

# AXIOMS FOR THE GENERALIZED PONTRYAGIN COHOMOLOGY OPERATIONS

*By W. BROWDER (Cornell) and E. THOMAS (Berkeley)*

[Received 4 August 1961]

## 1. The axioms

For any space  $X$  and any abelian group  $G$  denote by  $H^*(X; G)$  the singular cohomology groups of  $X$  with coefficients in the group  $G$ . Let  $\mathbb{Z}$  denote the group of the integers and  $\mathbb{Z}_q$  ( $q \geq 2$ ) the integers mod  $q$ . Let  $p$  be an odd prime number and  $r$  a fixed positive integer. Denote by  $\phi$  and  $\eta$  the canonical homomorphisms

$$\mathbb{Z}_{p^r} \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathbb{Z}_{p^{r+1}}, \quad \mathbb{Z}_{p^{r+1}} \xrightarrow{\eta} \mathbb{Z}_{p^r},$$

given respectively by the inclusion and the factor map.

Let  $n$  be a fixed, positive integer and consider cohomology operations  $C$  that satisfy the following axioms:<sup>†</sup>

$$C: H^{2n}(X; \mathbb{Z}_{p^r}) \rightarrow H^{2pn}(X; \mathbb{Z}_{p^{r+1}}); \quad (1.1)$$

$$\eta C(u) = u^p \quad (\text{$p$-fold cup-product}); \quad (1.2)$$

$$C\eta(v) = v^p; \quad (1.3)$$

$$\sigma C = 0, \text{ where } \sigma \text{ denotes the suspension of cohomology operations}; \quad (1.4)$$

$$C(u_1 + u_2) = C(u_1) + C(u_2) + \phi \left[ \sum_{0 < i < p} \frac{1}{p} \binom{p}{i} u_1^i \cup u_2^{p-i} \right], \quad (1.5)$$

where  $\binom{p}{i}$  denotes the binomial coefficient  $p!/i!(p-i)!$ .

Here  $X$  is any space;  $u, u_1, u_2 \in H^{2n}(X; \mathbb{Z}_{p^r})$ ; and  $v \in H^{2n}(X; \mathbb{Z}_{p^{r+1}})$ . We prove the theorem:

**THEOREM 1.** *There exists a cohomology operation  $C$  satisfying axioms (1.1)–(1.5). Furthermore, the operation  $C$  is unique.*

The existence of such an operation is given by the operation  $\mathfrak{P}_p$ , defined in (5). Axiom (1.4) follows from Theorem I in (6). We prove the uniqueness of the operation  $\mathfrak{P}_p$  in § 3.

<sup>†</sup> If  $\alpha$  is any coefficient group homomorphism, we denote by the same symbol the induced cohomology operation.

## 2. Cohomology of Eilenberg-MacLane spaces

Let  $p$  be an odd prime number and  $m, r$  positive integers. We state some facts about the cohomology ring  $H^*(Z_{p^r}, m; Z_p)$ . The proofs are given in the work of H. Cartan (2).

Let  $u \in H^m(Z_{p^r}, m; Z_p)$  denote the  $(\text{mod } p)$ -reduction of the fundamental class  $\bar{u} \in H^m(Z_{p^r}, m; Z_{p^r})$ . Set

$$v = \beta_r(\bar{u}) \in H^{m+1}(Z_{p^r}, m; Z_p),$$

where  $\beta_r$  is the Bockstein coboundary associated with the exact coefficient sequence  $0 \rightarrow Z_p \rightarrow Z_{p^{r+1}} \rightarrow Z_{p^r} \rightarrow 0$ .

Then  $H^*(Z_{p^r}, m; Z_p)$  is a tensor product of polynomial algebras and exterior algebras whose generators are obtained from  $u$  and  $v$  by applying certain canonical compositions of the Steenrod operations  $\mathcal{P}^i$  ( $i \geq 1$ ) together with the Bockstein coboundary  $\beta = \beta_1$  (going from coefficients  $Z_p$  to  $Z_{p^r}$ ): that is, each generator may be written

$$C_q \circ C_{q-1} \circ \dots \circ C_2 \circ C_1(w) \quad (w = u \text{ or } v; q \geq 1),$$

where each operation  $C_i$  is either a certain Steenrod operation  $\mathcal{P}^{j_i}$  or is  $\beta$ . We divide the generators into three types:

*Type (1):* the terminal operation  $C_q$  is a Steenrod operation  $\mathcal{P}^{j_q}$ .

