

# Harmonic Analysis Working Group :-

## Bourgain's circular maximal theorem

### Lecture 5

We now have all the ingredients in place to prove the circular maximal theorem.

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a collection of unit-scale circles with  $\delta$ -separated centres. We will first partition

$$\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_{trans} \cup \mathcal{C}_{tang}$$

The set  $\mathcal{C}_{trans}$  will satisfy the hypotheses of the transversal case in lecture 3 and therefore

$$\left\| \sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}_{trans}} \tilde{\chi}_C \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \delta^\epsilon [\#\mathcal{C}]^{1/2} \quad (1)$$

for some  $\epsilon > 0$ . On the other hand, we will show  $\mathcal{C}_{tang}$  satisfies

$$\left\| \sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}_{tang}} \tilde{\chi}_C \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \delta^{1+\epsilon} \#\mathcal{C} \quad (2)$$

Combining (1) and (2), we obtain Proposition 1 from lecture 3, via the simple interpolation argument outlined earlier.

### Defining the partition

Henceforth, we fix  $\epsilon$  to be a small, positive number, chosen so as to satisfy the forthcoming requirements of the proof. Taking  $\epsilon = \frac{1}{100}$  certainly suffices.

We also let  $0 < \eta < \epsilon$  be a second small, positive number; here we may explicitly choose  $\eta := \frac{\epsilon}{10}$ .

We construct  $\mathcal{C}_{trans}$  via a greedy algorithm, successively removing circles from  $\mathcal{C}$  which form too many tangent pairs.

- Let  $\mathcal{C}^{(0)} := \mathcal{C}$
- Suppose  $\mathcal{C}^{(0)}, \dots, \mathcal{C}^{(j)}$  have been constructed for some  $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

(2)

If for all  $C \in \mathcal{C}^{(j)}$  the set

$$\mathcal{C}_C^{(j)} := \{ \bar{C} \in \mathcal{C}^{(j)} : \Delta(C, \bar{C}) \leq \delta^{1-\gamma} \}$$

satisfies  $\# \mathcal{C}_C^{(j)} \leq \delta^{2/3+4\epsilon} \delta^{-2}$ , then the algorithm terminates and we define  $\mathcal{C}_{\text{trans}} := \mathcal{C}^{(j)}$ .

Otherwise, there exists some  $C_J \in \mathcal{C}^{(j)}$  such that

$$\# \mathcal{C}_{C_J}^{(j)} > \delta^{2/3+4\epsilon} \delta^{-2}$$

and we define  $\mathcal{C}^{(j+1)} := \mathcal{C}^{(j)} \setminus \mathcal{C}_{C_J}^{(j)}$ .

Clearly this algorithm terminates after finitely many steps. Let  $J \in \mathbb{N}_0$  be the terminal index, so that  $\mathcal{C}_{\text{trans}} := \mathcal{C}^{(J)}$ . By construction, we have

$$\max_{C \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{trans}}} \# \{ \bar{C} \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{trans}} : \Delta(C, \bar{C}) \leq \delta^{1-\gamma} \} \leq \delta^{2/3+4\epsilon} \delta^{-2}$$

and so  $\mathcal{C}_{\text{trans}}$  satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 2. In particular, (1) is automatically guaranteed.

It remains to prove 'the  $L^1$  bound (2) for the collection  $\mathcal{C}_{\text{tang}} := \mathcal{C} \setminus \mathcal{C}_{\text{trans}}$ .

From the construction, there exist circles  $C_0, \dots, C_{J-1} \in \mathcal{C}$  such that

$$\mathcal{C}_{\text{tang}} = \bigcup_{j=0}^{J-1} \mathcal{C}_{C_j}^{(j)}$$

where the union is disjoint. Furthermore, each set

$$\mathcal{C}_{C_j}^{(j)} = \mathcal{C}_{C_j} \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{j-1} \mathcal{C}_{C_i}$$

satisfies

$$\# \mathcal{C}_{C_j}^{(j)} \geq \delta^{2/3+4\epsilon} \delta^{-2}$$

In order to prove (2), it suffices to show

$$\left\| \sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}_{C_j}^{(j)}} \tilde{\chi}_{C_0} \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim \delta^\epsilon \# \mathcal{C}_{C_j}^{(j)}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq J-1,$$

since the estimates can then be summed using the disjointness of the sets  $\mathcal{C}_j^{(i)}$ .

