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#### Abstract

The augmented Iwasawa algebra of a $p$-adic Lie group is a generalisation of the Iwasawa algebra of a compact $p$-adic Lie group. We prove that a split-semisimple group over a $p$-adic field has a coherent augmented Iwasawa algebra if and only if its root system is of rank one. We deduce that the general linear group of degree $n$ has a coherent augmented Iwasawa algebra precisely when $n$ is at most two. We also characterise when certain solvable $p$-adic Lie groups have a coherent augmented Iwasawa algebra.


## Introduction

Given a profinite group $G$, and a commutative ring $R$, one can form the completed group ring $R G$. This is a generalisation of the group ring of a finite group. A commonly studied class of profinite groups is the compact $p$-adic Lie groups. If $G$ is a compact $p$-adic Lie group and $R=k$ is a field of characteristic $p$, we call its completed group ring $k G$ the $(\bmod p)$ Iwasawa algebra. The modules over the Iwasawa algebra correspond to continuous representations of $G$ over $k$. This means the representation theory of compact $p$-adic Lie groups is bound up with the study of Iwasawa algebras and their modules. One of the most important and useful facts in this theory is that Iwasawa algebras are always Noetherian rings.

The representation theory of non-compact $p$-adic Lie groups, such as $G L_{n}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$, is of considerable interest, particularly in the context of the Langlands programme. Kohlhaase has defined in Koh17 a generalisation of the Iwasawa algebra for a non-compact $p$-adic Lie group $G$, which we call the augmented Iwasawa algebra of $G$. Our choice of terminology recognises that an augmented representation of $G$, defined by Emerton in [Eme10], is exactly a module over the augmented Iwasawa algebra of $G$. The augmented Iwasawa algebra of $G$ is faithfully flat over the augmented Iwasawa algebra of any closed subgroup, Theorem 4.13, and flat if $G$ is a locally profinite group, Proposition4.10.

The augmented Iwasawa algebra of $G$ is almost never Noetherian if $G$ is not compact. One might hope that augmented Iwasawa algebras are coherent rings, meaning every finitely-generated ideal is finitely-presented. Indeed, Shotton has shown in [Sho20] that the augmented Iwasawa algebra of $S L_{2}(F)$ is coherent, for $F$ a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$. We show that the augmented Iwasawa algebra of a unipotent group is coherent, see Corollary 5.8.

However, not all augmented Iwasawa algebras are coherent, as we show in this article. We give a characterisation of which solvable $p$-adic Lie groups in a certain class have a coherent mod $p$ augmented Iwasawa algebra, Theorem 1.4. From this we deduce a characterisation for split-solvable algebraic groups over $F$, Corollary 1.3, for split-semisimple algebraic groups, Theorem 1.1, and for general linear groups, Corollary 1.2. In particular we show that $S L_{2}(F)$ and $P G L_{2}(F)$ are the only split-semisimple algebraic groups with a coherent augmented Iwasawa algebra, and that the augmented Iwasawa algebra of $G L_{n}(F)$ is coherent precisely when $n \leq 2$.

Shotton has shown in Sho20] that the category of finitely-presented smooth representations of $G$ is an abelian category if the augmented Iwasawa algebra of $G$ is coherent. Our results raise the question of whether this category remains abelian in the absence of the augmented Iwasawa algebra being coherent. Emerton, Gee, and Hellmann have conjectured that this holds for the general linear groups in [EGH23]. I do not know the answer in this case, or when $G$ is reductive, or semisimple. However,
in subsection 7.7 we give a module-theoretic criterion, and answer the question in the negative for some solvable $p$-adic Lie groups.

Acknowledgements. I thank the anonymous referee for their very careful reading of the manuscript, which greatly improved this article. I thank Konstantin Ardakov for many valuable discussions. This research was financially supported by EPSRC.

## 1 Main results

Let $p$ be a prime, let $k$ be a perfect field of characteristic $p$, and $F$ be a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$. In this article we prove that augmented Iwasawa algebras of semisimple groups over $F$ are rarely coherent.

## Theorem 1.1:

Let $\mathbb{G}$ be a split-semisimple affine group scheme defined over $F$. Let $G=\mathbb{G}(F)$. Then the augmented Iwasawa algebra $k G$ is coherent if and only if the rank of the root system of $\mathbb{G}$ is 1 .

This means that any split-semisimple algebraic group $G=\mathbb{G}(F)$ has a non-coherent augmented Iwasawa algebra, unless $\mathbb{G}=\mathbb{S L}_{2}$ or $\mathbb{P} \mathbb{G L}_{2}$. A further consequence is that the augmented Iwasawa algebras of general linear groups are rarely coherent.

## Corollary 1.2:

The augmented Iwasawa algebra of $G L_{n}(F)$ is coherent if and only if $n \leq 2$.
We deduce Theorem 1.1 from the following corollary, which in turn is a special case of Theorem 1.4 below.

## Corollary 1.3:

Let $\mathbb{G}$ be a finite-dimensional split-solvable affine group scheme defined over $F$, and $G=\mathbb{G}(F)$. If the root system of $\mathbb{G}$ has rank 2 or greater, then $k G$ is not coherent.

In Theorem 1.4 we give a characterisation of when certain solvable $p$-adic Lie groups $G$ have a coherent augmented Iwasawa algebra.

We describe the class of such groups and state the theorem.
Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\mathbb{U}_{n}$ be the affine group scheme of upper unitriangular matrices in $\mathbb{G}_{n}$ over $F$. Let $\mathbb{U}$ be a closed affine group subscheme of $\mathbb{U}_{n}$, defined and split over $F$. Let $U=\mathbb{U}(F)$.
Let $T$ be a closed subgroup - in the $p$-adic topology - of the diagonal elements $\mathbb{D}_{n}(F) \leq G L_{n}(F)$, such that $T$ normalises $U$. Let $G=\langle T, U\rangle \cong T \ltimes U$, so $G$ is a solvable $p$-adic Lie group, of upper triangular elements in $G L_{n}(F)$.
We define a set of roots of $U$ with respect to $T$, similarly to section 8.17 of [Bor91].
Let $\mathfrak{u}=\operatorname{Lie}(U)$. Since $T$ acts on $U$ via conjugation, $T$ acts on $\mathfrak{u}$. Let

$$
X(T)=\left\{\beta: T \rightarrow F^{\times} \mid \beta \text { a continuous group homomorphism }\right\}
$$

be the character group of $T$. For each $\beta \in X(T)$, define the weight space

$$
\mathfrak{u}_{\beta}=\{v \in \mathfrak{u} \mid t \cdot v=\beta(t) v \forall t \in T\} \leq \mathfrak{u}
$$

and define the set of weights

$$
\Phi=\left\{\beta \in X(T) \mid \mathfrak{u}_{\beta} \neq 0\right\} .
$$

Let $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{U}}$ be the normaliser of $\mathbb{U}$ in $\mathbb{D}_{n}$, so $T$ is a subgroup of $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{U}}(F)$. By Proposition 8.4 of [Bor91], $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{U}}(F)$ acts diagonalisably on $\mathfrak{u}$, and hence so does $T$.

Thus $\mathfrak{u}$ is the direct sum of its weight spaces:

$$
\mathfrak{u}=\bigoplus_{\beta \in \Phi} \mathfrak{u}_{\beta} .
$$

We define the group homomorphism $f$ by

$$
f: T \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{\Phi}, \quad f(t)=\left(v_{F}(\beta(t))\right)_{\beta \in \Phi},
$$

where $v_{F}: F^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is the discrete valuation on $F$.

## Theorem 1.4:

Let $G=T \ltimes U$ be an upper-triangular subgroup of $G L_{n}(F)$ as described above, with set of roots $\Phi$ and group homomorphism $f: T \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{\Phi}$. The $\bmod p$ augmented Iwasawa algebra $k G$ is a coherent ring if and only if the image $f(T) \leq \mathbb{Z}^{\Phi}$ is a cyclic subgroup, generated by an element of $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\right)^{\Phi}$.

See Section 8 for the proof of Theorem 1.4. Then, Corollary 1.3, Theorem 1.1, and Corollary 1.2 are deduced in Section 9 .

## 2 Augmented Iwasawa algebras

### 2.1 Definitions

If $G$ is a profinite group, we can define its completed group ring as an inverse limit of group rings of finite groups.

## Definition 2.1:

Let $G$ be a profinite group and $R$ be a commutative ring. The completed group ring is

$$
R G=\lim _{H \unlhd_{o} G} R[G / H]
$$

where the limit is taken over the inverse system of open normal subgroups of $G$, with respect to reverse inclusion.

In the case when $p$ is a prime, $G$ a compact $p$-adic Lie group, and $k$ is a field of characteristic $p$, the completed group ring $k G$ is known as the $(\bmod p)$ Iwasawa algebra of $G$.

Kohlhaase has extended this definition to any locally profinite group, see section 1, page 6 of Koh17. See also section 6.1 of [Ard21] for a generalised construction. We summarise the definition as the following proposition, which is Proposition 3.2 of Sho20. Recall that a locally profinite group is defined to be a topological group with an open profinite subgroup.

## Proposition 2.2:

Let $G$ be a locally profinite group, $R$ be a commutative ring. Let $K \leq G$ be an open profinite subgroup, with completed group ring $R K$. Then there is a unique $R$-algebra structure on

$$
R G=R K \otimes_{R[K]} R[G]
$$

such that the natural maps $R[G] \rightarrow R G$ and $R K \rightarrow R G$ are $R$-algebra homomorphisms. This $R$-algebra is independent of the choice of $K$, up to canonical isomorphism.

This means that $R G$ is generated as an $R$-algebra by $R K$ and the elements of $G$. In the case that $G$ is a $p$-adic Lie group and $k$ is a field of characteristic $p$, we call the $k$-algebra $k G$ the $(\bmod p)$ augmented Iwasawa algebra of $G$. If $G$ is compact, then the Iwasawa algebra and the augmented Iwasawa algebra are isomorphic rings, so our notation is unambiguous.

## Example

Suppose $G$ is a direct limit of open profinite subgroups, $G=\underset{\overrightarrow{a \in A}}{\lim _{\vec{A}}} K_{a}$, where the direct limit is taken in the category of groups. The functor taking a group to its group $k$-algebra commutes with colimits, such as direct limits, because it is left adjoint to the functor that takes a $k$-algebra to its group of units. Therefore $k[G]=\underset{a \in A}{\lim _{\vec{A}}} k\left[K_{a}\right]$.
If $K \leq G$ is an open profinite subgroup with $K \leq K_{a}$, then $k K \otimes_{k[K]} k\left[K_{a}\right]=k K_{a}$. Hence

$$
k G=k K \otimes_{k[K]}\left(\underset{a \in A}{\lim } k\left[K_{a}\right]\right)=\underset{a \in A}{\lim }\left(k K \otimes_{k[K]} k\left[K_{a}\right]\right)=\underset{a \in A}{\lim } k K_{a} .
$$

## Example

Let $G=\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times}$and $k$ be a perfect field of characteristic $p$. Then $G$ has an open profinite subgroup $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}$, and $\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} / \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times} \cong \mathbb{Z}$. It follows that

$$
k \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} \cong k \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}\left[X, X^{-1}\right] \cong k[[t]][Y]\left[X, X^{-1}\right] /\left(Y^{p-1}\right)
$$

so in fact $k \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times}$is a Noetherian ring. Similarly $k F^{\times} \cong k \mathcal{O}_{F}^{\times}\left[X, X^{-1}\right]$ is Noetherian if $F$ is a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$.

The nomenclature "augmented" comes from the fact that the augmented representations given in Definition 2.1.5 of [Eme10] are modules over the augmented Iwasawa algebra, see Corollary 2.24.

### 2.2 Universal property of completed group algebras

In general, it is useful to consider (augmented) Iwasawa algebras defined over a range of coefficient rings. For example, $\mathbb{F}_{p}$, its finite extensions and algebraic closure, rings of integers $\mathcal{O}_{F}$ of a $p$-adic field $F$, profinite commutative rings such as $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$, or the complete local Noetherian $\mathcal{O}_{F}$-algebras considered in [Eme10] and [Sho20]. The following definition, see the introduction of [Bru66], encompasses all of these cases.

## Definition 2.3:

A pseudocompact ring $R$ is a complete Hausdorff topological ring which has a neighbourhood basis of 0 consisting of two-sided ideals $I$ with $R / I$ an Artinian ring.

Throughout this section we will assume that $k$ is a commutative pseudocompact ring. Later on we will specialise to the case of $k$ being a perfect field of characteristic $p$.

## Definition 2.4:

A pseudocompact $k$-algebra is a complete Hausdorff topological ring $A$, with a continuous ring homomorphism from $k$ to the centre of $A$, and such that $A$ has a neighbourhood basis of 0 consisting of two-sided ideals $I$ with $A / I$ a finite-length $k$-module.

The completed group algebra $k G$ of a profinite group is naturally a pseudocompact $k$-algebra (see section 4 of [Bru66]), and satisfies the following property, which is proved over profinite coefficient rings in Proposition 7.1.2 of Wil98.

## Proposition 2.5:

Let $G$ be a profinite group, $k$ a pseudocompact commutative ring, and $A$ be a pseudocompact $k$-algebra. Let $\phi: G \rightarrow A^{\times}$be a continuous group homomorphism to the units of $A$. There is a unique continuous $k$-algebra homomorphism $\tilde{\phi}: k G \rightarrow A$ extending $\phi$.

## Proof:

Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a neighbourhood basis of 0 consisting of two-sided ideals $I$ with $A / I$ a finite-length $k$-module. For such an ideal $I \in \mathcal{B}$, composition of $\phi$ with the natural map $A^{\times} \rightarrow(A / I)^{\times}$gives a continuous group homomorphism

$$
\phi_{I}: G \rightarrow(A / I)^{\times} .
$$

Because $I$ is open, $N_{I}=\operatorname{Ker} \phi_{I}$ is an open normal subgroup of $G$, and by the universal property of group algebras, there is a unique $k$-algebra homomorphism

$$
\tilde{\phi}_{I}^{\prime}: k\left[G / N_{I}\right] \rightarrow A / I
$$

extending the natural group homomorphism $\phi_{I}^{\prime}: G / N_{I} \rightarrow(A / I)^{\times}$. To check that $\tilde{\phi}_{I}^{\prime}$ is continuous, note that the homomorphism from $k$ to the centre of $A$ that makes $A$ a $k$-algebra and $\tilde{\phi}_{I}^{\prime}$ agree on the restriction

$$
i_{I}: k \rightarrow A / I .
$$

Because $I$ is open, $A / I$ is discrete and $\alpha_{I}=\operatorname{Ker} i_{I}$ is an open ideal of $k$. Then $k\left[G / N_{I}\right] \alpha_{I} \subseteq k\left[G / N_{I}\right]$ is open because $G / N_{I}$ is finite, and Ker $\tilde{\phi}_{I}^{\prime}$ contains $k\left[G / N_{I}\right] \alpha_{I}$, hence is open. It follows that $\tilde{\phi}_{I}^{\prime}$ is continuous.
By composing with the natural homomorphisms $k G \rightarrow k\left[G / N_{I}\right]$, we obtain a system of continuous $k$-algebra homomorphisms

$$
\tilde{\phi}_{I}: k G \rightarrow A / I,
$$

which are compatible under composition with the maps $A / I \rightarrow A / J$ for $I, J \in \mathcal{B}$ with $I \subseteq J$, because $N_{I} \leq N_{J}$. Since $A$ is complete, it follows from the universal property of inverse limit that there is a continuous $k$-algebra homomorphism

$$
\tilde{\phi}: k G \rightarrow A
$$

extending the $\tilde{\phi}_{I}$, thus extending $\phi$. Moreover, there is a unique $k$-algebra homomorphism $k[G] \rightarrow A$ extending $\phi$, thus $\tilde{\phi}$ is unique because $k[G]$ is dense in $k G$.

This property is enough to define the completed group algebra $k G$ up to canonical isomorphism of pseudocompact $k$-algebras. Moreover, associating the completed group algebra to a profinite group gives a functor.

## Proposition 2.6:

The mapping $F$ given on objects by $F(G)=k G$, and on morphisms by $F(\phi)=\tilde{\phi}$, is a functor from the category of profinite groups (with continuous group homomorphisms) to the category of pseudocompact $k$-algebras. The functor preserves injectivity and surjectivity of morphisms.

## Proof:

Let $\phi: G_{1} \rightarrow G_{2}$ be a continuous group homomorphism. By Proposition 2.5, there is a unique extension of $\phi: G_{1} \rightarrow k G_{2}^{\times}$to a continuous $k$-algebra homomorphism $\phi: k G_{1} \rightarrow k G_{2}$, so $F$ is well-defined. Clearly if $G_{2}=G_{1}$ and $\phi=i d_{G_{1}}$, then $\tilde{\phi}=i d_{k G_{1}}$. If $\psi: G_{2} \rightarrow G_{3}$ is another continuous group homomorphism, then $\tilde{\psi} \circ \tilde{\phi}: k G_{1} \rightarrow k G_{3}$ extends $\psi \circ \phi$, and therefore is equal
to $\widetilde{\psi \circ \phi}$ by uniqueness. Therefore $F$ is a functor.
Now, $\tilde{\phi}$ is constructed by taking the inverse limit of the $k$-algebra homomorphisms

$$
\phi_{U}: k\left[G_{1} / \phi^{-1}(U)\right] \rightarrow k\left[G_{2} / U\right],
$$

as $U$ ranges over the open normal subgroups of $G_{2}$. If $\phi$ is injective then $\phi_{U}$ is injective for all $U$, so $\tilde{\phi}$ is injective by left-exactness of the inverse limit.
If $\phi$ is surjective, the $\phi_{U}$ are all surjective. The transition maps that define the inverse limit on each side are all surjective, meaning the strong Mittag-Leffler condition is satisfied and so $\tilde{\phi}$ is surjective, see Definition 4.8.3 and Theorem 4.8.5 of [EH76].

Propositions 2.5 and 2.6 can be used to prove basic facts about maps between completed group algebras. Motivated by this, we will generalise this universal property to augmented Iwasawa algebras and to particular modules.

### 2.3 Profinite modules for an Iwasawa algebra

In this subsection, let $H$ be a profinite group.

## Definition 2.7:

A profinite $H$-space is a compact Hausdorff totally disconnected topological space $X$ with a continuous action $H \times X \rightarrow X$, and finitely many $H$-orbits.

Proposition 1.1.7 of Wil98 tells us that the topological space $X$ is the inverse limit of its finite discrete quotient spaces, justifying the terminology here.

We impose the condition of having finitely many orbits because we will be most interested in the case where $H$ acts transitively on $X$. (When a profinite group acts on a profinite space continuously with infinitely many orbits, the notion of locally profinite in Definition 2.25 may instead be applied.)

We now show that the topology on $X$ can be defined by an inverse limit of finite $H$-spaces.

## Lemma 2.8:

In the category of topological spaces,

$$
X \cong \lim _{U \unlhd_{o} H} \operatorname{Orb}_{U}(X)
$$

where $\operatorname{Orb}_{U}(X)$ is the space of $U$-orbits in $X$. Moreover, the isomorphism is $H$-equivariant with respect to the natural $H$-actions.

## Proof:

We show that $\left\{U \cdot x \mid U \unlhd_{o} H, x \in X\right\}$ is a basis for the topology on $X$.
Let $U$ be an open normal subgroup of $H$. Let $Z \subseteq X$ be an $H$-orbit, and consider its $U$-orbits $\operatorname{Orb}_{U}(Z)$. Because $H$ acts transitively on $Z$, the finite group $H / U$ acts transitively on $\operatorname{Orb}_{U}(Z)$, and therefore $\operatorname{Orb}_{U}(Z)$ is finite. Because $X$ has finitely many $H$-orbits, it follows that $\operatorname{Orb}_{U}(X)$ is finite. Now, $U$ is profinite and hence compact. Thus for any $x \in X$, the $U$-orbit $U \cdot x$ is a continuous image of a compact set, so is compact. But $X$ is Hausdorff, and therefore $U \cdot x$ is a closed subset of $X$. Because the $U$-orbits are closed and give a finite partition of $X$, it follows that any $U$-orbit is also open.
Now, let $Y \subseteq X$ be an open subset and $x \in Y$. Let $f: H \times\{x\} \rightarrow X$ be the restriction of the map defining the $H$-action. Then $f^{-1}(Y)=\{(h, x) \mid h \cdot x \in Y\}$ is open, and hence $V=\{h \in H \mid h \cdot y \in Y\} \subseteq H$ is open. But $V$ contains the identity of $H$, and therefore
$V$ contains an open subgroup of $H$, hence contains an open normal subgroup $U$ because $H$ is profinite. Then $U \cdot x \subseteq Y$. It follows that $Y$ is a union of open sets of this form, and so $\left\{U \cdot x \mid U \unlhd_{o} H, x \in X\right\}$ forms a basis of $X$.
Moreover, suppose $Y \subseteq X$ is both open and closed. Then $Y$ is compact, so it is a finite union of open sets in the above basis,

$$
Y=\bigcup_{j=1}^{n} U_{j} \cdot y_{j} .
$$

Let $U=\bigcap_{j=1}^{n} U_{j} \unlhd_{o} H$. Then $Y$ is a union of finitely many $U$-orbits, which must all be disjoint. Now suppose we have a partition of $X$ into subsets that are both open and closed,

$$
X=\bigcup_{j=1}^{n} Y_{j}
$$

By the above, there exist $U_{j} \unlhd_{o} H$ such that $Y_{j}$ is a union of $U_{j}$-orbits. Letting $U=\bigcap_{j=1}^{n} U_{j}$, we have that each $Y_{j}$ is a disjoint union of $U$-orbits, and $U \unlhd_{o} H$.
By Proposition 1.1.7 of Wil98, $X$ is the inverse limit of its finite discrete quotient spaces $X / \sim$. Given such a quotient space, let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ be representatives of the equivalence classes of $\sim$, and $Y_{j}=\Pi^{-1}\left(\left\{\Pi\left(x_{j}\right)\right\}\right)$, where $\Pi: X \rightarrow X / \sim$ is the quotient map. Since $X / \sim$ is discrete, each $Y_{j}$ is both open and closed, so by the above paragraph there exists $U \unlhd_{o} H$ such that each $Y_{j}$ is a disjoint union of $U$-orbits. The orbit space $\operatorname{Orb}_{U}(X)$ is a quotient space of $X$ which is finite, and discrete, since orbits are open. Thus we have a commuting diagram of continuous maps

where $\Pi_{U}, q_{U}$ are the natural quotient maps. So the inverse system of orbit spaces $\operatorname{Orb}_{U}(X)$ is cofinal in the inverse system of all discrete finite quotients, so by Proposition 1.1.7 of [Wil98], we have that $X \cong \lim _{U \unlhd_{o} H} \operatorname{Orb}_{U}(X)$.
Moreover, each of the natural maps $\Pi_{U}: X \rightarrow \operatorname{Orb}_{U}(X), \Pi_{U V}: \operatorname{Orb}_{V}(X) \rightarrow \operatorname{Orb}_{U}(X)$, for $V \leq U$ open normal subgroups of $H$, are easily seen to be $H$-equivariant. Hence the above topological isomorphism is $H$-equivariant with respect to the natural $H$-actions.

The above description of a profinite $H$-space $X$ motivates us to define a "completed module" associated to $X$.

## Definition 2.9:

Let $X$ be a profinite $H$-space. The completed module of $X$ is the (left) $k H$-module

$$
k X=\lim _{U \unlhd_{o} H} k\left[\operatorname{Orb}_{U}(X)\right] .
$$

The $k$-module $k X$ is indeed a $k H$-module because each $k\left[\operatorname{Orb}_{U}(X)\right]$ is a left $k[H / U]$-module, $k H$ is the inverse limit of these $k$-algebras, and all morphisms are $H$-equivariant. Moreover, the $k[H]$ module $k[X]$ naturally embeds into $k X$, and is easily seen to be dense by definition of the inverse limit topology.

## Definition 2.10:

Let $A$ be a pseudocompact $k$-algebra, $M$ be a (left) topological $A$-module. $M$ is pseudocompact if and only if it is an inverse limit of discrete $A$-modules of finite length.

## Lemma 2.11:

Let $X$ be a profinite $H$-space. Then $k X$ is a pseudocompact $k H$-module.

## Proof:

Recall that $X$ has finitely many $H$-orbits. Thus for each $U \unlhd_{o} H$, the $k H$-module $k\left[\operatorname{Orb}_{U}(X)\right]$ is a free $k$-module of finite rank. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a basis of open ideals $I$ of $k$ with $k / I$ Artinian. Then

$$
k X=\lim _{U \bigwedge_{o} H} k\left[\operatorname{Orb}_{U}(X)\right] \cong \lim _{U \unlhd \bigwedge_{o} H} \lim _{\overparen{I \in \mathcal{B}}}(k / I)\left[\operatorname{Orb}_{U}(X)\right] \cong \lim _{U \coprod_{o} H, I \in \mathcal{B}}(k / I)\left[\operatorname{Orb}_{U}(X)\right]
$$

and each $(k / I)\left[\operatorname{Orb}_{U}(X)\right]$ is of finite length as a $k$-module, hence is a (discrete) $k H$-module of finite length. Therefore $k X$ is a pseudocompact $k H$-module.

## Lemma 2.12:

1. For $X$ a profinite $H$-space, $k X \cong \bigoplus_{Z \in \operatorname{Orb}_{H}(X)} k Z$.
2. Let $J \leq H$ be an open subgroup, and $\operatorname{Res}_{J}^{H} X$ be $X$ considered as a profinite $J$-space. There is an isomorphism of $k J$-modules $i_{J, H}: k\left(\operatorname{Res}_{J}^{H} X\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Res}_{k J}^{k H} k X$.

## Proof:

1. For each $U \unlhd_{o} H$, we have that

$$
\operatorname{Orb}_{U}(X)=\bigsqcup_{Z \in \operatorname{Orb}_{H}(X)} \operatorname{Orb}_{U}(Z)
$$

so there is a $k[H / U]$-module isomorphism,

$$
k\left[\operatorname{Orb}_{U}(X)\right] \cong \bigoplus_{Z \in \operatorname{Orb}_{H}(X)} k\left[\operatorname{Orb}_{U}(Z)\right]
$$

By assumption, $X$ has finitely many $H$-orbits, so this direct sum is also a direct product. Since direct products commute with limits, taking inverse limits gives the result.
2. Since $J$ is open, it has finite index in $H$, and $X$ has finitely many $H$-orbits, so $X$ has finitely many $J$-orbits. So $X$ is indeed a profinite $J$-space. For a fixed $V \unlhd_{o} H$ with $V \leq J$, the inverse system $(V \cap U)_{U \unlhd_{o} H}$ is cofinal in both $(U)_{U \unlhd_{o} H}$ and $\left(U^{\prime}\right)_{U^{\prime} \unlhd_{o} J}$. By considering the natural $k[J / V \cap U]$-module homomorphism

$$
k\left[\operatorname{Orb}_{V \cap U}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{J}^{H} X\right)\right] \rightarrow \operatorname{Res}_{k[J / V \cap U]}^{k[H / V \cap U]} k\left[\operatorname{Orb}_{V \cap U}(X)\right],
$$

and taking inverse limits, we obtain the desired isomorphism.