*Type (2):* the terminal operation  $C_q$  is the Bockstein coboundary  $\beta$ .

*Type (3):*  $u$  and  $v$ .

If we apply  $\beta$  to a generator of Type (1), we obtain a generator of Type (2). Denote by  $V_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) the linear subspace of  $H^*(Z_{p^r}, m; Z_p)$  spanned by the generators of Type (i). Then,

$$\beta: V_1 \approx V_2. \quad (2.1)$$

Define  $P$  to be the ideal generated by the decomposable elements. Then

$$H^*(Z_{p^r}, m; Z_p) = P \oplus V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus V_3, \quad (2.2)$$

as a  $(\text{mod } p)$ -vector space. Suppose now that  $m > 1$ . Again denote by  $\sigma$  the suspension of cohomology operations, thought of here as a homomorphism (of degree  $-1$ ) from  $H^*(Z_{p^r}, m)$  to  $H^*(Z_{p^r}, m-1)$ . In the splitting (2.2) we have

$$\sigma(P) = 0; \quad \sigma|_{V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus V_3} \text{ is a monomorphism.} \quad (2.3)$$

Suppose now that  $m = 2n$ . We shall need the following lemma about the  $(\text{mod } p^2)$  cohomology of  $K(Z_{p^r}, 2n)$ :

[2.4] LEMMA.  $H^{2pn}(Z_p, 2n; Z_p) \approx Z_p \oplus M$  (group direct sum), where  $pM = 0$ . Furthermore, a generator  $w$  can be chosen for the summand  $Z_p$ , such that  $\zeta(w) = u^p$ , where  $\zeta$  is induced by the factor homomorphism  $Z_p \rightarrow Z_p$ .

This also is contained in (2). Another proof can be given by combining Theorem 5.5 and Proposition 1.2 in (1).

### 3. Proof of uniqueness

Suppose that  $C$  and  $C'$  are two cohomology operations satisfying axioms (1.1)–(1.5). Set  $D = C - C'$ . The proof of Theorem 1 is completed when we show that  $D \equiv 0$ . The operation  $D$  has the following properties:

$$D: H^{2n}(X; Z_{p'}) \rightarrow H^{2pn}(X; Z_{p^{r+1}}); \quad (3.1)$$

$$\eta \circ D = 0; \quad (3.2)$$

$$D \circ \eta = 0; \quad (3.3)$$

$$\sigma D = 0; \quad (3.4)$$

$$D(u_1 + u_2) = D(u_1) + D(u_2). \quad (3.5)$$

To prove Theorem 1 it suffices to show that  $D(\bar{u}) = 0$ , where  $\bar{u}$  is the fundamental class of  $K(Z_p, 2n)$ . Consider the following exact sequence of coefficient groups.

$$0 \rightarrow Z_p \xrightarrow{\theta} Z_{p^{r+1}} \xrightarrow{\eta} Z_{p'} \rightarrow 0, \quad (3.6)$$

where  $\theta$  is the inclusion. By (3.2) and the exactness of (3.6), there is a cohomology class  $x \in H^{2pn}(Z_p, 2n; Z_p)$  such that

$$D(\bar{u}) = \theta(x). \quad (3.7)$$

Using the splitting given in (2.2) we may set†

$$x = y + v_1 + v_2, \quad (3.8)$$

where  $y \in P$  and  $v_i \in V_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ). By (2.1),  $V_2 = \beta V_1$  and therefore  $\theta V_2 = \theta \beta V_1 = 0$ . Thus, in view of (3.7), we may assume without loss of generality that  $v_2 = 0$  in (3.8). Now by (2.3),  $\sigma(y) = 0$ . Since  $\theta\sigma = \sigma\theta$ , we obtain from (3.4) that

$$\theta\sigma(v_1) = \theta\sigma(x) = \sigma\theta(x) = \sigma D(\bar{u}) = 0.$$

