow \ L^{n-1}(R) \\
(C, (\varphi, \gamma, \chi)) & \rightarrow & (0 \rightarrow C, (\varphi, \gamma, \chi), 0) & \rightarrow \\
(C \rightarrow D, (\delta\varphi, \delta\gamma, \delta\chi), \varphi) & \rightarrow & (C, \varphi).
\end{array}
\]

Cobordism of pairs is defined using triads of structured chain complexes. You find a short definition below and more details in [Ran81, §2].

The second natural one-to-one correspondence 112 → p.61 defines an isomorphism

\[ L^n(J) \cong L_{n-1}(R) \]

which gives us the desired exact sequence.

Remark. As a different approach one can consider instead of \( J \) the symmetrization map

\[ 1 + t: L_n(R) \rightarrow L^n(R), \psi \mapsto (1 + t)(\psi) \]

and identify the relative term with the normal \( L \)-group by the following one-to-one correspondence proved in [Ran92, Prop. 2.8 (i)]:

- homotopy equivalence classes of \( n \)-dimensional (symmetric, quadratic) Poincaré pairs \( \xleftarrow{1-1} \)
- homotopy equivalence classes of \( n \)-dimensional normal complexes \((C, (\varphi, \gamma, \chi))\).

Room service 11

- \( R \) a ring with involution \( -: R \rightarrow R; r \mapsto r \), i.e., it satisfies \( 1 = 1, \bar{r} = r, \bar{r}s = rs \) and \( r + s = s + r \) for \( r, s \in R \).
- \( C \) a chain complex of finitely generated projective left \( R \)-modules.
- \( C^{*-} \) the dual chain complex with \((C^{-*})_k = (C_{-k})^* \) and differential \( d_k^{C^{-*}} := (-)^k(d_k^C)^* \).
- \( C \otimes C \) short for the chain complex of \( \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_2] \)-modules \( C \otimes_R C \) where the \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-action swaps the factors.
- \( C^n \) chain complex of right \( R \)-modules obtained from a chain complex \( C \) of left \( R \)-modules using the involution of \( R \).
- \( C(f) \) the algebraic mapping cone with \( C(f)_k := D_k \oplus C_{k-1} \) and differential \( d_{k}^{C(f)}(x, y) := (d_D^k(x) + f(y), -d_C^k(y)) \) for a chain map \( f: C \rightarrow D \).
- \( W \) the free resolution of the trivial \( \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_2] \)-chain module \( \mathbb{Z}_i \) given by the \( \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_2] \)-chain complex

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_2] \xrightarrow{1+t} \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_2] \xrightarrow{1-t} \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_2] \rightarrow 0
\end{array}
\]
the complete resolution of the trivial \( \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_2] \)-chain module \( \mathbb{Z} \); given by the \( \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_2] \)-chain complex

\[
\cdots \xrightarrow{1+t} \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_2] \xrightarrow{1-t} \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_2] \xrightarrow{1+t} \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_2] \xrightarrow{1-t} \cdots
\]

\( \hat{W}, W, \hat{W} \) denote for a chain complex \( C \) the abelian group chain complexes

\[
W^\% C := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_2]}(W, C \otimes C),
\]

\[
W^\% C := W \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_2]} (C \otimes C),
\]

\[
\hat{W}^\% C := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_2]}(\hat{W}, C \otimes C).
\]

\((C, \varphi)\) an \( n \)-symmetric chain complex consisting of a chain complex \( C \) and an \( n \)-symmetric structure \( \varphi \);

Poincaré means \( \varphi_0 : C^{n-*} \to C \) is a chain equivalence.

\[
\varphi \in W^\% (C)_n
\]
a cycle, called an \( n \)-symmetric structure

\((C, \psi)\) an \( n \)-quadratic chain complex consisting of a chain complex \( C \) and an \( n \)-quadratic structure \( \psi \);

Poincaré means the symmetrization \( (1 + t)(\psi)_0 : C^{n-*} \to C \) is a chain equivalence.

\[
\psi \in W^\% (C)_n
\]
a cycle, called an \( n \)-quadratic structure on \( C \);

can be represented by a set \{ \( \varphi_s : C^{n-s-*} \to C_s \mid s \geq 0 \) \}. 

\((C, (\varphi, \gamma, \chi))\) an \( n \)-normal chain complex consisting of a chain complex \( C \) and an \( n \)-normal structure \( (\varphi, \gamma, \chi) \).

\[
(\varphi, \gamma, \chi)
\]
an \( n \)-normal structure with

\[
\varphi \in W^\%_n \text{ an } n \text{-symmetric structure},
\]

\[
\gamma \in \hat{W}^\% (C^{-*})_0 \text{ a cycle called chain bundle},
\]

\[
\chi \in \hat{W}^\%_{n+1} \text{ a chain satisfying } d\chi = J(\varphi) - \hat{\varphi}^\% S^s \gamma.
\]

\( L^n(R) \) the cobordism group of \( n \)-symmetric Poincaré chain complexes over \( R \).

\( L_n(R) \) the cobordism group of \( n \)-quadratic Poincaré chain complexes over \( R \).

\( NL^n(R) \) the cobordism group of \( n \)-normal chain complexes over \( R \).

\textbf{Cobordism} of \( n \)-dimensional structured chain complexes:

\[
(C, \lambda) \sim (C', \lambda') \iff \text{there is an } (n+1) \text{-dimensional structured (Poincaré) pair } (C \oplus C' \to D, \partial \lambda, \lambda \oplus -\lambda'),
\]

where Poincaré is only required in the symmetric and quadratic case.
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placeholder for a symmetric $\varphi$ resp. quadratic $\psi$ or normal structure $(\varphi, \gamma, \chi)$. We call a pair $(C, \lambda)$ a structured chain complex and a triple $(C \to D, \delta\lambda, \lambda)$ a structured pair.

$(f: C \to D, \delta\varphi, \varphi)$ an $(n + 1)$-symmetric pair with
- $f: C \to D$ a chain map
- $(C, \varphi)$ an $n$-symmetric chain complex
- $\delta\varphi \in W^%_{\varphi}(D)_{n+1}$ such that $d(\delta\varphi) = f^%\varphi(\varphi)$ which is equivalent to $(\delta\varphi, \varphi)$ is a cycle in $\mathcal{C}(f^\varphi)_{n+1}$.
Poincaré means $(\delta\varphi_0, \varphi_0 f^\varphi): D^{n+1-*} \to \mathcal{C}(f)_* \text{ is a chain equivalence.}$

$\delta\varphi \in W^%_{\varphi}(C) \to W^%_{\varphi}(D)$ the chain map induced by a chain map $f: C \to D$; explicitly given by $(f^%\varphi(\varphi))_* := f_\varphi f^\varphi: D^{n+*-*} \to D$.

$(f: C \to D, \delta\psi, \psi)$ an $(n + 1)$-quadratic pair with
- $f: C \to D$ a chain map
- $(C, \psi)$ an $n$-quadratic chain complex
- $\delta\psi \in W^\psi(D)_{n+1}$ such that $d(\delta\psi) = f^\psi(\psi)$.
Poincaré means the symmetrization is Poincaré, i.e. $(1 + t)\delta\varphi_0, (1 + t)\varphi_0 f^\varphi): D^{n+1-*} \to \mathcal{C}(f)_* = (D_*, C_{* -1}) \text{ is a chain equivalence.}$

$\delta\varphi \in W^\psi(C) \to W^\psi(D)$ the induced chain map

$(f: C \to D, (\delta\varphi, \delta\gamma, \delta\chi), (\varphi, \gamma, \chi))$ an $(n + 1)$-normal pair with
- $(C, (\varphi, \gamma, \chi))$ an $n$-normal chain complex
- $(f: C \to D, \delta\varphi, \varphi)$ an $n$-symmetric pair $[n+1]$
- $(f, b): (C, \gamma) \to (D, \delta\gamma)$ a map of chain bundles
- $\delta\chi \in \tilde{W}^\chi(D)_{n+2}$ a chain such that
  $J(\delta\varphi) - \delta\varphi_0 (S^{n+1} \delta\gamma) + \tilde{f}^\chi(\chi - \varphi_0 (S^n b)) = d(\delta\chi) \in \tilde{W}^\chi(D)_{n+1}$.

$\tilde{f}^\chi: \tilde{W}^\chi(C) \to \tilde{W}^\chi(D)$ the induced chain map

$(f, b): (C, \gamma) \to (C', \gamma') \text{ a map of chain bundles where } f: C \to C' \text{ a chain map and } b \in W^\%_{\varphi}(C', \gamma') \text{ a 1-chain such that } \tilde{f}^\chi(\gamma) - \gamma' = d(b)$.

$L(J)^n$ the relative $L$-group of $J: L^n(R) \to NL^n(R)$ is the cobordism group of (normal, symmetric Poincaré) pairs $(f: C \to D, (\delta\varphi, \delta\gamma, \delta\chi), \varphi)$.

$J: L^n(R) \to NL^n(R)$ roughly induced by $j: W^%_{\varphi}C \to \tilde{W}^\chi C$; see (111) for more details of how a normal structure $(\varphi, \gamma, \chi)$ is obtained from a symmetric Poincaré chain complex $(C, \varphi)$. 
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$(f : C \to D, (\delta \varphi, \delta \gamma, \delta \chi), \varphi)$ \ an \ (n + 1)$-dimensional \ (normal, \ symmetric \ Poincaré) \ pair

- $(D, \delta \varphi, \delta \gamma, \delta \chi)$ \ an \ (n + 1)-normal \ chain \ complex
- $(f : C \to D, (\delta \varphi, \varphi))$ \ an \ n-symmetric \ pair \ \[(n+1)\]
- $\varphi_0$ \ a \ chain \ equivalence.

**Cobordism** \ of \ n-dimensional \ structured \ pairs:

$\left( C \xrightarrow{f} D, \delta \lambda, \lambda \right) \sim \left( C' \xrightarrow{f'} D', \delta \lambda', \lambda' \right) \iff \text{there \ is \ an \ (n + 1)-dimensional \ structured \ (Poincaré) \ triad}$

$\begin{align*}
\left( C \oplus C', \lambda - \lambda' \right) & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} f & 0 \\ 0 & f' \end{pmatrix}} \left( D \oplus D', \delta \lambda - \delta \lambda' \right) \\
\left( \widetilde{C}, \delta \lambda \right) & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \delta \lambda_0 \\ \delta \lambda' \end{pmatrix}} \left( E, \delta^2 \lambda \right)
\end{align*}$

where \ Poincaré \ is \ only \ required \ in \ the \ symmetric \ and \ quadratic \ case.

$\Gamma = \left( f, f', g, g'; h, (\varphi, \varphi', \delta \varphi, \delta \varphi'; \delta^2 \varphi) \right)$ \ an \ (n + 2)$-dimensional \ symmetric \ triad, \ i.e. \ a 
commutative \ square \ of \ chain \ complexes \ and \ chain \ maps

$\begin{align*}
C \xrightarrow{f} D \\
D' & \xrightarrow{g'} E
\end{align*}$

with

- $h : gf \simeq g' f' : C \to E_{n+1}$ \ a \ chain \ homotopy
- $(f : C \to D, \delta \varphi, \varphi)$ \ and \ $(f' : C \to D', \delta \varphi', \varphi)$ \ being \ n-symmetric \ pairs \ \[(n+1)\]
- $\delta^2 \varphi \in W^\%(E)_{n+2}$ \ a \ chain \ such \ that

$d(\delta^2 \varphi)_s = g' \delta' \delta \varphi_s - g' \delta \delta \varphi_s + g' f' \varphi_s h^* + h \varphi_s f^* g^* + h \gamma(\varphi)_s$. Poincaré \ means \ \[(f : C \to D, \delta \varphi, \varphi) \text{ \ and } (f' : C \to D', \delta \varphi', \varphi) \text{ \ are \ Poincaré and}
\left( \begin{array}{c}
\delta \varphi \delta \varphi' \\
\delta \varphi' \delta \varphi
\end{array} \right)
\begin{pmatrix}
f' \varphi h^* + \delta \varphi' \varphi s \\
\delta \varphi' \varphi f^* g^*
\end{pmatrix}
\right) : E^{n+2-*} \to \mathcal{C}(\Gamma) := \mathcal{C} \left( \left( \begin{array}{c}
g' \\
0
\end{array} \right) : \mathcal{C}(f') \to \mathcal{C}(g) \right)$ \ is \ a \ chain \ equivalence.

The quadratic case \ is \ analog \ but \ uses \ symmetrization \ for \ the \ definition \ of \ Poincaré.

$1 + t : W^\% C \to W^\% C$ \ the \ symmetric \ map \ defined \ by

$(1 + t)(\psi)_s = \begin{cases} (1 + t)\psi_0 & \text{if } s = 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

induces \ a \ map \ of \ $L$-groups \ \[(1 + t) \colon L_n(R) \to L_n(R).\]
12 Exact sequence of homology $L$-groups

Porter

In 11 we have introduced the $L$-groups $L^n(R)$, $L_n(R)$, $NL^n(R)$ for chain complexes of modules over a ring $R$ with (Poincaré) duality structures and proved that they fit in an exact sequence. Now we need a more systematic way to distinguish such duality structures with a different type of Poincaré duality, especially local and global Poincaré duality. For this purpose we generalize the concept of $L$-groups from chain complexes of $R$-modules to chain complexes of objects of an additive category. To achieve this we first need a notion of a chain duality for additive categories in order to define $\lambda$-structures on the chain complexes. For such an additive category $\mathcal{A}$ with chain duality we can then define an algebraic bordism category $\Lambda$ that establishes a certain flavour of Poincaré duality by fixing a subcategory $\mathbb{P}$ of the category of chain complexes in $\mathcal{A}$. Then a structured chain complex $(C, \lambda)$ in $\Lambda$ is called Poincaré if the algebraic mapping cone of $\lambda_0$ is in $\mathbb{P}$.

Take for example the algebraic bordism category $\Lambda(\mathbb{Z})$ with free $\mathbb{Z}$-modules as underlying additive category and choose $\mathbb{P}$ to be the category of contractible chain complexes. Then a Poincaré space $X$ with its Poincaré duality chain equivalence $\cdot \cap [X]$ provides a symmetric Poincaré chain complex in $\Lambda(\mathbb{Z})$.

As in 11 or more precisely 112, we identify certain relative $L$-groups as absolute $L$-groups via algebraic surgery. With a special choice of algebraic bordism categories that encode local and global Poincaré duality we obtain a braid of exact sequences of $L$-groups. Some of these $L$-groups have a homological description and eventually lead to the desired diagram.

A lot of new terms are used in this section: $L$-groups of algebraic bordism categories and $L$-spectra and connective versions of them, $X$-based chain complexes for a simplicial complex which we will call mosaicked chain complexes and sophisticated versions of chain duality we can then define an algebraic bordism category $\Lambda$ that establishes a certain flavour of Poincaré duality by fixing a subcategory $\mathbb{P}$ of the category of chain complexes in $\mathcal{A}$. Then a structured chain complex $(C, \lambda)$ in $\Lambda$ is called Poincaré if the algebraic mapping cone of $\lambda_0$ is in $\mathbb{P}$.

In 112 we have introduced the $L$-groups $L^n(R)$, $L_n(R)$, $NL^n(R)$ for chain complexes of modules over a ring $R$ with (Poincaré) duality structures and proved that they fit in an exact sequence.

Now we need a more systematic way to distinguish such duality structures with a different type of Poincaré duality, especially local and global Poincaré duality. For this purpose we generalize the concept of $L$-groups from chain complexes of $R$-modules to chain complexes of objects of an additive category. To achieve this we first need a notion of a chain duality for additive categories in order to define $\lambda$-structures on the chain complexes. For such an additive category $\mathcal{A}$ with chain duality we can then define an algebraic bordism category $\Lambda$ that establishes a certain flavour of Poincaré duality by fixing a subcategory $\mathbb{P}$ of the category of chain complexes in $\mathcal{A}$. Then a structured chain complex $(C, \lambda)$ in $\Lambda$ is called Poincaré if the algebraic mapping cone of $\lambda_0$ is in $\mathbb{P}$.

Take for example the algebraic bordism category $\Lambda(\mathbb{Z})$ with free $\mathbb{Z}$-modules as underlying additive category and choose $\mathbb{P}$ to be the category of contractible chain complexes. Then a Poincaré space $X$ with its Poincaré duality chain equivalence $\cdot \cap [X]$ provides a symmetric Poincaré chain complex in $\Lambda(\mathbb{Z})$.

As in 11 or more precisely 112, we identify certain relative $L$-groups as absolute $L$-groups via algebraic surgery. With a special choice of algebraic bordism categories that encode local and global Poincaré duality we obtain a braid of exact sequences of $L$-groups. Some of these $L$-groups have a homological description and eventually lead to the desired diagram.

A lot of new terms are used in this section: $L$-groups of algebraic bordism categories and $L$-spectra and connective versions of them, $X$-based chain complexes for a simplicial complex which we will call mosaicked chain complexes and sophisticated versions of chain duality we can then define an algebraic bordism category $\Lambda$ that establishes a certain flavour of Poincaré duality by fixing a subcategory $\mathbb{P}$ of the category of chain complexes in $\mathcal{A}$. Then a structured chain complex $(C, \lambda)$ in $\Lambda$ is called Poincaré if the algebraic mapping cone of $\lambda_0$ is in $\mathbb{P}$.

In 112 we have introduced the $L$-groups $L^n(R)$, $L_n(R)$, $NL^n(R)$ for chain complexes of modules over a ring $R$ with (Poincaré) duality structures and proved that they fit in an exact sequence. Now we need a more systematic way to distinguish such duality structures with a different type of Poincaré duality, especially local and global Poincaré duality. For this purpose we generalize the concept of $L$-groups from chain complexes of $R$-modules to chain complexes of objects of an additive category. To achieve this we first need a notion of a chain duality for additive categories in order to define $\lambda$-structures on the chain complexes. For such an additive category $\mathcal{A}$ with chain duality we can then define an algebraic bordism category $\Lambda$ that establishes a certain flavour of Poincaré duality by fixing a subcategory $\mathbb{P}$ of the category of chain complexes in $\mathcal{A}$. Then a structured chain complex $(C, \lambda)$ in $\Lambda$ is called Poincaré if the algebraic mapping cone of $\lambda_0$ is in $\mathbb{P}$.

Take for example the algebraic bordism category $\Lambda(\mathbb{Z})$ with free $\mathbb{Z}$-modules as underlying additive category and choose $\mathbb{P}$ to be the category of contractible chain complexes. Then a Poincaré space $X$ with its Poincaré duality chain equivalence $\cdot \cap [X]$ provides a symmetric Poincaré chain complex in $\Lambda(\mathbb{Z})$.

As in 11 or more precisely 112, we identify certain relative $L$-groups as absolute $L$-groups via algebraic surgery. With a special choice of algebraic bordism categories that encode local and global Poincaré duality we obtain a braid of exact sequences of $L$-groups. Some of these $L$-groups have a homological description and eventually lead to the desired diagram.

A lot of new terms are used in this section: $L$-groups of algebraic bordism categories and $L$-spectra and connective versions of them, $X$-based chain complexes for a simplicial complex which we will call mosaicked chain complexes and sophisticated versions of chain duality we can then define an algebraic bordism category $\Lambda$ that establishes a certain flavour of Poincaré duality by fixing a subcategory $\mathbb{P}$ of the category of chain complexes in $\mathcal{A}$. Then a structured chain complex $(C, \lambda)$ in $\Lambda$ is called Poincaré if the algebraic mapping cone of $\lambda_0$ is in $\mathbb{P}$.

Now we need a more systematic way to distinguish such duality structures with a different type of Poincaré duality, especially local and global Poincaré duality. For this purpose we generalize the concept of $L$-groups from chain complexes of $R$-modules to chain complexes of objects of an additive category. To achieve this we first need a notion of a chain duality for additive categories in order to define $\lambda$-structures on the chain complexes. For such an additive category $\mathcal{A}$ with chain duality we can then define an algebraic bordism category $\Lambda$ that establishes a certain flavour of Poincaré duality by fixing a subcategory $\mathbb{P}$ of the category of chain complexes in $\mathcal{A}$. Then a structured chain complex $(C, \lambda)$ in $\Lambda$ is called Poincaré if the algebraic mapping cone of $\lambda_0$ is in $\mathbb{P}$.

Take for example the algebraic bordism category $\Lambda(\mathbb{Z})$ with free $\mathbb{Z}$-modules as underlying additive category and choose $\mathbb{P}$ to be the category of contractible chain complexes. Then a Poincaré space $X$ with its Poincaré duality chain equivalence $\cdot \cap [X]$ provides a symmetric Poincaré chain complex in $\Lambda(\mathbb{Z})$.

As in 11 or more precisely 112, we identify certain relative $L$-groups as absolute $L$-groups via algebraic surgery. With a special choice of algebraic bordism categories that encode local and global Poincaré duality we obtain a braid of exact sequences of $L$-groups. Some of these $L$-groups have a homological description and eventually lead to the desired diagram.

A lot of new terms are used in this section: $L$-groups of algebraic bordism categories and $L$-spectra and connective versions of them, $X$-based chain complexes for a simplicial complex which we will call mosaicked chain complexes and sophisticated versions of chain duality we can then define an algebraic bordism category $\Lambda$ that establishes a certain flavour of Poincaré duality by fixing a subcategory $\mathbb{P}$ of the category of chain complexes in $\mathcal{A}$. Then a structured chain complex $(C, \lambda)$ in $\Lambda$ is called Poincaré if the algebraic mapping cone of $\lambda_0$ is in $\mathbb{P}$.

Now we need a more systematic way to distinguish such duality structures with a different type of Poincaré duality, especially local and global Poincaré duality. For this purpose we generalize the concept of $L$-groups from chain complexes of $R$-modules to chain complexes of objects of an additive category. To achieve this we first need a notion of a chain duality for additive categories in order to define $\lambda$-structures on the chain complexes. For such an additive category $\mathcal{A}$ with chain duality we can then define an algebraic bordism category $\Lambda$ that establishes a certain flavour of Poincaré duality by fixing a subcategory $\mathbb{P}$ of the category of chain complexes in $\mathcal{A}$. Then a structured chain complex $(C, \lambda)$ in $\Lambda$ is called Poincaré if the algebraic mapping cone of $\lambda_0$ is in $\mathbb{P}$.
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122 Quadratic assembly isomorphism [Ran92, Proposition 15.11]
Let $X$ be a simplicial complex and $\Lambda = \Lambda(\mathbb{Z})$. Then for $n \geq 5$ we have
\[ L_n(\Lambda(1)_G X) \cong L_n(\mathbb{Z} \pi). \]

123 (13) $L$-spectra and homology [LM09, Remark 16.2][Ran92, Proposition 15.9]
Let $X$ be a simplicial complex and $\Lambda = \Lambda(\mathbb{Z})$. Then we have the following equivalences
\[ L_n(\Lambda_1 L X) = H_n(X; L_*), \]
\[ NL^n(\Lambda_0 L X) = H_n(X; L_*), \]
\[ NL^n(\Lambda_{1/2} N X) = H_n(X; NL_*). \]

[124 → [Ran92, Prop. 5.1]] Local chain duality
The contravariant functor $T_*: \Lambda_* X \to \mathbb{B}(\Lambda_* X)$, $T_*(\sum_{\sigma \in X} M_{\sigma})_*(\tau) = (T(\bigoplus_{\sigma \leq \tau} M_{\sigma})_{k-|\tau|}$ defines a chain duality on $\Lambda_* X$.

Proof 12
We could think of the top row as induced by the fibration sequence of spectra 1411 but the whole diagram is part of a braid of $L$-groups of certain algebraic bordism categories. This braid is constructed using long exact sequences of triples:

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an additive category with chain duality and let $Q \subset P \subset \mathbb{B}$ be subcategories of the category $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A})$ of bounded chain complexes in $\mathcal{A}$. They define algebraic bordism categories $(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{B}, P)$ and $(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{B}, Q)$. In the remainder we will usually omit the $\mathcal{A}$ in the notation of an algebraic bordism category. So $L(\mathbb{B}, P)$ will denote the $L$-group of structured chain complexes in $\mathcal{A}$ that are objects in $\mathbb{B}$ and are $P$-Poincaré.

By 121, we have for an inclusion functor $(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{B}, Q) \to (\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{B}, P)$ the following long exact sequences for symmetric, quadratic and normal $L$-groups.

\[
\ldots \to L^n(\mathbb{B}, Q) \to L^n(\mathbb{B}, P) \to L_{n-1}(P, Q) \to L_{n-1}(\mathbb{B}, Q) \to \ldots,
\]

\[
\ldots \to L_n(\mathbb{B}, Q) \to L_n(\mathbb{B}, P) \to L_{n-1}(P, Q) \to L_{n-1}(\mathbb{B}, Q) \to \ldots,
\]

\[
\ldots \to NL^n(\mathbb{B}, Q) \to NL^n(\mathbb{B}, P) \to L_{n-1}(P, Q) \to NL_{n-1}(\mathbb{B}, Q) \to \ldots.
\]

The quadratic term in the sequence of normal $L$-groups allows us to create a commutative braid as shown below using the quadratic exact sequence for the inclusion functor

(i) $(\mathbb{B}, Q) \to (\mathbb{B}, P)$

and the normal exact sequence for the inclusions

(ii) $(\mathbb{B}, Q) \to (\mathbb{B}, P)$ (iii) $(\mathbb{B}, P) \to (\mathbb{B}, \mathbb{B})$ (iv) $(\mathbb{B}, Q) \to (\mathbb{B}, \mathbb{B})$. 
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To plait our algebraic surgery braid we take the following ingredients: In the remainder, the underlying additive category is the additive category of $X$-based free $\mathbb{Z}$-modules $A = \mathbb{Z}^\ast X$.

with the chain duality $T_\ast$ from 124. You find the motivation for this definition in the elevator to the basement. This chain duality on $\mathbb{Z}^\ast X$ allows us to talk about structured $\mathbb{Z}^\ast X$-chain complexes $(C, \lambda)$ where the Poincaré duality map is given by $\lambda_0: \Sigma^n TC \to C$.

We use the following subcategories of the category of chain complexes in $\mathbb{Z}^\ast X$.

$B$ for $B(\mathbb{Z})_L X = B(\mathbb{Z}, X)$, the $X$-based bounded chain complexes of free $\mathbb{Z}$-modules.

$G$ for $B(\mathbb{Z})_G X := \{C \in B \mid A(C) \simeq \ast\}$, the globally contractible chain complexes of $B$.

$L$ for $B(\mathbb{Z})_L X := \{C \in B \mid C(\sigma) \simeq \ast, \sigma \in X\}$, the locally contractible chain complexes of $B$.

As a further refinement, we have to take connective versions of these categories. Then, with the inclusions

$\begin{align*}
(i) & \quad (B(1), L(1)) \to (B(1), G(1)) \\
(ii) & \quad (B(0), L(0)) \to (B(0), G(1)) \\
(iii) & \quad (B(0), G(1)) \to (B(0), B(1)) \\
(iv) & \quad (B(0), L(0)) \to (B(0), B(1))
\end{align*}$

and the obvious identification $L(0) = L(1)$, we get the braid
Let $\Lambda = \Lambda(\mathbb{Z})$ be the algebraic bordism category of free $\mathbb{Z}$-modules. By 122 and 123 the $L$-groups of these algebraic bordism categories can be identified as follows. Note that an algebraic bordism category with local or no Poincaré duality (denoted by an $L$ resp. $N$ subscript) is necessary to obtain the corresponding $L$-groups as a generalized homology group.

\[
L_n(\mathbb{B}(1), \mathbb{G}(1)) := L_n(\Lambda \langle 1 \rangle G X) = L_n(\mathbb{Z}\pi) \quad \text{122→p.65}
\]

\[
L_n(\mathbb{B}(1), \mathbb{L}(1)) := L_n(\Lambda \langle 1 \rangle L X) = H_n(X; \mathbb{L}^*), \quad \text{123→p.65}
\]

\[
NL_n(\mathbb{B}(0), \mathbb{L}(0)) := NL_n(\Lambda \langle 0 \rangle L X) = H_n(X; \mathbb{L}^*),
\]

\[
NL_n(\mathbb{B}(0), \mathbb{B}(1)) := NL_n(\Lambda \langle 1/2 \rangle N X) = H_n(X; NL^*).
\]

For the two remaining $L$-groups we make the following definitions.

\[
NL_n(\mathbb{B}(0), \mathbb{G}(1)) := NL_n(\Lambda \langle 1/2 \rangle G X) = VL_n(X)
\]

\[
L_{n-1}(\mathbb{G}(1), \mathbb{L}(1)) := S_n(X)
\]

We end up with the braid

\[
\begin{array}{c}
H_n(X; \mathbb{L}^*) \quad H_n(X; NL^*) \quad L_{n-1}(\mathbb{Z}\pi) \\
V L_n(X) \quad S_n(X) \quad H_{n-1}(X; \mathbb{L}^*) \quad H_{n-1}(X; L^*)
\end{array}
\]

where the center square is exactly the commutative diagram we were looking for.

Room service 12

Algebraic bordism categories and $L$-groups

\[
\begin{align*}
L^n(\Lambda) & \quad \text{the cobordism group of $n$-symmetric chain complexes $(C, \varphi)$ in $\Lambda$.} \\
L_n(\Lambda) & \quad \text{the cobordism group of $n$-quadratic chain complexes $(C, \psi)$ in $\Lambda$.} \\
NL^n(\Lambda) & \quad \text{the cobordism group of $n$-normal chain complexes $(C, (\varphi, \gamma, \chi))$ in $\Lambda$.}
\end{align*}
\]
an algebraic bordism category, usually denoted by \( \Lambda \) or \((A, C, P)\), consists of full additive subcategories \( P \subseteq C \subseteq B(A) \) where \( P \) is closed under weak equivalences and mapping cones, i.e.
- \( \mathcal{C}(f: C \to D) \in P \) for any chain map \( f \) in \( P \),
- \( \mathcal{C}(id: C \to C) \in P \),
- \( \mathcal{C}(e(C): T^2(C) \iso C) \in P \).

\( \Lambda \) additive category

\[ B(A) \] the category of bounded chain complexes in \( A \).

\([T, e]\) chain duality on \( A \) where \( T: A^{op} \to B(A) \) is a functor and \( e: T^2 \to 1 \) a natural transformation with \( e_A: T^2 A \iso A \) and \( e_{T(A)} \circ T(e_A) = 1 \). for \( A \in A \).

\( (C, \lambda) \) in \( \Lambda \) an \( n \)-dimensional structured chain complex in \( \Lambda = (A, C, P) \), i.e. a chain complex \( C \in C \) with an \( n \)-dimensional \( P \)-Poincaré structure \( \lambda \).

\( \lambda \) placeholder for a symmetric \( \varphi \) resp. a quadratic \( \psi \) or a normal structure \((\varphi, \gamma, \chi)\).

\( \mathbb{P} \)-Poincaré is what a structured complex \((C, \lambda)\) is called if \( \partial C := \Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{C}(\lambda_0) \in P \).

\( \lambda_0 \) stands for \( \varphi_0 \) in the symmetric and normal case and for \((1 + t)\psi_0 \) in the quadratic case.

\text{Cobordism} of \( n \)-dimensional structured chain complexes in \( \Lambda \):

\[ (C, \lambda) \sim (C', \lambda') \iff \text{there is an} (n + 1)\text{-dimensional structured pair} \]
\[ (C \oplus C' \to D, \delta \lambda, \lambda \oplus -\lambda') \text{ in} \Lambda. \]

\[ f: (C \to D, \delta \lambda, \lambda) \text{ in} \Lambda \] a structured pair with \( C, D \in B, \lambda \) is \( \mathbb{P} \)-Poincaré and \( \mathcal{C}(\delta \lambda_0, \lambda_0 f^*) \in P \).

Mosaicked algebraic bordism categories

\[ \Lambda(Z) = (A(Z), B(Z), C(Z)) \] denotes the algebraic bordism category with

\[ A(Z) \] the additive category of finitely generated free left \( Z \)-modules.

\[ B(Z) \] the category of bounded chain complexes in \( A(Z) \).

\[ B(Z) \] the category of contractible chain complexes in \( A(Z) \).

\[ \Lambda(q) \] for \( \Lambda = (A, C, P) \) and \( q \in \mathbb{Z} \) the \( q \)-connective algebraic bordism category \((A, C(q), P(q))\)
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| $C(q)$ | the subcategory of chain complexes of $C$ that are homotopy equivalent to $q$-connected chain complexes. |
| $\Lambda(1/2)$ | denotes for $\Lambda = (A, C, P)$ the algebraic bordism category $(A, C(0), P(1))$. |
| $\Lambda_L X$ | for $\Lambda = (A, C, P)$ denotes $(A, X, C_L X, \mathbb{P}_L X, (T_*, e_*))$, the $X$-mosaicked algebraic bordism category of $\Lambda = (A, C, P)$ with local Poincaré duality. |
| $\Lambda_G X$ | for $\Lambda = (A, C, P)$ denotes $(A, X, C_L X, P_G X, (T_*, e_*))$, the $X$-mosaicked algebraic bordism category of $\Lambda = (A, C, P)$ with global Poincaré duality. |
| $\Lambda_N X$ | for $\Lambda = (A, C, P)$ denotes $(A, X, C_L X, C_L X, (T_*, e_*))$, the $X$-mosaicked algebraic bordism category of $\Lambda = (A, C, P)$ with no Poincaré duality. |
| $A_+ X$ | additive category of $X$-based objects in $A$, i.e. |
| $C_L X := \{C \text{ chain complex in } A_+ X \mid C(\sigma) \in C \text{ for all } \sigma \in X\}$ for a category $C$ of chain complexes in $A$. |
| $C_G X := \{C \text{ chain complex in } A_+ X \mid A(C) \in C\}$ for a category $C$ of chain complexes in $A$. |
| $A : A_+ X \to A(\mathbb{Z} \pi)$ the assembly map defined by $\sum_{\sigma \in X} M_\sigma \mapsto \bigoplus_{\bar{\sigma} \in \bar{X}} M_{p(\bar{\sigma})}$ where $p : X \to \bar{X}$ is the universal covering. |
| $T_*$ defined for a chain duality $T : A \to \mathbb{B}(A)$ as the mosaicked chain duality $A_+ X \to \mathbb{B}(A_+ X)$ with $(T_*(\sum_{\sigma \in X} M_\sigma))_{r}(\tau) = (T\bigoplus_{\bar{\tau} \leq \bar{\tau}} M_{\bar{\tau}}))_{r-|\tau|}$. |
| $Z_+ X$ short for $A(\mathbb{Z})_+ X$, the additive category of $X$-based free $\mathbb{Z}$-modules with 'non-decreasing' morphisms $\sum f_{r, \sigma} : \sum_{\sigma \in X} M_\sigma \to \sum_{\tau \in X} N_\tau$ where $(f_{r, \sigma} : M_\sigma \to N_\tau)$ are $\mathbb{Z}$-module morphism. |
| $\mathbb{B}$ short for $\mathbb{B}(\mathbb{Z})_+ X = \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{Z}, X)$, the $X$-based bounded chain complexes of free $\mathbb{Z}$-modules. |
| $\mathbb{L}$ short for $\mathbb{B}(\mathbb{Z})_+ X := \{C \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{Z}) \mid C(\sigma) \simeq * \text{ for all } \sigma \in X\}$, the locally contractible chain complexes of $\mathbb{B}$. |
| $\mathbb{G}$ short for $\mathbb{B}(\mathbb{Z})G X := \{C \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{Z}) \mid A(C) \simeq *\}$, the globally contractible objects of $\mathbb{B}(\mathbb{Z})$. |
$L$-spectra

$\Omega$-spectra of pointed Kan $\Delta$-sets defined for an algebraic bordism category $\Lambda$ by

- $L^\bullet(\Lambda) = \{ L^n(\Lambda) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}, L^n(\Lambda) = \{ n\text{-dim. } (C, \varphi) \in \Lambda^k \Delta^k \} \}$,

- $L_\bullet(\Lambda) = \{ L_n(\Lambda) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}, L_n(\Lambda) = \{ n\text{-dim. } (C, \psi) \in \Lambda^k \Delta^k \} \}$,

- $NL^\bullet(\Lambda) = \{ NL^n(\Lambda) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}, NL^n(\Lambda) = \{ n\text{-dim. } (C, (\varphi, \gamma, \chi)) \in \Lambda^k \Delta^{k+n} \} \}$;

face maps are induced by face inclusions $\partial_i : \Delta^{k-1} \to \Delta^k$, base point is the 0-chain complex.

$\Delta$-set a simplicial set without degeneracies, i.e. a functor $\Delta \to \text{Sets}$, where $\Delta$ is the category of finite sets $\{0, \ldots, n\}$, $n \geq 0$ and order-preserving injections and $\text{Sets}$ the category of sets and functions.

Kan is what a $\Delta$-set $X$ is called if every map $\Lambda^k_i \to X$ extends to a map $\Delta^k \to X$; this property is necessary to do homotopy theory on $\Delta$-sets.

$\Lambda^k_i := \Delta^k - ((\Delta^k) \cup \partial_i \Delta^k)$ the subcomplex of $\Delta^k$ obtained by removing the interior of $\Delta^k$ and a single face of $\Delta^k$.

$K^{(k)}$ the set of $k$-simplices of a simplicial complex $K$.

$\Delta^k$ the standard $k$-simplex with ordered simplices $0 < 1 < \ldots < n$.

$\Lambda^L X$ for $\Lambda = (A, C, P)$ denotes $(A^* X, \mathbb{C}^L X, \mathbb{C}^L X, (T, e^*))$, the $X$-mosaicked algebraic bordism category of $\Lambda = (A, C, P)$ with local Poincaré duality.

$\mathbb{Z}^* X$ short for $\Lambda(\mathbb{Z})^* X$, the additive category of $X$-based free $\mathbb{Z}$-modules with ‘non-increasing’ morphisms $\sum f_{\tau, \sigma} : \sum M_{\sigma} \to \sum N_{\tau}$ where $(f_{\tau, \sigma} : M_{\sigma} \to N_{\tau})$ are $\mathbb{Z}$-module morphism.

$A^* X$ additive category of $X$-based objects in $A$, i.e.

\[
\text{Obj}_{A^* X} = \left\{ \sum_{\sigma \in X} M_{\sigma} \mid M_{\sigma} \in A, M_\sigma = 0 \text{ except for finitely many } \sigma \right\},
\]

\[
\text{Mor}_{A^* X} \left( \sum_{\sigma \in X} M_{\sigma}, \sum_{\tau \in X} N_{\tau} \right) = \left\{ \sum_{\sigma, \tau \in X} f_{\tau, \sigma} \mid (f_{\tau, \sigma} : M_{\sigma} \to N_{\tau}) \in \text{Mor}_A(M_{\sigma}, N_{\tau}) \right\},
\]

where $X$ is a simplicial complex.

$\mathbb{C}^L X := \{ C \text{ chain complex in } A^* X \mid C(\sigma) \in \mathbb{C} \text{ for all } \sigma \in X \}$ for a category $\mathbb{C}$ of chain complexes in $A$. 
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The visible mosaicked signature

Porter

In this section we gather the necessary results to construct the visible signature that produces the total surgery obstruction.

The visible mosaicked signature \( \text{sgn}^{VL}_X(X) \) is a refined version of the normal mosaicked signature \( \text{sgn}^{NL}_X(X) \) for the case that \( X \) is a Poincaré space rather than just a normal space. So we get something which is globally Poincaré, something in \( NL^n(\Lambda_G X) = VL^n(X) \) instead of \( NL^n(\Lambda_N X) \). The mosaicked visible \( L \)-groups \( VL^n(X) \) are related to the visible \( L \)-groups of a group ring as defined in [Wei92]. See [Ran92, Remark 9.8] for more details. We will use the following four equivalent descriptions of \( \text{sgn}^{NL}_X \) to get from the original definition to the one we will actually use to explicitly construct signatures, but be aware that there is a lot of material involved to get from (i) to (iv).

Let \( X \) be an \( n \)-dimensional simplicial Poincaré space with Spivak normal fibration \( \nu_X : X \to BSG \).

(i) **Structured chain complex description**

In order to get an element to play with in the braid of exact sequences we want our signature

\[
\text{sgn}^{NL}_X(X) \in NL^n(\Lambda_N X)
\]

to be a normal chain complex mosaicked over \( X \) representing a cobordism class in \( NL^n(\Lambda_N X) \) which means no Poincaré duality chain equivalence is required.

(ii) **Homological description**

The isomorphisms from 1232 allow us to consider signatures as elements in generalized homology groups with coefficients in \( L \)-spectra, i.e.

\[
\text{sgn}^{NL}_X(X) \in H_n(X; NL^*).
\]

(iii) **Cohomological NL-cycle description**

In order to work with a specific element and be able to make identifications we use a cohomological description. Using \( S \)-duality we obtain such a cohomological description of \( \text{sgn}^{NL}_X \). More precisely, for \( m \) large enough such that \( X \) embeds into \( \partial \Delta^{m+1} \), we can represent \( \text{sgn}^{NL}_X \) by an assignment

\[
[X]^{NL^*} = \left\{ \sigma \mapsto \text{sgn}^{NL^*}_X(\sigma) \in NL^{(m-|\sigma|)} \mid \sigma \in X \right\}
\]
that has to satisfy certain boundary relations and is called an $\text{NL}^\ast$-cycle. We see the equivalence to the homological description as follows: A clever construction of an $S$-dual of $X$ with the homotopy type of $\text{Th}(\nu)$ makes obvious that an $\text{NL}^\ast$-cycle is an equivalent description of a map $\text{sgn}_{\text{Th}(\nu)}^{\text{NL}^\ast} \in H^{m-n}(\text{Th}(\nu); \text{NL}^\ast)$. Then $S$-duality gives $\text{sgn}_X^{\text{NL}^\ast} = S(\text{sgn}_{\text{Th}(\nu)}^{\text{NL}^\ast}) \in H_n(X; \text{NL}^\ast)$.

(iv) Geometrical constructive description

In order to actually construct our signature out of the geometric input of a simplicial Poincaré space $X$ we assign to each simplex a normal space with respect to the simplicial structure of $X$. This means we assign to each simplex in $X$ a simplex in the spectrum of geometric normal $n$-ads considered as a spectrum of pointed $\Delta$-sets:

$$[X]^{\Omega^\ast}_n = \left\{ \sigma \mapsto (X(\sigma), \nu(\sigma), \rho(\sigma)) \in (\Omega_n^{m-|\sigma|})(\sigma) \mid \sigma \in X \right\}.$$ 

The relative normal construction yields a map $\text{sgn}_X^{\text{NL}^\ast} : \Omega^\ast_n \to \text{NL}^\ast$ and eventually we define the normal signature to be

$$\text{sgn}_X^{\text{NL}^\ast}(X) := \text{sgn}_X^{\text{NL}^\ast}([X]^{\Omega^\ast}_n).$$

The visible $L$-group $\text{VL}^n(X) = \text{NL}^n(\Delta_G X)$ cannot be described as a homology group so we cannot go through the steps above to get a visible signature $\text{sgn}_X^{\text{VL}}$. Instead we have to check that the construction of $\text{sgn}_X^{\text{NL}^\ast}$ produces an element in $\text{VL}^n(X)$ if $X$ is Poincaré. In fact, we define $\text{sgn}_X^{\text{NL}^\ast}$ only for the case that $X$ is Poincaré. For a more general treatment, where $X$ is allowed to be a normal space see [Ran11, Errata for page 103]. All the material used in this section is based on the last chapters of part one of [Ran92].
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Proof 13

We start with developing the definition of the normal mosaicked signature $\text{sgn}^{\text{NL}^*}$ of $X$. Let $X$ be a finite simplicial Poincaré space of dimension $n$ embedded into $\partial \Delta^{n+1}$ for an $m \gg n$ large enough. By 132, there is an $n$-dimensional $\Omega_N$-cycle, i.e. a collection of assignments

$$[X]^\Omega_N = \left\{ \sigma \mapsto x(\sigma) = (\sigma, \nu(\sigma), \rho(\sigma)) \in (\Omega_N^N)_{m-|\sigma|} \middle| \sigma \in X, \partial_i x(\sigma) = x(\delta_i \sigma) \text{ for all } \sigma, \delta_i \sigma \in X, 0 \leq i \leq m-|\sigma| \right\}.$$ 

As proven in 131 this is via $S$-duality essentially the same as a representative of a homology class in $H_n(X; \Omega_N^N)$. Applying the normal signature map $\text{sgn}^{\text{NL}^*}_{\Omega_N^N}: \Omega_N^N \rightarrow \text{NL}^*$ produces an $\text{NL}^*$-cycle $[X]^{\text{NL}^*} \in H_n(X; \text{NL}^*)$. Finally, we use the isomorphism $H_n(X; \text{NL}^*) \cong NL^n(\Lambda_N X)$ we have already encountered in 12 and which is proved in 132 to obtain our normal mosaicked chain complex $\text{sgn}^{\text{NL}^*}$.

The assembly of $\text{sgn}^{\text{NL}^*}$ is given by $A(\text{sgn}_{X}^{\text{NL}^*}(X)) = \text{sgn}_{Z_\pi}^{\text{NL}^*}(X) := (C(\tilde{X}), (\varphi, \gamma, \chi)) \in NL^n(Z\pi)$. But $X$ was Poincaré and hence $\varphi = \text{con}_X^\pi([X])$ is a chain equivalence. So, actually, we have a well-defined element

$$\text{sgn}_{X}^{V_\pi}(X) := \text{sgn}_{X}^{\text{NL}^*}(X) \in V_\pi^n(X) := NL^n(\Lambda_G X)$$

if $X$ is Poincaré. \qed

Room service 13

For the definition of algebraic bordism categories, $L$-groups and $L$-spectra see the room service of 12 on page 31.

\begin{itemize}
  \item \textbf{$(Y, \nu, \rho)$} an $n$-dimensional normal space consisting of a topological space $Y$ together with an oriented $k$-dimensional spherical fibration $\nu: Y \rightarrow \text{BSG}(k)$ and a map $\rho: S^{n+k} \rightarrow \text{Th}(\sigma)$.
  \item \textbf{[K]^E} an $n$-dimensional $E$-cycle of a simplicial complex $K \subset \partial \Delta^{n+1}$ defined by a collection $\left\{ [K]^E(\sigma) \in E_{m-|\sigma|} \mid \sigma \in K \right\}$ such that $\partial_i [K]^E(\sigma) = [K]^E(\delta_i \sigma)$ if $\delta_i \sigma \in K$ and $\emptyset$ otherwise.
  \item \textbf{NL^*} short for $\text{NL}^*(\Lambda(Z)/1/2)).$
  \item \textbf{NL^*(A)} $\{ \text{NL}^n(\Lambda) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{NL}^n(\Lambda)^{(k)} = \{ n\text{-dim. } (C, (\varphi, \gamma, \chi)) \in \Lambda^k \Delta^{k+n} \} \}$ an $\Omega$-spectrum of pointed Kan $\Delta$-sets.
  \item \textbf{A(Z)} $\{ A(Z), B(Z), C(Z) \}$ denotes the algebraic bordism category with
    \begin{itemize}
      \item $A(Z)$ the category of finitely generated free left $Z$-modules,
      \item $B(Z)$ the bounded chain complexes in $A(Z)$,
      \item $C(Z)$ the contractible chain complexes of $B(Z)$.
    \end{itemize}
  \item $A: \Lambda_* X \rightarrow \Lambda_*[Z\pi]$ the assembly map defined by $\sum_{\sigma \in X} M_{\sigma} \mapsto \bigoplus_{\sigma \in X} M_{\rho(\sigma)}$

\end{itemize}

where $p: X \rightarrow X$ is the universal covering.
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\[ \Omega^N \] the \(n\)-spectrum of Kan \(\Delta\)-sets defined by

\[
(\Omega^N_n)^{(k)} = \{(X_{\Delta^k}, \nu, \rho) \mid (n + k) \text{-dimensional normal space } (k + 2)\text{-ad, i.e.}
\]
\[
X_{\Delta^k} = (X, \partial_0 X, \ldots, \partial_k X) \text{ s.t. } \partial_0 X \cap \ldots \cap \partial_k X = \emptyset,
\]
\[
\nu : X \to \text{BSG}^r \text{ an } (r - 1)\text{-spherical fibration,}
\]
\[
\rho : \Delta^{n+k+r} \to \text{Th}(\nu) \text{ s.t. } \rho(\partial_i \Delta^{n+k+r}) \subset \text{Th}(\nu|_{\partial_i X}) \}
\]

The face maps \(\partial_i : (\Omega^N_n)^{(k)} \to (\Omega^N_n)^{(k-1)}\) are given by

\[
\partial_i(X) = (\partial_i X, \partial_0 X \cap \partial_i X, \ldots, \partial_i X \cap \partial_{i-1} X, \partial_i X \cap \partial_{i+1} X, \ldots, \partial_i X \cap \partial_k X).
\]

\[ \text{sgn}^{NL^*}_\Omega : \Omega^N \to NL^* \] the normal signature map; based on the normal signature \(\text{sgn}^\Omega_{\text{NL}^*}.\)

14 Canonical L-orientations

Porter

In this room we construct the L-orientations which will be related to the corresponding L-signatures in the next room.

We obtain these orientations with respect to the L-spectra \(L^*\) and \(NL^*\) from orientations with respect to the Thom spectra \(MSG\) and \(MSTOP\). Since any spherical fibration \(\alpha\) and topological bundle \(\beta\) is a pullback of the universal one, we obtain canonical orientations \(u^{G^2}(\alpha) : \text{Th}(\alpha) \to MSG(k)\), \(u^G(\beta) : \text{Th}(\beta) \to MSTOP(k)\) and \(u^{G/T}(\beta, h) : \text{Th}(\nu_X) \to \text{MS}(G/TOP)(k)\).

Composed with the Pontrjagin-Thom equivalences and the signature maps these Thom spectra orientations yield the desired L-spectra orientations. The Pontrjagin-Thom equivalence \(\Omega^\text{STOP}_* \simeq MSTOP\) is obtained from topological transversality of Kirby-Siebenmann [KS77] and Freedman-Quinn [FQ90]. The normal transversality used for the equivalence \(\Omega^H_* \simeq MSG\) is defined explicitly in [Ran11] by \((Y, \nu, \rho) \mapsto u^G(\nu) \circ \rho\). The inverse is given by mapping \(\rho\) to \((\text{BSG}, \gamma_{SG}, \rho)\).

14 Canonical L-orientations [Ran79, p. 284-289][Ran92, 16.1(ii)][KMM13, Prop. 13.3 and 13.4]

(i) For a \(k\)-dimensional \(Z\)-oriented spherical fibration \(\alpha : X \to \text{BSG}(k)\) there is a canonical \(NL^*\)-orientation

\[
u_{NL^*}(\alpha) \in H^k(\text{Th}(\alpha); NL^*).\]

(ii) For a \(k\)-dimensional \(Z\)-oriented topological bundle \(\beta : X \to \text{BSTOP}(k)\) there is a canonical \(L^*\)-orientation

\[
u_{L^*}(\beta) \in H^k(\text{Th}(\beta); L^*).\]

(iii) For a \(k\)-dimensional \(Z\)-oriented topological bundle \(\beta : X \to \text{BSTOP}(k)\) together with a homotopy \(h : J(\beta) \simeq \nu_X\) there is a canonical \(NL/L\)-orientation

\[
u_{NL/L^*}(\beta, h) \in H^k(\text{Th}(\nu_X); NL/L^*).\]

They are related via \(J(u_{L^*}(\beta)) = u_{NL^*}(J(\beta))\) and \(u_{NL/L^*}(\beta, h) = (u_{NL^*}(h), u_{L^*}(\beta) - u_{L^*}(\nu_X)).\)
The relative symmetric and relative normal construction induce maps of spectra

$$\text{sgn}^L_{\Omega^*_{\text{STOP}}} : \Omega^*_{\text{STOP}} \to L^*, \quad \text{sgn}^N_{\Omega^*_{\text{NL}}} : \Omega^*_{\text{NL}} \to NL^*,$$

$$\text{sgn}^N_{\Omega^*_{\text{NL}}} : \Omega^*_{\text{NL}} \to NL/L^*, \quad \text{and}$$

$$\text{sgn}^L_{\Omega^*_{\text{NL}}} : \Omega^*_{\text{NL}} \to L^*(1).$$

[142 → KS77, Essay III][FQ90, chapter 9][Ran92, Errata] Transversality

There is topological and normal transversality which induce homotopy equivalences

$$\exists: \Omega^*_{\text{STOP}} \simeq \text{MSTOP}, \quad c: \Omega^*_{\text{NL}} \simeq \text{MSG} \quad \text{and} \quad c/\exists: \Sigma^{-1} \Omega^*_{\text{NL},\text{STOP}} \simeq \text{MSG}(\text{G/TOP}).$$

Proof 14

First, we need orientations with respect to the Thom spectra MSG, MSTOP and G/TOP. Any spherical fibration \(\alpha : X \to BSG(k)\) is a pullback of the universal fibration \(\gamma_{SG}\) via the classifying map \(\alpha\). The induced map on the Thom spaces \(\text{Th}(\alpha) \to \text{Th}(\gamma_{SG}) = \text{MSG}(k)\) defines a canonical MSG-orientation \(u^G(\alpha) \in H^k(\text{Th}(\alpha); \text{MSG})\). Likewise, we get a canonical MSTOP-orientation \(u^T(\beta) \in H^k(\text{Th}(\beta); \text{MSTOP})\) for a topological bundle \(\beta : X \to \text{BSTOP}\). The homotopy \(h\) gives us a spherical fibration over \(X \times I\) with the canonical orientation \(u^G(h)\) which we view as a homotopy between the orientation \(J(u^T(\beta))\) and \(J(u^T(\nu_X))\). We obtain a MSG(G/TOP)-orientation \(\gamma^G/T(\beta, h) := (u^G(h), u^T(\beta) - u^T(\nu_X)) \in H^k(\text{Th}(\nu_X); \text{MSG}(\text{G/TOP}))\). The homotopy inverses of the transversality homotopy equivalences \(c: \Omega^*_{\text{NL}} \simeq \text{MSG}, \exists: \Omega^*_{\text{STOP}} \simeq \text{MSTOP}\) and \(c/\exists: \Sigma^{-1} \Omega^*_{\text{NL,STOP}} \to \text{MSG}(\text{G/TOP})\) of 142 composed with the signature maps \(\text{sgn}^L_{\Omega^*_{\text{NL}}}\) and \(\text{sgn}^N_{\Omega^*_{\text{NL}}}\) give the canonical orientations \(u^{NL*}, u^L*\) and \(u^{NL/L*}\). In the symmetric case there is an explicit construction of \(\exists\) and we have

$$u^L*: \text{Sing}(\text{Th}(\beta)) \xrightarrow{u^T(\beta)\circ -} \text{Sing}(\text{MSTOP}(k)) \xrightarrow{\exists^{-1}} \Omega^*_{\text{STOP}} \xrightarrow{\text{sgn}^L_{\Omega^*_{\text{NL}}}} L^*$$

$$\rho: \Delta^k \to \text{Th}(\beta) \xrightarrow{u^T(\beta) \circ \rho} M_{\Delta^k} \xrightarrow{-} (C_{\Delta^k}, \varphi_{\Delta^k})$$

where \(M_{\Delta^k} := (M, \partial_0 M, \ldots, \partial_k M)\) is a manifold \(k\)-ad obtained by making \(\tilde{\rho} := u^T(\beta) \circ \rho\) transverse to \(\text{BSTOP}(k) \subset \text{MSTOP}(k)\) and by taking preimages, i.e. set \(M := \rho^{-1}(\text{BSTOP}(k))\) and \(\partial_i M := (\partial_i \rho^{-1}(\text{BSTOP}(k))).\) Use the symmetric construction in the shape of the symmetric signature map from 141 to obtain the symmetric algebraic chain complex \((C_{\Delta^k}, \varphi_{\Delta^k}) \in Z^* X^L \Delta^k\).

In the other cases we have to be content with the existence of inverses \(c^{-1}\) and \(c/\exists^{-1}\) but this will be enough for our purposes. The \(u^L*\) and \(u^{NL*}\) orientation fit into the following commutative diagram.

$$u^L*: \text{Sing}(\text{Th}(\beta)) \xrightarrow{u^T(\beta)\circ -} \text{Sing}(\text{MSTOP}(k)) \xrightarrow{\exists^{-1}} \Omega^*_{\text{STOP}} \xrightarrow{\text{sgn}^L_{\Omega^*_{\text{NL}}}} L^*$$

$$u^{NL*}: \text{Sing}(\text{J}(\beta)) \xrightarrow{u^G} \text{Sing}(\text{MSG}(k)) \xrightarrow{c^{-1}} \Omega^N_{\text{NL}} \xrightarrow{\text{sgn}^N_{\Omega^*_{\text{NL}}}} NL^*$$

\(\square\)
14 Canonical $L$-orientations

$\nu_X: X \to \text{BSG}$ the Spivak normal fibration of $X$, i.e. an oriented $(k-1)$-spherical fibration of an $n$-dimensional Poincaré space $X$ for which a class $\alpha \in \pi_{n+k}(\text{Th}(\nu_X))$ $(k > n + 1)$ exists such that $u(\alpha) \cap u = [X]$. Here $u \in H^k(\text{Th}(\nu_X))$ is the Thom class and $h: \pi_*(\cdot) \to H_*(\cdot)$ is the Hurewicz map.

$\text{BSG}$ the classifying space of stable $\mathbb{Z}$-oriented spherical fibrations.

$\text{BSTOP}$ the classifying space of stable $\mathbb{Z}$-oriented topological bundles.

$\text{MSTOP}$ the Thom spectrum of the universal stable $\mathbb{Z}$-oriented topological bundles over the classifying space $\text{BSSTOP}$ with the $k$-th space the Thom space $\text{MSTOP}(k) = \text{Th}(\gamma_{\text{STOP}}(k))$ of the universal $k$-dimensional bundle $\gamma_{\text{STOP}}(k)$ over $\text{BSTOP}$.

$\text{MSG}$ the Thom spectrum of the universal stable $\mathbb{Z}$-oriented spherical fibrations over $\text{BSG}$ with the $k$-th space the Thom space $\text{MSG}(k) = \text{Th}(\gamma_{\text{SG}}(k))$ of the universal $k$-dimensional spherical fibration $\gamma_{\text{SG}}(k)$ over $\text{BSG}(k)$.

$\text{MS(G/TOP)}$ the fiber of $J: \text{MSTOP} \to \text{MSG}$.

$\Omega_n^\ast$ the $\Omega$-spectrum of Kan $\Delta$-sets defined by

$$\Omega_n^{(k)} = \{(X_{\Delta^k}, \nu, \rho) \mid (n + k) - \text{dimensional normal space} (k + 2)\text{-ad, i.e.}$$

$$X_{\Delta^k} = (X, \partial_0X, \ldots, \partial_kX) \text{ s.t. } \partial_0X \cap \ldots \cap \partial_kX = \emptyset,$$

$$\nu: X \to \text{BSG}(r) \text{ an } (r-1)\text{-spherical fibration,}$$

$$\rho: \Delta^{n+k+r} \to \text{Th}(\nu) \text{ s.t. } \rho(\partial_i \Delta^{n+k+r}) \subset \text{Th}(\nu|_{\partial_iX}) \}$$

The face maps $\partial_i: (\Omega_n^{(k)}) \to (\Omega_n^{(k-1)})$ are given by

$$\partial_i(X) = (\partial_iX, \partial_iX \cap \partial_0X, \ldots, \partial_iX \cap \partial_{i-1}X, \partial_iX \cap \partial_{i+1}X, \ldots, \partial_iX \cap \partial_kX).$$

$\Omega_n^{\ast\text{STOP}}$ the $\Omega$-spectrum of Kan $\Delta$-sets defined by

$$\Omega_n^{\ast\text{STOP}(k)} = \{(M, \partial_0M, \ldots, \partial_kM) \mid (n + k) - \text{dimensional manifold}$$

$$(k + 2)\text{-ad such that } \partial_0M \cap \ldots \cap \partial_kM = \emptyset\}.$$  

The face maps $\partial_i: (\Omega_n^{\ast\text{STOP}(k)}) \to (\Omega_n^{\ast\text{STOP}(k-1)})$ are given by

$$\partial_i(M) = (\partial_iM, \partial_0M, \ldots, \partial_{i-1}M, \partial_{i-1}M \cap \partial_iM, \ldots, \partial_kM \cap \partial_kM).$$

$\Sigma^{-1}\Omega_n^{\ast\text{STOP}} \to \Omega_n^{\ast}$ the $\Omega$-spectrum of $\Delta$-sets obtained as the fiber of canonical the map of spectra

$u^E(\nu)$ an $E$-orientation of a $\mathbb{Z}$-oriented spherical fibration $\nu: X \to \text{BSG}(k)$ is an element $u^E(\nu) \in H^k(\text{Th}(\nu); E)$ such that $u^E(\nu)$ restricts to a generator of $H^k(\text{Th}(\nu_x); E)$ for each fiber $\nu_x$ of $\nu$. 

40
the canonical MSG-orientation of $\beta$ which is a map on the Thom spaces $\text{Th}(\beta) \to \text{Th}(\gamma_{SG})$ induced by the classifying map of a $k$-dimensional $\mathbb{Z}$-oriented spherical fibration $\beta: X \to \text{BSG}(k)$.

The canonical MSTOP-orientation of $\alpha$ which is a map on the Thom spaces $\text{Th}(\alpha) \to \text{Th}(\gamma_{STOP})$ induced by the classifying map of a $k$-dimensional $\mathbb{Z}$-oriented topological bundle $\alpha: X \to \text{BSG}(k)$.

The preferred lift of $uT(\nu)$ for a bundle reduction $\nu$ of the Spivak normal fibration $\nu_X$, determined by the homotopy $h: \text{Th}(\nu_X) \times [0, 1] \to \text{MSG}$ between $J(\nu)$ and $J(\nu_X)$.

$L^\bullet$ short for $L^\bullet(\Lambda(\mathbb{Z}))(0)$.

$NL^\bullet$ short for $NL^\bullet(\Lambda(\mathbb{Z}))(1/2)$.

$NL/L^\bullet := \text{Fiber}(J: L^\bullet \to NL^\bullet)$.

$L^\bullet(\Lambda), NL^\bullet(\Lambda)$ $\Omega$-spectra of pointed Kan $\Delta$-sets defined for an algebraic bordism category $\Lambda$ by

$L^\bullet(\Lambda) = \{ L^n(\Lambda) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}, L^n(\Lambda)^{(k)} = n\text{-dim. symmetric complexes in } \Lambda^k \Delta^k \}$,

$NL^\bullet(\Lambda) = \{ NL^n(\Lambda) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}, NL^n(\Lambda)^{(k)} = n\text{-dim. normal complexes in } \Lambda^k \Delta^{k+n} \}$;

face maps are induced by face inclusions $\partial_i: \Delta^{k-1} \to \Delta^k$, the base point is the $0$-chain complex.

$\Lambda^L X$ for $\Lambda = (A, C, P)$ denotes $(A^* X, C^L X, P^L X, (T^*, e^*))$, the $X$-mosaicked algebraic bordism category of $\Lambda = (A, C, P)$ with local Poincaré duality.

$\Lambda^L X$ short for $\Lambda(\mathbb{Z})^* X$, the additive category of $X$-based free $\mathbb{Z}$-modules with 'non-increasing' morphisms

$\sum f_{\tau,\sigma}: \sum M_{\tau} \to \sum N_{\tau}$

where $(f_{\tau,\sigma}: M_{\sigma} \to N_{\tau})$ are $\mathbb{Z}$-module morphism.

$C^L X := \{ C \text{ chain complex in } A^* X \mid C(\sigma) \in C \text{ for all } \sigma \in X \}$ for a category $C$ of chain complexes in $A$.

$\Lambda(\mathbb{Z}) = (A(\mathbb{Z}), B(\mathbb{Z}), C(\mathbb{Z}))$ denotes the algebraic bordism category with

- $A(\mathbb{Z})$ the category of finitely generated free left $\mathbb{Z}$-modules,
- $B(\mathbb{Z})$ the bounded chain complexes in $A(\mathbb{Z})$,
- $C(\mathbb{Z})$ the contractible chain complexes of $B(\mathbb{Z})$. 

15 Orientations and signatures

\[ \Lambda = (A, C, P, (T, e)) \] an algebraic bordism category, usually denoted by \( \Lambda \) or \((A, C, P)\), consists of full additive subcategories \( P \subseteq C \subseteq B(A) \) where \( P \) is closed under weak equivalences and mapping cones, i.e.
- \( \mathcal{C}(f: C \to D) \in P \) for any chain map \( f \) in \( P \),
- additionally any \( C \in C \) satisfies
- \( \mathcal{C}(\epsilon(C): T^j(C) \to C) \in P \).

\[ \Lambda(q) \] for \( \Lambda = (A, C, P) \) and \( q \in \mathbb{Z} \) the \( q \)-connective algebraic bordism category \( (A, C(q), P(q)) \)

\[ C(q) \] the subcategory of chain complexes of \( C \) that are homotopy equivalent to \( q \)-connected chain complexes.

\[ \Lambda(1/2) \] denotes for \( \Lambda = (A, C, P) \) the algebraic bordism category \( (A, C(0), P(1)) \).

Sing singular simplicial complex

15 Orientations and signatures

Porter

In this room we identify the L-spectra orientations with the corresponding signatures. For a given Poincaré space \( X \) the orientations \( u^{L^*}(\nu_X) \in H^k(Th(\alpha); L^*) \) and \( u^{NL^*}(\nu_X) \in H^k(Th(\beta); NL^*) \) are defined combining the Thom spectra orientations \( u^G \) and \( u^T \) with transversality and the signature maps \( \text{sgn}_{L^*}^{NL^*} \) and \( \text{sgn}_{L^*}^{L^*} \). The signatures \( \text{sgn}_{L^*}^{NL^*}(X) \in H_n(X; NL^*) \) and \( \text{sgn}_{L^*}^{L^*}(X) \in H_n(X; L^*(0)) \) are defined by applying the same signature maps \( \text{sgn}_{L^*}^{NL^*} \) and \( \text{sgn}_{L^*}^{L^*} \) to \( \Omega^X_N \) - and \( \Omega^X_{STOP} \)-cycles \([X]^n_{NL^*} \) and \([X]^n_{L^*STOP} \). But these cycles actually define elements in the cohomology groups of an \( S \)-dual of \( X \) more precisely simplicial maps \( \Sigma^m/X \to \Omega^X_N \) resp. \( \Sigma^m/X \to \Omega^X_{STOP} \). We used \( S \)-duality to consider these maps as elements in the homology groups of \( X \). So at the end we only have to prove that transversality mediates between Thom orientations \( u^G, u^T \) and bordism cycles \([X]^n_{NL^*} \) and \([X]^n_{L^*STOP} \).

There are no new substatements in this room. It is more or less only a matter of putting all the definitions and the statements used for these definitions together.

15 (16) Orientations and signatures [Ran92, Proposition 16.1]

(i) Let \( X \) be an \( n \)-dimensional Poincaré space with Spivak normal fibration \( \nu_X: X \to \text{BSG} \). Then we have \( S(u_{NL}(\nu_X)) = \text{sgn}_{NL^*}^{L^*}(X) \in H_n(X; NL^*) \).

(ii) Let \( \varphi \) be a topological bundle reduction of the Spivak normal fibration \( \nu_X: X \to \text{BSG} \) of \( X \) and \( \tilde{f}: M \to X \) its associated degree one normal map. Then we have \( S(u_{L}(\nu_X)) = \text{sgn}_{L^*}^{L^*}(X) \in H_n(X; L^*(0)) \).

(iii) Let \( \tilde{f}: M \to M' \) be a degree one normal map of \( n \)-dimensional simply-connected topological manifolds with \( M' \) triangulated, corresponding to a pair \((\beta, h)\) with \( \beta: M' \to \text{BSTOP} \) and \( h: J(\beta) \simeq \nu_{M'} \). Then we have \( S(u_{NL/L^*}(\beta, h)) = \text{sgn}_{M'}^{NL/L^*}(\tilde{f}) \in H_n(M'; NL(1/2)/L(0)^*). \)
15 Orientations and signatures

131 (15) \(E_n\)-cycles [Ran92, Prop. 12.8]
Let \(X\) be a finite simplicial complex and \(m \in \mathbb{N}\) large enough such that there is an embedding of \(X\) into \(\partial\Delta^{m+1}\). Then an \(n\)-dimensional \(E_n\)-cycle \([K]^{E_n}\) of \(X\) in \(\partial\Delta^{m+1}\) defines an element in \(H_n(X; E_n)\).

1311 (132, 15) Simplicial dual complex [Ran92, §12]
There is an isomorphism of simplicial complexes \(\Phi : (\partial\Delta^{m+1})' \xrightarrow{\simeq} (\Sigma^m)'\) such that for each \(\sigma^+ \in \Sigma^m\) we have \(\Phi(D(\sigma, \partial\Delta^{m+1})) = \sigma^+\).

132 (15) Normal cycles [KMM13, Construction 11.1, 11.2 and 11.3]
Let \(X\) be a finite simplicial Poincaré space of dimension \(n\) embedded into \(\partial\Delta^{m+1}\) for \(m \gg n\) large enough. There is an \(n\)-dimensional \(\Omega X\)-cycle, i.e. a collection of assignments

\[
[X]^{\Omega X} = \{ \sigma \mapsto x(\sigma) = (X(\sigma), \nu(\sigma), \rho(\sigma)) \in (\Omega X^m)^{(m-|\sigma|)} \mid \sigma \in X, \partial_i x(\sigma) = x(\delta_i \sigma) \text{ for all } \sigma, \delta_i \sigma \in X, 0 \leq i \leq m - |\sigma| \}.
\]

2221 (15) (normal, manifold)-cycles [KMM13, Construction 11.9]
Let \(f : M \to M'\) be a degree one map of \(n\)-dimensional topological manifolds such that \(M'\) is triangulated. Then there is an \(\Sigma^{-1}\Omega^N_X\)-cycle

\[
[\tilde{f}]^{\Sigma^{-1}\Omega^N_X} \in H_n(M'; \Sigma^{-1}\Omega^N_X)
\]

such that \(\text{sgn}^{L X}_\star (X) = \text{sgn}^{L X}_\star ([\tilde{f}]^{\Sigma^{-1}\Omega^N_X}) \in H_n(X; L_\star (1)).
\]

141 (13, 15) Signature spectra maps [Ran79]
The relative symmetric and relative normal construction induce maps of spectra

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{sgn}_{\Omega X}^{L^\star} : \Omega X^\star \to L^\star (0), & \quad \text{sgn}_{\Omega X}^{\text{NL}^\star} : \Omega X^\star \to \text{NL}^\star, \\
\text{sgn}_{\Omega X}^{\text{NL}^\star/\text{L}^\star} : \Sigma^{-1}\Omega X^\star \to \text{NL}(1/2)/\text{L}(0)^\star, & \quad \text{and} \\
\text{sgn}_{\Omega X}^{\text{L}^\star} : \Sigma^{-1}\Omega X^\star \to \text{L}(1)^\star.
\end{align*}
\]

Proof 15

For simplicity we assume that we have a simplicial structure on \(X\) itself rather than using a homotopy equivalence \(r : X \to K\) and preimages of dual cells.

Let \(m \geq 0\) be large enough such that we have an embedding \(X \subset \partial\Delta^{m+1}\). Recall that for a Poincaré space the Thom space is an \(S\)-dual. Hence the \(S\)-dual \(X^* := \Sigma^m / X\) as constructed in 1312 gives a simplicial description of the Thom space \(T(\nu_X)\). The equivalence \(\Sigma^m / X \simeq \text{Sing}(\text{Th}(\nu_X))\) is given by \(\sigma^* \mapsto (\rho(\sigma) : \Delta^m \to |\sigma^*|/|X| \simeq D(\nu_X(\sigma))) \cup \ast \simeq \text{Th}(\nu_X(\sigma))\) for \(\sigma^* \in \Sigma^m\). See 132 for more details.