We now prove a universal property of these modules which is analogous to Proposition 2.5

## Proposition 2.13:

Let $X$ be a profinite $H$-space and $M$ be a pseudocompact $k H$-module. Let $\phi: X \rightarrow M$ be a continuous $H$-equivariant map. There is a unique extension of $\phi$ to a continuous $k H$-module homomorphism $\tilde{\phi}: k X \rightarrow M$.

## Proof:

Since $M$ is a pseudocompact $k H$-module, let $M={\underset{i}{i \in I}}^{\lim _{i}}$ where each $M_{i}$ is a discrete finitelength $k H$-module. The image of the natural $H$-equivariant continuous map $\phi_{i}: X \rightarrow M_{i}$ is both discrete and compact, hence finite. Let $U_{i} \unlhd H$ be the kernel of the natural continuous group homomorphism

$$
H \rightarrow \operatorname{Sym}\left(\phi_{i}(X)\right),
$$

so $U_{i}$ is an open normal subgroup of $H$. It follows that $\phi_{i}$ has a reduction $\bar{\phi}_{i}: \operatorname{Orb}_{U_{i}}(X) \rightarrow M_{i}$. This naturally extends to a continuous $k\left[H / U_{i}\right]$-module homomorphism $\bar{\phi}_{i}^{\prime}: k\left[\operatorname{Orb}_{U_{i}}(X)\right] \rightarrow M_{\sim}$, giving a continuous $k H$-module homomorphism $\tilde{\phi}_{i}: k X \rightarrow M_{i}$. By construction, the $\tilde{\phi}_{i}$ agree under the transition morphisms on the $M_{i}$. Thus we define

$$
\tilde{\phi}: k X \rightarrow M=\varliminf_{i \in I} M_{i}
$$

to be the continuous $k H$-module homomorphism constructed from the $\tilde{\phi}_{i}$.
To show uniqueness, note that there is a unique $k$-module homomorphism $k[X] \rightarrow M$ extending $\phi$. Since $k[X]$ is dense in $k X$, it follows there is a unique continuous extension $k X \rightarrow M$.

As for completed group algebras, it follows that the construction of the completed module gives a functor.

## Proposition 2.14:

The mapping $F$ given on objects by $F(X)=k X$, and on morphisms by $F(\phi)=\tilde{\phi}$, is a functor from the category of profinite $H$-spaces (with continuous $H$-equivariant maps) to the category of pseudocompact kH -modules. The functor preserves injectivity and surjectivity of morphisms.

## Proof:

Let $\phi: X_{1} \rightarrow X_{2}$ be a continuous $H$-equivariant map. By Proposition 2.13, there is a unique extension of $\phi: X_{1} \rightarrow k X_{2}$ to a continuous $k H$-module homomorphism $\phi: k X_{1} \rightarrow k X_{2}$, so $F$ is well-defined. Clearly if $X_{2}=X_{1}$ and $\phi=i d_{X_{1}}$, then $\tilde{\phi}=i d_{k X_{1}}$. If $\psi: X_{2} \rightarrow X_{3}$ is another continuous $H$-equivariant map, then $\tilde{\psi} \circ \tilde{\phi}: k X_{1} \rightarrow k X_{3}$ extends $\psi \circ \phi$, and therefore is equal to $\widetilde{\psi \circ \phi}$ by uniqueness. Therefore $F$ is a functor.
Now, $\tilde{\phi}$ is constructed by taking the inverse limit of the $k H$-algebra homomorphisms

$$
\phi_{U}: k\left[\operatorname{Orb}_{U}\left(X_{1}\right)\right] \rightarrow k\left[\operatorname{Orb}_{U}\left(X_{2}\right)\right],
$$

as $U$ ranges over the open normal subgroups of $H$. If $\phi$ is injective then $\phi_{U}$ is injective for all $U$, so $\tilde{\phi}$ is injective by left-exactness of the inverse limit.
If $\phi$ is surjective, the $\phi_{U}$ are all surjective. The transition maps that define the inverse limit on each side are all surjective, meaning the strong Mittag-Leffler condition is satisfied and so $\tilde{\phi}$ is surjective, see Definition 4.8.3 and Theorem 4.8.5 of [EH76].

### 2.4 Universal property of augmented Iwasawa algebras

Motivated by subsections 2.2 and 2.3 , we now prove a similar universal property for the augmented Iwasawa algebra. First, we must define an appropriate topology.

## Definition 2.15:

Let $G$ be a locally profinite group, with open profinite subgroup $H$. Then

$$
k G=\bigoplus_{g \in H \backslash G} k H \otimes g .
$$

The topology on $k G$ is given by the direct sum topology for the topological abelian groups $k H \otimes g$, where the topology on $k H \otimes g$ is defined by requiring the natural right multiplication map $k H \rightarrow k H \otimes g$ to be a homeomorphism.

A description of the direct sum topology on abelian topological groups can be found in [CD03], Proposition 5, and a more special case in section 5.E of [Sch02].
Then, we can show $k G$ has the following properties.

## Proposition 2.16:

1. The topology on $k G$ is independent of choice of open profinite subgroup $H \leq G$, and is Hausdorff.
2. The natural map $G \rightarrow k G$ is a homeomorphism onto its image.
3. If $K \leq G$ is an open profinite subgroup, then $K$ lies in the group of units of a pseudocompact $k$-subalgebra of $k G$.
4. The subring $k[G]$ is dense in $k G$.

## Proof:

1. Let $H^{\prime} \leq H \leq G$ be open profinite subgroups of $G$. We first show that

$$
\bigoplus_{h \in H^{\prime} \backslash H} k H^{\prime} \otimes h \cong k H
$$

is a topological isomorphism, where the the left hand side has the direct sum topology and the right hand side the inverse limit topology.
Now, the inverse system $X=\left\{U \mid U \unlhd_{o} H, U \unlhd_{o} H^{\prime}\right\}$ (under reverse inclusion) is cofinal in both $\left\{U \unlhd_{o} H\right\}$ and $\left\{U \unlhd_{o} H^{\prime}\right\}$. This follows from the fact that if $U$ is normal in $H^{\prime}$, then the group

$$
V=\bigcap_{h \in H} h U h^{-1}
$$

is normal in $H$, and is a finite intersection of conjugates of $U$, since $H^{\prime}$ has finite index in $H$. Therefore we have topological isomorphisms

$$
k H \cong \lim _{U \in X} k[H / U], \quad k H^{\prime} \cong \lim _{U \in X} k\left[H^{\prime} / U\right] .
$$

Then, we have topological isomorphisms

$$
\bigoplus_{h \in H^{\prime} \backslash H} k H^{\prime} \otimes h \cong \bigoplus_{h \in H^{\prime} \backslash H}\left(\lim _{U \in X} k\left[H^{\prime} / U\right] \otimes h\right) \cong \lim _{U \in X}\left(\bigoplus_{h \in H^{\prime} \backslash H} k\left[H^{\prime} / U\right] \otimes h\right)
$$

since the direct sums are finite. Then consider the natural isomorphism

$$
\bigoplus_{h \in H^{\prime} \backslash H} k\left[H^{\prime} / U\right] \otimes h \cong k[H / U] .
$$

The left hand side has the direct sum topology, which coincides with the product topology because the direct sum is finite. Since $k\left[H^{\prime} / U\right], k[H / U]$ are free $k$-modules of finite rank with the corresponding product topology, it follows that the above isomorphism is a topological isomorphism. Thus

$$
\bigoplus_{h \in H^{\prime} \backslash H} k H^{\prime} \otimes h \cong \lim _{U \in X} k[H / U] \cong k H
$$

is a topological isomorphism.
It then follows that the topologies on $k G$ defined by $H^{\prime}, H$ are equivalent, due to the isomorphism

$$
\bigoplus_{g \in H \backslash G} k H \otimes g \cong \bigoplus_{g \in H \backslash G} \bigoplus_{h \in H^{\prime} \backslash H} k H^{\prime} \otimes h g \cong \bigoplus_{g \in H^{\prime} \backslash G} k H^{\prime} \otimes g .
$$

For arbitrary $H, H^{\prime} \leq G$ open profinite, we obtain an isomorphism by considering $H \cap H^{\prime} \leq G$ and applying the result twice.
The topology on $k G$ is Hausdorff since the topology on each $k H \otimes g$ is Hausdorff.
2. First we show this result in the profinite case: let $H$ be a profinite group, and consider the natural map $i=i_{H}: H \rightarrow k H$. The algebra $k H$ has the inverse limit topology with projection maps

$$
\Pi_{U, I}: k H \rightarrow \lim _{U \unlhd \unlhd_{o} H, I \in \mathcal{B}}(k / I)[H / U] .
$$

Here $\mathcal{B}$ is an open basis of ideals of $k$ with each $k / I$ Artinian. The map $i$ is continuous if and only if $\phi_{U, I}=\Pi_{U, I} \circ i$ is continuous for all $U, I$. Now, the image of $\phi_{U, I}: H \rightarrow(k / I)[H / U]$ is $H / U$, a finite set. Thus for any subset $X \subseteq(k / I)[H / U]$, the inverse image $\phi_{U, I}^{-1}(X)$ is a union of finitely many cosets of $U$ in $H$, which is open. Hence, $\phi_{U, I}$ is continuous. So, $i$ is continuous. Moreover, $i$ is clearly injective, whilst $H$ is compact and $k H$ Hausdorff. Thus $i: H \rightarrow i(H)$ is a homeomorphism.
Now, let $G$ be a locally profinite group, and consider the natural map

$$
i_{G}: G \rightarrow k G=\bigoplus_{g \in H \backslash G} k H \otimes g
$$

Clearly $i_{G}(x)=i_{H}\left(x g^{-1}\right) \otimes g$ when $x \in H g$, for $g \in H \backslash G$. So, for each $g \in H \backslash G$, the restriction

$$
j_{g}=\left.i_{G}\right|_{H g}: H g \rightarrow i_{H}(H) \otimes g
$$

is a homeomorphism. Clearly, the cosets $H g$ form a disjoint open cover of $G$, whilst the sets $i_{H}(H) \otimes g$ are also disjoint. We show that each $i_{H}(H) \otimes g$ is also open. For a fixed $g^{\prime} \in H \backslash G$, let $U_{g^{\prime}}=k H$. When $g^{\prime} \notin H g$, let $U_{g} \subseteq k H$ be a proper two-sided ideal of $k H$ - which exists since $k H$ is a pseudocompact ring. In this case, $U_{g} \cap i_{H}(H)$ is empty. Let

$$
U=\bigoplus_{g \in H \backslash G} U_{g} \otimes g \leq k G,
$$

so $U$ is an open subgroup of $k G$. Therefore $U \cap i_{G}(G)=i_{H}(H) \otimes g^{\prime}$ is open in $i_{G}(G)$. Thus $\left\{i_{H}(H) \otimes g \mid g \in H \backslash G\right\}$ is a disjoint open cover of $i_{G}(G)$.
The restrictions $j_{g}=\left.i_{G}\right|_{H g}$ above give homeomorphisms between corresponding parts of the disjoint open covers of $G$ and of $i_{G}(G)$, from which it follows straightforwardly that $i_{G}$ is a homeomorphism onto its image.
3. This is trivial, as $K \leq(k K)^{\times}$and $k K$ is a pseudocompact subalgebra of $k G=k K \otimes_{k[K]} k[G]$.
4. We have that

$$
k[G]=\bigoplus_{g \in H \backslash G} k[H] \otimes g \leq k G
$$

and $k[H]$ is dense in $k H$. If $C$ is a closed set containing $k[G]$, then for all $g \in H \backslash G, C \cap(k H \otimes g)$ is closed in $k H \otimes g$, but contains $k[H] \otimes g$. Hence $C \cap(k H \otimes g)=k H \otimes g$, and hence $C=k G$. Thus, $k[G]$ is dense in $k G$.

To prove a universal property for $k G$ similar to that of Proposition 2.5, we will need a class of algebras to replace "pseudocompact $k$-algebras", and which contains all algebras $k G$ where $G$ is locally profinite. For this reason, and using the proposition just proved, we define the following class of algebras.

## Definition 2.17:

Let $A$ be a topological $k$-algebra, that is, a topological ring with a continuous homomorphism
from $k$ to the centre of $A$, and assume $A$ is Hausdorff. Let $G \leq A^{\times}$be a subgroup of the units of $A$. The subgroup $G$ makes $A$ Iwasawa-like if and only if the subspace topology makes $G$ a topological group (that is, the inversion map on $G$ is continuous), and there is an open profinite subgroup $K \leq G$ and a pseudocompact $k$-subalgebra $B \leq A$ such that $K$ is a subgroup of $B^{\times}$.

If $G$ is a locally profinite group, the subgroup $G \leq(k G)^{\times}$makes $k G$ an Iwasawa-like topological $k$-algebra, by the second and third statements of Proposition 2.16. Moreover, the ring of $k$-linear endomorphisms of a smooth representation is also Iwasawa-like.

## Proposition 2.18:

Let $V$ be a smooth representation of $G$. The ring of $k$-linear endomorphisms of $V$ is naturally an Iwasawa-like algebra.

This is proved in subsection 2.7, where our notion of smooth representation is also defined. We can prove the following universal property for augmented Iwasawa algebras.

## Proposition 2.19:

Let $G$ be a locally profinite group. Let $A$ be an Iwasawa-like topological $k$-algebra, via subgroup $L \leq A^{\times}$. Let $\phi: G \rightarrow L$ be a continuous group homomorphism. There is a unique continuous $k$-algebra homomorphism $\tilde{\phi}: k G \rightarrow A$ extending $\phi$.

An application of Proposition 2.19 to the result of Proposition 2.18 gives the following result on smooth representations.

## Corollary 2.20:

Let $V$ be a smooth representation of $G$. There is a unique $k G$-module structure extending the $k[G]$-module action on $V$.

The proof of Corollary 2.20 can also be found in subsection 2.7. We now prove Proposition 2.19, which requires the following weaker statement.

## Lemma 2.21:

Let $H$ be a profinite group. Let $A$ be an Iwasawa-like topological $k$-algebra, via subgroup $L \leq A^{\times}$. Let $\phi: H \rightarrow L$ be a continuous group homomorphism. There is a unique continuous $k$-algebra homomorphism $\tilde{\phi}: k H \rightarrow A$ extending $\phi$.

## Proof of Proposition 2.19;

For any open profinite $H \leq G$, the restriction of $\phi: G \rightarrow L$ to $\left.\phi\right|_{H}: H \rightarrow L$ is a continuous group homomorphism, and hence by Lemma 2.21, there is a unique continuous $k$-algebra homomorphism $\psi_{H}: k H \rightarrow A$ extending $\left.\phi\right|_{H}$. There is also a unique $k$-algebra homomorphism $\psi_{G}: k[G] \rightarrow A$ extending $\phi$, by the universal property of the usual group algebra. Then, the restrictions $\left.\psi_{G}\right|_{k[H]}=\left.\psi_{H}\right|_{k[H]}$, and $\left.\psi_{H}\right|_{k J}=\psi_{J}$ if $J \leq H$ are open profinite subgroups of $G$.
For any open profinite subgroup $H \leq G$, define the following $k$-bilinear map:

$$
\phi_{H}^{\prime}: k H \times k[G] \rightarrow A, \quad \phi_{H}^{\prime}(x, y)=\psi_{H}(x) \psi_{G}(y) .
$$

The map $\phi_{H}^{\prime}$ is also $(k H, k[G])$-bilinear, where $k H, k[G]$ act on $A$ via $\psi_{H}, \psi_{G}$. Moreover if $r \in k[H]$, then because $\psi_{G}, \psi_{H}$ are ring homomorphisms and agree on $k[H]$,
$\phi_{H}^{\prime}(x r, y)=\psi_{H}(x r) \psi_{G}(y)=\psi_{H}(x) \psi_{H}(r) \psi_{G}(y)=\psi_{H}(x) \psi_{G}(r) \psi_{G}(y)=\psi_{H}(x) \psi_{G}(r y)=\phi_{H}^{\prime}(x, r y)$.
Thus, using the universal property of the tensor product, $\phi_{H}^{\prime}$ induces a homomorphism of ( $k H, k[G]$ )-bimodules

$$
\tilde{\phi}_{H}: k H \otimes_{k[H]} k[G] \rightarrow A,
$$

where $\tilde{\phi}_{H}(x \otimes y)=\psi_{H}(x) \psi_{G}(y)$.
We now show that the homomorphisms $\tilde{\phi}_{H}$ for varying $H$ agree, under appropriate identifications.
Let $J \leq H$ be open profinite subgroups of $G$. Let $i_{J, H}^{\prime}: k J \rightarrow k H$ be the natural inclusion of their completed group algebras, and define

$$
i_{J, H}: k J \otimes_{k[J]} k[G] \rightarrow k H \otimes_{k[H]} k[G], \quad i_{J, H}(x \otimes z)=i_{J, H}^{\prime}(x) \otimes z
$$

We also define a map $\Pi_{J, H}$ in the opposite direction, in the following way. Let

$$
\alpha: k H \rightarrow k J \otimes_{k[J]} k[H]
$$

be the natural $(k J, k[H])$-bimodule isomorphism with $\alpha \circ i_{J, H}^{\prime}=i d_{k J} \otimes 1$. Let $s$ be the natural isomorphism of ( $k J, k[G]$ )-bimodules,

$$
s:\left(k J \otimes_{k[J]} k[H]\right) \otimes_{k[H]} k[G] \rightarrow k J \otimes_{k[J]}\left(k[H] \otimes_{k[H]} k[G]\right),
$$

and $\beta$ be the natural $(k[H], k[G])$-bimodule isomorphism

$$
\beta: k[H] \otimes_{k[H]} k[G] \rightarrow k[G] .
$$

Then we have the maps

$$
k H \otimes_{k[H]} k[G] \xrightarrow{\alpha \otimes 1}\left(k J \otimes_{k[J]} k[H]\right) \otimes_{k[H]} k[G] \xrightarrow{s} k J \otimes_{k[J]}\left(k[H] \otimes_{k[H]} k[G]\right) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \beta} k J \otimes_{k[J]} k[G],
$$

so let

$$
\Pi_{J, H}=(1 \otimes \beta) \circ s \circ(\alpha \otimes 1) .
$$

Then $\Pi_{J, H}$ is an isomorphism since $\beta, s, \alpha$ are, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Pi_{J, H} \circ i_{J, H}(x \otimes z) & =\Pi_{J, H}\left(i_{J, H}^{\prime}(x) \otimes z\right) \\
& =(1 \otimes \beta) \circ s\left(\alpha\left(i_{J, H}^{\prime}(x) \otimes z\right)\right) \\
& =(1 \otimes \beta) \circ s((x \otimes 1) \otimes z) \\
& =1 \otimes \beta(x \otimes(1 \otimes z)) \\
& =x \otimes z
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x \in k J, z \in k[G]$. Thus $i_{J, H}, \Pi_{J, H}$ are mutually inverse isomorphisms. We will also write $i_{H, J}=\Pi_{J, H}$.
Now, the following diagram,
commutes, because for all $x \in k J, z \in k[G]$,

$$
\tilde{\phi}_{H} \circ i_{J, H}(x \otimes z)=\tilde{\phi}_{H}\left(i_{J, H}^{\prime}(x) \otimes z\right)=\psi_{H}\left(i_{J, H}^{\prime}(x)\right) \psi_{G}(z)=\psi_{J}(x) \psi_{G}(z)=\tilde{\phi}_{J}(x \otimes z) .
$$

This uses that $\psi_{H} \circ i_{J, H}^{\prime}=\psi_{J}$, by uniqueness of the extensions $\psi_{J}, \psi_{H}$. So, if $J \leq H$, then $\tilde{\phi}_{H} \circ i_{J, H}=\tilde{\phi}_{J}$, and so $\tilde{\phi}_{H}=\tilde{\phi}_{J} \circ i_{J, H}^{-1}=\tilde{\phi}_{J} \circ i_{H, J}$ also.
For arbitrary open profinite subgroups $H, H^{\prime} \leq G$, define $i_{H, H^{\prime}}=i_{H \cap H^{\prime}, H^{\prime}} \circ i_{H, H \cap H^{\prime}}$. It then follows that $\tilde{\phi}_{H} \circ i_{H^{\prime}, H}=\tilde{\phi}_{H^{\prime}}$.
We now use these identifications to show that $\tilde{\phi}_{H}$ is a ring homomorphism, for any $H \leq G$ open profinite.

Let $g \in G, x \in k H$. We consider the product $(1 \otimes g)(x \otimes 1) \in k G=k H \otimes_{k[H]} k[G]$. The multiplication on $k G$ is such that

$$
(1 \otimes g)(x \otimes 1)=g x=i_{K, H}\left(g x g^{-1} \otimes g\right),
$$

where $K=g \mathrm{Hg}^{-1}$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\phi}_{H}((1 \otimes g)(x \otimes 1)) & =\tilde{\phi}_{H} \circ i_{K, H}\left(g x g^{-1} \otimes g\right) \\
& =\tilde{\phi}_{K}\left(g x g^{-1} \otimes g\right) \\
& =\psi_{K}\left(g x g^{-1}\right) \psi_{G}(g) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It remains to determine $\psi_{K}\left(g x g^{-1}\right)$. We show that $\psi_{K}\left(g x g^{-1}\right)=\psi_{G}(g) \psi_{H}(x) \psi_{G}(g)^{-1}$. Let

$$
d: k H \rightarrow A, \quad d(t)=\psi_{K}\left(g t g^{-1}\right)-\psi_{G}(g) \psi_{H}(t) \psi_{G}(g)^{-1}
$$

Now, $\psi_{K}, \psi_{H}$ are continuous and multiplication in $A$ is continuous, so $d$ is a continuous function. If $t \in k[H]$, then $g t g^{-1} \in k[G]$, and

$$
\psi_{K}\left(g t g^{-1}\right)=\psi_{G}\left(g t g^{-1}\right)=\psi_{G}(g) \psi_{G}(t) \psi_{G}(g)^{-1}=\psi_{G}(g) \psi_{H}(t) \psi_{G}(g)^{-1}
$$

so $d(t)=0$. Thus $d(k[H])=\{0\}$, but $k[H]$ is dense in $k H$ and $A$ is Hausdorff, so $\{0\}$ is closed and $d(k H)=\{0\}$. Thus,

$$
\tilde{\phi}_{H}((1 \otimes g)(x \otimes 1))=\psi_{G}(g) \psi_{H}(x) \psi_{G}(g)^{-1} \psi_{G}(g)=\psi_{G}(g) \psi_{H}(x) .
$$

Because $\tilde{\phi}_{H}$ is a $(k H, k[G])$-bimodule homomorphism, for all $z \in k H \otimes_{k[H]} k[G]$,

$$
\tilde{\phi}_{H}(z g)=\tilde{\phi}_{H}(z) \psi_{G}(g), \quad \tilde{\phi}_{H}(y z)=\psi_{H}(y) \tilde{\phi}_{H}(z)
$$

So, let $x_{1}, x_{2} \in k H$ and $g_{1}, g_{2} \in G$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\phi}_{H}\left(\left(x_{1} \otimes g_{1}\right)\left(x_{2} \otimes g_{2}\right)\right) & =\psi_{H}\left(x_{1}\right) \tilde{\phi}_{H}\left(\left(1 \otimes g_{1}\right)\left(x_{2} \otimes 1\right)\right) \psi_{G}\left(g_{2}\right) \\
& =\psi_{H}\left(x_{1}\right) \psi_{G}\left(g_{1}\right) \psi_{H}\left(x_{2}\right) \psi_{G}\left(g_{2}\right) \\
& =\tilde{\phi}_{H}\left(x_{1} \otimes g_{1}\right) \tilde{\phi}_{H}\left(x_{2} \otimes g_{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $\tilde{\phi}_{H}$ is a ring (and hence $k$-algebra) homomorphism. Now, $\psi_{H}$ is continuous, so $\tilde{\phi}_{H}$ is continuous on $k H \otimes g$, for any $g \in H \backslash G$. Because

$$
\tilde{\phi}_{H}=\left.\bigoplus_{g \in H \backslash G} \tilde{\phi}_{H}\right|_{k H \otimes g}: \bigoplus_{g \in H \backslash G} k H \otimes g \rightarrow \bigoplus_{g \in H \backslash G} k H \otimes g,
$$

it follows that $\tilde{\phi}_{H}$ is a continuous $k$-algebra homomorphism extending $\phi: G \rightarrow A^{\times}$.
To show uniqueness, recall from Proposition 2.16 that $k[G]$ is dense in $k G$. Thus any two continuous maps $k G \rightarrow A$ agreeing on $k[G]$ are equal. But $\psi_{G}: k[G] \rightarrow A$ is the unique $k$-algebra homomorphism extending $\phi$ to $k[G]$, therefore $\tilde{\phi}$ is the unique continuous $k$-algebra homomorphism extending $\phi$.

We now prove Lemma 2.21

## Proof of Lemma 2.21:

We have a continuous group homomorphism $\phi: H \rightarrow L \leq A^{\times}$. Since $L$ makes $A$ Iwasawa-like, let $K \leq L$ be an open profinite subgroup, and $B \leq A$ be a pseudocompact $k$-subalgebra containing $K$ in its units. Then, $\phi(H) \cap K$ is an open subgroup of $\phi(H)$, and so $\phi^{-1}(\phi(H) \cap K)$ is an open
subgroup of $H$. Let $K^{\prime} \leq H$ be an open normal subgroup of $H$ such that $K^{\prime} \leq \phi^{-1}(\phi(H) \cap K)$, which exists because $H$ is profinite. So, we have a continuous group homomorphism

$$
\left.\phi\right|_{K^{\prime}}: K^{\prime} \rightarrow K \leq B^{\times} .
$$

By the universal property for completed group algebras in Proposition 2.5, $\left.\phi\right|_{K^{\prime}}$ extends uniquely to a continuous $k$-algebra homomorphism $\psi: k K^{\prime} \rightarrow B$.
Using the universal property of ordinary group algebras, let $f: k[H] \rightarrow A$ be the unique $k$ algebra extension of $\phi$. By uniqueness of these maps, we have that $\left.f\right|_{k\left[K^{\prime}\right]}=\left.\psi\right|_{k\left[K^{\prime}\right]}$. Thus, define

$$
\tilde{\phi}: k H \rightarrow A, \quad \tilde{\phi}(x \otimes h)=\psi(x) f(h),
$$

where we identify $k H \cong k K^{\prime} \otimes_{k\left[K^{\prime}\right]} k[H]$, and transport the ring structure. Then if $x_{1}, x_{2} \in k K^{\prime}$ and $h_{1}, h_{2} \in H$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\phi}\left(\left(x_{1} \otimes h_{1}\right)\left(x_{2} \otimes h_{2}\right)\right) & =\tilde{\phi}\left(\left(x_{1} \otimes 1\right)\left(h_{1} x_{2} h_{1}^{-1} \otimes h_{1} h_{2}\right)\right) \\
& =\tilde{\phi}\left(x_{1} h_{1} x_{2} h_{1}^{-1} \otimes h_{1} h_{2}\right) \\
& =\psi\left(x_{1} h_{1} x_{2} h_{1}^{-1}\right) f\left(h_{1} h_{2}\right) \\
& =\psi\left(x_{1}\right) \psi\left(h_{1} x_{2} h_{1}^{-1}\right) f\left(h_{1}\right) f\left(h_{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Because $k\left[K^{\prime}\right]$ is dense in $k K^{\prime}$, very similar reasoning as in the proof of Proposition 2.19 shows that

$$
\psi\left(h_{1} x_{2} h_{1}^{-1}\right)=f\left(h_{1}\right) \psi\left(x_{2}\right) f\left(h_{1}\right)^{-1},
$$

and so

$$
\tilde{\phi}\left(\left(x_{1} \otimes h_{1}\right)\left(x_{2} \otimes h_{2}\right)\right)=\psi\left(x_{1}\right) f\left(h_{1}\right) \psi\left(x_{2}\right) f\left(h_{2}\right)=\tilde{\phi}\left(x_{1} \otimes h_{1}\right) \tilde{\phi}\left(x_{2} \otimes h_{2}\right) .
$$

Thus $\tilde{\phi}$ is a $k$-algebra homomorphism that extends $\phi$. Because $\psi$ is continuous and $k H$ can be identified with $k K \otimes_{k[K]} k[H]$, identical reasoning as in the proof of Proposition 2.19 shows that $\tilde{\phi}$ is continuous.
Since $k[H]$ is dense in $k H$ and $f$ is the unique $k$-algebra homomorphism $k[H] \rightarrow A$ extending $\phi$, it follows that $\tilde{\phi}$ must be the unique continuous $k$-algebra homomorphism $k H \rightarrow A$ extending $\phi$.

