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## Recap from William

## The setup

We consider the cubic nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation (NLKG):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial_{t}^{2} u-\Delta u+u=u^{3}, \quad(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathbb{R} \\
& \vec{u}(0)=\left(u(0), \partial_{t} u(0)\right) \in \mathcal{H}:=H_{\mathrm{rad}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right) \times L_{\mathrm{rad}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Energy:

$$
E(\vec{u}(t)):=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(\frac{1}{2} u^{2}+\frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{t} u\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{4} u^{4}\right) d x
$$

Dynamics of NLKG understood below the ground state, $Q$, the unique, positive, radial solution in $H^{1}$ of

$$
-\Delta Q+Q=Q^{3} .
$$

Properties:

- $Q \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ and exponentially decaying
- Minimizer of the stationary energy

$$
J(\varphi):=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(\frac{1}{2} \varphi^{2}+\frac{1}{2}|\nabla \varphi|^{2}-\frac{1}{4} \varphi^{4}\right) d x
$$

## Dynamics below the ground state

Payne-Sattinger, 1975: Behaviour dictated by the sign of a functional $K_{0}$ :

$$
K_{0}(\varphi):=\int\left(|\nabla \varphi|^{2}+|\varphi|^{2}-|\varphi|^{4}\right) d x,
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{\vec{u} \in \mathcal{H}: E(\vec{u})<J(Q), K_{0}(u) \geq 0\right\} \quad \Longrightarrow \text { Global Solutions } \\
& \left\{\vec{u} \in \mathcal{H}: E(\vec{u})<J(Q), K_{0}(u)<0\right\} \Longrightarrow \text { Finite time blow-up }
\end{aligned}
$$

Above the ground state

## $E(\vec{u})<J(Q)+\epsilon^{2}$

Perturb about the ground state $u=Q+v$; obtain the system

$$
\partial_{t}\binom{v}{\dot{v}}=\underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-L_{+} & 0
\end{array}\right]}_{=: A}\binom{v}{\dot{v}}+\binom{0}{N(v)},
$$

where

$$
L_{+}:=-\Delta+1-3 Q^{2} .
$$




$$
\begin{gathered}
u=Q+v \\
\Downarrow \\
u(t, x)=Q(x)+\lambda(t) \rho(x)+\left(P_{\rho}^{\perp} v\right)(t, x)
\end{gathered}
$$

Projecting away unstable modes of $\lambda$ : Center-stable manifold $W^{c s}$ (Interim presentations)

Dynamics off center-stable manifold

## Control sign $K_{0}(u)$

## Mechanics of the game



The pieces:

1. sign $K_{0}$ can only change if you re-enter the $2 \epsilon$-ball
2. Solutions not trapped by $2 \epsilon$-ball are ejected (Ejection Lemma)
3. Upon exit from $2 \epsilon$-ball, solution cannot re-enter (One-Pass)

## A notion of distance

Main technical tool: The non-linear distance function

$$
d_{Q}(\vec{u}(t)) \simeq\|\vec{u}(t)-(Q, 0)\|_{\mathcal{H}} .
$$

"Distance measure in $\mathcal{H}$ taking into account the non-linearity in NLKG."

$$
\begin{gathered}
d_{Q}(\vec{u}) \leq \delta_{E} \ll 1 \\
\Downarrow \\
d_{Q}^{2}(\vec{u}(t))=E(\vec{u})-J(Q)+k^{2} \lambda(t)^{2} .
\end{gathered}
$$
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## (2) Ejection Lemma

## Ejection Lemma (Nakanishi-Schlag, 2011)

There exists an abs. constant $0<\delta_{X} \leq \delta_{E}$ with the following property. Let $u(t)$ be an NLKG solution satisfying

$$
0<d_{Q}(\vec{u}(0)) \leq \delta_{X}, \quad E(\vec{u})<J(Q)+\epsilon^{2},
$$

and

$$
\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} d_{Q}(\vec{u}(t)) \geq 0 .
$$
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There exists an abs. constant $0<\delta_{X} \leq \delta_{E}$ with the following property. Let $u(t)$ be an NLKG solution satisfying

$$
0<d_{Q}(\vec{u}(0)) \leq \delta_{X}, \quad E(\vec{u})<J(Q)+\epsilon^{2},
$$

and

$$
\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} d_{Q}(\vec{u}(t)) \geq 0
$$

Then $d_{Q}(\vec{u}(t))$ monotonically increases until hitting $\delta_{X}$ while

$$
d_{Q}(\vec{u}(t)) \simeq d_{Q}(\vec{u}(0)) e^{k t}, \quad s K_{0}(u(t)) \gtrsim d_{Q}(\vec{u}(t))-C_{*} d_{Q}(\vec{u}(0)),
$$

where $\mathfrak{s} \in\{ \pm 1\}$ is a fixed sign and $C_{*}$ an abs. constant.

## (2) Ejection Lemma cont.

## Proof (sketch):

Differentiating $d_{Q}^{2}(\vec{u}(t))=E(\vec{u})-J(Q)+k^{2} \lambda(t)^{2}$, using

$$
\ddot{\lambda}-k^{2} \lambda=P_{\rho} N(v)
$$

and $\lambda$ dominance implies

$$
\partial_{t}^{2} d_{Q}^{2}(\vec{u}(t)) \simeq k^{2} d_{Q}^{2}(\vec{u}(t)) .
$$

If we had equality, solve the ODE to get $e^{k t}$ and $e^{-k t}$ modes.

## (2) Not trapped implies ejection

Corollary 1: There is no circulating solution $\left(2 \epsilon<d_{Q}(\vec{u}(t))<\delta_{X}\right.$ for all $t \geq 0$ ).
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## Proof.

Never enters $2 \epsilon$-ball: Cannot circulate $\Rightarrow$ Ejection Lemma
Enters $2 \epsilon$-ball: Not trapped $\Rightarrow$ exits at some time $t=T$. Apply Ejection Lemma at $t=T$.


## $(1)+(2)=$ Insufficient

1. sign $K_{0}$ can only change if you re-enter the $2 \epsilon$-ball.


No chance for sign $K_{0}$ to stabilize!

## (3) One-Pass

Idea: Limit number of times solution can return to $2 \epsilon$-ball.


Can only make 'one-pass.'
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One-Pass (Nakanishi-Schlag, 2011)
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$\operatorname{sign} K_{0}(u)$
constant

## The full picture

## Classification of global behaviour



Thank you.
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