The definition of the signatures \(\text{sgn}^{\text{NL}^\star} X^\star\) and \(\text{sgn}^{L X^\star}\) via the cycles \([X]^{\Omega X^\star}\) and \([X]^{\Omega X^\star}\) implicitly used \(S\)-duality. In fact, for \(\Omega X^\star = \Omega X^\star, \Sigma^{-1}\Omega X^\star\) these cycles define simplicial maps

\[
[X^*]^{\Omega X^\star} := S^{-1}([X]^{\Omega X^\star}) \in H^{m-n}(\Sigma^m, X; \Omega X^\star)
\]
given by \(\sigma^* \mapsto [X^\star]^{\Omega X^\star} (\sigma)\).

Let \(\sigma^* \in \Sigma^m\) be an \(r\)-dimensional simplex. The diagram below proves part (i). The diagram commutes because the homotopy equivalence \(c\) between \(\Omega X^\star\) and MSG is based on the same classifying map for \(\nu_X\) which defines the \(u\text{-}G\)-orientation. The only difference is that for a \(\sigma^* \in \Sigma^m\) the orientation uses the classifying map for \(\nu_X\) while \(c\) restricts the classifying map to \(\nu_X(\sigma)\). But
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\( \rho(\sigma) \) lives over \( X(\sigma) \) anyway.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
X^* & \xrightarrow{[X^*]^{\Omega^{\text{STOP}}}} & \Omega_{n-m}^{\text{STOP}} \\
\cong & \sigma^* \downarrow & \cong \\
\text{Sing \, Th}(\nu_X) & -\circ \varrho(\nu_X) & \text{Sing \, MSG}(n-m)
\end{array}
\]

In the second case we have a simplicial map \( [X^*]^{\Omega_{\text{STOP}}^T} \in H_0(S,\Sigma;\Omega_{\text{STOP}}^T) \). But this time a map \( \rho(\sigma) : \Delta^r \to \text{Th}(\nu_X) \) is not explicitly part of the data. The simplicial map \( [X^*]^{\Omega_{\text{STOP}}^T} \) is defined by \( \sigma^* \mapsto M(\sigma)_{\Delta^r} \) where \( M(\sigma)_{\Delta^r} \) is the \((m-n)\)-dimensional manifold \( r \)-ad obtained as preimage of \( D(\sigma,X) \subset \text{Th}(\nu_X) \) under the projection \( pr : \Sigma^m \to \Sigma^m / X \) after making \( pr \) transverse to the dual cells of \( X \).

Let \( pr_{\sigma} \) be the restriction of \( pr \) to \( M(\sigma)_{\Delta^r} \). It is covered by a map of stable (micro) bundles \( \nu_{M(\sigma)_{\Delta^r}} \to \nu_X \). The following diagram proves the statement.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
X^* & \xrightarrow{[X^*]^{\Omega_{\text{STOP}}^T}} & \Omega_{n-m}^{\text{STOP}} \\
\cong & \sigma^* \downarrow & \cong \\
\text{Sing \, Th}(\nu_X) & -\circ \varrho(\nu_X) & \text{Sing \, MSG}(n-m)
\end{array}
\]

In order to see that it commutes we have a look at a single simplex in the diagram below. Recall that under the isomorphism \( \Phi \) of 1311 the dual cell \( D(\sigma,X) \) is mapped to \( |\sigma^*| \). The MSTOP-orientations are given by the classifying maps \( \nu_{M(\sigma)_{\Delta^r}} \) was obtained as a pullback of \( \varrho(\nu_X) \) along \( pr_{\sigma} \). Hence we have \( pr_{\sigma} \circ \varrho(\nu_X) = \varrho(\nu_{M(\sigma)_{\Delta^r}}) \).

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\sigma^* & \mapsto & M(\sigma)_{\Delta^r} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\Delta^r & \mapsto & |\sigma^*| \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\Delta^r & \mapsto & |\sigma^*| \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\Delta^r & \mapsto & M(\sigma)_{\Delta^r}
\end{array}
\]

When we consider the fibers \( \Sigma^{-1}\Omega_{\text{STOP}}^N \) and \( \text{NL}(1/2)/\text{L}(0)^* \) and the corresponding orientations and signatures, the first two cases yield the commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
X^* & \xrightarrow{[X^*]^{\Omega_{\text{STOP}}^T}} & \Sigma^{-1}\Omega_{n-m}^{\text{STOP}} \\
\cong & \sigma^* \downarrow & \cong \\
\text{Sing \, Th}(\nu_X) & -\circ \varrho(\nu_X) & \text{Sing \, MSG}(G/T)(n-m)
\end{array}
\]

which proves case (iii). \( \square \)

Room service 15

For the definitions of the involved spectra see the room service of the preceding section.
Cycles

\[ M_{Δk} \] manifold \( k \)-ad consisting of a manifold \( M \) and submanifolds \( \partial_0 M, \ldots, \partial_k M \) such that \( \partial_0 M \cap \ldots \cap \partial_k M = \emptyset. \)

\[ [K]^E \in H_\ast(K; E) \] an \( n \)-dimensional \( E \)-cycle of a simplicial complex \( K \subset \partial \Delta^{m+1} \) defined by a collection \( \{ [K]^E(\sigma) \in E_{n-m-\sigma} \mid \sigma \in K \} \) such that \( \partial [K]^E(\sigma) = [K]^E(\delta \sigma) \) if \( \delta \sigma \in K \) and \( \emptyset \) otherwise.

\[ [X]^{Ω^{STOP}} \in H_\ast(X; Ω^{STOP}) \] an \( n \)-dimensional \( Ω^{STOP} \)-cycle which assigns to each \( \sigma \in X \subset \partial \Delta^{m+1} \) the \( (m - n) \)-dimensional manifold \( (m - |\sigma| + 2) \)-ad \( M[\sigma] := [X]^{Ω^{STOP}}(\sigma) := pr^{-1}(D(\sigma, X)) \in (Ω^{STOP})_{(m - |\sigma|)} \) using a simplicial Pontrjagin-Thom construction for \( pr : Σ^m \to \Sigma^m/\Phi(X) \simeq \text{Sing}(\text{Th}(\nu_X)). \)

\[ [f]^{Ω^{STOP}} \in H_\ast(X; Ω^{STOP}) \] an \( n \)-dimensional \( Ω^N \)-cycle which assigns to each \( \sigma \in X \) a \( (m - n) \)-dimensional normal \( (m - |\sigma| + 2) \)-ad \( (X[\sigma], \nu(\sigma), \rho(\sigma)) \) as constructed in 132.

\[ \tilde{f}_\Delta := \bigcup_{\sigma \in X} \tilde{f}[\sigma] : [\sigma] \to X[\sigma] \] the decomposition of a degree one normal map \( \tilde{f} : M \to X \) into degree one normal maps \( \tilde{f}[\sigma] = \tilde{f} \big|_{f^{-1}(X[\sigma])} \) of \( (n - |\sigma|) \)-dimensional manifold \( (m - |\sigma| + 2) \)-ads.

Signatures

\[ \text{sgn}^{NL^*}(X) := \text{sgn}^{NL^*}(f) : H_n(X; NL^*) \cong NL^*(\Lambda_X X) \] the X-mosaicked normal signature defined here only for an \( n \)-dimensional Poincaré space \( X \).

\[ \text{sgn}^{L^*}(X) := \text{sgn}^{L^*}(f) : H_n(X; L^*) \cong L^*(\Lambda_X X) \] the mosaicked symmetric signature for a Poincaré space \( X \) with a degree one normal map \( \tilde{f} : M \to X \).

\[ \text{sgn}^{NL/L^*}(\tilde{f}) := \text{sgn}^{NL/L^*}(\tilde{f}) : H_n(M'; NL/L^*) \] the mosaicked normal/symmetric signature over \( X \) defined for a degree one normal map \( \tilde{f} : M \to M' \) between manifolds (see 2221).

Orientations

\[ u^E(\nu) \] an \( E \)-orientation of a \( Z \)-oriented spherical fibration \( \nu : X \to \text{BSG}(k) \) is an element \( u^E(\nu) \in H^k(\text{Th}(\nu); E) \) such that \( u^E(\nu) \) restricts to a generator of \( H^k(\text{Th}(\nu_2); E) \) for each fiber \( \nu_2 \) of \( \nu \).
15 Orientations and signatures

\[ u^{\text{NL}^*}(\beta) \in H^k(\text{Th}(\beta); \text{NL}^*) \]  the canonical \( \text{NL}^* \)-orientation for a \( k \)-dimensional \( \mathbb{Z} \)-oriented spherical fibration \( \beta: X \to \text{BSG}(k) \) given by the composition

\[ \text{Th}(\beta) \xrightarrow{u^G(\beta)} \text{MSG}(k) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon^{-1}} \Omega_{-k}^{\text{NL}^*} \xrightarrow{\text{sgn}_{\text{NL}^*}} \text{NL}^{-k}(1/2). \]

\[ u^G(\beta) \in H^k(\text{Th}(\beta); \text{MSG}) \]  the canonical \( \text{MSG} \)-orientation of \( \beta \) which is a map on the Thom spaces \( \text{Th}(\beta) \to \text{Th}(\gamma_{SG}) \) induced by the classifying map of a \( k \)-dimensional \( \mathbb{Z} \)-oriented spherical fibration \( \beta: X \to \text{BSG}(k) \).

\[ u^L^*(\alpha) \in H^k(\text{Th}(\alpha); \text{L}^*) \]  the canonical \( \text{L}^* \)-orientation for a \( k \)-dimensional \( \mathbb{Z} \)-oriented topological bundle \( \alpha: X \to \text{BSTOP}(k) \) given by the composition

\[ \text{Th}(\alpha) \xrightarrow{u^T(\alpha)} \text{MSTOP}(k) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon^{-1}} \Omega_{-k}^{\text{STOP}} \xrightarrow{\text{sgn}^L_{\text{STOP}}} \text{L}^{-k}(0). \]

\[ u^T(\alpha) \in H^k(\text{Th}(\alpha); \text{MSTOP}) \]  the canonical \( \text{MSTOP} \)-orientation of \( \alpha \) which is a map on the Thom spaces \( \text{Th}(\alpha) \to \text{Th}(\gamma_{STOP}) \) induced by the classifying map of a \( k \)-dimensional \( \mathbb{Z} \)-oriented topological bundle \( \alpha: X \to \text{BSG}(k) \).

\[ u^{\text{NL}/\text{L}^*}(\alpha, h) = (u^{\text{NL}^*}(h), u^{\text{L}^*}(\alpha) - u^{\text{L}^*}(\Phi_X)) \in H^k(\text{Th}(\alpha); \text{NL}(1/2)/\text{L}(0)^*) \]  the canonical \( \text{NL}(1/2)/\text{L}(0)^* \)-orientation given by the composition

\[ \text{Th}(\alpha) \xrightarrow{u^{G/T}(\alpha)} \text{MS}(\text{G/TOP})(k) \to \Sigma^{-1} \Omega_{-k}^{\text{STOP}} \xrightarrow{\text{sgn}^L_{\text{STOP}}} \text{NL}(1/2)/\text{L}(0). \]

for a \( k \)-dimensional \( \mathbb{Z} \)-oriented topological bundle \( \alpha: X \to \text{BSTOP}(k) \) together with a homotopy \( h: J(\alpha) \simeq J(\Phi_X) \)

\[ u^{G/T}(\nu, h) \in H^k(\text{Th}(\nu_X); \text{MS}(\text{G/TOP})) \]  the preferred lift of \( u^T(\nu) \) for a bundle reduction \( \nu \) of the Spivak normal fibration \( \nu_X \), determined by the homotopy \( h: \text{Th}(\nu_X) \times [0, 1] \to \text{MSG} \) between \( J(\nu) \) and \( J(\nu_X) \).

\[ X^* := (\Sigma^m/\Phi(X))^\vee \]  a simplicial \( S \)-dual of \( X \) (see 1312).

\[ \text{MSG} \]  the Thom spectrum of the universal stable \( \mathbb{Z} \)-oriented spherical fibrations over \( \text{BSG} \) with the \( k \)-th space the Thom space \( \text{MSG}(k) = \text{Th}(\gamma_{SG}(k)) \) of the universal \( k \)-dimensional spherical fibration \( \gamma_{SG}(k) \) over \( \text{BSG}(k) \).

\[ \text{MSTOP} \]  the Thom spectrum of the universal stable \( \mathbb{Z} \)-oriented topological bundles over the classifying space \( \text{BSTOP} \) with the \( k \)-th space the Thom space \( \text{MSTOP}(k) = \text{Th}(\gamma_{STOP}(k)) \) of the universal \( k \)-dimensional bundle \( \gamma_{STOP}(k) \) over \( \text{BSTOP} \).

\[ \text{BSG} \]  the classifying space of stable \( \mathbb{Z} \)-oriented spherical fibrations.

\[ \text{BSTOP} \]  the classifying space of stable \( \mathbb{Z} \)-oriented topological bundles.
16 The homotopy pullback square

Porter

In this section we finally establish the link to the bundle reductions. We have to show that a certain diagram is a homotopy pullback. This is done by proving that the induced map on the fibers of the vertical maps induces an isomorphism on homotopy groups, namely the surgery obstruction isomorphism as briefly mentioned in [Ran79, p.291].

16 The homotopy pullback square [Ran79, p.291][KMM13, Prop. 13.7]

The following diagram is a homotopy pullback square:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{BSTOP} & \xrightarrow{\text{sgn}_N} & \text{BL}^*G \\
\downarrow^J & & \downarrow^J \\
\text{BSG} & \xrightarrow{\text{sgn}_M} & \text{BNL}^*G
\end{array}
\]

161 Fibration sequence of classifying spaces [Ran79, p.290][KMM13, Prop. 13.6]

There are the following homotopy fibration sequences of spaces:

\[\text{L}_0(1) \cong \text{NL}/L^0 \to \text{BL}^*G \to \text{BNL}^*G\]

and

\[\text{L}_0(1) \cong \text{NL}/L^0 \to L^\otimes \to \text{NL}^\otimes\]

15 (16) Orientations and signatures [Ran92, Proposition 16.1]

(i) Let \(X\) be an \(n\)-dimensional Poincaré space with Spivak normal fibration \(\nu_X : X \to \text{BSG}\). Then we have \(S(\nu_{\text{NL}}(\nu_X)) = \text{sgn}_{X}^{\text{NL}/L^*}(X) \in H_n(X; \text{NL}^*)\).

(ii) Let \(\pi\) be a topological bundle reduction of the Spivak normal fibration \(\nu_X : X \to \text{BSG}\) of \(X\) and \(f : M \to X\) its associated degree one normal map. Then we have \(S(\nu_{\lambda}(\nu_X)) = \text{sgn}_{X}^{\text{NL}/L^*}(X) \in H_n(X; \text{L}^*)\).

(iii) Let \(\bar{f} : M' \to M\) be a degree one normal map of \(n\)-dimensional simply-connected topological manifolds with \(M'\) triangulated, corresponding to a pair \((\beta, h)\) with \(\beta : M' \to \text{BSTOP}\) and \(h : J(\beta) \cong \nu_{\text{AT}}\). Then we have \(S(\nu_{\text{NL}/L^*}(\beta, h)) = \text{sgn}_{X}^{\text{NL}/L^*}(\bar{f}) \in H_n(M'; \text{NL}/L^*)\).

A29 (221, 23) Mosaicked quadratic signature [Ran92, Example 9.14]

Let \(\tilde{f} : M \to X\) be a degree one normal map from a closed topological manifold to a Poincaré space both of dimension \(n\). Let \(r : X \to K\) be a map to a simplicial complex \(K\). There is a mosaicked quadratic signature \(\text{sgn}_{X}^{L^*/K}(\tilde{f}) \in L_n(K; \text{L}^*)\) with \(A(\text{sgn}_{X}^{L^*/K}(\tilde{f})) = \text{sgn}_{\text{G}_X}^{L^*/K}(\tilde{f})\). If \(X\) is a manifold, there is a refined version \(\text{sgn}_{X}^{L^*/K}(\tilde{f}) \in L_n(K; \text{L}^*)\).

162 Mosaicked normal/symmetric signature

Let \(\tilde{f} : M \to X\) be a degree one normal map and \(X\) triangulated. There is a normal/symmetric signature \(\text{sgn}_{X}^{\text{NL}/L^*}(\tilde{f})\) such that \(\text{d}(\text{sgn}_{X}^{\text{NL}/L^*}(\tilde{f})) = \text{sgn}_{X}^{L^*/K}(\tilde{f})\).

163 Assembly isomorphisms for simply-connected manifolds

Let \(M\) be a simply-connected \(n\)-dimensional manifold. Then the assembly map \(A : H_n(M, \text{L}^*) \to L_\otimes\) is an isomorphism.

Corollary

164 (22) Quadratic signature isomorphism

The quadratic signature defines an isomorphism \(\text{sgn}_{X; \text{G}/\text{TOP}}^{\text{L}^*} : [X; G/\text{TOP}] \cong H^0(X; \text{L}^*)\).
Proof 16

There will be no change of the connectivity conditions made on the involved spectra so we omit them in the notation. The symmetric spectrum is always 0-connected, the normal spectrum 1/2-connected and the quadratic spectrum is supposed to be 1-connected.

In the commutative diagram that we want to prove to be a homotopy pullback, we have, on the left hand side, the fiber $G/TOP$ and on the right hand side 161 identifies the fiber as $L_0(1)$.

We show that the induced map $\Phi: G/TOP \to L_0(1)$ induces isomorphisms $\Phi_n: [S^n, G/TOP] \cong [S^n, L_0(1)]$ on the homotopy groups for all $n \geq 0$ and hence is a homotopy equivalence.

As a first step, we point out that the surgery obstruction map realizes an isomorphism between these two groups. Then it remains to show that the induced map $\Phi_0$ is actually the same map.

An element in $[S^n, G/TOP]$ is given by a topological bundle $\alpha: S^n \to BSTOP$ together with a null-homotopy $h: S^n \times I \to BSG$ of $J(\alpha)$. It is equivalently given by a degree one normal map $\hat{f}: M \to S^n$ using the well-known isomorphism $N^*(S^n) \cong [S^n, G/TOP]$. It is also known that the surgery obstruction map $\theta = \text{sgn}_{2*}: N^*(S^n) \to L_n(\mathbb{Z})$ is an isomorphism for $n \geq 1$. On the other side we have the chain of isomorphisms

$$[S^n, L_0(1)] \xrightarrow{\hat{f}} H^0(S^n; L_\bullet) \xrightarrow{T} H^k(\text{Th}(J(\alpha)); L_\bullet) \xrightarrow{S} H_n(S^n; L_\bullet) \xrightarrow{A} L_n(\mathbb{Z}).$$

The maps $T$ and $S$ are the Thom and $S$-duality isomorphisms. That the assembly map $A$ is an isomorphism follows from the proof of 22 for the special case that $X$ is the manifold $S^n$. We can choose $f_0$ to be the identity so that the commutative diagram, that we use there simplifies to

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
N^*(X) & \xrightarrow{\text{sgn}_{2*}} & N_n(X; L_\bullet) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{sgn}_{2*}^L \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
H_n(X; L_\bullet) & \xrightarrow{A} & L_n(\mathbb{Z})
\end{array}$$

We prove in 22 that $\text{sgn}_{2*}^L$ is an isomorphism. In this simply-connected case $\text{sgn}_{2*}^L$ isomorphism as well because of 1631 and therefore $A$ is an isomorphism.

Remark. There is the subtlety that we use in 22 the homotopy equivalence $G/TOP \simeq L_0(1)$ which might look like a circular argument. But we do not have to use the map $\Phi$ induced by the homotopy pullback with which we deal here. Instead we use the homotopy equivalence $\text{Sing } G/TOP \simeq L_0(1)$ explicitly given as follows: A $k$-simplex $\Delta^k \to G/TOP$ can also be described as a map $f: M \to \Delta^k$ and we assign to it the quadratic chain complex $\text{sgn}_{2*}^L(f)$. This induces on homotopy groups the surgery obstruction isomorphism $\pi_n(G/TOP) \to L_n(\mathbb{Z})$ of 1631.

In the proof of 22 we identify the assembly with the surgery obstruction map because of 1221. A simplex Hence we can take for $f_0$ the identity.

It remains to show that this composition commutes with $\Phi$, more precisely that $A \circ S \circ T \circ i \circ \Phi(\alpha, h) = \text{sgn}_{2*}^L(f)$ but we will work in the actual fiber $NL/L_\bullet$ of $L_\bullet \to NL_\bullet$ and use the identification of the fiber with the quadratic spectrum $L_\bullet$ at the very end. The situation is summarized in the following diagram.
We need to have a closer look at how the element $\Phi(\alpha, h) \in [S^n; \text{NL}/L^0]$ is obtained from $(\alpha, h) \in [S^n; G/\text{TOP}]$. The map $\text{sgn}_{NL}^B(\alpha): S^n \to \text{BL}^*G$ is given by the pair $(J(\alpha), u^L(\alpha))$ and similarly the map $\text{sgn}_{NL}^{L^*}(h): S^n \times I \to \text{BNL}^*G$ is given by the pair $(h, u^{NL^*}(h))$. By the fibration sequence $L^0 \to \text{BL}^*G \to \text{BSG}$ we obtain from $h$ a map $\alpha^\circ: S^n \to L^0$ because of the null-homotopy $h: J(\alpha) \simeq \text{pt}$ in BSG. Similarly, with the fibration sequence $\text{NL}^0 \to \text{BNL}^*G \to \text{BSG}$ we obtain from $h$ a map $\alpha^\circ: S^n \otimes I \to \text{NL}^0$ which is a null-homotopy of $J(\alpha^\circ)$. Together, they yield a map $(\alpha^\circ, h^\circ): S^n \to \text{NL}/L^0$ using the second fibration sequence from 161.

Now we extend the diagram from above. Because $L^*$ and $\text{NL}^*$ are ring spectra, the orientations $u^{NL^*}(\nu_X)$ and $u^L(\nu_X)$ induce Thom isomorphisms. The fiber $\text{NL}/L^*$ is not a ring spectrum but a module spectrum over $L^*$. Hence $u^{NL^*}$ and $u^L$ also induce a compatible Thom isomorphism in $\text{NL}/L^*$. Together with $S$-duality and assembly maps we obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
[S^n, \text{NL}/L^0] & \xrightarrow{\cong} H^0(S^n; \text{NL}/L^*) \\
& \xrightarrow{\cup_{\text{NL}/L^*}} H^0(S^n; L^*) \\
& \xrightarrow{\cup_L L^*} H^0(S^n; \text{NL}^*)
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
H^k(\text{Th}(\alpha); \text{NL}/L^*) & \cong H^k(\text{Th}(\alpha); L^*) \\
& \cong H^k(\text{Th}(\alpha); \text{NL}^*)
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
H_n(S^n; \text{NL}/L^*) & \cong H_n(S^n; L^*) \\
& \cong H_n(S^n; \text{NL}^*)
\end{align*}
\]

where all homomorphisms are induced by maps of spaces.

We want to identify the element we obtain from $(\alpha, h)$ in $L_n(Z)$. Via the Thom isomorphism we obtain the orientation $u^{NL/L^*}(\alpha, h)$ in $H^k(\text{Th}(\alpha); \text{NL}^*)$. Applying $S$-duality yields by 15 (iii) the normal/symmetric signature $\text{sgn}_{NL}^{L^*}(\hat{f})$ of the corresponding degree one normal map $\hat{f}$. By 162 this is, via algebraic surgery, the same as the mosaicied quadratic signature $\text{sgn}_{L^*}^2(\hat{f})$ which has the property that assembly leads to $\text{sgn}_{L^*}^{L^*}(\hat{f})$. Hence the effect of algebraic surgery on the (normal, symmetric Poincaré) pair $\Lambda(\text{sgn}_{NL}^{NL^*}(\hat{f}))$ in $L(J)^n$ is $\text{sgn}_{L^*}^{L^*}(\hat{f})$. More explicitly, $\alpha$ leads to the element $\text{sgn}_{L^*}^{L^*}(S^n)$ in $\text{NL}^*(Z)$ and the relative term obtained from $(\alpha, h)$ is given by $(\text{sgn}_{L^*}^{NL^*}(M(\hat{f})), \text{sgn}_{L^*}^{L^*}(M) - \text{sgn}_{L^*}^{L^*}(S^n))$. The identification with $\text{sgn}_{L^*}^{L^*}(\hat{f})$ is carried out in 1621.

\[\square\]
The homotopy pullback square

\[ L^\bullet, L_\bullet, NL^\bullet \]
short for \( L^\bullet(0), L_\bullet(1), \) and \( NL^\bullet(1/2) \) respectively.

\[ L^\bullet(q), L_\bullet(q), NL^\bullet(q) \]
short for \( L^\bullet(A(Z)(q)), L_\bullet(A(Z)(q)), NL^\bullet(A(Z)(q)) \).

\[ \Lambda(Z) = (A(Z), B(Z), C(Z)) \]
denotes the algebraic bordism category with
- \( A(Z) \) the category of finitely generated free left \( Z \)-modules,
- \( B(Z) \) the bounded chain complexes in \( A(Z) \),
- \( C(Z) \) the contractible chain complexes of \( B(Z) \).

\[ \Lambda(q) \]
for \( \Lambda = (A, C, P) \) and \( q \in \mathbb{Z} \) the \( q \)-connective algebraic bordism category \( (A, C(q), P(q)) \).

\[ C(q) \]
the subcategory of chain complexes of \( C \) that are homotopy equivalent to \( q \)-connected chain complexes.

\[ \Lambda(1/2) \]
denotes for \( \Lambda = (A, C, P) \) the algebraic bordism category \( (A, C(0), P(1)) \).

\[ NL/L^\bullet := \text{Fiber}(J: L^\bullet \to NL^\bullet). \]

\[ J: L^n(R) \to NL^n(R) \]
roughly induced by \( j: W^\# C \to \hat{W}^\# C; \) see (111) for more details of how a normal structure \((\phi, \gamma, \chi)\) is obtained from a symmetric Poincaré chain complex \((C, \varphi)\).

\[ L(J)^n \]
the relative \( L \)-group of \( J: L^n(R) \to NL^n(R) \) is the cobordism group of (normal, symmetric Poincaré) pairs \((f: C \to D, (\delta \phi, \delta \gamma, \delta \chi), \varphi)\).

\[ L^n, L_w, NL^n \]
are the cobordism groups of symmetric Poincaré, quadratic Poincaré, normal chain complexes.

\[ L^\oplus \]
the component of \( 1 \in \mathbb{Z} \) in \( L^\bullet \).

\[ NL^\oplus \]
the component of \( 1 \in \mathbb{Z} \) in \( NL^\bullet \).

\[ \theta(\hat{f}) \]
Wall’s surgery obstruction for a degree one normal map \( \hat{f}: M \to X \). It is an element in \( L^\bullet(Z[\pi_1(X)]) \) and if \( n \geq 5 \) it vanishes if and only if \( \hat{f} \) is cobordant to a homotopy equivalence \( \hat{f}': M' \to X \).

\[ N(X) \]
the normal invariants of a geometric Poincaré complex \( X \). An element of \( N(X) \) can be represented in two different ways which are identified via the Pontrjagin-Thom construction:
- by a degree one normal map \((f, b): M \to X\) from a manifold \( M \) to \( X \) or
- by a pair \((\nu, h)\) where \( \nu: X \to \text{BSTOP} \) is a stable topological bundle on \( X \) and \( h: J(\nu) \simeq \nu_X \) is a homotopy from the underlying spherical fibration of \( \nu \) to the Spivak normal fibration \( \nu_X \) of \( X \).
21 The algebraic surgery exact sequence

\[ u_{NL/L}^\bullet(\alpha, h) = (u_{NL}^\bullet(h), u_L^\bullet(\alpha) - u_L^\bullet(\nu_X)) \in H^k(\text{Th}(\alpha); NL/L^\bullet) \]

the canonical \( NL/L^\bullet \)-orientation given by the composition

\[ \text{Th}(\alpha) \xrightarrow{u_{G/T}^\bullet(\alpha)} \text{MS}(G/TOP)(k) \to \Sigma^{-1} \Omega_{-k}^{\text{STOP}} \xrightarrow{\text{sgn}_{NL/L}^\bullet} NL/L^{-k}. \]

for a \( k \)-dimensional \( \mathbb{Z} \)-oriented topological bundle \( \alpha : X \to \text{BSTOP}(k) \) together with a homotopy \( h : J(\alpha) \simeq J(\nu_X) \)

21 The algebraic surgery exact sequence

21 The algebraic surgery exact sequence [Ran92, Prop. 14.7]
There is a long exact sequence

\[
\ldots \to H_n(X; L_\bullet) \xrightarrow{A} L_n(\mathbb{Z}\pi) \xrightarrow{\partial_n^Q} S_n(X) \xrightarrow{J} H_{n-1}(X; L_\bullet) \xrightarrow{A} \ldots
\]

Proof 21
The existence of this long exact sequence is a direct consequence of the proof of 12. The following exact braid was constructed there and the sequence we are looking for is a part of it.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
H_n(X; L_\bullet(0)) & \xrightarrow{J} & H_n(X; L_\bullet) \\
& \downarrow{\partial_n^Q} & \downarrow{\partial_n^Q} \\
VL_n(X) & \xrightarrow{\partial_n^Q} & S_n(X) \\
& \downarrow{1+t} & \downarrow{1+t} \\
L_n(\mathbb{Z}\pi) & \xrightarrow{J} & H_{n-1}(X; L_\bullet(1)) \\
& \downarrow{\partial_n^Q} & \downarrow{\partial_n^Q} \\
S_n(X) & \xrightarrow{J} & H_{n-1}(X; L_\bullet(0))
\end{array}
\]

22 Coset step

Porter

For a degree one normal map \( \hat{\epsilon} : M \to M' \) between manifolds \( M \) and \( M' \) the quadratic signature \( \text{sgn}_{\mathbb{L}_M}^\bullet(\hat{\epsilon}) \) considered as a map \( \mathcal{N}(M') \to L_n(\mathbb{Z}\pi) \) immediately factors through the assembly map \( A : H_n(M'; L_\bullet(1)) \to L_n(\mathbb{Z}\pi) \) using the mosaicked quadratic signature \( \text{sgn}_{\mathbb{L}_{M'}}^\bullet(\hat{\epsilon}) \in L_n(\Lambda_L M') = H_n(M'; L_\bullet(1)) \). But we need the same statement for a degree one normal map \( \hat{f} : M \to X \) with a Poincaré space as target. Thus, the output of the quadratic signature \( \text{sgn}_{\mathbb{L}_X}^\bullet(\hat{f}) \) is only globally Poincaré and hence lives in \( L_n(\Lambda_G X) \). The solution for obtaining a quadratic chain complex in
$L_n(A_L X)$ is to fix a degree one normal map $\hat{f}_0 : M \to X$ and to work relatively to $\hat{f}_0$. This is the core of the following proof.

22 Coset step

Let $f_i : M_i \to X$ with $i = 0, 1$ be two degree one normal maps. Then the difference of their mosaicked quadratic signature defines an element

$$\text{sgn}^{L_X}_{\mathbb{Z}_\pi} (f_1) - \text{sgn}^{L_X}_{\mathbb{Z}_\pi} (f_0) \in L_n(A_L X) = H_n(X; L_*) (1)$$

such that $A (\text{sgn}^{L_X}_{\mathbb{Z}_\pi} (f_1) - \text{sgn}^{L_X}_{\mathbb{Z}_\pi} (f_0)) = \text{sgn}^{L_{\mathbb{Z}_\pi}}_{\mathbb{Z}_\pi} (\hat{f}_1) - \text{sgn}^{L_{\mathbb{Z}_\pi}}_{\mathbb{Z}_\pi} (\hat{f}_0) \in L_n(\mathbb{Z}_\pi)$.

222 (normal, manifold)-cycles for Poincaré spaces [KMM13, Lemma 14.16]

Let $f_i := (\hat{f}_i, f_i) : M_i \to X$ with $i = 0, 1$ be two $n$-dimensional degree one normal maps from topological manifolds to Poincaré spaces. Then there exists a $\Sigma^{-1} \Omega^N_{\text{STOP}}$-cycle

$$[\tilde{f}_1, \tilde{f}_0] \Omega^N_{\text{STOP}} \in H_n(X; \Sigma^{-1} \Omega^N_{\text{STOP}})$$

such that $\text{sgn}^{L_X}_{\mathbb{Z}_\pi} (\tilde{f}_1) - \text{sgn}^{L_X}_{\mathbb{Z}_\pi} (\tilde{f}_0) = \text{sgn}^{L_{\mathbb{Z}_\pi}}_{\mathbb{Z}_\pi} ([\tilde{f}_1, \tilde{f}_0] \Omega^N_{\text{STOP}}) \in H_n(X; L_*) (1)$.

233 (normal, manifold)-cycles and MSTOP-orientations

Given a degree one normal map $\hat{f}_0 : M \to X$, there is a commutative diagram

$$\mathcal{N}(X) \xrightarrow{[-, \hat{f}_0] \Omega^N_{\text{STOP}}} H_n(X; \Sigma^{-1} \Omega^N_{\text{STOP}})$$

$$[X; G/TOP] \xrightarrow{f} H^0(X; \Sigma^{-1} \Omega^N_{\text{STOP}}) \xrightarrow{u^T (\nu)} H^k(Th(\nu X); \Sigma^{-1} \Omega^N_{\text{STOP}})$$

Let $[-, \hat{f}_0] \Omega^N_{\text{STOP}} = S (\tilde{f} (t(-, \hat{f}_0)) \cup u^T (\nu))$.

Proof 22

221 Difference of quadratic signatures [KMM13, section 14.5]

Let $f_i : M_i \to X$ be a Poincaré space and $\hat{f}_0 \in \mathcal{N}(X)$ a degree one normal map. Then there exists a bijection

$$t(-, \hat{f}_0) : \mathcal{N}(X) \xrightarrow{\cong} [X; G/TOP].$$

244 (22) Quadratic signature isomorphism

The quadratic signature defines an isomorphism $\text{sgn}^{L_X}_{G/TOP} : [X; G/TOP] \xrightarrow{\cong} H^0(X; L_*) (1)$.

224 Classification of normal invariants [Wal99, chapter 10]

Let $X$ be a Poincaré space and $f_0 \in \mathcal{N}(X)$ a degree one normal map. Then there exists a bijection

$$t(-, \hat{f}_0) : \mathcal{N}(X) \xrightarrow{\cong} [X; G/TOP].$$
This is done by decomposing $\text{sgn}^{L_*}_X(\cdot, \hat{f}_0)$ into four maps which fit into a diagram as follows.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{sgn}^{L_*}_X(\cdot, \hat{f}_0) & \ni & \mathcal{M}(X) \\
\approx & \Downarrow & [\mathcal{M}(X); G/T\text{OP}] \\
& \approx & [\mathcal{M}(X); G/T\text{OP}] \\
& \approx & H^0(X; L_*(1)) \\
& \approx & H^0(X; \Sigma^{-1} \Omega_0^{\text{STOP}}) \\
& \approx & H^k(\text{Th}(\nu_X); L_*(1)) \\
& \approx & H^k(\text{Th}(\nu_X); \Sigma^{-1} \Omega_0^{\text{STOP}}) \\
& \approx & \text{sgn}^{L_*}_X(\cdot, \hat{f}_0) \\
& \approx & S_{\text{dual}} \\
& \approx & H_n(X; \Sigma^{-1} \Omega_0^{\text{STOP}}) \\
\end{array}
\]

We verify that the top left corner of the diagram commutes by proving that all other paths in the diagram commute. Then it suffices that the four maps on the left hand side of the pyramid are isomorphisms.

Commutativity. The map

\[\tilde{\Gamma}: G/T\text{OP} \to \Sigma^{-1} \Omega_0^{\text{STOP}}\]

in the diagram is defined as follows. It associates to an $l$-simplex $\tilde{f}: M \to \Delta'$ in $G/T\text{OP}$ an $l$-simplex of $\Sigma^{-1} \Omega_0^{\text{STOP}}$ which is an $(l + 1)$-dimensional $l$-ad of (normal, topological manifold) pairs $(\mathcal{M}(f), M \sqcup - \Delta')$ where the normal structure comes from the bundle map $\tilde{f}$.

The proof of 16 shows that the quadratic signature map $\text{sgn}^{L_*}_G/T\text{OP}: G/T\text{OP} \to L_0(1)$ can be thought of as a composition of the two maps

\[\tilde{\Gamma}: G/T\text{OP} \to \Sigma^{-1} \Omega_0^{\text{STOP}} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{sgn}^{L_*}_{G/T\text{OP}}: \Sigma^{-1} \Omega_0^{\text{STOP}} \to L_0(1).
\]

Hence, the triangle at the peak of the pyramid in the diagram above commutes. The square beneath the peak commutes because of the naturality of the cup product with respect to the coefficient spectra and because the canonical $L^*-\text{orientation}$ of a stable topological bundle is the image of the canonical $M\text{STOP}$-orientation.

The commutativity of the bottom square follows from the naturality of the $S$-duality with respect to the coefficient spectra.

The proof that the outer rounded square commutes, i.e.

\[\text{sgn}^{L_*}_X(\cdot, \hat{f}_0) = \text{sgn}^{L_*}_{G/T\text{OP}}([\cdot, \hat{f}_0]^{\Sigma^{-1} \Omega_0^{\text{STOP}}}),\]

and that the upper right hand corner commutes, i.e.

\[[\cdot, \hat{f}_0]^{\Sigma^{-1} \Omega_0^{\text{STOP}}} = S(\tilde{\Gamma}(\tilde{x}) \cup u^T(\nu_0)),\]

will be given in the next level in the sections 222 and 223.
Coset step

Bijectivity. The bijectivity of the first map \( t(-, \xi_0) : \mathcal{M}(X) \cong [X; G/\text{TOP}] \) is a well-known fact. We provide some comments on that in 224. As for the second map \( \text{sgn}_L^L : [X; G/\text{TOP}] \cong H^0(X; L_u(1)) \), the isomorphism is a consequence of the proof of 16 as stated in 164.

The third map is given by the cup product with an \( L^*(0) \)-orientation. Recall that \( L_u(1) \) is a module spectrum over the ring spectrum \( L^*(0) \). The cup product

\[- \cup : H^p(X; L_u(1)) \otimes H^q(\text{Th}(\xi); L^*(0)) \to H^{p+q}(\text{Th}(\xi); L_u(1)), \]

\[x \otimes y \mapsto x \cup y\]

is given by the composition

\[x \cup y : \text{Th}(\xi) \xrightarrow{\Delta} X_+ \wedge \text{Th}(\xi) \xrightarrow{x \wedge y} L_u(1) \wedge L^q(0) \to L_{u+q}(1).\]

In this special case where \( y \) is an \( L^*(0) \)-orientation the cup product gives the Thom isomorphism

\[H^p(X; L_u(1)) \to H^{p+q}(\text{Th}(\xi); L_u(1)).\]

The last map of the composition is the S-duality isomorphism. □

Room service 22

\[\mathcal{M}(X)\] the normal invariants of a geometric Poincaré complex \( X \). An element of \( \mathcal{M}(X) \) can be represented in two different ways which are identified via the Pontrjagin-Thom construction:

- by a degree one normal map \( (f, h) : M \to X \) from a manifold \( M \) to \( X \) or
- by a pair \((\nu, h)\) where \( \nu : X \to \text{BSTOP} \) is a stable topological bundle on \( X \) and \( h : J(\nu) \simeq \nu_X \)

is a homotopy from the underlying spherical fibration of \( \nu \) to the Spivak normal fibration \( \nu_X \) of \( X \).

\[u^T(\alpha) \in H^k(\text{Th}(\alpha); L^*)\] the canonical \( L^* \)-orientation for a \( k \)-dimensional \( Z \)-oriented topological bundle \( \alpha : X \to \text{BSTOP}(k) \) is given by the composition

\[\text{Th}(\alpha) \xrightarrow{u^T(\alpha)} \text{MSTOP}(k) \xrightarrow{\cpi^{-1}} \Omega_{-k} \xrightarrow{\text{sgn}_L^L} L^{-k}(0).\]

\[u^T(\alpha) \in H^k(\text{Th}(\alpha); \text{MSTOP})\] the canonical \( \text{MSTOP} \)-orientation of \( \alpha \) which is a map on the Thom spaces \( \text{Th}(\alpha) \to \text{Th}(\gamma_{\text{STOP}}) \) induced by the classifying map of a \( k \)-dimensional \( Z \)-oriented topological bundle \( \alpha : X \to \text{BSG}(k) \).

\[\tilde{\Delta} : \text{Th}(\nu) \simeq \frac{V}{\partial V} \xrightarrow{\Delta} \frac{V \times V}{\partial V} \simeq \text{Th}(\nu) \wedge X_+\] the generalized diagonal map where \( V \) is the mapping cylinder of the projection map of \( \nu \) and \( \partial V \) the total space of \( \nu \).

\[\tilde{f} = (\tilde{f}, f) : M \to X\] an \( n \)-dimensional degree one normal map, i.e. a commutative square

\[\nu_M \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} \nu_X\] with \( f : M \to X \) a map from an \( n \)-dimensional manifold \( M \) to an \( n \)-dimensional Poincaré space \( X \) such that \( f_*([M]) = [X] \in H_n(X) \), and

\[\tilde{f} : \nu_M \to \nu_X\] stable bundle map from the stable normal bundle \( \nu_M : M \to \text{BSTOP} \) to a stable bundle \( \nu_X : X \to \text{BSTOP} \).

\[\text{sgn}_L^L(\tilde{f}) \in L_n(L_G X)\] the mosaicued quadratic signature over \( X \) constructed in the following way: make \( f \) transverse to the dual cells \( D(\sigma, K) \), then each \( \sigma \)-component is defined as the quadratic pair signature \( \text{sgn}_L^L(\tilde{f}[\sigma], \partial \tilde{f}[\sigma]) \).
\( \text{sgn}_{G/\text{TOP}}: G/\text{TOP} \to L_\bullet(1) \) the quadratic signature induced by the pullback square of 16.

\( \text{sgn}_{\Delta^k}^*: \Omega^{\text{STOP}} \to L_\bullet(0) \) the symmetric signature map defined for a \( k \)-simplex by \( X_{\Delta^k} \mapsto \text{sgn}_{\Delta^k}^*(X_{\Delta^k}) \).

\( \Omega^{\text{STOP}}_\bullet \) the \( \Omega \)-spectrum of Kan \( \Delta \)-sets defined by 
\[
(\Omega^{\text{STOP}}_n)^{(k)} = \{ (M, \partial_0 M, \ldots, \partial_k M) \mid (n + k) - \text{dimensional manifold} \ (k + 2)\text{-ad such that } \partial_0 M \cap \ldots \cap \partial_k M = \emptyset \}.
\]

The face maps \( \partial_i: (\Omega^{\text{STOP}}_n)^{(k)} \to (\Omega^{\text{STOP}}_n)^{(k-1)} \) are given by 
\[
\partial_i(M) = (\partial_i M, \partial_i M \cap \partial_0 M, \ldots, \partial_i M \cap \partial_i M_1, \partial_i M \cap \partial_i M_2, \ldots, \partial_i M \cap \partial_i M_i, \ldots, \partial_i M \cap \partial_i M_k).
\]

\( \Sigma^{-1}\Omega^{\text{STOP}}_n \) the \( \Omega \)-spectrum of \( \Delta \)-sets obtained as the fiber of canonical the map of spectra \( \Omega^{\text{STOP}}_\bullet \to \Omega^{\text{STOP}}_\bullet \).

23 Subset step

Porter

Recall the algebraic surgery braid from 12:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{H}_n(X; L_\bullet(0)) & \quad \xrightarrow{J} \quad \text{H}_n(X; \text{NL}_\bullet) \\
\text{H}_n(X; L_\bullet) & \quad \xrightarrow{A} \quad \text{V}_n(X) \\
\text{H}_n(X; \text{NL}_\bullet) & \quad \xrightarrow{\partial_0^N} \quad \text{H}_{n-1}(X; L_\bullet(1)) \\
\text{V}_n(X) & \quad \xrightarrow{A} \quad \text{S}_n(X) \\
\text{H}_{n-1}(X; L_\bullet(1)) & \quad \xrightarrow{1+t} \quad \text{H}_{n-1}(X; L_\bullet(0))
\end{align*}
\]

The aim here is to show that the subset of \( \text{L}_n(Z\pi) \), consisting of all quadratic signatures \( \text{sgn}_{Z\pi}^*: (\hat{f}) \) produced by degree one normal maps \( \hat{f}: M \to X \) between manifolds and \( X \), is a subset of the preimage of the total surgery obstruction \( s(X) \in S_n(X) \) under the boundary map \( \partial_0^Z: \text{L}_n(Z\pi) \to S_n(X) \). The total surgery obstruction was defined as the image of the visible signature \( \text{sgn}_{X}^{\text{NL}_\bullet} \) under the boundary map \( \partial_0^Z: \text{V}_n(X) \to S_n(X) \). The visible signature was defined as the normal signature \( \text{sgn}_{X}^{\text{NL}_\bullet} \) applied to the special case that \( X \) is a Poincaré space. Hence, the mosaicked normal structure produced by \( \text{sgn}_{X}^{\text{NL}_\bullet} \) is globally Poincaré (i.e. Poincaré after assembly) and lives in \( \text{NL}_n^\pi(\Lambda_G X) = \text{V}_n(X) \) instead of \( \text{NL}_n^\pi(\Lambda_N X) = \text{H}_n(X; \text{NL}_\bullet) \).
23 Subset step

In the final version of the braid as displayed above, $L_n(\Lambda_G X)$ was replaced by $L_n(\mathbb{Z} \pi)$ using the algebraic $\pi-\pi$-Theorem 1221. For the proof we need to go back to $L_n(\Lambda_G X)$ and work over algebraic bordism categories and consider instead the boundary map

$$\partial_G^Q : L_n(\mathbb{B}(1), G(1)) \to L_{n-1}(G(1), L(1)).$$

Therefore we need a mosaicked quadratic signature $\text{sgn}_X^L(\tilde{f}) \in L_n(\mathbb{B}(1), G(1))$ which is mapped to $\text{sgn}_{\mathbb{Z} \pi}^L(\tilde{f}) \in L_n(\mathbb{Z} \pi)$ under the algebraic $\pi-\pi$-isomorphism. This is obtained by the spectral quadratic construction.

Now the key to the proof of 23 is that the quadratic boundary of the normal signature $\text{sgn}^L_X(\tilde{f})$ can also be obtained by using the spectral quadratic construction. We will prove this explicitly for the absolute case. The fact that this holds also for the relative and the mosaicked case is a consequence of the long and technical proof of Theorem 7.1 in [Wei85b]. We will use this as a black box.

The original source for this room is [Ran81, section 7.3 and 7.4] with additional contributions from [Ran92, p.192] and [Wei85a, Wei85b]. Most of the details we give here can also be found in [KMM13, section 14.1 to 14.4].

### 23 (221) Subset step

− $\text{sgn}_{\mathbb{Z} \pi}^L(\mathcal{N}(X)) \subseteq \partial_{\mathbb{Z} \pi}^Q(s(X))$ where $\partial_{\mathbb{Z} \pi}^Q : L_n(\mathbb{Z} \pi) \to \mathbb{S}_n(X)$ is the boundary map from the surgery braid.

#### A29 (221, 23) Mosaicked quadratic signature [Ran92, Example 9.14]

Let $f : M \to X$ be a degree one normal map from a closed topological manifold to a Poincaré space both of dimension $n$. Let $r : X \to K$ be a map to a simplicial complex $K$. There is a mosaicked quadratic signature

$$\text{sgn}^L_K(\tilde{f}) \in L_n(\Lambda_G K)$$

with $A(\text{sgn}^L_X(\tilde{f})) = \text{sgn}^L_K(\tilde{f})$. If $X$ is a manifold, there is a refined version

$$\text{sgn}^L_K(\tilde{f}) \in L_n(\Lambda_L K).$$

#### [1221 (23) → [Ran92, Thm. 10.6]] Algebraic $\pi-\pi$-Theorem

The assembly map $A : L_n(\Lambda_G X) \to L_n(\mathbb{Z} \pi)$ defined by $M \to \bigoplus_{\bar{p} \in X} M(p(\bar{p}))$ is an isomorphism for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

#### 231 The absolute case

Let $g : N \to Y$ be a degree one normal map from a Poincaré space $N$ to a normal space $Y$ both of dimension $n$. There is a homotopy equivalence of quadratic chain complexes

$$h : \partial^Q \text{sgn}_{\mathbb{Z} \pi}^L(g) \simeq -\partial^N \text{sgn}_{\mathbb{Z} \pi}^{NL}(Y) \in L_{n-1}(\mathbb{Z} \pi).$$

#### 232 The relative case

Let $(\delta g, \tilde{g}) : (N, A) \to (Y, B)$ be a degree one normal map from a Poincaré pair $(N, A)$ to a normal pair $(Y, B)$ both of dimension $(n+1)$. Then there is a homotopy equivalence of quadratic pairs

$$h : \partial^Q \text{sgn}_{\mathbb{Z} \pi}^L(\delta g, \tilde{g}) \simeq -\partial^N \text{sgn}_{\mathbb{Z} \pi}^{NL}(Y, B).$$

### Proof 23

#### Note

Note that there is a mosaicked quadratic signature $\text{sgn}^L_X(\tilde{f}) \in L_n(\Lambda_G X)$ such that $A(\text{sgn}^L_X(\tilde{f})) = \text{sgn}_{\mathbb{Z} \pi}^L(\tilde{f}) \in L_n(\mathbb{Z} \pi)$ and recall that in this case the assembly map $A : L_n(\Lambda_G X) \to L_n(\mathbb{Z} \pi)$ is an
we apply the relative statement (23.1). We start with the top dimensional simplices. Inductively, we can make all the homotopy equivalences fit together. This can be generalized for $k$-ads indexed by simplices of $Y$ and produced by the relative spectral quadratic construction. Now for each simplex we apply the relative statement (23.2). We start with the top dimensional simplices. Inductively, we can make all the homotopy equivalences fit together.
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$$\text{sgn}_{\AST}^L(f) \in L_n(\Lambda G; X)$$

the mosaicked quadratic signature over $X$ constructed in the following way: make $f$ transverse to the dual cells $D(\sigma, K)$, then each $\sigma$-component is defined as the quadratic pair signature $\text{sgn}_{\AST}^L(f[\sigma], \partial f[\sigma])$.

$$\tilde{f} := (\tilde{f}, f): M \rightarrow X$$

an $n$-dimensional degree one normal map, i.e. a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\nu_M & \xrightarrow{\eta} & \eta\\
\downarrow f & & \downarrow f\\
M & \xrightarrow{f} & X
\end{array}$$

with $f: M \rightarrow X$ a map from an $n$-dimensional manifold $M$ to an $n$-dimensional Poincaré space $X$ such that $f_*(\{M\}) = \{X\} \in H_n(X)$, and

$$\tilde{f}: \nu_M \rightarrow \nu_X$$

stable bundle map from the stable normal bundle $\nu_M: M \rightarrow \text{BSTOP}$ to a stable bundle $\nu_X: X \rightarrow \text{BSTOP}$.

$$\text{sgn}_{\AST}^L(\delta\tilde{g}, \tilde{g}) = (f: C \rightarrow D, \delta\psi, \psi)$$

the quadratic pair signature for a degree one normal map $(\delta\tilde{g}, \tilde{g}): (N, A) \rightarrow (Y, B)$ from a Poincaré pair $(N, A)$ to a normal pair $(Y, B)$ defined as follows.

The maps

$$\delta\Gamma := \Gamma_N \circ \text{Th}(\tilde{\eta})/\text{Th}(\tilde{\eta})^*: (\text{Th}((\nu_Y)/\text{Th}(\nu_B))^* \rightarrow \Sigma^p X_+$$

$$\Gamma := \Gamma_A \circ \text{Th}(\tilde{\eta})^*: \Sigma^{-1}\text{Th}(\nu_B)^* \rightarrow \Sigma^p A_+$$

induce chain maps

$$g^\prime_\tau: C^{n+1-\tau}(Y, B) \rightarrow C(N)$$

$$g^\prime: C^n(B) \rightarrow C(A).$$

The chain complexes $C := \mathcal{C}(\partial g')$, $D := \mathcal{C}(g')$ are defined as the mapping cones on the induced maps and the pair structure $(\delta\psi, \psi) = \text{con}_{\delta\tilde{g}, \tilde{g}}^\prime (u^\prime_{\nu_Y})$ is obtained from the relative spectral quadratic construction applied to the $S$-dual of a choice of the Thom class $u(\nu_Y)$.

$$\partial\text{sgn}_{\AST}^L(\delta\tilde{g}, \tilde{g})$$

the quadratic boundary signature for an $n$-dimensional normal space $(Y, \nu, \rho)$ is an $(n - 1)$-quadratic chain complex $(\partial C', \partial \psi')$ in $L_{n-1}(\mathbb{Z}_\pi)$ (see B27).
\[ \Gamma_Y := S^{-1}(\tilde{\Delta} \circ \rho); \Theta(\nu)^* \rightarrow \Sigma^n Y^+ \] the semi-stable map obtained for an \( n \)-dimensional normal space \((Y, \nu, \rho)\) with an \( N \)-dimensional \( S \)-dual \( \Theta(\nu)^* \) of its Thom space and \( p = N - (n + k) \).

\[ \partial \text{gn}^{\text{L}_\nu}(Y, B) \] the quadratic boundary pair signature for an \( n \)-dimensional pair of normal spaces \((Y, B)\) is the \((n - 1)\)-quadratic Poincaré pair \((\partial C(B) \rightarrow \partial, C(Y), (\delta \psi, \psi))\) obtained by using the boundary construction and the spectral quadratic construction (see B28).

\[ (\tilde{f}[\sigma], \partial \tilde{f}[\sigma]) = (\tilde{f}[\sigma], f[\sigma]), (\tilde{f}[\sigma], \partial f[\sigma]) \] an \( n \)-dimensional degree one normal map

\[ (\nu_M|_{M[\sigma]}, \nu_M|_{\partial M[\sigma]}) \] the normal signature for a map \( f: \Sigma^n X \rightarrow \Sigma^n M \) following an \( n \)-dimensional normal map \( \tilde{f} \) after making \( f \) transverse to a \( K \)-dissection \( \bigcup_{\sigma \in K} X[\sigma] \) of \( X \).

\[ X[\sigma] \] is defined for a map \( r: X \rightarrow K \) to a simplicial complex as the preimage of the dual cell \( D(\sigma, K) \) after making \( r \) transverse. If \( X \) is a simplicial complex itself, choose \( r \) to be the identity. The subdivision \( X = \bigcup_{\sigma \in K} X[\sigma] \) is called a \( K \)-dissection of \( X \).

\[ \text{sgn}^{\text{NL}}_X(X) \in V \] defined for a Poincaré space \( X \) as the normal signature \( \text{sgn}^{\text{NL}}_X(X) \).

\[ \text{sgn}^{\text{NL}}_X(X) := \text{sgn}^{\text{NL}}_\Omega(X) \in H_n(X; \text{NL}^* \cong \text{NL}^*(\Lambda X)) \] the \( X \)-mosaic and normal signature defined here only for an \( n \)-dimensional Poincaré space \( X \).

\[ \text{sgn}^{\text{NL}}_X \] the normal signature map; based on the normal signature \( \text{sgn}^{\text{NL}}_\Omega \).

\[ [X]^{\Omega^*_X} \in H_n(X; \Omega^*_X) \] an \( n \)-dimensional \( \Omega^*_X \)-cycle which assigns to each \( \sigma \in X \) a \((m - n)\)-dimensional normal \((m - |\sigma| + 2)\)-ad \((X[\sigma], \nu(\sigma), \rho(\sigma))\) as constructed in 132.

\[ f': C(\tilde{X}) \rightarrow C(\tilde{M}) \] the Umkehr map of a degree one normal map \( \tilde{f}: M \rightarrow X \) of Poincaré spaces \( M \) and \( X \). We obtain a stable equivariant map \( F: \Sigma^k \tilde{X}_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^k \tilde{M}_+ \) for some \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) and define \( f' \) as the composition \( C(\tilde{X}) \xrightarrow{\Sigma^k} C(\Sigma^k \tilde{X}_+) \xrightarrow{f'} C(\Sigma^k \tilde{M}_+) \xrightarrow{\Sigma^k} C(\tilde{M}) \).

\[ \text{con}_{G,F}^{(\delta \psi, \psi)}: \Sigma^{-p} \tilde{C}(X, A) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(j, i)_G \] a chain map called relative spectral quadratic construction; defined for a semi-stable map of pairs \((G, F): (X, A) \rightarrow \Sigma^p(Y, B)\) (see A25).

\[ \Lambda G \] here short for the algebraic bordism category \((\mathbb{Z}, X, B(1), G(1))\).

\[ \Lambda N X \] here short for the algebraic bordism category \((\mathbb{Z}, X, B(1), B(0))\).
short for $\mathbb{B}(Z)_L X = \mathbb{B}(Z_\ast X)$, the $X$-based bounded chain complexes of free $\mathbb{Z}$-modules.

$\mathbb{G}$ short for $\mathbb{B}(Z)_G X := \{ C \in \mathbb{B}(Z) \mid A(C) \simeq \ast \}$, the globally contractible objects of $\mathbb{B}(Z)$.

$\mathbb{L}$ short for $\mathbb{B}(Z)_L X := \{ C \in \mathbb{B}(Z) \mid C(\sigma) \simeq \ast \text{ for all } \sigma \in X \}$, the locally contractible chain complexes of $\mathbb{B}$.

$C(q) \subset C$ the subcategory of a category of chain complexes $C$ restricted to chain complexes which are homotopy equivalent to $q$-connected chain complexes.
Level 3 and 4

In the elevator

At this level of detail we stop ordering the rooms by level and group the rooms together with their subrooms of the next level. We now come to the heart of the proof that will involve a lot of technical details. For non-experts we recommend to have a look at the basics explained in the basement. Based on the construction introduced there the following concepts are developed and used in this level:

- the relation between structured pairs and structured chain complexes using algebraic surgery in order to establish certain fibration sequences and exact sequences (111, 112)
- the construction of $L$-spectra (123, 1231, 1232)
- an explicit simplicial description of elements in homology groups with coefficients in $L$-spectra (131, 1311, 1312)

Further on we use these constructions in order to deal with signatures and orientations. Eventually, a crucial detail in the proof is that we play with three different ways of how we obtain a quadratic signature. They enable us to switch from the purely algebraical defined object to a more geometrical approach using cobordism of mapping cylinders.

111 Poincaré symmetric and Poincaré normal

Porter

In contrast to the symmetric and quadratic $L$-groups, for the normal $L$-groups we do not require the normal complexes to be Poincaré, i.e. that $\varphi_0$ is a homotopy equivalence. The reason is the
following statement that a Poincaré normal complex would carry the same information as a symmetric one. This establishes a map from symmetric to normal $L$-groups.

### 111 Poincaré symmetric and Poincaré normal [Ran92, Proposition 2.6 (ii)]

There is the following natural one-to-one correspondence of homotopy equivalence classes.

$\begin{array}{c}
n\text{-normal chain complexes} \\
(C, (\varphi, \gamma, \chi))
\end{array} \xleftarrow{L} \begin{array}{c}
n\text{-symmetric Poincaré chain complexes} \\
(C, \varphi)
\end{array}$

such that $\varphi_0$ is a chain homotopy equivalence.

#### Proof 111

In order to obtain the symmetric Poincaré chain complex just pick $(C, \varphi)$ from the normal chain complex $(C, (\varphi, \gamma, \chi))$. For the other direction we construct a normal structure for a symmetric Poincaré chain complex as follows. By definition, being Poincaré means $\varphi_0$ is a chain equivalence. Using a chain homotopy inverse $\tilde{\varphi}_0^{-1}$ of $\varphi_0^{-1}$ we obtain a chain bundle $\gamma \in \tilde{W}^n(C^{n-*})_0$ as the image of $\varphi \in W^n(C)_n$ under the composition

\[
\begin{array}{c}
W^n C^j & \xrightarrow{\varphi_0^{-1}} & \tilde{W}^n(C^{n-*})_n & \xrightarrow{S^{-n}} & \tilde{W}^n(C^{-*})_0.
\end{array}
\]

The chain homotopy $\tilde{\varphi}_0^{-1} \circ (\tilde{\varphi}_0^{-1})^{-1} \simeq 1$ yields the chain $\chi \in \tilde{W}^n C^{n+1}$, the last missing piece for a normal structure on $C$.

### 112 Quadratic and (normal, Poincaré symmetric)

#### 112 (121, 1411, 164) Quadratic and (normal, Poincaré symmetric) [Ran92, Proposition 2.8 (ii)]

There is the following natural one-to-one correspondence of cobordism classes.

$\begin{array}{c}
n\text{-dimensional (normal, symmetric) pairs} \\
(f : C \to D, (\delta \varphi, \delta \gamma, \delta \chi), \varphi)
\end{array} \xleftarrow{L} \begin{array}{c}
(n-1)\text{-dimensional quadratic chain complexes} \\
(C', \psi')
\end{array}$

Additionally, if $(C, \varphi)$ is Poincaré, then $(C', \psi')$ is Poincaré and vice versa.

#### B1 (112, 11, 121) Algebraic surgery [Ran92, Def. 1.12]

Let $(C, \varphi)$ be an $n$-symmetric chain complex. The effect of algebraic surgery of an $(n+1)$-symmetric pair $(f : C \to D, \delta \varphi, \varphi)$ on $(C, \varphi)$ is an $n$-symmetric chain complex $(C', \psi')$. It is Poincaré if and only if $(C, \varphi)$ is Poincaré. Moreover, we have $\partial C \simeq \partial C'$.

The same construction works for quadratic chain complexes as well.
B24 (112, 231) Quadratic boundary for normal
An n-normal chain complex \((C, (\varphi, \gamma, \chi))\) in \(NL^n(\mathbb{Z}^n)\) has an \((n - 1)\)-quadratic Poincaré boundary
\[
\partial^N(C, (\varphi, \gamma, \chi)) =: (\partial C, \partial \psi)
\]
which defines a map \(\partial^N : NL^n(\mathbb{Z}^n) \to L_{n-1}(\mathbb{Z}^n); \ (C, (\varphi, \gamma, \chi)) \mapsto (\partial C, \partial \psi)\).

Proof 112

Let \((f : C \to D, (\delta \varphi, \delta \gamma, \delta \chi), \varphi)\) be a (normal, symmetric) pair. Perform algebraic surgery with the symmetric pair \((f : C \to D, \delta \varphi, \varphi)\) on \((C, \varphi)\) to obtain a symmetric chain complex \((C', \varphi')\). A diagram similar to that used in the proof of B24 yields the quadratic Poincaré structure (replace \(\hat{\varphi}_0^{\%}\) by \(\hat{\varphi}'_0^{\%}\)).

For the other direction we start with an \((n - 1)\)-quadratic chain complex \((C', \psi')\). The corresponding \(n\)-dimensional (normal, Poincaré symmetric) pair is then given by \((C'' \to 0, 0, (1 + t)\psi))\).

Room service 112

\[
(f : C \to D, (\delta \varphi, \delta \gamma, \delta \chi), (\varphi, \gamma, \chi)) \quad \text{an \((n + 1)\)-normal pair with}
\]
\[
- (C, (\varphi, \gamma, \chi)) \text{ an } n\text{-normal chain complex}
- (f : C \to D, \delta \varphi, \varphi) \text{ an } n\text{-symmetric pair \([(n+1)]}
- (f, b) : (C, \gamma) \to (D, \delta \gamma) \text{ a map of chain bundles}
- \delta \chi \in \tilde{W}^\%(D)_{n+2} \text{ a chain such that}
\]
\[
J(\delta \varphi) - \hat{\delta} \varphi_0^{\%}(S^{n+1}\delta \gamma) + \delta \chi \varphi_0^{\%}(S^b) = d(\delta \chi) \in \tilde{W}^\%(D)_{n+1}.
\]

\[
\varphi_f = ev_r(\delta \varphi, \varphi) \simeq \left(\frac{\delta \varphi_0}{\varphi_0 f^*}\right) : D^{n-1} \to \mathbb{Z}(f)
\]

a chain map defined for an \(n\)-symmetric pair
\((f : C \to D, \delta \varphi, \varphi)\).

121 Exact sequences for inclusions of bordism categories

121 Exact sequences for inclusions of bordism categories [Ran92, Prop. 3.9]
An inclusion functor \((\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}, \mathbb{Q}) \to (\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}, \mathbb{P})\) of algebraic bordism categories induces the following long exact sequences in symmetric, quadratic and normal \(L\)-groups

\[
\ldots \to L^n(\mathbb{B}, \mathbb{Q}) \to L^n(\mathbb{B}, \mathbb{P}) \to L^{n-1}(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}) \to L^{n-1}(\mathbb{B}, \mathbb{Q}) \to \ldots 
\]
\[
\ldots \to L_n(\mathbb{B}, \mathbb{Q}) \to L_n(\mathbb{B}, \mathbb{P}) \to L_{n-1}(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}) \to L_{n-1}(\mathbb{B}, \mathbb{Q}) \to \ldots 
\]
\[
\ldots \to NL^n(\mathbb{B}, \mathbb{Q}) \to NL^n(\mathbb{B}, \mathbb{P}) \to NL_{n-1}(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}) \to NL_{n-1}(\mathbb{B}, \mathbb{Q}) \to \ldots
\]

1211 \to [Ran92, Prop. 3.8]]
A functor \(F : \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{A}'\) of algebraic bordism categories induces a map of \(L\)-groups.
112 (121, 1411, 164) Quadratic and (normal, Poincaré symmetric) [Ran92, Proposition 2.8 (ii)]

There is the following natural one-to-one correspondence of cobordism classes.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{\(n\)-dimensional} & \overset{1-1}{\longrightarrow} & \text{\((n-1)\)-dimensional} \\
\text{(normal, symmetric) pairs} & & \text{quadratic chain complexes} \\
(f: C \to D, (\delta \varphi, \delta \chi)) & \sim & (C', \psi')
\end{array}
\]

Additionally, if \((C, \varphi)\) is Poincaré, then \((C', \psi')\) is Poincaré and vice versa.

---

B1 (112, 11, 121) Algebraic surgery [Ran92, Def. 1.12]

Let \((C, \varphi)\) be an \(n\)-symmetric chain complex. The effect of algebraic surgery of an \((n+1)\)-symmetric pair \((f: C \to D, \delta \varphi, \varphi)\) on \((C, \varphi)\) is an \(n\)-symmetric chain complex \((C', \varphi')\). It is Poincaré if and only if \((C, \varphi)\) is Poincaré. Moreover, we have \(\partial C \simeq \partial C'\).

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{\(n\)-symmetric chain} & \overset{\text{algebraic surgery}}{\sim} & \text{\(n\)-symmetric chain} \\
\text{complex \((C, \varphi)\)} & & \text{complex \((C', \varphi')\)}
\end{array}
\]

The same construction works for quadratic chain complexes as well.

---

Proof 112

A functor \(F: \Lambda \to \Lambda'\) of algebraic bordism categories induces a map of \(L\)-groups. For an arbitrary functor \(F\) there are relative \(L\)-groups \(L^n(F), L_n(F)\) and \(NL^n(F)\) which fit into the long exact sequences

\[
\cdots \longrightarrow L_n(\Lambda) \longrightarrow L_n(\Lambda') \longrightarrow L_n(F) \longrightarrow L_{n-1}(\Lambda) \longrightarrow \cdots,
\]

\[
\cdots \longrightarrow L^n(\Lambda) \longrightarrow L^n(\Lambda') \longrightarrow L^n(F) \longrightarrow L^{n-1}(\Lambda) \longrightarrow \cdots,
\]

\[
\cdots \longrightarrow NL^n(\Lambda) \longrightarrow NL^n(\Lambda') \longrightarrow NL^n(F) \longrightarrow NL^{n-1}(\Lambda) \longrightarrow \cdots.
\]

Similar to the proof of the long exact sequence of \(L\)-groups in 11 we get a localized sequence by replacing the relative term. For the special case that \(F: (A, B, Q) \to (A, B, P)\) is an inclusion of algebraic bordism categories, i.e. \(Q \subset P \subset B\), we prove that the relative \(L\)-groups are given as follows.

(i) \(L^n(F) \cong L^{n-1}(A, P, Q)\),

(ii) \(L_n(F) \cong L_{n-1}(A, P, Q)\),

(iii) \(NL^n(F) \cong L_{n-1}(A, P, Q)\).

In the symmetric case (i) an element in \(L^n(F)\) is an \(n\)-symmetric pair \((f: C \to D, \delta \varphi, \varphi)\) in \((A, B, P)\) such that \((C, \varphi)\) is an \((n-1)\)-symmetric chain complex in \((A, B, Q)\). We define the map

\[
L^n(F) \to L_{n-1}(A, P, Q) \quad \text{by} \quad (f: C \to D, \delta \varphi, \varphi) \mapsto (C', \varphi')
\]

where \((C', \varphi')\) is the effect of algebraic surgery on \((f: C \to D, \delta \varphi, \varphi)\). By B1, algebraic surgery preserves the homotopy type of the boundary of \(C\). Hence \((C', \varphi')\) is \(Q\)-Poincaré and defines an element in \(L^n(A, P, Q)\).

The quadratic case is analog. In the normal case we additionally use 112 to obtain a quadratic structure.
Exact sequences for inclusions of bordism categories

\[ \Lambda = (A, C, P, (T, e)) \]

an algebraic bordism category, usually denoted by \( \Lambda \) or \((A, C, P)\), consists of full additive subcategories \( P \subseteq C \subseteq B(A) \) where \( P \) is closed under weak equivalences and mapping cones, i.e.

- \( \mathcal{E}(f: C \to D) \in P \) for any chain map \( f \) in \( P \),
- \( \mathcal{E}(\text{id}: C \to C) \in P \),
- \( \mathcal{E}(e(C): T^2(C) \to C) \in P \).

\[ F: \Lambda \to \Lambda' \]
a functor of algebraic bordism categories is a covariant functor of additive categories, such that

- \( F(B) \in B' \) for every \( B \in B \)
- \( F(C) \in P' \) for every \( C \in P \)
- for every \( A \in A \) there is a natural chain map \( G(A): T^F(A) \to T^{F'}(A) \) such that

\[
\begin{align*}
T^F(A) & \xrightarrow{T^G(A)} T^{F'}(A) \\
T^2F(A) & \xrightarrow{F\epsilon(A)} F(A)
\end{align*}
\]

commutes and \( \mathcal{E}(G(A)) \in P' \).

\[(C, \lambda) \text{ in } \Lambda \]
an \( n \)-dimensional structured chain complex in \( \Lambda = (A, C, P) \), i.e. a chain complex \( C \in C \) with an \( n \)-dimensional \( P \)-Poincaré structure \( \lambda \).

\[ f: (C \to D, \delta, \lambda, \lambda) \text{ in } \Lambda \]
a structured pair with \( C, D \in B, \lambda \) is \( P \)-Poincaré and \( \mathcal{E}(\delta\lambda_0, \lambda_0f^*) \in P \).

\( \lambda \)
placeholder for a symmetric \( \varphi \) resp. quadratic \( \psi \) or normal structure \( (\varphi, \gamma, \chi) \). We call a pair \((C, \lambda)\) a structured chain complex and a triple \((C \to D, \delta\lambda, \lambda)\) a structured pair.

\( \lambda_0 \)
stands for \( \varphi_0 \) in the symmetric and normal case and for \( (1 + t)\psi_0 \) in the quadratic case.