It follows from Proposition 2.19 that construction of the augmented Iwasawa algebra gives a functor.

## Proposition 2.22:

The mapping $F$ given on objects by $F(G)=k G$ and on morphisms by $F(\phi)=\tilde{\phi}$, is a functor from the category of locally profinite groups (with continuous group homomorphisms) to the category of Iwasawa-like topological $k$-algebras (with continuous $k$-algebra homomorphisms). The functor preserves surjectivity of morphisms and injectivity of closed morphisms.

## Proof:

That $F$ is a well-defined functor follows directly from Proposition 2.19, using an identical argument as found in the proof of Proposition 2.6.
Let $\phi: G_{1} \rightarrow G_{2}$ be a continuous group homomorphism between locally profinite groups.
Suppose $\phi$ is injective and a closed map. Then $\phi\left(G_{1}\right)$ is a closed subgroup of $G_{2}$. Let $H_{2} \leq G_{2}$ be an open profinite subgroup, and $H_{1}=\phi^{-1}\left(H_{2}\right)$. Then $H_{1}$ is an open subgroup of $G_{1}$, and is isomorphic to $\phi\left(H_{1}\right)=\phi\left(G_{1}\right) \cap H_{2}$ as a topological group, hence is profinite. Now, $\left.\tilde{\phi}\right|_{k H_{1}}=\widetilde{\left.\phi\right|_{H_{1}}}$ is injective by Proposition 2.6, and the natural map induced by $\phi$ on cosets,

$$
H_{1} \backslash G_{1} \rightarrow H_{2} \backslash G_{2}, \quad H_{1} g \mapsto H_{2} \phi(g),
$$

is injective. Now, $\tilde{\phi}$ is given by

$$
\tilde{\phi}: \bigoplus_{g \in H_{1} \backslash G_{1}} k H_{1} \otimes g \rightarrow \bigoplus_{g \in H_{2} \backslash G_{2}} k H_{2} \otimes g, \quad \tilde{\phi}(x \otimes g)=\left.\tilde{\phi}\right|_{k H_{1}}(x) \otimes \phi(g),
$$

therefore $\tilde{\phi}$ is injective.
Suppose instead $\phi$ is a surjective continuous group homomorphism. Let $H_{1} \leq G_{1}$ be an open profinite subgroup. Because surjective maps between topological groups are open, $\phi\left(H_{1}\right) \leq G_{2}$ is an open profinite subgroup. By Proposition 2.6, $\left.\tilde{\phi}\right|_{k H_{1}}=\widetilde{\left.\phi\right|_{H_{1}}}$ is surjective, and so

$$
\tilde{\phi}: \bigoplus_{g \in H_{1} \backslash G_{1}} k H_{1} \otimes g \rightarrow \bigoplus_{g \in \phi\left(H_{1}\right) \backslash G_{2}} k \phi\left(H_{1}\right) \otimes g
$$

is surjective.

Proposition 2.22, and its proof, implies that if $G^{\prime} \leq G$ is a closed subgroup, then $k G^{\prime}$ is identified with the subring of $k G$,

$$
\bigoplus_{g \in H \backslash H G^{\prime}} k\left(G^{\prime} \cap H\right) \otimes g,
$$

where $H \backslash H G^{\prime}$ denotes (a set of representatives for) the set $\left\{H g \mid g \in G^{\prime}\right\} \subseteq H \backslash G$, for $H \leq G$ open profinite. The proposition also implies that if $L$ is a quotient of $G, k L$ is a quotient ring of $k G$.

### 2.5 Modules for an augmented Iwasawa algebra

In this subsection, let $G$ be a locally profinite group. We define modules associated to locally profinite $G$-spaces and prove a similar universal property for certain $k G$-modules as in subsection 2.3 .
Before doing this, it will be useful to prove the characterisation of $k G$-modules as being the augmented representations defined in Eme10.

## Proposition 2.23:

Let $M$ be a $k[G]$-module equipped with a $k H$-module structure for some open profinite subgroup $H \leq G$ such that the two induced $k[H]$-actions coincide. Suppose that $M$ has a Hausdorff topology such that each element of $G$ acts continuously on $M$, and the action $k H \times M \rightarrow M$ is continuous. Then $M$ has a unique $k G$-module structure which extends the action of $k[G]$ and $k H$.

## Proof:

Let $\alpha_{H}: k H \times M \rightarrow M$ denote the $k H$-module action on $M$ and $\beta: k[G] \times M \rightarrow M$ denote the $k[G]$-module structure. The actions agree on $k[H]$, that is, $\left.\alpha_{H}\right|_{k[H] \times M}=\left.\beta\right|_{k[H] \times M}$.
Then the map

$$
\phi_{H}^{\prime}: k H \times k[G] \times M \rightarrow M, \quad \phi_{H}^{\prime}(x, y, m)=\alpha_{H}(x, \beta(y, m))
$$

is a $k[H]$-balanced map and so we get an induced map

$$
\phi_{H}: k H \otimes_{k[H]} k[G] \times M \rightarrow M, \quad \phi_{H}(x \otimes y, m)=\alpha_{H}(x, \beta(y, m)) .
$$

Note $\phi_{H}$ extends $\alpha_{H}$ and $\beta$, and is continuous on $(k H \otimes g) \times M$ for all $g \in G$, thus is continuous. Let $g \in G$ and $m \in M$. Consider the function

$$
d: k H \rightarrow M, \quad d(x)=\phi_{H}\left(g x g^{-1}, \beta(g, m)\right)-\beta\left(g, \alpha_{H}(x, m)\right) .
$$

Now, $\alpha_{H}$ is continuous, $G$ acts continuously on $k H$ by conjugation, and $g$ acts continuously on $M$ (via $\beta$ ). Therefore $d$ is a continuous function. If $x \in k[H]$, we have that $x, g x g^{-1} \in k[G]$, so it follows by the module axioms for $k[G]$ that $d(x)=0$. But, $k[H]$ is dense in $k H$, therefore as $M$ is Hausdorff, we have that $d(k H)=0$.
It follows that

$$
\phi_{H}\left(g x g^{-1}, \beta(g, m)\right)=\beta\left(g, \alpha_{H}(x, m)\right),
$$

that is,

$$
\phi_{H}\left(g x g^{-1}, \phi_{H}(g, m)\right)=\phi_{H}\left(g, \phi_{H}(x, m)\right),
$$

for all $x \in k H, g \in G, m \in M$. Moreover, by definition $\phi_{H}$ has the property that

$$
\phi_{H}\left(x^{\prime} z g^{\prime}, m\right)=\phi_{H}\left(x^{\prime}, \phi_{H}\left(z, \phi_{H}\left(g^{\prime}, m\right)\right)\right),
$$

for any $x^{\prime} \in k H, z \in k G, g^{\prime} \in G$. Combining these statements, it is straightforward to show

$$
\phi_{H}\left(\left(x_{1} \otimes g_{1}\right)\left(x_{2} \otimes g_{2}\right), m\right)=\phi_{H}\left(x_{1}\left(g_{1} x_{2} g_{1}^{-1}\right) g_{1} g_{2}, m\right)=\phi_{H}\left(x_{1} \otimes g_{1}, \phi_{H}\left(x_{2} \otimes g_{2}, m\right)\right)
$$

for any $x_{1}, x_{2} \in k H, g_{1}, g_{2} \in G$. By $k$-linearity, it follows that for any $z_{1}, z_{2} \in k G$, we have the module axiom

$$
\phi_{H}\left(z_{1}, \phi_{H}\left(z_{2}, m\right)\right)=\phi_{H}\left(z_{1} z_{2}, m\right) .
$$

Thus $\phi_{H}$ defines a module action of $k G=k H \otimes_{k[H]} k[G]$ on $M$. This extends the actions of $k H$ and of $k[G]$, and moreover must be the unique such action because these subrings generate $k G$.

## Corollary 2.24:

Let $k$ be a profinite commutative ring. Let $M$ be a $k[G]$-module equipped with a $k H$-module structure for some open profinite subgroup $H \leq G$ such that the two induced $k[H]$-actions coincide. If $k H$ is a Noetherian ring, then $M$ has a unique $k G$-module structure that extends the action of $k[G]$ and of $k H$.

## Proof:

Since $k$ is profinite, $k H$ is a profinite Noetherian ring. Thus every finitely-generated $k H$ submodule of $M$ can be given the "canonical" topology, see Proposition 2.1.3 of [Eme10]. We give $M$ the final topology corresponding to the inclusions $N \rightarrow M$ of all finitely-generated submodules $N$ of $M$. That is, $U \subseteq M$ is open if and only if $U \cap N \subseteq N$ is open for every finitely-generated submodule $N$.
For $N \leq M$ a finitely-generated $k H$-submodule, the $k H$-action $k H \times N \rightarrow N$ is continuous, again by Proposition 2.1.3 of [Eme10]. That is, letting $\alpha_{H}: k H \times M \rightarrow M$ denote the $k H$-module action, the restriction $\left.\alpha_{H}\right|_{k H \times N}$ is continuous for any finitely-generated $N$. It is also straightforward to check that a subset $U^{\prime} \subseteq k H \times M$ is open if and only if $U^{\prime} \cap(k H \times N) \subseteq k H \times N$ is open for all $N$, using the fact that the inclusions $N \rightarrow N^{\prime}$ of one finitely-generated submodule to another are open continuous maps, so for any open subset $V \subseteq N$ of any finitely-generated submodule, $V$ is open in $M$.
Now, let $U \subseteq M$ be an open subset of $M$. Then

$$
\alpha_{H}^{-1}(U) \cap(k H \times N)=\left(\left.\alpha_{H}\right|_{k H \times N}\right)^{-1}(U \cap N)
$$

is open in $k H \times N$, for any finitely-generated submodule $N$. Therefore $\alpha_{H}^{-1}(U)$ is open, by the above. So $\alpha_{H}$ is continuous.
Let $g \in G$. The action of $g$ on $M$ induces a natural bijection $g: N \rightarrow g N$ for any finitelygenerated submodule $N$. We show it is a homeomorphism.
Now, $g N$ is a finitely-generated $k\left(g \mathrm{Hg}^{-1} \cap H\right)$-submodule of $M$, and $N$ is a finitely-generated $k\left(H \cap g^{-1} H g\right)$-submodule of $M$. The canonical topology on $N, g N$ is determined by these respective module structures. The map $g: N \rightarrow g N$ naturally induces a bijective correspondence between $k\left(H \cap g^{-1} \mathrm{Hg}\right)$-submodules of $N$ and $k\left(g \mathrm{Hg}^{-1} \cap H\right)$-submodules of $g N$. The open submodules of $N, g N$ are those of finite index, and since the action of $g$ gives a bijection between $N$ and $g N$, it must therefore induce a bijective correspondence between open submodules, $(U \leq N) \mapsto(g U \leq g N)$. Thus, $g: N \rightarrow g N$ is a homeomorphism.
Now, let $U \subseteq M$ be open, and $N$ be a finitely-generated $k H$-submodule of $M$. Then $U \cap(k H \cdot g N)$ is open in $k H \cdot g N$ and hence $U \cap g N$ is open in $g N$. Then $g^{-1}(U) \cap N=g^{-1}(U \cap g N)$ is open, by the above. Thus by definition $g^{-1}(U)$ is open. So the action $g: M \rightarrow M$ is continuous for any $g \in G$.

Moreover the topology on $M$ is Hausdorff, since it is Hausdorff on any finitely-generated submodule $N$. Thus, the topology on $M$ satisfies the properties of Proposition 2.23. Therefore $M$ has a unique $k G$-module structure extending the $k[G]$-module and $k H$-module actions.

We now generalise Definition 2.7 to locally profinite groups and spaces.

## Definition 2.25:

A locally profinite $G$-space is a locally compact Hausdorff totally disconnected topological space $X$ with a continuous $G$-action $G \times X \rightarrow X$.

Notice that for $H$ an open profinite subgroup, the $H$-orbits of a locally profinite $G$-space $X$ are compact, Hausdorff and totally disconnected, and (trivially) each has finitely many $H$-orbits, thus are profinite $H$-spaces. Unlike subsection 2.3, we make no assumption on the number of orbits of $G$ on $X$ here. (In particular we are free to take $G$ and $X$ to be profinite, with infinitely many $G$-orbits.)

## Definition 2.26:

Let $X$ be a locally profinite $G$-space, $H$ be an open profinite subgroup of $G$. The augmented completed module of $X$ is

$$
k X=\bigoplus_{Z \in \operatorname{Orb}_{H}(X)} k Z,
$$

with the direct sum topology, where each $k Z$ has its natural inverse limit topology.
We now show that this definition gives a $k G$-module which does not depend on choice of $H$.

## Proposition 2.27:

Let $X$ be a locally profinite $G$-space. For any $H \leq G$ an open profinite subgroup, there is a $k G$-action extending the left $k H$-module action on $(k X)_{H}=\bigoplus_{Z \in \operatorname{Orb}_{H}(X)} k Z$. Moreover, each of these $k G$-modules are naturally isomorphic and the topologies agree.

## Proof:

Let $J \leq H$ be open profinite subgroups of $G$. For $Z$ any $H$-orbit, there is a $k J$-module isomorphism

$$
i_{J, H}^{Z}: \bigoplus_{Z^{\prime} \in \mathrm{Orb}_{J}(Z)} k Z^{\prime} \rightarrow k Z
$$

using both parts of Lemma 2.12. Thus, define the $k J$-module isomorphism

$$
j_{J, H}=\bigoplus_{Z \in \operatorname{Orb}_{H}(X)} i_{J, H}^{Z}:(k X)_{J} \rightarrow(k X)_{H} .
$$

We can extend this definition to arbitrary $J, H \leq G$ by $j_{J, H}=j_{J \cap H, H} \circ j_{J \cap H, J}^{-1}$.
We define a $k G$-module action on $(k X)_{H}$, by first defining a $G$-action on $k X$. For $Z$ an $H$-orbit of $X$ and $g \in G$, we have the natural continuous action $g: Z \rightarrow g Z$. We can consider both $Z$ and $g Z$ as profinite $H$-spaces by letting $H$ act on $g Z$ via the isomorphism $H \cong g H g^{-1}$. Thus we obtain a (bijective) map $\tilde{g}: k Z \rightarrow k(g Z)$, by Proposition 2.13. Note that $g Z$ is a profinite $g \mathrm{Hg}^{-1}$-space, so linearly extending, we obtain a (continuous) map

$$
\tilde{g}_{H}:(k X)_{H} \rightarrow(k X)_{g H g^{-1}} .
$$

Define the action of $g \in G$ on $m \in(k X)_{H}$ by $g \cdot m=j_{g H g^{-1}, H}\left(\tilde{g}_{H}(m)\right)$. It is easy to check that

$$
\tilde{g}_{H}\left(j_{J, H}(m)\right)=j_{g J g^{-1}, g H g^{-1}}\left(\tilde{g}_{J}(m)\right)
$$

for any open profinite subgroups $J, H \leq G$ (by first considering the case $J \leq H$ ). Then for any $g, g^{\prime} \in G$ and $m \in(k X)_{H}$, it follows that $\left(g g^{\prime}\right) \cdot m=g \cdot\left(g^{\prime} \cdot m\right)$, because $\tilde{g}_{g^{\prime} H\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{-1}} \circ \tilde{g}^{\prime}{ }_{H}=\widetilde{g g^{\prime}}{ }_{H}$ by Proposition 2.14 and because $j_{H_{2}, H_{3}} \circ j_{H_{1}, H_{2}}=j_{H_{1}, H_{3}}$ for any open profinite subgroups $H_{1}, H_{2}, H_{3} \leq G$. So, the action of $G$ on $(k X)_{H}$ is a group action.
Moreover, each isomorphism $j_{J, H}$ is $G$-equivariant. The isomorphisms of Lemma 2.12 are easily seen to be topological isomorphisms, so each $i_{J, H}^{Z}$, therefore each $j_{J, H}$, is also a topological isomorphism. Hence, every $g \in G$ acts continuously on $(k X)_{H}$, and $(k X)_{H}$ is Hausdorff because each $k Z$ is Hausdorff. The $G$-action is obviously $k$-linear, and agrees with the $H$-action induced from the natural $k H$-action. Thus we have a $k[G]$-module action on $(k X)_{H}$ which agrees with the $k H$-module action on $k[H]$. By Proposition 2.23 , it follows that there is a unique $k G$-module action on $(k X)_{H}$ extending these actions.
Since each $j_{J, H}$ is a topological $k J$-module isomorphism, and is $G$-equivariant, it follows that $(k X)_{J} \cong(k X)_{H}$ as topological $k G$-modules whenever $J \leq H$, and this obviously extends to arbitrary open profinite subgroups $J, H$.

## Example

Let $G=\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times}$and $X=\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ with $G$ acting by multiplication. A compact open subgroup of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times}$is $H=\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}$. The $H$-orbits in $X$ are $\left\{p^{n} \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times} \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\right\} \cup\{\{0\}\}$, and so

$$
k X=\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} k \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times} \oplus k
$$

as a $k \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}$-module, where $k$ is the trivial module. The element $p^{m} \in \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times}$acts on the infinite direct sum by the natural "shift by $m$ " among the isomorphic summands, and acts trivially on $k$. Since $k \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} \cong k \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}\left[X, X^{-1}\right]$, we have a $k \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times}$-module isomorphism

$$
k \mathbb{Q}_{p} \cong k \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} \oplus k .
$$

We now prove a universal property analogous to those in the previous three subsections. Similarly to subsection 2.4, this requires us to define a class of modules which generalises "pseudocompact $k H$-modules", but which may look unnatural at first sight.

## Definition 2.28:

Let $M$ be a Hausdorff topological left $k G$-module. Let $L \subseteq M$ be a subset closed under the action of $G$. The subset $L$ makes $M$ an Iwasawa-like $k G$-module if and only if there is an open profinite subgroup $H \leq G$ such that every $H$-orbit in $L$ is contained in a pseudocompact $k H$ submodule of $M$.

For any locally profinite $G$-set $X$ the $k G$-module $k X$ is Iwasawa-like via $X$, because for any open profinite $H \leq G$ and any $H$-orbit $Z$ in $X$, we have that $k Z \leq k X$ is a pseudocompact $k H$-submodule of $k X$.
In this case, any open profinite subgroup $H \leq G$ may be considered, and we now show this holds for a general Iwasawa-like module.

## Lemma 2.29:

Let $M$ be an Iwasawa-like $k G$-module via $L \subseteq M$. For any open profinite subgroup $J \leq G$, every $J$-orbit in $L$ is contained in a pseudocompact $k J$-submodule of $M$.

## Proof:

Let $H \leq G$ be an open profinite subgroup such that every $H$-orbit of $L$ is contained in a pseudocompact $k H$-submodule. Clearly if $J$ is a subgroup of $H$, this property also holds for $J$. Let $J \leq G$ be open profinite with $H \leq J$. Without loss of generality, we may assume $H$ is small enough such that $H$ is normal in $J$. Let $Y$ be a $J$-orbit of $L$ and $Z \subseteq Y$ be an $H$-orbit. By our
assumption on $H$, there is a pseudocompact $k H$-submodule $N$ such that $Z \subseteq N$. Then, because $H$ is normal in $J$, we have a $k H$-submodule

$$
P=\sum_{j \in J} j N=\sum_{j \in J / H} j N \leq M
$$

which must contain

$$
Z^{\prime}=\bigcup_{j \in J} j Z
$$

Moreover, the $G$-action on $M$ restricts to a $J$-action on $P$ extending the $H$-action. Because $k J=k H \otimes_{k[H]} k[J]$, it follows that $P$ is a $k J$-module. Moreover, where $N=\underset{{\underset{i}{i \in I}}}{ } N / N_{i}$ is an inverse limit of finite-length $k H$-modules, then $P=\lim _{\overleftarrow{i} \in I} \sum_{j \in J / H} j\left(N / N_{i}\right)$ is an inverse limit of finite-length $k J$-submodules, so $P$ is a pseudocompact $k J$-submodule.
So if $H \leq G$ has the property of Definition 2.28, so does any open profinite subgroup contained in or containing $H$. Thus, by considering the inclusions $J \cap H \leq J$ and $J \cap H \leq H$, the statement follows.

That is, for any $k G$-module $M$ and subset $L \subseteq M$ closed under the action of $G$, the condition of Definition 2.28 holds for a particular open profinite subgroup $H \leq G$ if and only if it holds for all open profinite subgroups.

## Proposition 2.30:

Let $X$ be a locally profinite $G$-space, $M$ be an Iwasawa-like $k G$-module via $L \subseteq M$, and $\phi: X \rightarrow L$ be a continuous $G$-equivariant map. There is a unique continuous $k G$-module homomorphism $\tilde{\phi}: k X \rightarrow M$ extending $\phi$.

## Proof:

By Lemma 2.29, any open profinite subgroup of $G$ verifies the condition of Definition 2.28, of $M$ being Iwasawa-like via $L$. Let $H \leq G$ be open profinite, and let $Z$ be an $H$-orbit of $X$.
Then $\phi(Z)$ is an $H$-orbit of $L$, so there exists a pseudocompact $k H$-submodule $M_{Z} \leq M$ containing $\phi(Z)$. By Proposition 2.13, the continuous $H$-equivariant map $\left.\phi\right|_{Z}: Z \rightarrow M_{Z}$ extends to a continuous $k H$-module homomorphism $\psi_{Z}: k Z \rightarrow M_{Z}$. Define

$$
\tilde{\phi}_{H}=\sum_{Z \in \operatorname{Orb}_{H}(X)} \psi_{Z}: k X \rightarrow M
$$

Clearly $\tilde{\phi}_{H}$ is a $k H$-module homomorphism. We show that $\tilde{\phi}_{H}$ is $G$-equivariant.
Recall the definition of the isomorphisms $j_{J, H}$ from the proof of Proposition 2.27. By Lemma 2.29, Proposition 2.14, and the second part of Lemma 2.12, $\tilde{\phi}_{H} \circ j_{J, H}=\tilde{\phi}_{J}$ for any open profinite subgroups $H, J \leq G$.
Now, let $Z \in \operatorname{Orb}_{H}(X), m \in k Z$, and $g \in G$. Then

$$
\tilde{\phi}_{H}(g \cdot m)=\tilde{\phi}_{g H g^{-1}} \circ j_{H, g H g^{-1}}(g \cdot m)=\psi_{g Z}(g m) .
$$

If $m \in k[Z]$ then $\psi_{g Z}(g m)=g \psi_{Z}(m)$ because $\phi$ is $G$-equivariant and the $\psi$ are $k$-linear. Because the $\psi$ are continuous, $k[Z]$ is dense in $k Z$, and $M$ is Hausdorff, it follows that $\psi_{g Z}(g m)=g \psi_{Z}(m)$ for all $m \in k Z$. Thus, by linearity, $\tilde{\phi}_{H}(g \cdot m)=g \tilde{\phi}_{H}(m)$, for all $m \in k X$.
So $\tilde{\phi}_{H}$ is $G$-equivariant, and is a $k H$-module homomorphism by Lemma 2.13, hence is a $k G$ module homomorphism, which is continuous since each $\psi_{Z}$ is continuous.
Finally, $k[X]$ is dense in $k X$ by similar reasoning to the fourth part of Proposition 2.16, using that each $k[Z]$ is dense in $k Z$, and

$$
k[X]=\bigoplus_{Z \in \operatorname{Orb}_{H}(X)} k[Z] .
$$

Now, $M$ is Hausdorff, and clearly $\phi$ has a unique $k$-linear extension to $k[X]$. Therefore $\tilde{\phi}=\tilde{\phi}_{H}$ : $k X \rightarrow M$ must be the unique continuous $k G$-module homomorphism extending $\phi$.

## Proposition 2.31:

The mapping $F$ given on objects by $F(X)=k X$ and on morphisms by $F(\phi)=\tilde{\phi}$, is a functor from the category of locally profinite $G$-spaces (with continuous $G$-equivariant maps) to the category of Iwasawa-like topological $k G$-modules (with continuous $k G$-module homomorphisms). The functor preserves surjectivity of morphisms and injectivity of morphisms.

## Proof:

By Proposition 2.30, and identical reasoning to that given in the proof of Proposition 2.14, $F$ is a functor.
Let $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous $G$-equivariant map between locally profinite $G$-spaces, and let $H \leq G$ be an open profinite subgroup. If $Z$ is an $H$-orbit of $X$, then $\phi(Z)$ is an $H$-orbit of $Y$. If $\phi$ is injective, then each $\left.\tilde{\phi}\right|_{k Z}=\widetilde{\left.\phi\right|_{Z}}$ is injective by Proposition 2.14, and so $\tilde{\phi}$ is injective since it is the direct sum of such maps.
If $\phi$ is surjective, then for all $H$-orbits $Z^{\prime} \subseteq Y$, there is an $H$-orbit $Z \subseteq X$ such that $\phi(Z)=Z^{\prime}$. Again by Proposition 2.14, each $\left.\tilde{\phi}\right|_{k Z}$ is surjective, so has image $k Z^{\prime} \leq k Y$. So $\tilde{\phi}(k X)$ contains the (direct) sum of all such $k Z^{\prime}$, which is equal to $k Y$. Thus $\phi$ is surjective.

Notice that a potential ambiguity appears given the two main constructions detailed in this section. Namely, when $G$ is a locally profinite group, $G$ can be considered as a locally profinite $G$-space, or simply as a locally profinite group, and the resulting constructions of the object $k G$ may differ, $a$ priori. However, in fact the constructions agree. Let $G, X$ be locally profinite groups and $\phi: G \rightarrow X$ be a continuous group homomorphism. We then have a group action of $G$ on $X$ given by

$$
\phi \times i d_{X}: G \times X \rightarrow X, \quad(g, x) \mapsto \phi(g) x .
$$

This is easily seen to be a continuous group action, and hence by Proposition 2.27, we obtain a continuous $k G$-module action on $k X$, which we denote

$$
\widetilde{\phi \times i d_{X}}: k G \times k X \rightarrow k X
$$

Alternatively, by Proposition 2.19, $\phi$ extends to a continuous $k$-algebra homomorphism

$$
\tilde{\phi}: k G \rightarrow k X,
$$

and this gives a continuous $k G$-module action on $k X$ via

$$
\tilde{\phi} \times i d_{k X}: k G \times k X \rightarrow k X, \quad(y, x) \mapsto \tilde{\phi}(y) x
$$

Now, $\widetilde{\phi \times i d_{X}}$ and $\tilde{\phi} \times i d_{k X}$ agree on $k[G] \times k[X]$. Thus, they must agree on $k G \times k X$ because $k[G] \times k[X]$ is dense and $k X$ is Hausdorff.
In particular, the construction of $k G$ from the left multiplication action of $G$ on itself is the same as constructing the augmented Iwasawa algebra $k G$ and considering the rank one free left module.