In particular, matters are reduced to proving the following lemma.

Lemma 11 (Tangent case  $\Rightarrow L^1$  improvement) Suppose  $\mathcal{A}$  is a collection of unit-scale circles with  $\delta$ -separated centres, satisfying the following:-

i) There exists some  $C_0 \in \mathcal{A}$  such that

$$\Delta(C, C_0) \leq \delta^{-\eta} \quad \text{for all } C \in \mathcal{A}, \quad (3)$$

ii)  $\#\mathcal{A} \geq \delta^{2/3+4\varepsilon} \delta^{-2}$ .

Then

$$\left\| \sum_{C \in \mathcal{A}} \tilde{\chi}_C \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \delta^\varepsilon \#\mathcal{A}.$$

Here  $0 < \eta < \varepsilon \ll 1$  are as above (so we may take  $\varepsilon = 1/100$  and  $\eta = 2/10$ ).

Proof :- We break the argument into steps.

Step 1: Dyadic pigeonholing.

We dyadically pigeonhole the distance  $\text{dist}(C, C_0)$  between  $C \in \mathcal{A}$  and the fixed circle  $C_0$ . In particular, let

$$\mathcal{A}_0 := \{C \in \mathcal{A} : \text{dist}(C, C_0) < \delta^{1/2}\}$$

and for  $1 \leq l \leq \lceil \log_2 \delta^{-1} \rceil$  let

$$\mathcal{A}_l := \{C \in \mathcal{A} : 2^{l-1} \delta^{1/2} \leq \text{dist}(C, C_0) < 2^l \delta^{1/2}\}.$$

Thus,  $\mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{l=0}^{\lceil \log_2 \delta^{-1} \rceil} \mathcal{A}_l$  and it suffices to show

$$\left\| \sum_{C \in \mathcal{A}_l} \tilde{\chi}_C \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \delta^{2\varepsilon} \#\mathcal{A}_l,$$

$0 \leq l \leq \lceil \log_2 \delta^{-1} \rceil$ . By additional pigeonholing, we can also assume either  $r \geq r_0$  for all  $(x, r) \in \mathcal{A}_l$  or

## Step 2: Tightly clustered case.

For  $L=0$ , we trivially have

$$\left\| \sum_{C \in \mathcal{U}_0} \bar{X}_C \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim \sum_{C \in \mathcal{U}_0} \|\bar{X}_C\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim \delta \cdot \#\mathcal{U}_0.$$

However, since the circles in  $\mathcal{U}_0$  have  $\delta$ -separated centres, it follows that

$$\#\mathcal{U}_0 \lesssim \left( \delta^{1/2} / \delta \right)^2 = \delta^{-1}.$$

On the other hand, by hypothesis ii) of the lemma,

$$\delta^{-1} = \delta^{1/3-4\varepsilon} \delta^{2/3+4\varepsilon} \delta^{-2} \lesssim \delta^{1/3-4\varepsilon} \#\mathcal{A}.$$

Combining these observations,

$$\left\| \sum_{C \in \mathcal{U}_0} \tilde{X}_C \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim \delta^{1+1/3-4\varepsilon} \#\mathcal{A} \lesssim \delta^{1+2\varepsilon} \#\mathcal{A}.$$

for  $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$  sufficiently small.

## Step 3: Spatial partition.

Henceforth, let  $1 \leq \ell \leq \lceil \log_2 \delta^{-1} \rceil$  and define  $e := 2^\ell \delta^{1/2}$ . We also let  $\kappa := \delta^{5\varepsilon}$  and

$$\sigma := \sigma(e, \kappa) := A_{\kappa} e$$

as in Lemma 5.