\( P \)-Poincaré
is what a structured complex \((C, \lambda)\) is called if \( \partial C := \Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{E}(\lambda_0) \in P \).

\[ L^n(\Lambda), L^n(\Lambda), NL^n(\Lambda) \]
the cobordism groups of \( n \)-dimensional symmetric, quadratic, and normal chain complexes in \( \Lambda \) respectively.

\( \text{Cobordism} \)
of \( n \)-dimensional structured chain complexes in \( \Lambda \):

\[(C, \lambda) \sim (C', \lambda') \iff \text{there is an } (n+1)-\text{dimensional structured pair } (C \oplus C' \to D, \delta\lambda, \lambda \oplus -\lambda') \text{ in } \Lambda.\]

\[ L^n(F), L^n(F), NL^n(F) \]
\( n \)-dimensional relative \( L \)-groups consisting, up to cobordism, of pairs \(((C, \lambda), (F(C) \to D, \delta\lambda, \lambda)) \) where \((C, \lambda)\) is an \((n-1)\)-dimensional structured chain complex in \( \Lambda \) and \((F(C) \to D, \delta\lambda, \lambda)\) an \( n \)-dimensional structured pair in \( \Lambda' \).
**122 Quadratic assembly isomorphism**

We only provide a comment on the connectivity condition here. The main work is done in the algebraic $\pi$-$\pi$-Theorem due to Ranicki.

**122 Quadratic assembly isomorphism** [Ran92, Proposition 15.11]

Let $X$ be a simplicial complex and $\Lambda = \Lambda(\mathbb{Z})$. Then for $n \geq 5$ we have

$$L_n(\Lambda(1)_G X) \cong L_n(\mathbb{Z} \pi).$$

**Proof 122**

In fact, the more general result holds that $A : L_n(\Lambda(q)_G X) \to L_n(\mathbb{Z} \pi)$ is an isomorphism for $n \geq 2q$. In this situation the forgetful map $L_n(\Lambda(q)_G X) \to L_n(\mathbb{Z} \pi)$ has an inverse defined by sending an $n$-quadratic chain complex $(C, \psi) \in L_n(\Lambda G X)$ to the quadratic chain complex obtained by algebraic surgery below the middle dimension using the quadratic pair $(C \to D, 0, \psi)$ with

$$D_r = \begin{cases} C_r & \text{if } 2r > n + 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Composed with the isomorphism from 1221 we obtain the desired isomorphism. \hfill \Box

**Room service 122**

$\Lambda(q)_G X$ here short for $\Lambda(\mathbb{Z})\langle q \rangle_X = (\mathbb{Z}_* X, B(q), G(q), (T_*, e_*))$, the $q$-connected algebraic bordism category of $X$-based free $\mathbb{Z}$-modules with global Poincaré duality where $q \geq 0$. For an arbitrary algebraic bordism category $\Lambda = (A, C, P, (T, e))$ defined as $(A_* X, C(q)_L X, P(q)_G X, (T_*, e_*)).$

**123 L-spectra and homology**

We prove in this room that certain $L$-groups are obtained as homology groups. Note that the second line in the display below is not a typo. It is a consequence of 111 which says that normal Poincaré is the same as symmetric Poincaré.

**123 (13) L-spectra and homology** [LM09, Remark 16.2][Ran92, Proposition 15.9]

Let $X$ be a simplicial complex and $\Lambda = \Lambda(\mathbb{Z})$. Then we have the following equivalences

$$L_n(\Lambda(1)_L X) = H_n(X; L_*(1)),$$

$$NL^n(\Lambda(0)_L X) = H_n(X; L^*(0)),$$

$$NL^n(\Lambda(1/2)_N X) = H_n(X; NL^*).$$
123 L-spectra and homology

1231 L-spectra [Ran92, Prop. 13.4]
There are $\Omega$-spectra of pointed Kan $\Delta$-sets
\[ L^*(\Lambda) := \{L^n(\Lambda) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \quad L_* (\Lambda) := \{L_n (\Lambda) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \quad NL^* (\Lambda) := \{NL^n (\Lambda) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \]
with homotopy groups
\[ \pi_n (L^*(\Lambda)) \cong L^n (\Lambda), \quad \pi_n (L_* (\Lambda)) \cong L_n (\Lambda), \quad \pi_n (NL^*(\Lambda)) \cong NL^n (\Lambda). \]

1232 L-spectra and smash products [LM09, Remark 16.2][Ran92, Prop. 13.7]
Let $K$ be finite simplicial complex and $\Lambda$ an algebraic bordism category. Then
\[ K_+ \wedge L_* (\Lambda) \cong L_* (\Lambda_\Lambda K) \]
\[ K_+ \wedge L^* (\Lambda) \cong L^* (\Lambda_\Lambda K) \]
\[ K_+ \wedge NL^* (\Lambda) \cong NL^*(\Lambda_\Lambda K) \]

Proof 123

1232→p.70 We obtain our result immediately from 1232 and 1231. In the quadratic case we have
\[ L_n (\Lambda_L X) \overset{\text{1231}}{=} \pi_n (L_* (\Lambda)) \overset{\text{1232}}{=} \pi_n (K_+ \wedge L_* (\Lambda)) := H_n (X, L_* (\Lambda)). \]

111→p.60 The third case is analog. For the second one use in addition the fact that by 111 there is a one-to-one correspondence between chain complexes in $NL^n (\Lambda_L X)$ and $L^n (\Lambda_L X)$. □

Room service 123

$\Lambda(q)_L X$ here short for $\Lambda(\mathbb{Z}) q L X = (\mathbb{Z}_*, X, B(q), \mathbb{L}(q), (T_*, e_*))$, the $q$-connected algebraic bordism category of $X$-based free $\mathbb{Z}$-modules with local Poincaré duality where $q \geq 0$. For an arbitrary algebraic bordism category $\Lambda = (\mathbb{A}_*, C, \mathbb{P}, (T, e))$ defined as $\Lambda_L X = C(q)_L X, \mathbb{F}(q)_L X, (T_*, e_*))$.

$\Lambda(1/2)_X X$ here short for $(\mathbb{Z}_*, X, B(0), B(1), (T_*, e_*))$, the 1/2-connected algebraic bordism category of $X$-based free $\mathbb{Z}$-modules with no Poincaré duality. For an arbitrary algebraic bordism category $\Lambda = (\mathbb{A}_*, C, \mathbb{P}, (T, e))$ defined as $\Lambda_L X, C(0)_L X, C(1)_L X, (T_*, e_*))$.

$B$ short for $B(\mathbb{Z}) L X = B(\mathbb{Z}, X)$, the $X$-based bounded chain complexes of free $\mathbb{Z}$-modules.

$L$ short for $B(\mathbb{Z}) L X := \{C \in B(\mathbb{Z}) \mid C(\sigma) \simeq * \text{ for all } \sigma \in X\}$, the locally contractible chain complexes of $\mathbb{B}$.

$\mathbb{C}(q)$ the subcategory of chain complexes of $\mathbb{C}$ that are homotopy equivalent to $q$-connected chain complexes.

$\mathbb{C}_L X := \{C \text{ chain complex in } \mathbb{A}_* X \mid C(\sigma) \in \mathbb{C} \text{ for all } \sigma \in X\}$ for a category $\mathbb{C}$ of chain complexes in $\mathbb{A}$.
\[ \mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{A}} \times X \] short for \( \mathbb{A}(\mathbb{Z}) \times X \), the additive category of \( X \)-based free \( \mathbb{Z} \)-modules with ‘non-decreasing’ morphisms \( \sum_{\tau, \sigma} f_{\tau, \sigma} : \sum_{\sigma} M_{\sigma} \to \sum_{\tau} N_{\tau} \)
where \( (f_{\tau, \sigma} : M_{\sigma} \to N_{\tau}) \) are \( \mathbb{Z} \)-module morphism.

\[ L^\bullet(q), L_\bullet(q), NL^\bullet(q) \] short for \( L^\bullet(\Lambda(\mathbb{Z})(q)), L_\bullet(\Lambda(\mathbb{Z})(q)), NL^\bullet(\Lambda(\mathbb{Z})(q)) \).

1231 L-spectra

We want to construct \( L \)-theory spectra whose homotopy groups are the \( L \)-groups in order to obtain signatures as elements in generalized homology theories. The technology used for the construction are \( \Delta \)-sets, i.e. simplicial sets without degeneracies.

We recall the basic definitions. Let \( \Delta \) be the prototype of a simplicial complex, i.e. the category with

\[ \text{obj}_\Delta : \text{sets } [n] := \{0, \ldots, n\} \text{ for } n \geq 0, \]

\[ \text{mor}_\Delta([n], [m]) : \text{strictly order preserving functions } [n] \to [m]. \]

A \( \Delta \)-set \( X \) is a contravariant functor from \( \Delta \) to the category of sets. We denote by \( X_k \) the \( k \)-skeleton and call the elements \( k \)-simplices. A map of \( \Delta \)-sets is a natural transformation.

Remark.

- An alternative description for a \( \Delta \)-set \( X \) is a sequence of sets \( X_k \), \( k \geq 0 \) with maps \( \partial_i : X_k \to X_{k-1} \) \( (0 \leq i \leq k) \) such that \( \partial_i \partial_j = \partial_j \partial_i \) when ever \( j < i \).

- A simplicial complex with ordered vertices defines a \( \Delta \)-set, but not the other way round. Consider the circle as standard one simplex where the vertices are identified. It is no longer a simplicial complex because the faces of the one simplex are not unique but it is a \( \Delta \)-set. However, one can take a subdivision to obtain a simplicial complex.

- Simplicial maps in general do not yield maps of \( \Delta \)-sets, e.g. the map which collapses the standard one simplex to a vertex.

In order to do homotopy theory we have to introduce fibrant \( \Delta \)-sets, which are called Kan \( \Delta \)-sets after [Kan55]. Let \( \Lambda^n_i \) be a simplicial complex obtained from the standard \( n \)-simplex \( \Delta^n \) by removing the interior and the \( i \)-th face. A \( \Delta \)-set satisfies the Kan condition if each map \( f : \Lambda^n_i \to X \) extends to a map \( \tilde{f} : \Delta^n \to X \). With Kan \( \Delta \)-sets we can define homotopy groups and loop spaces and construct spectra. More details on the definitions are in the room service section below. The original source is [RS71]. Summaries of the topic related to our setting can be found in [RW07] and [Ran92, §11].

1231 L-spectra [Ran92, Prop. 13.4]

There are \( \Omega \)-spectra of pointed Kan \( \Delta \)-sets

\[ L^\bullet(\Lambda) := \{L^n(\Lambda) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \quad L_\bullet(\Lambda) := \{L_n(\Lambda) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \quad NL^\bullet(\Lambda) := \{NL^n(\Lambda) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \]

with homotopy groups

\[ \pi_n(L^\bullet(\Lambda)) \cong L^n(\Lambda), \quad \pi_n(L_\bullet(\Lambda)) \cong L_n(\Lambda), \quad \pi_n(NL^\bullet(\Lambda)) \cong NL^n(\Lambda). \]
We only consider the symmetric case. For the proof of the Kan extension see [Ran92, Prop. 13.4]. Below we repeat the proofs for the $\Omega$-spectrum property and the identification of the homotopy groups.

For the $\Omega$-spectrum property we will see that in fact $(L^{n+1}(\Lambda))^k$ and $(\Omega L^n(\Lambda))^k$ are different descriptions of the same $\Delta$-set. Be aware that the indexing is reversed compared to the usual way, namely, if $E$ is any of the spectra above we have $E_{n+1} \simeq \Omega E_n$.

Let $\Lambda$ be an algebraic bordism category and $K$ a finite pointed $\Delta$-set. There is a one-to-one correspondence between $m$-dimensional simplices in $\Delta^k$ and $(m+1)$-dimensional simplices in $\Omega \Delta^k$ realized by mapping a simplex $\sigma := \{i_0, \ldots, i_m\}$ in $\Delta^k$ to $\tilde{\sigma} := \{i_0, \ldots, i_m, m+1\}$ in $\Omega \Delta^k$. Here is an example for $k = 1$.

This leads to an isomorphism between $L^{n+1}(\Lambda)$ and $\Omega L^n(\Lambda)$ as follows. Let $(C, \varphi)$ be an $(n+1)$-symmetric chain complex in $\Lambda^L \Delta^k$ which is a $k$-simplex in $L^{n+1}(\Lambda)$. Then $(C', \varphi')$, defined by

$$(C'(\tilde{\sigma}), \varphi'(\tilde{\sigma})) := \begin{cases} (C(\sigma), \varphi(\sigma)) & \text{if } \sigma \in \Delta^k \\ (0, 0) & \text{if } \sigma \in \partial_0 \Delta^{k+1} \cup \{k+1\}, \end{cases}$$

is a symmetric chain complex in $\Lambda^L(\Delta^{k+1}, \partial_0 \Delta^{k+1} \cup \{k+1\}) = \Lambda^L(\Omega \Delta^k)$, which is a $k$-simplex in $\Omega L^n(\Lambda)$. Because of the dimension shift of the underlying simplices, the symmetric structure $\varphi'(\tilde{\sigma}) : C'^{m+1+\tilde{\sigma}} \rightarrow C'^{m+1+\sigma} \xrightarrow{\varphi(\sigma)} C'(\sigma)$ is now $n$-dimensional on $C'$. To make this clear: $\varphi$ was $(n+1)$-dimensional, meaning $(n+1)$-dimensional on 0-simplices. Then $\varphi'$ is trivial on all 0-simplices and $(n+1)$-dimensional on 1-simplices and hence considered as an $n$-dimensional structure on the whole chain complex $C'$.

The proof of $\pi_n(L^*(\Lambda)) = L^n(\Lambda)$ is essentially the same story. A $k$-simplex in $\pi_n(L^m(\Lambda))$ is an $m$-dimensional symmetric chain complex $(C, \varphi)$ in $\Lambda^L K$ with $C(\sigma) = 0$ for all $\sigma \in K$ with $|\sigma| > n$. There is only one non-trivial column chain complex in $C$, namely $C(\tau)$ for the top-dimensional simplex $\tau$ with $|\tau| = n$. This means that $(C(\tau), \varphi(\tau))$ is an $(n+m)$-dimensional symmetric chain complex in $\Lambda$. The homotopy relation corresponds to the cobordism relation; hence $\pi_n(L^*(\Lambda)) = \pi_{n+m}(L^{-m}(\Lambda)) = L^n(\Lambda)$. □

Room service 1231

$\Lambda^L X$ for $\Lambda = (A, C, P)$ denotes $(A^* X, C^L X, \mathbb{P}^L X, (T^*, e^*))$, the $X$-mosaicked algebraic bordism category of $\Lambda = (A, C, P)$ with local Poincaré duality.
\( A^*X \) additive category of \( X \)-based objects in \( A \), i.e.

\[
\text{Obj}_{A^*X} = \left\{ \sum_{\sigma \in X} M_\sigma \mid M_\sigma \in A, M_\sigma = 0 \text{ except for finitely many } \sigma \right\},
\]

\[
\text{Mor}_{A^*X} \left( \sum_{\sigma \in X} M_\sigma, \sum_{\tau \in X} N_\tau \right) = \left\{ \sum_{\tau \leq \sigma} f_{\tau, \sigma} \mid (f_{\tau, \sigma} : M_\tau \to N_\tau) \in \text{Mor}_A(M_\sigma, N_\tau) \right\},
\]

where \( X \) is a simplicial complex.

\( C^L X := \{ C \text{ chain complex in } A^*X \mid C(\sigma) \in C \text{ for all } \sigma \in X \} \) for a category \( C \) of chain complexes in \( A \).

\( T^* \) defined for a chain duality \( T : A \to B(\hat{A}) \) as the mosaicked chain duality \( A^*X \to B(A^*X) \) with \( (T^*\sum_{\sigma \in X} M_\sigma)_r(\tau) = (T(\bigoplus_{r \geq \tau} M_\tau))_{r+|\tau|} \).

\( \mathbb{L}^*(\Lambda), \mathbb{L}_*(\Lambda), \mathbb{N}L^*(\Lambda) \) \( \Omega \)-spectra of pointed Kan \( \Delta \)-sets defined for an algebraic bordism Kan category \( \Lambda \) by

\( \mathbb{L}^*(\Lambda) = \left\{ \mathbb{L}_n(\Lambda) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{L}_n(\Lambda)^{(k)} = \{ \text{n-dim. } (C, \varphi) \text{ in } \Lambda^k \Delta^k \} \right\} \)

\( \mathbb{L}_*(\Lambda) = \left\{ \mathbb{L}_n(\Lambda) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{L}_n(\Lambda)^{(k)} = \{ \text{n-dim. } (C, \psi) \text{ in } \Lambda^k \Delta^k \} \right\} \)

\( \mathbb{N}L^*(\Lambda) = \left\{ \mathbb{N}L^*(\Lambda) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{N}L^*(\Lambda)^{(k)} = \{ \text{n-dim. } (C, (\gamma, \chi)) \text{ in } \Lambda^k \Delta^{k+n} \} \right\} \); face maps are induced by face inclusions \( \partial_i : \Delta^{k-1} \to \Delta^k \), base point is the 0-chain complex.

\( \Delta \)-set a simplicial set without degeneracies, i.e. a functor \( \Delta \to \text{Sets} \), where \( \Delta \) is the category of finite sets \( \{0, \ldots, n\}, n \geq 0 \) and order-preserving injections and \( \text{Sets} \) the category of sets and functions.

\( \emptyset \) the base point simplex in a pointed \( \Delta \)-set given in every dimension with \( \partial_0 \emptyset = \emptyset \).

\( \Delta \)-map \( f : K \to L \) a natural transformation, i.e. a collection \( \left\{ f_n : K^{(n)} \to L^{(n)} \mid n \geq 0 \right\} \) such that \( \partial_i f_n = \partial_i f_{n+1} \).

\( \text{Kan} \) is what a \( \Delta \)-set \( X \) is called if every map \( \Lambda^n \to X \) extends to a map \( \Delta^n \to X \); this property is necessary to do homotopy theory on \( \Delta \)-sets .

\( \Lambda^n_\partial := \Delta^n - ((\Delta^n)^{(n)} \cup \partial \Delta^n) \) the subcomplex of \( \Delta^n \) obtained by removing the interior of \( \Delta^n \) and a single face of \( \Delta^n \).

\( E \) an \( \Omega \)-spectrum of pointed Kan \( \Delta \)-sets, like \( \mathbb{L}^*(\Lambda), \mathbb{L}_*(\Lambda), \mathbb{N}L^*(\Lambda) \), is a sequence \( E_n \) of pointed Kan \( \Delta \)-sets together with homotopy equivalences \( E_n \xrightarrow{\cong} \Omega E_{n+1} \). Note that the indexing is reversed compared to the usual convention.

\( \Omega K := KS^1 \) the loop \( \Delta \)-set; can be expressed as the \( \Delta \)-set with \( n \)-simplices

\( \{ \sigma \in K^{(n+1)} \mid \partial_0 \partial_1 \ldots \partial_n \sigma = 0 \in K^{(0)}, \partial_{n+1} \sigma = 0 \in K^{(n)} \} \).
the pointed $\Delta$-set with base simplices in all dimensions and only one additional simplex in dimension $n$.

$K^+$

the function $\Delta$-set with $n$-simplices the $\Delta$-maps $K \otimes \Delta^n \to L$; face maps are induced by $\partial_i : \Delta^n \to \Delta^{n-1}$.

$K \otimes L$

the geometric product $\Delta$-set with one $p$-simplex for each equivalence class of triples

\[(m\text{-simplex } \sigma \in K, n\text{-simplex } \tau \in L, p\text{-simplex } \rho \in \Delta^m \times \Delta^n),\]

subject to the equivalence relation generated by $(\sigma, \tau, \rho) \sim (\sigma', \tau', \rho')$ if there exist $\Delta$-maps $f : \Delta^m \to \Delta^{m'}$, $g : \Delta^n \to \Delta^{n'}$ such that $\sigma = f^* \sigma'$, $\tau = g^* \tau'$, $(f \times g)_*(\rho) = \rho'$.

$K \times L$

the product for ordered simplicial complexes with 0-simplices $K^{(0)} \times L^{(0)}$ and vertices $(a_0, b_0), \ldots, (a_n, b_n)$ span an $n$-simplex if and only if $a_0 \leq \ldots \leq a_n, b_0 \leq \ldots \leq b_n$ and $(a_r, b_r) \neq (a_{r+1}, b_{r+1})$.

\[
\pi_n(E) = \pi_{n+k}(E_{-k})
\]

for $n, k \in \mathbb{Z}, n + k \geq 0$.

$\pi_n(K) = [0\Delta^{n+1}, K]$

the pointed homotopy groups; can be expressed as the set of equivalence classes $\{\sigma \in K^{(n)} \mid \partial_i \sigma = \emptyset, 0 \leq i \leq n\}$ with $\sigma \sim \tau$ if there exists $\rho \in K^{(n+1)}$ with faces $\partial_i \rho = \sigma, \partial_i \rho = \tau$ and $\emptyset$ otherwise. The composition is defined by $\sigma_0 \cdot \sigma_1 = \tau$ if there is a $\rho \in K^{(n+1)}$ with faces $\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \tau$ and $\emptyset$ otherwise.

\[h : K \otimes \Delta^1 \to L\]

a homotopy between two $\Delta$-maps $f_0, f_1 : K \to L$ is a $\Delta$-map $h : K \otimes \Delta^1 \to L$ with $h(\sigma \otimes i) = f_i(\sigma) \in L^{(n)}$ ($\sigma \in K^{(n)}, i = 0, 1$).

$K \wedge L = K \otimes L / (K \otimes \emptyset_L \cup \emptyset_K \otimes L)$

the smash product of pointed $\Delta$-sets.

$[K] := (\prod_{n \geq 0} \Delta^n \times K^{(n)} / \sim)$

the geometric realization of a simplicial complex $K$ with $\sim$ the equivalence relation generated by $(a, \partial_i \sigma) \sim (\partial_i a, \sigma)$ ($\sigma \in \Delta^n-1, \sigma \in K^{(n)}$) with $\partial_i : \Delta^{n-1} \to \Delta^n$ $(0 \leq i \leq n)$ the inclusion of the $i$-th face.

1232 L-spectra and smash products

Porter

We only give the foundational idea as suggested in [Ran92, p.140]. For a complete account we refer to [LM09]. There is also a different approach by Weiss [Wei92] which proves that the homology groups $H_n(X; L_*(\mathbb{Z}))$, $H_n(X; L^*(\mathbb{Z}))$, $H_n(X; NL^*(\mathbb{Z}))$ are induced by homotopy invariant and excisive functors from $X$ to $L_*(\Lambda L X)$, $L^*(\Lambda L X)$ and $NL^*(\Lambda X)$ and hence they are natural in $X$. 

Let $K$ be finite simplicial complex and $\Lambda$ an algebraic bordism category. Then

\[
\begin{align*}
    K_+ \wedge L_\ast(\Lambda) &\simeq L_\ast(\Lambda_K) \\
    K_+ \wedge L^\ast(\Lambda) &\simeq L^\ast(\Lambda_K) \\
    K_+ \wedge NL^\ast(\Lambda) &\simeq NL^\ast(\Lambda_N)
\end{align*}
\]

Proof 1232

We only deal with the quadratic case. The symmetric and normal cases are analog. We use an embedding $i: K \to \partial \Delta^{m+1}$ ($m \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough), the supplement construction $K$ and the simplicial complex $\Sigma^m$ in order to decompose the equivalence we want to prove into the following three equivalences

\[
L_\ast(\Lambda)_K \overset{(a)}{\cong} L_\ast(\Lambda^L(\Sigma^m, \Phi(\mathcal{K}))) \overset{(b)}{\cong} L_\ast(\Lambda)(\Sigma^m, \Phi(\mathcal{K})) \overset{(c)}{\cong} K_+ \wedge L_\ast(\Lambda).
\]

(a) $\Lambda_K \cong \Lambda^L(\Sigma^m, \Phi(\mathcal{K}))$

There is a one-to-one correspondence between $k$-simplices $\sigma$ in $\partial \Delta^{m+1}$ and $(m-k)$-simplices in $\Sigma^m$ by definition. It can be refined to a one-to-one correspondence between $k$-simplices of $K$ and $(m-k)$-simplices of $\Sigma^m \setminus \Phi(\mathcal{K})$. The equivalence follows from the property that $\sigma \leq \tau$ in $K$ if and only if $\sigma^* \geq \tau^*$ in $\Sigma^m \setminus \Phi(\mathcal{K})$ and the analogous opposite between the chain dualities $T_\ast$ and $T^\ast$.

(b) $L_\ast(\Lambda^L K) \simeq L_\ast(\Lambda)^K$

The basic idea is that in the category $\Lambda^L K$ every morphism $M(\sigma) \to N(\tau)$ has the property that the target simplex $\tau$ is contained in the source simplex $\sigma$. Hence we can split an $n$-quadratic chain complex $(C, \psi)$ in $\Lambda^L K$ into a collection of $n$-quadratic chain complexes $\{(C_\sigma, \psi_\sigma) \in \Lambda^L \Delta^{[n]} \mid \sigma \in K\}$ over standard simplices such that the $(C_\sigma, \psi_\sigma)$ are related to each other in the same way the corresponding simplices are related to each other in $K$, i.e. $C_\sigma(\partial_1 \sigma) = C_{\partial_0 \sigma}(\partial_0 \sigma)$ for all $\sigma \in K$. The complex $(C, \psi)$ is a $[n]$-simplex in $L_n(\Lambda)$ and the compatibility conditions are contained in the notion of $\Delta$-maps. Hence $(C, \psi)$ is the same as a $\Delta$-map $f: K_+ \to L_n(\Lambda)$ with $f(\sigma) = (C_\sigma, \psi_\sigma)$.

In [LM09] it is shown that this leads to a homotopy equivalence $L_\ast(\Lambda^L K) \simeq L_\ast(\Lambda)^K$ by identifying a $k$-simplex in $L_n(\Lambda^L K)$ which is an $n$-quadratic chain complex in $\Lambda^L K \Delta^n$ with an $n$-quadratic chain complex in $\Lambda^L (K \otimes \Delta^n)$ which is by the argument above a $\Delta$-map $K \otimes \Delta^n \to L_n$ and hence a $k$-simplex in $L_n(\Lambda)^K$.

(c) $L_\ast(\Lambda)(\Sigma^m, \Phi(\mathcal{K})) \simeq K_+ \wedge L_n(\Lambda)$

This equivalence is a spectrum version of the isomorphism of 1312.

Room service 1232

For details on $\Delta$-sets and spectra see the room service of the previous section.
$\Sigma^m$ is a simplicial complex dual to $\partial \Delta^{m+1}$ with one $k$-simplex $\sigma^*$ for every $(m-k)$-simplex $\sigma$ in $\partial \Delta^{m+1}$ and face maps

$$\partial_i : (\Sigma^m)^{(k)} := \left\{ \sigma^* | \sigma \in (\partial \Delta^{m+1})^{(m-k)} \right\} \to (\Sigma^{m})^{(k-1)}; \quad \sigma^* \mapsto (\partial_i \sigma)^* \quad (0 \leq i \leq k)$$

i.e. if the $(m-k)$-simplex $\sigma$ is spanned by the vertices $\{0, 1, \ldots, m+1\} \setminus \{j_0, \ldots, j_k\}$ than $\sigma^*$ is spanned by the vertices $\{j_0, \ldots, j_k\}$ and $\partial_i (\sigma^*) = (\partial_i \sigma)^* = (\sigma \cup \{j_i\})^* = \sigma^* \setminus \{j_i\}$.

$\sigma^* \in \Sigma^m$ the dual $k$-simplex with $\partial_i \sigma^* = (\partial_i \sigma)^*$ for a $(m-k)$-simplex $\sigma \in \partial \Delta^{m+1}$.

$K := K \div \partial \Delta^{m+1}$ the supplement of $K$ embedded into $\partial \Delta^{m+1}$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough.

$L \div K := \{ \sigma' \in L' | \text{no vertex of } \sigma' \text{ lies in } K' \} = \bigcup_{\sigma \in L, \sigma \not\subseteq K} D(\sigma, L) \subseteq L'$ the supplement of a subcomplex $K$ of a simplicial complex $L$, i.e. the subcomplex of $L'$ spanned by all of the vertices of $L' - K'$.

$\Phi : (\partial \Delta^{m+1})^\ast \cong (\Sigma^m)^\ast$ an isomorphism of simplicial complexes that maps dual cells in $\partial \Delta^{m+1}$ to simplices in $\Sigma^m$. For more details see 1311.

$T_\ast$ defined for a chain duality $T : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A})$ as the mosaicked chain duality $\mathcal{A}, K \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}, K)$ with $(T_\ast(\sum_{\sigma \in K} M_\sigma)),(\tau) = (T(\bigoplus_{\tau \leq \tau} M_\tau))_{r+|\tau|}$.

$T^\ast$ defined for a chain duality $T : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A})$ as the mosaicked chain duality $\mathcal{A}^\ast K \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}^\ast K)$ with $(T^\ast(\sum_{\sigma \in K} M_\sigma)),(\tau) = (T(\bigoplus_{\tau \geq \tau} M_\tau))_{r+|\tau|}$.

131 $E_\ast$-cycles

Porter

The outcome of this statement is an explicit and handy description of elements in $H_n(X; \mathcal{E})$ called $E_\ast$-cycles. We think of homology as cohomology of the $S$-dual in order to get $\Delta$-maps as elements.

Then a subtle combinatorial construction of the $S$-dual enables us to define the maps actually on the simplices of $X$ instead of the $S$-dual of $X$. More precisely, in order to determine an element in $H_n(X; \mathcal{E})$ we have to assign for each $\sigma \in X$ an $(m - |\sigma|)$-dimensional simplex in $\mathbf{E}_{n-m}$ such that a reversed boundary relation is satisfied.

The crucial ingredient for this construction is the manner in which the two simplicial complexes $\partial \Delta^{m+1}$ and $\Sigma^m$ are related to each other. First, there is the duality relation coming immediately from the definition of $\Sigma^m$; that is $\Sigma^m$ has one $k$-simplex $\sigma^*$ for each $(m-k)$-simplex $\sigma$ of $\partial \Delta^{m+1}$ and $\sigma^*$ is a face of $\tau^*$ if and only if $\tau$ is a face of $\sigma$.
But when we pass on to the barycentric subdivision of $\partial \Delta^{m+1}$ and $\Sigma^m$, we get another relation:
An isomorphism $\Phi$ of simplicial complexes which identifies dual cells in $\partial \Delta^{m+1}$ with simplices in the non-subdivided complex $\Sigma^m$. The reference for this is Ran92, §12.

---

**131 (15) $E_\ast$-cycles [Ran92, Prop. 12.8]**

Let $X$ be a finite simplicial complex and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough such that there is an embedding of $X$ into $\partial \Delta^{m+1}$. Then an $n$-dimensional $E$-cycle $[K]^E$ of $X$ in $\partial \Delta^{m+1}$ defines an element in $H_n(X; E)$.

---

**1311 (132, 15) Simplicial dual complex [Ran92, §12]**

There is an isomorphism of simplicial complexes $\Phi : (\partial \Delta^{m+1})' \cong (\Sigma^m)'$ such that for each $\sigma^* \in \Sigma^m$ we have

$$\Phi(D(\sigma, \partial \Delta^{m+1})) = \sigma^*.$$

---

**1312 (15) Simplicial description of homology [Ran92, Proposition 12.4]**

Let $E$ be an $\Omega$-spectrum of Kan $\Delta$-sets and $K$ a finite simplicial complex. Then for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough such that there is an embedding $K \subset \partial \Delta^{m+1}$ we have

$$S : H_n(K; E) \cong H^{m-n}(\Sigma^m, \Phi(\Sigma); E).$$

---

**Proof 131**

We use the dual simplicial complex of 1311 to define, with the data of an $n$-dimensional $E$-cycle $[K]^E$, a $\Delta$-map

$$[\Sigma^m, \Phi(\Sigma)] \rightarrow E_{n-m}; \quad \sigma^* \mapsto \begin{cases} [X]^E(\sigma) & \sigma \in K \\ \emptyset & \sigma \notin K \end{cases}$$

and hence an element in $H^{m-n}(\Sigma^m, \Phi(\Sigma); E)$. By 1312, this is via $S$-duality isomorphic to $H_n(X; E)$.

---

**Remark.** We can define two $E$-cycles $x_0$ and $x_1$ to be cobordant if there is a $\Delta$-map $g : (\Sigma^m, \Phi(\Sigma)) \otimes \Delta^1 \rightarrow (E_{n-m}, \emptyset)$ such that $g(\sigma \times i) = x_i(\sigma) \in E_{n-m}[\sigma]$ for $\sigma \in X$ and $i = 0, 1$. This corresponds to the homotopy relation of $\Delta$-maps and thus there is a one to one relation between cobordism classes of $n$-dimensional $E$-cycles in $X$ and elements of $H_n(X; E)$.

---

**Proof 1311 (Simplicial dual complex)**

Define $\Phi : (\partial \Delta^{m+1})' \rightarrow (\Sigma^m)'$ for an $n$-simplex $\sigma = \{\delta_0, \ldots, \delta_n\}$ to be the $n$-simplex $\Phi(\sigma) = \{\delta_0^*, \ldots, \delta_n^*\}$ where $\delta_0 < \ldots < \delta_n$ and hence $\delta_0^* > \ldots > \delta_n^*$. Therefore, a dual cell $D(\sigma, K)$, consisting of all simplices $\{\delta_0, \ldots, \delta_p\}$ such that $\sigma \leq \delta_0 < \delta_1 < \cdots < \delta_p$ gets mapped to the subcomplex $(\sigma^*)'$ consisting of the simplices $\{\delta_0^*, \ldots, \delta_p^*\}$ with $\sigma \geq \delta_0^* > \delta_1^* > \cdots > \delta_p^*$. See the picture below for an example for $m = 2$.

---

![Diagram](image-url)
131 $E_\bullet$-cycles

Remark. The isomorphism $\Phi$ induces a homeomorphism of geometric realizations with the property $|\Phi(D(\sigma, K))| \cong |\Delta^{m-|\sigma|}|$.

Proof 1312 (Simplicial description of homology)

We think of $K$ embedded in $\Sigma^m$ via the isomorphism $\Phi$. The complex $\Sigma^m/\Phi(K)$ is the quotient of $\Sigma^m$ by the complement of a neighborhood of $K$. This is a well-known construction of an $m$-dimensional $S$-dual of $K$, which is proved in detail for example in [Whi62, p. 265]. The construction there provides an explicit simplicial construction of the reduced diagonal map $\Delta^\prime: \Sigma^m \to K_+ \wedge (\Sigma^m/\Phi(K))$. On spectrum level, after reindexing the spectrum $\mathbf{F}_n := (E_{n-m}, \emptyset)(\Sigma^m, \Phi(K))$ it gives a map of $\Delta$-sets

$$
\mathbf{F}_n \to (K_+ \wedge E_{n-m})^\Sigma^m \cong \Omega_m(K_+ \wedge E_{n-m}) \cong (K_+ \wedge E)_n
$$

that maps a $p$-simplex $\sigma: \Sigma^m \wedge \Delta^p_+ \to E_{n-m}$ to the composition

$$
\Sigma^m \wedge \Delta^p_+ \xrightarrow{\Delta^\prime \wedge \text{id}} K_+ \wedge (\Sigma^m/\Phi(K)) \wedge \Delta^p_+ \xrightarrow{\text{id} \wedge \sigma} K_+ \wedge E_{n-m}
$$

and induces the Alexander $S$-duality isomorphism on the generalized homology groups.

$$
H^{m-n}(\Sigma^m, \Phi(K); E) = \pi_n(\mathbf{F}) \cong \pi_n(K_+ \wedge E) = H_n(K; E)
$$

See [Ran92, §12, especially Remark 12.5] for more details. \qed

Room service 131, 1311 and 1312

| $E$ | an $\Omega$ ring spectrum of Kan $\Delta$-sets, e.g. $\mathbf{N}L^\bullet$ or $L^\bullet$. |
| $\Omega K := K^{S^1}$ | the loop $\Delta$-set; can be expressed as the $\Delta$-set with $n$-simplices |
| $\{ \sigma \in K^{(n+1)} \mid \partial_0 \partial_1 \ldots \partial_n \sigma = \emptyset \in K^{(0)}, \partial_{n+1} \sigma = \emptyset \in K^{(n)} \}$ | |
| $\Sigma^n$ | the pointed $\Delta$-set with base simplices in all dimensions and only one additional simplex in dimension $n$. |

$[K]^E \in H_n(K; E)$ an $n$-dimensional $E$-cycle of a simplicial complex $K \subset \partial \Delta^{n+1}$ defined by a collection $\{ [K]^E(\sigma) \in E_{n-m-|\sigma|} \mid \sigma \in K \}$ such that $\partial_i [K]^E(\sigma) = [K]^E(\delta_i \sigma)$ if $\delta_i \sigma \in K$ and $\emptyset$ otherwise.

$H_n(K; E) := \pi_n(K_+ \wedge E) = \lim_k \pi_{n+k}(K_+ \wedge E_{-k})$ the $E$-homology groups for a locally finite $\Delta$-set $K$.

$H^n(K; E) := \pi_{-n}(E^{K_+}) = [K_+; E_{-n}]$ the $E$-cohomology groups for a locally finite $\Delta$-set $K$.

for a $\Delta$-set $K$ the pointed $\Delta$-set with $n$-simplices $K^{(n)} \cup \{ \emptyset \}$ ($n \geq 0$).

the base point simplex in a pointed $\Delta$-set given in every dimension with $\partial_i \emptyset = \emptyset$. 

74
We prove that we can subdivide a Poincaré space producing something in the algebraic bordism category. The details of the geometric construction below have been already published in [KMM13, section 11]. 9.12 and a few more details are given in [Ran11, Errata for p.103]. The existence of such a signature was claimed in [Ran92, Example 75].

In this room we construct an important geometric ingredient for the total surgery obstruction. We prove that we can apply the normal signature in order to obtain a mosaicked normal signature. Porter

132 Normal cycles

In this room we construct an important geometric ingredient for the total surgery obstruction. We prove that we can subdivide a Poincaré space $X$ in pieces of normal spaces in such a way that we can apply the normal signature in order to obtain a mosaicked normal signature $\text{sgn}_X^{NL}$ producing something in the algebraic bordism category $\Lambda_G X$ that will be used for the definition of the total surgery obstruction. The existence of such a signature was claimed in [Ran92, Example 9.12] and a few more details are given in [Ran11, Errata for p.103]. The details of the geometric construction below have been already published in [KMM13, section 11].

132 (15) Normal cycles [KMM13, Construction 11.1, 11.2 and 11.3] Let $X$ be a finite simplicial Poincaré space of dimension $n$ embedded into $\partial \Delta^{m+1}$ for an $m \gg n$ large enough. There is an $n$-dimensional $\Omega_N$-cycle, i.e. a collection of assignments

$$\left[ X \right]^{\Omega_N^*} = \left\{ \sigma \mapsto x(\sigma) = (X(\sigma), \nu(\sigma), \rho(\sigma)) \in \left( \Omega_{n-m}^N \right)^{(m-|\sigma|)} \right\} ,$$

where $\sigma \in X$, $\partial_i x(\sigma) = x(\delta_i \sigma)$ for all $\sigma, \delta_i \sigma \in X$, $0 \leq i \leq m - |\sigma|$. 


We have to construct for \( \sigma \in \Sigma^m \) we have
\[
\Phi(D(\sigma, \partial \Delta^{m+1})) = \sigma^*.
\]

**Proof 132**

Let \((X, \nu_X, \rho)\) be the associated normal space of the Poincaré space \(X\) where \(\nu_X\) is the Spivak normal fibration of \(X\) with fiber \(S^{m-n}\) and \(\rho: S^m \to \text{Th}(\nu_X)\) the Pontrjagin-Thom collapse map. We have to construct for \(\sigma \in X\) an \((n-|\sigma|)\)-dimensional normal space \(x(\sigma) = (X(\sigma), \nu_X(\sigma), \rho(\sigma))\). The first two entries of the triple \(x(\sigma)\) are defined as follows
\[
X(\sigma) = |D(\sigma, X)|, \quad \nu(\sigma) = \nu_X \circ \text{incl}: X(\sigma) \hookrightarrow X \to \text{BSG}(m - n - 1).
\]

For the definition of the remaining map \(\rho(\sigma): \Delta^{m-|\sigma|} \to \text{Th}(\nu(\sigma))\) we need some ideas from [BM52, §2] about supplements of simplicial complexes together with the crucial insight from [Ran92, p.123] into how dual cells in \(\partial \Delta^{m+1}\) can be identified with simplices in an appropriate dual complex \(\Sigma^m\). We do not need these constructions in all generality but only the special case where \(X\) is embedded into \(\partial \Delta^{m+1}\) for some \(m > 0\). For simplicity we abbreviate the supplement \(\partial \Delta^{m+1} \div X\) by \(\overline{X}\).

Every simplex of \(\partial \Delta^{m+1}\) which is neither in \(X'\) nor in \(\overline{X}\) is the join of a simplex of \(X'\) and a simplex of \(\overline{X}\). Thus there is an embedding \[\partial \Delta^{m+1} \hookrightarrow |X| \ast |\overline{X}|.\] Using this embedding we get a description of \(|\partial \Delta^{m+1}|\) in coordinates \((x, t, y)\) where \(x \in |X|, y \in |\overline{X}|\) and \(0 \leq t \leq 1\). If \(t = 0\), then \((x, t, y) \in |X|\), if \(t = 1\), then \((x, t, y) \in |\overline{X}|\).

Let

\[
N := N(X') := \left\{ (x, t, y) \in |\partial \Delta^{m+1}| \mid t \leq \frac{1}{2} \right\}, \quad \overline{N} := N(\overline{X}) := \left\{ (x, t, y) \in |\partial \Delta^{m+1}| \mid t \geq \frac{1}{2} \right\}.
\]

Then \(N\) and \(\overline{N}\) are closed neighborhoods of \(|X|\) and \(|\overline{X}|\) and \(|\partial \Delta^{m+1}| = N \cup \overline{N}\). There are obvious deformation retracts \(r: N \to |X|\) and \(\pi: \overline{N} \to |\overline{X}|\).

For \(m\) large enough the homotopy fiber of the projection map \(\partial r: \partial N \to N \cap \overline{N} \to X\) is homotopy equivalent to \(S^{m-n-1}\) and the associated spherical fibration is the Spivak normal fibration \(\nu_X\). In more detail, there is a \((D^{m-n}, S^{m-n-1})\)-fibration \(p: (D(\nu_X), S(\nu_X)) \to X\) and a homotopy equivalence of pairs \(h: (N, \partial N) \to (D(\nu_X), S(\nu_X))\) such that the following diagram commutes

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
(N, \partial N) & \xrightarrow{h} & (D(\nu_X), S(\nu_X)) \\
\downarrow r & & \downarrow p \\
X & \xrightarrow{\rho_X} & \text{Th}(\nu_X)
\end{array}
\]

The map \(p\) is now an honest fibration.

Let \(c: \overline{N} \to *\) be the collapse map. We recover \(\rho_X\) by
\[
\rho_X: S^m \cong |\partial \Delta^{m+1}| \cong N \cup \overline{N} \xrightarrow{h, \text{incl}} D(\nu_X) \cup \{\ast\} \cong \text{Th}(\nu_X).
\]
Now, we use the data above to get a dissection of $\rho_X$ in accordance with the dissection $\bigcup_{\sigma \in X} X(\sigma)$ of $X$. For $\sigma \in X$ let

$$N(\sigma) := N \cap (X(\sigma) \ast |X|),$$

$$N(\sigma) := N \cap (X(\sigma) \ast |X|).$$

Then we have the dissection $N = \bigcup_{\sigma \in X} N(\sigma)$ and the deformation retraction $r$ restricts for each $N(\sigma)$ to a deformation retraction

$$r(\sigma) = r|_{N(\sigma)} : N(\sigma) \rightarrow X(\sigma).$$

and $N(\sigma) \cup \overline{N}(\sigma) = |D(\sigma, \partial \Delta^{m+1})|$. 

The isomorphism $\Phi$ from 1311 gives us already the right source $D(\sigma, \partial \Delta^{m+1}) \cong \Delta^{m-|\sigma|}$ for the map $r(\sigma)$.

For the target $\text{Th}(\nu(\sigma))$ we have to solve the problem that, in general, the projections $\partial r(\sigma) : \partial N(\sigma) = N(\sigma) \cap \overline{N}(\sigma) \rightarrow X(\sigma)$ do not give a spherical fibration and especially not $\nu(\sigma)$. We defined $\nu(\sigma)$ as pullback of $\nu_X$ along the inclusion $X(\sigma) \rightarrow X$. The associated disc fibration $D(\nu(\sigma))$ is a pullback as indicated by the following diagram.

Since the two compositions $N(\sigma) \rightarrow |X(\sigma)| \rightarrow |X|$ and $N(\sigma) \rightarrow N \rightarrow D(\nu_X) \rightarrow |X|$ commute we obtain the dashed map $\rho(N(\sigma)) : N(\sigma) \rightarrow D(\nu(\sigma))$.

Let $c(\sigma) : N(\sigma) \rightarrow \{\ast\}$ be the collapse map. Finally, we define

$$\rho(\sigma) : \Delta^{m-|\sigma|} \cong N(\sigma) \cup \overline{N}(\sigma) \xrightarrow{h(\sigma) \cup c(\sigma)} D(\nu(\sigma)) \cup \{\ast\} \cong \text{Th}(\nu(\sigma)).$$

Room service 132

$(Y, \nu, \rho)$ an $n$-dimensional normal space consisting of a topological space $Y$ together with an oriented $k$-dimensional spherical fibration $\nu : Y \rightarrow \text{BSG}(k)$ and a map $\rho : S^{n+k} \rightarrow \text{Th}(\nu)$.

$D(\sigma, K)$ dual cell of a simplex $\sigma$ in a simplicial complex $K$ is the subcomplex of the barycentric subdivision $K'$ defined by

$$D(\sigma, K) = \{\tilde{\sigma}_0 \tilde{\sigma}_1 \ldots \tilde{\sigma}_r \mid \sigma \leq \sigma_0 < \sigma_1 < \ldots < \sigma_r \}.$$
L \div K := \{ \sigma' \in L' \mid \text{no vertex of } \sigma' \text{ lies in } K' \} = \bigcup_{\sigma \in L, \sigma \notin K} D(\sigma, L) \subset L' \quad \text{the supplement of a subcomplex } K \text{ of a simplicial complex } L, \text{i.e. the subcomplex of } L' \text{ spanned by all of the vertices of } L' - K'.

K' := K \div \partial \Delta^{m+1} \quad \text{the supplement of } K \text{ embedded into } \partial \Delta^{m+1} \text{ for } m \in \mathbb{N} \text{ large enough.}

\Phi: (\partial \Delta^{m+1})' \cong (\Sigma^m)' \quad \text{an isomorphism of simplicial complexes that maps dual cells in } \partial \Delta^{m+1} \text{ to simplices in } \Sigma^m. \text{ For more details see 1311.}

\hat{\sigma} \quad \text{the vertex in } K' \text{ given by the barycenter of the simplex } \sigma \in K.

[K] \ast [L] \quad \text{the join of two topological spaces } X \text{ and } Y \text{ obtained from } X \times I \times Y \text{ by identifying } x \times 0 \times Y \text{ with } x \text{ for all } x \in X \text{ and } X \times 1 \times y \text{ with } y \text{ for all } y \in Y \text{ [Whi50, p. 202, III]. Thus each point of } X \ast Y \text{ lies on a unique line segment joining a point of } X \text{ to a point } Y.

[K]^E \in H_n(K; E) \quad \text{an } n\text{-dimensional } E\text{-cycle of a simplicial complex } K \subset \partial \Delta^{m+1} \text{ defined by a collection } \{ [K]^E(\sigma) \in E_{n-m}^{(m-|\sigma|)} \mid \sigma \in K \} \text{ such that } \partial_i[K]^E(\sigma) = [K]^E(\delta_i\sigma) \text{ if } \delta_i\sigma \in K \text{ and } \emptyset \text{ otherwise.}

\Omega^N \quad \text{the } \Omega\text{-spectrum of Kan } \Delta\text{-sets defined by}

(\Omega^N) = \{(X_{n+k}, \nu, \rho) \mid (n+k) - \text{dimensional normal space } (k+2)\text{-ad, i.e.}
\begin{align*}
X_{n+k} &= (X, \partial_0X, \ldots, \partial_kX) \text{ s.t. } \partial_0X \cap \ldots \cap \partial_kX = \emptyset, \\
\nu: X \to \text{BSG}(r) \text{ an } (r-1)\text{-spherical fibration}, \\
\rho: \Delta_{n+k+r} \to \text{Th}(\nu) \text{ s.t. } \rho(\partial_i\Delta_{n+k+r}) \subset \text{Th}(\nu|_{\partial_iX})
\end{align*}
\}

The face maps \( \partial_i: (\Omega^N) \to (\Omega^N) \) are given by
\( \partial_i(X) = (\partial_iX, \partial_iX \cap \partial_0X, \ldots, \partial_iX \cap \partial_{i-1}X, \partial_iX \cap \partial_{i+1}X, \ldots, \partial_iX \cap \partial_kX) \).

Porter

The \( L \)-spectra are constructed using chain complexes over \( \mathbb{Z}^* \Delta^k \). So for the signature maps we need mosaicked signatures slightly different from \( \text{sgn}^L_X \) and \( \text{sgn}^{NL/L}_X \) as constructed for example in A17 or A29. Instead of the dual cells we have to use the simplices itself in the construction. We provide some details for the symmetric case. The normal case is much more complicated and we refer for it to [Wei85b].

141 Signature spectra maps
141 (13, 15) Signature spectra maps [Ran79]

The relative symmetric and relative normal construction induce maps of spectra

\[ \text{sgn}_\Omega^L : \Omega_*^{\text{STOP}} \to L_*^\bullet(0), \quad \text{sgn}_\Omega^{NL} : \Omega_*^{NL} \to NL_*^\bullet, \]
\[ \text{sgn}_\Omega^{NL/L} : \Sigma^{-1} \Omega_*^{NL,\text{STOP}} \to \text{NL}(1/2)/L(0)^0, \quad \text{and} \]
\[ \text{sgn}_\Omega^L : \Sigma^{-1} \Omega_*^{NL,\text{STOP}} \to L_*^1. \]

141 (161) \(L\)-spectrum fibration sequences [Ran92, Prop. 15.16]

There are homotopy fibration sequences

\[ \text{L}_* \simeq \text{NL}/L_*^L \xrightarrow{1+t \delta} \text{NL}^* \quad \text{and} \quad \text{L}_*(1) \simeq \text{NL}(1/2)/L(0)^0 \xrightarrow{1+1/t} \text{L}^* \xrightarrow{f} \text{NL}^*. \]

112 (121, 1411, 1644) Quadratic and (normal, Poincaré symmetric) [Ran92, Proposition 2.8 (ii)]

There is the following natural one-to-one correspondence of cobordism classes.

\[ \text{n-dimensional (normal, symmetric) pairs} \quad (f : C \to D, (\delta \varphi, \delta \gamma, \delta \chi), \varphi) \longleftrightarrow \text{(n-1)-dimensional quadratic chain complexes} \quad (C', \psi') \]

Additionally, if \((C, \varphi)\) is Poincaré, then \((C', \psi')\) is Poincaré and vice versa.

Proof 141

(a) \(\text{sgn}_\Omega^L : \Omega_*^{\text{STOP}} \to L_*^\bullet(0)\)

Let \(M_{\Delta^k} = (M, \partial_0 M, \ldots, \partial_k M)\) be an \((n+k)\)-dimensional manifold \(k\text{-ad}\) and denote by \(M_\sigma\) for \(\sigma \in \Delta^k\) the boundary component of \(M_{\Delta^k}\) which corresponds to \(\sigma\). Let \(C_{\Delta^k}\) be the chain subcomplex of the singular chain complex \(C(M)\) consisting of simplices that respect the \(k\text{-ad}\) structure such that each singular simplex is contained in some \(M_\sigma\). It is still chain homotopy equivalent to \(C(M)\) but can now be considered to be a chain complex in \(\mathbb{Z}^* \Delta^k\) by \(C_{\Delta^k}(\sigma) = C(M_\sigma, \partial M_\sigma)\). The dual chain complex \(T^*C_{\Delta^k}\) is given by \(T^*C_{\Delta^k}(\sigma) = C(\sigma)^{-\text{sym}}(M_\sigma)\). A generalization of the relative symmetric construction A14 gives a chain map

\[ \varphi_{\Delta^k} : \Sigma^{-k} C(M, \partial M) \to W^k(C(M)) \]

which, applied to the fundamental class \([M] \in C_{n+k}(M, \partial M)\), produces an \(n\)-symmetric chain complex \((C_{\Delta^k}, \varphi_{\Delta^k})\) in \(\Lambda^L \Delta^k\) because the maps

\[ \varphi_{\Delta^k}(\sigma)_0 : C^{n-k+|\sigma|^*-\text{sym}}(M_\sigma) \to C(M_\sigma, \partial M_\sigma) \]

are given by taking the cap products with the fundamental classes \([M_\sigma] \in C_{n-k+|\sigma|}(M_\sigma, \partial M_\sigma)\) and hence are chain homotopy equivalences with contractible mapping cones.

Since the geometric input yields only chain complexes concentrated in non-negative degrees, the connectivity requirement is fulfilled.

(b) \(\text{sgn}_\Omega^{NL} : \Omega_*^{NL} \to NL_*^\bullet\)

For the second case use the relative normal construction. The full details can be found in [Wei85b, section 7]. Why we can expect to obtain a 1/2-connected normal chain complex is explained in [Ran92, p. 178].

(c) \(\text{sgn}_\Omega^{NL} : \Sigma^{-1} \Omega_*^{NL,\text{STOP}} \to \text{NL}(1/2)/L(0)^0\) and \(\text{sgn}_\Omega^L : \Sigma^{-1} \Omega_*^{NL,\text{STOP}} \to L_*^1\)

The existence of these maps follows from (a) and (b) and the fibration sequence of 1411.
141 Signature spectra maps

Proof 1411 (L-spectra fibration sequences)

By 112, we can identify the fiber of the map $L^* \to NL^*$ with $L_*$ using algebraic surgery. In the connective case the 1-connective Poincaré structure in $NL^*$ ensures that we obtain something in $L_*(1)$. 

Room service 141 and 1411

$M_{\Delta^k}$ manifold $k$-ad consisting of a manifold $M$ and submanifolds $\partial_0 M, \ldots, \partial_k M$ such that $\partial_0 M \cap \ldots \cap \partial_k M = 0$.

$\Lambda^L X$ for $\Lambda = (A, C, P)$ denotes $(A^L X, C^L X, \mathbb{P}^L X, (T^*, e^*))$, the $X$-mosaicked algebraic bordism category of $\Lambda = (A, C, P)$ with local Poincaré duality.

$L_*(q), L_*(q), NL_*(q)$ short for $L^*(\Lambda(\mathbb{Z})(q)), L_*(\Lambda(\mathbb{Z})(q)), NL_*(\Lambda(\mathbb{Z})(q))$.

$\Lambda(\mathbb{Z}) = (A(\mathbb{Z}), B(\mathbb{Z}), C(\mathbb{Z}))$ denotes the algebraic bordism category with

- $A(\mathbb{Z})$ the category of finitely generated free left $\mathbb{Z}$-modules,
- $B(\mathbb{Z})$ the bounded chain complexes in $A(\mathbb{Z})$,
- $C(\mathbb{Z})$ the contractible chain complexes of $B(\mathbb{Z})$.

$\Lambda(q)$ for $\Lambda = (A, C, P)$ and $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ the $q$-connective algebraic bordism category $(A, C(q), P(q))$.

$\Lambda(1/2)$ denotes for $\Lambda = (A, C, P)$ the algebraic bordism category $(A, C(0), P(1))$.

$C(q)$ the subcategory of chain complexes of $C$ that are homotopy equivalent to $q$-connected chain complexes.

$NL(1/2)/L(0)* := \text{Fiber}(J: L(0)^* \to NL^*)$

$J: L^n(R) \to NL^n(R)$ roughly induced by $j: W^n C \to \tilde{W}^n C$; see (111) for more details of how a normal structure $(\varphi, \gamma, \chi)$ is obtained from a symmetric Poincaré chain complex $(C, \varphi)$.

$\Omega_*^{STOP}$ the $\Omega$-spectrum of Kan $\Delta$-sets defined by

$\Omega_n^{STOP}(k) = \{(M, \partial_0 M, \ldots, \partial_k M) \mid (n + k) - \text{dimensional manifold}
\}
\}$

$\Omega_*^N$ the $\Omega$-spectrum of Kan $\Delta$-sets defined by

$\Omega_n^N(k) = \{(X_{\Delta^k}, \nu, \rho) \mid (n + k) - \text{dimensional normal space} (k + 2)\text{-ad, i.e.}
\}
\}$

\begin{align*}
X_{\Delta^k} &= (X, \partial_0 X, \ldots, \partial_k X) \text{ s.t. } \partial_0 X \cap \ldots \cap \partial_k X = \emptyset, \\
\nu: X &\to BSG(r) \text{ an } (r-1)\text{-spherical fibration,} \\
\rho: \Delta^{n+k+r} &\to \text{Th}(\nu) \text{ s.t. } \rho(\partial_\Delta^{n+k+r}) \subset \text{Th}(\nu|_{\partial X})
\end{align*}
the $\Omega$-spectrum of $\Delta$-sets obtained as the fiber of canonical the map of spectra

$$
\Sigma^{-1} \Omega^N \to \Omega^N.
$$

161 Fibration sequence of classifying spaces

161 Fibration sequence of classifying spaces [Ran79, p.290][KMM13, Prop. 13.6]

There are the following homotopy fibration sequences of spaces:

$$
L_0^\ast \langle 1 \rangle \simeq NL(1/2)/L^0(0) \to BL^\ast(0)G \to BNL^\ast G
$$

and

$$
L_0^\ast \langle 1 \rangle \simeq NL(1/2)/L^0(0) \to L^\circ (0) \to NL^\circ (1/2).
$$

[1611 → [May77, section III.2]]

For an $\Omega$ ring spectrum $E$ with $\pi_0(E) = \mathbb{Z}$ there is the following homotopy fibration sequence

$$
E^\circ \to BEG \to BSG.
$$

1411 (161) $L$-spectra fibration sequences [Ran92, Prop. 15.16]

There are homotopy fibration sequences

$$
L^\ast \simeq NL/L^\ast \quad \overset{1+t}{\longrightarrow} \quad L^\ast \overset{J}{\longrightarrow} NL^\ast \quad \text{and} \quad L^\ast (1) \simeq NL(1/2)/L^0(0) \overset{1+t}{\longrightarrow} L^\circ (0) \overset{J}{\longrightarrow} NL^\circ.
$$

Proof 161

Both spectra $L^\ast (0)$ and $NL^\ast$ are ring spectra with $\pi_0 \simeq \mathbb{Z}$. Consider the sequence of 1611 for these spectra together with the map $J$ between them. We obtain a diagram as follows with an induced map on the fibers.

$$
\begin{array}{c}
L^\circ (0) \longrightarrow NL^\circ (1/2) \\
\downarrow \quad \Quad
162 Mosaicked normal/symmetric signature

Room service 161

If \( c: X \to E \otimes \) is a map then \( i(c): X \to BEG \) is given by the pair \( (\varepsilon, u_E(i(c))) \) with \( u_E(i(c)) : \text{Th}(\varepsilon) \xrightarrow{\Delta} X_+ \wedge \text{Th}(\varepsilon) \xrightarrow{c^L \Sigma^1(1) \wedge} E \otimes E_k \to E_k \).

The component of \( 1 \in \mathbb{Z} \) for an \( \Omega \) ring spectrum \( E \) with \( \pi_0(E) = \mathbb{Z} \), e.g. \( L^0(0) \) or \( NL^0(1/2) \).

The classifying space of spherical fibrations with \( E \)-orientation; a map \( X \to BEG \) is given by a pair \( (\nu, u_E) \) with \( \nu \) a spherical fibration and \( u_E \) an \( E \)-orientation.

\[ \pi^E(\nu) \] an \( E \)-orientation of a \( \mathbb{Z} \)-oriented spherical fibration \( \nu: X \to BSG(k) \) for a ring spectrum \( E \) is a homotopy class of maps \( u_E(\nu): T(\nu) \to E \) such that for each \( x \in X \) the restriction \( u_E(\nu)_x: T(\nu_x) \to E \) to the fiber \( \nu_x \) of \( \nu \) over \( x \) represents a generator of \( E^*(T(\nu_x)) \cong E^*(S^0) \) which under the Hurewicz homomorphism \( E^*(T(\nu_x)) \to H^*(T(\nu_x); \mathbb{Z}) \) maps to the chosen \( \mathbb{Z} \)-orientation.

\[ J: L^n(R) \to NL^n(R) \] roughly induced by \( j: W^nC \to \tilde{W}^nC \); see (111) for more details of how a normal structure \((\varphi, \gamma, \chi)\) is obtained from a symmetric Poincaré chain complex \((C, \varphi)\).

162 Mosaicked normal/symmetric signature

Let \( \tilde{f}: M \to X \) be a degree one normal map and \( X \) triangulated. There is a normal/symmetric signature \( \text{sgn}^{NL/L^\bullet}_{X} (\tilde{f}) \) such that \( \partial(\text{sgn}^{NL/L^\bullet}_{X} (\tilde{f})) = \text{sgn}^{L^\bullet}_{X} (\tilde{f}) \).

1621 Alternative quadratic signatures [KMM13, Example 3.26][Ran81, Prop. 7.4.1][Ran92, Remark 2.16][Wei85b, Theorem 7.1]

Let \( \tilde{f}: M \to X \) be a degree one normal map of \( n \)-dimensional Poincaré spaces with Spivak normal fibrations \( \nu_M \) and \( \nu_X \).

Let \( W = M(\tilde{f}) \) be the mapping cylinder. The quadratic boundary pair signature \( \partial \text{sgn}_{Z}^{L^\bullet} \) applied to the \((n+1)\)-dimensional (normal, Poincaré) pair of spaces

\[ Z = ((W, M) \amalg X), (\nu_W, \nu_M, \rho_W, \rho_M, \rho_{M\amalg X}) \]

gives a quadratic pair \( \partial \text{sgn}_{Z}^{L^\bullet} (Z) = (\partial D', \partial \psi', \partial \bar{\psi}') \) such that the following equivalences hold:

\[ \partial \text{sgn}_{\text{sgn}^{NL/L^\bullet}_{Z} (\tilde{f})} (\tilde{f}) \xrightarrow{(1)} (\partial D', \partial \psi') \xrightarrow{(2)} \text{sgn}_{\text{sgn}^{L^\bullet}_{\text{sgn}^{NL/L^\bullet}_{Z} (\tilde{f})}} (\tilde{f}) \]

If \( \tilde{f} \) is replaced by a degree one normal \( \tilde{g}: N \to Y \) from a Poincaré space to a normal space the same construction yields a quadratic pair such that

\[ \partial \text{sgn}_{\text{sgn}^{NL/L^\bullet}_{\text{sgn}^{NL/L^\bullet}_{Z} (\tilde{f})}} (\tilde{g}) = (\partial D', \delta \psi') = \text{sgn}_{\text{sgn}^{L^\bullet}_{\text{sgn}^{NL/L^\bullet}_{Z} (\tilde{f})}} (\tilde{g}) \].

Proof 162

For each \( \sigma \in X \) we have a map \( \tilde{f}[\sigma] \) which yields an \((n+1-|\sigma|)\)-dimensional pair of normal \((m-|\sigma|)\)-ads

\[ (W[\sigma]), M[\sigma] \amalg X[\sigma], \nu_{\gamma(\sigma)}, \rho(\tilde{f}(\sigma)) \].

1621→p.84 The statement of 1621 generalizes to the relative case. Use the quadratic pair signature \( \text{sgn}^{L^\bullet}_{\text{sgn}^{NL/L^\bullet} \left( \tilde{f}[\sigma] \right)} \).
on one side and on the other take the complete boundary pair of $\text{sgn}_{\text{NL}}^{\text{L}^*}$ instead of only the effect of algebraic surgery. We obtain equivalences

$$\partial^N_+ \text{sgn}_{\text{NL}}^{\text{L}^*}(\tilde{f}[\sigma]) = \partial \text{sgn}_{\text{NL}}^{\text{L}^*}(W[\sigma], M[\sigma] \amalg X[\sigma]) = \text{sgn}_{\text{L}^*}^{\text{L}^*}(\tilde{f}[\sigma]).$$

Hence, the boundary of the pair

$$\text{sgn}_{\text{NL}}^{\text{L}^*}(W[\sigma], M[\sigma] \amalg X[\sigma]) = (\text{sgn}_{\text{NL}}^{\text{L}^*}(W[\sigma]), \text{sgn}_{\text{NL}}^{\text{L}^*}(M[\sigma]) - \text{sgn}_{\text{NL}}^{\text{L}^*}(X[\sigma]))$$

gives a quadratic chain complex that coincides with the $\sigma$-component of $\text{sgn}_{\text{L}^*}^{\text{L}^*}(\tilde{f})$. □

Room service 162

$$\text{sgn}_{\text{L}^*}^{\text{L}^*}(\tilde{f}) := \text{sgn}_{\text{L}^*}^{\text{L}^*}(\tilde{f}[\Sigma^{-1}\Omega_{\text{STOP}}^N]) \in H_n(M'; \text{NL}/\text{L}^*)$$

the mosaicked normal/symmetric signature over $X$ defined for a degree one normal map $\tilde{f}: M \rightarrow M'$ between manifolds (see 222).

$$\text{sgn}_{\text{L}^*}^{\text{L}^*}(\tilde{f}) \in H_n(X; \Sigma^{-1}\Omega_{\text{STOP}}^\pi)$$

a $\Omega_{\text{STOP}}^N$-cobordism class of $\Omega_{\text{STOP}}^N$-cycle for a degree one normal map $\tilde{f}: M \rightarrow M'$ which assigns an $(m - |\sigma|)$-ad $(W(\sigma), \nu_\gamma(\sigma), \rho(\tilde{f}(\sigma)), M(\sigma) \amalg M(\sigma))$ to each $\sigma \in M'$ (see 2221).

$$\text{sgn}_{\text{L}^*}^{\text{L}^*} : \Sigma^{-1}\Omega_{\text{STOP}}^\pi \rightarrow \text{NL}(1/2)/\text{L}^*(0)^0$$

the normal/symmetric signature map induced by the maps $\text{sgn}_{\text{L}^*}^{\text{L}^*}$ and $\text{sgn}_{\text{L}^*}^{\text{L}^*}$ and the fibration sequence of 161.

$$\text{sgn}_{\text{L}^*}^{\text{L}^*}(\tilde{f}) \in H_n(X; \text{L}^*(\mathbb{Z}))$$

the mosaicked quadratic signature over $X$ defined as follows. Make $\hat{f}$ transverse to the dual cells $D(\sigma, K)$. Then each $\sigma$-component is the quadratic pair signature $\text{sgn}_{\text{L}^*}^{\text{L}^*}(\tilde{f}[\sigma], \partial \tilde{f}[\sigma])$.

$$\text{sgn}_{\text{L}^*}^{\text{L}^*}(\tilde{f}, Y, X) := (f: C \rightarrow D, (\delta \varphi, \delta \chi), \varphi) \in L(J)^n$$

the normal/symmetric pair signature, defined for a pair of (normal, Poincaré)-spaces $(Y, X)$ by $(D, (\delta \varphi, \delta \chi)) = \text{sgn}_{\text{L}^*}^{\text{L}^*}(Y)$ and $(C, \varphi) = \text{sgn}_{\text{L}^*}^{\text{L}^*}(X)$.

$$\partial \text{sgn}_{\text{L}^*}^{\text{L}^*}(Y, B)$$

the quadratic boundary pair signature for an $n$-dimensional pair of normal spaces $(Y, B)$ is the $(n - 1)$-quadratic Poincaré pair $(\partial C(B) \rightarrow \partial_1 C(Y), (\delta \psi, \psi))$ obtained by using the boundary construction and the spectral quadratic construction (see B28).

$$\partial^N_+$$

the relative quadratic boundary construction, produces for an $n$-normal pair an $(n - 1)$-quadratic Poincaré pair usually denoted $(\partial f: \partial C \rightarrow \partial_1 D, \partial (\delta \psi, \psi)).$

$$\partial_0: \text{NL}/\text{L}^* \rightarrow \text{L}^*$$

here the map defined by algebraic surgery (see 112).

$$\tilde{f}_\Delta := \bigcup_{\sigma \in \tilde{X}} \tilde{f}[\sigma]: M[\sigma] \rightarrow X[\sigma]$$

the decomposition of a degree one normal map $\tilde{f}: M \rightarrow X$ into degree one normal maps $\tilde{f}[\sigma] = \tilde{f}|_{\tilde{f}^{-1}(\sigma)}$ of $(n - |\sigma|)$-dimensional manifold $(m - |\sigma|)$-ads.
Alternative quadratic signatures

$X[\sigma]$ is defined for a map $r: X \to K$ to a simplicial complex as the preimage of the dual cell $D(\sigma, K)$ after making $r$ transverse. If $X$ is a simplicial complex itself, choose $r$ to be the identity. The subdivision $X = \bigcup_{\sigma \in K} X[\sigma]$ is called a $K$-dissection of $X$.

The proof is taken from [KMM13, Example 3.26], based on [Ran81, Prop. 7.4.1] and [Ran92, Remark 2.16] and also uses [Wei85b, Theorem 7.1].

Let $\hat{f}: M \to X$ be a degree one normal map of $n$-dimensional Poincaré spaces with Spivak normal fibrations $\nu_M$ and $\nu_X$. Let $W = M(\hat{f})$ be the mapping cylinder. The quadratic boundary pair signature $\partial \text{sgn}^{\bullet\bullet}_N$ applied to the $(n+1)$-dimensional (normal, Poincaré) pair of spaces

$Z := (\langle W, M \amalg X \rangle, (\nu_W, \nu_{M\amalg X}), (\rho_W, \rho_{M\amalg X}))$

gives a quadratic pair $\partial \text{sgn}^{\bullet\bullet}_N(Z) = (i: \partial C' \to \partial D', \partial \psi', \partial \delta \psi')$ such that the following equivalences hold:

$\partial \text{sgn}^{\bullet\bullet}_N(\hat{f}) \equiv (\partial D', \delta \psi')$. If $\hat{f}$ is replaced by a degree one normal $\hat{g}: N \to Y$ from a Poincaré space to a normal space, the same construction yields a quadratic pair such that

$\partial \text{sgn}^{\bullet\bullet}_N(\hat{g}) \equiv (\partial D', \delta \psi') = \text{sgn}^{\bullet\bullet}_\#(\hat{g})$.

B28 (1621, 232) Quadratic boundary pair signature

Let $((Y, B), \nu, (\rho_Y, \rho_B))$ be an $n$-dimensional pair of normal spaces. There is a quadratic boundary pair signature

$\partial \text{sgn}^{\bullet\bullet}(Y, B) = (\partial j: \partial C' \to \partial D', (\partial \psi, \partial \delta \psi))$

producing an $(n-1)$-quadratic Poincaré pair.

[1621 → [Ran81, Prop. 7.3.1 (iv)] Property of the spectral quadratic construction for stable maps

Let $\Gamma: X \to \Sigma^p Y$ be a semi-stable map. If there is a space $X_0$ such that $\Gamma: \Sigma^p X_0 = X \to \Sigma^p Y$ is in fact a stable map, then

$\text{con}_{\psi'} = e_{\Gamma} \circ \text{con}_{\Gamma}$

where $e: C(Y) \to \Sigma(\gamma_Y)$ is the inclusion.