Therefore, from (3.6),  $\sigma v_1 = \beta_r(z_1)$

for some element  $z_1 \in H^{2pn-2}(Z_p, 2n-1; Z_p)$ . Since  $\beta \circ \beta_r = 0$  and since  $\sigma\beta = \beta\sigma$ , we obtain that

$$\sigma\beta v_1 = 0.$$

† Since  $2pn > 2n+1$ , the component of  $x$  in  $V_1$  is zero.

Therefore, by (2.3) and (2.1),  $v_1 = 0$ : that is,

$$D(\bar{u}) = \theta(y) \quad (y \in P).$$

Set  $K = K(Z_p, 2n)$  and recall that  $K$  may be taken to be a group. Denote by  $\mu$  and  $\pi_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) the maps from  $K \times K$  to  $K$  given respectively by the multiplication and the projection on the  $i$ th factor. Set

$$\psi = \mu^* - \pi_1^* - \pi_2^*: H^*(K; G) \rightarrow H^*(K \times K; G),$$

where  $G$  is any coefficient group and  $\mu^*$ ,  $\pi_i^*$  denote the cohomology homomorphisms induced by the maps  $\mu$  and  $\pi_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ). By definition an element  $w \in H^*(K; G)$  is primitive if  $\psi(w) = 0$ . Therefore, if  $E$  denotes a cohomology operation defined on  $\bar{u}$ , then by Steenrod [(4) 6.7],  $E$  is additive if and only if  $\psi E(\bar{u}) = 0$ . Now  $\psi$  commutes with operations induced by coefficient group homomorphisms, and therefore by (3.5),

$$\theta\psi(y) = \psi\theta(y) = \psi D(\bar{u}) = 0.$$

Consequently, by the exactness of (3.6),

$$\psi(y) = \beta_r(z)$$

for some element  $z \in H^{2pn-1}(K \times K; Z_p)$ . Again, since  $\beta \circ \beta_r = 0$  and since  $\psi\beta = \beta\psi$ , we obtain that

$$\psi(\beta y) = 0.$$

Thus,  $\beta y$  is primitive. But  $\beta y \in P$  since  $y \in P$  and  $\beta$  is a derivation. Hence, by Proposition 4.23 of (3),  $\beta y = 0$  since  $\dim \beta y$  is odd.

Now consider the exact coefficient sequence

$$0 \rightarrow Z_p \xrightarrow{\alpha} Z_{p^n} \xrightarrow{\zeta} Z_p \rightarrow 0, \quad (3.9)$$

where  $\alpha$  is the inclusion and  $\zeta$  is the factor map. Since  $\beta$  is the Bockstein coboundary associated with (3.9) and since  $\beta y = 0$ , there is a class  $Y \in H^{2pn}(K; Z_{p^n})$  such that  $y = \zeta(Y)$ . By (2.4) we may write

$$Y = aw + z \quad (a \in Z_p),$$

where  $\zeta(w) = w^p$  and  $pz = 0$ . One can easily show that there are classes  $s \in H^{2pn}(K; Z_p)$  and  $t \in H^{2pn-1}(K; Z_p)$  such that

$$z = \alpha(s) + \delta(t),$$

where  $\delta$  is the Bockstein coboundary from coefficients  $Z_p$  to  $Z_{p^n}$ . Therefore

$$y = \zeta(Y) = \zeta(aw + \alpha(s) + \delta(t)) = aw^p + \beta(t)$$

since  $\zeta\alpha = 0$  and  $\zeta\delta = \beta$ . Now  $\theta\beta = 0$  and hence, if we set

$$\hat{y} = y - \beta(t) = au^p, \quad (3.10)$$

we continue to have  $\theta(\hat{y}) = \theta(y) = D(\tilde{u})$ .

Thus the proof of Theorem 1 is completed when we show that  $a = 0$ .