### 2.6 Properties of augmented Iwasawa algebras

We now deduce some basic properties of augmented Iwasawa algebras from the universal property of Proposition 2.19.

## Proposition 2.32:

Let $G$ be a locally profinite group. Then $k G$ is isomorphic to its opposite ring $(k G)^{o p}$.

## Proof:

Let $A$ be an Iwasawa-like $k$-algebra, via the subgroup $L \leq A^{\times}$. The opposite algebra $A^{o p}$ has the same underlying set as $A$, but reversed multiplication. Then, $A^{o p}$ is an Iwasawa-like algebra, via the subgroup $L^{o p} \leq\left(A^{o p}\right)^{\times}$, where $L^{o p}$ is the set $L$, with reversed group operation $g \cdot h=h g$. Let $\phi: G \rightarrow L$ be a continuous group homomorphism. Then, define $\phi^{\prime}: G \rightarrow L^{o p}$ by $\phi^{\prime}(g)=\phi(g)^{-1}$. Then, $\phi^{\prime}$ is also a continuous group algebra homomorphism, and so by the universal property of Proposition 2.19, $\phi^{\prime}$ extends to a continuous $k$-algebra homomorphism $\tilde{\phi}^{\prime}: k G \rightarrow A^{o p}$. Taking opposite algebras obtains a continuous $k$-algebra homomorphism

$$
\tilde{\phi}=\tilde{\phi}^{o p}:(k G)^{o p} \rightarrow A .
$$

Now, $(k G)^{o p}$ is an Iwasawa-like algebra, via the subgroup $G^{o p} \leq\left((k G)^{o p}\right)^{\times}$. This is because the topology on $(k G)^{o p}$ is the same as the topology on $k G$, and because $(k K)^{o p}$ is a pseudocompact subalgebra of $(k G)^{o p}$ for any open profinite $K \leq G$.
The group $G^{o p}$ is isomorphic (and homeomorphic) to $G$, via $G \rightarrow G^{o p}, g \mapsto g^{-1}$. Thus we have an injection $j: G \rightarrow(k G)^{o p}$, and $\tilde{\phi} \circ j=\phi$. So, $\tilde{\phi}$ is a continuous $k$-algebra homomorphism extending $\phi$. It also must be the unique such map, because the values on $k\left[G^{o p}\right]=k[G]$ are determined by the homomorphism property, and $k\left[G^{o p}\right]$ is dense in $(k G)^{o p}$.
So, $j$ embeds the group $G$ into the units of the Iwasawa-like algebra $(k G)^{o p}$, such that the universal property of Proposition 2.19 is satisfied. Therefore, $(k G)^{o p}$ is (canonically) isomorphic to $k G$.

As a consequence, $k G$ is left coherent (see Definition 3.1) if and only if $k G$ is right coherent. Thus we will often refer to augmented Iwasawa algebras as being coherent or not coherent, without a prefix "left" or "right". It also follows that $k G \cong k[G] \otimes_{k[H]} k H$ as a ( $k[G], k H$ )-bimodule.

To prove statements about quotients of augmented Iwasawa algebras, it is necessary to define the augmentation ideal of a subgroup.

## Definition 2.33:

Let $G$ be a locally profinite group, $H \leq G$ be a closed subgroup. Consider the locally profinite $G$ space $G / H$ where $G$ acts by left multiplication, the $G$-equivariant quotient map $q_{H}: G \rightarrow G / H$, and its unique extension $\tilde{q_{H}}: k G \rightarrow k(G / H)$ to a continuous $k G$-module homomorphism, given by Proposition 2.30. The augmentation ideal of $H$ in $G$ is $\epsilon_{G}(H)=\operatorname{Ker} \tilde{q_{H}}$.

Notice that $\epsilon_{G}(H)$ is a closed ideal because $\tilde{q_{H}}$ is continuous and $k(G / H)$ is Hausdorff. By Proposition 2.31. $\tilde{q_{H}}$ is surjective, giving a natural isomorphism of left $k G$-modules $k G / \epsilon_{G}(H) \cong k(G / H)$ for any closed subgroup $H \leq G$. We may occasionally write $\epsilon(H)=\epsilon_{G}(H)$, when $G$ is clear from context.

## Proposition 2.34:

Let $G$ be a locally profinite group, $N$ a closed normal subgroup. There is a natural surjective ring homomorphism $k G \rightarrow k(G / N)$, with kernel the augmentation ideal $\epsilon_{G}(N)$.

## Proof:

Note that the $G$-equivariant quotient map $q_{N}: G \rightarrow G / N$ is a continuous group homomorphism. We can extend this to a continuous $k$-algebra homomorphism $k G \rightarrow k(G / N)$ and to a continuous $k G$-module homomorphism $k G \rightarrow k(G / N)$, by Propositions 2.19 and 2.30. By the remark at the end of subsection 2.5, these homomorphisms may be identified, so we have a natural continuous ring homomorphism $k G \rightarrow k(G / N)$ with kernel the (two-sided) ideal Ker $\tilde{q_{N}}=\epsilon_{G}(N)$.

We now prove some results on augmentation ideals which will be of later use.

## Lemma 2.35:

Let $G^{\prime} \leq G$ be a closed subgroup. The augmentation ideal $\epsilon_{G}\left(G^{\prime}\right)=k G \otimes_{k G^{\prime}} \epsilon_{G^{\prime}}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$.

## Proof:

Consider the trivial $k G^{\prime}$-module $k \cong k G^{\prime} / \epsilon_{G^{\prime}}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$. We show that $k G \otimes_{k G^{\prime}} k$ is isomorphic to $k\left(G / G^{\prime}\right)$. Suppose $\phi: G / G^{\prime} \rightarrow M$ is a $G$-equivariant map to an Iwasawa-like $k G$-module as in Proposition 2.30. There is a unique extension of the composition $\phi \circ q_{G^{\prime}}: G \rightarrow M$ to $\widetilde{\phi \circ q_{G^{\prime}}}: k G \rightarrow M$. Now, $\left.\left(\phi \circ q_{G^{\prime}}\right)\right|_{G^{\prime}}$ is constant, and therefore $\left.\widetilde{\phi \circ q_{G^{\prime}}}\right|_{k G^{\prime}}: k G^{\prime} \rightarrow M$ factors through a $k G^{\prime}$-module homomorphism $\theta: k \rightarrow M$. Then

$$
f: k G \times k \rightarrow M, \quad f(x, y)=x \theta(y)
$$

is a $k G^{\prime}$-balanced $k$-bilinear map, and $f(x, 1)=x \widetilde{\phi \circ q_{G^{\prime}}}(1)=\widetilde{\phi \circ q_{G^{\prime}}}(x)$. By the universal property of tensor product, there is a unique extension $\tilde{f}: k G \otimes_{k G^{\prime}} k \rightarrow M$, which extends the original map $\phi$, where $g G^{\prime} \in G / G^{\prime}$ is identified as $g \otimes 1$. Any other extension of $\phi$ to $k G \otimes_{k G^{\prime}} k \rightarrow$ $M$ must restrict to $\widetilde{\phi \circ q_{G^{\prime}}}$ on $k G$ and $\theta$ on $k$, so $\tilde{f}$ is unique. Therefore $k G \otimes_{k G^{\prime}} k$ satisfies the universal property of Proposition 2.30, and we have a natural isomorphism $k\left(G / G^{\prime}\right) \cong k G \otimes_{k G^{\prime}} k$. By Proposition 4.10 (which does not depend on this lemma), $k G$ is a flat right $k G^{\prime}$-module, so there is a commutative diagram with exact rows as follows.


Therefore the natural (inclusion) map $k G \otimes_{k G^{\prime}} \epsilon_{G^{\prime}}\left(G^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \epsilon_{G}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ is an isomorphism.

Clearly, the augmentation ideal of a closed subgroup $H$ must contain the set $\{h-1 \mid h \in H\}$. In the cases of most interest, this set is a generating set.

## Lemma 2.36:

Let $G$ be a locally profinite group. Suppose $G^{\prime} \leq G$ is a closed subgroup such that there is an open profinite $H \leq G^{\prime}$ with $k H$ Noetherian. Then $\epsilon_{G}\left(G^{\prime}\right)=k G\left\{g^{\prime}-1 \mid g^{\prime} \in G^{\prime}\right\}$.

## Proof:

By Lemma 2.35, it is enough to prove this in the case $G^{\prime}=G$. Since $H \leq G$ is open, we have that $G / H$ is discrete and $k(G / H) \cong k[G / H]$. Considering the chain of surjective homomorphisms

$$
k G \rightarrow k(G / H) \rightarrow k=k G / \epsilon_{G}(G),
$$

it follows that $\epsilon_{G}(G)=\epsilon_{G}(H)+k G\{g-1 \mid g \in G / H\}$.
Now consider the case $G=G^{\prime}=H$. Since $k H$ is Noetherian, every left ideal of $k H$ is finitelygenerated, hence closed by Corollary 22.4 of [Sch11]. From the definition of the (inverse limit) topology on $k H$, the ideal $k H\{h-1 \mid h \in H\}$ is dense in $\epsilon_{H}(H)$, but must be closed. So $\epsilon_{H}(H)=k H\{h-1 \mid h \in H\}$.
Thus, by Lemma 2.35,

$$
\epsilon_{G}(H)=k G \otimes_{k H} \epsilon_{H}(H)=k G \otimes_{k H}(k H\{h-1 \mid h \in H\})=k G\{h-1 \mid h \in H\} .
$$

It follows that $\epsilon_{G}(G)=k G\{h-1 \mid h \in H\}+k G\{g-1 \mid g \in G / H\} \subseteq k G\{g-1 \mid g \in G\}$. The reverse inclusion is obvious, completing the proof.

If $\mathcal{O}$ is a complete discrete valuation ring with $p \in \mathcal{O}$ a prime element, and $k=\mathcal{O}$ or $k=\mathcal{O} / p \mathcal{O}$, then Lemma 2.36 holds whenever $G$ is a $p$-adic Lie group. This follows from Theorem 33.4 of [Sch11].

## Lemma 2.37:

Let $G$ be a $p$-adic Lie group, $k=\mathcal{O}$ or $k=\mathcal{O} / p \mathcal{O}$ as above, and $G^{\prime} \leq G$ be a closed subgroup. Let $\sigma$ be a ring endomorphism of $k G$ which restricts to a group homomorphism $G \rightarrow G$. Then $k G \sigma\left(\epsilon_{G}\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right)=\epsilon_{G}\left(\sigma\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right)$.

## Proof:

By Lemma 2.36, any augmentation ideal $\epsilon_{G}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ is generated abstractly by $\left\{g-1 \mid g \in G^{\prime}\right\}$. The left ideal $k G \sigma\left(\epsilon_{G}\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right)$ clearly contains $\left\{\sigma(g)-1 \mid g \in G^{\prime}\right\}$, hence contains $\epsilon_{G}\left(\sigma\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right)$. Conversely, if $x=\sum_{g \in G^{\prime}} c_{g}(g-1) \in \epsilon_{G}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$, then $\sigma(x)=\sum_{g \in G^{\prime}} \sigma\left(c_{g}\right)(\sigma(g)-1) \in \epsilon_{G}\left(\sigma\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right)$, thus $\epsilon_{G}\left(\sigma\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right)$ must contain $k G \sigma\left(\epsilon_{G}\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right)$.

In particular, Lemmas 2.36 and 2.37 hold whenever $G$ is a $p$-adic Lie group and $k$ is a discrete perfect field of characteristic $p$, because by Theorem II. 6.8 of [Ser79], the ring of Witt vectors $W(k)$ is a complete discrete valuation ring with residue field $W(k) / p W(k)=k$.

### 2.7 Smooth representations of $G$

To complete this section, we give a proof that any smooth representation is a module for the augmented Iwasawa algebra $k G$, using Proposition 2.19. We give a definition of a smooth representation of a locally profinite group, over any commutative pseudocompact ring, naturally generalising Definitions 2.2.1 and 2.2.5 of Eme10].

## Definition 2.38:

Let $G$ be a locally profinite group, and $k$ be a commutative pseudocompact ring. A smooth representation of $G$ over $k$ is a $k[G]$-module $V$ such that for any $v \in V$, there exists an open profinite subgroup $H \leq G$ and an open ideal $I \subseteq k$, such that $H \cdot v=\{v\}$ and $I \cdot v=\{0\}$.

Showing that any smooth representation carries a $k G$-module structure requires working with finitelength $k$-submodules, necessitating the following lemmas.

## Lemma 2.39:

Let $V$ be a smooth representation of $G$ over $k$ and $M \leq V$ be a $k$-submodule of $V$. The following are equivalent:

- $M$ is a finitely-generated $k[H]$-module for some open profinite subgroup $H \leq G$.
- $M$ is a finite-length $k[H]$-module for some open profinite subgroup $H \leq G$.
- $M$ is a finitely-generated $k$-module.
- $M$ is a finite-length $k$-module.


## Lemma 2.40:

If $M$ is a finite-length $k$-module, then $\operatorname{End}_{k}(M)$ is a finite length $k$-module.
With input from these lemmas, we show that the endomorphism ring of any smooth representation is an Iwasawa-like algebra, and deduce that there is a natural and unique $k G$-module structure on any smooth representation of $G$.

## Proposition 2.18:

Let $V$ be a smooth representation of $G$. The ring of $k$-linear endomorphisms of $V$ is naturally an Iwasawa-like algebra.

## Proof:

Let $A=\operatorname{End}_{k}(V)$ be the ring of $k$-linear endomorphisms of $V$. For any $M$ a finite-length $k$-submodule of $V$, define the left ideal of $A$,

$$
I^{M}=\{f \in A \mid f(M)=0\} .
$$

The collection of such ideals, $\left\{I^{M} \mid M\right.$ a finite-length $k$-submodule of $\left.V\right\}$, is closed under finite intersections. Thus we give $A$ the linear topology with an open neighbourhood basis of 0 given by this collection, and we now show that $A$ is a topological ring. We show that the multiplication of $A$, which is given by composition of functions,

$$
c: A \times A \rightarrow A, \quad c(f, h)=f \circ h,
$$

is continuous. Given $M$ a finite-length $k$-submodule of $V$, consider

$$
c^{-1}\left(I^{M}\right)=\{(f, h) \in A \times A \mid f(h(M))=0\} .
$$

Note that for any $h \in A$, if $f \in I^{h(M)}$ and $h^{\prime} \in I^{M}$, then $\left(f, h+h^{\prime}\right) \in c^{-1}\left(I^{M}\right)$, so

$$
I^{h(M)} \times\left(h+I^{M}\right) \subseteq c^{-1}\left(I^{M}\right) .
$$

Moreover, $c^{-1}\left(I^{M}\right)=\left\{(f, h) \in A \times A \mid f \in I^{h(M)}\right\}$. Thus we have a chain of inclusions

$$
c^{-1}\left(I^{M}\right) \subseteq \bigcup_{h \in A} I^{h(M)} \times\{h\} \subseteq \bigcup_{h \in A} I^{h(M)} \times\left(h+I^{M}\right) \subseteq c^{-1}\left(I^{M}\right),
$$

in which equality must hold throughout. It follows $c^{-1}\left(I_{M}\right)$ is open in $A \times A$. Thus $c$ is continuous and $A$ is a topological ring. Also, $A$ is Hausdorff since by Lemma 2.39, $V$ is the union of its finite-length $k$-submodules, so the intersection of all the $I^{M}$ is zero.
Moreover, $A^{\times}$is a topological group under the subspace topology. To show this, let $a \in A^{\times}, M$ be a finite-length $k$-submodule of $V$, and consider the open subset $U_{a, M}=\left(a+I^{M}\right) \cap A^{\times} \subseteq A^{\times}$. Then

$$
U_{a, M}^{-1}=\left\{b \in A^{\times} \mid b(a+f)=1 \text { for some } f \in I^{M}\right\}=\left\{b \in A^{\times} \mid(1-b a)(M)=0\right\},
$$

with inclusion of the third term in the second given by considering the choice $f=b^{-1}(1-b a)$. It follows that

$$
U_{a, M}^{-1}=\left\{b \in A^{\times} \mid\left(a^{-1}-b\right)(a(M))=0\right\}=\left(a^{-1}+I^{a(M)}\right) \cap A^{\times}
$$

is open, and so the inversion map is continuous and $A^{\times}$is a topological group.
Now, for any open profinite $H \leq G$, define the subring of $A$,

$$
B_{H}=\{f \in A \mid f(N) \subseteq N \text { for all finite-length } k[H] \text {-submodules } N \leq V\}
$$

Then $B_{H}$ is a topological ring, which we show is a pseudocompact $k$-algebra. An open neighbourhood basis of $0 \in B_{H}$ is given by the collection of ideals of the form $I^{M} \cap B_{H}$ for $M$ a finite-length $k$-submodule of $V$. But $I^{k[H] M} \cap B_{H} \subseteq I^{M} \cap B_{H}$, and $k[H] M$ is a finitely-generated $k[H]$-module, hence is a finite-length $k[H]$-module and $k$-module by Lemma 2.39. Thus an open neighbourhood basis of $0 \in B_{H}$ is given by the collection of ideals,

$$
\left\{I_{H}^{M}=I^{M} \cap B_{H} \mid M \text { is a finite-length } k[H] \text {-submodule of } V\right\} .
$$

Now, $I_{H}^{M}$ is the kernel of the natural $k$-algebra homomorphism from $B_{H}$ to $\operatorname{End}_{k}(M)$ given by restriction. This gives a natural $k$-algebra homomorphism

$$
\psi_{H}: B_{H} \rightarrow \underset{M}{\lim _{M}} \operatorname{End}_{k}(M)=\left\{\left(f_{M}\right)_{M}\left|f_{M}\right|_{N}=f_{N} \text { if } N \leq M\right\} \subseteq \prod_{M} \operatorname{End}_{k}(M)
$$

Now, $\psi_{H}$ is injective because $V$ is the union of its finitely-generated, hence by Lemma 2.39 , finite-length $k[H]$-submodules. Given an element $\left(f_{M}\right)_{M}$ of the inverse limit, we can define an element of $B_{H}$ by

$$
f: V=\bigcup_{M} M \rightarrow V, \quad f(x)=f_{M}(x) \text { if } x \in M
$$

and then $\psi_{H}(f)=\left(f_{M}\right)_{M}$. So, $\psi_{H}$ is an isomorphism.
By Lemma 2.40 , $\operatorname{End}_{k}(M)$ is a finite-length $k$-module for any finite-length $k$-submodule $M \leq V$. Therefore, $B_{H}$ is a pseudocompact $k$-algebra under the subspace topology induced from $A$.
Let $\phi: G \rightarrow A^{\times}$be the natural group homomorphism given by $\phi(g)(v)=g \cdot v$. Because $A^{\times}$ is a topological group under the subspace topology from $A$, the continuity of $\phi$ can be checked on a basis of open neighbourhoods of $1 \in A^{\times}$. Let $U_{M}=U_{1, M} \subseteq A^{\times}$for $M$ a finite-length $k$-submodule of $V$. Then

$$
\phi^{-1}\left(U_{M}\right)=\{g \in G \mid(g-1)(M)=0\}=\{g \in G \mid g \cdot m=m, \forall m \in M\} .
$$

Let $S$ be a finite generating set of $M$ over $k$, and for each $s \in S$, choose an open profinite subgroup $H_{s} \leq G$ that fixes $s$. Then the open profinite subgroup

$$
H=\bigcap_{s \in S} H_{s}
$$

fixes all elements of $M$, because the actions of $G$ and of $k$ commute. So $H \leq \phi^{-1}\left(U_{M}\right)$, which implies $\phi^{-1}\left(U_{M}\right)$ is an open subgroup of $G$. Thus $\phi$ is continuous.
Thus, $\phi: G \rightarrow \phi(G)$ is a surjective continuous map of topological groups, hence is an open map. Therefore $\phi(G)$ is a locally profinite group with open profinite subgroup $\phi(H)$. Moreover, $\phi(H)$ is contained in the units of $B_{H}$, which is a pseudocompact subalgebra of $A$. This shows that $A$ is an Iwasawa-like algebra, via the subgroup $\phi(G) \leq A^{\times}$.

## Corollary 2.20:

Let $V$ be a smooth representation of $G$. There is a unique $k G$-module structure extending the $k[G]$-module action on $V$.

## Proof:

Recall from the proof of Proposition 2.18 the natural group homomorphism

$$
\phi: G \rightarrow \operatorname{End}_{k}(V)^{\times}
$$

given by $\phi(g)(v)=g \cdot v$. In that proof we showed that $\operatorname{End}_{k}(V)$ is Iwasawa-like via $\phi(G)$, and that $\phi$ is continuous. By Proposition 2.19, there is a unique continuous $k$-algebra homomorphism $\tilde{\phi}: k G \rightarrow \operatorname{End}_{k}(V)$ extending $\phi$. This defines a $k G$-module structure via $x \cdot v=\tilde{\phi}(x)(v)$ for all $x \in k G, v \in V$. Hence, there is a unique $k G$-module structure on the smooth representation $V$ extending the $k[G]$-module structure.

We now give the straightforward proofs of Lemmas 2.39 and 2.40 .

## Proof of Lemma 2.39;

Suppose $M$ is a finitely-generated $k[H]$-module, and let $S$ be a finite set of generators. For each $s \in S$, there exists an open normal subgroup $K_{s} \unlhd_{o} H$ that fixes $s$, and an open ideal $I_{s} \subseteq k$ that annihilates $s$. Thus $M$ is a finitely-generated $(k / I)[H / K]$-module, where

$$
I=\bigcap_{s \in S} I_{s}, \quad K=\bigcap_{s \in S} K_{s} .
$$

Then, $k / I$ is Artinian and $H / K$ is a finite group, so $(k / I)[H / K]$ is an Artinian ring, thus $M$ has finite length as a $k[H]$-module. Moreover, $(k / I)[H / K]$ is finitely-generated as a $k$-module, so $M$ is finitely-generated as a $k$-module.
If $M$ is any finitely-generated $k$-module, there exist open ideals $I_{s} \subseteq k$ annihilating each of the elements of a finite generating set $S$ for $M$. Then $M$ is a finitely-generated $k / I$-module, and $k / I$ is Artinian (where $I$ is as above), so $M$ is of finite length as a $k$-module.
Thus, it remains to show that any finite-length $k$-submodule $M$ is a finitely-generated $k[H]$ submodule for some open profinite subgroup $H \leq G$. Clearly such an $M$ is finitely-generated, let $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n}$ be generators for $M$ as a $k$-module. Because $V$ is smooth, there exist open profinite subgroups $H_{j}$ such that $H_{j}$ fixes $m_{j}$, and so the open profinite subgroup

$$
H=\bigcap_{j=1}^{n} H_{j}
$$

fixes each $m_{j}$, and so $M$ is naturally a finitely-generated $k[H]$-submodule of $V$.

## Proof of Lemma 2.40;

We show that for any finite-length $k$-modules $M, N$, that the $k$-module $\operatorname{Hom}_{k}(M, N)$ is of finite length, inducting on the length of $M$. If $M$ has length 1 , then $M$ is simple and $M \cong k / I$ for some maximal ideal $I \unlhd k$. $\operatorname{Som}_{k}(M, N)$ is isomorphic to the submodule $\{x \in N \mid I x=0\}$ of $N$, and hence is of finite length. If $M$ is of length greater than 1 , let $M^{\prime} \leq M$ be a submodule such that $M / M^{\prime}$ is simple. Then $\operatorname{Hom}_{k}\left(M / M^{\prime}, N\right)$ is of finite length, and $M^{\prime}$ has length strictly less than that of $M$, so $\operatorname{Hom}_{k}\left(M^{\prime}, N\right)$ is of finite length by induction. By considering the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{k}\left(M^{\prime}, N\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{k}(M, N) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{k}\left(M / M^{\prime}, N\right),
$$

it follows that $\operatorname{Hom}_{k}(M, N)$ is a finite-length $k$-module. Putting $M=N$ gives the result.

## 3 Coherent rings and skew polynomial rings

### 3.1 Coherent rings

We recall the definition of a coherent ring.

## Definition 3.1:

Let $R$ be a ring. $R$ is left coherent if and only if every finitely-generated left ideal of $R$ is finitely-presented.

Note that any left Noetherian ring is left coherent. We can also define the notion of a coherent module.

## Definition 3.2:

Let $R$ be a ring. A left $R$-module $M$ is coherent if and only if $M$ is finitely-generated, and every finitely-generated submodule of $M$ is finitely-presented.

A ring $R$ is left coherent if and only if it is coherent as a left $R$-module. A core motivation for considering coherent rings in the context of representation theory comes from the following proposition, see Gla89, Theorems 2.5.1 and 2.1.2. (Technically only commutative rings are considered, but the proof works identically for non-commutative rings.)

## Proposition 3.3:

Let $R$ be a ring. Then, $R$ is left coherent if and only if the category of finitely-presented left $R$-modules is an abelian subcategory of the category of left $R$-modules.

Shotton makes use of Proposition 3.3 to deduce that the finitely-presented smooth mod $p$ representations of $S L_{2}(F)$ form an abelian category, see Theorems 1.2 and 4.5 of [Sho20].

### 3.2 Skew polynomial rings

See Chapter 2 of [GW04] for an extensive treatment of the theory of skew polynomial rings.

## Definition 3.4:

Let $R$ be a ring, and $\sigma_{X}: R \rightarrow R$ be a ring endomorphism of $R$. The skew polynomial ring $R\left[X ; \sigma_{X}\right]$ is the free left $R$-module $\bigoplus_{j \geq 0} R X^{j}$, given a ring structure by addition being $R$-module addition, and multiplication given by

$$
r_{1} X^{n_{1}} \cdot r_{2} X^{n_{2}}=r_{1} \sigma_{X}^{n_{1}}\left(r_{2}\right) X^{n_{1}+n_{2}}
$$

extended suitably.
In this article we consider only the skew polynomial rings of the above type. Discussion of the more general theory can be found be in GW04, Chapter 2, page 32. We will often omit the endomorphism from our notation and write simply $R\left[X ; \sigma_{X}\right]=R[X]$.

## 4 Faithful flatness for augmented Iwasawa algebras

In this section we show that the mod $p$ augmented Iwasawa algebra of any $p$-adic Lie group is faithfully flat over the augmented Iwasawa algebra of any closed subgroup.

### 4.1 The compact case

Let $k$ be a commutative pseudocompact ring throughout this section.

## Theorem 4.1:

Let $G$ be a profinite group, and $H \leq G$ be a closed subgroup. Then $k G$ is a flat right $k H$-module.