Proof 1621

The equivalence (1) stated in 1621 is immediately from B28. Recall that the effect of algebraic surgery is the same as the target part in the boundary pair.

We now prove the second equivalence. The bundle map $\tilde{f}$ induces the $k$-dimensional spherical fibration $\nu_W$ over $W$, and $\rho_M$ and $\rho_X$ induce the map

$\rho_{M\amalg X}: (D^{n+1+k}, S^{n+k}) \to (\text{Th}(\nu_W), \text{Th}(\nu_{M\amalg X}))$.

Denote by $j_M: M \to W$ the inclusion and by $\text{pr}: W \to X$ the projection which is a homotopy
equivalence. From the first equivalence we already know that \( \Sigma^{-1} \mathcal{E}(\varphi_i) \) is equivalent to the chain complex of \( \partial \Sigma_{\pi}^{\mathbb{Z}}(Z) \). The following commutative diagram identifies \( \Sigma^{-1} \mathcal{E}(\varphi_i) \) with the underlying chain complex and \( \Sigma^{-1} \mathcal{E}'(\varphi_i) \) of \( \Sigma_{\pi}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\hat{f}) \).

Next we identify the quadratic structures. Using the homotopy equivalence \( j_X \) and \( S\)-duality we recognize the diagram above induced by the following diagram of spaces.

It identifies the semi-stable map \( \Gamma_W \) with the stable map \( F \). Hence we can use the property 16211 of the spectral quadratic construction to obtain

\[
\con_{\Gamma_W} = e_{\Sigma} \circ \con_F.
\]

The Thom class \( u(\nu(W)) \) restricts to \( u(\nu_X) \) and hence the duals \( u(\nu_W) \) and \( u(\nu_X) = \Sigma^X \) are also identified. The uniqueness of desuspensions gives the identification of the equivalence classes of the quadratic structures \( e_{\Sigma} \con_F([X]) \sim \partial \psi \).

The proof for \( \hat{g} \) where the target of the map is only a normal space is almost the same with the only difference that the map \( \varphi_0|_Y \), which replaces \( \varphi_0|_X \), is no longer an equivalence. 
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An \( n \)-quadratic chain complex, called quadratic signature, where \( F: \Sigma^n X_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^n M \) is the stable map obtained from the degree one normal map \( \hat{f} \) by equivariant \( S\)-duality (see A26).

The boundary chain complex.

A chain map defined for an \( n \)-symmetric pair \((f: C \rightarrow D, \delta \varphi, \varphi)\).
164 Quadratic signature isomorphism

\[ \varphi_f = \text{ev}_1(\delta \varphi, \varphi) \simeq (\delta \varphi_0, f \varphi_0) : \mathcal{C}(f)^{n-*} \to D \]

a chain map defined for an \( n \)-symmetric pair \((f : C \to D, \delta \varphi, \varphi)\).

\[ \partial \psi := \left( \frac{1}{8} + t \right)^{-1} \left[ S^{-1} e^\%(\varphi), e^\%(\psi) \right] \]

the quadratic boundary structure.

\[ \Gamma_Y := S^{-1}(\tilde{\Delta} \circ \rho) : \text{Th}(\nu)^* \to \Sigma^p Y_+ \]

the semi-stable map obtained for an \( n \)-dimensional normal space \((Y, \nu, \rho)\) with an \( N \)-dimensional \( S \)-dual \( \text{Th}(\nu)^* \) of its Thom space and \( p = N - (n + k) \).

\[ \partial \text{gn}_{L^\bullet}(Y, B) \]

the quadratic boundary pair signature for an \( n \)-dimensional pair of normal spaces \((Y, B)\) is the \((n - 1)\)-quadratic Poincaré pair \((\partial C(B) \to \partial_+ C(Y), (\delta \psi, \psi))\) obtained by using the boundary construction and the spectral quadratic construction (see B28).

164 Quadratic signature isomorphism

\[ \text{sgn}_{G/TOP}^{L^\bullet} : [X; G/TOP] \xrightarrow{\cong} H^0(X; L^\bullet(1)). \]

The quadratic signature defines an isomorphism \( \text{sgn}_{G/TOP}^{L^\bullet} : [X; G/TOP] \xrightarrow{\cong} H^0(X; L^\bullet(1)) \).

112 (121, 1411, 164) Quadratic and (normal, Poincaré symmetric) [Ran92, Proposition 2.8 (ii)]

There is the following natural one-to-one correspondence of cobordism classes.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{n-dimensional} & \overset{1-1}{\longleftrightarrow} & \text{(n-1)-dimensional} \\
\text{(normal, symmetric) pairs} & & \text{quadratic chain complexes}
\end{array}
\]

\[ (f : C \to D, (\delta \varphi, \delta \gamma, \delta \chi), \varphi) \leftrightarrow (C', \psi') \]

Additionally, if \((C, \varphi)\) is Poincaré, then \((C', \psi')\) is Poincaré and vice versa.

Proof 164

This statement is part of the proof of 16. We only have to replace \( NL/L^\bullet \) by \( L^\bullet \) using 112. Then both sides are the fibers of the homotopy pullback there and we proved that the induced map on these fibers is an isomorphism and agrees with \( \text{sgn}_{\mathbb{Z}_\pi}^{L^\bullet} \).

221 Difference of quadratic signatures [KMM13, section 14.5]

Let \( \tilde{f}_i : M_i \to X \) with \( i = 0, 1 \) be two degree one normal maps. Then the difference of their mosaicked quadratic signature defines an element

\[ \text{sgn}_{X}^{L^\bullet}(\tilde{f}_1) - \text{sgn}_{X}^{L^\bullet}(\tilde{f}_0) \in L_n(\Lambda_\pi X) = H_n(X; L^\bullet(1)) \]

such that \( A(\text{sgn}_{X}^{L^\bullet}(\tilde{f}_1) - \text{sgn}_{X}^{L^\bullet}(\tilde{f}_0)) = \text{sgn}_{\mathbb{Z}\pi}^{L^\bullet}(\tilde{f}_1) - \text{sgn}_{\mathbb{Z}\pi}^{L^\bullet}(\tilde{f}_0) \in L_n(\mathbb{Z}_\pi). \)
A29 (221, 23) Mosaicked quadratic signature [Ran92, Example 9.14]

Let \( f : M \to X \) be a degree one normal map from a closed topological manifold to a Poincaré space both of dimension \( n \). Let \( r : X \to K \) be a map to a simplicial complex \( K \). There is a mosaicked quadratic signature

\[
\text{sgn}_L^\bullet (\tilde{f}) \in L_n(\Lambda_G(K))
\]

with \( A(\text{sgn}_X^\bullet (\tilde{f})) = \text{sgn}_L^\bullet (\tilde{f}) \). If \( X \) is a manifold, there is a refined version

\[
\text{sgn}_L^\bullet (\tilde{f}) \in L_n(\Lambda_L K).
\]

[2211 \rightarrow [RW90, Prop. 2.9]]

A chain complex \( C \) over \( \mathbb{Z} \), \( X \) is contractible if and only if \( C(\sigma) \) over \( \mathbb{Z} \) is contractible for all \( \sigma \in X \).

Proof 221

The mosaicked quadratic signature \( \text{sgn}_L^\bullet (\tilde{f}) \) produces an \( n \)-quadratic chain complex in \( \Lambda_G X \). In order to obtain an element in \( \Lambda_L X \) it has to be locally Poincaré. Using 2211 this is equivalent to the boundary being contractible. So it is enough to prove that both signatures \( \text{sgn}_L^\bullet (\tilde{f}_0) \) and \( \text{sgn}_L^\bullet (\tilde{f}_1) \) are represented by quadratic chain complexes with homotopic boundaries. But this follows immediately from the equivalence 23.1 in the proof of 23 where it was shown that the boundary of the mosaicked quadratic signature for a degree one normal map is the total surgery obstruction, which depends only on the homotopy type of \( X \). \( \square \)

Room service 221

\( \Lambda_L X \) \quad for \( \Lambda = (A, C, P) \) denotes \( (A, X, C_L X, P_L X, (T_*, e_*)) \), the \( X \)-mosaicked algebraic bordism category of \( \Lambda = (A, C, P) \) with local Poincaré duality.

\( \Lambda_G X \) \quad for \( \Lambda = (A, C, P) \) denotes \( (A, X, C_L X, P_G X, (T_*, e_*)) \), the \( X \)-mosaicked algebraic bordism category of \( \Lambda = (A, C, P) \) with global Poincaré duality.

\[
\partial^Q : L_n(\mathbb{B}(1), \mathbb{G}(1)) \to L_{n-1}(\mathbb{G}(1), \mathbb{L}(1)) = S_n(X)
\]
the boundary map induced by the boundary construction \( \partial^Q \).

\[
\partial^N : \mathbb{N}^n(\mathbb{B}(0), \mathbb{G}(1)) = V\mathbb{L}^n(X) \to L_{n-1}(\mathbb{G}(1), \mathbb{L}(1)) = S_n(X)
\]
the boundary map induced by the boundary construction \( \partial^N \).

\[\text{sgn}_L^V(X) \in V\mathbb{L}^n(X)\] \quad defined for a Poincaré space \( X \) as the normal signature \( \text{sgn}_L^{\mathbb{N}^n}(X) \).

\[
\text{sgn}_L^{\mathbb{N}^n}(X) := \text{sgn}_L^{\mathbb{N}^n}(\mathbb{X}^{\mathbb{N}^n}) \in H_n(X; \mathbb{N}^n) \cong \mathbb{N}^n(\Lambda_N X)
\]
the \( X \)-mosaicked normal signature defined here only for an \( n \)-dimensional Poincaré space \( X \).
222 (normal, manifold)-cycles for Poincaré spaces

\[ \text{sgn}^1_L(\hat{f}) \in L_n(\Lambda_G X) \] the mosaicked quadratic signature over \( X \) constructed in the following way: make \( f \) transverse to the dual cells \( D(\sigma, K) \), then each \( \sigma \)-component is defined as the quadratic pair signature \( \text{sgn}^1_L(\hat{f}[^{\sigma}], \partial \hat{f}[^{\sigma}]) \).

222 (normal, manifold)-cycles for Poincaré spaces

Porter

We generalize the construction of \( \Sigma^{-1} \Omega^N_{\text{STOP}} \)-cycles from 2221 for degree normal maps with target Poincaré spaces. This is achieved by using two maps and gluing their mapping cylinder together so that we get rid of the Poincaré spaces and the remaining boundary components are manifolds.

### 222 (normal, manifold)-cycles for Poincaré spaces [KMM13, Lemma 14.16]

Let \( \hat{f}_i := (f_i, f_0) : M_i \to X \) with \( i = 0, 1 \) be two n-dimensional degree one normal maps from topological manifolds to Poincaré spaces. Then there exists a \( \Sigma^{-1} \Omega^N_{\text{STOP}} \)-cycle

\[ [\hat{f}_1, \hat{f}_0]^{\Sigma^{-1}} \Omega^N_{\text{STOP}} \in H_n(X; \Sigma^{-1} \Omega^N_{\text{STOP}}) \]

such that \( \text{sgn}^L_X(\hat{f}_1) - \text{sgn}^L_X(\hat{f}_0) = \text{sgn}^L_X([\hat{f}_1, \hat{f}_0]^{\Sigma^{-1}} \Omega^N_{\text{STOP}}) \in H_n(X; L_n(1)) \).

### 2221 (15) (normal, manifold)-cycles [KMM13, Construction 11.9]

Let \( f : M \to M' \) be a degree one map of \( n \)-dimensional topological manifolds such that \( M' \) is triangulated. Then there is a \( \Sigma^{-1} \Omega^N_{\text{STOP}} \)-cycle

\[ [\tilde{f}]^{\Sigma^{-1}} \Omega^N_{\text{STOP}} \in H_n(M'; \Sigma^{-1} \Omega^N_{\text{STOP}}) \]

such that \( \text{sgn}^L_X(X) = \text{sgn}^L_{M'}([\tilde{f}]^{\Sigma^{-1}} \Omega^N_{\text{STOP}}) \in H_n(X; L_n(1)) \).

1621 Alternative quadratic signatures [KMM13, Example 3.26][Ran81, Prop. 7.4.1][Ran92, Remark 2.16][Wei85b, Theorem 7.1]

Let \( f : X \to Y \) be a degree one normal map of \( n \)-dimensional Poincaré spaces with Spivak normal fibrations \( \nu_M \) and \( \nu_X \). Let \( W = \mathcal{M}(f) \) be the mapping cylinder. The quadratic boundary pair signature \( \partial \text{sgn}^L_X \) applied to the \( (n+1) \)-dimensional (normal, Poincaré) pair of spaces

\[ Z := ((W, M \amalg X), (\nu_W, \nu_{M\amalg X}), (\rho_W, \rho_{M\amalg X})) \]

gives a quadratic pair \( \partial \text{sgn}^L_{M\amalg X}(Z) = (\sigma : \partial D' \to \partial D', \partial \delta \psi', \partial \delta' \psi) \) such that the following equivalences hold:

\[ \partial \text{sgn}^L_{M\amalg X}(\tilde{f})^{(1)} \equiv (\partial D', \delta \psi') \equiv (\partial D', \delta' \psi) \equiv \text{sgn}^L_{M\amalg X}(\tilde{f}). \]

If \( \tilde{f} \) is replaced by a degree one normal \( \bar{g} : N \to Y \) from a Poincaré space to a normal space the same construction yields a quadratic pair such that

\[ \partial \text{sgn}^L_{M\amalg X}(\bar{g}) = (\partial D', \delta' \psi) = \text{sgn}^L_{M\amalg X}(\bar{g}). \]

Proof 222

Let \( W_i = \mathcal{M}(\hat{f}_i) \) be the mapping cylinders for \( i = 0, 1 \).

2221→p.90 We start with defining \([\hat{f}_1, \hat{f}_0]^{\Sigma^{-1}} \Omega^N_{\text{STOP}} \). It is based on the definition 2221 of (normal, manifold)-cycles \([\hat{f}]^{\Sigma^{-1}} \Omega^N_{\text{STOP}} \) for degree one normal maps between manifolds. Now the target is only a
Poincaré space. Hence the dissections
\[
\hat{f}_i = \bigcup_{\sigma \in X} (\overline{f}_i(\sigma), f_i(\sigma)) : M_i(\sigma) \to X(\sigma)
\]
for \(i = 0, 1\) give rise to cobordisms of \(\Omega^N\)-cycles
\[
[\hat{f}_1, \hat{f}_2]_{\Sigma^{-1}\Omega^N,\text{STOP}} = \{ \sigma \mapsto (W_i(\sigma), \nu(b_i(\sigma)), \rho(b_i(\sigma))) \mid \sigma \in X \}
\]
but not of \(\Sigma^{-1}\Omega^N,\text{STOP}\)-cycles since the ends of the cobordisms given by \(X\) are not topological manifolds. But these ends are equal for \(i = 0, 1\) and so we can glue the two cobordisms together along these ends and we obtain for each \(\sigma \in X\) the \((n + 1 - |\sigma|)\)-dimensional pair of normal \((m - |\sigma|)\)-ads
\[
(W_1(\sigma) \cup X(\sigma) f, M_1(\sigma) \sqcup M_0(\sigma), \nu(b_1(\sigma)) \cup \nu_{\nu X(\sigma)}, \nu(b_0(\sigma)), \rho(b_1(\sigma)) \cup \rho(\sigma), \rho(b_0(\sigma)))
\]
which now fit together to produce a \(\Sigma^{-1}\Omega^N,\text{STOP}\)-cycle. This gives the desired signature
\[
[\hat{f}_1, \hat{f}_2]_{\Sigma^{-1}\Omega^N,\text{STOP}} \in H_n(X; \Sigma^{-1}\Omega^N,\text{STOP}).
\]
Now consider the left hand side of the equation we want to proof. By definition, each summand \(\sgn_{\Omega^N}^X(\tilde{f}_i)\) on a simplex \(\sigma\) is given by the quadratic pair signature \(\sgn_{L}^\Omega(\tilde{f}(\sigma), \partial \tilde{f}(\sigma))\). But 1621 gives an alternative description, namely we obtain \(\sgn_{\Omega^N}^X(\tilde{f}_i)(\sigma)\) via algebraic surgery on the algebraic normal pair extracted from the geometric normal pair \((W_i(\sigma), M_i(\sigma) \sqcup X(\sigma))\). Subtracting the signatures \(\sgn_{\Omega^N}^X(\tilde{f}_i)\) corresponds to taking disjoint union of these normal pairs and reversing the orientation on the one labeled with \(i = 0\). Denote the \(\sigma\)-component by
\[
Z(\sigma) := (W_1(\sigma) \sqcup W_0(\sigma), M_1(\sigma) \sqcup M_0(\sigma)).
\]
On the other side of the equation the underlying normal pair for each simplex \(\sigma\) of the just defined \(\Sigma^{-1}\Omega^N,\text{STOP}\)-signature is given by
\[
(W_1(\sigma) \cup X(\sigma) f, M_1(\sigma) \sqcup M_0(\sigma)).
\]
But there is a geometric cobordism between these two pairs that induces an algebraic cobordism between the algebraic normal pairs \([\tilde{f}_1, \tilde{f}_2]_{\Sigma^{-1}\Omega^N,\text{STOP}}\) and \(\sgn_{\Omega^N,\text{STOP}}(Z(\sigma))\). The image of \([\tilde{f}_1, \tilde{f}_2]_{\Sigma^{-1}\Omega^N,\text{STOP}}\) under the map \(\sgn_{\Omega^N}^L : \Sigma^{-1}\Omega^N,\text{STOP} \to L_n(1)\) as well as \(\sgn_{\Omega^N}^L(\tilde{f}_1) - \sgn_{\Omega^N}^L(\tilde{f}_0)\) are both obtained from these pairs via algebraic surgery. Thus they are cobordant.

\[
\text{Room service } 222
\]

\(\sgn_{\Omega^N}^L(\tilde{f}) \in L_n(\Lambda_G X)\) the mosaicicked quadratic signature over \(X\) constructed in the following way: make \(f\) transverse to the dual cells \(D(\sigma, K)\), then each \(\sigma\)-component is defined as the quadratic pair signature \(\sgn_{\Omega^N}^L(\tilde{f}[\sigma], \partial \tilde{f}[\sigma])\).
Porter

$(\hat{f}[\sigma], \partial \hat{f}[\sigma]) = (\hat{f}[\sigma], f[\sigma], (\partial \hat{f}[\sigma], \partial f[\sigma]))$ is an $n$-dimensional degree one normal map

\[ \nu_M|_{M[\sigma]}, \nu_M|_{\partial M[\sigma]} \rightarrow (\nu_X|_{X[\sigma]}, \nu_X|_{\partial X[\sigma]}) \]

\[ (M[\sigma], \partial M[\sigma]) := (f^{-1}, \partial f^{-1})(X[\sigma], \partial X[\sigma]) \rightarrow (X[\sigma], \partial X[\sigma]), \]

denoted $(f[\sigma], \partial f[\sigma]): (M[\sigma], \partial M[\sigma]) \rightarrow (X[\sigma], \partial X[\sigma])$ for short, from an $(n-|\sigma|)$-dimensional manifold with boundary to an $(n-|\sigma|)$-dimensional normal pair obtained from a degree one normal map $f$ transverse to a $K$-dissection $\bigcup_{\sigma \in K} X[\sigma]$ of $X$.

\[ [K]^E \in H_n(K; E) \]

an $n$-dimensional $E$-cycle of a simplicial complex $K \subset \partial \Delta^{n+1}$ defined by a collection \( \left\{ [K]^E(\sigma) \in E_{n-m} \mid \sigma \in K \right\} \) such that $\partial_i [K]^E(\sigma) = [K]^E(\delta_i \sigma)$ if $\delta_i \sigma \in K$ and $\emptyset$ otherwise.

\[ \Sigma^{-1} \Omega^N \rightarrow \Omega^N \]

the $\Omega$-spectrum of $\Delta$-sets obtained as the fiber of canonical the map of spectra

\[ \text{sgn}^{L}\Sigma^{-1} \Omega^N \rightarrow L_*(1) \]

the quadratic signature map given by the normal/symmetric signature map and the identification $NL(1/2)/L(0)^0 \simeq L_*(1)$.

\[ \text{sgn}^{L} (\delta \hat{g}, \hat{g}) = (G': C' \rightarrow D', \delta \psi', \psi') \]

the quadratic pair signature for a degree one normal map $(\delta \hat{g}, \hat{g}): (N, A) \rightarrow (Y, B)$ from a Poincaré pair $(N, A)$ to a normal pair $(Y, B)$ (see A28).

\[ \Gamma_Y := S^{-1}(\hat{\Delta} \circ \rho); \text{Th}(\nu)^* \rightarrow \Sigma^p Y_+ \]

the semi-stable map obtained for an $n$-dimensional normal space $(Y, \nu, \rho)$ with an $N$-dimensional $S$-dual $\text{Th}(\nu)^*$ of its Thom space and $p = N - (n + k)$.

2221 (normal, manifold)-cycles

Porter

From a degree one normal map $\hat{f}: M \rightarrow M'$ between topological manifolds we obtain a (normal, topological manifold) pair $(M(f), M \amalg M')$ which we can consider as a simplex in $\Sigma^{-1} \Omega^N_{\text{STOP}}$ and which leads to a (normal, symmetric Poincaré) pair, i.e. a simplex in $NL(1/2)/L(0)^*$.  

**2221 (15) (normal, manifold)-cycles [KMM13, Construction 11.9]**

Let $\hat{f}: M \rightarrow M'$ be a degree one map of $n$-dimensional topological manifolds such that $M'$ is triangulated. Then there is a $\Sigma^{-1} \Omega^N_{\text{STOP}}$-cycle

\[ [\hat{f}] \in H_n(M'; \Sigma^{-1} \Omega^N_{\text{STOP}}) \]

such that $\text{sgn}^{L}(X) = \text{sgn}(\Sigma^{-1} \Omega^N_{\text{STOP}}(\hat{f})) \in H_n(X; L_*(1))$.  

90
We can assume that $M$ with respect to the way coincides with the quadratic signature where each $(f,\tilde{f}(\sigma))$ is a degree one normal map of $(n - |\sigma|)$-dimensional manifolds $(m - |\sigma|)$-ads. We obtain an assignment which associates to each $\sigma \in M'$ an $(n + 1 - |\sigma|)$-dimensional pair of normal $(m - |\sigma|)$-ads

$$\sigma \mapsto (W(\sigma), \nu_{\tilde{f}(\sigma)}, \rho(\tilde{f}(\sigma)), M(\sigma) \amalg M'(\sigma)).$$

These fit together to produce an $\Omega^N$-cobordism of $\Omega^{STOP}_*$-cycles or equivalently a $\Sigma^{-1}\Omega^{STOP}_*$-cycle providing us with an element

$$[\tilde{f}]\Sigma^{-1}\Omega^{STOP}_* \in H_n(X; \Sigma^{-1}\Omega^{STOP}_*).$$

Composing with the normal signature map $\text{sgn}_{\Omega}^{NL_*}: \Omega^N_\ast \rightarrow \Omega^\ast_*$ produces a $\Omega^\ast_{STOP}$-cobordism, which can be seen as an $(n + 1)$-dimensional (normal, symmetric Poincaré) pair over $\mathbb{Z}, X$

$$\text{sgn}_{\Omega}^{NL_\ast/L_\ast}(\tilde{f}) = \text{sgn}_{\Omega}^{NL_\ast/L_\ast}([\tilde{f}]\Sigma^{-1}\Omega^{STOP}_* \in H_n(X; \Omega^\ast_{NL/L})).$$

Adapting the proof of 1621 shows that the quadratic chain complex over $\mathbb{Z}, X$ obtained this way coincides with the quadratic signature $\text{sgn}_X^L(\tilde{f})$.

Room service 2221

$\text{sgn}_{\Omega}^{NL_*}: \Omega^N_\ast \rightarrow \Omega^\ast_*$ the normal signature map; based on the normal signature $\text{sgn}_{\Sigma}\Omega^{NL_*}$.

$\text{sgn}_{\Omega}^{NL_\ast/L_\ast}(\tilde{f}) := \text{sgn}_{\Omega}^{NL_\ast/L_\ast}([\tilde{f}]\Sigma^{-1}\Omega^{STOP}_* \in H_n(M'; \Omega^\ast_{NL/L}))$ the mosaicked normal/symmetric signature over $X$ defined for a degree one normal map $\tilde{f}: M \rightarrow M'$ between manifolds (see 2221).

$\text{NL}(1/2)/L(0) \ast := \text{Fiber}(J: L^\ast(0) \rightarrow \Omega^\ast_*)$

$[K]^E \in H_n(K; E)$ an $n$-dimensional $E$-cycle of a simplicial complex $K \subset \partial \Delta^{m+1}$ defined by a collection $\{[K]^E(\sigma) \in E_{n-m}(\sigma) \mid \sigma \in K\}$ such that $\partial_i[K]^E(\sigma) = [K]^E(\delta_i \sigma)$ if $\delta_i \sigma \in K$ and $\emptyset$ otherwise.

223 (normal,manifold)-cycles and MSTOP-orientations

Porter

To show that the diagram below commutes we use once again canonical orientations. This time with respect to the $\text{MS}(G/\text{TOP})$ spectrum, the fiber of $J: \text{MSTOP} \rightarrow \text{MSG}$. It comes into play using the Pontrjagin-Thom map. We will relate both paths in the diagram to the same orientation $u^G/T$. But for a start we will work with the push-forward living in $\text{MSTOP}$ and then refine the
statement to MS(G/TOP) by using the homotopies of the alternative description for elements in \(\mathcal{N}(X)\) and \([X; G/TOP]\) (see 224).

In order to make the formulas less space-consuming we use \(T\) for MSTOP, \(G/T\) for MS(G/TOP) and \(G\) for MSG.

### 223 (normal,manifold)-cycles and MSTOP-orientations

Given a degree one normal map \(f_0 : M \to X\), there is a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{N}(X) & \xrightarrow{[-, f_0]^{\Sigma^{-1} \Omega_+^{\text{STOP}}}} & H_n(X; \Sigma^{-1} \Omega_+^{\text{STOP}}) \\
\downarrow^t & & \downarrow^S \\
[X; G/TOP] & \xrightarrow{\bar{F}} & H^0(X; \Sigma^{-1} \Omega_+^{\text{STOP}}) \xrightarrow{u^T(\nu_0)} H^k(\text{Th}(\nu X); \Sigma^{-1} \Omega_+^{\text{STOP}})
\end{array}
\]

i.e. \([-, f_0]^{\Sigma^{-1} \Omega_+^{\text{STOP}}} = S(\tilde{\Gamma}(t(-, f_0)) \cup u^T(\nu_0)).

### 2231 [KMM13, Prop. 14.19]

Let \(X\) be an \(n\)-dimensional Poincaré space, \(\overline{f}_0 : M_0 \to X, \overline{f} : M \to X\) degree one normal maps and \(\nu, \nu_0 : X \to \text{BSTOP}\) topological bundle reductions of the Spivak normal \(\nu_X\). Then we have

\[
S(u_0^{G/T}((\nu, h), (\nu_0, h_0))) = [\overline{f}, \overline{f}_0]^{\Sigma^{-1} \Omega_+^{\text{STOP}}} \in H_n(X; G/T).
\]

### 15 (16) Orientations and signatures [Ran92, Proposition 16.1]

(iii) Let \(\overline{f} : M \to M'\) be a degree one normal map of \(n\)-dimensional simply-connected topological manifolds with \(M'\) triangulated, corresponding to a pair \((\beta, h)\) with \(\beta : M' \to \text{BSTOP}\) and \(h : J(\beta) \cong \nu_X\). Then we have

\[
S(u^{\text{NL}/L^*}(\beta, h)) = \text{sgn}_{M'}^{\text{NL}/L^*}(\overline{f}) \in H_n(M'; \text{NL}(1/2)/L(0)^0).
\]

#### Proof 223

Use the Pontrijagin-Thom map \(\Sigma^{-1} \Omega_+^{\text{STOP}} \cong G/T\) to consider \(\tilde{\Gamma}\) as a map

\[
[X; G/TOP] \to H^0(X; G/T).
\]

Now let \((\overline{\nu}, \overline{h})\) represent an element of \([X; G/TOP]\) and set \((\nu, h) = (\overline{\nu} \oplus \nu_0, \overline{h} \oplus h)\) so that we have \(t((\nu, h), (\nu_0, h_0)) = (\overline{\nu}, \overline{h})\). Apply 2231 to rewrite the claimed formula as

\[
S^{-1}([\overline{f}, \overline{f}_0]^{\Sigma^{-1} \Omega_+^{\text{STOP}}}) = u^{G/T}((\nu, h), (\nu_0, h_0)) = \tilde{\Gamma}(\overline{\nu}, \overline{h}) \cup u^T(\nu_0)
\]

where \(\overline{f}, \overline{f}_0 \in \mathcal{N}(X)\) are the degree one normal maps represented by \((\nu, h)\) and \((\nu_0, h_0)\). Recall that \(\overline{h} : J(\overline{\nu}) \cong \varepsilon\) and that there are canonical orientations \(u^T(\nu)\) and \(u^T(\varepsilon)\) and the homotopy \(u^G(\overline{h}) : u^G(J(\nu)) \cong u^G(\varepsilon)\). Then \(\tilde{\Gamma}\) maps to \((\overline{\nu}, \overline{h})\) to the unique lift of \(u^T(\nu) - u^T(\varepsilon)\) determined by the homotopy \(\overline{h}\) and given by the pair \((u^T(\nu) - u^T(\varepsilon), u^G(\overline{h}))\). Define \(\Gamma\) to be the composition

\[
[X; G/TOP] \xrightarrow{\bar{F}} H^0(X; G/T) \xrightarrow{\text{incl}} H^0(X; T)
\]

which is given by forgetting the homotopy \(u^G(\overline{h})\), i.e.

\[
\Gamma(\overline{\nu}, \overline{h}) = u^T(\overline{\nu}) - u^T(\varepsilon) : \text{Th}(\overline{\nu}) \cong \Sigma^k \Delta_{++} \cong \text{Th}(\varepsilon) \to T.
\]
The Thom isomorphism

\[- \cup u^T(\nu_0) : H^0(X; T) \to H^k(Th(\nu_0); T)\]

applied to \(u^T(\nu)\) is given by the composition

\[\text{Th}(\nu_0) \xrightarrow{\Delta} \Sigma^1 X_+ \wedge \text{Th}(\nu_0) \xrightarrow{u^T(\nu) \wedge u^T(\nu_0)} T \wedge T \xrightarrow{id} T.\]

From the relationship between Whitney sum and the cross product and the diagonal map we obtain that

\[u^T(\nu) = u^T(\nu) \cup u^T(\nu_0)\]

and

\[u^T(\nu_0) = u^T(\varepsilon) \cup u^T(\nu_0).\]

Subtracting these equations we obtain

\[u^T(\nu) - u^T(\nu_0) = \Gamma(\nu_0, \nu_0) \cup u^T(\nu_0).\]

Now the left hand side lifts to \(u^{G/T}(\nu)\) using the null-homotopy \(J(u^T(\nu) - u^T(\nu_0))\) from \(h \cup \nu_0; J(\nu) \simeq J(\nu_0)\). On the right hand side we have the null-homotopy \(\tilde{h}; J(\nu_0) \simeq \varepsilon\).

Applying the cup product with \(u^T(\nu_0)\) to this null-homotopy corresponds to taking the Whitney sum with \(\nu_0\) and produces the homotopy \(\tilde{h} \oplus \text{id}_{\nu_0}; J(\nu) \simeq J(\nu_0)\). Now the claim that

\[u^{G/T}((\nu, h), (\nu_0, h_0)) = \Gamma(\nu_0, \nu_0) \cup u^T(\nu_0)\]

follows from the Spivak normal fibration's property that fiberwise homotopy equivalences of stable topological bundle reductions are stably fiberwise homotopic.

\[\square\]

**Proof 2231**

This follows from 15 (iii). We only have to omit the signature map \(\text{sign}^{NL/L} : \Sigma^{-1} \Omega_{\text{STOP}} \to \text{NL}(1/2)/L(0)^0\) which we used to push the equivalence forward from \(\Sigma^{-1} \Omega_{\text{STOP}} \simeq G/T\) to \(\text{NL}(1/2)/L(0)^0\) and we have to replace the cycle \([\hat{f}]\Sigma^{-1} \Omega_{\text{STOP}}\) and the orientation \(u^{G/T}(\nu, h)\) by the generalized versions \([\hat{f}, 0]_{\Sigma^{-1} \Omega_{\text{STOP}}}\) and \(u^{G/T}((\nu, h), (\nu_0, h_0))\) for pairs of degree one normal maps with targets Poincaré spaces.

\[\square\]

**Room service 223 and 2231**

\[u^T(\alpha) \in H^k(\text{Th}(\alpha); T)\] the canonical \(T\)-orientation of \(\alpha\) which is a map on the Thom spaces

\[\text{Th}(\alpha) \to \text{Th}(\gamma_{\text{STOP}})\] induced by the classifying map of a \(k\)-dimensional \(\mathbb{Z}\)-oriented topological bundle \(\alpha: X \to \text{BSG}(k)\).

\[u^{G/T}(\nu, h) \in H^k(\text{Th}(\nu_X); G/T)\] the preferred lift of \(u^T(\nu)\) for a bundle reduction \(\nu\) of the Spivak normal fibration \(\nu_X\), determined by the homotopy \(h: \text{Th}(\nu_X) \times [0, 1] \to G\) between \(J(\nu)\) and \(J(\nu_X)\).

\[u^{G/T}((\nu, h), (\nu_0, h_0)) \in H^k(\text{Th}(\nu_X); G/T)\] the preferred lift of \(u^T(\nu) - u^T(\nu_0)\) for two bundle reductions \(\nu, \nu_0\) of the Spivak normal fibration \(\nu_X\). The lift is obtained from the homotopy \(h_0 \cup h: \text{Th}(\nu_X) \times [0, 1] \to G\) between \(J(\nu)\) and \(J(\nu_0)\). If \(X\) is a manifold with a preferred topological bundle \(\nu_X\), define \(u^{G/T}(\nu) = u^{G/T}(\nu, \nu_X)\).
\[ S : [Y, Z] \xrightarrow{\simeq} [S^N, X \wedge Y] \]

the S-duality isomorphism; for an \( N \)-dimensional S-duality map \( \alpha : S^N \to X \wedge Y \) and an arbitrary space \( Z \) defined by \( S(\gamma) = (id_Y \wedge \gamma) \circ \alpha \); denotes the induced isomorphism \( S : H^{N-*}(X; E) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H_*(X, E) \) as well.

\[ \tilde{\Delta} : \text{Th}(\nu) \simeq \frac{V}{\partial V} \xrightarrow{\Delta} \frac{V \times V}{\partial V} \simeq \text{Th}(\nu) \wedge X_+ \]

the generalized diagonal map where \( V \) is the mapping cylinder of the projection map of \( \nu \) and \( \partial V \) the total space of \( \nu \).

### 224 Classification of normal invariants

We give a brief construction of this equivalence. The details can be found in [Wal99, chapter 10].

Let \( X \) be a Poincaré space and \( \hat{f}_0 \in \mathcal{N}(X) \) a degree one normal map. Then there is a bijection

\[
t(-, \hat{f}_0) : \mathcal{N}(X) \xrightarrow{\simeq} [X; G/TOP].
\]

#### Proof 224

An element in the set \([X; G/TOP]\) of homotopy classes of maps from \( X \) to \( G/TOP \) can be represented by a pair \((\pi, \overline{\nu})\), where \( \pi : X \to \text{BSTOP} \) is a stable topological bundle on \( X \) and \( \overline{\nu} : J(\pi) \simeq * \) is a homotopy from the underlying spherical fibration to the trivial spherical fibration represented by the constant map.

An element \( f \in \mathcal{N}(X) \) which is a degree one normal map \( f : M \to X \) can also be represented by a pair \((\nu, h)\) where \( \nu : X \to \text{BSTOP} \) is again a stable topological bundle but this time \( h : J(\nu) \simeq \nu_X \) is a homotopy to the Spivak normal fibration \( \nu_X \). These two descriptions of \( \mathcal{N}(X) \) are identified by using the Pontrjagin-Thom construction.

The set \([X; G/TOP]\) is a group under the Whitney sum operation and by using the pair description we can define an action on \( \mathcal{N}(X) \) by

\[
[X; G/TOP] \times \mathcal{N}(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}(X),
\]

\[
((\pi, \overline{\nu}), (\nu, h)) \mapsto (\pi \oplus \nu, \overline{\nu} \oplus h).
\]

This action is free and transitive and hence any choice of an element \( \hat{f}_0 \in \mathcal{N}(X) \) gives a bijection \([X; G/TOP] \cong \mathcal{N}(X)\). We denote the inverse by

\[
t(-, \hat{f}_0) : \mathcal{N}(X) \to [X; G/TOP].
\]

#### 231 The absolute case

Let \( \hat{g} : N \to Y \) be a degree one normal map from a Poincaré space \( N \) to a normal space \( Y \) both of dimension \( n \). There is a homotopy equivalence of quadratic chain complexes

\[
h : \partial^Q \text{sgn}_L(\hat{g}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} -\partial^N \text{sgn}_L(\hat{g}) \in L_{n-1}(\mathbb{Z} \pi).
\]
For defining the left side

**A27 (231) Quadratic signature for normal target spaces [Ran81, Prop. 7.3.4 (iv)]**

Let \( \tilde{g} : X \to Y \) be a degree one normal map from an \( n \)-dimensional normal space to a normal space both of dimension \( n \). There is a quadratic signature

\[
\sgn_{L^\bullet}^\bullet (\tilde{g}) = (C', \psi') := (\varphi(g'), \con_{\psi'}_{\varphi})
\]

producing an \( n \)-quadratic chain complex (not necessarily Poincaré) such that \((1 + t)\psi' = \varphi(g)\).

**B23 (231) Quadratic boundary**

An \( n \)-quadratic chain complex \((C, \psi) \in L_n(Z\pi)\) has an \((n - 1)\)-quadratic boundary

\[
\partial^Q(C, \psi) := (\partial C, \partial \psi) := (\Sigma^{-1}\varphi(p_0), \left(1 + t\right)^{-1}(S^{-1}e_{\varphi}(\varphi)), e_g(\psi))
\]

where \( \varphi = (1 + t)\psi\).

For defining the right side

**A33 (231) Normal signature [Ran80b, §9][Wei85a, Theorem 3.4]**

Let \((Y, \nu, \rho)\) be an \( n \)-dimensional normal space. There is a normal signature

\[
\sgn^{NL^\bullet}_{\rho \bullet}(Y) \in NL^n(Z\pi)
\]

producing an \( n \)-normal chain complex \((C, \varphi, \gamma, \chi)\).

**B24 (112, 231) Quadratic boundary for normal**

An \( n \)-normal chain complex \((C, \varphi, \gamma, \chi) \in NL^n(Z\pi)\) has an \((n - 1)\)-quadratic Poincaré boundary

\[
\partial^N(C, \varphi, \gamma, \chi) = (\partial C, \partial \psi)
\]

which defines a map \( \partial^N : NL^n(Z\pi) \to L_{n-1}(Z\pi) ; \ (C, \varphi, \gamma, \chi) \mapsto (\partial C, \partial \psi)\).

For identifying both sides

**B27 (231) Quadratic boundary signature [KMM13, Constr. 3.25][Ran81, Prop. 7.4.1][Wei85b, Theorem 7.1]**

Let \((Y, \nu, \rho)\) be an \( n \)-dimensional normal space. The quadratic boundary signature

\[
\partial g_{\nu \bullet} = (\partial C', \partial \psi') \in L_{n-1}(Z\pi)
\]

produces an \((n - 1)\)-quadratic Poincaré chain complex such that \((\partial C', \partial \psi') = \partial^N(\sgn^{NL^\bullet}_{\nu \bullet}(Y)) \in L_{n-1}(Z\pi)\).

---

### 2311 Property of spectral quadratic construction [Ran81, Proposition 7.3.1 (v)]

Let \( F : X \to \Sigma^p Y \) and \( F' : X' \to \Sigma^p Y' \) be semi-stable maps fitting into the following commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
X & \xrightarrow{F} & \Sigma^p Y \\
\downarrow{G_X} & & \downarrow{G_Y} \\
X' & \xrightarrow{F'} & \Sigma^p Y'
\end{array}
\]

inducing the commutative diagram of chain complexes

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\Sigma^{-p}C(X) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \Sigma^{-p}C(Y) \\
\downarrow{g_X} & & \downarrow{g_Y} \\
\Sigma^{-p}C(X') & \xrightarrow{\phi'} & \Sigma^{-p}C(Y')
\end{array}
\]

\[
\Sigma^{-p}C(X) \xrightarrow{f} \Sigma^{-p}C(Y) \xrightarrow{\phi} \emptyset' \xrightarrow{\phi'} \Sigma^{-p}C(Y')
\]

Then the spectral quadratic constructions of \( F \) and \( F' \) are related by

\[
\con_{\phi'} \circ g_X = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} \phi & 0 \\ 0 & g_X \end{array} \right] \circ \con_{\phi} + (c')_{\phi} \circ \con_{G_Y} \circ f.
\]
Firstly, denoting \( \partial Q \cdot \text{sgn} L \cdot \#(\hat{\mathcal{g}}) \) by \( (\partial C, \delta \psi) \) and \( \partial N \cdot \text{sgn} NL \cdot \#(\pi(Y)) \) by \( (\partial C, \psi) \), we identify the chain complexes \( \partial C \) and \( \partial C' \). The next step is the identification of the quadratic structures \( \delta \psi \) and \( \psi' \) on these chain complexes.

Proof 231 - The boundary chain complexes

Recall that \( \varphi \) in \( (C, (\varphi, \gamma, \chi)) := \text{sgn}^N(L^*) \) is the symmetric structure produced by applying the symmetric construction \( \text{con} \) to a fundamental cycle \( [Y] \).

Consider the following commutative diagram where all sequences are cofibrations and the map \( \mu \) is the induced map.

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & \rightarrow & C(Y)^{n-*} & \rightarrow & \text{id} \rightarrow C(Y)^{n-*} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi_0 & & \downarrow \varphi_0 \\
\Sigma^{-1} \mathcal{E}(g) & \rightarrow & C(N) & \rightarrow & C(Y) \\
\downarrow \text{id} & & \downarrow g & & \downarrow \varphi_0 \\
\Sigma^{-1} \mathcal{E}(g) & \rightarrow & \mathcal{E}(g') & & \mathcal{E}(g')
\end{array}
\]

The diagram induces a homotopy equivalence \( \mathcal{E}(\mu) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(\varphi_0) \) in the lower right corner. Using the Poincaré duality of \( N \) we obtain in the lower left corner of the diagram the homotopy equivalence

\[
\left( \begin{array}{cc}
0 & \text{id} \\
(\varphi N)_0 & 0
\end{array} \right) : \mathcal{E}(g')^{n-*} = C(N)^{n-*} \oplus C(Y)_{*-1} \rightarrow C(N) \oplus C(Y)_{*-1} = \Sigma^{-1} \mathcal{E}(g).
\]

Composed with \( \mu \) we recover the map \( \varphi_0' : \mathcal{E}(g')^{n-*} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(g') \). We end up with a homotopy equivalence

\[
h' : \Sigma \partial C^l = \mathcal{E}(\varphi_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(\varphi_0) = \Sigma \partial C
\]

which satisfies the equation

\[
e_{g'} \circ e_{\varphi_0} \circ h' = g \circ e_{\varphi_0}
\]

as we see in the right square of the following braid. We will need this equation later for identifying the boundary structures.
On the left hand side of the braid we obtain the homotopy equivalence we are looking for,

\[ h : \partial C' = \Sigma^{-1} \mathcal{C}(\varphi_0) \simeq \Sigma^{-1} \mathcal{C}(\varphi_0) = \partial C, \]

which is related to \( h' \) by \( -\Sigma(h) = h' \) due to the sign conventions used for suspensions.

**Room service 231**

\[ \partial C = \Sigma^{-1} \mathcal{C}(\varphi_0) \]

the boundary chain complex produced by \( \partial^N \text{sgn}_{\mathbb{Z}_\pi}^{\mathbb{L}^\bullet}(Y) \).

\[ \varphi = \text{con}_{\mathbb{C}}^\psi([Y]) \]

the symmetric structure produced by the symmetric construction for \( Y \) and part of the normal signature \( \text{sgn}_{\mathbb{Z}_\pi}^{\mathbb{L}^\bullet}(Y) \).

\[ \partial^N \]

the quadratic boundary construction, produces for an \( n \)-normal chain complex \((C, (\varphi, \gamma, \chi))\) an \((n-1)\)-quadratic Poincaré chain complex denoted \((\partial C', \partial \psi')\); induces a map \( \text{NL}^n(R) \to L_{n-1}(R) \) (see B24).

\[ \text{sgn}_{\mathbb{Z}_\pi}^{\mathbb{L}^\bullet}(Y) = (C, (\varphi, \gamma, \chi)) \in \text{NL}^n(\mathbb{Z}_\pi) \]

an \( n \)-normal chain complex called the normal signature of \( Y \) (see A33).

\[ Y = (Y, \nu, \rho) \]

an \( n \)-dimensional normal space.

\[ \partial C' = \Sigma^{-1} \mathcal{C}(\varphi_0') \]

the boundary chain complex produced by \( \partial^Q \text{sgn}_{\mathbb{L}^\bullet} \hat{g} \).

\[ \varphi' = (1 + t) \psi' \in W^\mathbb{R}(\mathcal{C}(g'))_n \]

the symmetric structure given by the symmetrization of the quadratic structure \( \psi' \) of \( \text{sgn}_{\mathbb{L}^\bullet} \hat{g} \).

\[ \partial^Q \]

the quadratic boundary construction, produces for an \( n \)-quadratic chain complex \((C', \psi')\) an \((n-1)\)-quadratic Poincaré chain complex denoted by \((\partial C', \partial \psi')\) (see B23).

\[ \text{sgn}_{\mathbb{L}^\bullet} \hat{g} =: (C', \psi') \]

an \( n \)-quadratic chain complex, called the quadratic signature of \( \hat{g} \) (see A27).

\[ C' = \mathcal{C}(g') \]

the chain complex produced by the quadratic signature \( \text{sgn}_{\mathbb{L}^\bullet} \hat{g} \).

\[ g' \]

the chain map given by the composition \( C(Y)^{n-*} \xrightarrow{g'} C(N)^{n-*} \xrightarrow{(\varphi_N)_{n-*}} C(N) \).

\[ \varphi_N = \text{con}_{\mathbb{C}}^\psi([N]) \in W^\mathbb{R}(C(N))_n \]

\[ \psi' = \text{con}_{\mathbb{L}^\bullet}(u_{\mathbb{L}^\bullet}) \in W^\mathbb{R}(\mathcal{C}(g'))_n \]

the \( n \)-quadratic structure produced by the spectral quadratic construction, part of the quadratic signature \( \text{sgn}_{\mathbb{L}^\bullet} \hat{g} \).

\[ (\mathfrak{g}, g) \]

a degree one normal map \( g : N \to Y \) from a Poincaré space \( N \) to a normal space \( Y \) both of dimension \( n \).
the inclusion; with a map $\alpha: C \to D$ as subscript $e_{\alpha}$ denotes the inclusion $D \to \mathcal{C}(\alpha)$.

the projection; with a map $\alpha: C \to D$ as subscript $e_{\alpha}$ denotes the projection $\mathcal{C}(\alpha) \to \Sigma C$.}

Proof 231 - The boundary structures

Instead of the quadratic structure $\partial \psi$ of the quadratic boundary of the normal signature of $\text{sign}_{\Sigma^N}(Y)$ we use the equivalent quadratic structure $\partial \psi'$ of the quadratic boundary signature $\text{sign}^{NL}_{\Sigma^N}(Y)$. It is obtained in a similar way as $\partial \psi'$, namely by using the following cofibration sequence of chain complexes

$$
\Sigma W_\% (\partial C) \xrightarrow{(1+t)} \Sigma W_\% (\partial C) \oplus W_\% (\Sigma \partial C) \xrightarrow{\partial \psi'} W_\% (\Sigma \partial C)
$$

\[\partial \psi' = - - - - - \to (\partial \varphi', S \partial \psi') \quad \longrightarrow \quad (S(\partial \varphi') - (1 + t)S \partial \psi') = 0\]

\[\partial \psi' = - - - - - \to (\partial \varphi, S \partial \psi) \quad \longrightarrow \quad (S(\partial \varphi) - (1 + t)S \partial \psi) = 0\]

where $S \partial \psi'$ and $S \partial \psi$ are defined as follows

$$
S \partial \psi' := (e_{\varphi_{0}'})_\% (\psi') \in W_\% (\Sigma \partial C')_n \simeq W_\% (\Sigma \partial C)_n
$$

$$
S \partial \psi := \text{con}_{T_{\Sigma}} (u(\nu)^*) \in W_\% (\Sigma \partial C)_n
$$

They satisfy the equations

$$
(1 + t)S \partial \psi' = (1 + t)(e_{\varphi_{0}'})_\% (\psi') = e_{\varphi_{0}'}((1 + t)\psi') = e_{\varphi_{0}'}(\psi'\psi) = S(\partial \varphi')
$$

$$
(1 + t)S \partial \psi = (e_{\varphi_{0}})_\% (\varphi) = S(\partial \varphi)
$$

and hence define the preimages $\partial \psi'$ and $\partial \psi$ as indicated above.

Thus, in order to identify the quadratic boundary structures $\partial \psi' = - \partial \psi$ it is enough to identify the symmetric boundary structures $\partial \varphi = - \partial \varphi'$ and the suspended quadratic boundary structures $S \partial \psi = - S \partial \psi'$.

We begin with the symmetric components $\partial \varphi'$ and $\partial \varphi$. By the properties of the quadratic signature (coming from the basic properties 2311 of the spectral quadratic construction) we have the following description of the symmetric structure

$$
\varphi' = (1 + t)\psi' = e_{\varphi_{0}'}(\varphi_N)
$$

and by the naturality of the symmetric construction we have

$$
\varphi = g_{\%}(\varphi_N).
$$

So the symmetric boundaries are both given by $\varphi_N$ in the following way:

$$
\partial \varphi' = S^{-1}e_{\varphi_{0}'}(\varphi') = S^{-1}e_{\varphi_{0}'}(g_{\%}(\varphi_N)) \in W_\% (\Sigma^{-1} \mathcal{C}(\varphi'))_{n-1}
$$

and

$$
\partial \varphi = S^{-1}e_{\varphi_{0}'}(\varphi) = S^{-1}e_{\varphi_{0}'}(g_{\%}(\varphi_N)) \in W_\% (\Sigma^{-1} \mathcal{C}(\varphi))_{n-1}.
$$
From the right square of the commutative braid we get the following equation for the suspended boundaries.

\[(h')^\% S(\partial \varphi^1) = (h')^\% \circ e_{\varphi^0}^\% \circ e_{g}^\% (\varphi_N) = e_{\varphi^0}^\% \circ g^\% (\varphi_N) = \delta(\partial \varphi)\]

Because of the injectivity of the suspension map and the sign conventions, we have \(h^\% (\partial \varphi^1) = -\partial \varphi\).

Now it remains to identify the quadratic structures \(S\partial \psi^1\) and \(S\partial \psi\). The quadratic signature \(\psi^1\) was obtained by applying the spectral quadratic construction \(\text{con}_{\Gamma^1}\) of the semi-stable map

\[
\Gamma^1: \text{Th}(\nu_Y)^* \xrightarrow{\text{Th}(\nu_N)^*} \Sigma^p N_+ +
\]

to the \(S\)-dual \(u(\nu_Y)^*\) of the Thom class of \(\nu_Y\). Similarly, we get the quadratic signature \(\psi\) by applying the spectral quadratic construction \(\text{con}_{\Gamma_Y}\) of the semi-stable map

\[
\Gamma_Y: \text{Th}(\nu_Y)^* \xrightarrow{\text{Th}(\nu_N)^*} \Sigma^p Y_+
\]
to the same Thom class \(u(\nu_Y)^*\). Now we use 2311 for the following diagram.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Th}(\nu_Y)^* & \xrightarrow{\Gamma^1} & \Sigma^p N_+ \\
\downarrow\text{id} & & \downarrow\Sigma^p g \\
\text{Th}(\nu_Y)^* & \xrightarrow{\Gamma_Y} & \Sigma^p Y_+ \\
\end{array}
\]

On the chain level we get the induced diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\Sigma^{-p} C(\text{Th}(\nu_Y)^*) & \xrightarrow{\gamma^1} & C(N) \\
\downarrow\text{id} & & \downarrow\gamma^* \\
\Sigma^{-p} C(\text{Th}(\nu_Y)^*) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_Y} & C(Y) \\
\end{array}
\]

Via \(S\)-duality and Thom isomorphism (see the diagram B27.1 in B27) this is equivalent to

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
C(Y)^{n-*} & \xrightarrow{g^1} & C(N) \\
\downarrow\text{id} & & \downarrow g^* \\
C(Y)^{n-*} & \xrightarrow{\varphi_0} & C(Y) \\
\end{array}
\]

We end up with the relation \(\text{con}_{\Gamma_Y} = (\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}) \circ \text{con}_{\Gamma^1} + (\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}) \circ \text{con}_{\Sigma^p g} \circ g^1\). However, the stable map \(\Sigma^p g\) comes from a map of spaces \(g: N \rightarrow Y\) and hence \(\text{con}_{\Sigma^p g} = 0\). The following commutative diagram remains.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
C(Y)^{n-*} & \xrightarrow{\text{con}_{\Gamma_Y}^1} & W_N(\varphi(g'))_n \\
\downarrow\text{con}_{\Gamma_Y}^n & & \downarrow\text{con}_{\Gamma_Y}^n \\
W_N(\varphi_0)(g')_n & & \end{array}
\]
The absolute case

From the braid we get the identification \( (g \circ \varphi^0_{\partial \psi}) \circ \varphi^0_{\partial \psi} \). Hence we obtain that

\[
\begin{align*}
\varphi^0_{\partial \psi} (S\partial \psi) &= \varphi^0_{\partial \psi} (\varphi^i_{\partial \psi}) \\
&= (h' \circ \varphi^0_{\partial \psi}) \circ \varphi^0_{\partial \psi} (u(\nu)^*) \\
&= \varphi^0_{\partial \psi} (u(\nu)^*) \\
&= S\partial \psi.
\end{align*}
\]

Room service 231

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial \psi &= \left( 1 + t \right)^{-1} (\partial \varphi', S\partial \psi') \in W^n_{\Sigma} (\partial C') \\
\partial \varphi' &= S^{-1} e^0_{\varphi^0_{\partial \psi}} (\varphi') \in W^n_{\Sigma} (\partial C')_{n-1} \\
S\partial \psi' &= (e^0_{\varphi^0_{\partial \psi}} (\varphi') \in W^n_{\Sigma} (\partial C')_{n-1}
\end{align*}
\]

the \((n-1)\)-quadratic Poincaré structure of \( \partial^Q \text{sgn}_L^\bullet(\tilde{g}) \).

the \((n-1)\)-symmetric boundary structure of \((C', \varphi')\) (see B21).

the \((n-1)\)-quadratic structure produced by the quadratic boundary signature \( \partial^N \text{sgn}_{\Sigma}^\bullet (Y) \), equivalent to \( \partial \psi' \). (see symmetric-B24 and B27).

the \((n-1)\)-symmetric boundary structure of \((C, \psi)\).

the suspension map; defined by \((S(\varphi))_k := \varphi_{k-1}\).

the symmetrization map defined by

\[
\begin{align*}
(1 + t)(\psi)_s &= \begin{cases} 
(1 + t)\psi_0 & \text{if } s = 0 \\
0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

induces a map of \(L\)-groups \(1 + t: L_n(R) \to L^n(R)\).

the symmetric boundary of an \(n\)-symmetric chain complex obtained from algebraic surgery on the pair \((0 \to C, \varphi, 0)\), i.e. \( \partial C = \Sigma^{-1} e(\varphi_0) \), \( \partial \varphi' = S^{-1} e^0_{\varphi^0_{\partial \psi}} (\varphi) \) where \( e: C \to \mathcal{C}(\varphi_0) \) is the inclusion (see B21 for more details).

a chain map called the spectral quadratic construction; defined for a semi-stable map \( F: X \to \Sigma^p Y \) where \( \tilde{C}(X)_{p+t} \to \tilde{C}(\Sigma^p Y)_{p+t} \simeq \tilde{C}(Y) \) is the chain map induced by \( F \).
The relative case is, in principle, analogous to the absolute case. We do not give an explicit definition of the normal pair signature \( \text{sgn}^\mathbb{N}_{L,X} \) and the quadratic boundary \( \partial^N_{L,X} \) of a normal pair. Instead, we use the boundary pair signature and refer to the proof of [Wei85b, Theorem 7.1] for the validation that this is a homotopy equivalent replacement.

232 The relative case

Let \((\delta\tilde{g}, \tilde{g}) : (N, A) \to (Y, B)\) be a degree one normal map from a Poincaré pair \((N, A)\) to a normal pair \((Y, B)\) both of dimension \((n + 1)\). Then there is a homotopy equivalence of quadratic pairs

\[
h : \partial^Q_{L,X} \text{sgn}^\mathbb{N}_{L,X}(\delta\tilde{g}, \tilde{g}) \simeq -\partial^N_{L,X} \text{sgn}^\mathbb{N}_{L,X}(Y, B).
\]

A28 (232) Relative quadratic signature for normal target spaces [Ran80b, Prop. 6.4]

Let \((\delta\tilde{g}, \tilde{g}) : (N, A) \to (Y, B)\) be a degree one normal map from a Poincaré pair \((N, A)\) to a normal pair \((Y, B)\) both of dimension \(n\). There is a \(n\)-quadratic pair called the relative quadratic signature

\[
\text{sgn}^\mathbb{N}_{L,X}(\delta\tilde{g}, \tilde{g}) = (G' : C' \to D', (\delta\psi', \psi')).
\]

such that

\[
(1 + t)(\delta\psi', \psi') = e_{\psi', \psi'} \circ \text{con}^\mathbb{N}_{L,X}([N, A]).
\]

B25 (232) Quadratic boundary pair

Let \((G' : C' \to D', (\delta\psi', \psi'))\) be an \(n\)-quadratic pair and \((\delta\varphi', \varphi') = (1 + t)(\delta\psi', \psi')\) and \(\varphi'_i = (\delta\varphi'_i, G'\varphi'_i) : \Sigma(\varphi'_i)^{n-\to} \to D').\) Then

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial \delta\psi' & = (1 + t)^{-1} (\delta\varphi'_i, \varphi'_i), \\
\partial \varphi'_i & = (1 + t)^{-1} (\delta\varphi'_i, \Sigma^{-1} \varphi'_i) \circ \partial \psi',
\end{align*}
\]

defines an \((n - 1)\)-quadratic Poincaré pair called the boundary and denoted \(\partial^Q_{L,X}\).

[2321 → [Wei85b, Theorem 7.1]]

For a normal pair \(((Y, B), \nu, (\rho_Y, \rho_B))\) there is a normal pair \(\text{sgn}^\mathbb{N}_{L,X}\) such that the following homotopy equivalence holds.

\[
\partial^N_{L,X} \text{sgn}^\mathbb{N}_{L,X}(Y, B) \simeq \partial \text{sgn}^\mathbb{N}_{L,X}(Y, B)
\]

where \(\partial \text{sgn}^\mathbb{N}_{L,X}(Y, B)\) is the boundary pair signature as defined in B28.

B28 (1621, 232) Quadratic boundary pair signature

Let \(((Y, B), \nu, (\rho_Y, \rho_B))\) be an \(n\)-dimensional pair of normal spaces. There is a quadratic boundary pair signature

\[
\partial \text{sgn}^\mathbb{N}_{L,X}(Y, B) = (\partial j : \partial C' \to \partial D', (\partial \psi, \partial \psi'))
\]

producing an \((n - 1)\)-quadratic Poincaré pair.
We start with recalling the definitions of the involved structured chain complexes. Then we proceed with identifying the underlying chain complexes of $\partial$ from the relative symmetric construction for the fundamental classes of $(Y, B)$ and $(\delta F', F') : (X', A') \to \Sigma^p (Y', A')$ before we finally prove that the quadratic structures are homotopic.

232 The relative case

2322 Property of the relative spectral quadratic construction

Let $(\delta F, F) : (X, A) \to \Sigma^p (Y, B)$ be semi-stable maps of pairs of spaces fitting into a commutative diagram as follows

![Diagram](image)

and inducing the commutative diagram of chain complexes

![Diagram](image)

Then the relative spectral quadratic constructions of $(\delta F, F)$ and $(\delta F', F')$ are related by

$$\text{con}_{\delta F', F'}^p \circ (\delta g_X \cdot g_A) = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} g_Y & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & g_B & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & g_A \end{array}\right) \circ \text{con}_{\delta F, F}^p \circ (\delta e', \psi') \circ \text{con}_{\delta F, F}^p \circ (\delta f, f).$$

Proof 232

We start with recalling the definitions of the involved structured chain complexes. Then we proceed with identifying the underlying chain complexes of $\partial^2 g_{\Sigma^p} (\delta \bar{g}, \bar{g})$ and $\partial g_{\Sigma^p} (Y, B)$ before we finally prove that the quadratic structures are homotopic.

We will use the following notations.

The foundational maps of spaces are $A \xrightarrow{i} N \xrightarrow{g} B$, and we use the same notation for the induced maps of chain complexes $C(A) \xrightarrow{i} C(N) \xrightarrow{g} C(B)$. Denote the symmetric structures obtained from the relative symmetric construction for the fundamental classes of $(Y, B)$ and $(N, A)$ by

from the relative symmetric construction for the fundamental classes of $(Y, B)$ and $(N, A)$ by
(δφ, ϕ) = con^g_{Y, B}([Y]) and (δφ_N, ϕ_A) = con^g_{Y, B}([N]). By C6 we obtain maps

\[ \Gamma_Y: (\text{Th}(\nu_Y)/\text{Th}(\nu_B))^* \to \Sigma^p Y_+ \]
\[ \Gamma_B: \Sigma^{-1}\text{Th}(\nu_B)^* \to \Sigma^p B_+ , \]

with induced chain maps

\[ (\gamma_Y: \check{C}((\text{Th}(\nu_Y)/\text{Th}(\nu_B))^*) \to \check{C}(Y)) \simeq (\phi_j: \mathcal{C}(j)^{n+1-*} \to C(Y)), \]
\[ (\gamma_B: \check{C}(\Sigma^{-1}\text{Th}(\nu_B)^*)_{p++} \to \check{C}(B)) \simeq (\phi_0: C(B)^{n-*} \to C(B)). \]

Using the degree one normal map \((\delta\tilde{g}, \tilde{g}): (N, A) \to (Y, B)\) and C5 we get a similar pair of maps denoted

\[ \Gamma'_Y = \Gamma_N \circ (\text{Th}(\delta\tilde{g})/\text{Th}(\tilde{g}))^*: (\text{Th}(\nu_Y)/\text{Th}(\nu_B))^* \to \Sigma^p N_+, \]
\[ \Gamma'_B = \Gamma_A \circ \text{Th}(\tilde{g})^*: \Sigma^{-1}\text{Th}(\nu_B)^* \to \Sigma^p A_+ \]

with the induced chain maps \(\gamma'_Y\) and \(\gamma'_B\) and the chain homotopic versions

\[ g'_i: \mathcal{C}(j)^{n-*} \xrightarrow{(\delta g^i \cdot g^i)} \mathcal{C}^{n-*}(i) \xrightarrow{((\delta \phi_N)\circ (\phi_A)_0)} C(N), \]
\[ g'_i: C^{n-1-*}(B) \xrightarrow{\phi'_A} C^{n-1-*}(A) \xrightarrow{(\phi_A)_0} C(A). \]

We denote the \(n\)-quadratic Poincaré pair of the right hand side of the homotopy equivalence that we are aiming to prove by

\[ \partial_{g_{n+1}^*}(Y, B) = \left\{ \partial j: \Sigma^{-1}\partial C := \Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{C}(\phi_0) \to \partial j := \mathcal{C}(\phi_j), \right. \]
\[ \left. (\partial \delta \psi, \partial \psi) := \text{con}_{\Gamma_Y, \Gamma_B}^g(u_{\nu_B})^* \in \mathcal{C}(\partial j_{\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}}), \right\}. \]

On the left hand side we use the \(n\)-quadratic pair \([n+1]\]

\[ \text{sgn}_{n+1}^l(\delta\tilde{g}, \tilde{g}) =: \left\{ \begin{array}{l} g': C' := \mathcal{C}(g') \to D' := \mathcal{C}(g'_i), \\ (\delta \psi', \psi') := \text{con}_{\Gamma_Y, \Gamma_B}^g(u_{\nu_B})^* \in \mathcal{C}(G^{n+1}_{\mathcal{R}}) \end{array} \right. \]

and the symmetrization \((\delta \phi', \phi') := (1 + t)(\delta \psi', \psi') \in \mathcal{C}(G^{n+1}_{\mathcal{R}})\) of its quadratic structure to define the \(n\)-quadratic Poincaré pair

\[ \partial_{g_{n+1}^*}^Q \text{sgn}_{n+1}^l(\delta\tilde{g}, \tilde{g}) =: \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial G': \partial C' := \Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{C}(\phi_0) \to \partial G' := \Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{C}(\phi'_i), \\ (\partial \delta \psi', \partial \psi') \in \mathcal{C}(\partial C'_{\mathcal{R}}) \end{array} \right. \]

Identification of the chain complexes. We use the following diagram of cofibrations analog to the absolute case.

C6→p.165

A28→p.134

B28→p.157
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We obtain a homotopy equivalence with \( \Sigma(\cdot) \) that were used to produce the boundary chain complexes \( \partial C_i^j \) and \( \partial_{C^j} D^i \). Note that the back side of the cube is exactly the diagram from the absolute case 231. So again, with some inspection and using Poincaré duality of \( A \) we can identify \( \Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{C}(g) \simeq \mathcal{C}(g) \) and \( \Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{C}(\mu) \simeq \mathcal{C}(\varphi_0) \) and hence we obtain a homotopy equivalence

\[
h': \Sigma \partial C^j = \mathcal{C}(\varphi_0) \simeq \mathcal{C}(\varphi_0) = \Sigma \partial C.
\]

Analogously, at the front of the cube we get a homotopy equivalence which identifies \( \delta \) and \( \varphi_C' \) and so we obtain from

\[
\varphi_C': \mathcal{C}(G_1)^{n-s} = C(N)^{n-s} \oplus C(Y)^{s+1} \oplus C(B)^{s} \oplus C(A)^{n-s+1} \oplus C(B)^{s+1} \\
\simeq C(Y)^{s+1} \oplus C(N) \\
= \Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{C}(g) \overset{\delta \mu}{\rightarrow} \mathcal{C}(g_i)
\]

in the bottom right corner at the front of the cube the homotopy equivalence

\[
\delta h': \Sigma \partial C^j = \mathcal{C}(\varphi_j) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\varphi_j) = \Sigma \partial_j D.
\]

The sign conventions for suspensions lead to homotopy equivalences

\[
(\delta h, h): (\partial_{C^j} D^i, \partial C) \rightarrow (\partial_j D, \partial C)
\]

with \( \Sigma(\delta h, h) = -(\delta h', h') \).

Identification of the quadratic structures. The pair \( (\delta \varphi, \varphi) \) denotes the symmetric pair structure obtained by applying the relative symmetric signature \( \text{sgn}_{Y, B}^*_\cdot \) to \( (Y, B) \). The Poincaré quadratic structure of the quadratic pair \( \partial \text{gn}_{Y, B}^*(Y, B) \) is given by \( (\text{sgn}_{Y, B}^*_1)^{-1}(\Psi, \Phi) \) with

\[
\Psi := (S \partial \psi, S \partial \psi) := S\partial \mathcal{E}_1^2(\delta \psi, \psi) = \text{con}_{\mathcal{D}^2(Y, B)}(u_{\nu \nu}', u_{\nu \nu}) \\
\Phi := (\partial \delta \varphi, \partial \varphi) := \partial \mathcal{E}_1^2(\delta \varphi, \varphi) = S^{-1}(1 + t)(\Psi)
\]
We want to identify this pair with the quadratic structure of the boundary of $sgn_{\Sigma}^{\nu_{\gamma}}(\delta \tilde{g}, \tilde{g})$. The quadratic structure $(\delta \psi^i, \psi^j)$ of the pair $sgn_{\Sigma}^{\nu_{\gamma}}(\delta \tilde{g}, \tilde{g})$ is produced by the relative spectral quadratic construction $\con_{\Gamma_{Y}, \Gamma_{B}}^{\delta \psi^i, \psi^j}$. In order to obtain a Poincaré quadratic structure on the boundary we have to apply the map $(e^\nu_{\varphi^j}, e^\nu_{\varphi^0}): C(j_{\Sigma}) \to C(\Sigma \partial G^i_{\Sigma})$ induced by the following diagram.

$$
\begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{C}(y^i) \\
\downarrow e^\nu_{\varphi^0} \\
\mathcal{C}(\varphi^0) \\
\end{array} \xrightarrow{\con_{\Gamma_{Y}, \Gamma_{B}}^{\delta \psi^i, \psi^j}} \begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{C}(y^i) \\
\downarrow e^\nu_{\varphi^0} \\
\mathcal{C}(\varphi^0) \\
\end{array} \xrightarrow{\con_{\Sigma \partial G^i_{\Sigma}}^{1, (e^\nu_{\varphi^j}, e^\nu_{\varphi^0})}} \begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{C}(y^i) \\
\downarrow e^\nu_{\varphi^0} \\
\mathcal{C}(\varphi^0) \\
\end{array} \xrightarrow{\con_{\Sigma \partial G^i_{\Sigma}}^{1, (e^\nu_{\varphi^j}, e^\nu_{\varphi^0})}} \begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{C}(y^i) \\
\downarrow e^\nu_{\varphi^0} \\
\mathcal{C}(\varphi^0) \\
\end{array} 
$$

We denote the Poincaré quadratic structure of $\partial_{\psi}^2 sgn_{\Sigma}^{\nu_{\gamma}}(\delta \tilde{g}, \tilde{g})$ by

$$(\delta \psi^j, \partial \psi^i) = \left( \frac{\delta}{1+t} \right)^{-1}(\Phi', \Psi')$$

with

$$
\Psi^i := S\partial_{\psi^i}^2 (\delta \psi^j, \psi^j) := (S\partial \delta \psi^j, S\partial \psi^j) = (e^\nu_{\varphi^j}, e^\nu_{\varphi^0}) \circ \con_{\Gamma_{Y}, \Gamma_{B}}^{\delta \psi^i, \psi^j}(u_{\nu_{\gamma}})^* \\
\Phi^i := \partial_{\psi^i}^2 (\delta \varphi^j, \varphi^j) := (\partial \delta \varphi^j, \partial \varphi^j) = \delta^{-1} \circ (1 + t)(\Psi').
$$

So, using this notation we have to proof $\Psi \simeq \psi^i$ and $\Phi \simeq \Phi^i$.