Let  $\chi_{C_0^\sigma} \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  denote a bump function adapted to the annulus  $C_0^\sigma$ . In particular,

- $\chi_{C_0^\sigma}(x) = 1$  if  $x \in C_0^\sigma$
- $\chi_{C_0^\sigma}(x) = 0$  if  $x \notin C_0^{2\sigma}$
- $|\nabla \chi_{C_0^\sigma}(x)| \lesssim \sigma^{-1}$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$
- $0 \leq \chi_{C_0^\sigma}(x) \leq 1$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

We decompose

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{C \in \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon} \tilde{\chi}_C \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} &\leq \left\| \sum_{C \in \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon} \tilde{\chi}_C \cdot \chi_{C^\sigma} \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} \\ &\quad + \left\| \sum_{C \in \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon} \tilde{\chi}_C (1 - \chi_{C^\sigma}) \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Step 4: The local contribution.

To estimate the first term on the right-hand side of (4), we note that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{C \in \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon} \tilde{\chi}_C \cdot \chi_{C^\sigma} \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} &\leq \sum_{C \in \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon} \left\| \tilde{\chi}_C \right\|_{L^1(C_0^{2\sigma})} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{C \in \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon} |C^{\delta, *} \cap C_0^{2\sigma}| + \delta^{100}, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

by the essential support property of the  $\tilde{\chi}_C$  and, in particular, Corollary 7. The intersections satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} |C^{\delta, *} \cap C_0^{2\sigma}| &\lesssim \delta^{1-\eta} \left( \frac{\sigma}{\text{dist}(C, C_0)} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim \delta^{1-\eta} \kappa^{1/2} \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Combining (5) and (6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{C \in \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon} \tilde{\chi}_C \cdot \chi_{C^\sigma} \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} &\lesssim \delta^{1-\eta} \delta^{5\varepsilon/2} \# \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon \\ &\lesssim \delta^{1+2\varepsilon} \# \mathcal{A}, \end{aligned}$$

as required.

Step 5: Non-local contribution: trichotomy.

We now consider the second term on the right-hand side of (4).

First note that for each  $C \in \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon$ , we have

$$C^{\delta, *} \subseteq C_0^{10\varepsilon}$$

and so, by the essential support property and Cauchy-Schwarz,

(6)

$$\left\| \sum_{C \in \mathcal{U}_\varepsilon} \tilde{\chi}_{C_0} (1 - \chi_{C_0^\sigma}) \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim e^{1/2} \left\| \sum_{C \in \mathcal{U}_\varepsilon} \tilde{\chi}_{C_0} (1 - \chi_{C_0^\sigma}) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \delta^{100}. \quad (7)$$

As usual, we express the  $L^2$  norm in terms of the inner product

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{C \in \mathcal{U}_\varepsilon} \tilde{\chi}_{C_0} (1 - \chi_{C_0^\sigma}) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 &= \sum_{C_1, C_2 \in \mathcal{U}_\varepsilon} \langle \tilde{\chi}_{C_1} (1 - \chi_{C_1^\sigma}), \tilde{\chi}_{C_2} (1 - \chi_{C_2^\sigma}) \rangle \\ &= \sum_{C_1, C_2 \in \mathcal{U}_\varepsilon} \langle \tilde{\chi}_{C_1}, \tilde{\chi}_{C_2} \rangle_{L^2(u)} \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where  $u := |1 - \chi_{C_0^\sigma}|^2$  and

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{L^2(u)} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) \overline{g(x)} u(x) dx.$$