## Proof:

In Lemma 4.5 of Bru66], Brumer proved that $k G$ is a projective object in the category of pseudocompact $k H$-modules. This does not imply that $k G$ is a projective $k H$-module in the usual sense, so we cannot immediately deduce flatness. However, Lemma 2.1 of [Bru66] implies that the completed tensor product $k G \widehat{\otimes}_{k H}$ is an exact functor and $k G \widehat{\otimes}_{k H} M=k G \otimes_{k H} M$ for any finitely-generated left $k H$-module. It follows that if $I^{\prime} \subseteq k H$ is a finitely-generated left ideal, applying $k G \otimes_{k H}$ to the short exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow I^{\prime} \rightarrow k H \rightarrow k H / I^{\prime} \rightarrow 0
$$

gives a short exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow k G \otimes_{k H} I^{\prime} \rightarrow k G \rightarrow k G \otimes_{k H}\left(k H / I^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

Let $I \subseteq k H$ be an arbitrary ideal of $k H$, and let $I=\underset{\alpha \in A}{\lim _{\vec{A}}} I_{\alpha}$ be the direct limit of the finitelygenerated ideals it contains. By the above, there is a short exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow k G \otimes_{k H} I_{\alpha} \rightarrow k G \rightarrow k G \otimes_{k H}\left(k H / I_{\alpha}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

for each $\alpha \in A$. By Theorem 2.6.15 of Wei94, direct limits of $k H$-modules are exact, so we have a short exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \underset{\alpha \in A}{\lim }\left(k G \otimes_{k H} I_{\alpha}\right) \rightarrow k G \rightarrow \underset{\alpha \in A}{\lim }\left(k G \otimes_{k H}\left(k H / I_{\alpha}\right)\right) \rightarrow 0 .
$$

Since tensor products commute with direct limits, we have that

$$
0 \rightarrow k G \otimes_{k H} I \rightarrow k G \rightarrow k G \otimes_{k H}(k H / I) \rightarrow 0
$$

is exact. Therefore $\operatorname{Tor}_{1}^{k H}(k G, k H / I)=0$ for any left ideal $I \subseteq k H$, so by Proposition 3.2.4 of [Wei94], $k G$ is a flat right $k H$-module.

In this section we will use a version of Mackey's restriction formula to generalise this result and deduce various corollaries.

### 4.2 Mackey's restriction formula

If $G$ is a finite group, Mackey's restriction formula tells us how to compute restrictions of a representation induced from a subgroup of $G$.
Theorem 4.2 (Mackey's restriction formula):
Let $K$ be any field, $G$ be a finite group, $H_{1}, H_{2} \leq G$ be subgroups. Let $W$ be a left $K\left[H_{2}\right]$-module. Then

$$
\operatorname{Res}_{H_{1}}^{G} \operatorname{Ind}_{H_{2}}^{G} W \cong \bigoplus_{g \in H_{1} \backslash G / H_{2}} \operatorname{Ind}_{g\left(H_{2}\right) g^{-1} \cap H_{1}}^{H_{1}} W_{g},
$$

as left $K\left[H_{1}\right]$-modules.
Here $W_{g}$ is the $K$-vector space of symbols $g \otimes W$, with action $g h g^{-1} \cdot(g \otimes w)=g \otimes h w$ for all $h \in H_{2}$. $\operatorname{Ind}_{A}^{B}$ means the functor $K[B] \otimes_{K[A]}$. We interpret the sum over $g \in H_{1} \backslash G / H_{2}$ to mean, "choose a set $X \subseteq G$ such that $X$ is a set of representatives for the double cosets $H_{1} \backslash G / H_{2}$, and sum over this set".
See Ser77, Proposition 22, for a proof of this result.
In the next subsection, we show that an analogous statement to Mackey's restriction formula for finite groups holds for locally profinite groups. To be precise, we will prove the following theorem.

## Theorem 4.3:

Let $G$ be a locally profinite group. Let $G_{1} \leq G$ be a closed subgroup, and $H \leq G$ be an open profinite subgroup. Let $M$ be a left $k G_{1}$-module. Then

$$
\operatorname{Res}_{H}^{G} \operatorname{Ind}_{G_{1}}^{G} M \cong \bigoplus_{g \in H \backslash G / G_{1}} \operatorname{Ind}_{g G_{1} g^{-1} \cap H}^{H} M_{g},
$$

as left $k H$-modules.
Here $\operatorname{Ind}_{A}^{B}$ means the functor $k B \otimes_{k A}$. We interpret $M_{g}$ similarly to the finite group case, as the $k$-vector space of symbols $g \otimes M$, with action $g x g^{-1} \cdot(g \otimes m)=g \otimes x m$ for all $x \in k G_{1}$, noticing that $k\left(g G_{1} g^{-1}\right)$ is equal to $g\left(k G_{1}\right) g^{-1}$ as subrings of $k G$.

### 4.3 Proof of the restriction formula

In this subsection let us fix $G$ a locally profinite group, $G_{1} \leq G$ a closed subgroup, and $H \leq G$ an open profinite subgroup.
Consider the functors which make up each side of the Mackey formula:

## Definition 4.4:

The functors Mack ${ }_{1}$, Mack ${ }_{2}: k G_{1}$-Mod $\rightarrow k H$-Mod are

$$
\operatorname{Mack}_{1}=\operatorname{Res}_{H}^{G} \operatorname{Ind}_{G_{1}}^{G}, \quad \operatorname{Mack}_{2}=\bigoplus_{g \in H \backslash G / G_{1}} \operatorname{Ind}_{g G_{1} g^{-1} \cap H}^{H}()_{g}
$$

## Definition 4.5:

For any $g \in G$, Mack $_{2, g}: k G_{1}-\operatorname{Mod} \rightarrow k H$-Mod is the functor $\operatorname{Ind}_{g G_{1} g^{-1} \cap H}^{H}()_{g}$.
The statement of Theorem 4.3 is then that $\operatorname{Mack}_{1}(M) \cong \operatorname{Mack}_{2}(M)$ for any $M$. We will in fact show that there is a canonical such isomorphism, defined next. Recall from Proposition 2.2 that the augmented Iwasawa algebra $k G \cong k H \otimes_{k[H]} k[G]$ as a left $k H$-module.

## Definition 4.6:

Let $M$ be a left $k G_{1}$-module. We define the $k H$-module homomorphism

$$
\psi_{M}: \operatorname{Mack}_{2}(M) \rightarrow \operatorname{Mack}_{1}(M)
$$

to be

$$
\psi_{M}=\bigoplus_{g \in H \backslash G / G_{1}} \psi_{M, g}
$$

where

$$
\psi_{M, g}: \operatorname{Ind}_{g G_{1} g^{-1} \cap H}^{H} M_{g} \rightarrow \operatorname{Res}_{H}^{G} \operatorname{Ind}_{G_{1}}^{G} M
$$

is given by

$$
\psi_{M, g}\left(r \otimes_{k\left(g G_{1} g^{-1} \cap H\right)}(g \otimes m)\right)=\left(r \otimes_{k[H]} g\right) \otimes_{k G_{1}} m
$$

for all $r \in k H, m \in M$.

## Proposition 4.7:

The $k H$-module homomorphism $\psi_{k G_{1}}: \operatorname{Mack}_{2}\left(k G_{1}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Mack}_{1}\left(k G_{1}\right)$ is an isomorphism.

## Proof:

Throughout, let $M=k G_{1}$, a left $k G_{1}$-module under left multiplication. Fix a set $X \subseteq G$ of representatives for the double cosets $H \backslash G / G_{1}$.
Let $g \in X$. The left $k\left(g G_{1} g^{-1}\right)$-module $M_{g}$ is given by the symbols $g \otimes M=g \otimes k G_{1}$, and so as a left module may be considered as $g \otimes k G_{1}=k\left(g G_{1} g^{-1}\right) \otimes g$.
Then, because $g G_{1} g^{-1} \cap H$ is an open profinite subgroup of $g G_{1} g^{-1}$,

$$
k\left(g G_{1} g^{-1}\right)=k\left(g G_{1} g^{-1} \cap H\right) \otimes_{k\left[g G_{1} g^{-1} \cap H\right]} k\left[g G_{1} g^{-1}\right],
$$

as a left $k\left(g G_{1} g^{-1} \cap H\right)$-module. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Ind}_{g G_{1} g^{-1} \cap H}^{H} k\left(g G_{1} g^{-1}\right) & =k H \otimes_{k\left(g G_{1} g^{-1} \cap H\right)} k\left(g G_{1} g^{-1} \cap H\right) \otimes_{k\left[g G_{1} g^{-1} \cap H\right]} k\left[g G_{1} g^{-1}\right] \\
& =k H \otimes_{k\left[g G_{1} g^{-1} \cap H\right]} k\left[g G_{1} g^{-1}\right] \\
& =\bigoplus_{a \in\left(g G_{1} g^{-1} \cap H\right) \backslash g G_{1} g^{-1}} k H \otimes a .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, as $M_{g}=g \otimes k G_{1}=k\left(g G_{1} g^{-1}\right) \otimes g$,

$$
\operatorname{Mack}_{2, g}(M)=\operatorname{Ind}_{g G_{1} g^{-1} \cap H}^{H} M_{g}=\bigoplus_{a \in\left(g G_{1} g^{-1} \cap H\right) \backslash g G_{1} g^{-1}} k H \otimes a g .
$$

Note that each $k H \otimes a g$ is a free rank 1 left $k H$-module.
For each $g \in X$, choose a set of representatives $Y_{g} \subseteq g G_{1} g^{-1}$ for the right cosets $\left(g G_{1} g^{-1} \cap H\right) \backslash g G_{1} g^{-1}$. It then follows that

$$
\operatorname{Mack}_{2}(M)=\bigoplus_{g \in H \backslash G / G_{1}} \operatorname{Ind}_{g G_{1} g^{-1} \cap H}^{H} M_{g}=\bigoplus_{(g, a) \in S} k H \otimes a g,
$$

where $S$ is the set

$$
S=\left\{(g, a) \in G \times G \mid g \in X, a \in Y_{g}\right\}
$$

Then, $\operatorname{Mack}_{1}(M)$ can be straightforwardly seen to be

$$
\operatorname{Mack}_{1}(M)=\operatorname{Res}_{H}^{G} \operatorname{Ind}_{G_{1}}^{G} k G_{1}=\operatorname{Res}_{H}^{G} k G=\operatorname{Res}_{H}^{G}\left(k H \otimes_{k[H]} k[G]\right)=\bigoplus_{b \in H \backslash G} k H \otimes b
$$

We thus have the homomorphisms (for each $g \in X$ ),

$$
\psi_{M, g}: \bigoplus_{a \in Y_{g}} k H \otimes a g \rightarrow \bigoplus_{b \in H \backslash G} k H \otimes b,
$$

and their sum

$$
\psi_{M}: \bigoplus_{(g, a) \in S} k H \otimes a g \rightarrow \bigoplus_{b \in H \backslash G} k H \otimes b
$$

Let $g \in X$, and $a \in Y_{g}$. Let $r \in k H$. Using the identifications described above, we have

$$
\psi_{M, g}(r \otimes a g)=\psi_{M, g}\left(r \otimes_{k\left(g G_{1} g^{-1} \cap H\right)}\left(g \otimes g^{-1} a g\right)\right)=\left(r \otimes_{k[H]} g\right) \otimes_{k G_{1}} g^{-1} a g
$$

Then $r \otimes_{k[H]} g \in k G$ and $g^{-1} a g \in k G_{1}$, so their tensor product lies in $k G$, and we have

$$
\left(r \otimes_{k[H]} g\right) \otimes_{k G_{1}} g^{-1} a g=r \otimes_{k[H]} g g^{-1} a g=r \otimes_{k[H]} a g \in k H \otimes a g .
$$

So $\psi_{M}$ is the identity when restricted to $k H \otimes a g \rightarrow k H \otimes a g \leq \operatorname{Mack}_{1}(M)$.
Thus, to show that $\psi_{M}$ is an isomorphism, it is enough to check that $Z=\{a g \mid(a, g) \in S\} \subseteq G$ is a set of right coset representatives for $H$ in $G$, that is, $Z$ is a set of representatives for $H \backslash G$. Because $Y_{g}$ is a set of representatives for $\left(g G_{1} g^{-1} \cap H\right) \backslash g G_{1} g^{-1}$, it is easy to see that $g^{-1} Y_{g} g$ is a set of representatives for $\left(G_{1} \cap g^{-1} \mathrm{Hg}\right) \backslash G_{1}$. By Exercise I. 8 of Lan02] (interchanging left and right), it follows that

$$
\left\{g y \mid g \in X, b \in g^{-1} Y_{g} g\right\}=\left\{a g \mid g \in X, a \in Y_{g}\right\}=Z
$$

is a set of representatives for $H \backslash G$. Therefore $\psi_{M}$ is an isomorphism.

Next we extend the result of Proposition 4.7 to arbitrary free modules.

## Lemma 4.8:

Let $M$ be a left $k G_{1}$-module, and $M^{\prime}=\bigoplus_{J} M$, for some index set $J$. If $\psi_{M}$ is an isomorphism, then $\psi_{M^{\prime}}$ is an isomorphism.

## Proof:

Because Mack $_{1}$, Mack $_{2}$ are functors composed of direct sums of tensor products, and because tensor products commute with arbitrary direct sums, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Mack}_{1}\left(M^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Mack}_{1}\left(\bigoplus_{J} M\right)=\bigoplus_{J} \operatorname{Mack}_{1}(M), \\
& \operatorname{Mack}_{2}\left(M^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Mack}_{2}\left(\bigoplus_{J} M\right)=\bigoplus_{J} \operatorname{Mack}_{2}(M),
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\psi_{M^{\prime}}=\psi_{\oplus J M}=\bigoplus_{J} \psi_{M}: \operatorname{Mack}_{2}\left(M^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Mack}_{1}\left(M^{\prime}\right) .
$$

It follows that if $\psi_{M}$ is an isomorphism, so is $\psi_{M^{\prime}}$.

## Corollary 4.9:

If $F$ is a free $k G_{1}$-module, then $\psi_{F}$ is an isomorphism.

We can now extend to arbitrary modules, providing a proof of Theorem 4.3.

## Proof of Theorem 4.3:

Let $M$ be a left $k G_{1}$-module. Then $M$ has a free presentation

$$
F_{1} \rightarrow F_{0} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0 .
$$

Now, each of the functors $\mathrm{Mack}_{1}, \mathrm{Mack}_{2}$ are (direct sums of) tensor products, and hence are right exact functors. Therefore we have exact sequences

$$
\operatorname{Mack}_{1}\left(F_{1}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Mack}_{1}\left(F_{0}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Mack}_{1}(M) \rightarrow 0
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{Mack}_{2}\left(F_{1}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Mack}_{2}\left(F_{0}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Mack}_{2}(M) \rightarrow 0
$$

Moreover, the homomorphisms $\psi_{M}, \psi_{F_{0}}, \psi_{F_{1}}$ give us the following commutative diagram, which has exact rows.


By Corollary 4.9, $\psi_{F_{1}}, \psi_{F_{0}}$ are isomorphisms and $\psi_{0}$ is clearly an isomorphism. Thus by the five lemma, $\psi_{M}$ must be an isomorphism. So, $\operatorname{Mack}_{1}(M) \cong \operatorname{Mack}_{2}(M)$.

### 4.4 Faithful flatness of augmented Iwasawa algebras

The formula in Theorem 4.3 now allows us to prove results similar to Theorem4.1, for locally profinite groups.

## Proposition 4.10:

Let $G$ be a locally profinite group, $G_{1} \leq G$ be a closed subgroup. Then $k G$ is a flat right $k G_{1}$-module.

## Proof:

To show that $k G$ is a flat $k G_{1}$-module, we show that for any injection $i: M \rightarrow N$ of $k G_{1}$-modules, the induced map

$$
j: k G \otimes_{k G_{1}} M \rightarrow k G \otimes_{k G_{1}} N
$$

is an injection. Let $H$ be an open profinite subgroup of $G$.
Note that $j=\operatorname{Mack}_{1}(i)$. For each $g \in H \backslash G / G_{1}$, let $j_{g}=\operatorname{Mack}_{2, g}(i)$, so that

$$
j_{g}: \operatorname{Ind}_{g G_{1} g^{-1} \cap H}^{H} M_{g} \rightarrow \operatorname{Ind}_{g G_{1} g^{-1} \cap H}^{H} N_{g} .
$$

Since $g G_{1} g^{-1}$ is a closed subgroup of $G$, we have that $g G_{1} g^{-1} \cap H$ is a closed subgroup of $H$, and thus by Theorem 4.1. $\operatorname{Ind}_{g G_{1} g^{-1} \cap H}^{H}$ is a (left) exact functor, as is ()$_{g}$. It follows that $j_{g}$ is injective.
By the proof of Theorem 4.3, we have that

$$
j=\operatorname{Mack}_{1}(i)=\psi_{N} \circ \operatorname{Mack}_{2}(i) \circ \psi_{M}^{-1} .
$$

Then

$$
\operatorname{Mack}_{2}(i)=\bigoplus_{g \in H \backslash G / G_{1}} \operatorname{Mack}_{2, g}(i)=\bigoplus_{g \in H \backslash G / G_{1}} j_{g}
$$

is injective because each $j_{g}$ is injective. Because $\psi_{M}, \psi_{N}$ are isomorphisms, $j$ must be injective.

For the remainder of this subsection, let $\mathcal{O}$ be a complete discrete valuation ring with residue field $k_{\mathcal{O}}$ of characteristic $p$, and assume $k=\mathcal{O}$ or $k=k_{\mathcal{O}}$. For instance, $k$ may be a perfect field of characteristic $p$, with the discrete topology.

## Theorem 4.11:

Let $G$ be a locally pro- $p$ group, $G_{1} \leq G$ be a closed subgroup. Then $k G$ is a faithfully flat right $k G_{1}$-module.

Given the proposition above, clearly only the faithful part of the theorem requires proving, and to do this we first show it for pro- $p$ groups.

## Lemma 4.12:

Let $U$ be a pro-p group, $H \leq U$ be a closed subgroup. Then $k U$ is a faithfully flat right $k H$ module.

## Proof:

We show that $k U \otimes_{k H} M \neq 0$ for any non-zero left $k H$-module. Because $k U$ is a flat right $k H$-module by Theorem 4.1, it is enough to show this for finitely-generated modules $M$. Now, there is an obvious projection map from $k U \otimes_{k H} M$ onto

$$
k U \otimes_{k H} M / \epsilon_{U}(U)\left(k U \otimes_{k H} M\right) \cong k U / \epsilon_{U}(U) \otimes_{k H} M \cong k \otimes_{k H} M \cong M / \epsilon_{H}(H) M .
$$

But $H$ is pro- $p$, since it is a closed subgroup of a pro- $p$ group, so $\epsilon_{H}(H)$ is the Jacobson radical of $k H$, by Proposition 19.7 of Sch11. By Nakayama's lemma, $M / \epsilon_{H}(H) M$ is non-zero, hence $k U \otimes_{k H} M \neq 0$.

We can now prove the result for all locally pro- $p$ groups.

## Proof of Theorem 4.11:

Let $M$ be a non-zero left $k G_{1}$-module. Let $H \leq G$ be an open pro- $p$ subgroup. Then by Theorem 4.3 .

$$
\operatorname{Res}_{H}^{G} \operatorname{Ind}_{G_{1}}^{G} M \cong \bigoplus_{g \in H \backslash G / G_{1}} \operatorname{Ind}_{g G_{1} g^{-1} \cap H}^{H} M_{g} .
$$

But taking $g=e \in G$, we have that $\operatorname{Ind}_{H \cap G_{1}}^{H} M$ is identified with a submodule of $\operatorname{Ind}_{G_{1}}^{G} M$, and is non-zero by Lemma 4.12 . Thus $k G \otimes_{k G_{1}} M \neq 0$. So, $k G$ is a faithfully flat right $k G_{1}$-module, by Proposition 4.10.

Any $p$-adic Lie group is locally pro- $p$, so the following result is immediate.

## Theorem 4.13:

Let $G$ be a $p$-adic Lie group, $G_{1} \leq G$ be a closed subgroup. Then $k G$ is a faithfully flat right $k G_{1}$-module.

## 5 Coherent rings

### 5.1 Extensions of coherent rings

It will be important to us to be able to transfer the property of coherence when extending a ring in some fashion. The following was proved by Harris in Corollary 1.2 of Har66].

## Lemma 5.1:

Let $R$ be a left coherent ring, and $\phi: R \rightarrow S$ be a ring homomorphism such that $S$ is finitelypresented as a left $R$-module. Then $S$ is a left coherent ring.

One consequence of this lemma is an analogue, for coherent rings, of the fact that any quotient of a Noetherian ring is Noetherian. See Theorem 2 of Har67.

## Lemma 5.2:

Let $R$ be a left coherent ring, $I \subseteq R$ be a two-sided ideal. Suppose that $I$ is finitely-generated as a left ideal. Then the ring $R / I$ is left coherent.

The next result can be found as Theorem 2.3.3 of [Gla89] - the proof is identical for commutative or non-commutative rings.

## Lemma 5.3:

Let $R$ be a ring such that $R=\underset{a \in A}{\lim _{\vec{A}}} R_{a}$ is a directed colimit of some left coherent subrings $R_{a}$, ordered by inclusion, and such that $R$ is a flat right $R_{a}$-module, $\forall a \in A$. Then R is left coherent.

## Example

Let $G=\mathbb{Q}_{p}$. Then $G$ is the direct limit of its compact open subgroups $H_{n}=p^{-n} \mathbb{Z}_{p}$, and so the augmented Iwasawa algebra of $G$ is a direct limit,

$$
k G=\underset{n \geq 0}{\lim _{\longrightarrow}} k H_{n} .
$$

If $k$ is a (discrete) perfect field of characteristic $p$, then $k H_{n}$ is a left Noetherian ring, hence left coherent. By Proposition 4.10, $k G$ is a flat right $k H_{n}$-module, since $H_{n} \leq G$ is a closed subgroup. So by Lemma $5.3, k G$ is a left coherent ring.
In this case $k G \cong \underset{n \geq 0}{\lim } k\left[\left[X^{\frac{1}{p^{n}}}\right]\right.$, which we write as $k G \cong k[[X]]^{\frac{1}{p^{\infty}}}$.

## Example

More generally, if $G=\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{m}$, then

$$
k G=\underset{n \geq 0}{\lim } k\left(p^{-n} \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{m}\right) \cong \underset{n \geq 0}{\lim } k\left[\left[X_{1}^{\frac{1}{p^{n}}}, \ldots, X_{m}^{\frac{1}{p^{n}}}\right]\right],
$$

which we write as $k G \cong k\left[\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}\right]\right]^{\frac{1}{p^{\infty}}}$, and this ring is also coherent.
The following lemma was first proved, for commutative rings only, by Harris in Har66]. For the convenience of the reader, we have replicated this proof, with the appropriate qualifications needed in the non-commutative case.

## Lemma 5.4:

Let $R$ be a left coherent ring. Let $X \subseteq R$ be a left denominator set (a left reversible Ore set). Let $M$ be a left coherent $R$-module. Then $X^{-1} M$ is left coherent as a $X^{-1} R$-module.

## Proof:

By Proposition 10.12 and Corollary 10.13 of [GW04], $X^{-1} R \otimes_{R} A \cong X^{-1} A$ for any $R$-module $A$, and $X^{-1} R$ is flat as a (right) $R$-module.
Let $N^{\prime} \leq X^{-1} M$ be a finitely-generated $X^{-1} R$-module. Since $X^{-1} M \cong X^{-1} R \otimes_{R} M$, and by Lemma 10.2 of [GW04], the generators of $N^{\prime}$ can be written with a common (left) denominator, hence there exist $m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots m_{r} \in M$ such that

$$
N^{\prime}=X^{-1} R \cdot 1^{-1} m_{1}+X^{-1} R \cdot 1^{-1} m_{2}+\cdots+X^{-1} R \cdot 1^{-1} m_{r}
$$

Let

$$
N=R m_{1}+R m_{2}+\cdots+R m_{r} \leq M
$$

Let $i: N \rightarrow M$ be the natural $R$-module injection. Tensoring, we have the induced map

$$
j: X^{-1} R \otimes_{R} N \rightarrow X^{-1} R \otimes_{R} M
$$

and because $X^{-1} R$ is flat as an $R$-module, $j$ is injective.
So we have an injection $j: X^{-1} N \rightarrow X^{-1} M$, and clearly $\operatorname{Im} j=N^{\prime}$. So $X^{-1} N \cong N^{\prime}$ as $X^{-1} R$-modules.
Now, since $M$ is coherent and $N$ is finitely-generated, $N$ is finitely-presented. Let

$$
0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow R^{n} \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0
$$

be an exact sequence of $R$-modules with $K$ finitely-generated. Again, because $X^{-1} R$ is flat,

$$
0 \rightarrow X^{-1} R \otimes_{R} K \rightarrow\left(X^{-1} R\right)^{n} \rightarrow X^{-1} N \rightarrow 0
$$

is exact. Then $X^{-1} R \otimes_{R} K$ is finitely-generated, so $N^{\prime} \cong X^{-1} N$ is finitely-presented.
So $X^{-1} M$ is a coherent $X^{-1} R$-module.

## Corollary 5.5:

Let $R$ be a left coherent ring, and $X \subseteq R$ be a left denominator set. If $R$ is left coherent, then $X^{-1} R$ is left coherent.

See Theorem 2 and Corollary 2.1 of Har66 for a proof of the following result.

## Proposition 5.6:

Let $\phi: R \rightarrow S$ be a ring homomorphism such that $S$ is a faithfully flat right $R$-module, via $\phi$. If $S$ is left coherent, then $R$ is left coherent.

We can combine this proposition with Theorem 4.13 to deduce the following. For the rest of this section, let $\mathcal{O}$ be a complete discrete valuation ring with $p \in \mathcal{O}$ a prime element, and assume $k=\mathcal{O}$ or $k=\mathcal{O} / p \mathcal{O}$.

## Proposition 5.7:

Let $G$ be a $p$-adic Lie group, $H$ be a closed subgroup of $G$. If $k G$ is a coherent ring, then $k H$ is a coherent ring.

Notice that the contrapositive to this proposition says that $k G$ cannot be coherent if even one of its closed subgroups has a non-coherent augmented Iwasawa algebra.

### 5.2 Coherence for unipotent $p$-adic Lie groups

We can use the results above to show that unipotent $p$-adic Lie groups always have a coherent augmented Iwasawa algebra.

## Corollary 5.8:

Let $F$ be a finite field extension of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}, \mathbb{U}_{n}$ be the affine group scheme of upper unitriangular matrices in $\mathbb{G L}_{n}$. Let $U$ be a closed subgroup of $\mathbb{U}_{n}(F)$ with the $p$-adic topology. Then the augmented Iwasawa algebra $k U$ is a coherent ring.