We start with the symmetric structures $\Phi$ and $\Phi^i$. According to its definition the relative spectral quadratic construction for the semi-stable map $(\Gamma_{Y}, \Gamma_{B})$ fits into a commutative diagram as follows.

$$
\begin{array}{c}
C(B) \xrightarrow{\delta \psi^j} C(B) \\
\downarrow p_j^* \\
\mathcal{C}(j_{\Sigma}) \xrightarrow{\partial \psi^j} \mathcal{C}(\varphi^0) \\
\end{array} \xrightarrow{\con_{\Gamma_{Y}, \Gamma_{B}}^{\delta \psi^j, \psi^j}} \begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{C}(j_{\Sigma}) \\
\downarrow e_{\partial j} \\
\mathcal{C}(\partial \varphi^0) \\
\end{array} \xrightarrow{\con_{\Sigma \partial G^i_{\Sigma}}^{1, (e^\nu_{\varphi^j}, e^\nu_{\varphi^0})}} \begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{C}(j_{\Sigma}) \\
\downarrow e_{\partial j} \\
\mathcal{C}(\partial \varphi^0) \\
\end{array} \xrightarrow{\con_{\Sigma \partial G^i_{\Sigma}}^{1, (e^\nu_{\varphi^j}, e^\nu_{\varphi^0})}} \begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{C}(j_{\Sigma}) \\
\downarrow e_{\partial j} \\
\mathcal{C}(\partial \varphi^0) \\
\end{array} 
$$

We have

$$
S\Phi = (1 + t) \con_{\Gamma_{Y}, \Gamma_{B}}^{\delta \psi^j, \psi^j}(u_{\nu_{\gamma}})^* = (e^\nu_{\varphi^j}, e^\nu_{\varphi^0}) \circ \con_{\Gamma_{Y}, \Gamma_{B}}^{\delta \psi^j, \psi^j}(\varphi_{\psi^j}, \varphi_{\varphi^0})(u_{\nu_{\gamma}})^*
$$

$$
= (e^\nu_{\varphi^j}, e^\nu_{\varphi^0})(\delta \varphi, \varphi) \\
= (e^\nu_{\varphi^j}, e^\nu_{\varphi^0})(\delta \psi^j, \psi^j)(\partial \varphi_N, \varphi_A)
$$
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where the last step uses the naturality of the symmetric construction, i.e. that \((\delta g^%, g^%) \circ \text{con}_{N,A} = \text{con}_{Y,B}(u_{\nu_Y}^*)\).

For \(\Phi^i\) the basic property of the relative spectral quadratic construction leads to

\[
S(\Phi^i) = (e_{\psi^i} \circ (1 + t)(\delta \psi^i, \psi^i)) (e_{\varphi^i} \circ (\delta \varphi^i, \varphi^i)) = (e_{\psi^i} \circ (\delta \psi^i, \psi^i)) (e_{\varphi^i} \circ (\delta \varphi^i, \varphi^i)) \circ \text{con}_{N,A} \circ (g^i, g^i)(u_{\nu_Y}^*).
\]

We end up with the following description of both symmetric structures in terms of \((\delta \varphi^i, \varphi^i)\).

\[
S(\Phi^i) = (e_{\psi^i} \circ (\delta \varphi^i, \varphi^i)) \circ (1 + t)(\delta \psi^i, \psi^i) (e_{\varphi^i} \circ (\delta \varphi^i, \varphi^i)) = (e_{\psi^i} \circ (\delta \varphi^i, \varphi^i)) \circ (1 + t)(\delta \psi^i, \psi^i) (e_{\varphi^i} \circ (\delta \varphi^i, \varphi^i)) \circ \text{con}_{N,A} \circ (g^i, g^i)(u_{\nu_Y}^*).
\]

The identification of the second components is literally the same as in the absolute case. The cube below adapted from the lower right cube in (232.1) identifies both components via the homotopy equivalences \(\delta h^i\) and \(h^i\).

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{C}(A) \xleftarrow{i} C(N) \xrightarrow{\delta g} C(Y) \xrightarrow{\delta \varphi^i} \text{C}(B) \\
\text{C}(A) \xrightarrow{\delta g} C(N) \xleftarrow{e_{\psi^i}} \xrightarrow{e_{\varphi^i}} \xrightarrow{e_{\psi^i} \circ (\delta \varphi^i, \varphi^i)} \text{C}(B)
\end{array}
\]

By the injectivity of the suspension map \(S\) and the sign conventions for suspensions we obtain \(\Phi^i \simeq -\Phi^j\).

Now it remains to identify the quadratic components \(\Psi^i\) and \(\Psi^j\). We apply 2322 to the following diagram.
The inner square of the induced diagram of chain complexes looks as follows

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\Sigma^{-p}\mathcal{C}(\text{Th}(j)^*) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}(\gamma_Y, \gamma_B)} \mathcal{C}(\gamma_Y) \\
\downarrow \text{id} \\
\Sigma^{-p}\mathcal{C}(\text{Th}(j)^*) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}(\gamma_Y, \gamma_B)} \mathcal{C}(\gamma_Y, \gamma_B)
\end{array}
\]

and we obtain

\[
\text{con}_{\gamma_Y, \gamma_B}^{\delta \psi, \psi'} \circ (\text{id}, \text{id}) = \left( \begin{array}{cc} \delta g  & 0 \\ 0  & g \end{array} \right) \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \end{array} + (e_{\gamma_Y, \gamma_B}) \circ \text{con}_{\gamma_Y, \gamma_B}^{\delta \psi, \psi'} (\gamma_Y, \gamma_B).
\]

The relative quadratic construction for a stable map \(\Sigma^p(\delta g, g)\) that comes from a map of spaces \((\delta g, g)\) vanishes and the following diagram remains:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{C}(\text{Th}(\nu_Y)) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}(\nu_Y)} \mathcal{C}(G^j) \\
\downarrow \text{con}_{\gamma_Y, \gamma_B}^{\delta \psi, \psi'} \\
\mathcal{C}(\partial \nu_Y)
\end{array}
\]

From 232.2 we get the identification \(\left( \begin{array}{cc} \delta g  & 0 \\ 0  & g \end{array} \right) \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \end{array} \) = \((\delta h', h)' \circ ((e_{\varphi}) \circ (e_{\varphi}')) \circ \text{con}_{\gamma_Y, \gamma_B}^{\delta \psi, \psi'} (u(\nu_Y)^*)
\]

\[
\text{con}_{\gamma_Y, \gamma_B}^{\delta \psi, \psi'} (u(\nu_Y)^*) = \Psi. \quad \square
\]

Room service 232

\[
(f: C \rightarrow D, \delta \varphi, \varphi) \quad \text{an (n + 1)-symmetric pair with}
\]
- \(f: C \rightarrow D\) a chain map
- \((C, \varphi)\) an \(n\)-symmetric chain complex
- \(\delta \varphi \in W_n^C(D)_{n+1}\) such that \(d(\delta \varphi) = f^\varphi(\varphi)\) which is equivalent to \((\delta \varphi, \varphi)\) is a cycle in \(\mathcal{C}(f^\varphi)_{n+1}\).

Poincaré means \((\delta \varphi_0, \varphi_0 f^\varphi): D^{n+1-\varphi} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(f)*\) is a chain equivalence.

\[
(f: C \rightarrow D, \delta \psi, \psi) \quad \text{an (n + 1)-quadratic pair with}
\]
- \(f: C \rightarrow D\) a chain map
- \((C, \psi)\) an \(n\)-quadratic chain complex
- \(\delta \psi \in W_n^C(D)_{n+1}\) such that \(d(\delta \psi) = f^\psi(\psi)\).

Poincaré means the symmetrization is Poincaré, i.e.

\((1 + t)\delta \varphi_0, (1 + t)\varphi_0 f^\varphi): D^{n+1-\varphi} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(f)* = (D_*, C_{*-1})\) is a chain equivalence.
The relative case

\[ \text{sgn}_L^\text{sgn}((\delta \hat{g}, \hat{g}) \circ (N, A) \to (Y, B)) = \left( \text{G}^! : \text{C}^! \to \text{D}^!, \delta \psi \right) \]

the quadratic pair signature for a degree one normal map \((\delta \hat{g}, \hat{g}) : (N, A) \to (Y, B)\) from a Poincaré pair \((N, A)\) to a normal pair \((Y, B)\) (see A28).

\[ \partial \text{gn}_L(Y, B) \]

the quadratic boundary pair signature for an \(n\)-dimensional pair of normal spaces \((Y, B)\) is the \((n-1)\)-quadratic Poincaré pair \((\partial C, \partial \psi)\) obtained by using the boundary construction and the spectral quadratic construction (see B28).

\[ \partial \text{Q} \]

the relative quadratic boundary construction, produces for an \(n\)-quadratic pair an \((n-1)\)-quadratic Poincaré pair usually denoted \((\partial f : \partial C \to \partial D, \partial (\delta \psi, \psi))\).

\[ (\partial f : \partial f) \]

the symmetric boundary of an \(n\)-symmetric pair \([(n+1)](f : C \to D, \delta \varphi, \varphi)\) not necessarily Poincaré. It is an \(n\)-symmetric Poincaré pair with

- \((\partial C, \partial \varphi)\) the symmetric boundary of \((C, \varphi)\)
- \(\partial f = \Sigma \varphi^1 / \varphi^0 f^* : D^{n+1-*} \to \varphi(f)\)
- \(\partial f = \left( \begin{array}{cc} f & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) : \partial C = C_{r+1} \oplus C^{n-r-1} \to D_{r+1} \oplus D^{n-r} \oplus C^{n-r-1} = \partial f, D.\)

\[ (\partial f, \partial f) \]

the symmetric boundary of an \(n\)-symmetric chain complex obtained from algebraic surgery on the pair \((0 \to C, \varphi, 0)\), i.e. \(\partial C = \Sigma^{-1} \varphi(\varphi_0), \partial \varphi = S^{-1} e^\varphi(\varphi)\) where \(e^\varphi : C \to \varphi(\varphi_0)\) is the inclusion (see B21 for more details).

\[ W[r, s] \]

the \(Z_2\)-module chain complex

\[
\cdots \longrightarrow Z[Z_2]^{1+(-)^{r+1}t} \longrightarrow Z[Z_2]^{1+(-)^{r+1}t} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow Z[Z_2] \longrightarrow 0 \cdots
\]

with \(W[r, s]_n = 0\) for \(n > s\) and \(n < r\).

\[ G^! : C^! \to D^! \]

the map obtained from the relative quadratic signature.

\[ g^! : (C(B))^{n-*} \to C(A) \]

the chain map given by the composition \((\varphi B)_0 \circ g.\)

\[ g^!_i : (C(Y))^{n-*} \to \varphi^1(i) \]

the chain map given by the composition \(\varphi \circ \delta g^*\) for a map \(i : B \to Y\) the inclusion.

\[ g^!_i : (C(Y, B))^{n-*} \to C(N) \]

the chain map given by the composition \(\varphi_i \circ (\delta g, g)^*\) with \(i : A \to N\) the inclusion.
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In the elevator

Forms on chain complexes

The rooms in the Basement introduce the foundational algebraic concepts and constructions we use all over in the hotel, in particular all those kinds of different signatures that we use to produce elements in different types of $L$-groups.

We motivate here the setting in which these signatures live, namely the $L$-groups for chain complexes with their three different flavours - symmetric, quadratic and normal - and the following steps of generalization of this concept:

1. $L$-groups for additive categories with chain duality instead of $R$-modules.
2. Structured complexes which are Poincaré with respect to an algebraic bordism category instead of just requiring a chain equivalence to the dual chain complex.
3. A kind of controlled algebraic bordism categories which realize local Poincaré duality.

These steps are necessary to define the mosaicked signatures that will finally realize the total surgery obstruction.

We are interested in Poincaré spaces and manifolds and their differences. It turns out that we already find in chain complexes an algebraic tool which is almost powerful enough for our purposes. But we need some additional structures on the chain complexes.

The extrinsic motivation for the three different structures symmetric, quadratic and normal is the existence of the signatures in the picture below. Basically, a chain complex with an $n$-symmetric (Poincaré) structure $\varphi$ represents a space $X$ with a (fundamental) cycle $[X] \in C(X)_n$ and a chain complex with a normal structure $(\varphi, \gamma, \chi)$ represents a normal space $(Y, \nu, \rho)$. There is no direct geometric interpretation for quadratic structures but we are able to construct quadratic structures out of degree one normal maps.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Poincaré space } & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \text{symmetric Poincaré structure } \\
X & & \varphi \in W^\% C(X)_n \\
\text{degree one normal map } & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \text{quadratic Poincaré structure } \\
\tilde{f} : M \to X & & \psi \in W^\% C(f^!)_n \\
\text{normal space } & \xrightarrow{\langle \varphi, \gamma, \chi \rangle} & \text{normal structure } \\
(Y, \nu, \rho) & & \langle \varphi, \gamma, \chi \rangle \in W^\% C(X)_n \oplus \hat{W}^\% C(C(X)^{-\ast})_0 \oplus \hat{W}^\% C(X)_{n+1}
\end{array}
\]

where $\pi = \pi_1(X)$ in the first case, $\pi = \pi_1(M)$ in the second and $\pi = \pi_1(Y)$ in the last one and all spaces are of dimension $n$.

The conceptional idea behind these structure on chain complexes is to develop $L$-theory for chain complexes instead of modules motivated by Quillen’s approach to algebraic $K$-theory. The original (quadratic) $L$-groups were defined by Wall using quadratic forms. We are now going to retrace the quadratic and symmetric forms in the definition of the chain complex version of the $L$-groups.

Let $M$ be a free $R$-module. A bilinear form over $R$ is an element in $\text{Hom}_R(M, M^*)$ or, using the adjoint description, in $(M \otimes M)^*$. The involution $T : (M \otimes M)^* \to (M \otimes M)^*$, $T(\lambda)(x, y) = \bar{\lambda}(y, \bar{x})$
defines a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-action on bilinear forms. A symmetric form can then be considered as a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-fix point which is an element in $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\mathbb{Z}, \text{Hom}_R(M, M^*))$ and a quadratic form is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-orbit which is an element in $\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \text{Hom}_R(M, M^*)$.

This translates almost immediately to chain complexes but we would like to obtain cup products as symmetric forms. This makes two adjustments necessary: Firstly, we have to use a dual description in order to get the right source and target. So we consider an element in $(C \otimes_R C) \cong \text{Hom}_R(C^+, C)$ as a form on a chain complex $C$. The involution is given by

$$T \colon C \otimes C \longrightarrow C \otimes C; \quad x \otimes y \mapsto (-1)^{|x||y|} y \otimes x.$$ 

Secondly, we need a homotopy invariant notion. Hence instead of fixed points and orbits we consider homotopy fixed points and homotopy orbits. So a symmetric form on a chain complex $C$, which we call a symmetric structure on $C$, is defined to be a cycle in $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(W, C \otimes_R C)_*$. Accordingly, a quadratic structure is a cycle in $(W \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} C \otimes_R C)_*$. In the next subsections we provide more details about structures on chain complexes.

### Symmetric structures

An $n$-symmetric structure $\varphi \in W_n(C(X)) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(W, C(X) \otimes C(X))$ for an $n$-dimensional Poincaré space $X$ is meant to encode the Poincaré duality of $X$. On the chain level Poincaré duality means that there is a fundamental cycle $[X] \in C(X)_n$ such that the cap product

$$\cdot \cap [X] : C(X)^{n-*} \rightarrow C(X)_*$$

is a chain equivalence. In general we can define for each $\sigma \in C(X)_n$ a chain map

$$\cdot \cap \sigma : C(X)^{n-*} \rightarrow C(X)_*.$$

Using the slant isomorphism, $\cdot \cap \sigma$ corresponds to an element $\varphi_{\sigma}$ in $C(X) \otimes C(X)$ and as a first step to the construction of symmetric structures we can make the ad hoc definition of a chain map

$$\text{con}_{\varphi} \colon C(X) \longrightarrow C(X) \otimes C(X); \quad \sigma \mapsto \varphi_{\sigma}.$$ 

We have a close look at this. In homology we almost immediately recover $\text{con}_{\varphi}^X$ induced by the diagonal map $d : X \rightarrow X \times X$. For that, recall the definition of the cap product, for example as given in [Mas91, XIII.3]:

$$\cdot \cap : H^p(X) \otimes H_q(X) \longrightarrow H_{q-p}(X), \quad u \otimes v \mapsto u \langle d_*(v)$$

which involves the slant product

$$\langle : H^p(X) \otimes H_q(X \times X) \longrightarrow H_{q-p}(X),$$

which is induced by the chain map

$$\langle : C^p(X) \otimes C_q(X \times X) \longrightarrow C_{q-p}(X), \quad u \otimes v \mapsto u \langle \zeta(v)$$

where $\zeta : C(X \times X) \rightarrow C(X) \otimes C(X)$ is the Eilenberg-Zilber chain map and the chain map $\langle : C^p(Y) \otimes [C(X) \otimes C(Y)]_q \rightarrow C(X)_{q-p}$ is defined by $f \otimes a \otimes b \mapsto (-1)^{|a||f|} a \otimes f(b)$ with $f(b) = 0$ for $|b| \not= |f|$.
Symmetric structures

Using the general adjoint isomorphism $\text{Hom}(B \otimes A, C) \cong \text{Hom}(A, \text{Hom}(B, C))$ we can rewrite the slant product as the homomorphism

$$\cap: H_q(X \times X) \to \text{Hom}(H^p(X), H_{q-p}(X)).$$

Precomposed with the diagonal map we get the cap product

$$H_q(X) \xrightarrow{d} H_q(X \times X) \xrightarrow{(\cap \circ \iota)} \text{Hom}(H^p(X), H_{q-p}(X)) \xrightarrow{u} \cdot u$$

which looks as follows on the chain level:

$$C(X)_q \xrightarrow{d} C_q(X \times X) \xrightarrow{\zeta} (C(X) \otimes C(X))_q \xrightarrow{\cap} \text{Hom}(C^n(X), C_{q-p}(X)).$$

We recover $\text{con}^{\cap}_{X,q}$ as the composition $\zeta \circ d$, but it has a defect compared to its geometric origin, the diagonal map $d$. We lost the symmetry in the target: Let $t: X \times X \to X \times X$ be the switch map $t(x, y) = (y, x)$. Then $d$ is fixed under the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-action by $t$, but for $d$, we have to take a cellular approximation of the diagonal map $d$ which is not symmetric. Moreover, consider the following diagram where $T: C_p \otimes C_q \to C_p \otimes C_q$ is given by $x \otimes y \mapsto (-1)^{|x||y|} y \otimes x$.

$$\text{con}^{\cap}_{X,q}: C(X) \xrightarrow{d} C(X \times X) \xrightarrow{\zeta} C(X) \otimes C(X)$$

$$\text{con}^{\cap}_{X,q}: C(X) \xrightarrow{d} C(X \times X) \xrightarrow{\zeta} C(X) \otimes C(X)$$

The diagram does not commute on the nose but the Eilenberg-Zilber map is constructed using the acyclic model theorem [EM53] and the uniqueness part of the theorem provides at least a natural chain homotopy

$$\text{con}^{\cap}_{X,q}: \zeta \circ d \simeq \zeta \circ t \circ d \simeq T \circ \zeta \circ d \colon C(X) \to C(X) \otimes C(X).$$

Returning to the isomorphic description of $C \otimes C$ as $\text{Hom}(C^*, C)$ the definition of $T$ changes to

$$T: \text{Hom}(C^*, C) \to \text{Hom}(C^*, C); \quad \varphi \mapsto \varphi^*.$$
- a point \( \omega_0 \in X \),
- a path \( \omega_1 : [0,1] \to X \) from \( \omega_0 \) to \( t\omega_0 \),
- a homotopy \( \omega_2 : \omega_1 \simeq t\omega_1 \) relative \( \{0,1\} \),
- a homotopy \( \omega_3 : \omega_2 \simeq t\omega_2 \) and so on ...

Observe that \( W = C(S^\infty) \) is the cellular \( \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_2] \)-module chain complex of \( S^\infty = (e^0 \cup t e^0) \cup (e^1 \cup t e^1) \cup \ldots \) with \( \partial e^i = e^{i-1} - t e^{i-1} \). A cycle \( \varphi(\cdot) \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_2]}(W, C \otimes C) \) is essentially the same as the collection \( \varphi \) of higher homotopies. For that consider the following diagram.

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccccc}
\cdots & \to & \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_2] & (1+t) & \to & \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_2] & (1-t) & \to & \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_2] & \to & 0 \\
\varphi & \downarrow & 1 & \varphi & \downarrow & 1 & \varphi & \downarrow & 1 & \\
\cdots & \to & (C \otimes C)_{n+2} & (C \otimes C)_{n+1} & \to & (C \otimes C)_n & (C \otimes C)_{n-1} & \to & & \\
\varphi_2 & \downarrow & \varphi_1 & \downarrow & \varphi_0 & \downarrow & \varphi_0 & \downarrow & \varphi_0 & \\
& & & & & & & & & \\
& & & & & & & & & \\
\end{array}
\]

Evaluating \( \varphi(\cdot) \) on the generator of \( \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_2] \) together with the cycle condition we get the adjoint description of the symmetric structure as defined in 232.3:

\[
\varphi(1) = \{ \varphi_s \in (C \otimes C)_s \mid d^{C \otimes C}(\varphi_s) = \varphi_{s-1} \pm t \varphi_{s-1}, s \geq 0 \}.
\]

Note that these higher homotopies become important to define a gluing operation for chain complexes which is needed to prove that cobordism is an equivalence relation on chain complex level.

### Quadratic structures

An \( n \)-quadratic structure \( \psi \in W_\mathbb{Z} C(X)_n \) is a refinement of a symmetric structure. Similarly to the symmetric structure a quadratic structure can be described as a collection of chain maps

\[
\psi = \{ \psi_s : C^{n-s-*} \to C_* \mid s \leq 0 \}
\]

but this time with a decreasing instead of an increasing degree. It becomes a symmetric structure via the symmetrization map \( (1+t) \). Non-trivial quadratic structures occur when we start with a stable map

\[
F : \Sigma^k X \to \Sigma^k Y
\]

between pointed spaces \( X \) and \( Y \). We get such a map for example for a degree one normal map \( \hat{f} : M \to X \) by using \( S \)-duality.

On chain complexes a stable map \( F \) gives us a chain map

\[
f : C(X) \xrightarrow{\Sigma X} \Sigma^{-k}(C(\Sigma^k X)) \xrightarrow{F_*} \Sigma^{-k}(C(\Sigma^k Y)) \xrightarrow{\Sigma X} C(Y).
\]

But since \( f \) is not induced by a map of spaces it might not commute with the symmetric construction but the difference \( f^\% \text{con}_X^\psi - \text{con}_Y^\psi f \) will become null-homotopic after \( k \)-fold suspension because we recover the map \( F \):

\[
\Sigma^k (f^\% \text{con}_X^\psi - \text{con}_Y^\psi f) \simeq (F_*^\% \text{con}_{\Sigma^k X}^\psi - \text{con}_{\Sigma^k Y}^\psi F_*) : C(X) \to W^\%(C(Y)).
\]
Quadratic structures

The algebraic situation is summarized in the diagram below with a commuting outer square but the inner square does not necessarily commute.

\[ \Sigma^{-k}C(\Sigma^k X) \xrightarrow{\text{con}^\Sigma_{\Sigma^k X}} \Sigma^{-k}W%(C(\Sigma^k X)) \]

\[ \cong \]

\[ F_\ast \]

\[ f \]

\[ \cong \]

\[ f^\% \]

\[ \cong \]

\[ S_k \]

\[ \Sigma^{-1}(C(\Sigma^k Y)) \xrightarrow{\text{con}^\Sigma_{\Sigma^k Y}} \Sigma^{-k}W%(C(\Sigma^k Y)) \]

Now we use the fact that the short exact sequence of chain complexes

\[ 0 \xrightarrow{} W^%C \xrightarrow{} \hat{W}^%C \xrightarrow{} \Sigma W^%C \xrightarrow{} 0 \]

induces in homology the long exact sequence of \( Q \)-groups

\[ \cdots \xrightarrow{} Q_{n-1}(C) \xrightarrow{} Q^n(C) \xrightarrow{} \hat{Q}^n(C) \xrightarrow{} Q_n(C) \xrightarrow{} \cdots \]

and that the hyperquadratic \( Q \)-groups are the stabilization of the symmetric \( Q \)-groups via the suspension map \( S : Q^n(C) \rightarrow Q^{n+1}(\Sigma C) \):

\[ \hat{Q}^n(C) \cong \colim_{k \rightarrow \infty} Q^{n+k}(\Sigma^k C). \]

We obtain the following diagram where the diagonal maps come from the diagram above and the horizontal line is the long exact sequence of \( Q \)-groups.

\[ H_{n+k}(C(\Sigma^k X)) \]

\[ \xrightarrow{\cong} \]

\[ H_n(X) \]

\[ \cong \]

\[ f^\% \]

\[ \cong \]

\[ f \]

\[ \cong \]

\[ S_k \]

\[ \Sigma^{-1}(C(\Sigma^k Y)) \]

\[ \xrightarrow{\text{con}^\Sigma_{\Sigma^k Y}} \Sigma^{-k}W%(C(\Sigma^k Y)) \]

The image of \( f^\% \con^\Sigma_X - \con^\Sigma_Y f \) vanishes in \( \hat{Q}^n(C(Y)) \) and because \( X \) is Poincaré we have \( H_n(X) \cong \mathbb{Z} \). Hence the exact sequence gives us a lift to \( Q_n(C(Y)) \). Additionally, on the chain level there is a preferred choice of the null-homotopy \( S_k(f^\% \con^\Sigma_X - \con^\Sigma_Y f) \cong 0 \) which defines a map

\[ \con^\Sigma_C : C(X) \rightarrow W%(C(Y)), \]

the quadratic construction.
Example. We give a geometric example where we need suspension to obtain a map that is compatible with the symmetric construction. Denote by $T$ the torus $S^1 \times S^1$ and by $M$ the 2-sphere 'with ears' $S^1 \vee S^2 \vee S^1$. They both can be equipped with a CW-structure with one 0-cell, two 1-cells $a$ and $b$ and one 2-cell $x$. On the chain level we obtain a chain map $f : C(T) \to C(M)$ by sending generators to generators. This does not commute with the symmetric construction because on $C(M)$ the cup-product of the generators $a$ and $b$ vanishes whereas on $C(T)$ we obtain the generator $x$. But after suspension there is a homotopy equivalence $F : \Sigma T \to \Sigma M$ and hence the induced map on the suspended chain complexes is compatible with the symmetric construction.

Normal structures

Normal structures are related to normal spaces. A normal space is a generalization of a Poincaré space. It becomes important when we compare the local structure of a manifold and a Poincaré space. Locally, a manifold has still Poincaré duality whereas a Poincaré space is locally only a normal space.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>global geometric object</th>
<th>weaker</th>
<th>manifold</th>
<th>Poincaré</th>
<th>normal</th>
<th>local algebraic structure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>manifold</td>
<td></td>
<td>Poincaré</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poincaré</td>
<td></td>
<td>normal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A normal space is a triple $(Y, \nu, \rho)$ consisting of a space $Y$ with a $k$-dimensional oriented spherical fibration $\nu$ and a map $\rho : S^{n+k} \to \text{Th}(\nu)$ to the Thom space of $\nu$. This triple together with the Hurewicz homomorphism $h$ and a choice $u(\nu) \in \tilde{C}^k(\text{Th}(\nu))$ of the Thom class of $\nu$ determines a fundamental class

$$[Y] := u(\nu) \cap h(\rho).$$

The cap product with the fundamental class does not need to be a chain equivalence in contrast to when $Y$ is a Poincaré space. The dimension of a normal space is the dimension of the source sphere of $\rho$ minus the dimension of the spherical fibration and agrees with the dimension of the fundamental class.

A normal structure is a 3-tuple $(\varphi, \gamma, \chi)$ where $\varphi \in W^n C_n$ is a symmetric structure, $\gamma \in \tilde{W}^n(C)_0$ a chain bundle and $\chi \in \tilde{W}^n(C)_{n+1}$ a chain which relates $\gamma$ and $\varphi$. They represent the following geometric data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(chain complex)</th>
<th>$C \hookrightarrow Y$</th>
<th>(space)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(symmetric structure)</td>
<td>$\varphi \hookrightarrow [Y]$</td>
<td>(fundamental class)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(chain bundle)</td>
<td>$\gamma \hookrightarrow \nu$</td>
<td>(spherical fibration)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(link between $\varphi$ and $\gamma$)</td>
<td>$\chi \hookrightarrow \rho$</td>
<td>(link between $[Y]$ and $\nu$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$J(\varphi) = d\chi + \varphi_0(S^n \gamma)$</td>
<td>$[Y] = u(\nu) \cap h(\rho)$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For a normal space $(Y, \nu, \rho)$ with fundamental class $[Y]$ and a choice of the Thom class $u(\nu) \in \tilde{C}(\text{Th}(\nu))$ we obtain a normal structure in the following way.

$C = C(\tilde{Y})$, the (cellular) chain complex of the universal cover.

$\varphi = \text{con}_\varphi([Y])$, the symmetric construction applied to $[Y]$.

$\gamma = \text{con}_\gamma(u(\nu))$, using the chain bundle construction as defined in A3.
Let \( A \) be an additive category and \( B(A) \) the bounded chain complexes in \( A \). Our duality structures live in \( W^\% \mathbb{Z}/2 \mathbb{Z} = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}/2 \mathbb{Z}}(W, C \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2 \mathbb{Z} C) \) resp. in \( W^\% \mathbb{C} \) and \( \hat{W}^\% \mathbb{C} \). So for a chain complex \( C \in B(A) \) we have to make sense of \( C \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2 \mathbb{Z} C \) and give it a \( \mathbb{Z}/2 \mathbb{Z} \)-action.

For \( A \) the additive category of free \( R \)-modules we have the chain dual \( T(C) := C^{\sim*} \) which is related to the tensor product via the slant isomorphism

\[
-\otimes : C \otimes_R D \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Hom}_R(TC, D),
\]

\[
x \otimes y \mapsto (f \mapsto f(x) \cdot y)
\]

and satisfies \( T^2(C) \cong C \). So we need a functor \( T : B(A)^{\text{op}} \to B(A) \) together with a natural transformation \( e : T^2 \to 1 \) such that

\[
e_C : T^2 C \xrightarrow{\cong} C,
\]

\[
e_T(C) \circ T(e_C) = 1.
\]

Then we define

\[
C \otimes A D := \text{Hom}_A(TC, D).
\]

The missing part is now a \( \mathbb{Z}/2 \mathbb{Z} \)-action on \( C \otimes A C \) but \( T \) gives a natural map

\[
T : \text{Hom}_A(C, D) \to \text{Hom}_A(T(D), T(C))
\]

of chain complexes. This induces the maps

\[
T_{C,D} : C \otimes A D = \text{Hom}_A(TC, D) \xrightarrow{T} \text{Hom}_A(TD, T^2 C) \xrightarrow{(ec)^*} \text{Hom}_A(TD, C) = D \otimes A C
\]

and

\[
T_{D,C} : D \otimes A C = \text{Hom}_A(TD, C) \xrightarrow{T} \text{Hom}_A(TC, T^2 D) \xrightarrow{(ec)^*} \text{Hom}_A(TC, D) = C \otimes A D
\]

with \( T_{C,D} \circ T_{D,C} = \text{id} = T_{D,C} \circ T_{C,D} \).

Hence \( T_{C,D} : C \otimes A C \to C \otimes A C \) is an involution which makes \( C \otimes A C \) a \( \mathbb{Z}/2 \mathbb{Z} \)-module chain complex.

We will encounter chain dualities where the dual of a single chain module is allowed to be a chain complex. So we actually define a chain duality as a functor

\[
\tilde{T} : A^{\text{op}} \to B(A)
\]

which induces a functor

\[
T : B(A) \to B(A)
\]

via the total complex of the double complex \( \tilde{T}(C)_i \) for \( C \in B(A) \).
Algebraic bordism categories

Algebraic bordism categories are designed to capture the zoo of different (Poincaré duality) structures and especially the different local (Poincaré duality) structures in one homogeneous concept. Varying these algebraic bordism categories we can organize these structures in a braid of exact sequences as shown in 12.

We defined a structured chain complex \((C, \lambda)\) to be Poincaré if the algebraic mapping cone \(C(\lambda_0)\) is contractible. We would like to have a more flexible concept of being Poincaré. Instead of requiring \(C(\lambda_0)\) to be contractible we rather choose a distinguished subcategory \(P \subseteq B(\mathcal{A})\) of chain complexes and call \((C, \lambda)\) to be \(P\)-Poincaré if \(C(\lambda_0) \in P\). We formalize this in the definition of an algebraic bordism category \(\Lambda = (\mathcal{A}, C, P, (T, e))\), usually denoted by \(\Lambda\) or \((\mathcal{A}, C, P)\). It consists of full additive subcategories \(P \subseteq C \subseteq B(\mathcal{A})\) where \(P\) is closed under weak equivalences and mapping cones, i.e.

1. \(\mathcal{E}(f : C \to D) \in P\) for any chain map \(f\) in \(P\),

and additionally any \(C \in C\) satisfies

2. \(\mathcal{E}(\text{id} : C \to C) \in P\) and

3. \(\mathcal{E}(e(C) : T^2(C) \xrightarrow{\sim} C) \in P\).

Local chain duality

For \(K\) a triangulated manifold we get \((n - |\sigma|)\)-dimensional manifolds \(D(\sigma, K)\) with boundary \(\partial D(\sigma, K) = \cup_{\sigma \leq \tau} D(\tau, K)\) for all simplices \(\sigma \in K\). We would like to encode the Poincaré duality of all these manifolds \(D(\sigma, K)\) in one single chain complex. Therefore we define \(\mathcal{A}_*X\) to be the additive category with objects and morphisms given by

\[
\text{Obj}_{\mathcal{A}_*X} = \left\{ \sum_{\sigma \in X} M_\sigma \mid M_\sigma \in \mathcal{A}, M_\sigma = 0 \text{ except for finitely many } \sigma \right\},
\]

\[
\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{A}_*X}(\sum_{\bar{\sigma} \in X} M_{\bar{\sigma}}, \sum_{\bar{\tau} \in X} N_{\bar{\tau}}) = \left\{ \sum_{\sigma \geq \tau \in X} f_{\tau, \sigma} \mid (f_{\tau, \sigma} : M_\sigma \to N_\tau) \in \text{Mor}_\mathcal{A}(M_\sigma, N_\tau) \right\},
\]

where \(X\) is a simplicial complex.

Analogously, there is a category \(\mathcal{A}^*_X\) with the same objects but the components \(f_{\sigma, \tau}\) of a morphism are restricted to the case \(\tau \leq \sigma\). They are used for the construction of \(L\)-spectra but now we concentrate on the first lower star case**.

A chain complex in the category \(\mathcal{A}_*X\) consists of chain complexes \(C(\sigma)\) for each \(\sigma \in X\) with additional maps \(C(\sigma)_n \to C(\tau)_{n-1}\) in each degree \(n\) and for all simplices \(\sigma < \tau\).

**To justify the position of the star note that the morphisms in \(\mathcal{A}_*X\) behave covariantly compared to the morphism in \(\Delta\) where as the morphisms in \(\mathcal{A}^*_X\) are contravariantly compatible.
Local chain duality

Example. Let $X = \Delta^1$ be the standard one simplex. A chain complex $C$ in $\mathbb{A}_* X$ looks as follows.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\bullet & \text{ e } & \bullet \\
C(a) & C(e) & C(b) \\
C_2 = ( & C(a)_2 & C(e)_2 & C(b)_2 & ) \\
\downarrow & & & & \\
C_1 = ( & C(a)_1 & C(e)_1 & C(b)_1 & ) \\
\downarrow & & & & \\
C_0 = ( & C(a)_0 & C(e)_0 & C(b)_0 & ) \\
\end{array}
\]

The property of the differentials that $d_k \circ d_{k-1} = 0$ is passed on to the columns so that each column chain complex separately is a chain complex.

For a given chain duality $T$ on $\mathbb{A}_*$ the induced chain duality $T^*$ in $\mathbb{A}_* X$ is defined as follows.

\[
T^* : \mathbb{A}_* X \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{A}_* X), \quad T^* \left( \sum_{\sigma \in X} M_{\sigma}(\tau) \right)_s := T(\bigoplus_{r \leq s} M_{\tau}_{s-|\tau|}).
\]

To explain the purpose of this definition we decompose $T_* : \mathbb{A}_* X \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{A}_* X)$ into the following three functors

\[
T_* : \mathbb{A}_* X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^* X \xrightarrow{T} \mathbb{A}^* X \xrightarrow{i} \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{A}_* X)
\]

where $T^*$ is the chain duality induced by $T$ on $\mathbb{A}^* X$, the subcategory of $\mathbb{A}_* X$ with non-trivial morphisms only between the same simplices. The other two functors $\bigodot$ and $\bigoplus$ will be defined and explained in the following example.

Remark. Now we obtain with $T_*$ a chain duality where the dual of a chain module is a chain complex. We use the total chain complex $T_*(C)_n = \bigoplus_{n=r-s} T_*(C_r)_s$ to define the dual of a chain complex $C$.

Example. Let $K$ be an $n$-dimensional triangulated manifold and $C$ the chain complex in $\mathbb{Z}_* X$ with $C(\sigma) = C(D(\sigma), \partial D(\sigma))$ the simplicial chain complex of the dual cell $D(\sigma, K)$ relative boundary. Let $(T, e)$ be the standard duality of $\mathbb{Z}$-modules given by $T(M) := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, \mathbb{Z})$. The dual chain complex $T_*(C)$ is designed in such a way that an $n$-symmetric Poincaré structure $\varphi_0 : \Sigma^n T_*(C) \rightarrow C$ restricted to $\varphi_0(\sigma) : \Sigma^n T_*(C)(\sigma) \rightarrow C(\sigma)$ for a simplex $\sigma \in X$ can encode the Poincaré duality map of the dual cell $D(\sigma, X)$ itself.
To achieve this, the definition of $T_*$ has to deal with three difficulties which we will explain now with a simultaneous look at a 1- and a 0-simplex of $K$.

1. The dual cell $D(\sigma, K)$ is a manifold with boundary, hence, Poincaré duality of $C$ restricted to $C(\sigma)$ means in fact Poincaré-Lefschetz duality.

Solution:
$C(\sigma)$ is the cellular chain complex of $D(\sigma, K)$ relative boundary. So for its Poincaré-Lefschetz dual we collect the boundary components. The boundary consists of the dual cells of the simplices that $\sigma$ is a face of.

So we define $C^{\bigoplus} = \bigoplus_{\tau \geq \sigma} C(\tau)$.

2. After applying the chain duality of $\Lambda$ to $C^{\bigoplus}$ the reversed maps would be no longer morphisms in $\Lambda, X$.

Solution:
The target $C^{\bigoplus}$ of a differential $d_{e,a}$ is now also part of the source $C^{\bigoplus}$. So we can assemble the differentials between different columns in the source columns by defining $d_{\sigma, a}^{\bigoplus} = \bigoplus_{\sigma \leq \tau} d_{\tau, a}$ and $d_{\tau, a}^{\bigoplus} = 0$ for $\tau \neq \sigma$.

3. The dual cell $D(\sigma, K)$ is an $(n - |\sigma|)$-dimensional manifold. So $n$-dimensional duality on $C$ should be an $(n - |\sigma|)$-dimensional duality on $C(\sigma)$.

Solution:
We shift each column chain complex $C(\sigma)$ according to the dimension of $\sigma$ into the right position such that a chain map $\varphi: T_*(C) \to C$ of degree $n$ is in fact a chain map of degree $n - |\sigma|$ on $T_*(C)(\sigma) \to C(\sigma)$. So we define $C^i(\sigma)_n := C(\sigma)_{n - |\sigma|}$ and introduce projections as the new maps between the columns in $T_*(C)$. 
Local chain duality

For $A^*X$ the motivating picture is that the Poincaré duality restricted to a simplex is meant to encode the Poincaré duality of the simplex itself. So everything is reversed. The boundary is coming from the faces of $\sigma$ so $\Box$ collects all chain complexes $C(\tau)$ with $\tau \leq \sigma$ and Poincaré duality of $\sigma$ is $\sigma$-dimensional so $\uparrow$ shifts the chain complex accordingly. We use the notations $C(\Box_\sigma)_k = \bigoplus_{\sigma \leq \tau} C(\tau)_k$ and $C(\uparrow_\sigma)_k = C(\sigma)_{k+|\sigma|}$ to define

$$(T^*C)(\sigma)_k = (\uparrow \circ T \circ \Box)(C(\sigma))_k = T\left(\bigoplus_{\sigma \geq \tau} C(\tau)\right)_{k+|\sigma|}.$$
A Constructions and signatures

Porter

In the following rooms we introduce the plant of constructions which produce algebraic structures out of geometric data. The basic machines are the following three constructions which produce symmetric and quadratic structures and chain bundles.†† For certain geometric input these constructions are used to construct symmetric, quadratic and normal signatures as elements in appropriate $L$-groups.

\[
\begin{array}{c}
X \xrightarrow{\text{symmetric construction}} \varphi \in W^k(C(X))_n \\
F: \Sigma^p X \to \Sigma^p Y \xrightarrow{\text{quadratic construction}} \psi \in W_k(C(Y))_n \\
\nu: Z \to \text{BSG}(k) \xrightarrow{\text{chain bundle construction}} \gamma \in \check{W}^0(C(Z)^{-*})_0
\end{array}
\]

where $X$ and $Y$ are topological spaces, $F$ a map of suspended pointed topological spaces and $\nu: Z \to \text{BSG}(k)$ a spherical fibration with $Z$ a finite CW-complex. There is a variant of the quadratic construction for a semi-stable map

\[
\begin{array}{c}
F: X \to \Sigma^p Y \xrightarrow{\text{spectral quadratic construction}} \partial \psi' \in W_k(C(f))_n
\end{array}
\]

where $f: \tilde{C}(X)_{p+*} \to \tilde{C}(Y)_*$ is the chain map induced by $F$.

The symmetric construction $\text{con}^\varphi_X$ is a consequence of the Alexander-Whitney diagonal approximation $C(X) \to C(X) \otimes C(X)$. It commutes with maps induced by maps of topological spaces and with suspensions of chain complexes but not with chain maps in general. This leads to the definition of the quadratic construction $\text{con}^\psi_F$ which measures the failure of the symmetric construction to commute with a chain map $f: C(X) \to C(Y)$ derived from a stable map $F: \Sigma^p X \to \Sigma^p Y$. The chain bundle construction $\text{con}^\nu_\nu$ for a spherical fibration $\nu$ combines the symmetric construction for the Thom space of $\nu$ with Thom- and $S$-duality equivalences.

For some special geometric input these constructions give rise to elements in $L$-groups in all

††The chain bundle construction appears in the original literature as hyperquadratic construction.
A Constructions and signatures

flavours. Here is an overview.

For the quadratic signature there is a generalization for degree one normal maps with target normal spaces using the spectral quadratic construction. The outcome is a non-Poincaré quadratic complex and thereby not an element in an $L$-group but it will be used to tessellate quadratic mosaicked signatures.

There are also relative versions of the constructions which give rise to relative signatures.
A Constructions and signatures

The relative versions for pairs can be generalized for ads. So for subdivided versions of the geometric input from above we have the mosaicked signatures. Let $M$, $X$ and $Y$ be as above but equipped with a map $r$ to a simplicial complex $K$.

The normal pairs

$$((Y, B), \nu, (\rho_Y, \rho_B))$$

The relative normal signature

$$\text{sgn}^\mathbb{N}L^\bullet$$

The degree one normal map

$$(\delta g, g): (N, A) \to (Y, B)$$

from a Poincaré pair to a normal pair

The quadratic pairs

$$(G^\dagger: C^\dagger \to D^\dagger, \delta \psi^\dagger, \psi^\dagger)$$

The normal maps

$$\hat{f}: M' \to M$$

$$\hat{f}: M' \to X$$

$$\hat{f}: M' \to Y$$

The normal spaces

$$(\text{non-Poincaré})$$

The normal chain complexes

$$\text{in } NL^n(\Lambda_N K)$$

The globally Poincaré normal chain complexes

$$\text{in } NL^n(\Lambda_G K)$$

The locally Poincaré quadratic chain complexes

$$\text{in } L_n(\Lambda_L K)$$

The globally Poincaré quadratic chain complexes

$$\text{in } L_n(\Lambda_G K)$$

The mosaicked symmetric chain complexes

$$\text{in } L^n(\Lambda_L K)$$

The mosaicked quadratic chain complexes

$$\text{in } L_n(\Lambda_L K)$$

The mosaicked normal chain complexes

$$\text{in } NL^n(\Lambda_N K)$$

The mosaicked normal chain complexes

$$\text{in } NL^n(\Lambda_G K)$$
A Constructions and signatures

A1 Symmetric

The symmetric construction $\text{con}_X^\varphi$ is a direct consequence of the Alexander-Whitney diagonal approximation $C(X) \to C(X) \otimes C(X)$ which gives a chain map

$$\text{con}_X^\varphi : C(X) \to W^\varphi_\sigma(C(X)).$$

Evaluated on a cycle $[X] \in C(X)_n$ we get an $n$-symmetric structure $\varphi_n = \text{con}_X^\varphi([X])$. The symmetric construction commutes with maps induced by maps of topological spaces and with suspensions of chain complexes but not with chain maps in general. This leads to the definition of the quadratic construction in the next section A2.

The original source for the symmetric construction is [Ran80a, §1]. There you find the details for the equivariant version which we omit here and for the properties listed below which we will not verify here. The symmetric construction is based on the Eilenberg-Zilber map and acyclic models. You find more details on that in [EM53] or in standard textbooks like [DK01, §3].

A1 Symmetric construction [Ran80b, Prop. 1.2]

Let $X$ be a topological space with the singular chain complex $C(X)$. There is a natural chain map

$$\text{con}_X^\varphi : C(X) \to W^\varphi_\sigma(C(X)).$$

Properties

- [A12 $\rightarrow$ Ran80b, Prop. 1.1]
  The symmetric construction is functorial with respect to maps of spaces, i.e. let $f : X \to Y$ be a map of topological spaces. Then the following diagram commutes.

  $\xymatrix{ C(X) \ar[r]^{\text{con}_X^\varphi} & W^\varphi_\sigma(C(X)) \ar[d]^f \ar[r] & W^\varphi_\sigma(C(Y)) \ar[d]^{f^\varphi} \ar[r] & W^\varphi_\sigma(C(Y)) }$

- [A13 $\rightarrow$ Ran80b, Prop. 1.4]
  The symmetric construction commutes with algebraic and geometric suspension up to a chain homotopy $\Gamma_X$.

  $\xymatrix{ C_*(X) \ar[r]^{\text{con}_X^\varphi} & W^\varphi_\sigma(C(X)) \ar[r]^{\Sigma} & W^\varphi_\sigma(\Sigma C(X)) \ar[d]^\Gamma_X \ar[r] & W^\varphi_\sigma(\Sigma C(X)) \ar[d]^\Sigma \ar[r] & W^\varphi_\sigma(\Sigma C(X)) }$

Variations

- A14 Relative symmetric construction [Ran80b, Prop. 6.1]
  Let $(X,A)$ be a pair of topological spaces and $i : C(A) \to C(X)$ the induced chain map. There is a chain map

  $$\text{con}_{X,A}^\varphi : i_1 \rightarrow \varphi(i^\varphi).$$

  compatible with $\text{con}_X^\varphi$.

Signatures
### A15 Symmetric signature

Let $X$ be an $n$-dimensional Poincaré space. There is a symmetric signature

\[ \text{sgn}_X^L \in L^n(\pi) \]

producing an $n$-symmetric Poincaré chain complex $(C, \varphi)$.

### A16 Relative symmetric signature

Let $(X, A)$ be an $(n+1)$-dimensional Poincaré pair. There is a relative symmetric signature

\[ \text{sgn}^L_{X, A} \]

producing an $(n+1)$-symmetric Poincaré pair $(f: C \to D, \delta \varphi, \varphi)$.

### A17 (15, 16) Mosaicked symmetric signature [Ran92, Example 6.2 and 9.13]

Let $X$ be an $n$-dimensional Poincaré space and $r: X \to |K|$ a map to a simplicial complex $K$. There is a mosaicked symmetric signature

\[ \text{sgn}^L_K \in L^n(\Lambda^G K) \]

such that $\text{sgn}^L_K = \text{sgn}^L_X \in L^n(\pi)$. If $X$ is an $n$-dimensional manifold there is a refined version

\[ \text{sgn}^L_K \in L^n(\Lambda L K) \].

---

**Proof A1 (Symmetric construction)**

[DK01, §3]

There are two functors

\[ F: (X, Y) \mapsto C(X \times Y) \quad \text{and} \quad F': (X, Y) \mapsto C(X) \otimes C(Y) \]

from the category of pairs of spaces to the category of chain complexes which are naturally equivalent, i.e. there exist natural transformations $\zeta: F \to F'$ and $\zeta^{-1}: F' \to F$ so that for any pair $(X, Y)$ the composites

\[ C(X \times Y) \xrightarrow{\zeta} C(X) \otimes C(Y) \xrightarrow{\zeta^{-1}} C(X \times Y) \]

and

\[ C(X) \otimes C(Y) \xrightarrow{\zeta^{-1}} C(X \times Y) \xrightarrow{\zeta} C(X) \otimes C(Y) \]

are chain homotopic to the identity. Moreover, any two choices of $\zeta$ resp. $\zeta^{-1}$ are naturally chain homotopic.

Choose an Eilenberg-Zilber map (A11)

\[ \zeta_0: C(X \times X) \to C(X) \otimes C(X). \]

It is natural in both factors and $\mathbb{Z}_2$-equivariant up to homotopy with respect to the switch action on the factors. This means the following diagram commutes up to chain homotopy.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
C(X \times X) & \xrightarrow{\zeta_0} & C(X) \otimes C(X) \\
\downarrow{\text{switch}} & & \downarrow{t} \\
C(X \times X) & \xrightarrow{\zeta_0} & C(X) \otimes C(X)
\end{array}
\]

The chain homotopy $\zeta_1: C(X \times X) \to (C(X) \otimes C(X))_{+1}$ is again $\mathbb{Z}_2$-equivariant up to a chain homotopy $\zeta_2$. This collection $\{\zeta_n | n \geq 0\}$ of chain homotopies defines in fact a map

\[ \zeta: C(X \times X) \to \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(W, C \otimes C). \]

Composed with the geometrically induced diagonal chain map $d_*$, the Eilenberg-Zilber map...
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\[ \text{con}_\mathcal{X} : C(X) \xrightarrow{\delta} C(X \times X) \xrightarrow{\zeta} W^\mathcal{P}(C(X)). \]

Proof A14 (Relative symmetric construction)

We obtain the chain map \( \text{con}_{\mathcal{X},A} : \mathcal{C}(\iota) \to \mathcal{C}(\iota^\% \mathcal{X}) \) immediately by the naturality of the symmetric construction A1:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
C(A) \xrightarrow{i} C(X) \xrightarrow{\text{con}_\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{C}(\iota) \\
W^\mathcal{P}(C(A)) \xrightarrow{\iota^\%} W^\mathcal{P}(C(X)) \xrightarrow{\text{con}_{\mathcal{X},A}} \mathcal{C}(\iota^\% \mathcal{X})
\end{array}
\]

Proof A15 (Symmetric signature)

Let \( \tilde{X} \) be the universal covering of an \( n \)-dimensional Poincaré space \( X \) and \( \pi = \pi_1(X) \). The symmetric construction \( \text{con}_\mathcal{X} \) yields a chain map of \( \mathbb{Z}\pi \)-modules. Applying \( \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\pi} \), we obtain the equivariant symmetric construction, a chain map of chain complexes of abelian groups

\[ \text{con}_\mathcal{X} : C(X) \to W^\mathcal{P}(C(\tilde{X})) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}]}(W, C(\tilde{X}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\pi} C(\tilde{X})). \]

Evaluate the equivariant symmetric construction on a fundamental cycle \([X]\) to define \( \varphi = \text{con}_\mathcal{X}([X]) \). Inspection of the symmetric construction shows that the map of \( \mathbb{Z}\pi \)-module chain complexes \( \varphi_0 : C(\tilde{X})^{n-*} \to C(\tilde{X})_* \) is given by taking cap product with \([\tilde{X}]\) and thus a chain homotopy equivalence. We define the symmetric signature of \( X \) to be

\[ \text{sgn}_{\mathbb{Z}\pi}^L(X) = [(C(\tilde{X}), \varphi)] \in L^n(\mathbb{Z}\pi). \]

The cobordism class in \( L^n(\mathbb{Z}\pi) \) does not depend on the choice of \([X]\).

Proof A16 (Relative symmetric signature)

Use the relative symmetric construction of A14 to generalize the symmetric signature \( \text{sgn}_{\mathbb{Z}\pi}^L \) for pairs to obtain the relative symmetric signature \( \text{sgn}_{\mathbb{Z}\pi}^L \).

Proof A17 (Mosaicked symmetric signature)

By topological transversality we can make \( r \) transverse to the dual cells \( D(\sigma, K) \). We obtain a \( K \)-dissection \( X = \bigcup_{\sigma \in K} X[\sigma] \) where \( X[\sigma] = r^{-1}(D(\sigma, K)) \).

Let \( C(X) \) be the subcomplex of the singular chain complex of \( X \) such that the image of each singular chain is contained in some \( X[\sigma] \). This chain complex is chain homotopy equivalent to the full singular chain complex. We consider \( C(X) \) as a \( K \)-mosaicked chain complex in \( \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{Z}, K) \) by defining

\[ C(X)(\sigma) = C(X[\sigma], \partial X[\sigma]) \]

for \( \sigma \in K \).
The relative symmetric construction $A_{14}$ can be generalized to a chain map

$$\text{con}^\varphi_K : C(X) \to W^\varphi(K(C(X)))$$

over $\mathbb{Z}_2$. Evaluated on a cycle $[X] \in C(X)_n$ it produces an $n$-dimensional $K$-mosaicked symmetric structure $\varphi_K = \text{con}^\varphi_K([X])$ and hence an $n$-dimensional $K$-mosaicked symmetric chain complex $(C(X), \varphi_K)$ in $\Lambda_G K$ whose $\sigma$-component

$$\varphi_K(\sigma)_0 : C^{n-|\sigma|-*}(X[\sigma]) \to C(X[\sigma], \partial X[\sigma])$$

is the cap product with the class $[X[\sigma]] := \partial_\sigma([X])$. The cobordism class of $(C(X), \varphi_K)$ does not depend on the choice of the fundamental class and hence defines an element $\text{sgn}^{L^\bullet}_K(X)$ in $L^n(\Lambda_G K)$.

If $X$ is a manifold, then each $X[\sigma]$ is an $(n-|\sigma|)$-dimensional manifold with boundary and hence satisfies Poincaré duality. If we use the fundamental class $[X]$ to obtain $\varphi_K$, then $\varphi_K(\sigma)_0$ is the cap product with the fundamental class $[X[\sigma]]$ and hence a homotopy equivalence. The symmetric signature refines to an element

$$\text{sgn}^{L^\bullet}_K(K) \in L^n(\Lambda_L K).$$

Room service $A_1$

- $C(X)$: the singular chain complex for a space $X$.
- $t$: the generator of $\mathbb{Z}_2$; acts on $C \otimes_R C$ via $t(x \otimes y) = (-)^{|x||y|}y \otimes x$ and on $C \otimes_A C$ via $t(x \otimes y) = T_{C,C}(x \otimes y)$.
- $W^\%$: a functor defined for a chain complex $C$ by $W^\%(C) := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}[W, C \otimes C]$.
- $W$: the free resolution of the trivial $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_2]$-chain module $\mathbb{Z}$; given by the $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_2]$-chain complex

$$\cdots \to \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_2] \xrightarrow{1-t} \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_2] \to 0$$

- $L^n(R)$: the cobordism group of $n$-symmetric Poincaré chain complexes over $R$.
- $t$: the generator of $\mathbb{Z}_2$; acts on $C \otimes_R C$ via $t(x \otimes y) = (-)^{|x||y|}y \otimes x$ and on $C \otimes_A C$ via $t(x \otimes y) = T_{C,C}(x \otimes y)$.
- $(C, \varphi)$: an $n$-symmetric chain complex consisting of a chain complex $C$ and an $n$-symmetric structure $\varphi$;

Poincaré means $\varphi_0 : C^{n-*} \to C$ is a chain equivalence.

- $X$: an $n$-dimensional Poincaré space, i.e. a finite CW complex together with an orientation homomorphism $w : \pi_1(X) \to \{\pm 1\}$ and a fundamental class $[X]$. 
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$[X]$ fundamental class for an $n$-dimensional Poincaré space $X$ is a cycle in the cellular $\mathbb{Z}\pi$-chain complex $C_n(\tilde{X})$ which represents an $n$-dimensional homology class in $H_n(X; \mathbb{Z}\pi)$ such that $\cdot \cap [X]: C^{n-*}(\tilde{X}) \to C_*(\tilde{X})$ is a $\mathbb{Z}\pi$-chain homotopy equivalence where $\tilde{X}$ is the universal covering.

$X[\sigma]$ is defined for a map $r: X \to K$ to a simplicial complex as the preimage of the dual cell $D(\sigma, K)$ after making $r$ transverse. If $X$ is a simplicial complex itself, choose $r$ to be the identity. The subdivision $X = \bigcup_{\sigma \in K} X[\sigma]$ is called a $K$-dissection of $X$.

$\partial_{\sigma}: C(K) \to \Sigma^{\sigma|} C(\sigma)$ chain map defined for each simplex $\sigma = \langle v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_{|\sigma|} \rangle$ in $K$ by the composition

$$C(K) = \sum_{\tau \in K} C(\tau)_n \xrightarrow{\text{proj}} C(\sigma_0)_n \xrightarrow{d_1} C(\sigma_1)_n \xrightarrow{d_2} \ldots \xrightarrow{d_{|\sigma|}} C(\sigma)_{n-\sigma}$$

with $\sigma_j = \langle v_0, \ldots, v_j \rangle$ and $d_j = d^C_{n-|\sigma|+1}$ the relevant component of $\partial_{n-j+1}: C(K)_{n-j+1} \to C(K)_{n-j}$ (see [Ran92, Def. 8.2]).

$\Lambda_G X$ for $\Lambda = (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{P})$ denotes $(\mathcal{A}_* X, \mathcal{C}_L X, \mathcal{P}_G X, (T_*, e_*))$, the $X$-mosaicked algebraic bordism category of $\Lambda = (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{P})$ with global Poincaré duality.

$\Lambda_L X$ for $\Lambda = (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{P})$ denotes $(\mathcal{A}_* X, \mathcal{C}_L X, \mathcal{P}_L X, (T_*, e_*))$, the $X$-mosaicked algebraic bordism category of $\Lambda = (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{P})$ with local Poincaré duality.
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A2 Quadratic

We give a short introduction on how quadratic structures are obtained, followed up by an overview of different variants of quadratic constructions, their properties and the signatures derived from them.

A stable map \( F : \Sigma^p X \rightarrow \Sigma^p Y \) defines a chain map

\[
f : C(X) \xrightarrow{\con^X} \Sigma^{-p} C(\Sigma^p X) \xrightarrow{\con^X} \Sigma^{-p} C(\Sigma^p Y) \xrightarrow{\con^Y} C(Y)
\]

which is not (necessarily) induced by a geometric map \( X \rightarrow Y \). Hence we get a non-trivial chain map

\[
f \circ \con^X(X) - \con^X(Y) \circ f_* : C(X) \rightarrow W^\%(C(Y))
\]

in the symmetric world measuring the square’s failure.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
C(X) & \xrightarrow{\con^X} & W^\%C(X) \\
f \downarrow & & \downarrow f^\%
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
C(Y) & \xrightarrow{\con^Y} & W^\%C(Y) \\
f \downarrow & & \downarrow f^\%
\end{array}
\]

to commute. The stabilized term \( S(f^\% \con^X - \con^Y f) \simeq F^\% \con^X X - \con^Y f_* \) vanishes because we recover the geometrically induced chain map \( F_* \). The suspension homomorphism is an isomorphism for structures in \( \widehat{W}^\% \). The short exact sequence

\[
0 \rightarrow W^\% C(Y) \rightarrow W^\% C(Y) \rightarrow \widehat{W}^\% C(Y) \rightarrow 0
\]

together with the fact that the suspension homomorphism \( S \) is an isomorphism on \( \widehat{W}^\% \) leads to a preferred lift in \( W^\% \), the quadratic construction

\[
\con^W_F(X) : C(X) \rightarrow W^\%(C(Y))
\]

with the property that \((1 + t) \circ \con^W_F(X) = f^\% \circ \con^X(X) - \con^Y f_* \circ f : C(X) \rightarrow W^\%(C(Y))\).

This can be applied in the following geometric situations. For a degree one normal map \( \hat{f} : M \rightarrow X \) between manifolds or Poincaré spaces we obtain a stable Umkehr map \( F : \Sigma^p X_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^p M_+ \) so that we can use the quadratic construction directly to define a quadratic signature for \( \hat{f} \). If the target space is normal, we obtain only a semi-stable Umkehr map. For this case we need a variant of the quadratic construction, the spectral quadratic construction A24 in order to obtain the signature as defined in A27.

The quadratic construction was introduced by Ranicki in [Ran80b] and with corrected details defined in [Ran81].

\[A2 \text{ Quadratic construction [Ran80b, Prop. 1.5][Ran81, p.30]}\]

Let \( F : \Sigma^p X \rightarrow \Sigma^p Y \) be a map of pointed topological spaces. There is a chain map

\[
\con^\varphi_F : C(X) \rightarrow W^\%(C(Y))
\]

such that \((1 + t) \circ \con^\varphi_F = f^\% \con^X - \con^Y f_* \).

Properties
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[A22 \rightarrow \text{[Ran80b, Prop. 1.5 (iii)]]}

For another map $G : \Sigma^p Y \to \Sigma^p Z$ of pointed topological spaces we have $\text{con}_{G,F}^\psi = g_{\text{F}} \text{con}_{F}^\psi + \text{con}_{G}^\psi f$.

Variations

A23 Relative quadratic construction

Let $(j : A \to X)$ and $(i : Y \to B)$ be pairs of pointed topological spaces and $(\delta F, F) : (\Sigma^p X, \Sigma^p A) \to (\Sigma^p Y, \Sigma^p B)$ a map. Then there is a chain map

$$\text{con}_{\delta F,F}^\psi, \psi : \psi(j) \to \psi(i_{\Sigma^p})$$

compatible with $\text{con}_{F}^\psi$.

A24 (A27) Spectral quadratic construction [Ran81, Proposition 7.3.1]

Let $F : X \to \Sigma^p Y$ be a semi-stable map between pointed topological spaces and $f : \tilde{C}(X)_{p+} \to \tilde{C}(Y)_{p+}$ the induced chain map. There is a natural chain map

$$\text{con}_{\delta F,F}^\psi : \tilde{C}(X)_{p+} \to W_{\text{F}}(\psi(f))$$

such that

$$(1 + t) \circ \text{con}_{\delta F,F}^\psi = \epsilon_{\text{F}} \circ \text{con}_{\psi} \circ f$$

where $\epsilon : \tilde{C}(Y_{p+}) \to \psi(f)$ is the inclusion.

A25 (A28, B28, 232) Relative spectral quadratic construction [Ran81, Proposition 7.3.1]

Let $(\delta F, F) : (N,A) \to (\Sigma^p Y, B)$ be a semi-stable map between pairs of pointed topological spaces inducing the following commutative diagram of chain maps.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\tilde{C}(N)_{p+} & \xrightarrow{\delta F} & \tilde{C}(Y)_{p+} & \xrightarrow{\psi(f)} & \tilde{C}(N,A)_{p+} & \xrightarrow{\delta f} & \tilde{C}(Y,B) & \xrightarrow{\epsilon} & \psi(j_{\Sigma^p}) \\
\downarrow j & & \downarrow i & & \downarrow (i,j) & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\tilde{C}(A)_{p+} & \xrightarrow{f} & \tilde{C}(B) & \xrightarrow{\psi(g)} & \tilde{C}(A)_{p+} & \xrightarrow{\psi(f)} & \psi(g) & \downarrow & \psi(j_{\Sigma^p})
\end{array}
\]

There is a chain map

$$\text{con}_{\delta F,F}^\psi, \psi : \tilde{C}(N,A)_{p+} \to \psi((i,j)_{\Sigma^p})$$

such that

$$(1 + t) \circ \text{con}_{\delta F,F}^\psi, \psi = \epsilon_{\psi} \circ \text{con}_{\psi} \circ (\delta f, f)$$.

Signatures

A26 Quadratic signature [Ran80b, p.229]

Let $\tilde{f} : M \to X$ be a degree one normal map between $n$-dimensional Poincaré spaces. There is a quadratic signature

$$\text{sgn}_{\psi} L^\bullet_{\tilde{f}}(\tilde{f}) \in L_n(\mathbb{Z})$$

producing an $n$-quadratic Poincaré chain complex $(C, \psi)$.

A27 (231) Quadratic signature for normal target spaces [Ran81, Prop. 7.3.4 (iv)]

Let $\tilde{g} : N \to Y$ be a degree one normal map from an Poincaré space to a normal space both of dimension $n$. There is a quadratic signature

$$\text{sgn}_{\psi} L^\bullet_{\tilde{g}}(\tilde{g}) = (C^\psi, \psi) = (\psi(g^\psi), \text{con}_{\psi}(u_{\Sigma^p}))$$

producing an $n$-quadratic chain complex (not necessarily Poincaré) such that $(1 + t)(\psi^\psi) = \epsilon_{\psi}^\psi(\varphi_N)$. 
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A28 (232) Relative quadratic signature for normal target spaces \([\text{Ran}80b, \text{Prop. 6.4}]\)

Let \((\hat{\delta}, \hat{g}) : (N, A) \rightarrow (Y, B)\) be a degree one normal map from a Poincaré pair \((N, A)\) to a normal pair \((Y, B)\) both of dimension \(n\). There is an \(n\)-quadratic pair called the relative quadratic signature

\[
\text{sgn}_{L}^{X}((\delta, g)) = (G' : C' \rightarrow D', (\delta \psi, \psi)).
\]

such that

\[
(1 + t)(\delta \psi, \psi) = e_{g} \circ \text{con}_{\delta \psi, \psi}^{X}. \quad (N, A).
\]

A29 (221, 23) Mosaicked quadratic signature \([\text{Ran}92, \text{Example 9.14}]\)

Let \(\hat{f} : M \rightarrow X\) be a degree one normal map from a closed topological manifold to a Poincaré space both of dimension \(n\). Let \(r : X \rightarrow K\) be a map to a simplicial complex \(K\). There is a mosaicked quadratic signature

\[
\text{sgn}_{K}^{L}(\hat{f}) \in L_{n}(\Lambda^{C}K)
\]

with \(A(\text{sgn}_{X}^{L}(\hat{f})) = \text{sgn}_{Z}^{L}(\hat{f})\). If \(X\) is a manifold, there is a refined version

\[
\text{sgn}_{K}^{L}(\hat{f}) \in L_{n}(\Lambda^{L}K).
\]

Proof A2 (Quadratic construction)

\([\text{A13} \rightarrow \text{[Ran}80b, \text{Prop. 1.4}]\]

The symmetric construction commutes with algebraic and geometric suspension up to a chain homotopy \(\Gamma_{X}\).

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
C_{n}(X) & \xrightarrow{\text{con}_{X}^{\Sigma}} & W^{\%}(C(X)) \\
\downarrow{\Sigma} & & \downarrow{\Sigma^{-1}W^{\%}(C(X))} \\
\Sigma \circ \text{con}_{\Sigma C(X)}^{X} & \xrightarrow{\Gamma_{X}} & \Sigma \circ \text{con}_{\Sigma C(X)}^{X}
\end{array}
\]

A21 Stable symmetric is hyperquadratic \([\text{Ran}80a, \text{p.106}]\)

We have a chain homotopy equivalence

\[
\text{colim}_{p \rightarrow \infty} S^{p}(W^{\%}C) \simeq \tilde{W}^{\%}C.
\]

Suppose that \(p\) in \(F : \Sigma^{p}X \rightarrow \Sigma^{p}Y\) is large enough such that \(S^{p}(f^{\%} - \text{con}_{X}^{\Sigma})\) is stable, if necessary suspend \(F\). The following pullback gives us a chain complex \(\mathcal{C}(X)\) homotopy equivalent to \(C(X)\).

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{C}(X) & \xrightarrow{\text{con}_{X}^{\Sigma}} & W^{\%}(C(X)) \\
\downarrow{\Sigma} & & \downarrow{\Sigma^{-1}W^{\%}(C(X))} \\
\Sigma \circ \text{con}_{\Sigma C(X)}^{X} & \xrightarrow{\Sigma^{p}F \circ \text{con}_{X}^{\Sigma}} & \Sigma^{p}C(X)
\end{array}
\]

A model for \(\mathcal{C}(X)\) is the desuspended mapping cone \(\Sigma^{-1}C(X) \oplus C(Y) \rightarrow \Sigma^{-p}C(\Sigma^{p}Y)\). From the properties of the symmetric construction A13 we have a natural chain homotopy

\[
\Gamma_{X} : \Sigma C(X) \rightarrow W^{\%}(C(\Sigma X))_{n+1}
\]

up to which the following diagram commutes.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\Sigma C(X) & \xrightarrow{\text{con}_{C(X)}^{\Sigma}} & W^{\%}(\Sigma C(X)) \\
\downarrow{\Sigma X} & & \downarrow{\Sigma X} \\
\Sigma C(X) & \xrightarrow{\Sigma^{p}C(X)} & W^{\%}(\Sigma C(X))
\end{array}
\]
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Now we consider the following diagram.

The lower trapezoid commutes strictly and on the left and right hand side we recover the diagram from above. Start a diagram chase with an element \((x,y,z)\) in the pullback \(C(X)\) where \(z \in \Sigma^{-p}C(\Sigma^p Y)\) is a \((n+1)\)-chain connecting \(x \in C(X)_n\) and \(y \in C(Y)_n\). It leads to an element in the fiber of \(S^p: W^\% C(Y) \to \Sigma^{-p}W^\% (\Sigma^p C(Y))\). Using the equivalence of A21 and the cofibration sequence \(W^\% C(C(Y)) \to W^\% C(\Sigma^p C(Y))\) we identify the fiber with \(W^\% C(Y)\) and hence obtain a quadratic structure.

Proof A21 (Stable symmetric is hyperquadratic)

For \(\tilde{W}^\% C\) the suspension map \(S: \tilde{W}^\% C \to \Sigma^{-1}\tilde{W}^\% (\Sigma C)\) is a chain equivalence and we have \(\text{hocolim}_k \Sigma^{-k}W^\% \Sigma^k C \simeq 0\). Hence we obtain from

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
0 & \to & W^\% C & \to & \tilde{W}^\% C & \to & \Sigma W^\% C & \to & 0 \\
& & \downarrow S^k & & \downarrow S^k & & \downarrow S^k & \\
0 & \to & \Sigma^{-k}W^\% (\Sigma^k C) & \to & \Sigma^{-k}\tilde{W}^\% (\Sigma^k C) & \to & \Sigma^{-k+1}W^\% (\Sigma^k C) & \to & 0 \\
\end{array}
\]

that \(\tilde{W}^\% C \simeq \Sigma^{-k}W^\% \Sigma^k C\) which proves the statement.

Proof A23 (Relative quadratic construction)

The construction of A2 can be generalized to the relative case.
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Proof A24 (Spectral quadratic construction)

The existence of the spectral quadratic construction $\con_F^\psi$ can be read off the following commutative diagram.