We perform a trichotomy of the indexing set  $\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon \times \mathcal{U}_\varepsilon$ . In particular, for each  $C_1 \in \mathcal{U}_\varepsilon$  we write  $\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon$  as a disjoint union

$$\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon = \mathcal{U}_{\text{diag}}(C_1) \cup \mathcal{U}_{\text{long}}(C_1) \cup \mathcal{U}_{\text{trans}}(C_1)$$

where, for  $\beta := \delta^{1-\eta}$  the parameter in (3),

$$\mathcal{U}_{\text{diag}}(C_1) := \{ C_2 \in \mathcal{U}_\varepsilon : \text{dist}(C_1, C_2) \lesssim \kappa^{-1} \beta \}$$

$$\mathcal{U}_{\text{long}}(C_1) := \{ C_2 \in \mathcal{U}_\varepsilon : \text{dist}(C_1, C_2) \gtrsim \kappa^{-1} \beta \text{ and } \Delta(C_1, C_2) \leq \kappa \text{dist}(C_1, C_2) \}$$

$$\mathcal{U}_{\text{trans}}(C_1) := \{ C_2 \in \mathcal{U}_\varepsilon : \text{dist}(C_1, C_2) \gtrsim \kappa^{-1} \beta \text{ and } \Delta(C_1, C_2) > \kappa \text{dist}(C_1, C_2) \}$$

This induces a corresponding decomposition of the right-hand sum in (8). We treat each term individually.

### Step 6: Diagonal term.

By the pointwise bound  $|1 - \chi_{C_0^\sigma}| \leq 1$  and a 3-fold application of Cauchy-Schwarz,

$$\sum_{\text{diag}} := \sum_{C_1 \in \mathcal{U}_\varepsilon} \sum_{C_2 \in \mathcal{U}_\varepsilon} |\langle \tilde{\chi}_{C_1}, \tilde{\chi}_{C_2} \rangle_{L^2(u)}|$$

$$\leq \sum_{C_i \in \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon} \|\tilde{\chi}_{C_i^0}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \sum_{C_2 \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{diag}}(C_1)} \|\tilde{\chi}_{C_2^0}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}$$

$$\leq \max_{C \in \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon} \#\mathcal{A}_{\text{diag}}(C) \sum_{C \in \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon} \|\tilde{\chi}_{C^0}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2$$

Since the circles in  $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon$  have  $\delta$ -separated centres,

$$\max_{C \in \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon} \#\mathcal{A}_{\text{diag}}(C) \lesssim \left( K^{-1} \beta / \delta \right)^2 \lesssim \delta^{-11\varepsilon}$$

Thus, we have

$$\sum_{C_1 \in \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon} \sum_{C_2 \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{diag}}(C_1)} |\langle \tilde{\chi}_{C_1^0}, \tilde{\chi}_{C_2^0} \rangle_{L^2(\omega)}|$$

$$\lesssim \delta^{-11\varepsilon} \sum_{C \in \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon} \|\tilde{\chi}_{C^0}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2$$

$$\lesssim \delta^{1-11\varepsilon} \#\mathcal{A}$$

Recall from hypothesis ii) that  $\#\mathcal{A} \geq \delta^{2/3+4\varepsilon} \delta^{-2}$  and so

$$\#\mathcal{A} = \frac{1}{\#\mathcal{A}} [\#\mathcal{A}]^2 \leq \delta^{4/3-4\varepsilon} [\#\mathcal{A}]^2 \tag{9}$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{\text{diag}} \lesssim \delta^{2+4\varepsilon} \delta^{1/3-19\varepsilon} [\#\mathcal{A}]^2$$

$$\lesssim \delta^{2+4\varepsilon} [\#\mathcal{A}]^2 \tag{10}$$

Step 7: Tangent term...

Note that  $\text{supp}(1 - \chi_{C_0^0}) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \setminus C_0^0$  and so

$$\sum_{\text{tang}} := \sum_{C_i \in \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon} \sum_{C_2 \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{tang}}(C_i)} |\langle \tilde{\chi}_{C_i^0}, \tilde{\chi}_{C_2^0} \rangle_{L^2(\omega)}|$$

$$\lesssim \sum_{C_i \in \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon} \sum_{C_2 \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{tang}}(C_i)} |C_i^{\delta, \varepsilon} \cap C_2^{\delta, \varepsilon} \cap \mathbb{R}^d \setminus C_0^0| + \delta^{100} [\#\mathcal{A}]^2 \tag{11}$$

by the essential support property of the  $\tilde{\chi}_{C^0}$  and, in particular, Corollary 7.