To do this we use property (3.3). Let  $\iota$  denote the fundamental class of  $H^{2n}(Z, 2n; Z)$ , and set  $\iota_s = j_s(\iota)$ , where  $j_s: Z \rightarrow Z_{p^s}$  ( $s \geq 1$ ). Then, by (3.3),

$$D(\iota_r) = D\eta(\iota_{r+1}) = 0.$$

Let  $\rho$  denote the homomorphism  $Z_{p^{r+1}} \rightarrow Z_p$ . Then, by (3.10),

$$\hat{y}(\iota_r) = a\iota_1^p = a\rho(\iota_{r+1})^p,$$

since  $\rho$  is a multiplicative homomorphism. Now  $\theta\rho(x) = p^r(x)$  for any class  $x \in H^*(Z, 2n; Z_{p^{r+1}})$ , and consequently

$$0 = D(\iota_r) = \theta\hat{y}(\iota_r) = \theta(a\rho\iota_{r+1}^p) = ap^r\iota_{r+1}^p.$$

But  $\iota_{r+1}^p$  has order  $p^{r+1}$ , as will be shown in a moment. Thus  $a = 0$ , which completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Denote by  $X$  the infinite-dimensional complex projective space. Then,  $H^*(X; Z)$  is a polynomial ring on a 2-dimensional generator  $x$ . Let  $f$  be a map from  $X$  to  $K(Z, 2n)$  such that  $f^*\iota = x^n$ , where  $f^*$  is the homomorphism induced by  $f$ . By naturality,

$$f^*\iota_{r+1}^p = j_{r+1}f^*(\iota^p) = j_{r+1}(x^{pn}).$$

Since  $j_{r+1}(x^{pn})$  has order  $p^{r+1}$ , the same is then true of  $\iota_{r+1}^p$ , as asserted.

#### 4. The Pontryagin square

The axioms given in § 1 were relative to an arbitrary *odd* prime  $p$ . There is a corresponding set of axioms for the prime 2: that is, consider cohomology operations  $C$  which satisfy axioms (1.1)–(1.3) (with  $p = 2$ ), together with the axiom

$$\sigma C = p, \text{ the Postnikov square.} \quad (4.1)$$

The Postnikov square  $p: H^{2n}(X; Z_{2^r}) \rightarrow H^{4n+1}(X; Z_{2^{r+1}})$ , is completely characterized by

$$p(u) = \phi(u \cup \delta(u)) \quad (u \in H^{2n}(X; Z_{2^r})),$$

where  $\phi$  is induced by the inclusion  $Z_{2^r} \subset Z_{2^{r+1}}$  and  $\delta$  is the Bockstein coboundary from coefficients  $Z_{2^r}$  to  $Z_{2^r}$ . One then has the theorem:

**THEOREM 2.** *There exists a cohomology operation satisfying axioms (1.1)–(1.3) (with  $p = 2$ ), together with axiom (4.1). Furthermore, this operation is unique.*

The operation is the Pontryagin square. Notice that it is not necessary to include the analogue of axiom (1.5). This is because the only decomposable element in  $H^{4n}(Z_g, 2n; Z_2)$  is  $u^2$ , where  $u$  is the (mod 2) reduction of the fundamental class. The proof of Theorem 2 is quite similar to that of Theorem 1, and we leave the details to the reader.

## REFERENCES

1. W. Browder, 'Torsion in  $H$ -spaces', *Annals of Math.* 74 (1961) 24–51.
2. H. Cartan, *Seminaire H. Cartan*, 1954–5 (Paris, mimeographed).
3. J. W. Milnor and J. C. Moore, 'On the structure of Hopf algebras' (to appear).
4. N. Steenrod, 'Cohomology operations', *Symp. Int. Top. Alg.* (Univ. Mexico, 1957).
5. E. Thomas, 'The generalized Pontryagin cohomology operations and rings with divided powers', *Memoir no. 27, American Math. Soc.* 1957.
6. —, 'The suspension of the generalized Pontryagin cohomology operations', *Pacific J. of Math.* 9 (1959) 897–911.

[This research has been supported by the National Science Foundation and the Air Force Office of Scientific Research.]