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
\tilde{H}_{n+p}(X) & \xrightarrow{\con_F^\psi} & \tilde{H}_{n+p}(\Sigma^p Y) & \xrightarrow{\con_F^\psi} & \tilde{H}_n(Y) & \xrightarrow{Q_n(\psi(f))} & Q_n(\psi(f)) \\
\tilde{H}_{n+p}(X) & \xrightarrow{F} & \tilde{H}_{n+p}(\Sigma^p Y) & \xrightarrow{\con_F^\psi} & \tilde{H}_n(Y) & \xrightarrow{Q_n(\psi(f))} & Q_n(\psi(f)) \\
Q^{n+p}(\tilde{C}(X)) & \xrightarrow{\con_{\Sigma^p Y}} & Q^{n+p}(\tilde{C}(\Sigma^p Y)) & \xrightarrow{\con_{\Sigma^p Y}} & Q^n(\tilde{C}(Y)) & \xrightarrow{\con_Y} & Q^n(\psi(f)) \\
& & \xrightarrow{\con_{\Sigma^p Y}} & Q^n(\tilde{C}(Y)) & \xrightarrow{\con_Y} & Q^n(\psi(f)) & \\
& & & \xrightarrow{\con_{\Sigma^p Y}} & Q^n(\tilde{C}(Y)) & & \\
& & & & \xrightarrow{\con_Y} & \tilde{Q}^n(\psi(f)) & \\
& & & & & \xrightarrow{\con_Y} & \tilde{Q}^n(\psi(f))
\end{array}
\]

The functor $C \mapsto \tilde{Q}^n(C)$ is a generalized cohomology theory on the category of chain complexes [Wei85a, Theorem 1.1]. So the last row is exact and the right column is also exact due to the long exact sequence of $Q$-groups.

Proof A25 (Relative spectral quadratic construction)

The existence of the relative spectral quadratic construction $\con_{\delta_F,\phi}^{\delta,\phi}$ follows immediately from the naturality of the diagram used to construct the spectral quadratic construction A24.

Proof A26 (Quadratic signature)

Let $f: N \to X$ be a degree one normal map between Poincaré spaces both of dimension $n$. There is a stable geometric Umkehr map $F: \Sigma^p X_+ \to \Sigma^p N_+$ such that $\Sigma^p f_+ \circ F \simeq \text{id}: \Sigma^p X_+ \to \Sigma^p X_+$ and such that the induced chain map $F_*: \tilde{C}(\Sigma^p X_+) \to \tilde{C}(\Sigma^p N_+)$ is chain homotopic to the composition

\[
f: C(X) \xrightarrow{(\con_{\Sigma^p Y})^{-1}} C(X)^n \xrightarrow{\con_{\Sigma^N}^{-1}} C(N)^n \xrightarrow{(\con_{\Sigma^N})^{-1}} C(N).
\]

From the degree one normal map $\tilde{f}: N \to X$ of $n$-dimensional Poincaré spaces we obtain a stable equivariant Umkehr map $F: \Sigma^p \tilde{X}_+ \to \Sigma^p \tilde{N}_+$ of the universal coverings $\tilde{X}$ and $\tilde{N}$ by using equivariant $S$-duality as described in [Ran80b, §3]. Similarly to the symmetric case there is an equivariant version of the quadratic construction for $F$

\[
\con_F^\psi: C(X) \to W_\% C(\tilde{N}).
\]

Evaluated on a fundamental cycle $[N]$ it gives rise to an $n$-quadratic structure $\psi = \con_F^\psi([X]) \in W_\% (\tilde{N})$. The stable map $F$ induces the Umkehr chain map

\[
f: C(\tilde{X}) \xrightarrow{\con_{\Sigma^p \tilde{X}_+}} \Sigma^p C(\Sigma^p \tilde{X}_+) \xrightarrow{\con_{\Sigma^p \tilde{N}_+}} \Sigma^p C(\Sigma^p \tilde{N}_+) \xrightarrow{\con_{\Sigma^p \tilde{N}_+}} C(\tilde{N}).
\]

With the inclusion map $\iota: C(\tilde{N}) \to \psi(f')$ we push $\psi$ forward to a quadratic structure on the cone of $f'$ and eventually define the quadratic signature $\sgn_\%^L(f')$ as the $n$-quadratic Poincaré chain.
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complex cobordism class

\[(\mathcal{E}(f^\flat), e \mathcal{P}_\psi) \in L_n(\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(X)]).\]

The cobordism class is independent from the choice of the fundamental cycle.

**Remark.** If \(M\) is an \(n\)-dimensional oriented manifold then the quadratic signature only depends on the normal cobordism class of \(\bar{f}\) in the set of the normal invariants \(\mathcal{N}(X)\) and provides us with a map

\[\text{sgn}^{\mathbf{C}_{\pi}}: \mathcal{N}(X) \to L_n(\mathbb{Z}\pi).\]

**Proof A27 (Quadratic signature for normal target spaces)**

Let \(\gamma: N \to Y\) be a degree one normal map from a Poincaré space \(N\) to a normal space \((Y, \nu, \rho)\) both of dimension \(n\). There is a semi-stable geometric Umkehr map

\[\Gamma^* : \text{Th}(\nu) \to \Sigma^n N^+\]

such that the induced chain map \(\gamma^* : \text{Th}(\nu) \to \text{Th}(\gamma)\) is chain homotopic to the composition

\[g^* : C(Y)^n \to \varphi^* C(N)(\Sigma^n N^+) \to C(N)\]

where \(\varphi_N = \text{con}^{\mathbf{C}_{\pi}}(\pi_N)\).

Basically we obtain \((C^\gamma, \psi^\gamma)\) by applying A24 to C3. Use the chain map \(g^\gamma\) from C3 to define \(C^\gamma \coloneqq \mathcal{E}(g^\gamma)\). The spectral quadratic construction \(\text{con}^{\mathbf{C}_{\pi}}\) from A24 evaluated on the \(S\)-dual of a Thom class \(u_\nu\) produces the quadratic structure \(\psi^\gamma \in W_\pi \mathcal{E}(\gamma)\). By C3 we have \(\gamma^\gamma \simeq g^\gamma\) and hence we can consider \(\psi^\gamma\) as a structure on \(C^\gamma\). The property \((1 + t) \text{con}^{\mathbf{C}_{\pi}} = e^{\mathbf{C}_{\pi}} \circ \text{con}^{\mathbf{C}_{\pi}}\) from A24 together with the chain homotopy \(g^\gamma \simeq \gamma^\gamma\) and the fact that \(g\) is of degree one yields that

\[(1 + t) \psi^\gamma \coloneqq (1 + t) \text{con}^{\mathbf{C}_{\pi}}(u_\nu^*) = e^{\mathbf{C}_{\pi}} \circ \text{con}^{\mathbf{C}_{\pi}}(\pi_N) =: e^{\mathbf{C}_{\pi}} \varphi_N.\]

**Proof A28 (Relative quadratic signature for normal target spaces)**

Let \((\bar{g}, \bar{f}) : (N, A) \to (Y, B)\) be a degree one normal map from a Poincaré pair to a normal pair both of dimension \((n + 1)\) with \(j : B \to Y\) and \(i : A \to N\) the inclusion maps. There are semi-stable geometric Umkehr maps \(\Gamma_Y, \Gamma_Y^*\) which fit into the following commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
\Sigma^{-1} T \nu & \xrightarrow{i} & (T \nu Y / T \nu B)^* & \xrightarrow{\Gamma_Y^*} & T \nu A^* & \xrightarrow{\Gamma_{Y, B}^*} \\
\Gamma_Y & \xrightarrow{\Gamma_Y^*} & \Sigma^n A^+ & \xrightarrow{j} & \Sigma^n N^+ & \xrightarrow{\Gamma_{Y, B}^*} \\
\end{array}
\]
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C5 (A28) (cont.)

and the induced chain maps

\[ \gamma_B^i : C(Th(\nu_B)^*) \longrightarrow C(\Sigma^p A_+) \]
\[ \gamma_Y^i : C((Th(\nu_Y)/Th(\nu_B))^*) \longrightarrow C(\Sigma^p N_+) \]
\[ \gamma_{Y,B}^i : C(Th(\nu_Y)^*) \longrightarrow C(\Sigma^p N/A) \]

are chain homotopic to

\[ g^i : C(B)^{n+1} \rightarrow C(A)^{n+1} \xrightarrow{\phi} C(A) \]
\[ g^i : \varphi(i)^{n+1} \rightarrow \varphi(i)^{n+1} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \]
\[ g_{1*}^i : C(Y)^{n+1} \rightarrow C(N)^{n+1} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \]
\[ \delta \psi \]

A25 (A28, B28, 232) Relative spectral quadratic construction [Ran81, Proposition 7.3.1]

Let \((\delta F, F) : (N, A) \rightarrow \Sigma^p (Y, B)\) be a semi-stable map between pairs of pointed topological spaces inducing the following commutative diagram of chain maps.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
C(A)^{p_{++}} & \xrightarrow{f} & C(B) \\
\downarrow j & & \downarrow i \\
C(N)^{p_{++}} & \xrightarrow{\delta f} & C(Y) \\
\downarrow (f, j) & & \downarrow (f, i) \\
C(N, A)^{p_{++}} & \xrightarrow{(\delta f, f)} & C(Y, B) \\
\end{array}
\]

There is a chain map

\[ \text{con}^{\delta_{F,F}} : C(N, A) \rightarrow \varphi((i,j)_\nu) \]

such that

\[ (1 + t) \circ \text{con}^{\delta_{F,F}} = e_\nu \circ \text{con}^{\delta_{F,F}} \circ (\delta f, f). \]

Let be \((\delta_\varphi(N), \varphi(A)) = \text{con}^{\delta_{F,F}}((N, A))\) the symmetric structure obtained from a fundamental cycle \([N, A]\) and denote

\[ g^i : C^{n-1-*}(B) \xrightarrow{\delta^*} C^{n-1-*}(A) \xrightarrow{\varphi(A)_0} C(A) \]
\[ g^i : \varphi(i)^{n-*} \xrightarrow{\delta g^*} \varphi(n-*)(j) \xrightarrow{(\varphi(N)_n, \varphi(A)_n)} C(N) \]

We define the chain complexes \(C^i\) and \(D^i\) of \(\Sigma^p A_+\) to be the mapping cones \(\varphi(g^i)\) and \(\varphi(g^i_1)\) with the induced map \(G^i\).

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
C^{n-1-*}(B) & \xrightarrow{g^i} & C(A) \\
\downarrow \delta^* & & \downarrow j \\
\varphi(i)^{n-*} & \xrightarrow{g^i_1} & C(N) \\
\end{array}
\]

We want to use the relative spectral quadratic construction to obtain the quadratic structure. By C6 we obtain the necessary semi-stable maps

\[ \Gamma_{Y'} : (Th(\nu_Y)/Th(\nu_B))^* \xrightarrow{(Th(\nu_B)/Th(\nu_A))^*} (Th(\nu_N)/Th(\nu_A))^* \xrightarrow{\Gamma_N} \Sigma^p N_+ \]
\[ \Gamma_{B'} : \Sigma^{-1} Th(\nu_B)^* \xrightarrow{Th(\nu_B)^*} \Sigma^{-1} Th(\nu_A)^* \xrightarrow{\Gamma_A} \Sigma^p A_+ \]
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with induced chain maps

\[ \gamma_Y^1 : \tilde{C}((\text{Th}(\nu_Y)/\text{Th}(\nu_B))) \to \tilde{C}(N) \]

\[ \gamma_B^1 : \tilde{C}(\text{Th}(\nu_B))^{p+} \to \tilde{C}(A). \]

The construction is now analog to the absolute case A27 and summarized in the following diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
C(B)^{n-1} & \xrightarrow{-\cup u(\nu_B) \sim} & \tilde{C}(\text{Th}(\nu_B))^n \xrightarrow{\text{S-dual}} \tilde{C}(\text{Th}(\nu_B))^{p+} \\
\left\downarrow g^i \right\uparrow & & \left\downarrow \gamma^i \right\uparrow \\
C(A) & \xrightarrow{\Sigma^p} & \tilde{C}(\Sigma^p A) \\
\left\downarrow \sigma \right\uparrow & & \left\downarrow \text{Th}(1)^* \right\uparrow \\
C(Y, B)^{n-1} & \xrightarrow{-\cup u(\nu_Y/\nu_B) \sim} & \tilde{C}(\text{Th}(\nu_Y)/\text{Th}(\nu_B))^n \xrightarrow{\text{S-dual}} \tilde{C}(\text{Th}(\nu_Y)/\text{Th}(\nu_B))^{p+} \\
\left\downarrow g^i \right\uparrow & & \left\downarrow \delta^i \right\uparrow \\
C(N) & \xrightarrow{\Sigma^p} & \tilde{C}(\Sigma^p N)^{p+} \\
\left\downarrow \delta^i \right\uparrow & & \\
\end{array}
\]

A25→p.133

From the relative spectral quadratic construction \( \text{con}_{\gamma_Y^1, \gamma_B^1}^{\varphi^1, \psi^1} \) evaluated on an S-dual Thom class \( u(\nu_Y)^* \) we get a quadratic structure \( (\delta \varphi^1, \psi^1) \in \mathcal{C}((\gamma_Y^1, \gamma_B^1)) \). By C6 we have \( (\gamma_Y^1, \gamma_B^1) \simeq (g^i, g^i) \) and hence \( (G^i : C^i \to D^i, (\delta \varphi^i, \psi^i)) \) is a well-defined quadratic pair. With the property \((1 + t)(\delta \varphi^1, \psi^1) = e_{t(\gamma_Y^1, \gamma_B^1)} \circ \text{con}_{\gamma_Y^1, \gamma_B^1}^{\varphi^1, \psi^1} \circ (\gamma_Y^1, \gamma_B^1) \) of A25 we obtain the relation \((1 + t)(\delta \varphi^1, \psi^1) = e_{g^i, g^i} \circ \text{con}_{N, \varphi}^{\gamma_Y^1, \gamma_B^1}(N, A) \).

Note that the outcome is usually not Poincaré so \( \text{sgn}^{L^*}_X \) does not produce an element in an \( L \)-group but it is used to define the mosaicked quadratic signature \( \text{sgn}^{L^*}_X \).

Proof A29 (Mosaicked quadratic signature)

**A29 (221, 23) Mosaicked quadratic signature [Ran92, Example 9.14]**

Let \( f : M \to X \) be a degree one normal map from a closed topological manifold to a Poincaré space both of dimension \( n \). Let \( r : X \to K \) be a map to a simplicial complex \( K \). There is a mosaicked quadratic signature

\[ \text{sgn}^{L^*}_X(f) \in L_n(\Lambda_G K) \]

with \( A(\text{sgn}^{L^*}_X(f)) = \text{sgn}^{L^*}_X(f) \). If \( X \) is a manifold, there is a refined version

\[ \text{sgn}^{L^*}_K(f) \in L_n(\Lambda_L K). \]

We start with the case that \( X \) is a manifold and then provide a few comments about the Poincaré case.

**Manifold case**

Make \( f \) transverse to the \( K \)-dissection of \( X \). Then we can consider \( f \) as a collection of degree one normal maps \( (f[\sigma], \partial f[\sigma]) : (M[\sigma], \partial M[\sigma]) \to (X[\sigma], \partial X[\sigma]) \) between manifolds with boundary \( (\sigma \in K) \). The relative quadratic construction \( \text{con}_{\varphi^1, \psi^1}^{\gamma_Y^1, \gamma_B^1} \) of A23 can be generalized for the stable Umkehr map \( F : \Sigma^p X_+ \to Y_+ \) of \( f \) to a chain map

\[ \text{con}^{\varphi^1, \psi^1}_F : C(M) \to W^p_\infty(C(X)) \]
over $\mathbb{Z}_s K$. Evaluated on a fundamental class $[X] \in C(X)_n$ it gives an $n$-dimensional $K$-mosaicked quadratic structure $\psi_K = \text{con}^\psi_{\text{fin}}(f)$ whose components for each $\sigma \in K$ are quadratic pairs $(C[\sigma] \to D[\sigma], (\delta \psi, \psi))$ obtained from the relative quadratic construction $\text{con}^\psi_{\text{fin}}(f[\sigma], f[\partial \sigma])$ evaluated on the fundamental classes $[X[\sigma], \partial X[\sigma]]$. We obtain an $n$-quadratic chain complex $(C(X), \psi_K)$ in $\Lambda_\mathbb{L} X$ where

$$(1 + t)(\psi_K(\sigma))_0 : \Sigma^n TC(X)(\sigma) = C(X[\sigma])^{n-*} \to C(X)(\sigma) = C(X[\sigma], \partial X[\sigma])$$

equals the cap product with $[X[\sigma], \partial X[\sigma]]$ which realizes the Poincaré-Lefschetz chain homotopy equivalence.

The cobordism class of $(C(X), \psi_K)$ does not depend on the choice of the fundamental class and hence this construction defines a quadratic signature

$$\text{sgn}^{\text{fin}}_{\mathbb{L} X}(f) \in L_n(\Lambda_\mathbb{L} X) .$$

**Poincaré space case** If $X$ is only a Poincaré space, we use in principal the same construction as in the manifold case. An important difference is that $(X[\sigma], \partial X[\sigma])$ is now only a normal pair and there is no stable Umkehr map but by C5 we obtain at least a semi-stable Umkehr map. So we have to generalize the relative spectral quadratic construction to a chain map over $\mathbb{Z}_s X$ to obtain a $K$-mosaicked quadratic structure $\psi_K$. The $\sigma$-components $(C(X)(\sigma), \psi(X)(\sigma))$ are given by the the relative quadratic signature for normal spaces $\text{sgn}^{\text{fin}}_{\mathbb{L} X}(f[\sigma], \partial f[\sigma])$. Assembly produces the quadratic Poincaré structure $A(\psi) = \text{con}^\psi_{\text{fin}}([X])$ on $X$. Thus $\text{sgn}^{\text{fin}}_{\mathbb{L} X}(f)$ is globally Poincaré and hence a chain complex in $\Lambda_{\mathbb{L}} X$.

Room service A2

$$(\tilde{f}[\sigma], \partial \tilde{f}[\sigma]) = ((\tilde{f}[\sigma], f[\sigma]), (\partial \tilde{f}[\sigma], \partial f[\sigma])) \quad \text{an $n$-dimensional degree one normal map}$$

\[
\begin{array}{c}
(\nu_M | M[\sigma], \nu_M | \partial M[\sigma]) \\
\downarrow \\
(\nu_X | X[\sigma], \nu_X | \partial X[\sigma])
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c}
(\nu_M | M[\sigma], \nu_M | \partial M[\sigma]) := (f^{-1}, \partial f^{-1})(X[\sigma], \partial X[\sigma]) \\
\downarrow \\
(X[\sigma], \partial X[\sigma])
\end{array}
\]

denoted $(f[\sigma], \partial f[\sigma]) : (M[\sigma], \partial M[\sigma]) \to (X[\sigma], \partial X[\sigma])$ for short, from an $(n - |\sigma|)$-dimensional manifold with boundary to an $(n - |\sigma|)$-dimensional normal pair obtained from a degree one normal map $\tilde{f}$ after making $f$ transverse to a $K$-dissection $\bigcup_{\sigma \in K} X[\sigma]$ of $X$.

$[X[\sigma]]$ is defined for a map $r : X \to K$ to a simplicial complex as the preimage of the dual cell $D(\sigma, K)$ after making $r$ transverse. If $X$ is a simplicial complex itself, choose $r$ to be the identity. The subdivision $X = \bigcup_{\sigma \in K} X[\sigma]$ is called a $K$-dissection of $X$.

$[Y]$ fundamental class for a normal space $(Y, \nu, \rho)$ is a cycle in $C_n(\bar{Y})$ which represents an $n$-dimensional homology class in $H_n(Y; \mathbb{Z}^w)$ given by $[Y] = u(\nu) \cap h(\rho)$ where $h$ is the Hurewicz homomorphism. If $Y$ is a Poincaré space, then the term fundamental class implies that $\nu$ and $\rho$ have been chosen in such a way that $\cdot \cap [Y] : H_n(Y) \to H_\nu(Y)$ is an isomorphism.
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\[ C(\sigma) \] denotes a chain complex

\[ C : \ldots \rightarrow \sum_{\sigma \in X} (C_n)_\sigma \sum_{\tau, \sigma} (f_n)_\tau, \sigma \rightarrow \sum_{\sigma \in X} (C_{n-1})_\sigma \sum_{\tau, \sigma} (f_{n-1})_{\tau, \sigma} \rightarrow \ldots \]

in \( A_x X \) or \( A^* X \) the chain complex in \( A \) given by

\[ C(\sigma) : \ldots \rightarrow (C_n)_\sigma \rightarrow (C_{n-1})_\sigma \rightarrow (C_{n-2})_\sigma \rightarrow \ldots \]

\((C, \psi)\) in \( A \) a quadratic chain complex which is \( \mathbb{P} \)-Poincaré and \( C \in C \).

A3 Normal

This section gives a basic introduction into the way of how normal chain complexes are obtained from geometric input. The first step is what we call here the chain bundle construction. It was introduced by Ranicki in [Ran80b, §9] as hyperquadratic construction. We restrict ourselves to the non-equivariant case here. To complete a chain bundle to a normal structure we need a symmetric structure as well and relate it to the chain bundle by a certain chain. We do this in a sketchy way for the absolute case. The construction of the normal signature in full generality as stated in A34 and A38 is extensive and complicated. We refer to [Wei85a, Wei85b] for the full details.

A3 Chain bundle construction [Ran80b, Prop. 9.1]

Let \( \nu : X \to BSG \) a \( k \)-dimensional oriented spherical fibration. There is a chain map

\[ \text{con}^\gamma : \tilde{C}^k(Th(\nu))^* \to \widehat{W}^i(C(X)^*)_0. \]

Properties

- **[A31 \to [Ran80b, Prop. 9.1 (ii)]]**
  The chain bundle construction is functorial with respect to maps of spaces, i.e. let \( f : M \to X \) be a map of finite CW-complexes. Then the following diagram commutes

\[ 
\begin{array}{c}
C(X) \\
\text{con}^\gamma \\
\downarrow f \\
C(Y) \\
\text{con}^\gamma \\
\downarrow f^\gamma \\
\end{array} \]

\[ \begin{array}{c} \widehat{W}^i(C(X)^*) \\
\end{array} \]

- **[A32 \to [Ran80b, Prop. 9.1 (iii)]]**
  The symmetric construction commutes with algebraic and geometric suspension up to a chain homotopy \( \Gamma_X \).

\[ 
\begin{array}{c}
C(X) \\
\text{con}^\gamma \\
\downarrow \Sigma \\
\Gamma_X \\
\downarrow \Sigma \\
C_{n+1}(\Sigma X) \\
\text{con}_{\Sigma X} \\
\downarrow \Sigma \\
\end{array} \]

\[ \begin{array}{c} \widehat{W}^i(C(X)^*) \\
\Sigma^i \widehat{W}^i(\Sigma C(X)^*) \\
\Sigma^{-1} \widehat{W}^i(C(X)^*) \\
\end{array} \]
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A33 (231) Normal signature [Ran80b, §9][Wei85a, Theorem 3.4]
Let \((Y, \nu, \rho)\) be an \(n\)-dimensional normal space. There is a normal signature

\[
\text{sgn}^n_{\nu, \rho}(Y) \in NL^n(\mathbb{Z})
\]

producing an \(n\)-normal chain complex \((C, (\varphi, \gamma, \chi))\).

A34 (232)→ [Wei85a, Theorem 3.5 and 7.1]] Relative normal signature
Let \(((Y, B), \nu, (\rho_Y, \rho_B))\) be an \(n\)-dimensional pair of normal spaces. There is a normal pair signature

\[
\text{sgn}_{\nu, \rho}^n(Y, A)
\]

producing an \(n\)-dimensional normal pair \((f: C \to D, (\delta\varphi, \delta\gamma, \delta\chi), (\varphi, \gamma, \chi))\).

A38 → [Ran92, Example 9.15][Wei85a, Theorem 7.1]] Mosaicked normal signature
Let \(Y := (Y; Y_0, \ldots, Y_n, \nu, \rho)\) be a normal \((n+2)\)-ad. There is a mosaicked normal signature \(\text{sgn}_\nu^{NL^*}(Y) \in NL^n(\Lambda_G X)\).

Proof A3 (Chain bundle construction)

The definition of \(\text{con}_\nu^\gamma\) involves the following maps.
- The suspension homomorphism \(S: W^\% C \to \Sigma^{-1} W^\% (\Sigma C)\).
- The \(S\)-duality equivalence \(C(Y) \overset{\simeq}{\to} C(Y^*)^{N-*}\) for a choice of an \(N\)-dimensional \(S\)-dual \(Y^*\) of \(Y\) for some \(N\). There is a \(\pi\)-equivariant version of \(S\)-duality as well but for simplicity we restrict ourselves here to the non-equivariant case.
- The Thom chain equivalence \(T: \tilde{C}(\text{Th}(\nu))^* \overset{\simeq}{\to} C(Y)^{-k-*}\).
- The symmetric construction \(\text{con}_\gamma^\psi: C(X) \to W^\% (C(X))\) of A1.
- The chain map \(j: W^\% C \to \tilde{W}^\% C\) induced by the inclusion \(W^\% \to \tilde{W}^\%\).

The composition of the \(S\)-duality and the Thom equivalence defines a chain homotopy equivalence

\[
ST: \tilde{C}(\text{Th}(\nu))^* \to C(\text{Th}(\nu))^{N-*} \to C(Y)^{N-k-*}.
\]

We use the induced map \(ST^\%: W^\% (\tilde{C}(\text{Th}(\nu))^*)_{N-k} \to W^\% (C(Y)^{N-k-*})_{N-k}\) together with the composition

\[
W^\% (C(Y)^{N-k-*})_{N-k} \xrightarrow{j} \tilde{W}^\% (C(Y)^{N-k-*})_{N-k} \xrightarrow{\delta^{(N-k)}} \tilde{W}^\% (C(Y)^{-k-*})_{0}
\]

to define \(\text{con}_\nu^\gamma := S^{-(N-k)} \circ J \circ ST^\%\).

Proof A33 (Normal signature)

Let \(Y\) be a finite CW-complex and \((Y, \nu, \rho)\) an \(n\)-dimensional geometric normal space with a choice of the Thom class \(u(\nu_X) \in \tilde{C}(\text{Th}(\nu_X))\) whose associated fundamental class is denoted \([Y]\).

We would like to construct an \(n\)-normal chain complex \((C, (\varphi, \gamma, \chi))\).

We make two simplifications: We construct only a chain bundle homology class instead of a cycle in the corresponding chain complex and we work in a non-equivariant setting, thus construct only an element in \(NL^n(\mathbb{Z})\).
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We define the underlying chain complex as \( C = C(Y) \) and use the symmetric construction from A1 for the definition of \( \varphi = \text{con}_X^\varphi([Y]) \). The Thom class has an \( N \)-dimensional \( S \)-dual \( u(\nu)^* \in \tilde{C}(\text{Th}(\nu)^*)_{N-k} \) for some \( N \). We apply the the chain bundle construction from A3 to obtain \( \gamma = \text{con}_X^\gamma(u(\nu)^*) \).

It remains to relate \( J(\gamma) \) and \( \tilde{\varphi}_0^\gamma(S^n\gamma) \) via a chain \( \chi \). The commutativity of the following diagram shows that they agree in homology which proves the existence of a chain \( \chi \in \tilde{W}^{n+1}(C_{n+1}) \) such that \( d(\chi) = J(\varphi) - \tilde{\varphi}_0^\gamma(S^n\gamma) \). Recall that we applied the symmetric construction to the fundamental class \([Y]\) to obtain \( \varphi \) and so the chain map \( \tilde{\varphi}_0 \) is the cap product with \([Y]\). For a more explicit construction and a proof that \( \chi \) can actually be chosen in a canonical way (see [Wei85b, §7]).

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\varphi \in Q^\nu(C(Y)) \\
\downarrow j \\
\tilde{Q}^\nu(C(Y)) \\
\varphi = \tilde{\varphi}_0^\nu(S^n\gamma)
\end{array}
\]

Room service A3 and A33

\[
\text{con}_X^\varphi: C(X) \to \tilde{W}^{n}(C(X))
\]

a chain map called symmetric construction; defined for a topological space \( X \).

\[
\text{con}_X^\gamma: \tilde{C}(\text{Th}(\nu)) \to \tilde{W}^{n}(C(X))^{n-\nu}
\]

a chain map called chain bundle construction; defined for a \( k \)-dimensional spherical fibration \( \nu \).

\[
J: L^n(R) \to NL^n(R)
\]

roughly induced by \( j: \tilde{W}^{n}C \to \tilde{W}^{n}C; \) see (111) for more details of how a normal structure \((\varphi, \gamma, \chi)\) is obtained from a symmetric Poincaré chain complex \((C, \varphi)\).

\[
Q^n, Q_n, \tilde{Q}^n
\]

the \( n \)-dimensional \( Q \)-groups defined for a chain complex \( C \) by

\[
\begin{align*}
Q(C)_n & := H_n(W^nC), \\
\tilde{Q}(C)_n & := H_n(\tilde{W}^nC).
\end{align*}
\]
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\[ W^%, W_%, \hat{W} % \] denote for a chain complex \( C \) the abelian group chain complexes

\[
W^%C := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_2]}(W, C \otimes C), \\
W_%C := W \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_2]} (C \otimes C), \\
\hat{W} %C := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_2]}(\hat{W}, C \otimes C).
\]

\( W \) the free resolution of the trivial \( \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_2] \)-chain module \( \mathbb{Z} \); given by the \( \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_2] \)-chain complex

\[
\ldots \xrightarrow{1+t} \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_2] \xrightarrow{1-t} \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_2] \rightarrow 0
\]

\( \hat{W} \) the complete resolution of the trivial \( \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_2] \)-chain module \( \mathbb{Z} \); given by the \( \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_2] \)-chain complex

\[
\ldots \xrightarrow{1+t} \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_2] \xrightarrow{1-t} \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_2] \xrightarrow{1+t} \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_2] \xrightarrow{1-t} \ldots
\]

\[ f^% : W^%(C) \to W^%(D) \] the chain map induced by a chain map \( f : C \to D \); explicitly given by \( (f^%(\varphi))_s := f\varphi_s f^* : D^{n+s-\ast} \to D. \)

\[ \Sigma : W^%(C) \to \Sigma^{-1}W^%(\Sigma C) \] the suspension map; defined by \( (\Sigma(\varphi))_k := \varphi_{k-1} \) if \( k \geq 1 \) and zero otherwise; induces a map \( Q^n(C) \to Q^{n+1}(\Sigma C) \) and an isomorphism \( \hat{Q}^n(C) \rightarrow \hat{Q}^{n+1}(\Sigma C). \)
Algebraic surgery is the algebraic analogue of geometric surgery. The relation between the algebraic and the geometric approach can be seen as follows. Let $M'$ be the manifold obtained by surgery on a manifold $M$ and let $W$ be the cobordism between $M$ and $M'$ given by the trace of the surgery. We get the diagram displayed on the left hand side below. The algebraic version can be described with the diagram on the right hand side.

First of all, algebraic surgery is used in [Ran80b] to concentrate finite chain complexes in one degree which leads to the equivalence between the algebraic $L$-groups and Wall’s $L$-groups. But there are other important aspects. A special application of algebraic surgery gives rise to the boundary construction that relates relative terms to absolute terms, e.g. (normal, symmetric Poincaré) pairs to quadratic chain complexes, and is used to establish the long exact sequences of $L$-groups.

**B1 (112, 11, 121) Algebraic surgery [Ran92, Def. 1.12]**

Let $(C, \varphi)$ be an $n$-symmetric chain complex. The effect of algebraic surgery of an $(n+1)$-symmetric pair $(f : C \to D, \delta \varphi, \varphi)$ on $(C, \varphi)$ is an $n$-symmetric chain complex $(C', \varphi')$. It is Poincaré if and only if $(C, \varphi)$ is Poincaré. Moreover, we have $\partial C \simeq \partial C'$.

The same construction works for quadratic chain complexes as well.

**B2 Boundary (Definition)**

The boundary $(\partial C, \partial \lambda)$ of an $n$-dimensional structured chain complex $(C, \lambda)$ defined as the effect of algebraic surgery of the pair $(0 \to C, (\lambda, 0))$ on $(0, 0)$ is a chain complex $\partial C$ with an $(n-1)$-dimensional Poincaré structure which is symmetric if $\lambda$ is symmetric and quadratic if $\lambda$ is quadratic or normal.

**B3 Algebraic Thom construction [Ran80a, 3.4][Ran92, Prop. 1.15]**

There is the following one-to-one correspondence of homotopy classes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$n$-dimensional symmetric Poincaré pairs</th>
<th>$\longleftrightarrow$</th>
<th>$n$-dimensional symmetric complexes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$(f : C \to D, \delta \lambda, \varphi)$</td>
<td>$\longleftrightarrow$</td>
<td>$(C', \varphi')$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Proof B1 (Algebraic surgery)

Let \( C \xrightarrow{f} D \xrightarrow{g} E \) be a cofibration sequence of chain complexes. Then there is a cofibration sequence

\[
W^\% C \to W^\% D \to W^\% E \xrightarrow{e \otimes e} (D \otimes E) \to \Sigma W^\% C \to \Sigma W^\% D
\]

A triple \((x, z, y)\) with \( x \in W^\% (E)_n, z \in (E \otimes E)_{n+1}, y \in (D \otimes E)_n \) with \( z \cdot ev(x) \approx (e \otimes \text{id})(y) \) defines an \( n\)-symmetric pair \((f : C \to D, \delta \varphi, \varphi)\) and the up to homotopy commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\cdots \to C^n \xrightarrow{f^n} E^n \xrightarrow{\delta \varphi_n} D^n \xrightarrow{\varphi_n} C^{n+1} \to \cdots
\end{array}
\end{array}
\]

An analog statement holds for the quadratic case.

We define the effect of algebraic surgery of a pair \((f : C \to D, \delta \varphi, \varphi)\) on an \( n\)-symmetric chain complex \((C, \varphi)\). The resultant \( n\)-symmetric chain complex will be denoted by \((C', \varphi')\). For the pair \((\delta \varphi, \varphi)\), we have the chain map \( \varphi_{\delta \varphi} = ev_r(\delta \varphi, \varphi) : D^{n+1,*} \to C(f) \). The chain complex \( C' \) is given by \( \Sigma^{-1} C(\varphi_{\delta \varphi}) \) and yields a map \( f' : C' \to D^{n+1,*} \). We obtain the \( n\)-symmetric structure \( \varphi' \) on \( C' \) as follows. Apply B11 to the cofibration sequence

\[ C' \xrightarrow{f'} D^{n+1,*} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{\delta \varphi}} C(f) \xrightarrow{e'} \Sigma C' \]

to obtain the new cofibration sequence

\[ W^\% C' \to W^\% D^{n+1,*} \to P \to \Sigma W^\% C' \]

with \( P := W^\% C(f) \times C(f) \otimes C(f) (D^{n+1,*} \otimes C(f)) \).

We show that the triple \((\delta \varphi/\varphi, (\delta \varphi/\varphi)_1, e)\) defines an element in the pullback \( P \).

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
P \xrightarrow{\varphi_{\delta \varphi} \otimes \text{id}} \Sigma C(f) \xrightarrow{ev} C(f) \otimes C(f)
\end{array}
\end{array}
\]

The first entry \( \delta \varphi/\varphi \) is the Thom structure as constructed in B3 and the chain map \( e : D \to C(f) \) is considered as an element in \( D^* \otimes C(f) \). With \( \varphi_{f'} := ev_1(\delta \varphi/\varphi), \varphi_f := ev_0(\delta \varphi/\varphi) \) we recover \( \delta \varphi/\varphi \) and \( e \) in \( C(f) \otimes C(f) \) as the compositions \( \varphi_{f'} \circ e \) and \( e^* \circ \varphi_f \) which are homotopic via \( \delta \varphi/\varphi_1 \).

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\cdots \to \Sigma C(f) \xrightarrow{\varphi_{\delta \varphi}} C(f) \xrightarrow{e'} \Sigma C'(f)
\end{array}
\end{array}
\]

Push it forward in the cofibration sequence above to obtain the symmetric structure \( \varphi' \in \Sigma W^\% C' \).

It remains to show that \( \varphi \) is Poincaré if and only if \( \varphi' \) is Poincaré. For the pair \((f : C \to D, \delta \varphi, \varphi)\)
we obtain the following diagram from B11.

\[
\begin{array}{c}
C^n \to C(f)^{n+1} \to D^{n+1} \to C^{n+1} \\
\downarrow \phi_0 \downarrow \phi_f \downarrow \phi_f^* \downarrow \phi_0
\end{array}
\]

For the cofibration

\[
\begin{array}{c}
C' \to D^{n+1} \to C(f) \to \Sigma C
\end{array}
\]

we obtain from B11 a similar diagram. They can be combined to a diagram as follows.

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\ast \to C'^{n+1} \to \Sigma C'
\end{array}
\]

We see that \(\phi_0\) is a chain equivalence if and only if \(\phi'_0\) is one and also that \((\partial C, \partial \phi) := \Sigma^{-1}C'(\phi_0) \simeq \Sigma^{-1}C'(\phi'_0) \simeq (\partial C, \partial \phi)'\) holds.

Proof B11

We want to replace \(C(f)\) in the cofibration sequence

\[
W\% C \to W\% D \to C(f) \to \Sigma W\% C \to \Sigma W\% D
\]

by a homotopy pullback. Consider the following diagram where all vertical and horizontal sequences are cofibrations.
The total cofiber \(\mathcal{C}(f \otimes f)\) of the upper left square of the map \(f \otimes f\) is homotopy equivalent to the pullback \(P\) of the lower right square.

Notice that \(W^0C\) does not respect cofibrations but \(\text{Hom}(W, -)\) does. Hence, by applying \(\text{Hom}(W, -)\) to the cofibration in the diagonal of the diagram above we obtain the cofibration sequence \(W^0C \xrightarrow{f^0} W^0D \to \text{Hom}(W, \mathcal{C}(f \otimes f))\) and the equivalence \(\mathcal{C}(f^0) \simeq \text{Hom}(W, \mathcal{C}(f \otimes f))\). Now rewrite the homotopy pullback

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{C}(f \otimes f) & \xrightarrow{r} & D \otimes E \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \text{id} \otimes e \\
E \otimes D & \xrightarrow{e \otimes \text{id}} & E \otimes E \\
\end{array}
\quad \quad \quad
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{C}(f \otimes f) & \xrightarrow{\Sigma} & (E \otimes D) \oplus (D \otimes E) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \Sigma \\
W^0(E) & \xrightarrow{e \otimes \text{id}} & E \otimes E.
\end{array}
\]

and apply again \(\text{Hom}(W, -)\). Use Shapiro’s Lemma for the entries in the right column to obtain

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{C}(f^0) & \xrightarrow{r} & D \otimes E \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow e \otimes \text{id} \\
W^0(E) & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & E \otimes E.
\end{array}
\]

So we can replace \(\mathcal{C}(f^0)\) by the homotopy pullback \(P := W^0E \times_{E \otimes E} D \otimes E\) to obtain the cofibration sequence

\[
W^0C \xrightarrow{f^0} W^0D \xrightarrow{f^0} W^0E \times_{E \otimes E} D \otimes E \xrightarrow{q^0} \Sigma W^0C \xrightarrow{\Sigma f^0} \Sigma W^0D.
\]

Now we want to construct a symmetric pair out of a triple \((x, y, z) \in P_n\). From the cofibration above we obtain an \((n - 1)\)-symmetric structure \(\varphi := q^0(x, y, z) \in \Sigma W^0C_n\) and a null-homotopy \(\delta \varphi : \Sigma f^0(\varphi) \simeq 0\) which defines an \(n\)-symmetric pair \((f : C \to D, \delta \varphi, \varphi)\).

For a chain complex \(C\) resp. a chain map \(f : C \to D\) we have the following evaluation maps

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{ev} : W^0C & \to C \otimes C \quad = \text{Hom}(C^*, C); \\
\text{ev} : \mathcal{C}(f^0) & \to \mathcal{C}(f \otimes f) = \text{Hom}(D^*, D) \oplus \text{Hom}(C^*, C)_{-1};
\end{align*}
\]

\(\varphi \mapsto \varphi_0\)

Consider the following diagram.

The null-homotopic compositions \((\text{id} \otimes e) \circ (\text{id} \otimes f)\) and \((e \otimes \text{id}) \circ (f \otimes \text{id})\) (the dashed arrows in the diagram) induce maps \(r\) and \(l\). We denote by \(\text{ev}_r\) and \(\text{ev}_l\) the compositions \(r \circ \text{ev}\) and \(l \circ \text{ev}\).
B Algebraic surgery and algebraic boundaries

l ◦ ev. We have $y \simeq \text{ev}_l(\delta \varphi, \varphi) \in (D \otimes E)_n$ and $y^* \simeq \text{ev}_r(\delta \varphi, \varphi) \in (E \otimes D)_n$. Considered as maps $D^{n-*} \to E$ and $E^{n-*} \to D$ they fit in the desired diagram.

Replacing $\text{Hom}(W, -)$ by $(W \otimes -)$ proves the quadratic case.

Room service B1 and B11

\[ (f: C \to D, \delta \varphi, \varphi) \] an \((n + 1)\)-symmetric pair with

\[- f: C \to D \text{ a chain map} \]
\[- (C, \varphi) \text{ an } n\text{-symmetric chain complex} \]
\[- \delta \varphi \in W^\mathcal{P}(D)_{n+1} \text{ such that } d(\delta \varphi) = f^\mathcal{P}(\varphi) \text{ which is equivalent to } (\delta \varphi, \varphi) \text{ is a cycle in } \mathcal{C}(f^\mathcal{P})_{n+1}. \]

Poincaré means \((\delta \varphi_0, \varphi_0 f^*): D^{n+1-*} \to \mathcal{C}(f)_* \) is a chain equivalence.

\[ (f: C \to D, \delta \psi, \psi) \] an \((n + 1)\)-quadratic pair with

\[- f: C \to D \text{ a chain map} \]
\[- (C, \psi) \text{ an } n\text{-quadratic chain complex} \]
\[- \delta \psi \in W^\mathcal{P}(D)_{n+1} \text{ such that } d(\delta \psi) = f^\mathcal{P}(\psi). \]

Poincaré means the symmetrization is Poincaré, i.e.

\[ ((1 + t) \delta \varphi_0, (1 + t) \varphi_0 f^*): D^{n+1-*} \to \mathcal{C}(f)_* = (D_*, C_{*-1}) \] is a chain equivalence.

\[ e: C \to \mathcal{C}(\varphi_0) \] the inclusion; with a map $\alpha: C \to D$ as subscript $e_\alpha$ denotes the inclusion $D \to \mathcal{C}(\alpha)$.

\[ \text{id} \otimes e: \mathcal{C}(f) \otimes D \to \mathcal{C}(f) \otimes \mathcal{C}(f) \]

\[ e \otimes \text{id}: D \otimes \mathcal{C}(f) \to \mathcal{C}(f) \otimes \mathcal{C}(f) \]

\[ \text{ev}: W^\mathcal{P} C \to C \otimes C \] the evaluation map given by $\varphi \mapsto \varphi_0$.

\[ \text{ev}_l: \mathcal{C}(f^\mathcal{P}) \to \mathcal{C}(f) \otimes D \] the left evaluation map for a chain map $f: C \to D$ given by $(\delta \varphi, \varphi) \mapsto \varphi_f$.

\[ \text{ev}_r: \mathcal{C}(f^\mathcal{P}) \to D \otimes \mathcal{C}(f) \] the right evaluation map for a chain map $f: C \to D$ given by $(\delta \varphi, \varphi) \mapsto \varphi_{f^*}$.

\[ \varphi_f = \text{ev}_r(\delta \varphi_0, \varphi_0) \simeq (\delta \varphi_0, \varphi_0 f^*): D^{n-*} \to \mathcal{C}(f) \]

\[ \delta \varphi/\varphi_0 \in W^\mathcal{P}\mathcal{C}(f) \] the image of a symmetric pair structure as constructed in B3.
There are various types of boundary constructions that are special cases of algebraic surgery. They produce out of $n$-dimensional non-Poincaré structured chain complexes new Poincaré chain complexes of one dimension less. Note that in the normal case the boundary becomes quadratic.

**n-dimensional**

- **symmetric**
  - $(C, \varphi)$
  - boundary construction $\partial^S$
- **quadratic**
  - $(C, \psi)$
  - boundary construction $\partial^Q$
- **normal**
  - $(C, (\varphi, \gamma, \chi))$
  - boundary construction $\partial^N$

**$(n - 1)$-dimensional**

- **Poincaré symmetric**
  - $(\partial C, \partial \varphi)$
- **Poincaré quadratic**
  - $(\partial C, \partial \psi)$
- **Poincaré quadratic**
  - $(\partial C, \partial \psi)$

There also boundary constructions for pairs.

**n-dimensional**

- **symmetric**
  - $(f : C \to D, \delta \varphi, \varphi)$
  - boundary pair construction $\partial^S_{f}$
- **quadratic**
  - $(f : C \to D, \delta \psi, \psi)$
  - boundary pair construction $\partial^Q_{f}$
- **normal**
  - $(f : C \to D, (\delta \varphi, \delta \gamma, \delta \chi), (\varphi, \gamma, \chi))$
  - boundary pair construction $\partial^N_{f}$

**$(n - 1)$-dimensional**

- **Poincaré symmetric pair**
  - $(\partial f : \partial C \to \partial f \ast D, \partial f \ast \delta \varphi, \partial \varphi)$
- **Poincaré quadratic pair**
  - $(\partial f : \partial C \to \partial f \ast D, \partial f \ast \delta \psi, \partial \psi)$
- **Poincaré quadratic pair**
  - $(\partial f : \partial C \to \partial f \ast D, \partial f \ast \delta \psi, \partial \psi)$

These boundary constructions are used to define special variants of signatures that we use in some proofs. The boundary constructions are purely algebraically defined whereas the following boundary signatures produce structured chain complexes out of geometrical input.

**n-dimensional**

- **normal space**
  - $(Y, \nu, \rho)$
  - boundary signature $\partial gn_{\nu, \rho}^{L_{\ast}}$
- **normal pair**
  - $(Y, B)$
  - relative boundary signature $\partial gn_{\nu, \rho}^{L_{\ast}}$

**$(n - 1)$-dimensional**

- **Poincaré quadratic**
  - $(\partial C', \partial \psi')$
- **quadratic**
  - $(f : C \to D, \delta \psi, \psi)$
- **Poincaré quadratic**
  - $(f : C \to D, \delta \psi, \psi)$

We apply these signatures in 1621 and 2321 to obtain alternative descriptions of the quadratic signatures for degree one normal maps.
B2 Boundaries

**B2 Boundary (Definition)**
The boundary $(\partial C, \partial \lambda)$ of an $n$-dimensional structured chain complex $(C, \lambda)$ defined as the effect of algebraic surgery of the pair $(0 \to C, (\lambda, 0))$ on $(0, 0)$ is a chain complex $\partial C$ with an $(n-1)$-dimensional Poincaré structure which is symmetric if $\lambda$ is symmetric and quadratic if $\lambda$ is quadratic or normal.

**Symmetric boundary constructions**

**B21 Symmetric boundary**
An $n$-symmetric chain complex $(C, \varphi) \in L^n(\mathbb{Z})$ has an $(n-1)$-symmetric Poincaré boundary

$$\delta^S(C, \varphi) := (\partial C, \partial \varphi)$$

such that $e^{\delta^S}_{\partial \varphi}(\varphi) = S(\partial \varphi)$ where $e : C \to \mathcal{P}(\varphi_0) = \Sigma^{-1} \partial C$ is the inclusion.

**B22 Symmetric boundary pair** [MR90, Proof of Prop. 3.8]
Let $(f : C \to D, \delta \varphi, \varphi)$ be an $n$-symmetric pair. There is an $(n-1)$-symmetric Poincaré pair $(\partial f : \partial C \to \partial f, (\partial \delta \varphi, \partial \varphi))$ called the symmetric boundary pair.

**Quadratic boundary constructions**

**B23 (231) Quadratic boundary**
An $n$-quadratic chain complex $(C, \psi) \in L^n(\mathbb{Z})$ has an $(n-1)$-quadratic boundary

$$\delta^Q(C, \psi) := (\partial C, \partial \psi) := (\Sigma^{-1} \psi(\varphi_0), (1 + t) \Sigma^{-1} \psi(\varphi), e_\psi(\psi))$$

where $\varphi = (1 + t) \psi$.

**B24 (112, 231) Quadratic boundary for normal**
An $n$-normal chain complex $(C, (\varphi, \gamma, \chi)) \in NL^n(\mathbb{Z})$ has an $(n-1)$-quadratic Poincaré boundary

$$\delta^N(C, (\varphi, \gamma, \chi)) := (\partial C, \partial \psi)$$

which defines a map $\partial^N : NL^n(\mathbb{Z}) \to L_{n-1}(\mathbb{Z}) : (C, (\varphi, \gamma, \chi)) \mapsto (\partial C, \partial \psi)$.

**B25 (232) Quadratic boundary pair**
Let $(G', C') \to (D', (\delta \psi', \psi'))$ be an $n$-quadratic pair and $\lambda = (1 + t)(\delta \psi', \psi')$ and $\psi'_{G'} = (\delta \psi_0', G\psi_0') : \mathcal{P}(G')^{n-*} \to D'$. Then

$$\begin{bmatrix}
\partial G' : \partial C' \to \partial G', D', \\
\partial \psi', \partial \psi',
\end{bmatrix}
:=
\begin{bmatrix}
(1 + t) \Sigma^{-1} \psi_0'(\varphi_0'), (1 + t)^{-1} (\Sigma^{-1} \psi_0'(\varphi_0'), e_\psi'(\psi)), \\
(1 + t)^{-1} (\Sigma^{-1} \psi_0'(\varphi_0'), e_\psi'(\psi))
\end{bmatrix}
$$

defines an $(n-1)$-quadratic Poincaré pair called the boundary and denoted $\delta^2$.

**Boundary signatures**

**B27 (231) Quadratic boundary signature** [KMM13, Constr. 3.25][Ran81, Prop. 7.4.1][Wei85b, Theorem 7.1]
Let $(Y, \nu, \rho)$ be an $n$-dimensional normal space. The quadratic boundary signature

$$\delta \mathfrak{m}^\nu_{\partial Y}(X) := (\partial C', \partial \psi') \in L_{n-1}(\mathbb{Z})$$

produces an $(n-1)$-quadratic Poincaré chain complex such that $(\partial C', \partial \psi') = \delta^N(\mathfrak{m}^\nu_{\partial Y}(X)) \in L_{n-1}(\mathbb{Z})$.

**B28 (1621, 232) Quadratic boundary pair signature**
Let $((Y, B), \nu, (\rho Y, \rho B))$ be an $n$-dimensional pair of normal spaces. There is a quadratic boundary pair signature

$$\delta \mathfrak{m}^\nu_{\partial Y}(Y, B) := (\partial j : \partial C' \to \partial D', (\partial \psi, \partial \psi))$$

producing an $(n-1)$-quadratic Poincaré pair.
Let \( C \xrightarrow{f} D \xrightarrow{e} E \) be cofibration sequence of chain complexes. Then there is a cofibration sequence
\[
W^\%(C) \rightarrow W^\%(D) \rightarrow W^\%(E) \rightarrow \Sigma W^\%(C) \rightarrow \Sigma W^\%(D)
\]
A triple \((x, z, y)\) with \( x \in W^\%(E)_n, z \in (E \otimes E)_{n+1}, y \in (D \otimes E)_n\) with \( z : ev(x) \simeq (e \otimes id)(y) \) defines an \( n\)-symmetric pair \((f : C \rightarrow D, \delta \varphi, \varphi)\) and the up to homotopy commutative diagram
\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
C^n \rightarrow & E^n \rightarrow & D^n \rightarrow & C^{n-1} \\
\varphi \downarrow & \varphi \downarrow & \varphi \downarrow & \varphi \downarrow & \varphi \downarrow & \varphi \downarrow & \varphi \downarrow \end{array}
\]
We give the explicit construction below but note that the boundary is obtained as the effect of algebraic surgery on \((0, 0)\) by the pair \((0 \rightarrow C, (\varphi, 0))\).

Let \((C, \varphi)\) be an \( n\)-symmetric chain complex. We define the chain complex of its symmetric boundary as \( \partial C = \Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{E}(\varphi_0) \), the homotopy fiber of the possibly Poincaré duality map \( \varphi_0 \). We obtain the \((n-1)\)-symmetric structure \( \partial \varphi \) as follows. Use B11 for the cofibration sequence
\[
\xrightarrow{\partial C} C^n \rightarrow \xrightarrow{\varphi} C \rightarrow \xrightarrow{e} \Sigma \partial C
\]
to obtain the cofibration sequence
\[
W^\%(\partial C^{n-*}) \rightarrow W^\%(C) \rightarrow \Sigma W^\%(\partial C) \rightarrow \Sigma W^\%(C^{n-*})
\]
where \( P \) is the homotopy pullback
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
P & \rightarrow & C^{n-*} \otimes C = \text{Hom}(C, C) \\
\varphi \downarrow & \downarrow & \varphi \downarrow \end{array}
\]
\[
P \rightarrow W^\%(\partial C) \rightarrow \text{ev} \rightarrow C \otimes C = \text{Hom}(C^{n-*}, C).
\]
The triple \((\varphi, \varphi_1, \text{id})\) defines an element in \( P \) and the pushforward in the cofibration sequence defines an element \( \partial \varphi \in \Sigma W^\%(\partial C) \). In this situation the commutative diagram from B11 looks as follows.
\[
\partial C \rightarrow \partial C^{n} \rightarrow C^{n+1-*} \rightarrow C \rightarrow \Sigma \partial C^{n} \rightarrow \Sigma \partial C
\]
Hence \( \partial \varphi_0 \) is a chain equivalence. The equivalence \( e^\%(\varphi_0) = \mathcal{S}(\partial \varphi) \) is obtained from the following
Commutative diagram.

Proof B22 (Symmetric boundary pair)

Let \((\partial C, \partial \varphi)\) the symmetric boundary of \((C, \varphi)\) as defined in B21. Recall the commutative diagram that we used to define algebraic surgery.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\partial C & \xrightarrow{f} & C' \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
C' & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \Sigma C
\end{array}
\]

We define \(\partial f \cdot D = C'\) where \((C', \varphi')\) is the effect of algebraic surgery of the pair \((f: C \to D, \delta \varphi, \varphi)\) on \((C, \varphi)\). From the diagram above we obtain the cofibration sequence

\[
\partial C \xrightarrow{\partial f} \partial f \cdot D \xrightarrow{\varphi'} \partial f \cdot D^{n-1}
\]

Analogously to the absolute case B21, using B11 we obtain from the triple \((\varphi', \varphi_1', \text{id}) \in W^\% \partial f \cdot D^{n-1} \times \partial f \cdot D^{n-1} \otimes \partial f \cdot D^{n-1} \to \Sigma W^\% \partial C \to \Sigma W^\% \partial f \cdot D\)

the symmetric structure \(\partial \varphi' \simeq \partial \varphi \in \Sigma W^\% \partial C\). The null-homotopy \(\delta \varphi' : \partial f^\% (\partial \varphi') = 0\) we obtain in \(\Sigma W^\% \partial f \cdot D\) completes the symmetric pair structure \((\delta \partial \varphi', \partial \varphi')\). The commutative diagram from B11 proves that the pair is Poincare:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\partial C & \xrightarrow{\partial f} & \partial f \cdot D \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\partial f \cdot D & \xrightarrow{\partial \varphi} & \partial f \cdot D^{n-1} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\partial C_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\partial f} & \partial f \cdot D_{n-1}
\end{array}
\]
Proof B23 (Quadratic boundary)

**B21 Symmetric boundary**

An $n$-symmetric chain complex $(C, \varphi) \in L^n(\mathbb{Z}^\pi)$ has an $(n-1)$-symmetric Poincaré boundary

$$\partial^\varphi(C, \varphi) := (\partial C, \partial \varphi)$$

such that $e^\varphi_0(\varphi) = S(\partial \varphi)$ where $e : C \to \mathcal{C}(\varphi_0) = \Sigma^{-1}\partial C$ is the inclusion.

Let $(C, \psi)$ be an $n$-quadratic chain complex. From the symmetric chain complex $(C, \varphi = (1 + t)\psi)$ we obtain the symmetric boundary $(\partial C, \partial \varphi)$ with

$$\partial \varphi := S^{-1}e^\psi_0(\varphi) \in W^\varphi(\partial C)$$

and there is also the quadratic structure

$$S\partial \psi := e^\varphi_0(\psi) \in W^\varphi(\Sigma \partial C)$$

on the suspended boundary such that $(1 + t)S\partial \psi = S(\partial \varphi)$. From the following cofibration sequence of chain complexes

$$\Sigma W^\varphi(\partial C) \xrightarrow{(1 + t)\frac{1}{\partial}} \Sigma W^\varphi(\partial C) \oplus W^\varphi(\Sigma \partial C) \xrightarrow{\delta \varphi} W^\varphi(\Sigma \partial C)$$

we get a quadratic structure $\partial \psi \in W^\varphi(\partial C)_{n-1}$ unique up to equivalence. Define $(\partial C, \partial \psi)$ as the quadratic boundary of $(C, \psi)$.

---

Room service B21, B22 and B23

- **\(\Sigma C\)** the suspended chain complex $C$ shifted one to the left, i.e. $\Sigma C_n = C_{n-1}$, $d^{\Sigma C} = -d^C$.
- **\(f^\varphi : W^\varphi(C) \to W^\varphi(D)\)** the chain map induced by a chain map $f : C \to D$; explicitly given by $(f^\varphi)_s := f_\varphi_0 f^* : D^{n+s-*} \to D$.
- **\((f : C \to D, \delta \varphi, \varphi)\)** an $(n+1)$-symmetric pair with
  - $f : C \to D$ a chain map
  - $(C, \varphi)$ an $n$-symmetric chain complex
  - $\delta \varphi \in W^\varphi(D)_{n+1}$ such that $d(\delta \varphi) = f^\varphi(\varphi)$ which is equivalent to $(\delta \varphi, \varphi)$ is a cycle in $\mathcal{C}(f^\varphi)_{n+1}$.
  - Poincaré means $(\delta \varphi_0, \varphi_0 f^*) : D^{n+1-*} \to \mathcal{C}(f)_s$ is a chain equivalence.
- **\((\partial C, \partial \varphi)\)** the symmetric boundary of an $n$-symmetric chain complex obtained from algebraic surgery on the pair $\langle 0 \to C, \varphi, 0 \rangle$, i.e. $\partial C = \Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{C}(\varphi_0)$, $\partial \varphi = S^{-1}e^\varphi_0(\varphi)$ where $e : C \to \mathcal{C}(\varphi_0)$ is the inclusion (see B21 for more details).
- **\(e : C \to \mathcal{C}(\varphi_0)\)** the inclusion; with a map $\alpha : C \to D$ as subscript $e_\alpha$ denotes the inclusion $D \to \mathcal{C}(\alpha)$. 

---
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B24 Quadratic boundary for normal

\[ S : W^n C \to \Sigma^{-1} W^n (\Sigma C) \]

the suspension map; defined by \( (S(\varphi))_k := \varphi_{k-1} \).

B24 Quadratic boundary for normal

Porter

This is a special case of the one to one correspondence between (normal, symmetric) pairs and quadratic chain complexes one dimension lower proven in 112. Just consider \((C, (\phi, \gamma, \chi))\) as a pair \((0 \to C, (\phi, \gamma, \chi), 0)\). In fact, the following relation holds. An \(n\)-symmetric chain complex \((C, \phi)\) has an \((n-1)\)-quadratic boundary \((\partial C, \partial \psi)\) if and only if the symmetric structure can be extended to a normal structure \((\phi, \gamma, \chi)\). But we need only the transition from normal to quadratic. We will deal only with elements in \(Q\)-groups. For the construction of explicit cycles see [Wei85b] especially sections §4 and §5.

B241 Exact sequence of \(Q\)-groups

For a chain complex \(C\) there is an exact sequence of \(Q\)-groups

\[ \ldots \to Q_n(C) \xrightarrow{\cdot 1+1} \hat{Q}^n(C) \xrightarrow{\partial} \check{Q}^n(C) \xrightarrow{\partial} Q_{n-1}(C) \xleftarrow{\cdot 1+1} \ldots \]

B21 Symmetric boundary

An \(n\)-symmetric chain complex \((C, \phi) \in L^n(\mathbb{Z}\pi)\) has an \((n-1)\)-symmetric Poincaré boundary

\[ \partial^S(C, \phi) := (\partial C, \partial \phi) \]

such that \(e^\partial_n(\phi) = S(\partial \phi)\) where \(e : C \to \mathcal{C}(\phi_0) = \Sigma^{-1} \partial C\) is the inclusion.

Proof B24

Let \((C, (\phi, \gamma, \chi))\) be an \(n\)-normal chain complex. We take the symmetric boundary \((\partial C, \partial \phi)\) of its symmetric structure. Consider the following commutative diagram. We want to relate \(\partial \psi\) in the upper left corner with \(\gamma\) in the lower right corner. The right column in the diagram is exact in \(\hat{Q}^n(C)\) and the top row is a part of the long exact sequence of \(Q\)-groups.
From the symmetric structure \( \varphi \) we obtain the symmetric boundary structure \( \partial S \varphi = S^{-1}(e^\% (\varphi)) \in Q^{n-1}(\partial^S C) \). A diagram chase gives the equation

\[
\hat{e}^\% (J(\varphi)) = S(J(\partial^S \varphi)) \in \hat{Q}^n(\mathcal{E}(\varphi_0)).
\]

It follows that \( \partial^S \varphi \) has a preimage in \( Q_{n-1}(\partial^S C) \) if and only if \( J(\varphi) \) has a preimage in \( \hat{Q}^n(C^{n-*}) \cong \hat{Q}^0(C^{**}) \). The first preimage is equivalent to the existence of a quadratic refinement \( \partial \psi \) of \( \partial \varphi \) with \( (1 + t)\partial \psi = \partial \varphi \). The latter preimage is equivalent to the existence of a normal refinement \((\varphi, \gamma, \chi)\) of \( \varphi \) with \( d\chi = J(\varphi) - \hat{\varphi}_0^\% S^n(\gamma) \).

Proof B241 (Exact sequence of \( Q \)-groups)

The \( Q \)-groups sequence is the long exact homology sequence of the short exact sequence

\[
0 \to W^\% C \to \hat{W}^\% C \to \Sigma W^\% C \to 0
\]

induced by the short exact sequence

\[
0 \to \Sigma^{-1} W^{-*} \to \hat{W} \to W \to 0.
\]

Room service B24

\((\partial C, \partial \varphi)\) the symmetric boundary of an \( n \)-symmetric chain complex obtained from algebraic surgery on the pair \((0 \to C, \varphi, 0)\), i.e. \( \partial C = \Sigma^{-1} \mathcal{E}(\varphi_0), \partial \varphi = S^{-1} e^\% (\varphi) \) where \( e: C \to \mathcal{E}(\varphi_0) \) is the inclusion (see B21 for more details).

\( e: C \to \mathcal{E}(\varphi_0) \) the inclusion; with a map \( \alpha: C \to D \) as subscript \( e_\alpha \) denotes the inclusion \( D \to \mathcal{E}(\alpha) \).

\( Q^n, \hat{Q}^n \) the \( n \)-dimensional \( Q \)-groups defined for a chain complex \( C \) by

\[
\begin{align*}
Q^n(C) &:= H_n(W^\% C), \\
\hat{Q}^n(C) &:= H_n(\hat{W}^\% C).
\end{align*}
\]
denote for a chain complex $C$ the abelian group chain complexes
\[ W^n C := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_2]}(W \otimes C \otimes C), \]
\[ W^n C := W \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_2]} (C \otimes C), \]
\[ \hat{W}^n C := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_2]}(\hat{W} \otimes C \otimes C). \]

$\hat{W}$ the complete resolution of the trivial $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_2]$-chain module $\mathbb{Z}$; given by the $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_2]$-chain complex...

$W$ the free resolution of the trivial $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_2]$-chain module $\mathbb{Z}$; given by the $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_2]$-chain complex...

$(C, (\varphi, \gamma, \chi))$ an $n$-normal chain complex consisting of a chain complex $C$ and an $n$-normal structure $(\varphi, \gamma, \chi)$.

$(\varphi, \gamma, \chi)$ an $n$-normal structure with

- $\varphi \in W^n_n$ an $n$-symmetric structure,
- $\gamma \in \hat{W}^n_0 (C_{\cdots})$ a cycle called chain bundle,
- $\chi \in \hat{W}^n_{n+1}$ a chain satisfying $d\chi = J(\varphi) - \hat{\varphi} S^n \gamma$.

$\chi \in \hat{W}^n_0 (C)_{n+1}$ a chain satisfying $d\chi = J(\varphi) - \hat{\varphi} (S^n \gamma)$.

\[ j : W^n C \to \hat{W}^n C \]
induced by the projection $\hat{W} \to W$, induces a map of $Q$-groups $j : Q^n(R) \to \hat{Q}^n(R)$.

\[ 1 + t : W^n C \to W'^n C \]
the symmetrization map defined by
\[ (1 + t)(\psi)_s = \begin{cases} (1 + t)\psi_0 & \text{if } s = 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \]
induces a map of $L$-groups $1 + t : L^n(R) \to L^n(R)$. 
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B25 (232) Quadratic boundary pair

Let \((G^i: C^i \to D^i, (\delta \psi^i, \psi^i))\) be an \(n\)-quadratic pair and \((\delta \varphi^i, \varphi^i) = (1 + t)(\delta \psi^i, \psi^i)\) and \(\varphi^i_{G^i} = (\delta \varphi^i_0, G^i_\varphi^i_0): C(G^i)^{n-\ast} \to D^i\). Then

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\partial G^i: \partial C^i \to \partial G^i D^i, \\
\partial \delta \psi^i,
\end{pmatrix}
:=
\begin{pmatrix}
(1 + t)^{-1} (S^{-1}(e_{\varphi^i_0}^\% \delta \varphi^i), (e_{\varphi^i_0}^\% \delta \psi^i)), \\
(1 + t)^{-1} (S^{-1}(e_{\varphi^i}^\% \delta \psi^i), (e_{\varphi^i}^\% \delta \psi^i))
\end{pmatrix}
\]

defines an \((n - 1)\)-quadratic Poincaré pair called the boundary and denoted \(\partial Q^i\).

Proof B25

Define \(\partial C^i = C^i(\varphi^i_0)\) and \(\partial G^i: D^i = C^i(\varphi^i_{G^i})\) and get the map \(\partial G^i\) by the following diagram of cofibrations.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
C^i \cap \ast & \overset{\varphi^i_0}{\longrightarrow} & C^i(\varphi^i_{G^i}) \\
\downarrow e_{\varphi^i_0} & & \downarrow e_{\varphi^i_{G^i}} \\
\partial C^i & \overset{\partial G^i}{\longrightarrow} & \partial D^i \\
\end{array}
\]

We obtain a quadratic structure \(S \partial(\delta \psi, \psi) = ((e_{\varphi^i_0}^\% (e_{\varphi^i_0}^\% \delta \psi), \psi) \in C(\partial G^i_\%). The zero component \((1 + t)S \partial(\delta \psi, \psi)\) is null-homotopic and hence we can desuspend it to \(\partial(\delta \varphi, \varphi) = S^{-1} \circ (1 + t)S \partial(\delta \psi, \psi)\). From the short exact sequence we obtain

\[
\partial(\delta \psi, \psi) = (1 + t)^{-1} (\partial(\delta \varphi, \varphi), S \partial(\delta \psi, \psi)).
\]

B27 Quadratic boundary signature

B27 (231) Quadratic boundary signature

Let \((Y, \nu, \rho)\) be an \(n\)-dimensional normal space. The quadratic boundary signature

\[
\partial \text{gn}_{\ast}^{L^*}(Y) =: (\partial C^i, \partial \psi^i) \in L_{n-1}(Z \pi)
\]

produces an \((n - 1)\)-quadratic Poincaré chain complex such that \((\partial C^i, \partial \psi^i) = \partial \ast (\text{gn}_{\ast}^{NL^*}(Y)) \in L_{n-1}(Z \pi)\).
**B27 Quadratic boundary signature**

**C4 S-duality (Umkehr map of the identity)**

Let $(Y, \nu, \rho)$ be an $n$-dimensional normal space. There is a semi-stable map

$$\Gamma_Y : \Th(\nu_Y)^* \to \Sigma^p Y_+$$

such that the induced chain map $\gamma_Y : \tilde{C}(\Th(\nu_Y)^*) \to \tilde{C}(\Sigma^p Y_+)$ is chain homotopic to

$$(\varphi_Y)_0 = \text{con}_{\varphi}^*(\{Y\})_0 : C(Y)^{n-*} \to C(Y).$$

---

**A24 (A27) Spectral quadratic construction [Ran81, Proposition 7.3.1]**

Let $F : X \to \Sigma^p Y$ be a semi-stable map between pointed topological spaces and $f : \tilde{C}(X)_{p+} \to \tilde{C}(Y)_*$ the induced chain map. There is a natural chain map

$$\text{con}_{\varphi}^0 : \tilde{C}(X)_{p+} \to W^\varphi(\vartheta(f))$$

such that

$$(1 + t) \circ \text{con}_{\varphi}^0 = e^\varphi \circ \text{con}_{\varphi}^0 f$$

where $e : \tilde{C}(Y_+) \to \vartheta(f)$ is the inclusion.

---

**Proof B27**

Let $(C, (\varphi, \gamma, \chi))$ denote the $n$-normal chain complex $\text{sgn}_n^{LL^*}(Y)$ with $\varphi = \text{con}_Y^0(\{Y\})$ and denote its quadratic boundary $\partial^N \text{sgn}_n^{LL^*}(Y)$ as constructed in B24 by $(\partial C = \Sigma^{-1}\vartheta(\varphi_0), \partial\varphi)$. Define the chain complex of $\partial \text{gn}_n^{LL^*}(Y)$ to be $\partial C' := \Sigma^{-1}\vartheta(\gamma_Y)$. By A24 we have that $(1 + t) \circ \text{con}_{\varphi}^0(\{\nu\}^*) = e^\varphi(\varphi) \in \Sigma W^\varphi(\gamma_Y)_n$. Hence we can use the exact sequence

$$\Sigma W^\varphi(\partial C') \xrightarrow{(1 + t)^*} \Sigma W^\varphi(\partial C') \oplus W^\varphi(\Sigma \partial C') \xrightarrow{S^{-1}} W^\varphi(\Sigma \partial C')$$

define the quadratic structure of $\partial \text{gn}_n^{LL^*}(Y)$ to be $\partial \varphi' = (1 + t)^* \text{con}_{\varphi}^0(\{\nu(\nu)^*\})$

Now let $N$ be large enough such that there is an $N$-dimensional $S$-dual $\Th(\nu)^*$ of $\Th(\nu)$ and set $p = N - (n + k)$. From the proof of C4 we obtain the following homotopy commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
C(Y)^{n-*} & \xrightarrow{\varphi_0} & \tilde{C}(\Th(\nu))^n \xrightarrow{\Sigma} \tilde{C}(\Th(\nu))^n \xrightarrow{\text{S-dual}} C(\Th(\nu)^*)_{p+} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
C(Y) \xrightarrow{\gamma_Y} & \tilde{C}(Y_+) & \xrightarrow{S^p} \tilde{C}(\Sigma^p Y_+)_{p+}
\end{array} \quad \text{(B27.1)}$$

It identifies the (suspended) chain complexes $\vartheta(\varphi_0) \simeq \vartheta(\gamma_Y)$ of the two boundaries $\partial \text{gn}_n^{LL^*}(Y)$ and $\partial^N \text{sgn}_n^{LL^*}(Y)$. Inspection shows that the combination of the diagrams used for the normal signature A33 and its quadratic boundary B24 describes the same reason for the existence of $\partial \varphi'$ as the diagram for the spectral quadratic construction A24 together with the cofibration sequence A24 used to obtain $\partial \varphi$. $\square$

---

**Room service B27**

The quadratic boundary signature for an $n$-dimensional normal space $(Y, \nu, \rho)$ is

$$(\partial \text{gn}_n^{LL^*}(Y))$$

an $(n - 1)$-quadratic chain complex $(\partial C', \partial \varphi')$ in $L_{n-1}(\Z)$ (see B27).

$$\partial C' = \Sigma^{-1}\vartheta(\gamma_Y)$$

the chain complex of the quadratic boundary signature $\partial \text{gn}_n^{LL^*}$. 