Observe that for  $C_1 \in \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon$ ,  $C_2 \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{ang}}(C_1)$  we have

- i)  $\Delta(C_0, C_1), \Delta(C_0, C_2) \leq \beta$  by (3);
- ii)  $\varepsilon/2 \leq \text{dist}(C_0, C_1), \text{dist}(C_0, C_2) \leq \varepsilon$  by the definition of  $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon$
- iii)  $r_1, r_2 \geq r$  or  $r_1, r_2 \leq r$ , again by the definition of  $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon$ .

Furthermore

$$\Delta(C_1, C_2) + \beta \lesssim \kappa \text{dist}(C_1, C_2)$$

by the definition of  $\mathcal{A}_{\text{ang}}(C_1)$ . Thus, we may apply Lemma 5 to conclude that

$$C_1^{\delta, *}, \cap C_2^{\delta, *} \subseteq C_0^\sigma.$$

Thus, from (11) we see

$$\bar{Z}_{\text{ang}} \lesssim \delta^{100} |\# \mathcal{A}|^2 \quad (12).$$

### Step 8: Transverse term.

Finally, we turn to the transverse term

$$\bar{Z}_{\text{trans}} := \sum_{C_1 \in \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon} \sum_{C_2 \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{trans}}(C_1)} |\langle \bar{X}_{C_1}, \bar{X}_{C_2} \rangle_{L^2(\omega)}|.$$

The idea is to use the transversality to obtain a good bound for the  $|\langle \bar{X}_{C_1}, \bar{X}_{C_2} \rangle_{L^2(\omega)}|$ , as in the transverse case dealt with in Lemma 2.

However, we cannot appeal directly to the weak-orthogonality lemma here, since the inner products now include the cut-off function  $\omega$ .

Claim: For  $C_1 \in \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon$  and  $C_2 \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{trans}}(C_1)$ , we have

$$|\langle \bar{X}_{C_1}, \bar{X}_{C_2} \rangle_{L^2(\omega)}| \lesssim \sigma^{-1} \frac{\delta^{1-\eta}}{\Delta(C_1, C_2)} |C_1^{\delta, *} \cap C_2^{\delta, *}| + \delta^{100}.$$



Proof (of Claim). The proof is based on modifying the weak orthogonality lemma.

First note, by Corollary 7,

$$|\langle \bar{X}_{C_1}, \bar{X}_{C_2} \rangle_{L^2(\omega)}| \lesssim |C_1^{\delta^{1-\eta}} \cap C_2^{\delta^{1-\eta}}| + \delta^{100}$$

and so (B) trivially holds if

$$\sigma^{-1} \frac{\delta^{1-\eta}}{\Delta(C_1, C_2)} \gtrsim 1.$$

Thus, henceforth we assume  $\sigma^{-1} \frac{\delta^{1-\eta}}{\Delta(C_1, C_2)} \ll 1$  so that

$$\Delta(C_1, C_2) \gg \sigma^{-1} \delta^{1-\eta}$$

We can assume  $C_1^{\delta^{1-\eta}} \cap C_2^{\delta^{1-\eta}} \neq \emptyset$ , or else we are done by the essential support property.

Under this hypothesis, it is easy to see

$$|r_1 - r_2| \lesssim |x_1 - x_2| + \delta^{1-\eta} \quad \text{and so} \quad \Delta(C_1, C_2) \lesssim \text{dist}(C_1, C_2).$$

$$\text{Consequently, } \sigma \gg \frac{\delta^{1-\eta}}{\Delta(C_1, C_2)^{\alpha} \text{dist}(C_1, C_2)^{\alpha}}$$

From the geometric observations of Lemma 4, this means each connected component of  $C_1^{\delta^{1-\eta}} \cap C_2^{\delta^{1-\eta}}$  is contained in a cube of side-length  $\sigma$ .