## Proof:

First we show that $\mathbb{U}_{n}(F)$ is a direct limit of compact subgroups.
Let $\pi \in F$ be a uniformiser, and $v_{F}$ be the discrete valuation on $F$. For each $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, let

$$
G_{j}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & \pi^{-j} \mathcal{O}_{F} & \pi^{-2 j} \mathcal{O}_{F} & \cdots & \pi^{-(n-1) j} \mathcal{O}_{F} \\
& 1 & \pi^{-j} \mathcal{O}_{F} & \ddots & \vdots \\
& & \ddots & \ddots & \pi^{-2 j} \mathcal{O}_{F} \\
& & & 1 & \pi^{-j} \mathcal{O}_{F} \\
& & & & 1
\end{array}\right) \subseteq \mathbb{U}_{n}(F) .
$$

Then it is easy to check that $G_{j}$ is a subgroup of $\mathbb{U}_{n}(F)$, and clearly it is compact and closed. We also have that $G_{j} \leq G_{j+1}$ for all $j$. If $A \in \mathbb{U}_{n}(F)$, let $N=-\min \left\{v_{F}\left(A_{i j}\right) \mid 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n\right\}$. Then $A \in G_{N}$. So, $\mathbb{U}_{n}(F)$ is the direct limit of the $G_{j}$.
Then $k \mathbb{U}_{n}(F)=\underset{j \geq 0}{\lim } k G_{j}$. Because the $G_{j}$ are compact, each $k G_{j}$ is a left Noetherian (from
Theorem 33.4 of Sch11), hence left coherent, subring of $k \mathbb{U}_{n}(F)$. Because the $G_{j}$ are closed, $k \mathbb{U}_{n}(F)$ is a flat right $k G_{j}$-module for all $j \geq 0$, by Proposition 4.10. Thus $k \mathbb{U}_{n}(F)$ is a direct limit of left coherent subrings, satisfying the properties in Lemma 5.3, hence is left coherent. Let $U \leq \mathbb{U}_{n}(F)$ be a closed subgroup. Then by Proposition 5.7, $k U$ is also left coherent.

## 6 Coherence of a skew polynomial ring

### 6.1 The non-commutative Hilbert basis theorem

In commutative algebra, the Hilbert basis theorem tells us that if $R$ is a Noetherian ring, then the polynomial ring $R[X]$ is also Noetherian. There is a corresponding result for a nice class of skew polynomial rings, which we call the non-commutative Hilbert basis theorem.

Theorem 6.1 (non-commutative Hilbert basis theorem):
Let $R$ be a ring. Let $\sigma_{X}: R \rightarrow R$ be a ring automorphism. If $R$ is left Noetherian, then the
skew polynomial ring $R\left[X ; \sigma_{X}\right]$ is left Noetherian. If $R$ is right Noetherian, then $R\left[X ; \sigma_{X}\right]$ is right Noetherian.

A proof is given in [GW04], Theorem 1.14. Note that in Theorem 6.1, it is enough in fact to assume that $\sigma_{X}$ is a surjective endomorphism, since any surjective endomorphism of a Noetherian ring is also injective.
If we have a non-surjective ring endomorphism, then Theorem 6.1 can fail, see Exercise 2P of [GW04, page 38. However, with appropriate hypotheses on a non-surjective endomorphism, we can conclude that the skew polynomial ring is left coherent.

## Theorem 6.2:

Let $A$ be a left Noetherian ring, and $\sigma_{F}: A \rightarrow A$ be an injective ring endomorphism, such that $A$ is flat as a right $\sigma_{F}(A)$-module. Then, the skew polynomial ring $A\left[F ; \sigma_{F}\right]$ is left coherent.

This result is due to Emerton in Eme08. For the reader's convenience, we provide a proof of Theorem 6.2 in the next subsection.

### 6.2 Coherence of a skew polynomial ring, proof

In this subsection we prove Theorem 6.2. We will often use the convention of omitting the endomorphism, so writing $A[F]$ for $A\left[F ; \sigma_{F}\right]$.

Let $A$ be a left Noetherian ring and $\sigma_{F}: A \rightarrow A$ be an injective ring endomorphism. $A$ is naturally a right $\sigma_{F}(A)$-module, under right multiplication, and we suppose that this right module is flat. We write $R=A[F]=A\left[F ; \sigma_{F}\right]$ for the corresponding skew polynomial ring.
The ring $R$ is a free left $A$-module on the basis $\left\{1, F, F^{2}, \ldots\right\}$. So

$$
R=A[F]=\bigoplus_{j=0}^{\infty} A F^{j}
$$

We define an increasing filtration on $R$ given by $F$-degree,

$$
R^{\leq k}=\bigoplus_{j=0}^{k} A F^{j}
$$

This also defines a filtration on any (left) ideal $I$ of $R$, as well as on the free module $R^{n}$, and any left submodule $M \leq R^{n}$. Let

$$
J=R F=\bigoplus_{j=1}^{\infty} A F^{j} \subseteq R,
$$

so $J$ is the left ideal generated by $F$. Note that $J$ is also a right ideal of $R$.

## Lemma 6.3:

The ideal $J$ is a flat right $R$-module.

## Proof:

Notice that the endomorphism $\sigma_{F}$ can be naturally extended to $R$ by defining $\sigma_{F}(F)=F$, and then $\sigma_{F}(R)=\sigma_{F}(A)[F]$. Then, there is an $(R, R)$-bimodule isomorphism

$$
J=R F \cong R \otimes_{\sigma_{F}(R)}(F R), \quad r F \mapsto r \otimes F \cdot 1, \quad r \sigma_{F}(s) F \longleftrightarrow r \otimes F s
$$

Moreover, for any left $R$-module M, there is a natural left $A$-module isomorphism,

$$
R \otimes_{\sigma_{F}(R)} M \cong A \otimes_{\sigma_{F}(A)} M, \quad\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_{j} F^{j}\right) \otimes m \mapsto \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_{j} \otimes\left(F^{j} m\right), \quad a \otimes m \hookleftarrow a \otimes m .
$$

Because $A$ is a flat right $\sigma_{F}(A)$-module, it follows that $R$ is a flat right $\sigma_{F}(R)$-module. Also, $F R$ is a free right $R$-module because $\sigma_{F}$ is injective, and so the functor

$$
J \otimes_{R}=R \otimes_{\sigma_{F}(R)}(F R) \otimes_{R}
$$

is a composition of two exact functors, hence is exact. So $J$ is a flat right $R$-module.

Proving that $R$ is coherent relies on describing the finitely-generated ideals of $R$, and more generally, the finitely-generated submodules of $R^{n}$.

## Lemma 6.4:

Let $M \leq R^{n}$ be a left $R$-submodule of $R^{n}$. Then, $M$ is finitely-generated as an $R$-module if and only if $\bar{M} / J M$ is finitely-generated as an $A$-module.

This lemma allows us to show that $R$ is coherent.

## Proof of Theorem 6.2:

Let $I \subseteq R$ be a finitely-generated left ideal of $R$. Then we have a short exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow R^{n} \rightarrow I \rightarrow 0
$$

Now, $I$ is finitely-presented if and only if $M$ is finitely-generated (see for example Lemma 2.1.1 of [Gla89]). By Lemma 6.4, $M$ is finitely-generated if and only if $M / J M=R / J \otimes_{R} M$ is finitely generated as an $A$-module. Applying $(R / J) \otimes_{R}$ to the short exact sequence, we obtain the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Tor}_{1}^{R}(R / J, I) \rightarrow M / J M \rightarrow A^{n} \rightarrow I / J I \rightarrow 0
$$

Since $A$ is Noetherian, any submodule of $A^{n}$ is finitely-generated. Thus $M / J M$ is finitely generated as an $A$-module if and only if $\operatorname{Tor}_{1}^{R}(R / J, I)$ is. Now, by dimension shifting,

$$
\operatorname{Tor}_{1}^{R}(R / J, I)=\operatorname{Tor}_{2}^{R}(R / J, R / I)=\operatorname{Tor}_{1}^{R}(J, R / I)
$$

But $J$ is a flat right $R$-module by Lemma 6.3. Thus $\operatorname{Tor}_{1}^{R}(J, R / I)=0$. Hence $M$ is finitelygenerated and $I$ is finitely-presented.
So every finitely-generated left ideal of $R$ is finitely-presented - therefore $R$ is left coherent.
It remains, therefore, to prove Lemma 6.4. This will rely on the following description of filtrations.

## Lemma 6.5:

Let $M$ be a left submodule of $R^{n}$. Then $(J M)^{\leq k}=A F M^{\leq k-1}$ for all $k \geq 1$.

## Proof:

Note $J M=R F M=A F M$ because $M$ is an $A[F]$-module. Let $m \in(J M)^{\leq k}$. Then there are $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{d} \in A$ and $m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{d} \in M$ such that

$$
m=\sum_{j=1}^{d} x_{j} F m_{j}
$$

Let $K \geq k$ be such that $m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{d} \in M^{\leq K}$. Denote the coefficient of $F^{r}$ in the $i$ th component of an element $z \in R^{n}$ by $z^{(i, r)} \in A$. For any $r \in\{k, \ldots, K\}, i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$,

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{d} x_{j} F m_{j}^{(i, r)} F^{r}=\sum_{j=1}^{d} x_{j} \sigma_{F}\left(m_{j}^{(i, r)}\right) F^{r+1}=0
$$

so

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{d} x_{j} \sigma_{F}\left(m_{j}^{(i, r)}\right)=0
$$

Now, $A$ is a flat right $\sigma_{F}(A)$-module. By Lemma 3.65 of Rot09, there exist $b_{q j}=\sigma_{F}\left(a_{q j}\right) \in$ $\sigma_{F}(A), y_{q} \in A$ such that for all $j \in\{1,2, \ldots, d\}$,

$$
x_{j}=\sum_{q=1}^{N} y_{q} b_{q j}
$$

and for all $q \in\{1,2, \ldots, N\}$,

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{d} b_{q j} \sigma_{F}\left(m_{j}^{(i, r)}\right)=\sigma_{F}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{d} a_{q j} m_{j}^{(i, r)}\right)=0 .
$$

Thus

$$
m=\sum_{j=1}^{d} x_{j} F m_{j}=\sum_{j=1}^{d} \sum_{q=1}^{N} y_{q} b_{q j} F m_{j}=\sum_{q=1}^{N} y_{q} F\left(\sum_{j=1}^{d} a_{q j} m_{j}\right) .
$$

We define

$$
m_{q}^{\prime}=\sum_{j=1}^{d} a_{q j} m_{j} \in M
$$

for all $q \in\{1,2, \ldots, N\}$. Then, by the properties of the $b_{q j}$ and because $\sigma_{F}$ is injective,

$$
m_{q}^{\prime(i, r)}=\sum_{j=1}^{d} a_{q j} m_{j}^{(i, r)}=0
$$

for all $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ and $r \in\{k, \ldots, K\}$. Also, $m_{q}^{\prime} \in \sum_{j=1}^{d} A m_{j} \leq M^{\leq K}$, and therefore $m_{q}^{\prime} \in M^{\leq k-1}$, for all $q$. Hence

$$
m=\sum_{q=1}^{N} y_{q} F m_{q}^{\prime} \in A F M^{\leq k-1}
$$

Therefore, $(J M)^{\leq k} \subseteq A F M^{\leq k-1}$, and the reverse inclusion is obvious.

Now we can prove Lemma 6.4.

## Proof of Lemma 6.4;

Let $M$ be a submodule of $R^{n}$.
If $M$ is finitely generated, there is a surjection $R^{N} \rightarrow M$. Applying $(R / J) \otimes_{R}$, we obtain a surjection $(R / J)^{N}=A^{N} \rightarrow M / J M$, and hence $M / J M$ is finitely generated as an $A$-module.
Conversely, suppose that $M / J M$ is finitely generated as an $A$-module. This means that there is a finite set $S \subseteq M$, such that

$$
M=A S+J M
$$

Then, since $S$ is finite, there exists $d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $S \subseteq M^{\leq d}$. Then

$$
M=M^{\leq d}+J M .
$$

We claim that $M=R M^{\leq d}$. If $k \leq d$ then trivially $M^{\leq k} \subseteq R M^{\leq d}$.
Let $k>d$, and $m \in M^{\leq k}$. Let $y \in M^{\leq d}$ and $z \in J M$ such that $m=y+z$. Then $z=m-y \in M^{\leq k}$ and $z \in J M$, so $z \in(J M)^{\leq k}=A F M^{\leq k-1}$, by Lemma 6.5.
By induction, we may assume $M^{\leq k-1} \subseteq R M^{\leq d}$, and therefore $z \in R M^{\leq d}$. So $m=y+z \in R M^{\leq d}$. Hence $M^{\leq k} \subseteq R M^{\leq d}$, for all $k$. Therefore $M=R M^{\leq d}$.
Now, $M^{\leq d}$ is a submodule of the finitely-generated $A$-module $R^{\leq d}$, hence is finitely generated as an $A$-module, since $A$ is Noetherian. Therefore $M=R M^{\leq d}$ is finitely-generated as an $R$ module.

We conclude that $A[F]$ is a left coherent ring.

### 6.3 Application to a $p$-adic Lie group

Recall that in Corollary 5.8, we showed that all unipotent p-adic Lie groups have a coherent augmented Iwasawa algebra. In this subsection, we give an example of a solvable, non-unipotent $p$-adic Lie group with coherent augmented Iwasawa algebra. The reasoning here is a precursor to that for Theorem 8.8.

Let $G$ be the subgroup of $G L_{2}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$,

$$
G=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
p^{\mathbb{Z}} & \mathbb{Q}_{p} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)=\left\{\left.\left(\begin{array}{cc}
p^{m} & x \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\, m \in \mathbb{Z}, x \in \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right\}
$$

Let $k$ be a discrete perfect field of characteristic $p$. In this subsection we show that the augmented Iwasawa algebra $k G$ is a coherent ring. We do this by looking explicitly at the ring structure of $k G$.

## Lemma 6.6:

The augmented Iwasawa algebra $k G$ is a skew-Laurent polynomial ring

$$
k G=B\left[F, F^{-1} ; \sigma_{F}\right],
$$

where $B$ is the subring

$$
B=k H=k\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \mathbb{Q}_{p} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)=\underset{n \geq 0}{\lim } k\left[\left[t^{\frac{1}{p^{n}}}\right]\right]=k[[t]]^{\frac{1}{p^{\infty}}},
$$

and $F$ corresponds to the group element $\left(\begin{array}{ll}p & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right) \in G$. Then $\sigma_{F}$ is the $k$-algebra automorphism of $B$ given by $\sigma_{F}\left(f\left(t^{a}\right)\right)=f\left(t^{p a}\right)$.

This ring structure is determined by first noting $B=k H$ is naturally a subring of $k G$, by Proposition 2.22. Then, we note $k G$ is generated as a $k$-algebra by $k H$ and coset representatives for $G / H$.

Let $A$ be the Iwasawa algebra of the compact subgroup $H_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & \mathbb{Z}_{p} \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$, so $A=k[[t]] \leq B$. Note that $F g F^{-1}=g^{p}$ for all $g \in H_{0}$ (this corresponds to multiplication by $p$ on $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ ). Thus $\sigma_{F}$ restricts to a ring endomorphism $\sigma_{F}^{\prime}$ of $A$, given by $\sigma_{F}^{\prime}(f(t))=f\left(t^{p}\right)$. Thus the subring of $k G$ generated by $A$ and $F$ is a skew polynomial ring $A[F]=A\left[F ; \sigma_{F}^{\prime}\right]$.

## Proposition 6.7:

The skew polynomial ring $A[F]$ is left coherent.

## Proof:

Note that $A=k[[t]]$ is left Noetherian. Because $\sigma_{F}^{\prime}=\left.\sigma_{F}\right|_{A}$ and $\sigma_{F}$ is an automorphism, $\sigma_{F}^{\prime}$ is injective. Then, $\sigma_{F}^{\prime}(A)=k\left[\left[t^{p}\right]\right]$, and so $A$ is free of rank $p$ as a $\sigma_{F}^{\prime}(A)$-module, hence flat. Therefore $A[F]$ is left coherent, by Theorem 6.2.

From this we can use facts about coherent rings to show that $k G$ is coherent.

## Corollary 6.8:

The augmented Iwasawa algebra $k G$ is coherent.

## Proof:

For each $n \geq 0$, let $A_{n}=k H_{n}$, where $H_{n}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & p^{-n} \mathbb{Z}_{p} \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$ is a compact subgroup. Then, $A_{n}=k\left[\left[t^{\frac{1}{p^{n}}}\right]\right]$, and by similar reasoning to that above, $A_{n}[F]$ is a skew polynomial ring. Then, $\left.\sigma_{F}^{n}\right|_{A_{n}}$ is a ring isomorphism onto $A$, and commutes with the action of $F$, therefore $A_{n}[F] \cong A[F]$ is left coherent by Proposition 6.7 .
Now, $H=\underset{n \geq 0}{\lim } H_{n}$, therefore $B=k H=\underset{n \geq 0}{\lim } A_{n}$. Now, each $H_{n}$ is a closed subgroup of $H$, therefore $B$ is a flat right $A_{n}$-module by Proposition 4.10. It follows that $B[F]$ is a flat right $A_{n}[F]$-module, since there is a natural isomorphism of left $B$-modules,

$$
B[F] \otimes_{A_{n}[F]} M \cong B \otimes_{A_{n}} M, \quad\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_{j} F^{j}\right) \otimes m \mapsto \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_{j} \otimes\left(F^{j} m\right), \quad b \otimes m \hookleftarrow b \otimes m,
$$

for any left $A_{n}[F]$-module $M$. Because $B \otimes_{A_{n}}$ is an exact functor, so is $B[F] \otimes_{A_{n}[F]}$.
So $B[F]=\underset{n \geq 0}{\lim } A_{n}[F]$ is left coherent, by Lemma 5.3. Then, $k G=X^{-1} B[F]$, where $X=\left\{F^{n} \mid n \geq 0\right\}$ is a left denominator set, therefore $k G$ is left coherent, by Corollary 5.5.

## 7 Non-coherence for two groups

For the rest of this article, let $k$ be a perfect field of characteristic $p$, given the discrete topology (so $k$ is pseudocompact). For example, $k$ may be a finite extension or an algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}_{p}$.

In this section we show that the augmented Iwasawa algebras of two particular p-adic Lie groups are not coherent. These examples are crucial in proving our characterisation of when augmented Iwasawa algebras are coherent in Theorem 1.4 .
Throughout, let $F$ be a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ of degree $n$, with discrete valuation $v_{F}: F^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, and ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_{F}$.

### 7.1 Statements

## Theorem 7.1:

Let $\mathbb{U}_{3}^{\prime}$ be the affine group scheme of upper unitriangular matrices in $\mathbb{G L}_{3}$ with (1,2)-entry zero, and

$$
U_{3}^{\prime}=\mathbb{U}_{3}^{\prime}(F)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & F \\
0 & 1 & F \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Let $u, v \in F^{\times}$be such that $v_{F}(u)>0, v_{F}(v)<0$, and

$$
t^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
u & & \\
& v & \\
& & 1
\end{array}\right) \in G L_{3}(F)
$$

Let $G_{3, t^{\prime}}=\left\langle t^{\prime}, U_{3}^{\prime}\right\rangle \leq G L_{3}(F)$. Then $k G_{3, t^{\prime}}$ is not coherent.

## Theorem 7.2:

Let $\mathbb{U}_{3}$ be the affine group scheme of upper unitriangular matrices in $\mathbb{G L}_{3}$. Let

$$
U_{3}=\mathbb{U}_{3}(F)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & F & F \\
0 & 1 & F \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Let $u, v \in F^{\times}$be such that $v_{F}(u)>0, v_{F}(v)<0$, and

$$
t=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
u & & \\
& 1 & \\
& & v^{-1}
\end{array}\right) \in G L_{3}(F) .
$$

Let $H_{3, t}=\left\langle t, U_{3}\right\rangle \leq G L_{3}(F)$. Then $k H_{3, t}$ is not coherent.

### 7.2 Overview of the proof

We provide a summary of the arguments used to prove Theorem 7.1 and 7.2 .
We first show Theorem 7.1. We wish to show that the augmented Iwasawa algebra of the $p$-adic Lie group $G_{3, t^{\prime}}=\left\langle t^{\prime}, U_{3}^{\prime}\right\rangle$ is not (left) coherent.

- First, we choose a closed subgroup $G_{3, g}=\left\langle g, U_{3}^{\prime}\right\rangle \leq G_{3, t^{\prime}}$, where $g$ is a power of $t^{\prime}$, the choice made to simplify later calculations.

By Proposition 5.7, it is enough to show that $k G_{3, g}$ is not left coherent.

- We choose a particular finitely-generated left ideal $I_{g} \subseteq k G_{3, g}$. We will show it is not finitelypresented.
- Next, we find the subgroup $G_{3, D, E}=\left\langle D, E, U_{3}^{\prime}\right\rangle \leq G L_{3}(F)$, where $D, E \in G L_{3}(F)$ are particular diagonal elements such that $D E^{-1}=g$.
- Then $G_{3, g}$ is a closed subgroup of $G_{3, D, E}$, and $k G_{3, D, E}$ is a (faithfully) flat right $k G_{3, g}$-module, by Theorem 4.13 .

By the following lemma, to show $I_{g}$ is not finitely-presented, it will be enough to show that the corresponding left ideal of $k G_{3, D, E}$, which is $I_{D, E}=k G_{3, D, E} \otimes_{k G_{3, g}} I_{g}$, is not finitely-presented.

## Lemma 7.3:

Let $R \leq S$ be rings, and $I$ be a finitely-generated left ideal of $R$. If $I$ is finitely-presented as an $R$-module, then $S \otimes_{R} I$ is finitely-presented as an $S$-module.
Moreover, if $S$ is a flat right $R$-module, then $S \otimes_{R} I$ is naturally identified with a left ideal of $S$.

## Proof:

The first part follows because $S \otimes_{R}$ is a right exact functor. If $S$ is a flat right $R$-module, then tensoring the natural injection of left $R$-modules $I \rightarrow R$ gives an injection $S \otimes_{R} I \rightarrow S$, of left $S$-modules.

- Consider the compact unipotent group $\mathbb{U}_{3}^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}\right) \leq G_{3, D, E}$, and let $A=k \mathbb{U}_{3}^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}\right)$ be its Iwasawa algebra. The subring of $k G_{3, D, E}$ generated by $A$ and the elements $D, E$ is a skew polynomial ring $A[D, E]$.
- Then $k G_{3, D, E}$ is a flat right $A[D, E]$-module, and $I_{D, E}$ can be generated by finitely many elements of $A[D, E]$.

This gives a finitely-generated left ideal $I_{A} \subseteq A[D, E]$ such that $I_{D, E}=k G_{3, D, E} \otimes_{A[D, E]} I_{A}$.

- We then compute an infinite set of relations $S$ for $I_{A}$, over the ring $A[D, E]$.

The set of relations $S$ for $I_{A}$ bijectively corresponds to a set of relations $X$ for the ideal $I_{D, E}$.

- We show that no finite subset of $X$ can be a set of relations for $I_{D, E}$. This proves that $I_{D, E}$ cannot be finitely-presented, by the following lemma.


## Lemma 7.4:

Let $R$ be a ring, $M$ be a left $R$-module, $X$ be a generating set of $M$. Suppose that $M$ is finitelygenerated. Then $M$ is generated by a finite subset of $X$.

## Proof:

Let $M$ have finite generating set $Y$. Each $y \in Y$ can be written as a finite $R$-linear combination of elements in $X$, let

$$
y=r_{1 y} x_{1 y}+r_{2 y} x_{2 y}+\cdots+r_{a_{y} y} x_{a_{y} y}, \quad a_{y} \in \mathbb{N}, r_{i y} \in R, x_{i y} \in X
$$

Let $X^{\prime}=\left\{x_{i y} \mid y \in Y, 1 \leq i \leq a_{y}\right\} \subseteq X$. Then, $X^{\prime}$ is a finite subset of $X$, and $Y$ is contained in the module generated by $X^{\prime}$, so $X^{\prime}$ must generate $M$.

As $I_{D, E}$ is not finitely-presented, it then follows that $I_{g}$ is not finitely-presented, hence $k G_{3, g}$ is not left coherent, so $k G_{3, t^{\prime}}$ is not coherent, proving Theorem 7.1.

We then deduce Theorem 7.2 as follows.
We wish to show that $H_{3, t}=\left\langle t, U_{3}\right\rangle$ is not left coherent.

- We note that $Z\left(U_{3}\right)$ is a normal subgroup of $H_{3, t}$, and that the quotient $H_{3, t} / Z\left(U_{3}\right) \cong G_{3, t^{\prime}}$.

Then, the augmentation ideal $J=\epsilon\left(Z\left(U_{3}\right)\right) \subseteq k H_{3, t}$ is two-sided, and $k H_{3, t} / J \cong k G_{3, t^{\prime}}$.

- Because $I_{g} \subseteq k G_{3, g}$ is not finitely-presented, the corresponding left ideal

$$
I^{\prime}=k G_{3, t^{\prime}} \otimes_{k G_{3, g}} I_{g} \subseteq k G_{3, t^{\prime}}
$$

is not finitely-presented, by faithful flatness.

- We find a finitely-generated left ideal $I \subseteq k H_{3, t}$ such that $I$ contains $J$, we have an isomorphism $I / J \cong I^{\prime}$, and $J I=J$.
From this, we can show that since $I^{\prime}$ is not finitely-presented, $I$ is not finitely-presented. Therefore $k H_{3, t}$ is not left coherent, and Theorem 7.2 is proved.


### 7.3 Proof of Theorem 7.1: change of rings

As in the statement of Theorem 7.1, let $\mathbb{U}_{3}^{\prime}$ be the affine group scheme of upper unitriangular matrices in $\mathbb{G L}_{3}$ with (1,2)-entry zero, and

$$
U_{3}^{\prime}=\mathbb{U}_{3}^{\prime}(F)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & F \\
0 & 1 & F \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then let $u, v \in F^{\times}$be such that $v_{F}(u)>0, v_{F}(v)<0$, and

$$
t^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
u & & \\
& v & \\
& & 1
\end{array}\right) \in G L_{3}(F)
$$

We define $G_{3, t^{\prime}}=\left\langle t^{\prime}, U_{3}^{\prime}\right\rangle \leq G L_{3}(F)$.
Define $n^{\prime}=v_{F}(p)$. The field $F$ is a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$, so $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ is a subring of $\mathcal{O}_{F}$, therefore $\left.v_{F}\right|_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times}}=n^{\prime} v_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}$. It follows that

$$
u^{n^{\prime}}=p^{n_{u}} u_{0}, \quad v^{n^{\prime}}=\left(p^{n_{v}} v_{0}\right)^{-1},
$$

for some positive integers $n_{u}, n_{v}$ and elements $u_{0}, v_{0} \in \mathcal{O}_{F}^{\times}$, and we define

$$
g=\left(t^{\prime}\right)^{n^{\prime}}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
p^{n_{u}} u_{0} & & \\
& \left(p^{n_{v}} v_{0}\right)^{-1} & \\
& & 1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

## Definition 7.5:

$$
G_{3, g}=\left\langle g, U_{3}^{\prime}\right\rangle \leq G_{3, t^{\prime}} .
$$

We describe the corresponding augmented Iwasawa algebra.
Now, $\mathcal{O}_{F}$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-module of rank $n$, so fix a basis $\left\{x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right\}$ with $x_{0}=1$. Then the Iwasawa algebra of $\mathcal{O}_{F}$ is

$$
k \mathcal{O}_{F}=k\left[\left[X_{0}, \ldots, X_{n-1}\right]\right],
$$

where $1+X_{i}=x_{i} \in \mathcal{O}_{F}$. Then the augmented Iwasawa algebra of $F$ is

$$
k F=\underset{r \geq 0}{\lim } k\left[\left[X_{0}^{\frac{1}{p^{r}}}, \ldots, X_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{p^{r}}}\right]\right]=k\left[\left[X_{0}, \ldots, X_{n-1}\right]\right]^{\frac{1}{p^{\infty}}},
$$

where $1+X_{i}^{\frac{1}{p^{r}}}=p^{-r} x_{i} \in p^{-r} \mathcal{O}_{F} \leq F$.
Now, $U_{3}^{\prime} \cong F \oplus F$, and thus we write the augmented Iwasawa algebra as

$$
k U_{3}^{\prime}=k\left[\left[s_{0}, \ldots, s_{n-1}, t_{0}, \ldots, t_{n-1}\right]\right]^{\frac{1}{p^{\infty}}},
$$

where

$$
1+s_{i}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & x_{i} \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad 1+t_{i}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & x_{i} \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then the augmented Iwasawa algebra of $G_{3, g}$ is a skew-Laurent ring $k U_{3}^{\prime}\left[g, g^{-1}\right]$. We define a finitelygenerated left ideal of this ring.

