

# Modern Developments in Fourier Analysis.

## Lecture 1

### Fourier Analysis: Background.

We begin with a review of basic definitions from Fourier analysis. This is not intended to be comprehensive.

Def<sup>n</sup>: Let  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  denote the space of Schwartz functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Thus,

$$f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ iff } \|f\|_{\alpha, \beta} := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |x^\beta (\partial_x^\alpha f)(x)| < \infty$$

for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ .

Here

$$x^\beta := x_1^{\beta_1} \cdots x_n^{\beta_n} \text{ and } \partial_x^\alpha := \partial_{x_1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots \partial_{x_n}^{\alpha_n}.$$

Def<sup>n</sup>: Given  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  define its Fourier transform

$$\hat{f}(\xi) := \int_{\hat{\mathbb{R}}^n} e^{-2\pi i \langle x, \xi \rangle} f(x) dx \quad \xi \in \hat{\mathbb{R}}^n := \mathbb{R}^n \quad (1)$$

The map  $\mathcal{F} : f \mapsto \hat{f}$  is:

- linear between  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$
- continuous with respect to the topology induced by  $\|\cdot\|_{\alpha, \beta}$ .
- a homeomorphism, with inverse  $\mathcal{F} : f \mapsto \check{f}$  where  $\check{f}(x) := \hat{f}(-x)$ .

In particular, we have the

Inversion formula:

$$f(x) = \int_{\hat{\mathbb{R}}^n} e^{2\pi i \langle x, \xi \rangle} \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi, \quad f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

Problem: We would like to make sense of  $\hat{f}$  for more general (ie less regular)  $f$ .

• If  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then the formula (1) still makes sense and we have the Riemann-Lebesgue bound

$$\|\hat{f}\|_{L^\infty(\hat{\mathbb{R}}^n)} \leq \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad (2)$$

• If  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then

$$\|\hat{f}\|_{L^2(\hat{\mathbb{R}}^n)} = \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad (3)$$

Since  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subseteq L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is a dense subspace, we can combine (3) with some functional analysis to conclude that  $\mathcal{F}$  extends to a bounded linear operator on  $L^2$  which satisfies

Plancherel's identity

$$\|\hat{f}\|_{L^2(\hat{\mathbb{R}}^n)} = \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad \text{for all } f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

This is super nice because now we have defined  $\mathcal{F}$  as an operator on a (very useful!) Hilbert space  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , rather than the (highly restrictive) locally convex topological vector space  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

Note, to prove the Plancherel identity for  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  it sufficed to prove the a priori estimate, i.e. the same bound for  $f$  belonging to the dense subclass  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Functional analysis then does the rest of the work. This is a general theme in the kind of problems we are interested in.

• Interpolating (2) and (3) (using Riesz-Thorin), one may extend  $\mathcal{F}$  to a bounded linear operator  $\mathcal{F}: L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for  $1 \leq p \leq 2$  where  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$ . Moreover, we have

Hausdorff-Young inequality For  $1 \leq p \leq 2$

$$\|\hat{f}\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad \text{for all } f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Problem:- We would like to make sense of the inversion formula

$$f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{2\pi i \langle x, \xi \rangle} \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi \quad (4)$$

for more general (i.e. less regular)  $f$ .

For (4) to make sense we need  $\hat{f} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  - this is a tall order!

- $\hat{f} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  holds if  $f$  is sufficiently smooth with integrable derivatives (by applying integration-by-parts to the formula for  $\hat{f}$ ).
- For  $1 \leq p \leq 2$ , if  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then  $\hat{f} \in L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  where  $2 \leq p' \leq \infty$  is far from  $1$ !

$n=1$

The idea here is to use a summation method. If  $\hat{f} \in L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^1)$ , then  $\hat{f} \in L^{p'}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^1)$  so the partial sums

$$S_R f(x) := \int_{-R}^R e^{2\pi i x \xi} \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi$$

make sense. We can reformulate our problem more precisely as:-

Problem' Under what hypotheses does

$$S_R f \rightarrow f \quad \text{as } R \rightarrow \infty ?$$

Since we are dealing with sequences of functions, there are various different modes of convergence. We focus on 2:-

1. Almost everywhere convergence.

One of the most famous theorems in Fourier analysis (or, indeed, analysis in general) is :-

Theorem (Carleson-Hunt) If  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$  for some  $1 < p \leq 2$ , then

$$S_R f \rightarrow f \quad \text{a.e. as } R \rightarrow \infty.$$

2.  $L^p$  convergence. This is much easier than the Carleson-Hunt theorem.