We decompose  $u = u_1 + u_2$  where each  $u_j$  is supported in a cube of side-length  $\sigma$  and satisfies

$$|\partial_x^\alpha u_j(x)| \lesssim \sigma^{-|\alpha|} \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d,$$

$$\langle \bar{X}_{C_1}, \bar{X}_{C_2} \rangle_{L^2(\omega)} = \sum_{i=1,2} \langle \bar{X}_{C_1}, \bar{X}_{C_2} \rangle_{L^2(\omega_i)} + \delta^{100}.$$

Write

$$\langle \bar{X}_{C_1}, \bar{X}_{C_2} \rangle_{L^2(\omega_j)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\bar{X}_{C_1} \cdot \bar{X}_{C_2})(x) u_j(x) dx$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\tilde{\chi}_{C_1})^\wedge * (\tilde{\chi}_{C_2})^\wedge(\zeta) \cdot \hat{u}_j(\zeta) d\zeta$$

by the Fourier multiplication formula. Now

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\chi}_{C_1})^\wedge * (\tilde{\chi}_{C_2})^\wedge(\zeta) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\tilde{\chi}_{C_1})^\wedge(\zeta - \xi) \overline{(\tilde{\chi}_{C_2})^\wedge(-\xi)} d\xi \\ &= \delta \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \hat{\sigma}_1(r, |\zeta - \xi|) \beta(\delta|\zeta - \xi|) e^{2zi \cdot x_1 \cdot (\zeta - \xi)} \cdot \\ &\quad \overline{\hat{\sigma}_2(r_2, |\xi|) \beta(\delta|\xi|)} e^{2zi \cdot x_2 \cdot \xi} d\xi \end{aligned}$$

We can express

$$\hat{\sigma}(\xi) = \sum_{\pm} e^{\pm 2zi \cdot \xi} a_{\pm}(\xi)$$

where  $a_{\pm} \in S^{-1/2}$  are symbols of order  $-1/2$ . As in the weak orthogonality lemma, only certain sign choices give non-negligible contributions and we are left to consider

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{2zi \cdot (r_1 |\zeta - \xi| - r_2 |\xi| - (x_1 - x_2) \cdot \xi + x_1 \cdot \zeta)} \\ a_1(|\zeta - \xi|) a_2(|\xi|) \beta(\delta|\zeta - \xi|) \beta(\delta|\xi|) \\ d\xi \hat{u}_j(\zeta) d\zeta \end{aligned}$$

where  $a_1, a_2 \in S^{-1/2}$ .

We rescale  $\xi \mapsto \delta^{-1} \xi$  and  $\zeta \mapsto \sigma^{-1} \zeta$ , noting that the function

$$w(\zeta) := \sigma^{-2} \hat{u}_j(\sigma^{-1} \zeta)$$

is Schwartz with bounded derivatives. We now have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{2zi \cdot (\delta^{-1} (r_1 |\zeta - \sigma^{-1} \delta \xi| - r_2 |\xi| - (x_1 - x_2) \cdot \xi + x_1 \cdot \sigma^{-1} \delta \zeta))} \\ a_1(\delta^{-1} |\zeta - \sigma^{-1} \delta \xi|) a_2(\delta^{-1} |\xi|) \beta(\delta \zeta - \sigma^{-1} \delta \xi) \beta(|\xi|) d\xi w(\zeta) d\zeta$$

If we restrict the  $\xi$ -integration to  $|\xi| \geq \delta^{-2}$ , then

$$|w(\xi)| \lesssim \delta^{100} (1 + |\xi|)^{-10}$$

on this set, so we obtain the desired result.