## Definition 7.6:

$$
I_{g}=k G_{3, g} s_{0}+\cdots+k G_{3, g} s_{n-1}+k G_{3, g} t_{0}+\cdots+k G_{3, g} t_{n-1}+k G_{3, g}(g-1) \subseteq k G_{3, g}
$$

We will show that $I_{g}$ is not finitely-presented, by considering the ideal it generates in a larger ring. This larger ring is given by considering a group containing $G_{3, g}$, splitting the element $g$ into its diagonal components.

## Definition 7.7:

The group $G_{3, D, E}=\left\langle D, E, U_{3}^{\prime}\right\rangle \leq G L_{3}(F)$, where

$$
D=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
p^{n_{u}} u_{0} & & \\
& 1 & \\
& & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad E=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & & \\
& p^{n_{v}} & & \\
& & & 1
\end{array}\right) \in G L_{3}(F) .
$$

Notice that $g=D E^{-1}$, and so $G_{3, g}$ is a closed subgroup of $G_{3, D, E}$. We have that $k G_{3, D, E}$ is a skew-Laurent ring in two variables, that is,

$$
k G_{3, D, E}=k U_{3}^{\prime}\left[D, D^{-1}, E, E^{-1}\right]=k U_{3}^{\prime}\left[D, D^{-1}, E, E^{-1} ; \sigma_{D}, \sigma_{E}\right],
$$

where $\sigma_{D}, \sigma_{E}$ are the automorphisms of $k U_{3}^{\prime}$ given by $\sigma_{D}(x)=D x D^{-1}, \sigma_{E}(x)=E x E^{-1}$. Note that the group elements $D, E$ commute, therefore $\sigma_{D}, \sigma_{E}$ are commuting endomorphisms.

## Definition 7.8:

The left ideal $I_{D, E}=k G_{3, D, E} \otimes_{k G_{3, g}} I_{g} \subseteq k G_{3, D, E}$.
By Lemma 7.3, if $I_{g}$ is finitely-presented, then so is $I_{D, E}$. Since $D, E$ are units of $k G_{3, D, E}$, we have that $I_{D, E}$ is generated by the set $\left\{s_{0}, \ldots, s_{n-1}, t_{0}, \ldots, t_{n-1}, D-E\right\}$. Let the natural presentation corresponding to these generators be

$$
0 \rightarrow K_{D, E} \rightarrow\left(k G_{3, D, E}\right)^{2 n+1} \rightarrow I_{D, E} \rightarrow 0
$$

We will determine a set of generators for $K_{D, E}$, and then demonstrate that this set cannot be reduced to a finite one. To determine a set of generators for $K_{D, E}$, we will restrict the calculations to a skew polynomial subring of $k G_{3, D, E}$.

## Definition 7.9:

The ring $A=k \mathbb{U}_{3}^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}\right)=k\left(\begin{array}{ccc}1 & 0 & \mathcal{O}_{F} \\ 0 & 1 & \mathcal{O}_{F} \\ 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$.
Then $A$ is the Noetherian subring $k\left[\left[s_{0}, \ldots, s_{n-1}, t_{0}, \ldots, t_{n-1}\right]\right] \leq k G_{3, D, E}$. Now, it can be easily seen that $\sigma_{D}(A), \sigma_{E}(A) \subseteq A$, therefore $\left.\sigma_{D}\right|_{A},\left.\sigma_{E}\right|_{A}$ are (injective) ring endomorphisms of $A$, and so the subring of $k G_{3, D, E}$ generated by $D, E$ and $A$ is a skew polynomial ring $A[D, E]=A\left[D, E ;\left.\sigma_{D}\right|_{A},\left.\sigma_{E}\right|_{A}\right]$. Also, $k G_{3, D, E}$ is flat over this subring. To prove this requires a minor result about localisations.

## Lemma 7.10:

Let $R$ be a ring, $X \subseteq R$ be a left denominator set. Let $M$ be a $(R, R)$-bimodule such that $M$ is a flat right $R$-module. Then $X^{-1} M$ is a flat right $R$-module.

## Proof:

By Proposition 10.12 of [GW04], the functor $X^{-1} M \otimes_{R}$ is equal to the composition of functors $X^{-1} R \otimes_{R} M \otimes_{R}$. Since $M$ is a flat right $R$-module, $M \otimes_{R}$ is an exact functor. By Corollary 10.13 of GW04, $X^{-1} R$ is a flat right $R$-module, so $X^{-1} R \otimes_{R}$ is an exact functor. Thus $X^{-1} M \otimes_{R}$ is an exact functor, hence $X^{-1} M$ is a flat right $R$-module.

## Proposition 7.11:

The augmented Iwasawa algebra $k G_{3, D, E}$ is a flat right $A[D, E]$-module.

## Proof:

Because $\mathbb{U}_{3}^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}\right) \leq U_{3}^{\prime}$ is a closed subgroup, $k U_{3}^{\prime}$ is a flat $A$-module by Theorem 4.13. For any left $A[D, E]$-module $M$, there is a natural isomorphism of left $k U_{3}^{\prime}$-modules,
$k U_{3}^{\prime}[D, E] \otimes_{A[D, E]} M \cong k U_{3}^{\prime} \otimes_{A} M, \quad\left(\sum_{i, j \geq 0} b_{i j} D^{i} E^{j}\right) \otimes m \mapsto \sum_{i, j \geq 0} b_{i j} \otimes\left(D^{i} E^{j} m\right), b \otimes m \leftarrow b \otimes m$.
Since $k U_{3}^{\prime} \otimes_{A}$ is exact, so is $k U_{3}^{\prime}[D, E] \otimes_{A[D, E]}$, thus $k U_{3}^{\prime}[D, E]$ is a flat right $A[D, E]$-module. By Lemma 7.10, the localisation $X^{-1} k U_{3}^{\prime}[D, E]$ is also a flat right $A[D, E]$-module, where $X=\left\{D^{a} E^{b} \mid a, b \geq 0\right\}$ is a left denominator set. But $k G_{3, D, E} \cong X^{-1} k U_{3}^{\prime}[D, E]$.

Now, notice that $I_{D, E}$ is generated by elements which all lie in $A[D, E]$. So we can consider the corresponding left ideal of $A[D, E]$.

## Definition 7.12:

$$
I_{A}=A[D, E] s_{0}+\ldots A[D, E] s_{n-1}+A[D, E] t_{0}+\cdots+A[D, E] t_{n-1}+A[D, E](D-E) \subseteq A[D, E] .
$$

Then, we have that $k G_{3, D, E} \otimes_{A[D, E]} I_{A}=I_{D, E}$, since $k G_{3, D, E}$ is a flat $A[D, E]$-module. Let the natural presentation of $I_{A}$ be

$$
0 \rightarrow K_{A} \rightarrow A[D, E]^{2 n+1} \rightarrow I_{A} \rightarrow 0
$$

Again by flatness, it follows that $k G_{3, D, E} \otimes_{A[D, E]} K_{A}=K_{D, E}$. So any set of generators of $K_{A}$ gives a corresponding set of generators of $K_{D, E}$.
Let

$$
\Pi_{D, E}: K_{D, E} \rightarrow\left(k G_{3, D, E}\right)^{2 n}, \quad \Pi_{A}: K_{A} \rightarrow A[D, E]^{2 n}
$$

be the maps giving projection onto the first $2 n$ coordinates, and let

$$
L_{D, E}=\operatorname{Im} \Pi_{D, E}, \quad L_{A}=\operatorname{Im} \Pi_{A} .
$$

Then $\Pi_{D, E}, \Pi_{A}$ are injective, since $D-E$ is not a zero divisor in $k G_{3, D, E}$. So $K_{D, E} \cong L_{D, E}$ and $K_{A} \cong L_{A}$. It is clear that $k G_{3, D, E} \otimes_{A[D, E]} \Pi_{A}=\Pi_{D, E}$, and thus
$k G_{3, D, E} \otimes_{A[D, E]} L_{A}=L_{D, E}$. So any set of generators of $L_{A}$ bijectively corresponds to a set of generators of $L_{D, E}$, in turn corresponding to a set of generators for $K_{D, E}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{D, E} \xrightarrow{\Pi_{D, E}} L_{D, E} \\
& k_{3, D, E} \otimes_{A[D, E]}{ }^{\hat{2}} \\
& K_{A} \xrightarrow{\Pi_{A}} L_{A}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the next subsection we calculate a set of generators for $L_{A}$, and use this information to show that in fact $K_{D, E}$ cannot be finitely-generated.

### 7.4 Proof of Theorem 7.1: calculation of generators

The following proposition gives a set of generators for $L_{A}$. This information allows us to prove Theorem 7.1, see subsection 7.5.

## Proposition 7.13:

The left $A[D, E]$-module $L_{A}$ has a generating set $S=S_{1} \cup S_{2} \cup S_{3}$, where $S_{1}, S_{2}, S_{3}$ are as follows. There exist elements $b_{j i}, c_{j i} \in A$ for $i, j \in\{0, \ldots, n-1\}$, such that

$$
b_{j}=\left(-b_{j 0} E,-b_{j 1} E, \ldots, D-b_{j j} E, \ldots,-b_{j(n-1)} E, 0^{n}\right) \in L_{A},
$$

and

$$
c_{j}=\left(0^{n},-c_{j 0} D,-c_{j 1} D, \ldots, E-c_{j j} D, \ldots,-c_{j(n-1)} D\right) \in L_{A},
$$

for all $j \in\{0, \ldots, n-1\}$. Then

$$
S_{1}=\left\{b_{j} \mid j \in\{0, \ldots, n-1\}\right\},
$$

and

$$
S_{2}=\left\{c_{j} \mid j \in\{0, \ldots, n-1\}\right\} .
$$

Then,

$$
S_{3}=\left\{\left(0 \ldots, t_{j} E^{m}, \ldots, 0,0, \ldots,-s_{i} D^{m}, \ldots, 0\right) \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, 0 \leq i, j \leq n-1\right\}
$$

where $t_{j} E^{m}$ is in the $(i+1)$ st place, and $-s_{i} D^{m}$ is in the $(n+j+1)$ st place, of the $2 n$-tuple.
The calculation of these generators depends on understanding the action of $D, E$ on certain augmentation ideals.

## Definition 7.14:

The affine group scheme $\mathbb{U}_{1,3}^{\prime}$ is the group scheme of matrices in $\mathbb{U}_{3}^{\prime}$ with (2,3)-entry zero. The affine group scheme $\mathbb{U}_{2,3}^{\prime}$ is the group scheme of matrices in $\mathbb{U}_{3}^{\prime}$ with (1,3)-entry zero.

## Lemma 7.15:

Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. The subring of $k U_{3}^{\prime}(F)$ corresponding to the Iwasawa algebra of

$$
\mathbb{U}_{1,3}^{\prime}\left(p^{m} \mathcal{O}_{F}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & p^{m} \mathcal{O}_{F} \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

is the subring $k\left[\left[s_{0}^{p^{m}}, \ldots, s_{n-1}^{p^{m}}\right]\right]$. The corresponding augmentation ideal $\epsilon_{\mathbb{U}_{1,3}^{\prime}\left(p^{m} \mathcal{O}_{F}\right)}\left(\mathbb{U}_{1,3}^{\prime}\left(p^{m} \mathcal{O}_{F}\right)\right)$ is generated by $\left\{s_{0}^{p^{m}}, \ldots, s_{n-1}^{p^{m}}\right\}$.
An identical result holds for $\mathbb{U}_{2,3}^{\prime}\left(p^{m} \mathcal{O}_{F}\right)$.
This lemma is clear from the description of $k F$ in subsection 7.3. It follows, by the description of augmentation ideals in Lemma 2.36 , that if $\mathbb{U}_{1,3}^{\prime}\left(p^{m} \mathcal{O}_{F}\right)$ is a subgroup of any $p$-adic Lie group $G$, then $\epsilon_{G}\left(\mathbb{U}_{1,3}^{\prime}\left(p^{m} \mathcal{O}_{F}\right)\right)$ is the left ideal generated by $\left\{s_{0}^{p^{m}}, \ldots, s_{n-1}^{p^{m}}\right\}$.

We can now prove Proposition 7.13, which we do in two parts.

## Lemma 7.16:

There exist elements $b_{j i}, c_{j i} \in A$ for $i, j \in\{0, \ldots, n-1\}$, such that

$$
b_{j}=\left(-b_{j 0} E,-b_{j 1} E, \ldots, D-b_{j j} E, \ldots,-b_{j(n-1)} E, 0^{n}\right) \in L_{A},
$$

and

$$
c_{j}=\left(0^{n},-c_{j 0} D,-c_{j 1} D, \ldots, E-c_{j j} D, \ldots,-c_{j(n-1)} D\right) \in L_{A},
$$

for all $j \in\{0, \ldots, n-1\}$.

## Proof:

Note that $L_{A}$ has the following description:

$$
L_{A}=\left\{\left(\lambda_{0}, \ldots, \lambda_{n-1}, \mu_{0}, \ldots, \mu_{n-1}\right) \in A[D, E]^{2 n}: \sum_{j \geq 0}^{n-1} \lambda_{j} s_{j}+\mu_{j} t_{j} \in A[D, E](D-E)\right\}
$$

Let $j \in\{0, \ldots, n-1\}$. Then

$$
D s_{j}=\sigma_{D}\left(s_{j}\right) D=\sigma_{D}\left(s_{j}\right) E+\sigma_{D}\left(s_{j}\right)(D-E),
$$

so

$$
D s_{j}-\sigma_{D}\left(s_{j}\right) E \in A[D, E](D-E)
$$

Now, notice that $s_{j} \in \epsilon_{\mathbb{U}_{3}^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}\right)}\left(\mathbb{U}_{1,3}^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}\right)\right)$ and that $\sigma_{D}$ is a ring endomorphism of $A=k \mathbb{U}_{3}^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}\right)$ corresponding to a group endomorphism of $\mathbb{U}_{3}^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}\right)$. Moreover, the image of $\mathbb{U}_{1,3}^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}\right)$ under this group homomorphism is $\mathbb{U}_{1,3}^{\prime}\left(p^{n_{u}} \mathcal{O}_{F}\right)$. By Lemma 2.37 , it follows that

$$
\sigma_{D}\left(s_{j}\right) \in \epsilon_{\mathbb{U}_{3}^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}\right)}\left(\sigma_{D}\left(\mathbb{U}_{1,3}^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}\right)\right)\right)=\epsilon_{\mathbb{U}_{3}^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}\right)}\left(\mathbb{U}_{1,3}^{\prime}\left(p^{n_{u}} \mathcal{O}_{F}\right)\right)
$$

So by Lemma 7.15

$$
\sigma_{D}\left(s_{j}\right) \in A s_{0}^{p^{n u}}+\cdots+A s_{n-1}^{p^{n_{u}}} \subseteq A s_{0}+\cdots+A s_{n-1}
$$

So, there exist $b_{j 0}, b_{j 1}, \ldots, b_{j(n-1)} \in A$ such that $\sigma_{D}\left(s_{j}\right)=\sum_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} b_{j i} s_{i}$, and then

$$
\begin{aligned}
D s_{j}-\sigma_{D}\left(s_{j}\right) E & =D s_{j}-\sum_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} b_{j i} s_{i} E \\
& =D s_{j}-\sum_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} b_{j i} E s_{i} \\
& =\left(D-b_{j j} E\right) s_{j}+\sum_{0 \leq i \leq n-1, i \neq j}-b_{j i} E s_{i} \in A[D, E](D-E) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So $b_{j}=\left(-b_{j 0} E,-b_{j 1} E, \ldots, D-b_{j j} E, \ldots,-b_{j(n-1)} E, 0^{n}\right) \in L_{A}$, for all $j$.
Identical reasoning with the elements $E, t_{j}$ similarly gives the tuples $c_{j} \in L_{A}$.

## Proof of Proposition 7.13;

We can put a grading by total $(D, E)$-degree on the ring $A[D, E]$, and in this grading, each of the elements $s_{0}, \ldots, s_{n-1}, t_{0}, \ldots, t_{n-1}$ is homogeneous of degree zero, and $D-E$ is homogeneous of degree 1 . Therefore every element of $L_{A}$ will be a sum of elements $\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n-1}, y_{0}, \ldots, y_{n-1}\right)$ where each $x_{j}, y_{j}$ is homogeneous of the same degree.
Let $L_{A}^{\prime}$ be the $A[D, E]$-submodule generated by $S_{1} \cup S_{2}$, as given by Lemma 7.16 .
We have that for any $j$,

$$
b_{j}=\left(0, \ldots, D, \ldots, 0,0^{n}\right)-\left(b_{j 0} E, b_{j 1} E, \ldots, b_{j(n-1)} E, 0^{n}\right) \in L_{A}^{\prime},
$$

so for any $j$,

$$
\left(0, \ldots, D, \ldots, 0,0^{n}\right) \in L_{A}^{\prime}+\left(A[E]^{n}, 0^{n}\right)
$$

Now, because $D A[E]=\sigma_{D}(A)[E] D \subseteq A[E] D$, left multiplying by $D$ this equation gives that for any $j$,

$$
\left(0, \ldots, D^{2}, \ldots, 0,0^{n}\right) \in L_{A}^{\prime}+\left(A[E]^{n} D, 0^{n}\right)=L_{A}^{\prime}+\left(A[E]^{n}, 0^{n}\right),
$$

since $L_{A}^{\prime}$ is a left $A[D, E]$-module. Continuing by induction we see that

$$
\left(0, \ldots, D^{m}, \ldots, 0,0^{n}\right) \in L_{A}^{\prime}+\left(A[E]^{n}, 0^{n}\right)
$$

for any $m \geq 0$. Identical reasoning applies to show that

$$
\left(0^{n}, 0, \ldots, E^{m}, \ldots, 0,\right) \in L_{A}^{\prime}+\left(0^{n}, A[D]^{n}\right) .
$$

It follows that if $x, y \in A[D, E]^{n}$ and $(x, y) \in L_{A}$, then $(x, y)+L_{A}^{\prime}=\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)+L_{A}^{\prime}$ for some $x^{\prime} \in A[E]^{n}$ and $y^{\prime} \in A[D]^{n}$. (Informally, the relations above give a way to replace any $D \mathrm{~s}$ appearing in the first $n$ places with polynomials in $E$, and vice versa for the last $n$ places.)
Then $\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right) \in L_{A}$ and is the sum of its homogeneous parts, which also lie in $L_{A}$, as discussed above. Therefore, $L_{A} / L_{A}^{\prime}$ is generated by (the image of) elements $\left(x^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime \prime}\right) \in L_{A}$, homogeneous of degree $m$, with $x^{\prime \prime} \in\left(A E^{m}\right)^{n}, y^{\prime \prime} \in\left(A D^{m}\right)^{n}$.
Now, for $x_{j}^{\prime}, y_{j}^{\prime} \in A$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(x_{0}^{\prime} E^{m}, \ldots, x_{n-1}^{\prime} E^{m}, y_{0}^{\prime} D^{m}, \ldots, y_{n-1}^{\prime} D^{m}\right) \in L_{A} & \Leftrightarrow \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} x_{j}^{\prime} E^{m} s_{j}+y_{j}^{\prime} D^{m} t_{j} \in A[D, E](D-E) \\
& \Leftrightarrow \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} x_{j}^{\prime} s_{j} E^{m}+y_{j}^{\prime} t_{j} D^{m} \in A[D, E](D-E) \\
& \Leftrightarrow \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} x_{j}^{\prime} s_{j}+y_{j}^{\prime} t_{j}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

since $D^{m}-E^{m} \in A[D, E](D-E)$.
Then, a generating set for $\left\{\left(x_{0}^{\prime}, \ldots, x_{n-1}^{\prime}, y_{0}^{\prime}, \ldots, y_{n-1}^{\prime}\right) \in A^{2 n} \mid \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} x_{j}^{\prime} s_{j}+y_{j}^{\prime} t_{j}=0\right\}$ is

$$
S_{3}^{\prime}=\left\{\left(0 \ldots, t_{j}, \ldots, 0,0, \ldots,-s_{i}, \ldots, 0\right) \mid 0 \leq i, j \leq n-1\right\}
$$

and thus a generating set for $L_{A} / L_{A}^{\prime}$ is (the image of)

$$
S_{3}=\left\{\left(0 \ldots, t_{j} E^{m}, \ldots, 0,0, \ldots,-s_{i} D^{m}, \ldots, 0\right) \mid m \geq 0,0 \leq i, j \leq n-1\right\}
$$

It follows that $L_{A}$ is generated by $S=S_{1} \cup S_{2} \cup S_{3}$, as required.

### 7.5 Proof of Theorem 7.1: conclusion

We can now finish proving Theorem 7.1. We have a set $S$ of generators for $L_{A}$, and hence for $L_{D, E} \cong K_{D, E}$. Using the set $S$ we show that a certain quotient of $L_{D, E}$ is not finitely-generated, implying $K_{D, E}$ cannot be finitely-generated, and the theorem follows.

Consider the left module $V=k G_{3, D, E} / k G_{3, D, E}(D-E)$. Note that $V$ is a cyclic $k G_{3, D, E}$-module, and is a free left $k U_{3}^{\prime}$-module, with basis $\left\{z_{m}=E^{m}+k G_{3, D, E}(D-E) \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$.
Let $q: L_{D, E} \rightarrow V$ be the left $k G_{3, D, E}$-module homomorphism given by

$$
q\left(\lambda_{0}, \ldots, \lambda_{n-1}, \mu_{0}, \ldots, \mu_{n-1}\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \lambda_{j} s_{j}+k G_{3, D, E}(D-E)
$$

Let $M_{D, E}=\operatorname{Im} q$, so $M_{D, E}$ is a submodule of $V$. We will prove the following.

## Proposition 7.17:

The left $k G_{3, D, E}$-module $M_{D, E}$ is not finitely-generated.
From this we deduce the theorem.

## Proof of Theorem 7.1:

Suppose $k G_{3, t^{\prime}}$ is left coherent. Then, since $G_{3, g} \leq G_{3, t^{\prime}}$ is a closed subgroup, $k G_{3, g}$ is left coherent by Proposition 5.7. Now, $I_{g}$ is a finitely-generated left ideal of $k G_{3, g}$, and so it is also finitely-presented. Then by Lemma 7.3, it follows that the left ideal $I_{D, E}$ of $k G_{3, D, E}$ is finitelypresented, and therefore its relation module $K_{D, E}$ is finitely-generated. Then, $K_{D, E} \cong L_{D, E}$, and $M_{D, E}$ is a quotient of $L_{D, E}$, hence is finitely-generated. But this is a contradiction, by Proposition 7.17. So $k G_{3, t^{\prime}}$ is not left coherent, and so also not right coherent.

Now we prove the proposition.

## Lemma 7.18:

The set $T=\left\{s_{i} t_{j} z_{m} \mid i, j \in\{0, \ldots, n-1\}, m \geq 0\right\}$ generates $M_{D, E}$ as a left $k G_{3, D, E}$-module.

## Proof:

We have a generating set $S=S_{1} \cup S_{2} \cup S_{3}$ for $L_{D, E}$, and a surjection $q: L_{D, E} \rightarrow M_{D, E}$, so $M_{D, E}$ is generated by $q(S)$. It is easy to compute that $q\left(S_{1}\right)=q\left(S_{2}\right)=\{0\}$ and $q\left(S_{3}\right)=T$.

## Proof of Proposition 7.17:

Suppose, for a contradiction, that $M_{D, E}$ is finitely-generated. In Lemma 7.18, we have an infinite generating set $T$ for $M_{D, E}$. By Lemma 7.4, a finite subset of $T$ generates $M_{D, E}$, in particular there exists a non-negative integer $N$ such that

$$
T_{N}=\left\{s_{i} t_{j} z_{m} \mid i, j \in\{0, \ldots, n-1\}, 0 \leq m \leq N\right\}
$$

generates $M_{D, E}$.
Then $s_{0} t_{0} z_{N+1} \in M_{D, E}=\left\langle T_{N}\right\rangle$. Now, $k G_{3, D, E}=k U_{3}^{\prime}\left[D, D^{-1}, E, E^{-1}\right]$, and so there exist $N^{\prime} \in \mathbb{N}$, $c_{a b i j m} \in k U_{3}^{\prime}$, such that

$$
s_{0} t_{0} z_{N+1}=\sum_{m=0}^{N} \sum_{-N^{\prime} \leq a, b \leq N^{\prime}} \sum_{i, j \in\{0, \ldots, n-1\}} c_{a b i j m} D^{a} E^{b} s_{i} t_{j} z_{m} .
$$

Now, $D^{a} E^{b} z_{m}=z_{m+a+b}$ for any $m, a, b$, so we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{0} t_{0} z_{N+1} & =\sum_{m=0}^{N} \sum_{-N^{\prime} \leq a, b \leq N^{\prime}} \sum_{i, j \in\{0, \ldots, n-1\}} c_{a b i j m} \sigma_{D}^{a} \sigma_{E}^{b}\left(s_{i} t_{j}\right) D^{a} E^{b} z_{m} \\
& =\sum_{m=0}^{N} \sum_{-N^{\prime} \leq a, b \leq N^{\prime}} \sum_{i, j \in\{0, \ldots, n-1\}} c_{a b i j m} \sigma_{D}^{a} \sigma_{E}^{b}\left(s_{i} t_{j}\right) z_{m+a+b} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Because $\left\{z_{m} \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$ is a $k U_{3}^{\prime}$-basis of $V$, we can compare the coefficients of $z_{N+1}$ to obtain

$$
s_{0} t_{0}=\sum_{\substack{-N^{\prime} \leq a, b \leq N^{\prime} \\ 1 \leq a+b \leq N+1}} \sum_{i \in\{0, \ldots, n-1\}} c_{a b i j(N+1-a-b)} \sigma_{D}^{a} \sigma_{E}^{b}\left(s_{i} t_{j}\right) .
$$

Therefore $s_{0} t_{0}$ lies in the ideal of $k U_{3}^{\prime}$ generated by

$$
\left\{\sigma_{D}^{a} \sigma_{E}^{b}\left(s_{i} t_{j}\right) \mid a+b \geq 1,0 \leq i, j \leq n-1\right\}
$$

Then, $\sigma_{D}^{a} \sigma_{E}^{b}\left(s_{i} t_{j}\right)=\sigma_{D}^{a}\left(s_{i}\right) \sigma_{E}^{b}\left(t_{j}\right)$ since $D, t_{j}$ and $E, s_{i}$ commute. Now,

$$
s_{i} \in \epsilon_{U_{3}^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{U}_{1,3}^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}\right)\right), \quad t_{j} \in \epsilon_{U_{3}^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{U}_{2,3}^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}\right)\right),
$$

as seen in Lemma 7.15, and

$$
\sigma_{D}\left(\mathbb{U}_{1,3}^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}\right)\right)=\mathbb{U}_{1,3}^{\prime}\left(p^{n_{u}} \mathcal{O}_{F}\right), \quad \sigma_{E}\left(\mathbb{U}_{2,3}^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}\right)\right)=\mathbb{U}_{2,3}^{\prime}\left(p^{n_{v}} \mathcal{O}_{F}\right)
$$

So, again by Lemma 7.15, the element $\sigma_{D}^{a}\left(s_{i}\right) \sigma_{E}^{b}\left(t_{j}\right)$ lies in the ideal of $k U_{3}^{\prime}$,

$$
J_{a b}=\left(s_{0}^{p^{a n_{u}}}, \ldots, s_{n-1}^{p^{a n_{u}}}\right)\left(t_{0}^{p^{n_{v}}}, \ldots, t_{n-1}^{p^{b n_{v}}}\right) .
$$

It follows that $s_{0} t_{0}$ lies in the ideal

$$
\sum_{\substack{a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, a+b \geq 1}} J_{a b}=\sum_{\substack{a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, a \geq 1, b \geq 1-a}} J_{a b}+\sum_{\substack{a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, b \geq 1, a \geq 1-b}} J_{a b} .
$$

Since $J_{a b} \subseteq J_{a^{\prime} b^{\prime}} \Leftrightarrow a \geq a^{\prime}, b \geq b^{\prime}$, it follows that $s_{0} t_{0}$ lies in a finite sum of ideals,

$$
s_{0} t_{0} \in \sum_{a \geq 1} J_{a b^{\prime}}+\sum_{b \geq 1} J_{a^{\prime} b}=J_{1 b^{\prime}}+J_{a^{\prime} 1}
$$

for some integers $a^{\prime}, b^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}$. So

$$
s_{0} t_{0} \in\left(s_{0}^{p^{n_{u}}}, \ldots, s_{n-1}^{p^{n_{u}}}\right)\left(t_{0}^{p^{p^{\prime} n_{v}}}, \ldots, t_{n-1}^{p^{b^{\prime} n_{v}}}\right)+\left(s_{0}^{p^{a^{\prime} n_{u}}}, \ldots, s_{n-1}^{p^{a^{\prime} n_{u}}}\right)\left(t_{0}^{p^{n_{v}}}, \ldots, t_{n-1}^{p^{p_{v}}}\right) .
$$

But, since $n_{u}, n_{v}>0$, this cannot occur, thus we have a contradiction. So $M_{D, E}$ is not finitelygenerated.