---

156
B28 Quadratic boundary pair signature

\[ \partial \psi' = \left( \frac{1}{5} + \right) \left( \partial \varphi, \con_{\varphi}^F \left( n_{\nu}^* \right) \right) \]

the (n-1)-quadratic structure produced by the quadratic boundary signature \( \partial gn_{\Sigma} \).

\[ \con_{\varphi}^F : C(X)_{\nu^*} \rightarrow W^\varepsilon(C(f)) \]
a chain map called the spectral quadratic construction; defined for a semi-stable map \( F : X \rightarrow \Sigma^p Y \) where \( f : C(X)_{\nu^*} \rightarrow \tilde{C}(\Sigma^p Y)_{\nu^*} \simeq \tilde{C}(Y) \) is the chain map induced by \( F \).

\[ \gamma_Y : C(\text{Th}(\nu))_{n+p} \rightarrow C(Y) \]
the chain map induced by the semi-stable map \( \Gamma_Y \) of an \( n \)-dimensional normal space \((Y, \nu, \rho)\) with \( \text{Th}(\nu)^* \) the \( N \)-dimensional S-dual of \( \text{Th}(\nu) \) and \( p = N - (n+k) \).

\[ \Gamma_Y := S^{-1}(\tilde{\Delta} \circ \rho) : \text{Th}(\nu)^* \rightarrow \Sigma^p Y^* \]
the semi-stable map obtained for an \( n \)-dimensional normal space \((Y, \nu, \rho)\) with an \( N \)-dimensional S-dual \( \text{Th}(\nu)^* \) of its Thom space and \( p = N - (n+k) \).

\[ \Delta : \text{Th}(\nu) \simeq \frac{V}{\partial V} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\Delta}} \frac{V \times V}{\partial V} \simeq \text{Th}(\nu) \wedge X \]
the generalized diagonal map where \( V \) is the mapping cylinder of the projection map of \( \nu \) and \( \partial V \) the total space of \( \nu \).

\[ S : [Y, Z] \xrightarrow{\simeq} [S^N, X \wedge Y] \]
the S-duality isomorphism; for an \( N \)-dimensional S-duality map \( \alpha : S^N \rightarrow X \wedge Y \) and an arbitrary space \( Z \) defined by \( S(\gamma) = (\text{id}_X \wedge \gamma) \circ \alpha \); denotes the induced isomorphism \( S : H^{N-*} (X; E) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H_*(X, E) \) as well.

\[ \alpha : S^N \rightarrow X \wedge Y \]
an \( N \)-dimensional S-duality map, i.e. the slant product maps

\[ \alpha_*([S^N]) \cdot : \tilde{C}(X)^{N-*} \rightarrow \tilde{C}(Y) \] and \( \alpha_*([S^N]) \cdot : \tilde{C}(Y)^{N-*} \rightarrow \tilde{C}(X) \)
are chain equivalences.

\[ \con_{\varphi}^C : C(X) \rightarrow W^\varepsilon(C(X)) \]
a chain map called symmetric construction; defined for a topological space \( X \).

\[ S : W^\varepsilon C \rightarrow \Sigma^{-1} W^\varepsilon(\Sigma C) \]
the suspension map; defined by \( (S(\varphi))_k := \varphi_{k-1} \).

B28 Quadratic boundary pair signature

Let \((Y, B, \nu, (\nu^*, \rho_B))\) be an \( n \)-dimensional pair of normal spaces. There is a quadratic boundary pair signature

\[ \partial gn_{\Sigma}^L(Y, B) = (\partial j : \partial C' \rightarrow \partial D', (\partial \delta \psi, \partial \psi)) \]
producing an \( (n-1) \)-quadratic Poincaré pair.
### B28 Quadratic boundary pair signature

**C6 Relative S-duality**

Let \((Y, B, ν, (p_Y, μ_B))\) be an \((n + 1)\)-dimensional pair of normal spaces with \(j : B \to Y\) the inclusion map. There are semi-stable geometric Umkehr maps \(Γ_Y, Γ_B, Γ_{Y,B}\) which fit into the following commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
\Sigma^{-1}Th(ν_B)^* & \xrightarrow{\iota} & (Th(ν_Y)/Th(ν_B))^* & \xrightarrow{Th(ν_Y)^*} & Th(ν_B)^* \\
Γ_B & & Γ_Y & & Γ_{Y,B} & & Σ_B
\end{array}
\]

and the induced chain maps

\[
\begin{array}{ll}
γ_B : C(Th(ν_B)^*) & \to C(Σ^{p+1}B_+) \\
γ_Y : C(Th(ν_Y)/Th(ν_B))^* & \to C(Σ^pY_B) \\
γ_{Y,B} : C(Th(ν_Y)^*) & \to C(Σ^pY/B)
\end{array}
\]

are chain homotopic to

\[
(φ_{B})_0 : C(B)^{n+1-*} \to C(B) \\
φ_j : φ(1)^{n-*} \to C(Y) \\
φ_{j,*} : C(φ(1))^{n-*} \to φ(j).
\]

### A25 (A28, B28, 232) Relative spectral quadratic construction [Ran81, Proposition 7.3.1]

Let \((δF, F) : (N, A) \to Σ^p(Y, B)\) be a semi-stable map between pairs of pointed topological spaces inducing the following commutative diagram of chain maps.

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
\bar{C}(A)p+ & \xrightarrow{f} & \bar{C}(B) & \xrightarrow{φ(f)} & Σ^p(Y, B) \\
\bar{C}(N)p+ & \xrightarrow{δf} & \bar{C}(Y) & \xrightarrow{φ(g)} & \bar{C}(Y, B) \\
\bar{C}(N, A)p+ & \xrightarrow{(δf, f)} & \bar{C}(Y, B) & \xrightarrow{φ(j, t)} & \bar{C}(Y, B)
\end{array}
\]

There is a chain map

\[
\text{con}^{φ_{(j, t)}}_{δF, F'} : \bar{C}(N, A) \to φ((i, j)_n)
\]

such that

\[
(1 + t) \circ \text{con}^{φ_{(j, t)}}_{δF, F'} = e^{φ} \circ \text{con}^{φ_{(j, t)}}_{Y, B} \circ (δf, f).
\]

**Proof B28**

Let \(j : C(B) \to C(Y)\) be the inclusion and denote by \((δφ, φ) \in φ(1)^n\) the symmetric structure obtained by applying relative symmetric construction \(σ_{Y,B}^{φ}\) to the fundamental class \([Y, B]\). Define the underlying chain map of \(∂gn_{Y,B}^{1,*}(Y, B)\) to be the map \(∂j\) induced by the following diagram.

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
∂C = \Sigma^{-1}φ(φ_0) & \xrightarrow{1} & C(B)^{n-1-*}φ_0 & \xrightarrow{φ_0} & C(B) & \xrightarrow{i} & φ(i)^{n-*}φ_j & \xrightarrow{i} & φ(φ_j) \\
∂j, D = \Sigma^{-1}φ(φ_j) & \xrightarrow{1} & φ(i)^{n-*}φ_j & \xrightarrow{φ_j} & C(Y) & \xrightarrow{i} & φ(φ_j)
\end{array}
\]
B3 Algebraic Thom construction

Now we are looking for an $n$-quadratic structure

$$(\partial \delta \psi, \partial \psi) \in \mathcal{C}(\partial j^\mathcal{K})_n = W_\mathcal{K}(\partial_j, D)_n \oplus W_\mathcal{K}(\partial C)_n-1.$$  

From C6 we obtain the maps

$$\Gamma_Y : (\text{Th}(\nu_Y)/\text{Th}(\nu_B))^* \to \Sigma^pY_+$$

$$\Gamma_B : \Sigma^{-1}\text{Th}(\nu_B)^* \to \Sigma^pB_+,$$

with the induced chain maps $\gamma_Y$ and $\gamma_B$ that are chain homotopic to $\varphi_j$ and $\varphi_0$. So the relative spectral quadratic construction A25 produces a quadratic pair structure

$$(S\delta \psi', S\psi') = \text{con}_{\text{Th}(\nu_Y)}(u_{\nu_Y})^*$$

in $\mathcal{C}((\gamma_Y, \gamma_B)\mathcal{K})_n \simeq \mathcal{C}((\varphi_j, \varphi_0)\mathcal{K})_n \simeq \mathcal{C}(\partial j^\mathcal{K})_n = W_\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{K}(\varphi_j))_n \oplus W_\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{K}(\varphi_0))_n-1,$

which can be read off the following diagram.

Consider both components separately. The same argument as in the absolute case B27 yields desuspended structures $\partial \delta \psi' = (1+t)^{-1} (\partial \delta \phi, S\delta \psi')$ and $\partial \psi' = (1+t)^{-1} (\partial \phi, S\psi')$ where $(\partial \delta \varphi, \partial \varphi)$ is the symmetric boundary pair from B22.

Room service B28

$$e : C \to \mathcal{C}(\varphi_0)$$

the inclusion; with a map $\alpha : C \to D$ as subscript $e_\alpha$ denotes the inclusion $D \to \mathcal{C}(\alpha)$.

B3 Algebraic Thom construction

Porter

In geometry we can pass from $(X, A)$ to $X/A$ and obtain equivalent chain complexes. The algebraic analogue for algebraic complexes is to pass from $\mathcal{C}(f^\mathcal{K})$ to $W^\mathcal{K}\mathcal{C}(f)$. We would like to understand this passage.

B3 Algebraic Thom construction [Ran80a, 3.4][Ran92, Prop. 1.15]

There is the following one-to-one correspondence of homotopy classes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$n$-dimensional symmetric Poincaré pairs $f : C \to D, \delta \lambda, \varphi$</th>
<th>$\cong$</th>
<th>$n$-dimensional symmetric complexes $(C', \varphi')$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
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B3 Algebraic Thom construction

B21 Symmetric boundary

An \( n \)-symmetric chain complex \((C, \varphi) \in L^n(\mathbb{Z})\) has an \((n - 1)\)-symmetric Poincaré boundary

\[
\partial^S(C, \varphi) := (\partial C, \partial \varphi)
\]

such that \( e_\varphi^S(\varphi) = \partial(\partial \varphi) \) where \( e : C \to \mathcal{C}(\varphi_0) = \Sigma^{-1} \partial C \) is the inclusion.

Proof B3

Given an \( n \)-symmetric Poincaré pair \((f : C \to D, \delta \varphi, \varphi)\) we want to construct an \( n \)-symmetric chain complex \((C', \varphi')\). Define \( C' = \mathcal{C}(f) \). The symmetric structure \( \varphi' \in W^%(C) \) on \( C' \) is obtained as follows.

Note that \( W^%(-) \) is compatible with chain homotopies but not with cofibrations. Hence we obtain from the cofibration \( C \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}} D \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{C}(f) \) a null homotopy \( j^% : \mathcal{C}(f^%) \to W^%(\mathcal{C}(f)) \) but the cofiber \( \mathcal{C}(f^%) \) is not chain equivalent to \( W^%(\mathcal{C}(f)) \). But at least we obtain a map \( \Phi j^% : \mathcal{C}(f^%) \to W^%\mathcal{C}(f) \) induced by the null-homotopy \( j^% \):

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
W^%C & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}} & W^%D \\
\downarrow{\mathcal{C}} & & \downarrow{\mathcal{C}} \\
W^%\mathcal{C}(f) & \xrightarrow{\Phi j^%} & \mathcal{C}(f)
\end{array}
\]

Denote by \( \delta \varphi/\varphi \) the image of \( (\delta \varphi, \varphi) \in \mathcal{C}(f^%) \) under \( \Phi j^% \). There is a canonical choice of \( \Phi j^% \) that gives the explicit description \( \delta \varphi/\varphi = e^%(\delta \varphi) + j^%(\varphi) \). Define \( \varphi' = \delta \varphi/\varphi \).

Now conversely, given an \( n \)-symmetric chain complex \((C, \varphi)\). We use the boundary construction of B21 to obtain an \((n - 1)\)-symmetric Poincaré chain complex \((\partial C, \partial \varphi)\). Then \( g : (\partial C \to C^{n-*}, (0, \partial \varphi)) \) defines an \( n \)-symmetric Poincaré pair.

We have \((\Sigma^{-1} \mathcal{C}(\partial C \to C^{n-*}), 0/\partial \varphi) \simeq (C, \varphi)\). For the other equivalence \((f : C \to D, \delta \varphi, \varphi) \simeq (g : \partial \mathcal{C}(f) = \Sigma^{-1} \mathcal{C}(f^{n-*}, (0, \delta \varphi/\varphi)) \to \mathcal{C}(f)^{n-*}, (0, \delta \varphi/\varphi))\) use that we started with a Poincaré pair and the following up to homotopy commutative diagram.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
C^{n-1-*} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}} & \mathcal{C}(f)^{n-*} \\
\uparrow \varphi_0 & \xleftarrow{\mathcal{C}_f} & \uparrow \varphi_0 \\
C & \xrightarrow{f} & D & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}} & \mathcal{C}(f) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}} & C^{n-*}
\end{array}
\]

The homotopy equivalence of pairs involves the definition of triad which is given below. \(\square\)
Room service B3

\[ \Phi_\gamma \] is the chain map induced by a null-homotopy \( \gamma: \beta \circ \alpha \simeq 0 \), fitting in the following diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
X & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & Y \\
\downarrow{\gamma} & & \downarrow{\beta} \\
Z & \xrightarrow{\Phi_\gamma} & \mathcal{E}(\alpha)
\end{array}
\]

and given by \( \mathcal{E}(\alpha) \rightarrow Z; \quad (y, x) \mapsto \beta(y) + \gamma(x) \).

\[
(f: C \rightarrow D, \delta \varphi, \varphi) \simeq (f': C' \rightarrow D', \delta \varphi', \varphi') \quad \text{two symmetric pairs are homotopy equivalent if there is a triad } \Gamma = (f, f', g, g'; h) \text{ such that } g \text{ and } h \text{ are homotopy equivalences and } (g, g'; h)^{\Ho}(\delta \varphi, \varphi) = (\delta \varphi', \varphi')
\]

\[
\Gamma = (f, f', g, g'; h, (\varphi, \varphi', \delta \varphi, \delta \varphi'; \delta ^2 \varphi)) \quad \text{an } (n + 2)\text{-dimensional symmetric triad, i.e. a commutative square of chain complexes and chain maps}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
C & \xrightarrow{f} & D \\
\downarrow{h'} & & \downarrow{g} \\
D' & \xrightarrow{g'} & E
\end{array}
\]

with

- \( h: g f \simeq g' f': C \rightarrow E_{n+1} \) a chain homotopy
- \( (f: C \rightarrow D, \delta \varphi, \varphi) \) and \( (f': C \rightarrow D', \delta \varphi', \varphi) \) being \( n\text{-symmetric pairs }[(n+1)] \)
- \( \delta ^2 \varphi \in \Ho^{\Ho}(E)_{n+2} \) a chain such that

\[
d(\delta ^2 \varphi)_s = g'^{\Ho}(\delta \varphi')_s - g^{\Ho}(\delta \varphi)_s + g' f' \varphi_s h^* + h \varphi_s f^* g^* + h^{\Ho}(\varphi)_s,
\]

Poincaré means \( (f: C \rightarrow D, \delta \varphi, \varphi) \) and \( (f': C \rightarrow D', \delta \varphi', \varphi) \) are Poincaré and

\[
\left( \begin{array}{l}
\delta \varphi \, g' \\
\delta \varphi \, g
\end{array} \right) : E'^{n+2-*} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(\Gamma) := \mathcal{E}
\left( \begin{array}{l}
\begin{pmatrix} g' & h \\ f & 0 \end{pmatrix} : \mathcal{E}(f') \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(g) \end{array} \right)
\]

is a chain equivalence.

The quadratic case is analog but uses symmetrization for the definition of Poincaré.

\[
(g, g'; h)^{\Ho}: \Ho^{\Ho}(\Hom_A(D*, D), \Hom_A(C*, C)_{-1}) \rightarrow \Ho^{\Ho}(\Hom_A(D'^*, D'^*), \Hom_A(C'^*, C'^*)_{-1})
\]
given by \( (\delta \varphi, \varphi) \mapsto (g^{\Ho}(\delta \varphi), \varphi, f^* g^* \varphi, f^* g^* \varphi, h^{\Ho}(\varphi, T \varphi^{*}, f'^{\Ho}(\varphi))) \) (see [Ran81, p.42])

\[
\varphi_f: = \ev_r(\delta \varphi, \varphi) \simeq \left( \frac{\delta \varphi}{\delta \varphi_0} \right) : D^{n-*} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(f)
\]

a chain map defined for an \( n\text{-symmetric pair} \)

\[
(f: C \rightarrow D, \delta \varphi, \varphi).
\]

\[
\varphi_f: = \ev_l(\delta \varphi, \varphi) \simeq (\delta \varphi_0, f \varphi_0) : \mathcal{E}(f)^{n-*} \rightarrow D
\]

a chain map defined for an \( n\text{-symmetric pair} \)

\[
(f: C \rightarrow D, \delta \varphi, \varphi).
\]
The important insight we gain from the following results is that Poincaré duality can be seen as $S$-duality together with Thom equivalence. The proof is taken from [Ran80b, Prop. 4.2] but we restrict ourselves here to the non-equivariant case in order to neaten up the notation. We refer to [Ada74] for more details on $S$-duality and to [Ran80b, §3] for a full treatment of equivariant $\pi$-duality.

**C (A26) Umkehr maps [Ran80b, Prop. 4.2]**

Let $\tilde{f} : N \to X$ be a degree one normal map between Poincaré spaces both of dimension $n$. There is a stable geometric Umkehr map

$$F : \Sigma^p X_+ \to \Sigma^p N_+$$

such that $\Sigma^p f_+ \circ F \simeq \text{id} : \Sigma^p X_+ \to \Sigma^p X_+$ and such that the induced chain map $F_* : \tilde{C}(\Sigma^p X_+) \to \tilde{C}(\Sigma^p N_+)$ is chain homotopic to the composition

$$f^! : C(X) \xrightarrow{\phi(N)_0^{-1}} C(X)^{n-\ast} \xrightarrow{f^*} C(N)^{n-\ast} \xrightarrow{(\varphi(N))_0} C(N).$$

**[C2 → [Ada74]] $S$-duality properties**

For $N$ large enough the following holds.

(i) For every finite CW-complex $X$ there is an $N$-dimensional $S$-dual, which we denote $X^*$.  
(2) The suspended $S$-dual $\Sigma X^*$ is an $(N+1)$-dimensional $S$-dual of $X$.  
(3) For any space $Z$ and a $S$-dual map $\alpha : S^N \to X \land X^*$ we have isomorphisms

$$S : [X,Z] \cong [S^N, Z \land X^*] \quad \alpha \mapsto \alpha \gamma = (\gamma \land \text{id}_{X^*}) \circ \alpha$$

$$S : [X^*, Z] \cong [S^N, X \land Z] \quad \gamma \mapsto \alpha \gamma = (\text{id}_X \land \gamma) \circ \alpha$$

(4) A map $f : X \to Y$ induces a map $f^* : Y^* \to X^*$ via the isomorphism

$$[X,Y] \cong [S^N, Y \land X] \cong [Y^*, X^*].$$

(5) If $X \to Y \to Z$ is a cofibration sequence, then $Z^* \to Y^* \to X^*$ is a cofibration sequence.

**Variations**

**C3 (A27) Umkehr map for normal targets**

Let $\tilde{g} : N \to Y$ be a degree one normal map from a Poincaré space $N$ to a normal space $(Y, \nu, \rho)$ both of dimension $n$. There is a semi-stable geometric Umkehr map

$$\Gamma : \text{Th}(\nu Y)^* \to \Sigma^p N_+$$

such that the induced chain map $\gamma : \tilde{C}(\text{Th}(\nu Y)^*) \to \tilde{C}(\Sigma^p N_+)$ is chain homotopic to the composition

$$g^* : C(Y)^{n-\ast} \xrightarrow{\varphi(N)_0} C(N)^{n-\ast} \xrightarrow{(\varphi(N))_0} C(N)$$

where $\varphi(N) = \text{con}_{\nu}(\nu Y)$.

**C4 $S$-duality (Umkehr map of the identity)**

Let $(Y, \nu, \rho)$ be an $n$-dimensional normal space. There is a semi-stable map

$$\Gamma_Y : \text{Th}(\nu Y)^* \to \Sigma^p Y_+$$

such that the induced chain map $\gamma Y : \tilde{C}(\text{Th}(\nu Y)^*) \to \tilde{C}(\Sigma^p Y_+)$ is chain homotopic to

$$\langle \varphi(Y) \rangle_0 = \text{con}_{\nu}(\nu Y)_0 : C(Y)^{n-\ast} \to C(Y).$$
C $\text{(A28) Relative Umkehr maps for normal targets}$

Let $(\delta g, g) : (N, A) \to (Y, B)$ be a degree one normal map from a Poincaré pair to a normal pair both of dimension $(n + 1)$ with $j : B \to Y$ and $i : A \to N$ the inclusion maps. There are semi-stable geometric Umkehr maps $\Gamma Y, B, \Gamma Y, B$ which fit into the following commutative diagram

\[ \xymatrix{ \Sigma^{-1} \text{Th}(\nu_B)^* \ar[r]^i & (\text{Th}(\nu_Y)/\text{Th}(\nu_B))^* \ar[r] & \text{Th}(\nu_A)^* \ar[r] & \Sigma \text{Th}(\nu_B)^* \} \]

and the induced chain maps

\[ \gamma_Y : \tilde{C}(\text{Th}(\nu_B)^*) \ar[r] & \tilde{C}(\Sigma \text{Th}(\nu_B)^*) \]

are chain homotopic to

\[ g^1 : C(B)^{n+1} \ar[r] & C(A)^{n+1} \]

\[ g^2 : \varphi(j)^{n} \ar[r] & \varphi(i)^{n} \]

\[ g^3 : C(Y)^{n} \ar[r] & C(N)^{n} \]

\[ \varphi_0 : C(B) \ar[r] & C(B) \]

\[ \varphi_j : \varphi(j)^{n} \ar[r] & \varphi(j) \]

\[ \varphi_{i^*} : C(Y)^{n} \ar[r] & \varphi(i)^{n} \]

\[ \varphi_{i^*} : C(Y)^{n} \ar[r] & \varphi(i)^{n} \]

C $\text{Relative S-duality}$

Let $(Y, B), (\nu, (\rho_Y, \rho_B))$ be an $(n+1)$-dimensional pair of normal spaces with $j : B \to Y$ the inclusion map. There are semi-stable geometric Umkehr maps $\Gamma Y, B, \Gamma Y, B$ which fit into the following commutative diagram

\[ \xymatrix{ \Sigma^{-1} \text{Th}(\nu_B)^* \ar[r]^i & (\text{Th}(\nu_Y)/\text{Th}(\nu_B))^* \ar[r] & \text{Th}(\nu_B)^* \ar[r] & \Sigma \text{Th}(\nu_B)^* \} \]

and the induced chain maps

\[ \gamma_B : \tilde{C}(\text{Th}(\nu_B)^*) \ar[r] & \tilde{C}(\Sigma \text{Th}(\nu_B)^*) \]

are chain homotopic to

\[ (\varphi_B)_0 : C(B)^{n+1} \ar[r] & C(B) \]

\[ \varphi_j : \varphi(j)^{n} \ar[r] & \varphi(j) \]

\[ \varphi_{i^*} : C(Y)^{n} \ar[r] & \varphi(i)^{n} \]

\[ \varphi_{i^*} : C(Y)^{n} \ar[r] & \varphi(i)^{n} \]

Proof C

We denote the stable homotopy classes of pointed maps from $N_+$ to $X_+$ by $[N, X]$. Since $N$ and $X$ are both Poincaré we have for $k$ large enough normal structures

\[ (\nu_X : X \to \text{BSG}(k), \rho_X : S^{n+k} \to \text{Th}(\nu_X)) \]

and

\[ (\nu_N : N \to \text{BSG}(k), \rho_N : S^{n+k} \to \text{Th}(\nu_N)) \]
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and $S$-duality maps

$$\alpha_N : S^{n+k} \to N_+ \wedge \text{Th}(\nu_N)$$

and $$\alpha_X : S^{n+k} \to X_+ \wedge \text{Th}(\nu_X).$$

The composition

$$[\text{Th}(\nu_N), \text{Th}(\nu_X)] \xrightarrow{(\alpha_N)^{-1}} [S^{n+k}, \text{Th}(\nu_X) \wedge N_+] \xrightarrow{(\alpha_X)^{-1}} [X_+, N_+]$$

of the $S$-duality isomorphism from C2 (iii) evaluated on $\text{Th}(\overline{x}) : \text{Th}(\nu_N) \to \text{Th}(\nu_X)$ yields the stable map $F : \Sigma^p X_+ \to \Sigma^p N_+.$

Working round the stable homotopy commutative diagram

we obtain that

$$((\Sigma^p f_+ \circ F) \wedge \text{id}) \circ (\Sigma^p \alpha_X) \simeq (\Sigma^p \alpha_X) : S^{n+k+p} \to \Sigma^p X_+ \wedge \text{Th}(\nu_X).$$

By C2 (ii) the map $\Sigma^p \alpha_X$ is also an $S$-duality map and it follows that

$$\Sigma^p f_+ \circ F \simeq \text{id} : \Sigma^p X_+ \to \Sigma^p X_+$$

for $p$ large enough. From the homotopy commutative diagram

we gain the induced Umkehr chain map $f' : C(X) \to C(N).$
Proof C3
Now, because \( Y \) is only normal, the \( S \)-dual of its Thom space is not \( Y \) itself. So we have to use an unspecified \( S \)-dual \( \text{Th}(\nu_Y)^* \) for one of the \( S \)-duality maps

\[
\alpha_N: S^{n+k+p} \to N_+ \wedge \text{Th}(\nu_N) \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_Y: S^{n+k+p} \to \text{Th}(\nu_Y)^* \wedge \text{Th}(\nu_Y)
\]

with \( p \) chosen large enough. Analogously to C, the composition

\[
[\text{Th}(\nu_N), \text{Th}(\nu_Y)] \xrightarrow{(\alpha_N \wedge -)^{-1}} [S^{n+k}, \text{Th}(\nu_Y) \wedge \Sigma^p N_+] \xrightarrow{(\alpha_Y \wedge -)^{-1}} [\text{Th}(\nu_Y)^*, \Sigma^p N_+]
\]

applied to \([\text{Th}(\nu)]\) produces a stable map \( \Gamma^*: \text{Th}(\nu_Y)^* \to \Sigma^p N_+ \).

We loose the upper left corner in the homotopy commutative diagram above but \( S \)-duality and Thom equivalence still give rise to the induced Umkehr chain map \( g^*: C(Y)^{n-*} \to C(N) \).

\[
g^*: C(Y)^{n-*} \xrightarrow{\gamma^*} C(N)^{n-*} \xrightarrow{(\varphi_N)_0 \circ \rho = \varphi_N^*} C(Y) = \tilde{C}(N_+)
\]

\[
\tilde{C}(\text{Th}(\nu_Y))^{n+k-*} \xrightarrow{\text{Th}(\pi)} \tilde{C}(\text{Th}(\nu_N))^{n+k-*} \xrightarrow{\Sigma^p \sim} \Sigma^p
\]

\[
\gamma^*: \tilde{C}(\text{Th}(\nu_Y)^*)_{p+*} \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{C}(\Sigma^p N_+)_{p+*}
\]

Proof C4
In this case we use the \( S \)-duality map \( S^{n+k+p} \to \text{Th}(\nu) \wedge \text{Th}(\nu)^* \). From C2 (iii) we have an isomorphism

\[
S^{-1}: [S^{n+k}, \text{Th}(\nu) \wedge Y_+] \cong [\text{Th}(\nu)^*, \Sigma^p Y_+]
\]

that yields a map \( \Gamma_Y = S^{-1}(\Delta \circ \rho): \text{Th}(\nu)^* \to \Sigma^p Y_+ \). From the homotopy commutative diagram in C remains

\[
(\varphi_Y)_0: C(Y)^{n-*} \xrightarrow{\sim} C(Y) = \tilde{C}(Y_+)
\]

\[
\tilde{C}(\text{Th}(\nu_Y)^*)^{n+k-*} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Sigma^p_Y
\]

\[
\gamma_Y: \tilde{C}(\text{Th}(\nu_Y)^*)_{p+*} \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{C}(\Sigma^p Y_+)_{p+*}
\]

which identifies the chain maps \( \gamma_Y \) and \( (\varphi_Y)_0 \). If \( Y \) is Poincaré, then \( p \) can be chosen to be 0 and \( \gamma_Y \) and \( \Sigma^p_Y \) to be the identity. We recover the Poincaré duality map \( (\varphi_Y)_0 \) as the composition of \( S \)-duality and Thom equivalence. \( \square \)
C Umkehr maps and $S$-duality

Proof C5 and C6

Choose $p$ such that there is an $S$-duality map $S^{n+k+p}: \text{Th}(\nu_Y) \wedge \text{Th}(\nu_Y)^*$. In the absolute case we used the generalized diagonal map $\Delta: \text{Th}(\nu) \to \text{Th}(\nu) \wedge X_+$ and the one-to-one correspondence $S^{-1}: [S^{n+k}, \text{Th}(\nu) \wedge X_+] \cong [\text{Th}(\nu)^*, \Sigma^p X_+]$ to obtain a map $\Gamma := S^{-1}(\Delta \circ \rho): \text{Th}(\nu)^* \to \Sigma^p X_+$. Now we have three diagonal maps

$$\Delta_1: \text{Th}(\nu_B) \to \text{Th}(\nu_B) \wedge B_+,$$

$$\Delta_2: \text{Th}(\nu_Y)/\text{Th}(\nu_B) \to \text{Th}(\nu_Y)/\text{Th}(\nu_B) \wedge Y_+,$$

$$\Delta_3: \text{Th}(\nu_Y) \to \text{Th}(\nu_Y) \wedge Y/B$$

and the one-to-one-correspondences from C2 (iii)

$$[S^{n+k}, \text{Th}(\nu_B) \wedge B_+] \xrightarrow{\sim} [\text{Th}(\nu_B)^*, \Sigma^{p+1} B_+],$$

$$[S^{n+k+1}, \text{Th}(\nu_Y)/\text{Th}(\nu_B) \wedge Y_+] \xrightarrow{\sim} [(\text{Th}(\nu_B)/\text{Th}(\nu_B))^*, \Sigma^p Y_+],$$

$$[S^{n+k+1}, \text{Th}(\nu_Y)/\text{Th}(\nu_B) \wedge Y/B] \xrightarrow{\sim} [(\text{Th}(\nu_B)^*, \Sigma^p Y/B],$$

which induce the duality maps

$$\Sigma \Gamma_B = S^{-1}(\Delta_1 \circ \rho_B): \text{Th}(\nu_B) \to \Sigma^{p+1} B,$$

$$\Gamma_Y = S^{-1}(\Delta_2 \circ \rho_Y/\rho_B): (\text{Th}(\nu_B)/\text{Th}(\nu_B))^* \to \Sigma^p Y,$$

$$\Gamma_{Y,B} = S^{-1}(\Delta_3 \circ \rho_Y/\rho_B): \text{Th}(\nu_Y) \to \Sigma^p (Y/B).$$

From C2 (v) we obtain the desired commutative diagrams. The chain homotopy equivalences for the corresponding chain maps are obtained by adapting the diagrams of C4 and C3 to the relative case.

Room service C

$K_+$ for a $\Delta$-set $K$ the pointed $\Delta$-set with $n$-simplices $K^{(n)} \cup \{\emptyset\}$ ($n \geq 0$).

$\text{Th}(\xi)$ the Thom space of a vector bundle $\xi$, i.e. the quotient of disk and sphere bundle $D(\xi)/S(\xi)$. This agrees with the mapping cone of the projection map $S(\xi) \to X$ which gives rise to a general definition of the Thom space for a spherical fibration $\nu: E \to X$ as $\text{Th}(\nu) := C(\nu)$.

$((Y, \nu, \rho))$ an $n$-dimensional normal space consisting of a topological space $Y$ together with an oriented $k$-dimensional spherical fibration $\nu: Y \to \text{BSG}(k)$ and a map $\rho: S^{n+k} \to \text{Th}(\nu)$.

$((Y, B, \nu, (\rho_Y, \rho_B)))$ an $(n + 1)$-dimensional geometric normal pair consisting of

- a finite CW pair $(Y, B)$ with
- an oriented $(k - 1)$-spherical fibration $\nu: Y \to \text{BSG}(k)$
- a map $(\rho_Y, \rho_B): (D^{n+k}, S^{n+k+1}) \to (\text{Th}(\nu), \text{Th}(\nu_B))$
- and $(B, \nu|_B, \rho_B)$ an $(n - 1)$-dimensional normal space.
\( \tilde{f} := (f, f): M \to X \) an \( n \)-dimensional degree one normal map, i.e. a commutative square with \( f: M \to X \) a map from an \( n \)-dimensional Poincaré space \( X \) such that \( f_*([M]) = [X] \in H_n(X) \), and \( \tilde{f}: \nu_M \to \nu_X \) stable bundle map from the stable normal bundle \( \nu_M: M \to \text{BSTOP} \) to a stable bundle \( \nu_X: X \to \text{BSTOP} \).

\( \tilde{g} \) a degree one normal map \( (\tilde{g}, g): X \to Y \) between an \( n \)-dimensional Poincaré space \( X \) and a normal space \( Y \).

\( \tilde{\Delta}: \text{Th}(\nu) \simeq \frac{V}{\partial V} \xrightarrow{\Delta} \frac{V \times V}{\partial V \times \partial V} \simeq \text{Th}(\nu) \wedge X_+ \) the generalized diagonal map where \( V \) is the mapping cylinder of the projection map of \( \nu \) and \( \partial V \) the total space of \( \nu \).

\( \Sigma_X: C(X) \to \Sigma^{-1}C(\Sigma X) \) the natural suspension chain equivalence obtained from acyclic models.

\( \alpha \) denotes for a map \( \alpha: S^N \to X \wedge Y \) the two geometric slant products \( [X, Z] \to [S^N, Z \wedge Y]; \gamma \mapsto (\gamma \wedge \text{id} \circ \alpha) \) and \( [Y, Z] \to [S^N, X \wedge Z]; \gamma \mapsto (\text{id} \wedge \gamma) \circ \alpha \) (see [Ran80b, §3]).

\( \varphi_f = \text{ev}(\delta \varphi, \varphi) \simeq (\delta \varphi_0, f \varphi_0): \mathcal{C}(f)^{n-*} \to D \) a chain map defined for an \( n \)-symmetric pair \( (f: C \to D, \delta \varphi, \varphi) \).

\( \varphi_f = \text{ev}_e(\delta \varphi, \varphi) \simeq (\delta \varphi_0, \varphi_0 f^*): D^{n-*} \to \mathcal{C}(f) \) a chain map defined for an \( n \)-symmetric pair \( (f: C \to D, \delta \varphi, \varphi) \).
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Tree of definitions

Geometric foundations

$\mathcal{M}(f)$ the mapping cylinder $(X \times [0, 1] \amalg Y)/(x, 1) \sim f(x)$ for a map $f : X \to Y$.

$\mathcal{C}(f)$ the mapping cone $(X \times [0, 1] \amalg Y)/(x, 0) \sim (x', 0), (x, 1) \sim f(x)$ for a map $f : X \to Y$.

$S : [Y, Z] \xrightarrow{\cong} [S^N, X \wedge Y]$ the $S$-duality isomorphism; for an $N$-dimensional $S$-duality map $\alpha : S^N \to X \wedge Y$ and an arbitrary space $Z$ defined by $S(\gamma) = (\text{id}_Y \wedge \gamma) \circ \alpha$; denotes the induced isomorphism $S : H^{N-*}(X; E) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_*(X, E)$ as well.

$\alpha : S^N \to X \wedge Y$ an $N$-dimensional $S$-duality map, i.e. the slant product maps

$\alpha_*([S^N]) : \tilde{C}(X)^{N-*} \to \tilde{C}(Y)$ and $\alpha_*([S^N]) : \tilde{C}(Y)^{N-*} \to \tilde{C}(X)$

are chain equivalences.
Th($\xi$) the Thom space of a vector bundle $\xi$, i.e. the quotient of disk and sphere bundle $D(\xi)/S(\xi)$. This agrees with the mapping cone of the projection map $S(\xi) \to X$ which gives rise to a general definition of the Thom space for a spherical fibration $\nu: E \to X$ as $\text{Th}(\nu) := C(\nu)$.

$\nu$ the Thom class of an oriented $(k - 1)$-dimensional spherical fibration $\nu: X \to \text{BSG}(k)$ resp. an oriented $k$-plane bundle $\nu: X \to \text{BSO}(k)$ that defines isomorphisms via the cup and cap products $u(\nu) \cap \cdot: H_*(\text{Th}(\nu)) \to H_{n-k}(X)$ and $u(\nu) \cup \cdot: H^* \to H^{*+k}(\text{Th}(\nu))$.

$u(\nu)^* \in H^{N-k}(\text{Th}(\nu)^*)$ the $N$-dimensional $S$-dual of a Thom class.

$X$ an $n$-dimensional Poincaré space, i.e. a finite CW complex together with an orientation homomorphism $w: \pi_1(X) \to \{\pm1\}$ and a fundamental class $[X]$.

$[X]$ fundamental class for an $n$-dimensional Poincaré space $X$ is a cycle in the cellular $\mathbb{Z}\pi$-chain complex $C_n(\tilde{X})$ which represents an $n$-dimensional homology class in $H_n(X; \mathbb{Z}^w)$ such that $\cdot \cap [X]: C^{n-*}(\tilde{X}) \to C_*(\tilde{X})$ is a $\mathbb{Z}\pi$-chain homotopy equivalence where $\tilde{X}$ is the universal covering.

$\mathbb{Z}^w$ denotes the $\mathbb{Z}\pi$-module whose underlying abelian group is $\mathbb{Z}$ and $g \in \pi$ acts on it by $w(g) \cdot \text{id}$.

$(Y, \nu, \rho)$ an $n$-dimensional normal space consisting of a topological space $Y$ together with an oriented $k$-dimensional spherical fibration $\nu: Y \to \text{BSG}(k)$ and a map $\rho: S^{n+k} \to \text{Th}(\nu)$.

$[Y]$ fundamental class for a normal space $(Y, \nu, \rho)$ is a cycle in $C_n(\tilde{Y})$ which represents an $n$-dimensional homology class in $H_n(Y; \mathbb{Z}^w)$ given by $[Y] = u(\nu) \cap h(\rho)$ where $h$ is the Hurewicz homomorphism. If $Y$ is a Poincaré space, then the term fundamental class implies that $\nu$ and $\rho$ have been chosen in such a way that $\cdot \cap [Y]: H^{n-*}(Y) \to H_*(Y)$ is an isomorphism.

$(X, \partial X)$ an $n$-dimensional geometric Poincaré pair $(X, \partial X)$ is a finite CW pair together with an orientation homomorphism $w: \pi_1(X) \to \{\pm1\}$ and a fundamental class $[X] \in H_n(X, \partial X; \mathbb{Z}^w)$ such that the cap products $\cdot \cap [X]: H^*(\tilde{X}) \to H_{n-*}(\tilde{X}, \partial \tilde{X})$ are isomorphisms and $\partial X$ is an $(n - 1)$-dimensional Poincaré space.

$((Y, B), \nu, (\rho_Y, \rho_B))$ an $(n + 1)$-dimensional geometric normal pair consisting of
- a finite CW pair $(Y, B)$ with
- an oriented $(k - 1)$-spherical fibration $\nu: Y \to \text{BSG}(k)$
- a map $(\rho_Y, \rho_B): (D^{n+k}, S^{n+k+1}) \to (\text{Th}(\nu), \text{Th}(\nu|_B))$
- and $(B, \nu|_B, \rho_B)$ an $(n - 1)$-dimensional normal space.
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**Cobordism** of normal spaces:

\[(Y, \nu, \rho) \sim (Y', \nu', \rho') \iff \nu_W|Y = \nu, \nu_W|Y' = \nu' \text{ and the following compatibility with the collapse maps } \rho \text{ and } \rho' \text{ is satisfied:}

Let \(Y^c\) be an open collar of the boundary \(Y\) in \(W\) and \(c: \text{Th}(\nu_W)/\text{Th}(\nu) \to \text{Th}(\nu_W)/(\text{Th}(\nu) \cup (\text{Th}(\nu_W) - Y^c)) \simeq S^1 \wedge \text{Th}(\nu)\) the projection which collapses everything outside of \(Y^c\). Then we require \(c \circ \rho_W = \text{id} \wedge \rho: S^1 \wedge S^k \xrightarrow{\cong} S^{k+1} \to \text{Th}(\nu_W)/\text{Th}(\nu) \to S^1 \wedge \text{Th}(\nu)\) and analogously for \(Y'\) and \(\rho'\).

\(X^*\) the S-dual of \(X\).

\(\tilde{X}\) the universal covering of \(X\).

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\hat{f} := (\hat{f}, f): M \to X \\
\nu_M \xrightarrow{\eta} \tilde{f} \\

\nu_M \xrightarrow{f} \to X
\end{array}
\]

\(\hat{f}\) an \(n\)-dimensional degree one normal map, i.e. a commutative square with \(f: M \to X\) a map from an \(n\)-dimensional manifold \(M\) to an \(n\)-dimensional Poincaré space \(X\) such that \(f_*([M]) = [X] \in H_n(X)\), and \(\hat{f}: \nu_M \to \nu_X\) stable bundle map from the stable normal bundle \(\nu_M: M \to M \to X\) to a stable bundle \(\nu_X: X \to \text{BSTOP}\).

\(\deg(f)\) the degree of a map \(f: M \to X\) of connected \(n\)-dimensional geometric Poincaré complexes; defined as the integer satisfying \(f_*([M]) = \deg(f)[X] \in H_n(X; \mathbb{Z})\)

\[
\begin{array}{c}
(\delta\hat{f}, \hat{f}): (M, A) \to (X, B)
\end{array}
\]

\(\hat{g}\) a degree one normal map \((\hat{g}, g): X \to Y\) between an \(n\)-dimensional Poincaré space \(X\) and a normal space \(Y\).

\[
\begin{array}{c}
(\delta\hat{g}, \hat{g}): (X, A) \to (Y, B)
\end{array}
\]

\(\nu_X: X \to \text{BSG}\) the Spivak normal fibration of \(X\), i.e. an oriented \((k - 1)\)-spherical fibration of an \(n\)-dimensional Poincaré space \(X\) for which a class \(\alpha \in \pi_{n+k}(\text{Th}(\nu_X))\) \((k > n + 1)\) exists such that \(h(\alpha) \cap u = [X]\). Here \(u \in H^2(\text{Th}(\nu_X))\) is the Thom class and \(h: \pi_\cdot(\cdot) \to H_\cdot(\cdot)\) is the Hurewicz map.

\(\varepsilon\) the trivial spherical fibration over \(X\).

\(\overline{\nu}_X\) the topological bundle lift of the Spivak normal fibration \(\nu_X\). If \(X\) is a manifold \(\overline{\nu}_X\) is the stable normal bundle of \(X\).

\[
\tilde{\Gamma}: G/\text{TOP} \to \Sigma^{-1}\Omega_0^{\text{STOP}}
\]

associates to an \(l\)-simplex \(\hat{f}: M \to \Delta^l\) in \(G/\text{TOP}\) an \(l\)-simplex of \(\Sigma^{-1}\Omega_0^{\text{STOP}}\) which is an \((l + 1)\)-dimensional \(l\)-ad of (normal, topological manifold) pairs \((M, \nu_M)(\Delta^l)\) where the normal structure comes from the bundle map \(\tilde{f}\).
that exists a cobordism \( (\text{from closed manifolds to}) \)

Constructions from the classical surgery theory

\[ \mathcal{N}(X) \]

the normal invariants of a geometric Poincaré complex \( X \). An element of \( \mathcal{N}(X) \) can be represented in two different ways which are identified via the Pontrjagin-Thom construction:

- by a degree one normal map \((f, h): M \to X\) from a manifold \( M \) to \( X \) or
- by a pair \((\nu, h)\) where \( \nu: X \to \text{BSTOP} \) is a stable topological bundle on \( X \) and \( h: J(\nu) \simeq \nu_X \) is a homotopy from the underlying spherical fibration of \( \nu \) to the Spivak normal fibration \( \nu_X \) of \( X \).

\[ \mathcal{S}(X) \]

the structure set, i.e. the set of equivalence classes of homotopy equivalences \( f: M \to X \) from closed manifolds to \( X \) where two maps \( f_0: M_0 \to X \), \( f_1: M_1 \to X \) are equivalent if there exists a cobordism \((W, M_0, M_1)\) together with a homotopy equivalences \( F: W \to X \times [0,1] \) such that \( F|_{M_0} = f_0: M_0 \to X \times \{0\} \) and \( F|_{M_1} = f_1: M_1 \to X \times \{1\} \).

\( \theta(\hat{f}) \)

Wall’s surgery obstruction for a degree one normal map \( \hat{f}: M \to X \). It is an element in \( L_n^w(\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(X)]) \) and if \( n \geq 5 \) it vanishes if and only if \( \hat{f} \) is cobordant to a homotopy equivalence \( \hat{f}': M' \to X \).

\[ L_n^w(R) \]

the Wall surgery groups of quadratic forms for \( n \) even resp. of formations for \( n \) odd where \( R \) is an associative ring with unit and involution.

\[ L_{2k}^w(R) \]

the \( 2k \)-dimensional Wall surgery group of quadratic forms is defined as the abelian group of equivalence classes \([\mathcal{F}, \varphi]\) of non-degenerate \((-1)^k\)-quadratic forms \((\mathcal{F}, \varphi)\) such that \( F \) is a finitely generated free \( R \)-module. Two such forms \((\mathcal{F}, \varphi)\) and \((\mathcal{F}', \varphi')\) are equivalent if they are isomorphic up to stabilization with hyperbolic forms, i.e. there are integer \( u, u' \geq 0 \) such that

\[ (\mathcal{F}, \varphi) \oplus H_\epsilon(R)^u \cong (\mathcal{F}', \varphi') \oplus H_\epsilon(R)^{u'}. \]

Addition is given by the addition of quadratic forms.

\[ L_{2k+1}^w(R) \]

the \( (2k+1) \)-dimensional Wall surgery group of quadratic formations is defined as the abelian group of equivalence classes \([\mathcal{P}, \varphi; F, G]\) of \((-1)^{k+1}\)-quadratic formations \((\mathcal{P}, \varphi; F, G)\) such that \( P, F, \) and \( G \) are finitely generated free \( R \)-modules. Two such formations \((\mathcal{P}, \varphi; F, G)\) and \((\mathcal{P}', \varphi'; F', G')\) are equivalent if there exists \((-1)^{k+1}\)-quadratic forms \((Q, u)\) and \((Q', u')\) with finitely generated free \( R \)-modules \( Q \) and \( Q' \) and finitely generated free \( R \)-modules \( S \) and \( S' \) such that

\[ (\mathcal{P}, \varphi; F, G) \oplus \partial(Q, u) \oplus (H_\epsilon(S); S, S^*) \cong (\mathcal{P}', \varphi'; F', G') \oplus \partial(Q', u') \oplus (H_\epsilon(S'); S', (S')^*). \]

Addition is given by the sum of quadratic formations.
Tree of definitions

\[ H_\varepsilon(P) \]  the standard hyperbolic \( \varepsilon \)-quadratic form for a finitely generated projective \( R \)-module \( P \) is given by the \( R \)-module \( P \oplus P^* \) and the \( R \)-homomorphism

\[
\phi: (P \oplus P^*) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}} P^* \oplus P \xrightarrow{id \oplus \varepsilon(P)} P^*(P^*)^* = (P \oplus P^*)^*.
\]

\[ t(-, \hat{f}_0): \mathcal{N}(X) \to [X; G/TOP] \] the inverse of the bijection \([X; G/TOP] \cong \mathcal{N}(X)\) induced by the action of \([X; G/TOP]\) on \( \mathcal{N}(X) \) (see 224 → p.94)

Algebraic foundations

\[ C \]  a chain complex; either an element in \( \mathcal{B}(R) \) or, more generally, in \( \mathcal{B}(A) \).

\[ \mathcal{B}(R) \]  the category of bounded chain complexes of finitely generated projective left \( R \)-modules.

\[ R \]  a ring with involution \(-: R \to R; r \mapsto \overline{r}\), i.e. it satisfies \( \overline{1} = 1, \overline{r} = r, \overline{rs} = \overline{r}\overline{s} \) and \( \overline{r+s} = \overline{r} + \overline{s} \) for \( r, s \in R \).

\[ \mathcal{B}(A) \]  the category of bounded chain complexes in \( A \).

\[ \alpha \]  additive category

\[ \tilde{C} \]  the reduced chain complex of a nonnegative chain complex \( C \) is defined by \( \tilde{C}_q = C_q \) for \( q \neq 0 \) and \( \tilde{C}_0 = \ker(\varepsilon) \). For a singular chain complex \( \Delta(X) \) the augmentation \( \varepsilon: \Delta_0(X) \to \mathbb{Z} \) is defined by \( \varepsilon(\sigma) = 1 \) for every singular 0-simplex \( \sigma \); resp. for a simplicial chain complex \( C_0(K) \) by \( \varepsilon(v) = 1 \) for every vertex \( v \) of \( K \).

\[ C^{-*} \]  the dual chain complex with \((C^{-*})_k := (C_{-k})^* \) and differential \( d^C_{-*} := (-)^k(d^C_k)^* \).

\[ \Sigma C \]  the suspended chain complex \( C \) shifted one to the left, i.e. \( \Sigma C_n = C_{n-1}, d^{\Sigma C} = -d^C \).

\[ \Sigma^{-1}C \]  the desuspended chain complex \( C \) shifted one to the right, i.e. \( \Sigma^{-1}C_n = C_{n+1} \).

\[ \mathcal{M}(f) \]  the algebraic mapping cylinder with \( \mathcal{M}(f)_k := D_k \oplus C_k \oplus C_{k-1} \) and differential \( d^{\mathcal{M}(f)}(x, y, z) := (d^D(x) + f(y), d^C(y) - z, -d^C(z)) \) for a chain map \( f: C \to D \).

\[ \mathcal{C}(f) \]  the algebraic mapping cone with \( \mathcal{C}(f)_k := D_k \oplus C_{k-1} \) and differential \( d^{\mathcal{C}(f)}(x, y) := (d^D(x) + f(y), -d^C(y)) \) for a chain map \( f: C \to D \).
$(T, e)$ chain duality on $\Delta$ where $T: \Delta^{op} \to \mathbb{B}(\Delta)$ is a functor and $e: T^2 \to 1$ a natural transformation with $e_A: T^2(A) \xrightarrow{\cong} A$ and $e_{T(A)} \circ T(e_A) = 1.$ for $A \in \Delta.$

$W\%, W_R, \hat{W}\%$ denote for a chain complex $C$ the abelian group chain complexes

$W\% C := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[\Delta]}(W, C \otimes C),$

$W_R C := W \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\Delta]} (C \otimes C),$

$\hat{W}\% C := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[\Delta]}(\hat{W}, C \otimes C).$

$W$ the free resolution of the trivial $\mathbb{Z}[\Delta]$-chain module $\hat{W}$; given by the $\mathbb{Z}[\Delta]$-chain complex

$\cdots \xrightarrow{1+t} \mathbb{Z}[\Delta] \xrightarrow{1-t} \mathbb{Z}[\Delta] \rightarrow 0$

$\hat{W}$ the complete resolution of the trivial $\mathbb{Z}[\Delta]$-chain module $\hat{W}$; given by the $\mathbb{Z}[\Delta]$-chain complex

$\cdots \xrightarrow{1+t} \mathbb{Z}[\Delta] \xrightarrow{1-t} \mathbb{Z}[\Delta] \xrightarrow{1+t} \mathbb{Z}[\Delta] \xrightarrow{1-t} \cdots$

$C \otimes C$ short for the chain complex of $\mathbb{Z}[\Delta]$-modules obtained from a chain complex $C$ of left $R$-modules using the involution of $R.$

$C^\circ$ chain complex of right $R$-modules obtained from a chain complex $C$ of left $R$-modules.

$\text{Hom}(C, D)$ the Hom-complex for chain complexes $C, D$; defined by $\text{Hom}(C, D)_n = \bigoplus_{q-p=n} \text{Hom}(C_p, D_q)$ and $d(f) = d_D f - (-1)^n f d_C.$

$f^\#: W\%(C) \to W\%(D)$ the chain map induced by a chain map $f: C \to D$; explicitly given by $(f^\#(\varphi))_n := f \varphi_s f^*; D_n \to D.$

$f_R: W_R(C) \to W_R(D)$ the chain map induced by a chain map $f: C \to D$

$f^\#: \hat{W}\%(C) \to \hat{W}\%(D)$ the chain map induced by a chain map $f: C \to D$

$Q^n, Q_n, \hat{Q}^n$ the $n$-dimensional $Q$-groups defined for a chain complex $C$

$Q(C)_n := H_n(W\% C),$

$\hat{Q}(C)_n := H_n(\hat{W}\% C).$

$W[r, s]$ the $\mathbb{Z}[\Delta]$-module chain complex

$\cdots 0 \xrightarrow{1+(r-1)t} \mathbb{Z}[\Delta] \xrightarrow{1+(r-1)t} \mathbb{Z}[\Delta] \xrightarrow{1+(r-1)t} \mathbb{Z}[\Delta] \rightarrow 0 \cdots$

with $W[r, s]_n = 0$ for $n > s$ and $n < r.$

$C(X)$ the singular chain complex for a space $X.$
Tree of definitions

\( I \) \hspace{1cm} \text{chain complex with} \\
\begin{align*}
I_n &= \begin{cases} 
\mathbb{Z} = \langle e \rangle & \text{for } n = 1, \\
\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} = \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle & \text{for } n = 0; \\
0 & \text{otherwise} 
\end{cases} \\
d_1 : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}; e \mapsto e_1 - e_2
\end{align*}

Chain complex maps

\( 1 + t : W\% C \to W\% C \) \hspace{1cm} \text{the symmetrization map defined by} \\
\((1 + t)(\psi)_s = \begin{cases} 
(1 + t)\psi_0 & \text{if } s = 0 \\
0 & \text{otherwise} 
\end{cases} \)

\( \iota : C \otimes D \to \operatorname{Hom}(C^*, D) \) \hspace{1cm} \text{the slant chain map defined by} \ f \otimes (x \otimes y) \mapsto f(x).y.

\( e : C \to \mathcal{C}(\varphi_0) \) \hspace{1cm} \text{the inclusion; with a map } \alpha : C \to D \text{ as subscript } e_{\alpha} \text{ denotes the inclusion } D \to \mathcal{C}(\alpha).

\( p : \mathcal{C}(\varphi_0) \to \Sigma^{n-\ast} C \) \hspace{1cm} \text{the projection; with a map } \alpha : C \to D \text{ as subscript } e_{\alpha} \text{ denotes the projection } \mathcal{C}(\alpha) \to \Sigma^{n-\ast} C.

\( \gamma_Y : C(\operatorname{Th}(\nu)^{\ast})_{n+p} \to C(Y) \) \hspace{1cm} \text{the chain map induced by the semi-stable map } \Gamma_Y \text{ of an } n\text{-dimensional normal space } (Y, \nu, \rho) \text{ with } \operatorname{Th}(\nu)^{\ast} \text{ the } n\text{-dimensional S-dual of } \operatorname{Th}(\nu) \text{ and } p = N - (n + k).

\( \hat{f} : C(\hat{X}) \to C(\hat{M}) \) \hspace{1cm} \text{the Umkehr map of a degree one normal map } \hat{f} : M \to X \text{ of Poincaré spaces } M \text{ and } X. \text{ We obtain a stable equivariant map } F : \Sigma^k \hat{X} \to \Sigma^k \hat{M} \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and define } \hat{f} \text{ as the composition } C(\hat{X}) \xrightarrow{\Sigma^k} C(\Sigma^k \hat{X} \times \Sigma^k \hat{M}) \xrightarrow{\delta} C(\Sigma^k \hat{M}) \xrightarrow{\Sigma^k} C(\hat{M}).

\( \zeta : C(X \times X) \to C(X) \otimes C(X) \) \hspace{1cm} \text{Eilenberg-Zilber map}

\( \text{ev} : W\% C \to C \otimes C \) \hspace{1cm} \text{the evaluation map given by } \varphi \mapsto \varphi_0.

\( \text{ev}_1 : \mathcal{C}(\varphi_0) \to \mathcal{C}(\varphi) \otimes D \) \hspace{1cm} \text{the left evaluation map for a chain map } f : C \to D \text{ given by } \delta \varphi, \varphi \mapsto
Tree of definitions

\[ \varphi_f = \text{ev}_r(\delta \varphi, \varphi) \simeq (\delta \varphi_0, f \varphi_0) : C(f) \to D \]
a chain map defined for an \( n \)-symmetric pair \((f : C \to D, \delta \varphi, \varphi)\).

\[ l : \mathcal{E}(f \otimes f) \to \mathcal{E}(f) \otimes D \]

\[ \text{ev}_r : \mathcal{E}(f^\circ) \to D \otimes \mathcal{E}(f) \]
the right evaluation map for a chain map \( f : C \to D \) given by \((\delta \varphi, \varphi) \mapsto \varphi_f^*\).

\[ \varphi_f^* = \text{ev}_r(\delta \varphi, \varphi) \simeq (\delta \varphi_0, f \varphi_0) : D \to \mathcal{E}(f) \]
a chain map defined for an \( n \)-symmetric pair \((f : C \to D, \delta \varphi, \varphi)\).

\[ r : \mathcal{E}(f \otimes f) \to D \otimes \mathcal{E}(f) \]

\[ \Phi_\gamma \]
is the chain map induced by a null-homotopy \( \gamma : \beta \circ \alpha \simeq 0 \), fitting in the following diagram
with

\[ X \xrightarrow{\alpha} Y \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{E}(\alpha) \]
\[ \downarrow \gamma \quad \downarrow \beta \]
\[ Z \xrightarrow{\Phi_\gamma} \]

and given by \( \mathcal{E}(\alpha) \to Z ; \quad (y, x) \mapsto \beta(y) + \gamma(x) \).

\[ \partial_\sigma : C(K) \to \Sigma^{\sigma_1}C(\sigma) \]
chain map defined for each simplex \( \sigma = \langle v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_{|\sigma|} \rangle \) in \( K \) by the composition

\[ C(K) = \sum_{\tau \in K} C(\tau) \xrightarrow{\text{proj.}} C(\sigma_0) \xrightarrow{d_1} C(\sigma_1) \xrightarrow{d_2} \ldots \xrightarrow{d_{|\sigma|}} C(\sigma)_{n-\sigma} \]
with \( \sigma_j = \langle v_0, \ldots, v_j \rangle \) and \( d_j = d_{n-j+1}^C \) the relevant component of \( d_{n-j+1}^C : C(K)_{n-j+1} \to C(K)_{n-j} \) (see [Ran92, Def. 8.2]).

\[ \langle v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_j \rangle \]
defines a simplex spanned by the vertices \( v_0, \ldots, v_j \).

\[ \text{th} : \mathcal{E}(f^\circ) \to W^\circ(\mathcal{E}(f)) \]
the map from the algebraic Thom construction.

\[ \Sigma_X : C(X) \to \Sigma^{-1}C(\Sigma X) \]
the natural suspension chain equivalence obtained from acyclic models.

\[ \Sigma_X^{-1} : \Sigma^{-1}C(\Sigma X) \to C(X) \]
the desuspension chain equivalence; note that it is not natural in \( X \).
Structured chain complexes

\((C, \varphi)\) an \(n\)-symmetric chain complex consisting of a chain complex \(C\) and an \(n\)-symmetric structure \(\varphi\); Poincaré means \(\varphi_0 : C^{n-*} \to C\) is a chain equivalence.

\[\varphi \in W^n(C)\] a cycle, called an \(n\)-symmetric structure

\[\varphi_0\] the 0-component of an \(n\)-symmetric structure \(\varphi = \{\varphi_s : C^{n+s-*} \to C_* | s \geq 0\} \in W^n C\).

If \(\varphi\) was obtained by applying the symmetric construction \(con^X\) to a class \([X]\) then \(\varphi_0 = -\cap [X]\).

\((C, \psi)\) an \(n\)-quadratic chain complex consisting of a chain complex \(C\) and an \(n\)-quadratic structure \(\psi\); Poincaré means the symmetrization \((1 + t)(\psi)_0 : C^{n-*} \to C\) is a chain equivalence.

\[\psi \in W^n(C)\] a cycle, called an \(n\)-quadratic structure on \(C\);

can be represented by a set \(\{\varphi_s : C^{n+s-*} \to C_* | s \geq 0\}\).

\((C, (\varphi, \gamma, \chi))\) an \(n\)-normal chain complex consisting of a chain complex \(C\) and an \(n\)-normal structure \((\varphi, \gamma, \chi)\).

\((\varphi, \gamma, \chi)\) an \(n\)-normal structure

\[\varphi \in W^n(C)\] a cycle, called an \(n\)-symmetric structure.

\[\gamma \in \hat{W}^{-n}(C)*_0\] a cycle called chain bundle

\[\chi \in \hat{W}^{-n}(C)_{n+1}\] a chain satisfying \(d\chi = J(\varphi) - \varphi(\partial^n\gamma)\).

\(L^n(R)\) the cobordism group of \(n\)-symmetric Poincaré chain complexes over \(R\).

\(L_a(R)\) the cobordism group of \(n\)-quadratic Poincaré chain complexes over \(R\).

\(NL^n(R)\) the cobordism group of \(n\)-normal chain complexes over \(R\).

Cobordism of \(n\)-dimensional structured chain complexes:

\[(C, \lambda) \sim (C', \lambda') \iff \text{there is an (n + 1)-dimensional structured (Poincaré) pair (C \oplus C' \to D, \partial\lambda, \lambda \oplus -\lambda'),}\]

where Poincaré is only required in the symmetric and quadratic case.

\(\lambda\) placeholder for a symmetric \(\varphi\) resp. quadratic \(\psi\) or normal structure \((\varphi, \gamma, \chi)\). We call a pair \((C, \lambda)\) a structured chain complex and a triple \((C \to D, \delta\lambda, \lambda)\) a structured pair.
\[(f: C \to D, \delta \varphi, \varphi)\] an \((n+1)\)-symmetric pair with
- \(f: C \to D\) a chain map
- \((C, \varphi)\) an \(n\)-symmetric chain complex
- \(\delta \varphi \in W^\% (D)_{n+1}\) such that \(d(\delta \varphi) = f^\%(\varphi)\) which is equivalent to \((\delta \varphi, \varphi)\) is a cycle in \(\mathcal{C}(f^\%)_{n+1}\).

Poincaré means \((\delta \varphi_0, \varphi_0 f^\%): D^{n+1-\ast} \to \mathcal{C}(f)_\ast\) is a chain equivalence.

\[(f: C \to D, \delta \psi, \psi)\] an \((n+1)\)-quadratic pair with
- \(f: C \to D\) a chain map
- \((C, \psi)\) an \(n\)-quadratic chain complex
- \(\delta \psi \in W^\% (D)_{n+1}\) such that \(d(\delta \psi) = f^\%(\psi)\).

Poincaré means the symmetrization is Poincaré, i.e.
\(((1 + t) \delta \varphi_0, (1 + t) \varphi_0 f^\%): D^{n+1-\ast} \to \mathcal{C}(f)_\ast = (D_*, C_{-1})\) is a chain equivalence.

\[(f: C \to D, (\delta \varphi, \delta \gamma, \delta \chi), (\varphi, \gamma, \chi))\] an \((n+1)\)-normal pair with
- \((C, (\varphi, \gamma, \chi))\) an \(n\)-normal chain complex
- \((f: C \to D, \delta \varphi, \varphi)\) an \(n\)-symmetric pair \([\ast(n+1)]\)
- \((f, b): (C, \gamma) \to (D, \delta \gamma)\) a map of chain bundles
- \(\delta \chi \in \tilde{W}^\% (D)_{n+2}\) a chain such that
  \(J(\delta \varphi) - \delta \varphi_0\% (\delta^{n+1} \delta \gamma) + \tilde{f}^\% (\chi - \tilde{\varphi}_0\% (\delta^n b)) = d(\delta \chi) \in \tilde{W}^\% (D)_{n+1}\).

\[(f, b): (C, \gamma) \to (C', \gamma')\] a map of chain bundles where \(f: C \to C'\) a chain map and \(b \in \tilde{W}^\% (C')\), a 1-chain such that \(\tilde{f}^\%(\gamma) - \gamma' = d(b)\).

\[L(J)^n\] the relative \(L\)-group of \(J: L^n(R) \to NL^n(R)\) is the cobordism group of \((n,\) symmetric Poincaré\) pairs \((f: C \to D, (\delta \varphi, \delta \gamma, \delta \chi), \varphi)\).

\[J: L^n(R) \to NL^n(R)\] roughly induced by \(j: W^\% C \to \tilde{W}^\% C;\) see \((111)\) for more details of how a normal structure \((\varphi, \gamma, \chi)\) is obtained from a symmetric Poincaré chain complex \((C, \varphi)\).

\[j: W^\% C \to \tilde{W}^\% C\] induced by the projection \(\tilde{W} \to W\), induces a map of \(Q\)-groups \(j: Q^n(R) \to Q^n(R)\).

\[(f: C \to D, (\delta \varphi, \delta \gamma, \delta \chi), \varphi)\] an \((n+1)\)-dimensional \((n,\) symmetric Poincaré\) pair with
- \((D, \delta \varphi, \delta \gamma, \delta \chi)\) an \((n+1)\)-normal chain complex
- \((f: C \to D, (\delta \varphi, \varphi))\) an \(n\)-symmetric pair \([n+1]\]
- \(\varphi_0\) a chain equivalence.
Cobordism of $n$-dimensional structured pairs:

\[(C \xrightarrow{f} D, \delta \lambda, \lambda) \sim (C' \xrightarrow{f'} D', \delta \lambda', \lambda') \iff \text{there is an (}n+1\text{)-dimensional structured}

\begin{align*}
(C \oplus C', \lambda - \lambda') & \xrightarrow{(f, 0)} (D \oplus D', \delta \lambda - \delta \lambda') \\
\end{align*}

where Poincaré is only required in the symmetric and quadratic case.

\[
\Gamma = (f, f', g, g'; h, (\varphi, \varphi', \delta \varphi, \delta \varphi'; \delta^2 \varphi)) \quad \text{an (}n+2\text{)-dimensional symmetric triad, i.e. a}
\]

commutative square of chain complexes and chain maps

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
C & \xrightarrow{f} & D \\
\downarrow{h} & & \downarrow{g} \\
D' & \xrightarrow{h'} & E
\end{array}
\]

with

- $h: gf \simeq g'f': C \to E_{n+1}$ a chain homotopy
- $(f: C \to D, \delta \varphi, \varphi)$ and $(f': C \to D', \delta \varphi', \varphi)$ being $n$-symmetric pairs $[(n+1)]$
- $\delta^2 \varphi \in W^\infty(E)_{n+2}$ a chain such that

\[
d(\delta^2 \varphi)_s = g^*g^e(\delta \varphi')_s - g^e(\delta \varphi)_s + g'f\varphi_s h^* + h \varphi_s f^* + h^e(\varphi)_s.
\]

Poincaré means $(f: C \to D, \delta \varphi, \varphi)$ and $(f': C \to D', \delta \varphi', \varphi)$ are Poincaré and

\[
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\delta \varphi \\
\delta \varphi'
\end{array}\right) : E^{n+2-\epsilon} \to \mathcal{E}(\Gamma) \simeq \mathcal{E} \left(\begin{array}{c}
g' \circ \delta \\
g \circ f
\end{array}\right) : \mathcal{E}(f') \to \mathcal{E}(g)
\]

is a chain equivalence.

The quadratic case is analog but uses symmetrization for the definition of Poincaré.

\[
(f, \chi): (C, \varphi') \to (C', \varphi') \quad \text{a morphism of } n\text{-symmetric chain complexes consisting of a chain}
\]

map $f: C \to C'$ together with a chain $\chi \in (W^\infty(C'))_{n+1}$ such that $\varphi' - f(\varphi) = d\chi$.

\[
(f: C \to D, \delta \varphi, \varphi) \simeq (f': C' \to D', \delta \varphi', \varphi') \quad \text{two symmetric pairs are homotopy equivalent if}
\]

there is a triad $\Gamma = (f', f; g, g'; h)$ such that $g$ and $h$ are homotopy equivalences and $(g, g'; h)^E(\delta \varphi, \varphi) = (\delta \varphi', \varphi')$

\[
(g, g'; h)^E: W^\infty(\text{Hom}_A(D^*, D), \oplus \text{Hom}_A(C^*, C)) \to W^\infty(\text{Hom}_A(D'^*, D'), \oplus \text{Hom}_A(C'^*, C'))
\]

given by $(\delta \varphi_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha) \mapsto (g^\varphi(\delta \varphi_\alpha) \pm h \varphi_s f^* g^* \mp f' g' \varphi_s h^* \pm h^e(\varphi_\alpha), f f' \circ (\varphi_\alpha))$ (see [Ran81, p.42])

\[
\partial (C \xrightarrow{f} D, \theta, \varphi) = \left(\Sigma^{-1} \mathcal{E}(f'), \varphi'\right) \quad \text{an } n\text{-symmetric chain complex, the effect of algebraic}
\]
surgery on an $n$-symmetric pair $[(n+1)](f: C \to D, \delta \varphi, \varphi)$ (see B1).
Boundary constructions

\[(C', \varphi')\] symmetric chain complex obtained from algebraic surgery (see B1)

\[\varphi_f = ev_r(\delta \varphi, \varphi) \simeq (\delta \varphi_0) : D^{n-\ast} \to \mathcal{C}(f)\]
a chain map defined for an \(n\)-symmetric pair \(f : C \to D, \delta \varphi, \varphi\).

\[\varphi_f = ev_l(\delta \varphi, \varphi) \simeq (\delta \varphi_0, f \varphi_0) : \mathcal{C}(f)^{\ast-n} \to D\]
a chain map defined for an \(n\)-symmetric pair \(f : C \to D, \delta \varphi, \varphi\).

\[\partial^S\] the symmetric boundary construction, produces for an \(n\)-symmetric chain complex an \((n-1)\)-symmetric Poincaré chain complex usually denoted \((\partial C, \partial \varphi)\) (see B21).

\[\partial C := \Sigma^{-1} \mathcal{C}(\varphi_0)\] the boundary chain complex.

\[\partial \varphi := S^{-1} e^\%(\varphi)\] the symmetric boundary structure.

\[e : C \to \mathcal{C}(\varphi_0)\] the inclusion; with a map \(\alpha : C \to D\) the map \(e\alpha\) denotes the inclusion \(D \to \mathcal{C}(\alpha)\).

\[\partial^\alpha\] the relative symmetric boundary construction, produces for an \(n\)-symmetric pair an \((n-1)\)-symmetric Poincaré pair usually denoted \((\partial f : \partial C \to \partial f, \partial(\delta \varphi, \varphi))\).

\[\partial f = \begin{pmatrix} f & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : \partial C = C_{r+1} \oplus C_n-r \to D_{r+1} \oplus D_{n-r} \oplus C_{n-r-1} = \partial f \cdot D.\]

\[\partial^Q\] the quadratic boundary construction, produces for an \(n\)-quadratic chain complex \((C', \psi')\) an \((n-1)\)-quadratic Poincaré chain complex denoted by \((\partial C', \partial \psi')\) (see B23).

\[\partial C := \Sigma^{-1} \mathcal{C}((1+t)(\varphi_0))\] the boundary chain complex.
Tree of definitions

\[ \partial \psi := \left( \frac{1 + \imath t}{\delta} \right)^{-1} (S^{-1}e^\% (\varphi), e^\% (\psi)) \]  
the quadratic boundary structure.