Theorem (M. Riesz) If  $1 < p < \infty$ , then

$$\|S_R f - f\|_p \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } R \rightarrow \infty$$

whenever  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

If  $f \in \mathcal{J}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then  $\|S_R f - f\|_p \rightarrow 0$  as  $R \rightarrow \infty$  trivially holds by the inversion formula. Since  $\mathcal{J}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is dense in  $L^p$ , it suffices to show

$$\sup_{R \geq 1} \|S_R f\|_p \leq C \|f\|_p$$

ie. the  $S_R$  have uniformly bounded  $L^p$  operator norms

(Proof :- Let  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . There exists  $g \in \mathcal{J}(\mathbb{R})$  such that

$$\|f - g\|_p < \varepsilon/2(C+1)$$

and  $R_0 \geq 1$  such that

$$\|S_R g - g\|_p < \varepsilon/2 \quad \text{for all } R \geq R_0$$

Thus, if  $R \geq R_0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_R f - f\|_p &\leq \|S_R(f-g)\|_p + \|S_R g - g\|_p + \|g - f\|_p \\ &\leq C \cdot \|f-g\|_p + \|S_R g - g\|_p + \|f-g\|_p \\ &< \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon/2 = \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

By rescaling,

$$\|S_R\|_{p \rightarrow p} = \|S_1\|_{p \rightarrow p}$$

and so Riesz's theorem follows from (and is in fact equivalent to) :-

$$\|S_1\|_{p \rightarrow p} < \infty \quad \text{for all } 1 < p < \infty.$$

This is an immediate consequence of the  $L^p$  boundedness of the Hilbert transform, since  $S_1$  can be written as a simple superposition of (suitably affine transformed) copies of  $H$ .

Higher dimensions? In higher dimensions, there are many different choices of summation method:

### Square sums

Define

$$S_R f(x) := \int_{[-R, R]^n} e^{2\pi i \langle x, \xi \rangle} \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi.$$

In this case everything tensorizes and reduces to the  $n=1$  case. In particular:

Carleson-Hunt: If  $1 < p \leq 2$  and  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then  $S_R f \rightarrow f$  a.e. as  $R \rightarrow \infty$

M. Riesz: If  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then

$$\|S_R f - f\|_p \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } R \rightarrow \infty.$$

### Spherical sums:-

Define

$$S_R f(x) := \int_{B(0, R)} e^{2\pi i \langle x, \xi \rangle} \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi$$

Here we cannot tensorize and this is a genuinely higher dimensional problem.

Almost everywhere convergence?

**MAJOR OPEN PROBLEM!**

$L^p$  convergence? Trivially  $L^2$  convergence holds by Plancherel:

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_R f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} &= \|(S_R f)^\wedge\|_{L^2(\widehat{\mathbb{R}}^n)} \\ &= \|\chi_{B(0,R)} \hat{f}\|_{L^2(\widehat{\mathbb{R}}^n)} \\ &\leq \|\hat{f}\|_{L^2(\widehat{\mathbb{R}}^n)} = \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \end{aligned}$$

What about  $p \neq 2$ ?

Theorem (C. Fefferman) For  $p \neq 2$  and  $n \geq 2$ ,

$$\|S_R\|_{p \rightarrow p} = \infty.$$

Consequently, there exist  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that

$$S_R f \not\rightarrow f \text{ in } L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ as } R \rightarrow \infty.$$

Remark:- To deduce the failure of  $L^p$  convergence from the unboundedness of the operator we have to reverse the reduction described above. This is slightly non-trivial and uses the principle of uniform boundedness.

Moral:



Good



(Very) bad!

Fefferman's theorem revealed some deep underlying connections between higher dimensional Fourier analysis and difficult geometric problems (such as the Kakeya conjecture). These connections are a highly active area of contemporary research, with numerous applications to harmonic analysis, GMT, PDE, analytic number theory and beyond.