We therefore restrict the  $\xi$ -integration to  $|\xi| \leq \delta^{-2}$ . Note that the  $\xi$ -gradient of the phase is

$$\delta^{-1} \left[ (r_1 - r_2) \frac{\xi}{|\xi|} - (x_1 - x_2) + r_1 \left( \frac{\xi - \sigma^{-1} \delta \xi}{|\xi - \sigma^{-1} \delta \xi|} - \frac{\xi}{|\xi|} \right) \right].$$

Notice that we always have

$$\left| \frac{\xi - \sigma^{-1} \delta \xi}{|\xi - \sigma^{-1} \delta \xi|} - \frac{\xi}{|\xi|} \right| \lesssim \sigma^{-1} \delta |\xi| \ll \sigma^{-1} \delta^{-2} \ll \Delta(c_1, c_2)$$

since  $|\xi - \sigma^{-1} \delta \xi| \sim |\xi| \sim 1$  over the domain of integration.

Thus, the gradient of the phase is bounded below in magnitude by

$$\delta^{-1} \left[ \inf_{w \in S^1} |(r_1 - r_2) w - (x_1 - x_2)| - O(\delta^{-2} \sigma^{-1}) \right]$$

$$\gtrsim \delta^{-1} \Delta(c_1, c_2) \gtrsim \delta^{-1} \kappa \cdot \text{dist}(c_1, c_2) \gtrsim \delta^{1/3} = \delta^{-2}$$

Thus, repeated integration-by-parts implies that

$$|\langle \tilde{X}_{c_1}, \tilde{X}_{c_2} \rangle_{L^2(\omega)}| \lesssim \delta^{100}$$

in this case. □

It is now a simple task to conclude the argument for the transverse case. By the claim and Lemma 10,

$$\tilde{Z}_{\text{trans}} \lesssim \sum_{c_1 \in \mathcal{C}} \sum_{c_2 \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{trans}}(c_1)} \sigma^{-1} \frac{\delta^{1-\eta}}{\Delta(c_1, c_2)} |c_1^{S_{\text{tr}}} \cap c_2^{S_{\text{tr}}}| + \delta^{100} |\#\mathcal{A}|^2$$

$$\lesssim \delta^{3(1-\eta)} \sigma^{-1} \sum_{c_1 \in \mathcal{C}} \sum_{c_2 \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{trans}}(c_1)} \Delta(c_1, c_2)^{-3/2} \text{dist}(c_1, c_2)^{-1/2} + \delta^{100} |\#\mathcal{A}|^2$$

From the definition of  $\Delta_{\text{cross}}(c_i)$ , we have

$$\Delta(c_i, c_j) \gtrsim \kappa \cdot \text{dist}(c_i, c_j)$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\text{trans}} &\lesssim \delta^{1-3\eta} \kappa^{-5/2} e^{-1} \sum_{c_i, c_j \in \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon} (1 + \delta^{-1} \text{dist}(c_i, c_j))^{-2} \\ &\quad + \delta^{100} |\mathcal{A}|^2 \\ &\lesssim \delta^{1-3\eta-25\varepsilon/2} e^{-1} \lceil \log_2 \delta^{-1} \rceil \cdot |\mathcal{A}| + \delta^{100} |\mathcal{A}|^2 \\ &\lesssim \delta^{2+4\varepsilon} e^{-1} |\mathcal{A}|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

again by (9) and choosing  $\varepsilon$  sufficiently small.

Step 9: Concluding the argument

From (7), we have

$$\left\| \sum_{c \in \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon} \tilde{\chi}_c (1 - \chi_{c_0}) \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim e^{1/\varepsilon} \left( \sum_{\text{diag}} + \sum_{\text{long}} + \sum_{\text{trans}} \right)^{1/\varepsilon}.$$

By (10), (12) and (14), we have

$$\sum_{\text{diag}} + \sum_{\text{long}} + \sum_{\text{trans}} \lesssim e^{-1} \cdot \delta^{2+4\varepsilon} |\mathcal{A}|^2$$

and so

$$\left\| \sum_{c \in \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon} \tilde{\chi}_c (1 - \chi_{c_0}) \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim \delta^{1+2\varepsilon} |\mathcal{A}|.$$

Plugging this into (4), along with the bound from Step 9, gives the desired result.  $\square$