⇒ \[ \partial Q^0 \]  
the relative quadratic boundary construction, produces for an \( n \)-quadratic pair an \((n-1)\)-quadratic Poincaré pair usually denoted \( (\partial f : \partial C \to \partial f D, \partial (\delta \psi, \psi)) \).

\[ \partial Q^0 : L_n(\mathbb{B}(1), \mathbb{G}(1)) \to L_{n-1}(\mathbb{G}(1), \mathbb{L}(1)) = S_n(X) \]  
the boundary map induced by the boundary construction \( \partial \).

⇒ \[ \partial Q^0 : L_n(\mathbb{Z} \pi) \to S_n(X) \]  
the boundary map obtained from \( \partial Q^0 \) by using the assembly isomorphism \( L_n(\mathbb{B}(1), \mathbb{G}(1)) \cong L_n(\mathbb{Z} \pi) \) (see 1221).

\[ \partial N \]  
the quadratic boundary construction, produces for an \( n \)-normal chain complex \((C, (\varphi, \gamma, \chi))\) an \((n-1)\)-quadratic Poincaré chain complex denoted \( (\partial C, \partial \psi) \); induces a map \( NL^n(R) \to L_{n-1}(R) \) (see 24).

⇒ \[ \partial N \]  
the relative quadratic boundary construction, produces for an \( n \)-normal pair an \((n-1)\)-quadratic Poincaré pair usually denoted \( (\partial f : \partial C \to \partial f D, \partial (\delta \psi, \psi)) \).

\[ \partial N^0 : NL^n(B(0), B(1)) = H_n(X; NL^*) \to L_{n-1}(\mathbb{B}(1), \mathbb{L}(1)) = H_{n-1}(X; L^*(1)) \]  
the boundary map induced by the boundary construction \( \partial N^0 \).

\[ \partial N^0 : NL^n(B(0), \mathbb{G}(1)) = VL^n(X) \to L_{n-1}(\mathbb{G}(1), \mathbb{L}(1)) = S_n(X) \]  
the boundary map induced by the boundary construction \( \partial N \).

\[ \partial gn_{L^*}(Y) \]  
the quadratic boundary signature for an \( n \)-dimensional normal space \((Y, \nu, \rho)\) is an \((n-1)\)-quadratic chain complex \((\partial C', \partial \psi')\) in \( L_{n-1}(\mathbb{Z} \pi) \) (see 27).

\[ \partial C' = \Sigma^{-1} \psi' (\gamma_Y) \]  
the chain complex of the quadratic boundary signature \( \partial gn_{L^*}. \)

\[ \partial \psi' = \left( \frac{1 + \imath t}{\delta} \right)^{-1} (\partial \varphi, \varphi, (u_{\nu}^\psi)) \]  
the \((n-1)\)-quadratic structure produced by the quadratic boundary signature \( \partial gn_{L^*}. \)

⇒ \[ \partial gn_{L^*}(Y, B) \]  
the quadratic boundary pair signature for an \( n \)-dimensional pair of normal spaces \((Y, B)\) is the \((n-1)\)-quadratic Poincaré pair \((\partial C(B) \to \partial C(Y), (\delta \psi, \psi))\) obtained by using the boundary construction and the spectral quadratic construction (see 28).
Algebraic bordism categories

\[ \Lambda = (A, C, P, (T, e)) \]

is an algebraic bordism category, usually denoted by \( \Lambda \) or \((A, C, P)\), consists of full additive subcategories \( P \subseteq C \subseteq B(A) \) where \( P \) is closed under weak equivalences and mapping cones, i.e.

- \( \mathcal{C}(f: C \to D) \in P \) for any chain map \( f \) in \( P \),
- \( \mathcal{C}(\text{id}: C \to C) \in P \),
- \( \mathcal{C}(e(C): T^2(C) \xrightarrow{\sim} C) \in P \).

\( \Lambda(\mathbb{Z}) = (A(\mathbb{Z}), B(\mathbb{Z}), C(\mathbb{Z})) \)

denotes the algebraic bordism category with

- \( A(\mathbb{Z}) \) the category of finitely generated free left \( \mathbb{Z} \)-modules,
- \( B(\mathbb{Z}) \) the bounded chain complexes in \( A(\mathbb{Z}) \),
- \( C(\mathbb{Z}) \) the contractible chain complexes of \( B(\mathbb{Z}) \).

\( \mathcal{F}: \Lambda \to \Lambda' \)

a functor of algebraic bordism categories is a covariant functor of additive categories, such that

- \( \mathcal{F}(B) \in B' \) for every \( B \in B \)
- \( \mathcal{F}(C) \in P' \) for every \( C \in P \)
- for every \( A \in A \) there is a natural chain map \( \mathcal{G}(A): T' \mathcal{F}(A) \to \mathcal{F}(T^2A) \) such that

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
T' \mathcal{F}(A) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{G}(A)} & \mathcal{F}(T^2A) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
T' \mathcal{G}(A) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}(e(A))} & \mathcal{F}(T^2F(A))
\end{array}
\]

commutes and \( \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{G}(A)) \in P' \).

\((C, \lambda)\) in \( \Lambda \)

an \( n \)-dimensional structured chain complex in \( \Lambda = (A, C, P) \), i.e. a chain complex \( C \in C \) with an \( n \)-dimensional \( P \)-Poincaré structure \( \lambda \).

\( f: (C \to D, \delta \lambda, \lambda) \) in \( \Lambda \)

a structured pair with \( C, D \in B, \lambda \) is \( P \)-Poincaré and \( \mathcal{C}(\delta \lambda_0, \lambda_0 f^*) \in P \).

\( \lambda \)

placeholder for a symmetric \( \varphi \) resp. quadratic \( \psi \) or normal structure \( (\varphi, \gamma, \chi) \). We call a pair \((C, \lambda)\) a structured chain complex and a triple \((C \to D, \delta \lambda, \lambda)\) a structured pair.

\( \lambda_0 \)

stands for \( \varphi_0 \) in the symmetric and normal case and for \((1 + t)(\psi_0)\) in the quadratic case.

\( P \)-Poincaré

is what a structured complex \((C, \lambda)\) is called if \( \partial C := \Sigma^{-1} \mathcal{C}(\lambda_0) \in P \).

\( L^n(\Lambda), L_\omega(\Lambda), NL^n(\Lambda) \)

the cobordism groups of \( n \)-dimensional symmetric, quadratic, and normal chain complexes in \( \Lambda \) respectively.

Cobordism

of \( n \)-dimensional structured chain complexes in \( \Lambda \):

\((C, \lambda) \sim (C', \lambda') \iff\)

there is an \((n + 1)\)-dimensional structured pair \((C \oplus C' \to D, \delta \lambda, \lambda \oplus -\lambda')\) in \( \Lambda \).
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\[ L^n(F), L_n(F), NL^n(F) \] \( n \)-dimensional relative \( L \)-groups consisting, up to cobordism, of pairs \(((C, \lambda), (F(C) \to D, \delta \lambda, \lambda))\) where \((C, \lambda)\) is an \((n - 1)\)-dimensional structured chain complex in \( \Lambda \) and \((F(C) \to D, \delta \lambda, \lambda)\) an \( n \)-dimensional structured pair in \( \Lambda' \).

\[ \Lambda_{L}X \] for \( \Lambda = (\Lambda, C, P) \) denotes \((\Lambda_{L}X, C_{L}X, P_{L}X, (T_{*}, e_{*}))\), the \( X \)-mosaicked algebraic bordism category of \( \Lambda = (\Lambda, C, P) \) with local Poincaré duality.

\[ \Lambda_{G}X \] for \( \Lambda = (\Lambda, C, P) \) denotes \((\Lambda_{G}X, C_{L}X, P_{G}X, (T_{*}, e_{*}))\), the \( X \)-mosaicked algebraic bordism category of \( \Lambda = (\Lambda, C, P) \) with global Poincaré duality.

\[ \Lambda_{N}X \] for \( \Lambda = (\Lambda, C, P) \) denotes \((\Lambda_{N}X, C_{L}X, C_{L}X, (T_{*}, e_{*}))\), the \( X \)-mosaicked algebraic bordism category of \( \Lambda = (\Lambda, C, P) \) with no Poincaré duality.

\[ \mathbb{A}_{*}X \] additive category of \( X \)-based objects in \( \mathbb{A} \), i.e.

\[
\text{Obj}_{\mathbb{A}_{*}X} = \left\{ \sum_{\sigma \in X} M_{\sigma} \mid M_{\sigma} \in \mathbb{A}, M_{\sigma} = 0 \text{ except for finitely many } \sigma \right\},
\]

\[
\text{Mor}_{\mathbb{A}_{*}X}(\sum_{\sigma \in X} M_{\sigma}, \sum_{\tau \in X} N_{\tau}) = \left\{ \sum_{\tau \geq \sigma} f_{\tau, \sigma} : (f_{\tau, \sigma} : M_{\sigma} \to N_{\tau}) \in \text{Mor}_{\mathbb{A}}(M_{\sigma}, N_{\tau}) \right\},
\]

where \( X \) is a simplicial complex.

\[ C_{L}X := \{ C \text{ chain complex in } \mathbb{A}_{*}X \mid C(\sigma) \in \mathcal{C} \text{ for all } \sigma \in X \} \] for a category \( \mathcal{C} \) of chain complexes in \( \mathbb{A} \).

\[ C(\sigma) \] denotes for a chain complex

\[
C : \ldots \to \sum_{\sigma \in X} (C_{n})_{\sigma} \xrightarrow{\sum_{\tau \in X} (f_{n})_{\tau, \sigma}} \sum_{\sigma \in X} (C_{n-1})_{\sigma} \xrightarrow{\sum_{\sigma \in X} (f_{n-1})_{\tau, \sigma}} \sum_{\sigma \in X} (C_{n-2})_{\sigma} \xrightarrow{\sum_{\sigma \in X} (f_{n-2})_{\tau, \sigma}} \ldots
\]

in \( \mathbb{A}_{*}X \) or \( \mathbb{A}^*X \) the chain complex in \( \mathbb{A} \) given by

\[
C(\sigma) : \ldots \to (C_{n})_{\sigma} \xrightarrow{(f_{n})_{\sigma}} (C_{n-1})_{\sigma} \xrightarrow{(f_{n-1})_{\sigma}} (C_{n-2})_{\sigma} \xrightarrow{(f_{n-2})_{\sigma}} \ldots
\]

\[ C_{G}X := \{ C \text{ chain complex in } \mathbb{A}_{*}X \mid A(C) \in \mathcal{C} \} \] for a category \( \mathcal{C} \) of chain complexes in \( \mathbb{A} \).

\[ T_{*} \] defined for a chain duality \( T : \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{A}) \) as the mosaicked chain duality \( \mathbb{A}_{*}X \to \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{A}_{*}X) \) with \((T, \{ \sum_{\sigma \in X} M_{\sigma} \})_{*}(\tau) = (T(\bigoplus_{\tau \leq \rho} \sum_{\sigma \in X} M_{\sigma}))_{*}(\tau)\).

\[ \mathbb{Z}_{*}X \] short for \( \mathbb{A}(\mathbb{Z}), X \), the additive category of \( X \)-based free \( \mathbb{Z} \)-modules with ‘non-decreasing’ morphisms \( \sum f_{\tau, \sigma} \cdot \sum M_{\sigma} \to \sum N_{\tau} \) where \((f_{\tau, \sigma} : M_{\sigma} \to N_{\tau})\) are \( \mathbb{Z} \)-module morphism.

\[ \mathbb{A}(R)_{*}X \] is the additive category of \( X \)-based free \( R \)-modules.
\[ Λ^L X \text{ for } Λ = (A, C, P) \text{ denotes } (A^* X, C^L X, P^L X, (T^*, ε^*)), \text{ the } X\text{-mosaicked algebraic bordism category of } Λ = (A, C, P) \text{ with local Poincaré duality.} \]

\[ Δ^m \subseteq X \text{ the standard } n\text{-simplex with ordered simplices } 0 < 1 < \ldots < n. \]

\[ ∂Δ^m \subseteq X \text{ the boundary of the standard simplex.} \]

\[ D(σ, K) \subseteq X \text{ dual cell of a simplex } σ \text{ in a simplicial complex } K \text{ is the subcomplex of the barycentric subdivision } K' \text{ defined by} \]

\[ D(σ, K) = \{ \tilde{σ}_0 \tilde{σ}_1 \ldots \tilde{σ}_r | σ ≤ σ_0 < σ_1 < \ldots < σ_r \}. \]

\[ K^{(k)} \subseteq X \text{ the set of } k\text{-simplices of a simplicial complex } K. \]

\[ Σ^m \subseteq X \text{ a simplicial complex dual to } ∂Δ^m+1 \text{ with one } k\text{-simplex } σ^* \text{ for every } (m - k)\text{-simplex } σ \text{ in } ∂Δ^m+1 \text{ and face maps} \]

\[ ∂_i: (Σ^m)^{(k)} := \{ σ^* | σ ∈ (∂Δ^m+1)^{(m-k)} \} → (Σ^m)^{(k-1)}; \ σ^* ↦ (δ_i σ)^* \text{ (}0 ≤ i ≤ k) \]

i.e. if the \((m - k)\)-simplex \(σ\) is spanned by the vertices \(\{0, 1, \ldots, m + 1\} \setminus \{j_0, \ldots, j_k\}\) than \(σ^*\) is spanned by the vertices \(\{j_0, \ldots, j_k\}\) and \(∂_i(σ^*) = (δ_i σ)^* = (σ \cup \{j_i\})^* = σ^* \setminus \{j_i\}\).
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\[ \sigma^* \in \Sigma^m \] the dual \( k \)-simplex with \( \partial_k \sigma^* = (\delta_1^* \sigma)^* \) for a \((m-k)\)-simplex \( \sigma \in \partial \Delta^{m+1} \).

\[ |K| \ast |L| \] the join of two topological spaces \( X \) and \( Y \) obtained from \( X \times I \times Y \) by identifying \( x \times 0 \times y \) with \( x \) for all \( x \in X \) and \( X \times 1 \times y \) with \( y \) for all \( y \in Y \) [Whi50, p. 202, III]. Thus each point of \( X \ast Y \) lies on a unique line segment joining a point of \( X \) to a point \( Y \).

\[ K' \] the first barycentric subdivision of a simplicial complex \( K \).

\[ \hat{\tau} \] the vertex in \( K' \) given by the barycenter of the simplex \( \sigma \in K \).

\[ L \setminus K := \{ \sigma' \in L' \mid \text{no vertex of} \sigma' \text{lies in} K' \} = \bigcup_{\sigma \in L, \sigma \notin K} D(\sigma, L) \subset L' \] the supplement of a subcomplex \( K' \) of a simplicial complex \( L \), i.e. the subcomplex of \( L' \) spanned by all of the vertices of \( L' \setminus K' \).

\[ \delta \Delta_m \] the supplement of \( K \) embedded into \( \partial \Delta^{m+1} \) for \( m \in \mathbb{N} \) large enough.

\[ \Phi : (\partial \Delta^{m+1})' \overset{\cong}{\rightarrow} (\Sigma^m)' \] an isomorphism of simplicial complexes that maps dual cells in \( \partial \Delta^{m+1} \) to simplices in \( \Sigma^m \). For more details see 1311.

\[ X^* := (\Sigma^m / \Phi(X))' \] a simplicial \( S \)-dual of \( X \) (see 1312).

\[ X[\sigma] \] is defined for a map \( r : X \to K \) to a simplicial complex as the preimage of the dual cell \( D(\sigma, K) \) after making \( r \) transverse. If \( X \) is a simplicial complex itself, choose \( r \) to be the identity. The subdivision \( X = \bigcup_{\sigma \in K} X[\sigma] \) is called a \( K \)-dissection of \( X \).

\[ \hat{f}_\Delta := \bigcup_{\sigma \in X} \hat{f}[\sigma] : M[\sigma] \to X[\sigma] \] the decomposition of a degree one normal map \( \hat{f} : M \to X \) into degree one normal maps \( \hat{f}[\sigma] = \hat{f}|_{\hat{f}^{-1}(X[\sigma])} \) of \((n - |\sigma|)\)-dimensional manifold \((m - |\sigma|)\)-ads.

\[ M_{\Delta^k} \] manifold \( k \)-ad consisting of a manifold \( M \) and submanifolds \( \partial_0 M, \ldots, \partial_k M \) such that \( \partial_0 M \cap \ldots \cap \partial_k M = \emptyset \).

\[ (\hat{f}[\sigma], \partial \hat{f}[\sigma]) = ((\hat{f}[\sigma], f[\sigma]), (\partial \hat{f}[\sigma], \partial f[\sigma])) \] an \( n \)-dimensional degree one normal map

\[ \begin{array}{ccc} (\nu_M | M[\sigma], \nu_M | \partial M[\sigma]) & \overset{(\hat{f}[\sigma], \partial \hat{f}[\sigma])}{\longrightarrow} & (\nu_X | X[\sigma], \nu_X | \partial X[\sigma]) \\ \text{(M[\sigma], \partial M[\sigma]) := (f^{-1}, \partial f^{-1})(X[\sigma], \partial X[\sigma])} & \overset{(\hat{f}[\sigma], \partial \hat{f}[\sigma])}{\longrightarrow} & (X[\sigma], \partial X[\sigma]), \end{array} \]

denoted \((f[\sigma], \partial f[\sigma]) : (M[\sigma], \partial M[\sigma]) \to (X[\sigma], \partial X[\sigma]) \) for short, from an \((n - |\sigma|)\)-dimensional manifold with boundary to an \((n - |\sigma|)\)-dimensional normal pair obtained from a degree one normal map \( \hat{f} \) after making \( f \) transverse to a \( K \)-dissection \( \bigcup_{\sigma \in K} X[\sigma] \) of \( X \).

\[ \Delta \text{-set} \] a simplicial set without degeneracies, i.e. a functor \( \Delta \to \text{Sets} \), where \( \Delta \) is the category of finite sets \( \{0, \ldots, n\}, n \geq 0 \) and order-preserving injections and \( \text{Sets} \) the category of sets and functions.
\( \Delta \text{-map } f : K \to L \) a natural transformation, i.e. a collection \( \{ f_n : K^{(n)} \to L^{(n)} \mid n \geq 0 \} \) such that \( \partial_i \circ f_n = \partial_i \circ f_{n+1} \).

\( \text{Kan} \) is what a \( \Delta \)-set \( X \) is called if every \( \Delta^n \to X \) extends to a map \( \Delta^n \to X \); this property is necessary to do homotopy theory on \( \Delta \)-sets.

For a \( \Delta \)-set \( K \) the pointed \( \Delta \)-set with \( n \)-simplices \( K^{(n)} \cup \{ \emptyset \} \) \( (n \geq 0) \).

\( \Delta^n := \Delta^n - (\Delta^n)^{(n)} \cup \partial \Delta^n \) the subcomplex of \( \Delta^n \) obtained by removing the interior of \( \Delta^n \) and a single face of \( \Delta^n \).

\( E \) an \( \Omega \)-spectrum of pointed Kan \( \Delta \)-sets, like \( L^*(\Lambda), L_*(\Lambda), NL^*(\Lambda) \), is a sequence \( E_n \) of pointed Kan \( \Delta \)-sets together with homotopy equivalences \( E_n \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Omega E_{n+1} \). Note that the indexing is reversed compared to the usual convention.

\( \Omega K := K^{S^1} \) the loop \( \Delta \)-set; can be expressed as the \( \Delta \)-set with \( n \)-simplices \( \{ \sigma \in K^{(n+1)} \mid \partial_0 \partial_1 \ldots \partial_n \sigma = 0 \in K^{(0)}, \partial_{n+1} \sigma = 0 \in K^{(n)} \} \).

\( S^n \) the pointed \( \Delta \)-set with base simplices in all dimensions and only one additional simplex in dimension \( n \).

\( K^L \) the function \( \Delta \)-set with \( n \)-simplices the \( \Delta \)-maps \( K \otimes \Delta^n \to L \); face maps are induced by \( \partial_i : \Delta^n \to \Delta^{n-1} \).

\( K \otimes L \) the geometric product \( \Delta \)-set with one \( p \)-simplex for each equivalence class of triples 

\( (m \text{-simplex } \sigma \in K, n \text{-simplex } \tau \in L, p \text{-simplex } \rho \in \Delta^m \times \Delta^n) \),

subject to the equivalence relation generated by \( (\sigma, \tau, \rho) \sim (\sigma', \tau', \rho') \) if there exist \( \Delta \)-maps \( f : \Delta^m \to \Delta^{m'}, g : \Delta^n \to \Delta^{n'} \) such that \( \sigma = f^* \sigma', \tau = g^* \tau', (f \times g)_* (\rho) = \rho' \).

\( K \times L \) the product for ordered simplicial complexes with 0-simplices \( K^{(0)} \times L^{(0)} \) and vertices \( (a_0, b_0), \ldots, (a_n, b_n) \) span an \( n \)-simplex if and only if \( a_0 \leq \ldots \leq a_n, b_0 \leq \ldots \leq b_n \) and \( (a_r, b_r) \neq (a_{r+1}, b_{r+1}) \).

\( \pi_n(E) := \pi_{n+k}(E_{-k}) \) for \( n, k \in \mathbb{Z}, n + k \geq 0 \).

\( \pi_n(K) = [\partial \Delta^{n+1}, K] \) the pointed homotopy groups; can be expressed as the set of equivalence classes \( \{ \sigma \in K^{(n)} \mid \partial_i \sigma = 0, 0 \leq i \leq n \} \) with \( \sigma \sim \tau \) if there exists \( \rho \in K^{(n+1)} \) with faces \( \partial_i \rho = \sigma, \partial_i \rho = \tau \) and \( \emptyset \) otherwise. The composition is defined by \( \sigma_0 \cdot \sigma_1 = \tau \) if there is a \( \rho \in K^{(n+1)} \) with faces \( \sigma_0, \sigma_1, \tau \) and \( \emptyset \) otherwise.
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1. homotopy of $\Delta$-maps: a homotopy between two $\Delta$-maps $f_0, f_1 : K \to L$ is a $\Delta$-map $h : K \otimes \Delta^1 \to L$ with $h(\sigma \otimes i) = f_i(\sigma) \in L$ ($\sigma \in K$, $i = 0, 1$).

$K \wedge L := K \otimes L / (K \otimes \emptyset_L \cup \emptyset_K \otimes L)$ the smash product of pointed $\Delta$-sets.

$|K| := \left( \bigsqcup_{n \geq 0} \Delta^n \times K^{(n)} / \sim \right)$ the geometric realization of a simplicial complex $K$ with $\sim$ the equivalence relation generated by $(a, \partial_i \sigma) \sim (\delta_i a, \sigma)$ ($a \in \Delta^{n-1}, \sigma \in K^{(n)}$) with $\delta_i : \Delta^{n-1} \to \Delta^n$ ($0 \leq i \leq n$) the inclusion of the $i$-th face.

Spectra

- $E$ an $\Omega$ ring spectrum of Kan $\Delta$-sets, e.g. $NL^\ast$ or $L^\ast$.
- $E_{\otimes}$ the component of $1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ for an $\Omega$ ring spectrum $E$ with $\pi_0(E) = \mathbb{Z}$, e.g. $L^\otimes(0)$ or $NL^\otimes(1/2)$.

$H_n(K, E) := \pi_n(K \wedge E) = \lim_k \pi_{n+k}(K \wedge E_{-k})$ the $E$-homology groups for a locally finite $\Delta$-set $K$.

$H^n(K, E) := \pi_{-n}(E^{K+}) = [K_+, E_{-n}]$ the $E$-cohomology groups for a locally finite $\Delta$-set $K$.

$\Omega^\ast \cdot X$ for $\Lambda = (\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{P})$ denotes $(\mathbb{A} \cdot X, \mathbb{C} \cdot X, \mathbb{P} \cdot X, (T^*, c^*))$, the $X$-mosaicked algebraic bordism category of $\Lambda = (\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{P})$ with local Poincaré duality.

- $\mathbb{A} \cdot X$ additive category of $X$-based objects in $\mathbb{A}$, i.e.

  $\text{Obj}_{\mathbb{A} \cdot X} = \left\{ \sum_{\sigma \in X} M_\sigma \mid M_\sigma \in \mathbb{A}, M_\sigma = 0 \text{ except for finitely many } \sigma \right\}$,

  $\text{Mor}_{\mathbb{A} \cdot X}(\sum_{\sigma \in X} M_\sigma, \sum_{\tau \in X} N_\tau) = \left\{ \sum_{\tau \leq \sigma} f_{\tau, \sigma} \mid (f_{\tau, \sigma} : M_\sigma \to N_\tau) \in \text{Mor}_\mathbb{A}(M_\sigma, N_\tau) \right\}$,

  where $X$ is a simplicial complex.

- $\mathbb{C}^L \cdot X := \left\{ C \text{ chain complex in } \mathbb{A} \cdot X \mid C(\sigma) \in \mathbb{C} \text{ for all } \sigma \in X \right\}$ for a category $\mathbb{C}$ of chain complexes in $\mathbb{A}$.
The face maps
\[ \partial_i : (\Omega^N)^{(k)} \to (\Omega^N)^{(k-1)} \] are given by
\[ \partial_i(X) = (\partial_i X, \partial_i X \cap \partial_0 X, \ldots, \partial_i X \cap \partial_{i-1} X, \partial_i X \cap \partial_{i+1} X, \ldots, \partial_i X \cap \partial_k X). \]
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\[ \Sigma^{-1} \Omega^{\ast, \text{STOP}} \] the \( \Omega \)-spectrum of \( \Delta \)-sets obtained as the fiber of canonical the map of spectra \( \Omega^{\ast, \text{STOP}} \to \Omega^{\ast} \).

\[ T(\nu) \] denotes the Thom spectrum of a spherical fibration \( \nu \).

\[ \text{MSG} \] the Thom spectrum of the universal stable \( \mathbb{Z} \)-oriented spherical fibrations over \( \text{BSG} \) with the \( k \)-th space the Thom space \( \text{MSG}(k) = \text{Th}(\gamma_{\text{SG}}(k)) \) of the universal \( k \)-dimensional spherical fibration \( \gamma_{\text{SG}}(k) \) over \( \text{BSG} \).

\[ \text{MSTOP} \] the Thom spectrum of the universal stable \( \mathbb{Z} \)-oriented topological bundles over the classifying space \( \text{BSTOP} \) with the \( k \)-th space the Thom space \( \text{MSTOP}(k) = \text{Th}(\gamma_{\text{STOP}}(k)) \) of the universal \( k \)-dimensional bundle \( \gamma_{\text{STOP}}(k) \) over \( \text{BSTOP} \).

\[ \text{MS}(G/\text{TOP}) \] the fiber of \( J: \text{MSTOP} \to \text{MSG} \).

**Orientations**

\[ u^{G}(\beta) \in H^{k}(\text{Th}(\beta); \text{MSG}) \] the canonical \( \text{MSG} \)-orientation of \( \beta \) which is a map on the Thom spaces \( \text{Th}(\beta) \to \text{Th}(\gamma_{\text{SG}}) \) induced by the classifying map of a \( k \)-dimensional \( \mathbb{Z} \)-oriented spherical fibration \( \beta: X \to \text{BSG}(k) \).

\[ u^{T}(\alpha) \in H^{k}(\text{Th}(\alpha); \text{MSTOP}) \] the canonical \( \text{MSTOP} \)-orientation of \( \alpha \) which is a map on the Thom spaces \( \text{Th}(\alpha) \to \text{Th}(\gamma_{\text{STOP}}) \) induced by the classifying map of a \( k \)-dimensional \( \mathbb{Z} \)-oriented topological bundle \( \alpha: X \to \text{BSG}(k) \).

\[ u^{G/T}(\nu, h) \in H^{k}(\text{Th}(\nu_{X}); \text{MS}(G/\text{TOP})) \] the preferred lift of \( u^{T}(\nu) \) for a bundle reduction \( \nu \) of the Spivak normal fibration \( \nu_{X} \), determined by the homotopy \( h: \text{Th}(\nu_{X}) \times [0, 1] \to \text{MSG} \) between \( J(\nu) \) and \( J(\nu_{X}) \).

\[ u^{G/T}(\nu, h, (\nu_{0}, h_{0})) \in H^{k}(\text{Th}(\nu_{X}); \text{MS}(G/\text{TOP})) \] the preferred lift of \( u^{T}(\nu) - u^{T}(\nu_{0}) \) for two bundle reductions \( \nu, \nu_{0} \) of the Spivak normal fibration \( \nu_{X} \). The lift is obtained from the homotopy \( h_{0} \cup h: \text{Th}(\nu_{X}) \times [0, 1] \to \text{MSG} \) between \( J(\nu) \) and \( J(\nu_{0}) \). If \( X \) is a manifold with a preferred topological bundle \( \nu_{X} \), define \( u^{G/T}(\nu) = u^{G/T}(\nu, \nu_{X}) \).

\[ u^{E}(\nu) \] an \( E \)-orientation of a \( \mathbb{Z} \)-oriented spherical fibration \( \nu: X \to \text{BSG}(k) \) is an element \( u^{E}(\nu) \in H^{k}(\text{Th}(\nu); E) \) such that \( u^{E}(\nu) \) restricts to a generator of \( H^{k}(\text{Th}(\nu_{x}); E) \) for each fiber \( \nu_{x} \) of \( \nu \).

\[ u^{E}(\nu) \] an \( E \)-orientation of a \( \mathbb{Z} \)-oriented spherical fibration \( \nu: X \to \text{BSG}(k) \) for a ring spectrum \( E \), is a homotopy class of maps \( u^{E}(\nu): T(\nu) \to E \) such that for each \( x \in X \) the restriction \( u^{E}(\nu); T(\nu_{x}) \to E \) to the fiber \( \nu_{x} \) of \( \nu \) over \( x \) represents a generator of \( E^{*}(T(\nu_{x})) \cong E^{*}(S^{k}) \) which under the Hurewicz homomorphism \( E^{*}(T(\nu_{x})) \to \tilde{H}^{*}(T(\nu_{X}); \mathbb{Z}) \) maps to the chosen \( \mathbb{Z} \)-orientation.
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\[ u^L_\bullet(\alpha) \in H^k(\text{Th}(\alpha); L^\bullet) \]
the canonical \( L^\bullet \)-orientation for a \( k \)-dimensional \( \mathbb{Z} \)-oriented topological bundle \( \alpha : X \to \text{BSTOP}(k) \) is given by the composition
\[
\text{Th}(\alpha) \xrightarrow{\hat{c}} \text{MSTOP}(k) \xrightarrow{\Omega^{-1}_- \text{sgn}^{\mathbb{Z}^L}} L^{-k}(0).
\]

\[ \hat{c} \]
the transversality homotopy equivalence \( \hat{c} : \Omega^{-1}_{\text{STOP}} \simeq \text{MSTOP} \).

\[ u^{NL\bullet}(\beta) \in H^k(\text{Th}(\beta); NL^\bullet) \]
the canonical \( NL^\bullet \)-orientation for a \( k \)-dimensional \( \mathbb{Z} \)-oriented spherical fibration \( \beta : X \to \text{BSG}(k) \) is given by the composition
\[
\text{Th}(\beta) \xrightarrow{\hat{c}} \text{MSG}(k) \xrightarrow{\Omega^{-1}_- \text{sgn}^{\mathbb{Z}^L}} NL^{-k}(1/2).
\]

\[ \hat{c} \]
the transversality homotopy equivalence \( \hat{c} : \Omega^{-1}_N \simeq \text{MSG} \).

\[ u^{NL/L\bullet}(\alpha, h) = (u^{NL\bullet}(h), u^L_\bullet(\alpha) - u^L_\bullet(\gamma_X)) \in H^k(\text{Th}(\alpha); NL(1/2)/L(0)^0) \]
the canonical \( NL(1/2)/L(0)^0 \)-orientation given by the composition
\[
\text{Th}(\alpha) \xrightarrow{u^{NL/L\bullet}(\alpha)} \text{MSG}(G/TOP)(k) \xrightarrow{\Sigma^{-1}_- \text{sgn}^{\mathbb{Z}^L}} NL^{-k}(1/2)/L(0).
\]
for a \( k \)-dimensional \( \mathbb{Z} \)-oriented topological bundle \( \alpha : X \to \text{BSTOP}(k) \) together with a homotopy \( h : J(\alpha) \simeq J(\gamma_X) \).

\text{BSTOP} \quad \text{the classifying space of stable} \; \mathbb{Z} \text{-oriented topological bundles.}

\text{BSG} \quad \text{the classifying space of stable} \; \mathbb{Z} \text{-oriented spherical fibrations.}

\text{BSO} \quad \text{the classifying space of stable} \; \mathbb{Z} \text{-oriented vector bundles.}

\text{\( \gamma_{SG} \)} \quad \text{the universal spherical fibration over the classifying space BSG.}

\text{\( \gamma_{STOP} \)} \quad \text{the universal bundle over the classifying space BSTOP.}

\text{BE}_E \quad \text{the classifying space of spherical fibrations with} \; E \text{-orientation; a map} \; X \to \text{BE}_E \; \text{is given by a pair} \; (\nu, u^E) \; \text{with} \; \nu \text{ a spherical fibration and} \; u^E \; \text{an} \; E \text{-orientation.}

\text{Signatures}

\[ \text{con}_{\text{X}}^{\mathbb{C}} : C(X) \to W_\mathbb{C}(C(X)) \]
a chain map called symmetric construction; defined for a topological space \( X \).

\[ \text{con}_{\text{X}}^{\mathbb{C}/A} : C(j) \to C(j^{\mathbb{C}/A}) \]
a chain map called relative symmetric construction; defined for a pair of spaces \( j : A \to X \).
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\[
\begin{align*}
\con_{\Sigma}^{K}: C(X) &\rightarrow W_{\mathbb{Z}}(C(X)) & \text{a chain map over } \mathbb{Z}, X \text{ called the mosaicked symmetric construction; defined for pointed CW complexes } X \text{ together with a map } r: X \rightarrow K \text{ to a simplicial complex } K \text{ (see A17).} \\
\con_{\mathbb{R}}^{K}: C(X) &\rightarrow W_{\mathbb{R}}(C(Y)) & \text{a chain map called quadratic construction; defined for a stable map } F: \Sigma^p X \rightarrow \Sigma^p Y \text{ of pointed topological spaces } X, Y. \\
\con_{\mathbb{R}_F}^{\delta \psi} : C(j) &\rightarrow W_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{C}(i)) & \text{a chain map called relative quadratic construction; defined for a stable map } (\delta F, F): (\Sigma^p X, \Sigma^p A) \rightarrow (\Sigma^p Y, \Sigma^p B) \text{ of pairs of pointed topological spaces } j: A \rightarrow X \text{ and } i: B \rightarrow Y. \\
\con_{\mathbb{R}_F}^{\delta \psi} : C(X) &\rightarrow W_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{C}(i)) & \text{a chain map over } \mathbb{Z}, X \text{ called the mosaicked quadratic construction; defined for a stable map } F: \Sigma^p X \rightarrow \Sigma^p Y \text{ of pointed CW complexes together with a map } r: X \rightarrow K \text{ to a simplicial complex } K \text{ (see A29).} \\
\con_{\mathbb{R}}^{\tilde{C}(X)_{p+}} &\rightarrow W_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{C}(f)) & \text{a chain map called the spectral quadratic construction; defined for a semi-stable map } F: X \rightarrow \Sigma^p Y \text{ where } f: \tilde{C}(X)_{p+} \rightarrow \tilde{C}(\Sigma^p Y)_{p+} \cong \tilde{C}(Y) \text{ is the chain map induced by } F. \\
\con_{\mathbb{R}_F}^{\delta \psi} : \Sigma^{-p} \tilde{C}(X, A) &\rightarrow \mathcal{C}(j, i) & \text{a chain map called relative spectral quadratic construction; defined for a semi-stable map of pairs } (G, F) : \rightarrow (X, A) \rightarrow \Sigma^p (Y, B) \text{ (see A25).} \\
\con_{\mathbb{R}}^{\tilde{K}(\text{Th})} : \tilde{W}_{\mathbb{R}}(C(X)) &\rightarrow \tilde{W}_{\mathbb{R}}(C(X)) & \text{a chain map called chain bundle construction; defined for a } \mathbb{R}-\text{dimensional spherical fibration } \nu. \\
\sgn_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\nu}(X) = (C, \varphi) &\in (C(\tilde{X}), \con_{\mathbb{R}}^{\nu}(X)) \in L^0(\mathbb{Z}, \pi) & \text{an } \mathbb{R}-\text{symmetric chain complex, defined for an } \mathbb{R}-\text{dimensional Poincaré space } X, \text{ called the symmetric signature.} \\
\sgn_{\mathbb{R}}^{\nu}(X) &\rightarrow (X, B) & \text{relative symmetric signature} \\
\sgn_{\mathbb{R}}^{\nu}(X) &\rightarrow (\nu^{\otimes \nu}([X]_f) \in H_n(X; L^0) \cong L^n(\Lambda L^0 X) & \text{the mosaicked symmetric signature for a Poincaré space } X \text{ with a degree one normal map } \tilde{f}: M \rightarrow X. \\
\sgn_{\mathbb{R}}^{\nu}(\tilde{f}) = (C, \psi) &\in (\mathcal{C}(\tilde{X}), \con_{\mathbb{R}}^{\nu}([X])) \in L^0(\mathbb{Z}, \pi) & \text{an } \mathbb{R}-\text{quadratic chain complex, called quadratic signature, where } F: \Sigma^p X_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^p M \text{ is the stable map obtained from the degree one normal map } \tilde{f} \text{ by equivariant } S\text{-duality (see A26).} \\
f' : C(\tilde{X}) &\rightarrow C(\tilde{M}) & \text{the Umkehr map of a degree one normal map } \tilde{f}: M \rightarrow X \text{ of Poincaré spaces } M \text{ and } X. \text{ We obtain a stable equivariant map } F: \Sigma^k \tilde{X}_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^k \tilde{M}_+ \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and define } f' \text{ as the composition } C(\tilde{X}) \rightarrow \Sigma_{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \Sigma^{-k} C(\Sigma^k \tilde{X}_+) \rightarrow \Sigma^{-k} C(\Sigma^k \tilde{M}_+) \rightarrow \Sigma^{-1} C(\tilde{M}).
\end{align*}
\]
\[
\text{sgn}^\bullet_N(\hat{g}) = (C', \psi') \quad \text{the quadratic signature for a degree one normal map } \hat{g}: N \to Y \text{ from a Poincaré space } N \text{ to a normal space } (Y, \nu, \rho).
\]

\[
C' = \mathcal{C}(g') \quad \text{the chain complex produced by the quadratic signature } \text{sgn}_\#(\hat{g}).
\]

\[
g' \quad \text{the chain map given by the composition } C(N)^{n-\bullet} \xrightarrow{\delta} C(N)^{n-\bullet} \xrightarrow{\phi_n} C(N).
\]

\[
\psi' = \text{constr}_{\nu}^l(u^\nu_{\nu}) \in W_{22}(\mathcal{C}(g'))_n \quad \text{the } n\text{-quadratic structure produced by the spectral quadratic construction, part of the quadratic signature } \text{sgn}_\#(\hat{g}).
\]

\[
\text{sgn}^\bullet_{\bullet}(\delta \hat{g}, \hat{g}) = (C': C' \to D', \delta \psi', \psi') \quad \text{the quadratic pair signature for a degree one normal map } (\delta \hat{g}, \hat{g}): (N, A) \to (Y, B) \text{ from a Poincaré pair } (N, A) \text{ to a normal pair } (Y, B) \text{ (see A28)}.
\]

\[
D' := \mathcal{C}(g') \quad \text{the chain complex obtained used for the quadratic pair signature.}
\]

\[
g'_i: C(Y)^{n-\bullet} \to \mathcal{C}(i) \quad \text{the chain map given by the composition } \varphi_i \circ \delta g^* \text{ for a map } i: B \to Y \text{ the inclusion.}
\]

\[
\varphi_f = \text{ev}_i(\delta \varphi, \varphi) \simeq (\delta \varphi_0, f \varphi_0): \mathcal{C}(f)^{n-\bullet} \to D \quad \text{a chain map defined for an } n\text{-symmetric pair } (f: C \to D, \delta \varphi, \varphi).
\]

\[
\text{sgn}^L_X(\hat{f}) \in L_n(\Lambda G X) \quad \text{the mosaicked quadratic signature over } X \text{ constructed in the following way: make } f \text{ transverse to the dual cells } D(\sigma, K), \text{ then each } \sigma\text{-component is defined as the quadratic pair signature } \text{sgn}^L_X(\hat{f}[\sigma], \delta \hat{f}[\sigma]).
\]

\[
\text{sgn}^\bullet_{\bullet N}(\nu, \rho) = (C, (\varphi, \gamma, \chi)) \in \text{NL}(\nu, \rho) \quad \text{for an } n\text{-dimensional normal space } (Y, \nu, \rho) \text{ is an } n\text{-normal chain complex, called normal signature (see A33)}.
\]

\[
\text{sgn}^\bullet_{\bullet N}(\nu, \rho) \quad \text{relative normal signature}
\]

\[
\text{sgn}^\bullet_{\bullet N}(X) := \text{sgn}^\bullet_{\bullet N}(X) = H_n(X; \text{NL}^\bullet(\Lambda X X)) \quad \text{the } X\text{-mosaicked normal signature defined here only for an } n\text{-dimensional Poincaré space } X.
\]

\[
\text{sgn}^\bullet_{\bullet N}(X) \in \text{VL}^n(X) \quad \text{defined for a Poincaré space } X \text{ as the normal signature } \text{sgn}^\bullet_{\bullet N}(X).
\]

\[
\text{sgn}^\bullet_{\bullet N}(Y, X) := (f: C \to D, (\delta \varphi, \delta \gamma, \delta \chi), \varphi) \in \text{L}(\text{J})^n \quad \text{the normal/symmetric pair signature, defined for a pair of } (n, \text{Poincaré})\text{-spaces } (Y, X) \text{ by } (D, (\delta \varphi, \delta \gamma, \delta \chi)) = \text{sgn}^\bullet_{\bullet N}(Y) \text{ and } (C, \varphi) = \text{sgn}^\bullet_{\bullet N}(X).
\]

\[
\text{sgn}^\bullet_{\bullet N}(\hat{f}) := \text{sgn}^\bullet_{\bullet N}(\hat{f}) \in H_n(M'; \text{NL}^\bullet(L)) \quad \text{the mosaicked normal/symmetric signature over } X \text{ defined for a degree one normal map } \hat{f}: M \to M' \text{ between manifolds (see 2222).}
\]
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\[ [K]^E \in H_n(K; E) \] an \( n \)-dimensional \( E \)-cycle of a simplicial complex \( K \subset \partial \Delta^{m+1} \) defined by a collection \( \{ [K]^E(\sigma) \in E_{n-m}(\sigma) \mid \sigma \in K \} \) such that \( \partial_i [K]^E(\sigma) = [K]^E(\delta_i \sigma) \) if \( \delta_i \sigma \in K \) and \( \emptyset \) otherwise.

\[ [X]^E : X^* \to E \] the simplicial map defined by \( E \)-cycle \( [X]^E \) via \( \sigma^* \mapsto [X]^E(\sigma) \).

\[ [X]^N^\emptyset \in H_n(X ; \Sigma^N) \] an \( n \)-dimensional \( \Sigma^N \)-cycle which assigns to each \( \sigma \in X \) an \( (m-n) \)-dimensional normal \((m-|\sigma|+2)\)-ad \((X[\sigma], \nu(\sigma), \rho(\sigma))\) constructed in 132.

\[ [f]_{\Sigma^{-1}\Omega_{\ast}^{N, \text{STOP}}} \in H_n(X ; \Sigma^{-1}\Omega_{\ast}^{N, \text{STOP}}) \] a \( \Omega_N^\ast \)-cobordism class of \( \Omega_{\ast}^{\text{STOP}} \)-cycle for a degree one normal map \( f : M \to M' \) which assigns an \((m-|\sigma|)\)-ad \((W(\sigma), \nu(\sigma), \rho(\sigma))\) to each \( \sigma \in M' \) (see 2221).

\[ [f, f']_{\Sigma^{-1}\Omega_{\ast}^{N, \text{STOP}}} \in H_n(X ; \Sigma^{-1}\Omega_{\ast}^{N, \text{STOP}}) \] a \( \Omega_N^\ast \)-cobordism class of \( \Omega_{\ast}^{\text{STOP}} \)-cycle defined for degree one normal map with target Poincaré spaces (see 222 for more details).

\[ [M]_{\Omega_{\ast}^{\text{STOP}}} \in H_n(M ; \Omega_{\ast}^{\text{STOP}}) \] an \( n \)-dimensional \( \Omega_{\ast}^{\text{STOP}} \)-cycle which assigns to each \( \sigma \in M \) the \((m-n)\)-dimensional manifold \((m-|\sigma|+2)\)-ad \( M[\sigma] = D(\sigma, K)(\sigma, M) \).

\[ [X]_{f}^{\Omega_{\ast}^{\text{STOP}}} \in H_n(X ; \Omega_{\ast}^{\text{STOP}}) \] an \( n \)-dimensional \( \Omega_{\ast}^{\text{STOP}} \)-cycle which assigns to each \( \sigma \in X \subset \partial \Delta^{m+1} \) the \((m-n)\)-dimensional manifold \((m-|\sigma|+2)\)-ad \( M[\sigma] := [X]_{f}^{\Omega_{\ast}^{\text{STOP}}} (\sigma) := f^{-1}(D(\sigma, X)) \in (\Omega_{m-n}^{\ast})(m-|\sigma|) \) which is a normal cobordism of manifolds.

\[ [X]_{f}^{\Omega_{\ast}^{\text{STOP}}} \in H_n(X ; \Omega_{\ast}^{\text{STOP}}) \] an \( n \)-dimensional \( \Omega_{\ast}^{\text{STOP}} \)-cycle which assigns to each \( \sigma \in X \subset \partial \Delta^{m+1} \) the \((m-n)\)-dimensional manifold \((m-|\sigma|+2)\)-ad \( M[\sigma] := [X]_{f}^{\Omega_{\ast}^{\text{STOP}}} (\sigma) := pr^{-1}(D(\sigma, X)) \in (\Omega_{m-n}^{\ast})(m-|\sigma|) \) using a simplicial Pontrjagin-Thom construction for \( pr : \Sigma^m \to \Sigma^m/\Theta(X) \simeq \text{Sing}(\Theta(\nu_X)) \).

\[ [X]^{\Omega_N} \] Let \( X \) be an \( n \)-dimensional finite Poincaré simplicial complex with the associated \( n \)-dimensional normal space \((X, \nu_X, \rho_X)\) and an embedding \( X \subset \partial \Delta^{m+1} \). We use the geometric normal signature of \( X \) over \( X \) \( \Sigma^m \) as an element of \( H_n(X ; \Omega_N^\ast) \), but we construct and think of it as an element of \( H^{m-n}(\Sigma^m, X ; \Omega_N^\ast) \):

\[
[X]^{\Omega_N^\ast} : (\Sigma^m, X) \to \Omega_N^{m-n}, \quad \sigma \mapsto \begin{cases} (X(\sigma), \nu(\sigma), \rho(\sigma)) \in (\Omega_{n-m}^{\ast})^{(m-|\sigma|)} & \sigma \in \Sigma^m \\ \emptyset & \sigma \in X \end{cases}
\]

where

\[
X(\sigma) = |D(\sigma, X)| \\
\nu(\sigma) = \nu_X \circ \text{incl} : X(\sigma) \subset X \to \text{BSG}(m-n-1)
\]

and is given by collapsing \( \partial \Delta^{m+1} \).
The total surgery obstruction

$$s(X) := \partial G^n \text{sgn}_N^U(X) \in S_n(X)$$

the total surgery obstruction

$$S_n(X) := L_{n-1}(\mathbb{Z}_\ast X, \mathbb{G}(1), L(1))$$

the n-dimensional structure group of X. An element in $S_n(X)$ is represented by an 1-connective $(n-1)$-quadratic chain complex in $\mathbb{Z}_\ast X$ which is globally contractible and locally Poincaré.

$$VL^n(X) := NL^n(\mathbb{Z}_\ast X, B(0), \mathbb{G}(1))$$

the n-dimensional visible $L$-group of $X$. An element in $VL^n(X)$ is represented by an $n$-dimensional 0-connective normal chain complex in $\mathbb{Z}_\ast X$ whose underlying symmetric structure is locally 0-connective and globally Poincaré.

$\mathbb{Z}_\ast X$ short for $\mathbb{A}(\mathbb{Z})_\ast X$, the additive category of $X$-based free $\mathbb{Z}$-modules with 'non-decreasing' morphisms $\sum f_{\tau, \sigma}: \sum M_{\sigma} \to \sum N_{\tau}$ where $(f_{\tau, \sigma}: M_{\sigma} \to N_{\tau})$ are $\mathbb{Z}$-module morphisms.

$\mathbb{B}(q) \subset \mathbb{B}$ for $q \geq 0$ is the subcategory of chain complexes of $\mathbb{B}$ which are homotopy equivalent to $q$-connected chain complexes.

$\mathbb{B}$ short for $\mathbb{B}(\mathbb{Z})_L X = \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{Z}_\ast X)$, the $X$-based bounded chain complexes of free $\mathbb{Z}$-modules.

$\mathbb{G}(q) \subset \mathbb{G}$ the subcategory of chain complexes of $\mathbb{G}$ which are homotopy equivalent to $q$-connected chain complexes.
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\[ \mathcal{G} := \{ C \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{Z}) \mid A(C) \simeq * \} \], the \textit{globally contractible} objects of \( \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{Z}) \).

\[ \mathcal{L}(q) \subset \mathcal{L} \] the subcategory of chain complexes of \( \mathcal{L} \) which are homotopy equivalent to \( q \)-connected chain complexes.

\[ \mathcal{L} \] short for \( \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{Z})_L := \{ C \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{Z}) \mid C(\sigma) \simeq * \text{ for all } \sigma \in X \} \), the \textit{locally contractible} chain complexes of \( \mathbb{B} \).

\[ I : \mathbb{S}_n(X) \to H_{n-1}(X; \mathbb{L}_\bullet(1)) \] group homomorphism, see room 12.

\[ A : H_n(X; \mathbb{L}_\bullet(\mathbb{Z})) \to L_n(\mathbb{Z}_\pi) \] the assembly map defined by \( \sum_{\sigma \in X} C(\sigma) \to \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \tilde{X}} C(p(\tilde{\sigma})) \) where \( p : X \to \tilde{X} \) is the universal covering.

\[ \Gamma_Y := S^{-1}(\Delta \circ \rho) : \text{Th}(\nu)^* \to \Sigma^p Y_+ \] the semi-stable map obtained for an \( n \)-dimensional normal space \( (Y, \nu, \rho) \) with an \( N \)-dimensional \( S \)-dual \( \text{Th}(\nu)^* \) of its Thom space and \( p = N - (n + k) \).
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<td>$\Sigma$</td>
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<td>$\Sigma$</td>
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<td>$\Sigma_X$</td>
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<td>$\mathcal{S}$</td>
<td>$\mathcal{S}$</td>
<td>$\mathcal{S}$</td>
<td>geometric suspension $\Sigma X := X \wedge S^1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S$</td>
<td>$S$</td>
<td>$S$</td>
<td>$\mathfrak{S}$</td>
<td>suspension map $W^%C \to \Sigma^{-1} W^%(\Sigma C)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{E}(f)$</td>
<td>$\mathcal{E}(f)$</td>
<td>$\mathcal{E}(f)$</td>
<td>Cone$(f)$</td>
<td>algebraic mapping cone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{B}(A)$</td>
<td>$\mathcal{B}(A)$</td>
<td>$\mathcal{B}(A)$</td>
<td>$\mathcal{E}_A$</td>
<td>category of f.g. projective bounded left $A$-modules</td>
</tr>
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### Additional Entries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\text{con}_{\mathcal{L}}$</td>
<td>symmetric construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{con}_{\mathcal{L}}^\psi$</td>
<td>quadratic construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\varphi_X$</td>
<td>chain bundle (hyperquadratic resp.) construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\psi$</td>
<td>quadratic signature in $L^n(R)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\phi$</td>
<td>quadratic signature in $L^n(R)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathfrak{L}^*$</td>
<td>symmetric signature in $L^n(R)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathfrak{L}^*$</td>
<td>hyperquadratic signature in $L^n(R)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathfrak{L}$</td>
<td>normal signature $NL^n(R)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{L}$</td>
<td>$L$-spectra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{L}$, $\mathcal{L}$, $\mathcal{L}$</td>
<td>algebraic bordism category</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{Z}^n_X$</td>
<td>additive category of $\mathbb{Z}$-modules over $X$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{B}$</td>
<td>category of bounded chain complexes in $Z_X$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{L}$</td>
<td>locally contractible chain complexes in $Z_X$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{G}$</td>
<td>globally contractible chain complexes in $Z_X$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Lambda_L X$</td>
<td>algebraic bordism category with local Poincaré duality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Lambda_G X$</td>
<td>algebraic bordism category with global Poincaré duality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma$</td>
<td>simplex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma^*$</td>
<td>dual simplex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\hat{\sigma}$</td>
<td>barycenter of a simplex</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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#### Symbols

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( [\cdot] )</td>
<td>cycle</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \cdot )</td>
<td>S-dual</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \partial )</td>
<td>effect of algebraic surgery</td>
<td>178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \tilde{X} )</td>
<td>universal covering</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \backslash )</td>
<td>slant chain map</td>
<td>174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( f^! )</td>
<td>Umkehr map of ( f )</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( [\cdot]E )</td>
<td>E-cycle</td>
<td>192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( [\cdot]E^{\text{top}} )</td>
<td>manifold E-cycle</td>
<td>192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( [\cdot]_{\Omega}^{\text{top}} )</td>
<td>normal cycle</td>
<td>192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( [\cdot]^{(\text{normal,manifold})} )</td>
<td>set of ( k )-simplices</td>
<td>183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \mid )</td>
<td>geometric realization</td>
<td>186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \ast )</td>
<td>join</td>
<td>184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \div )</td>
<td>supplement</td>
<td>184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \emptyset )</td>
<td>base simplex</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( + )</td>
<td>pointed space</td>
<td>185</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
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<td>( \alpha \backslash - )</td>
<td>geometric slant product</td>
<td>167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( A )</td>
<td>additive category</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( A(Z) )</td>
<td>additive category</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( A(R) )</td>
<td>additive category</td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( A, X )</td>
<td>assembly map</td>
<td>194</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
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<th>Symbol</th>
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<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( B(A) )</td>
<td>category of bounded chain complexes in ( A )</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( B(Z) )</td>
<td>additive category</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( B(R) )</td>
<td>category of bounded chain complexes in ( R )</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( B )</td>
<td>chain complex category</td>
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</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<tbody>
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<td>( \mathcal{C} )</td>
<td>geometric mapping cone</td>
<td>168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \mathcal{G}(f) )</td>
<td>algebraic mapping cone</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( C(X) )</td>
<td>singular chain complex</td>
<td>173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \mathcal{C} )</td>
<td>reduced chain complex</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( C^{\ast \ast} )</td>
<td>dual chain complex</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( (C, \varphi) )</td>
<td>symmetric chain complex</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( (C \to D, \delta \varphi, \varphi) )</td>
<td>normal chain complex</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( C^\dagger )</td>
<td>chain complex</td>
<td>191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( C(\sigma) )</td>
<td>column of mosaicked chain complex</td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( C_L^X )</td>
<td>category of chain complexes</td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( C_G^X )</td>
<td>category of chain complexes</td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{con}^\varphi )</td>
<td>symmetric construction</td>
<td>189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{con}^\psi )</td>
<td>quadratic construction</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{con}^\psi^\dagger )</td>
<td>spectral quadratic construction</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{con}^\gamma )</td>
<td>chain bundle construction</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{con}^\phi, \phi_{X,A} )</td>
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<td>189</td>
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<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------</td>
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<tr>
<td>$\con^k_{\psi,\phi}$</td>
<td>relative quadratic construction</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\con_{\gamma,\gamma}$</td>
<td>relative chain bundle construction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\con^{(\delta\phi',\phi')}_{G,F}$</td>
<td>relative spectral quadratic construction</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\con^x_{\chi}$</td>
<td>mosaicked symmetric construction</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\con^k_F$</td>
<td>mosaicked quadratic construction</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta$</td>
<td>barycenter</td>
<td>184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_d$</td>
<td>chain complex $k$-ad</td>
<td>183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tilde{\Delta}$</td>
<td>dual standard simplex</td>
<td>183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\partial C$</td>
<td>boundary chain complex</td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\partial \varphi$</td>
<td>symmetric boundary structure</td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\partial \psi$</td>
<td>quadratic boundary structure</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(\partial C, \partial \varphi)$</td>
<td>symmetric boundary structure</td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(\partial C, \partial \psi)$</td>
<td>quadratic boundary structure</td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\partial S$</td>
<td>symmetric boundary construction</td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\partial^Q$</td>
<td>quadratic boundary construction</td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\partial^N$</td>
<td>normal boundary construction</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\partial S_{\gamma}$</td>
<td>relative symmetric boundary construction</td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\partial^Q_{\gamma}$</td>
<td>relative quadratic boundary construction</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\partial^Q_{\Delta \pi}$</td>
<td>boundary map induced by boundary construction</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\partial^Q_{\gamma}$</td>
<td>boundary map</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\partial^Q_{G}$</td>
<td>boundary map</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\partial g_{\Delta \pi}$</td>
<td>quadratic boundary signature</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\partial g_{\Delta \pi}$</td>
<td>relative quadratic boundary signature</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_{\varphi}$</td>
<td>Omega ring spectrum</td>
<td>186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_{\varphi}$</td>
<td>connected component</td>
<td>186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$e$</td>
<td>chain complex inclusion</td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f$</td>
<td>degree one normal map</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f^%$</td>
<td>chain map induced by $f$</td>
<td>173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$g$</td>
<td>degree one normal map</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma$</td>
<td>chain bundle</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma$</td>
<td>chain map</td>
<td>174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Gamma Y$</td>
<td>map of spaces</td>
<td>194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G$</td>
<td>chain complex category</td>
<td>194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G(q)$</td>
<td>chain complex category</td>
<td>193</td>
</tr>
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<td>------</td>
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<tr>
<td>$\tilde{\Gamma}$</td>
<td>map</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma_{SG}$</td>
<td>universal spherical fibration</td>
<td>189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma_{STOP}$</td>
<td>universal bundle</td>
<td>189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H$</td>
<td>hyperbolic quadratic form</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H_\epsilon(P)$</td>
<td>hyperbolic quadratic form</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hom$(C, D)$</td>
<td>Hom chain complex</td>
<td>173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H_n(K, E)$</td>
<td>homology group</td>
<td>186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H^\alpha_n(K, E)$</td>
<td>cohomology group</td>
<td>186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I$</td>
<td>map of $L$-groups</td>
<td>194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$J$</td>
<td>map of $L$-groups</td>
<td>177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$j$</td>
<td>chain map</td>
<td>177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K$</td>
<td>simplicial complex</td>
<td>184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K'$</td>
<td>barycentric subdivision</td>
<td>184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda$</td>
<td>chain complex structure</td>
<td>181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L^\omega_n(R)$</td>
<td>Wall’s surgery $L$-groups</td>
<td>171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L^n(R)$</td>
<td>symmetric $L$-group</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_n(R)$</td>
<td>quadratic $L$-group</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$NL^n(R)$</td>
<td>normal $L$-group</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L^\alpha_n(\Lambda), L_n(\Lambda), NL^\alpha_n(\Lambda)$</td>
<td>$L$-groups of algebraic bordism categories</td>
<td>181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L^\alpha_n(F), L_n(F), NL^\alpha_n(F)$</td>
<td>relative $L$-groups</td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Lambda = (A, C; \mathbb{P}, (T, e))$</td>
<td>algebraic bordism category</td>
<td>181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Lambda(R)$</td>
<td>algebraic bordism category of $R$-modules</td>
<td>187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Lambda(\mathbb{Z})$</td>
<td>algebraic bordism category of $\mathbb{Z}$-modules</td>
<td>187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Lambda(q)$</td>
<td>$q$-connected algebraic bordism category</td>
<td>187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Lambda_G X$</td>
<td>algebraic bordism category with global duality</td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Lambda_L X$</td>
<td>mosaicked algebraic bordism category with local duality</td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Lambda_N X$</td>
<td>mosaicked algebraic bordism category with no duality</td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Lambda^L X$</td>
<td>comosaicked algebraic bordism category</td>
<td>186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Lambda^n$</td>
<td>simplicial horn</td>
<td>185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L^\ast$, $L^\ast_n(\Lambda), NL^\ast_n(\Lambda)$</td>
<td>$L$-spectra</td>
<td>186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{M}(f)$</td>
<td>geometric mapping cylinder</td>
<td>168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{M}(f)$</td>
<td>algebraic mapping cylinder</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_{\Delta^k}$</td>
<td>manifold $k$-ad</td>
<td>184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSG</td>
<td>Thom spectrum</td>
<td>188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSTOP</td>
<td>Thom spectrum</td>
<td>188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS(G/TOP)</td>
<td>Thom spectrum</td>
<td>188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N$</td>
<td>chain complex category</td>
<td>194</td>
</tr>
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<td>Description</td>
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<tr>
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<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{N}(X)$</td>
<td>normal invariants</td>
<td>171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\nu_X$</td>
<td>Spivak normal fibration</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathbb{N}$</td>
<td>natural numbers</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{N}^n(R)$</td>
<td>normal $L$-group</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{N}(\Lambda)$</td>
<td>normal $L$-group</td>
<td>187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{N}L^*$</td>
<td>normal/symmetric $L$-spectrum</td>
<td>187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{N}^*(1/2)$</td>
<td>1/2-connective spectrum</td>
<td>187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{P}_X$</td>
<td>bundle</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Omega_{STOP}^*$</td>
<td>geometric spectrum</td>
<td>187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Omega_{STOP}^N$</td>
<td>geometric spectrum</td>
<td>187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Sigma^{-1}\Omega_{STOP}^*$</td>
<td>geometric spectrum</td>
<td>188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{P}$</td>
<td>symmetric structure</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\psi$</td>
<td>quadratic structure</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(\varphi, \gamma, \chi)$</td>
<td>normal structure</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\psi^1$</td>
<td>quadratic structure</td>
<td>191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Phi$</td>
<td>simplicial isomorphism</td>
<td>184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Q^n, Q_n, \hat{Q}^n$</td>
<td>$Q$-groups</td>
<td>173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p$</td>
<td>chain complex projection</td>
<td>174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathbb{R}$</td>
<td>real numbers</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R$</td>
<td>ring with involution</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S$</td>
<td>$S$-duality isomorphism</td>
<td>168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{F}(X)$</td>
<td>structure set</td>
<td>171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Sigma_X$</td>
<td>suspension chain equivalence</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Sigma_X^{-1}$</td>
<td>desuspension chain equivalence</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sing</td>
<td>singular simplicial complex</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Sigma C$</td>
<td>suspended chain complex</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Sigma^{-1}C$</td>
<td>desuspended chain complex</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{G}$</td>
<td>symmetric signature</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{G}_X$</td>
<td>quadratic signature</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{G}_X^L$</td>
<td>normal signature</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{G}_X^{NL}$</td>
<td>symmetric pair signature</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{G}_X^{NL/L}$</td>
<td>quadratic pair signature</td>
<td>191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{G}_X^{NL/L^*}$</td>
<td>normal/symmetric pair signature</td>
<td>191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{G}_X^{VL}$</td>
<td>mosaicked symmetric signature over $X$</td>
<td>191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{G}_X^{NL}$</td>
<td>mosaicked normal signature over $X$</td>
<td>191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{G}_X^{NL/L}$</td>
<td>mosaicked quadratic signature over $X$</td>
<td>191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{G}_X^{NL/L^*}$</td>
<td>mosaicked normal/symmetric pair signature</td>
<td>191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{G}_X^{VL}$</td>
<td>mosaicked visible signature over $X$</td>
<td>191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{G}_X^{NL}$</td>
<td>mosaicked normal/symmetric signature map</td>
<td>193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{G}_X^{NL/L}$</td>
<td>mosaicked normal/symmetric signature map</td>
<td>193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{G}_X^{NL/L^*}$</td>
<td>mosaicked normal/symmetric signature map</td>
<td>193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{G}_X^{VL}$</td>
<td>mosaicked normal/symmetric signature map</td>
<td>193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{G}_X^{NL}$</td>
<td>mosaicked normal/symmetric signature map</td>
<td>193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{G}_X^{NL/L}$</td>
<td>mosaicked normal/symmetric signature map</td>
<td>193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{G}_X^{NL/L^*}$</td>
<td>mosaicked normal/symmetric signature map</td>
<td>193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{G}_X^{VL}$</td>
<td>mosaicked normal/symmetric signature map</td>
<td>193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{G}_X^{NL}$</td>
<td>mosaicked normal/symmetric signature map</td>
<td>193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{G}_X^{NL/L}$</td>
<td>mosaicked normal/symmetric signature map</td>
<td>193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{G}_X^{NL/L^*}$</td>
<td>mosaicked normal/symmetric signature map</td>
<td>193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{G}_X^{VL}$</td>
<td>mosaicked normal/symmetric signature map</td>
<td>193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{G}_X^{NL}$</td>
<td>mosaicked normal/symmetric signature map</td>
<td>193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{G}_X^{NL/L}$</td>
<td>mosaicked normal/symmetric signature map</td>
<td>193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{G}_X^{NL/L^*}$</td>
<td>mosaicked normal/symmetric signature map</td>
<td>193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{G}_X^{VL}$</td>
<td>mosaicked normal/symmetric signature map</td>
<td>193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{G}_X^{NL}$</td>
<td>mosaicked normal/symmetric signature map</td>
<td>193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{G}_X^{NL/L}$</td>
<td>mosaicked normal/symmetric signature map</td>
<td>193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{G}_X^{NL/L^*}$</td>
<td>mosaicked normal/symmetric signature map</td>
<td>193</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Table of notations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Notation</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( s(X) )</td>
<td>total surgery obstruction</td>
<td>193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( T )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{Th}(\xi) )</td>
<td>Thom space</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \theta(f) )</td>
<td>Wall's surgery obstruction</td>
<td>171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( (T, e) )</td>
<td>chain duality</td>
<td>173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( T^* )</td>
<td>chain duality</td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( t(X) )</td>
<td>chain duality</td>
<td>183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( X )</td>
<td>Poincaré space</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( (X, \partial X) )</td>
<td>Poincaré pair</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( x )</td>
<td>chain</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( X[\sigma] )</td>
<td>dissected space</td>
<td>184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Y )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( (Y, \nu, \rho) )</td>
<td>normal space</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( ((Y, B), \nu, (\rho_Y, \rho_B)) )</td>
<td>normal pair</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Z )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \mathbb{Z} )</td>
<td>integers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \mathbb{Z}, X )</td>
<td>additive category</td>
<td>193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \mathbb{Z}^* X )</td>
<td>additive category</td>
<td>183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( WL^n(X) )</td>
<td>visible ( L )-group</td>
<td>193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( W )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( W )</td>
<td>free resolution</td>
<td>173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \hat{W} )</td>
<td>complete resolution</td>
<td>173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( W^%, W^%, \hat{W}^% )</td>
<td>functors of chain complexes</td>
<td>173</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Zusammenfassung

Diese Arbeit beschäftigt sich mit dem totalen Chirurgiehindernis und der dafür notwendigen algebraischen Variante der Chirurgietheorie für topologische Mannigfaltigkeiten.


Die algebraische Chirurgie, die von Andrew Ranicki entwickelt wurde, definiert ein algebraisches Äquivalent der geometrischen Chirurgieoperationen, so dass man von Anfang an direkt zur Algebra übergehen kann. Es ermöglicht den gesamten Chirurgieprozess einschließlich aller zusätzlichen Voraussetzungen, die in der geometrischen Variante nötig sind, in einem einzelnen algebraischen Hindernis zusammenzufassen, dem totalen Chirurgiehindernis. Das totale Chirurgiehindernis ist für einen endlichen \( n \)-dimensionalen Poincaré CW-Komplex \( X \) definiert und zwar als ein Element \( s(X) \) in einer abelschen Gruppe \( S_n(X) \) mit der Eigenschaft, dass es für \( n \geq 5 \) nur dann verschwindet, wenn \( X \) homotopieäquivalent zu einer geschlossenen \( n \)-dimensionalen topologischen Mannigfaltigkeit ist. Dieses Resultat geht im Wesentlichen auf Andrew Ranicki zurück sowie auf Beiträge von Michael Weiss und erstreckt sich über mehrere Artikel und zwei Bücher aus den 80er und 90er Jahren.

Die vorliegende Arbeit leistet folgende Beiträge zu diesem Theorem und der zugrundeliegenden Theorie:

1. Sie trägt die unterschiedlichen Quellen zu einem in sich geschlossenen Werk zusammen, in dem das totale Chirurgiehindernis konstruiert und seine Eigenschaften bewiesen werden. An einigen Stellen in der Literatur sind Beweise von einigen Behauptungen nur ansatzweise oder überhaupt nicht ausgeführt. Wir liefern hier mehr Details mit dem Ziel bestehende Zweifel an der Theorie auszuräumen. Dazu gehören die folgenden Punkte:
   - Wir zeigen, dass für eine normale Grad-eins-Abbildung \( \hat{f}: M \to X \) die quadratische Signatur \( \text{sgn}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\hat{f}) \) und der quadratische Rand des (normalen, Poincaré) Paares des Abbildungzyllinders von \( f \) den gleichen quadratischen Kettenkomplex erzeugen. Das wird in [Ran81, p.622] behauptet, aber dort wird mit Proposition 7.4.1 lediglich eine Grundidee des Beweises gegeben.
   - Wir konstruieren die normale Signature \( \text{sgn}_{\mathbb{N}}^X \) für einen Poincaré-Komplex \( X \), deren Existenz in [Ran92, Example 9.12] behauptet wurde (siehe auch [Ran11, Errata for p.103]).
Die Aussage von 16 findet sich auch in [Ran92, Prop. 16.1], wo der Beweis zwar skizziert, aber für weitere Details auf [Ran79] verwiesen wird.

- Wir haben versucht die expliziten Matrizen der Originalliteratur zu vermeiden und soweit wie möglich einen koordinatenfreien Zugang zu diversen algebraischen Konstruktionen zu entwickeln. Der Ansatz, der dabei für die algebraische Chirurgie und die Randkonstruktionen in B verwendet wurde, basiert auf Ideen von Jacob Lurie [Lur11].


a) ein, insbesondere in diesem Fall, hochgradig verzweigter Inhalt, durch ein lineares Medium vermittelt werden muss und dass

b) je nach Leser und Leseabsicht sehr unterschiedliche Anforderungen an die nötige und erwünschte Detailtiefe gestellt werden.


Die Arbeit ist wie folgt aufgebaut: Die Lobby, enthält eine allgemeine Einführung in die Thematik; in der Rezeption wird das Theorem über das totale Chirurgiehindernis präsentiert und genauer ausgeführt wie der Beweis bzw. das Hotel aufgebaut ist. Das Hotel hat vier Stockwerke und einen Keller. Das erste Stockwerk beweist das Theorem und verwendet dafür Aussagen, die in den darüber liegenden Stockwerken bewiesen werden. Im Keller sind die grundlegenden Aussagen und Konzepte ausgeführt, die überall im Hotel verwendet werden.
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