### 7.6 Proof of Theorem 7.2

Recall the objects in the statement of Theorem 7.2.
Let $\mathbb{U}_{3}$ be the affine group scheme of upper unitriangular matrices in $\mathbb{G L}_{3}$, and $U_{3}=\mathbb{U}_{3}(F)$, so

$$
U_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & F & F \\
0 & 1 & F \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

As in the above subsections, let $u, v \in F^{\times}$be such that $v_{F}(u)>0, v_{F}(v)<0$. Then let

$$
t=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
u & & \\
& 1 & \\
& & v^{-1}
\end{array}\right) \in G L_{3}(F)
$$

We define $H_{3, t}=\left\langle t, U_{3}\right\rangle \leq G L_{3}(F)$.
Note that

$$
Z\left(U_{3}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & F \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

is a normal subgroup of $H_{3, t}$. Moreover, the quotient group is

$$
H_{3, t} / Z\left(U_{3}\right) \cong G_{3, t^{\prime}},
$$

as seen in Theorem 7.1, where $t^{\prime}=\operatorname{diag}(u, v, 1)$. Then, by Proposition 2.34, we have an isomorphism of augmented Iwasawa algebras,

$$
\phi: k H_{3, t} / J \xrightarrow{\cong} k G_{3, t^{\prime}},
$$

where $J=\epsilon_{H_{3, t}}\left(Z\left(U_{3}\right)\right)$ is the augmentation ideal of $Z\left(U_{3}\right)$, which is two-sided.
Let us define notation for the elements of the augmented Iwasawa algebras. We have that

$$
U_{3}=\underset{r \geq 0}{\lim }\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & p^{-r} \mathcal{O}_{F} & p^{-2 r} O_{F} \\
0 & 1 & p^{-r} \mathcal{O}_{F} \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

and so we write the augmented Iwasawa algebra as

$$
\begin{aligned}
k U_{3} & =\underset{r \geq 0}{\lim } k\left[\left[s_{0}^{\frac{1}{p^{r}}}, \ldots, s_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{p^{r}}}, t_{0}^{\frac{1}{p^{r}}}, \ldots, t_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{p^{r}}}, w_{0}^{\frac{1}{p^{2}}}, \ldots, w_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{p^{2}}}\right]\right] \\
& =k\left[\left[s_{0}, \ldots, s_{n-1}, t_{0}, \ldots, t_{n-1}, w_{0}, \ldots, w_{n-1}\right]\right]^{\frac{1}{p^{\infty}}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
1+s_{i}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & x_{i} & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad 1+t_{i}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & x_{i} \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad 1+w_{i}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & x_{i} \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Note that $k U_{3}$ is non-commutative - it is a direct limit of non-commutative power series rings - but the $w_{j}$ commute with any $s_{i}, t_{i}$.
The augmentation ideal of $Z\left(U_{3}\right)$ is generated by $\left\{w_{0}^{\frac{1}{p^{r}}}, \ldots, \left.w_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{p^{r}}} \right\rvert\, r \geq 0\right\}$.
Then $k H_{3, t}=k U_{3}\left[t, t^{-1}\right]$ is a skew-Laurent ring over $k U_{3}$, and we have $\phi(t)=t^{\prime}$, and $\phi\left(s_{i}\right)=s_{i}, \phi\left(t_{j}\right)=t_{j}$ with the labelling described above. Let $y=t^{n^{\prime}}$, where $n^{\prime}$ is the positive integer as defined at the beginning of subsection 7.3, so that $\phi(y)=g=\left(t^{\prime}\right)^{n^{\prime}} \in G_{3, t^{\prime}}$.

Consider the left ideal of $k H_{3, t}$,

$$
I=k H_{3, t} s_{0}+\cdots+k H_{3, t} s_{n-1}+k H_{3, t} t_{0}+\cdots+k H_{3, t} t_{n-1}+k H_{3, t}(1-y) .
$$

Let $I^{\prime}$ be the left ideal of $k G_{3, t^{\prime}}$ given by

$$
I^{\prime}=k G_{3, t^{\prime}} \otimes_{k G_{3, g}} I_{g}
$$

that is explicitly,

$$
I^{\prime}=k G_{3, t^{\prime}} s_{0}+\cdots+k G_{3, t^{\prime}} s_{n-1}+k G_{3, t^{\prime}} t_{0}+\cdots+k G_{3, t^{\prime}} t_{n-1}+k G_{3, t^{\prime}}(1-g)
$$

## Lemma 7.19:

There is containment of ideals $J \subseteq I$, and $I / J$ corresponds to the left ideal $I^{\prime}$ under the isomorphism $k H_{3, t} / J \cong k G_{3, t^{\prime}}$.

## Proof:

For all $x \in I, x-x(1-y)=x y \in I$, so $y^{-1} x y \in I$. Similarly, $y^{-1}(1-y)=y^{-1}-1 \in I$, and so $y\left(x+x\left(y^{-1}-1\right)\right)=y x y^{-1} \in I$. Write $\sigma_{y}$ for the ring automorphism of $k U_{3}$ given by $\sigma_{y}(x)=y x y^{-1}$.
Then, by almost identical calculations as for $D, E$ and $g=D E^{-1} \in k G_{3, t^{\prime}}$, we can compute how $\sigma_{y}$ acts on the elements, and ideals, of $k U_{3}$. We have that

$$
\sigma_{y}\left(k U_{3} t_{0}+\cdots+k U_{3} t_{n-1}\right)=k U_{3} t_{0}^{p^{-n_{v}}}+\cdots+k U_{3} t_{n-1}^{p^{-n_{v}}}
$$

Therefore $t_{0}^{p^{-r}} \in I$ for all $r \geq 0$. Then, a matrix calculation gives that

$$
\left(1+s_{j}\right)\left(1+t_{0}^{p^{-r}}\right)\left(1+s_{j}\right)^{-1}\left(1+t_{0}^{p^{-r}}\right)^{-1}=1+w_{j}^{p^{-r}} .
$$

(This uses that the element $x_{0}$ of the $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-basis for $\mathcal{O}_{F}$ is chosen to be $x_{0}=1$.) We can rearrange to find that

$$
s_{j} t_{0}^{p^{-r}}-t_{0}^{p^{-r}} s_{j}=\left(1+s_{j}\right)\left(1+t_{0}^{p^{-r}}\right) w_{j}^{p^{-r}} .
$$

Since $1+s_{j}, 1+t_{0}^{p^{-r}}$ are units in $k U_{3}$, it follows that $w_{j}^{p^{-r}} \in I$, for any $j, r$. Thus, $I$ contains $J$, which is generated by such elements.
It is clear that $g$ corresponds to $y$ and the $s_{j}, t_{j}$ correspond under the isomorphism $k H_{3, t} / J \cong k G_{3, t^{\prime}}$, therefore $I / J=I^{\prime}$.

## Lemma 7.20:

The product of ideals $J I=J$.

## Proof:

It is clear that $J^{p}=J$, and hence $J=J^{2}$. Since $J \subseteq I$, we have $J=J^{2} \subseteq J I \subseteq J \cap I=J$, hence $J=J I$.

We can then prove Theorem 7.2.

## Proof of Theorem 7.2:

Consider the finitely-generated left ideal $I \subseteq k H_{3, t}$. We show that $I$ is not finitely-presented. For a contradiction, suppose that $I$ is finitely-presented. Then we have a short exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow\left(k H_{3, t}\right)^{2 n+1} \rightarrow I \rightarrow 0
$$

with $K$ finitely-generated. We then apply the right exact functor $\left(k H_{3, t} / J\right) \otimes_{k H_{3, t}}$. Note that $\left(k H_{3, t} / J\right) \otimes_{k H_{3, t}} I=I / J I=I / J \cong I^{\prime}$. Thus we have an exact sequence

$$
K / J K \rightarrow\left(k G_{3, t^{\prime}}\right)^{2 n+1} \rightarrow I^{\prime} \rightarrow 0
$$

Now $K$ is a finitely-generated $k H_{3, t^{-}}$-module, so $K / J K$ is a finitely-generated $k G_{3, t^{\prime}}$-module, and hence $I^{\prime}$ is a finitely-presented $k G_{3, t^{\prime}-\text { module. }}$
But, $I^{\prime}=k G_{3, t^{\prime}} \otimes_{k G_{3, g}} I_{g}$, and $I_{g}$ is not finitely-presented (this follows from the proof of Theorem 7.1). Since $k G_{3, t^{\prime}}$ is faithfully flat as a right $k G_{3, g}$-module, $I^{\prime}$ cannot be finitely-presented, a contradiction.
So, the finitely-generated left ideal $I$ is not finitely-presented, and hence $k H_{3, t}$ is not left coherent.

### 7.7 The category of finitely-presented smooth mod $p$ representations

Schraen, Hu, Vigneras and Wu have previously studied finitely-presented representations of $p$-adic Lie groups, particularly those of $G L_{2}(F)$. See [Sch15], Hu12], Vig11, and Wu21] respectively. Recently, Shotton has proved a link between coherence and finitely-presented smooth representations - refer to Definition 1.1 and the proof of Theorem 4.5 in Sho20.

## Theorem 7.21:

Let $G$ be a $p$-adic Lie group. If $k G$ is a coherent ring, then the category of finitely-presented smooth $\bmod p$ representations of $G$ is an abelian category.

In Theorems 7.1 and 7.2, we have proved that not all $p$-adic Lie groups have a coherent augmented Iwasawa algebra. It is natural to ask whether all $p$-adic Lie groups must have the category of smooth $\bmod p$ representations being abelian. We show in this subsection that this is also not the case again the counterexamples are the groups in Theorems 7.1 and 7.2 .

Recall, since $k$ is a (discrete) field of characteristic $p$, a smooth representation of $G$ is simply a representation where each vector is fixed by some compact open subgroup of $G$. We denote this category $\mathcal{C}_{k}(G)$, and the full subcategory of finitely-presented smooth representations $\mathcal{C}_{k}^{\mathrm{fp}}(G)$.
We give a class of examples of finitely-presented smooth mod $p$ representations, namely, compact induction of the trivial representation from a compact open subgroup.

## Proposition 7.22:

Let $G$ be a $p$-adic Lie group, and $H \leq G$ be an open compact subgroup. The left $k G$-module $k(G / H) \cong k G / \epsilon_{G}(H)$ is a finitely-presented smooth $(\bmod p)$ representation of $G$.

## Proof:

The module $k G / \epsilon_{G}(H)$ is a module over $k G$ and hence a representation of $G$ where $G$ acts via its natural inclusion into $k G$. We also have that

$$
k G / \epsilon_{G}(H)=k G \otimes_{k H} k H / \epsilon_{H}(H)=k G \otimes_{k H} k=\bigoplus_{g \in G / H} g \otimes k,
$$

where $k$ is the trivial module for $k H$. Now, for any $v \in k$ and $g \in G$, we have that for all $h \in H$,

$$
g h g^{-1} \cdot(g \otimes v)=g \otimes(h \cdot v)=g \otimes v
$$

so the compact open subgroup $g \mathrm{Hg}^{-1}$ fixes $g \otimes v$. Thus, for an arbitrary element

$$
x=\sum_{j=1}^{n} g_{j} \otimes v_{j} \in k G / \epsilon_{G}(H),
$$

the compact open subgroup $K=\bigcap_{j=1}^{n} g_{j} H g_{j}^{-1}$ fixes $x$. Hence $k G / \epsilon_{G}(H)$ is a smooth representation of $G$.
Moreover, the trivial module $k$ is a finitely-presented left $k H$-module (since $k H$ is Noetherian), so has a presentation by finite-rank free $k H$-modules. Since $k G$ is a flat right $k H$-module, by Proposition 4.10, it follows that $k G / \epsilon_{G}(H)=k G \otimes_{k H} k$ has a presentation by finite-rank free $k G$-modules, and so is finitely-presented as a $k G$-module.
By Proposition 3.8 of [Sho20], it follows that $k G / \epsilon_{G}(H)$ is a finitely-presented smooth $(\bmod p)$ representation of $G$.

## Proposition 7.23:

Let $G$ be a $p$-adic Lie group. The inclusion of $\mathcal{C}_{k}^{\text {fp }}(G)$ into $\mathcal{C}_{k}(G)$ is exact.

## Proof:

We will denote the inclusion functor $\mathcal{C}_{k}^{\mathrm{fp}}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{k}(G)$ by $M \mapsto \underline{M}$.
Let $\phi: A \rightarrow B$ be a morphism in $\mathcal{C}_{k}^{\mathrm{fp}}(G)$, and suppose it has a kernel $j: K \rightarrow A$. We show that $\underline{j}: \underline{K} \rightarrow \underline{A}$ is the kernel of the morphism $\underline{\phi}$ in $\mathcal{C}_{k}(G)$.
$\overline{\text { For each }} H \leq G$ a compact open subgroup, consider the functor

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{k G}\left(k G / \epsilon_{G}(H), \quad\right): \mathcal{C}_{k}^{\mathrm{fp}}(G) \rightarrow k H \text {-Mod. }
$$

These functors are left exact because $k G / \epsilon_{G}(H) \in \mathcal{C}_{k}^{\text {fp }}(G)$ by Proposition 7.22. Moreover, for any $k G$-module $M$, there is a natural isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{k G}\left(k G / \epsilon_{G}(H), M\right) \cong M^{H}=\{x \in M \mid h x=x \text { for all } h \in H\} .
$$

Thus, the homomorphism $\left.\underline{j}\right|_{\underline{K}^{H}}: \underline{K}^{H} \rightarrow \underline{A}^{H}$ is the kernel of $\left.\underline{\phi}\right|_{\underline{A}^{H}}: \underline{A}^{H} \rightarrow \underline{B}^{H}$.
We now show that $\underline{j}$ satisfies the universal property of the kernel of $\underline{\phi}$. Let $K^{\prime} \in \mathcal{C}_{k}(G)$ be a
smooth representation and $j^{\prime}: K^{\prime} \rightarrow \underline{A}$ be a $k G$-module homomorphism satisfying $\underline{\phi} \circ j^{\prime}=0$. Then for any compact open subgroup $H \leq G$, clearly $\left.\underline{\phi}{\underline{A^{H}}} \circ j^{\prime}\right|_{\left(K^{\prime}\right)^{H}}=0$. So by the universal property for the kernel of $\left.\phi\right|_{A^{H}}$, there is a unique morphism $u_{H}:\left(K^{\prime}\right)^{H} \rightarrow \underline{K}^{H}$ such that $\left.\underline{j}\right|_{\underline{K}^{H}} \circ u_{H}=\left.j^{\prime}\right|_{\left(K^{\prime}\right)^{H}}$. If $H \leq \bar{H}^{\prime}$, then $\left.u_{H}\right|_{\left(K^{\prime}\right) H^{\prime}}=u_{H^{\prime}}$, by uniqueness. Moreover, $K^{\prime}$ is smooth, meaning that

$$
K^{\prime}=\bigcup_{\substack{\text { compact open } \\ H \leq G}}\left(K^{\prime}\right)^{H} .
$$

Therefore we can define the morphism of smooth representations,

$$
u: K^{\prime} \rightarrow \underline{K}, \quad u(x)=u_{H}(x) \text { if } x \in\left(K^{\prime}\right)^{H}
$$

and it follows that $j \circ u=j^{\prime}$ because this holds on each $\left(K^{\prime}\right)^{H}$ by definition of $u_{H}$. If $v$ is another morphism with this property, then $\left.v\right|_{\left(K^{\prime}\right)^{H}}=u_{H}$ by uniqueness of $u_{H}$, so $v=u$. Thus $u$ is the unique such morphism. Therefore $j: \underline{K} \rightarrow \underline{A}$ satisfies the universal property of the kernel. Thus the inclusion functor $\mathcal{C}_{k}^{\mathrm{fp}}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{k}(G)$ preserves kernels, so is left exact.
Furthermore, the cokernel of $\phi: A \rightarrow B$ in $\mathcal{C}_{k}^{\mathrm{fp}}(G)$ is $B / \phi(A)$ - notice $B$ is finitely-presented and $\phi(A)$ is finitely-generated, so $B / \phi(A)$ is finitely-presented by Theorem 2.1.2 of [Gla89]. Thus the inclusion functor preserves cokernels, so is right exact.

Applying Proposition 7.23 and Lemma 1.6.2 of Wei94 to this inclusion of categories, we have the following.

## Corollary 7.24:

The category $\mathcal{C}_{k}^{\mathrm{fp}}(G)$ of finitely-presented smooth representations is an abelian category if and only if it is closed under kernels and cokernels taken in the category $\mathcal{C}_{k}(G)$ of smooth representations, that is, $k G$-module kernels and cokernels.

Notice that $\mathcal{C}_{k}^{\mathrm{fp}}(G)$ is always closed under cokernels taken in $\mathcal{C}_{k}(G)$, by Proposition 3.8 of [Sho20] and Theorem 2.1.2 of [Gla89]. We now improve the result of Theorem 7.21 to a necessary and sufficient module-theoretic condition.

## Proposition 7.25:

Let $G$ be a $p$-adic Lie group. The category of finitely-presented smooth representations of $G$ is abelian if and only if $k G / \epsilon_{G}(H)$ is a coherent $k G$-module, for all compact open subgroups $H \leq G$.

## Proof:

Suppose the category of finitely-presented smooth representations of $G$ is abelian. Now, for any open compact subgroup $H$, the $k G$-module $k G / \epsilon_{G}(H)$ is a smooth finitely-presented representation of $G$, by Proposition 7.22 . Because $k G / \epsilon_{G}(H)$ is smooth, any $k G$-submodule or quotient is also a smooth representation. If $N \leq k G / \epsilon_{G}(H)$ is a finitely-generated $k G$-submodule, we have a short exact sequence of smooth representations

$$
0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow k G / \epsilon_{G}(H) \rightarrow\left(k G / \epsilon_{G}(H)\right) / N \rightarrow 0
$$

where the third and fourth terms are finitely-presented, by Proposition 7.22 and Theorem 2.1.2 of [Gla89]. Hence, $N$ must also be finitely-presented by Corollary 7.24 . Thus $k G / \epsilon_{G}(H)$ is a coherent $k G$-module.
Conversely, suppose $k G / \epsilon_{G}(H)$ is a coherent $k G$-module for all open compact $H \leq G$. Let $M$ be a finitely-presented smooth representation of $G$. Then, $M$ is finitely-generated, so there is a surjection

$$
\phi: k G^{n} \rightarrow M
$$

for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Because $M$ is smooth, for each $m \in M$, there exists an open compact subgroup $H \leq G$ such that $\epsilon_{G}(H) \cdot m=0$. By considering the vectors $m_{i}=\phi\left(e_{i}\right)$, where the $e_{i}$ are the standard basis of $k G^{n}$, we find that there is a surjection

$$
\tilde{\phi}: k G / \epsilon_{G}\left(H_{1}\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus \begin{aligned}
& \\
& \\
& \epsilon_{G}\left(H_{n}\right) \\
& \rightarrow M
\end{aligned}
$$

for some open compact subgroups $H_{1}, \ldots, H_{n} \leq G$. By assumption, $k G / \epsilon_{G}\left(H_{1}\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus k G / \epsilon_{G}\left(H_{n}\right)$ is a coherent $k G$-module. Because $M$ is a finitely-presented quotient, it follows from Theorems 2.1.2 and 2.2.1 of Gla89 that $M$ is a coherent $k G$-module.

Therefore, the finitely-presented smooth representations of $G$ are exactly the smooth representations which are coherent $k G$-modules. The kernel of any homomorphism between coherent $k G$-modules is itself a coherent $k G$-module by Theorem 2.2.1 of [Gla89]. Thus the kernel of any map between finitely-presented smooth representations must be a coherent, hence finitelypresented, representation. Therefore the category of finitely-presented smooth representations of $G$ is an abelian category, by Corollary 7.24 .

## Lemma 7.26:

Let $G$ be a $p$-adic Lie group, $J \leq G$ be an open pro-p subgroup. If $k G / \epsilon_{G}(J)$ is a coherent $k G$-module, then $k G / \epsilon_{G}(H)$ is a coherent $k G$-module for any compact open subgroup $H \leq G$.

## Proof:

Let $H_{1} \leq H_{2}$ be open compact subgroups of $G$. Suppose that $k G / \epsilon_{G}\left(H_{1}\right)$ is a coherent $k G$ module. We have a short exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \epsilon_{G}\left(H_{2}\right) / \epsilon_{G}\left(H_{1}\right) \rightarrow^{k G} \epsilon_{G}\left(H_{1}\right) \rightarrow^{k G} / \epsilon_{G}\left(H_{2}\right) \rightarrow 0,
$$

and $\epsilon_{G}\left(H_{2}\right) / \epsilon_{G}\left(H_{1}\right)$ is finitely-generated because $H_{2}$ is compact. Thus $\epsilon_{G}\left(H_{2}\right) / \epsilon_{G}\left(H_{1}\right)$ is coherent, hence so is $k G / \epsilon_{G}\left(H_{2}\right)$.
Now suppose $k G / \epsilon_{G}\left(H_{2}\right)$ is coherent, and that $H_{1}$ is normal and of $p$-power index in $H_{2}$. Denoting the trivial module for the group $H_{2} / H_{1}$ by $k$, we have that

$$
k G / \epsilon_{G}\left(H_{2}\right)=k G \otimes_{k H_{2}} k,
$$

and

$$
k G / \epsilon_{G}\left(H_{1}\right)=k G \otimes_{k H_{2}} k\left[H_{2} / H_{1}\right] .
$$

Now, because $H_{2} / H_{1}$ is a finite $p$-group and $k$ is of characteristic $p$, the only irreducible $k\left[H_{2} / H_{1}\right]$ module is the trivial module $k$. Therefore the Jordan-Hölder series of $k\left[H_{2} / H_{1}\right]$ consists only of copies of $k$. That is, there exist $k\left[H_{2} / H_{1}\right]$-modules $M_{1}, \ldots, M_{n}$ and short exact sequences

$$
\begin{gathered}
0 \rightarrow M_{1} \rightarrow k\left[H_{2} / H_{1}\right] \rightarrow k \rightarrow 0, \\
0 \rightarrow M_{2} \rightarrow M_{1} \rightarrow k \rightarrow 0, \\
\\
\cdots \\
0 \rightarrow M_{n} \rightarrow M_{n-1} \rightarrow k \rightarrow 0, \\
0 \rightarrow k \rightarrow M_{n} \rightarrow k \rightarrow 0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Now, $k G \otimes_{k H_{2}}$ is an exact functor by Theorem4.13. Applying it to the final sequence, we obtain

$$
0 \rightarrow k G \otimes_{k H_{2}} k \rightarrow k G \otimes_{k H_{2}} M_{n} \rightarrow k G \otimes_{k H_{2}} k \rightarrow 0
$$

But $k G \otimes_{k H_{2}} k$ is a coherent $k G$-module, and any extension of two coherent modules is coherent, by Theorem 2.2.1 of Gla89. Thus $k G \otimes_{k H_{2}} M_{n}$ is coherent. Repeating this argument, we find that $k G \otimes_{k H_{2}} M_{j}$ is coherent for all $j$, and so

$$
k G \otimes_{k H_{2}} k\left[H_{2} / H_{1}\right]=k G / \epsilon_{G}\left(H_{1}\right)
$$

is coherent.
We now apply the above reasoning, supposing that $k G / \epsilon_{G}(J)$ is a coherent $k G$-module. Now, $J \cap H$ is an open subgroup of $J$, and so there exists an open normal subgroup $N \unlhd J$ contained in $J \cap H$. Because $J$ is pro- $p, N$ has $p$-power index in $J$. Thus, because $k G / \epsilon_{G}(J)$ is coherent, $k G / \epsilon_{G}(N)$ is coherent. Then, $N \leq H$ and $k G / \epsilon_{G}(N)$ is coherent, therefore $k G / \epsilon_{G}(H)$ is coherent.

By combining Proposition 7.25 and Lemma 7.26, we can give a strengthening of Theorem 7.21 .

## Corollary 7.27:

Let $G$ be a $p$-adic Lie group. The following are equivalent:

- The category of finitely-presented smooth representations of $G$ is abelian.
- $k G / \epsilon_{G}(H)$ is a coherent $k G$-module, for all compact open subgroups $H \leq G$.
- $k G / \epsilon_{G}(J)$ is a coherent $k G$-module, for some open pro- $p$ subgroup $J \leq G$.

Notice that if $k G$ is a coherent ring, $k G / \epsilon_{G}(H)$ is a finitely-presented quotient by Proposition 7.22 , hence a coherent $k G$-module by Theorems 2.1.2 and 2.2.1 of Gla89. Moreover, we can translate conditions on the modules $k G / \epsilon_{G}(H)$ into ideal-theoretic language.

## Proposition 7.28:

Let $G$ be a $p$-adic Lie group, $H \leq G$ be an open compact subgroup. The $k G$-module $k G / \epsilon_{G}(H)$ is coherent if and only if every finitely-generated ideal of $k G$ containing $\epsilon_{G}(H)$ is finitely-presented.

## Proof:

The finitely-generated submodules of $k G / \epsilon_{G}(H)$ are exactly $I / \epsilon_{G}(H)$ for $I \subseteq k G$ a finitelygenerated left ideal containing $\epsilon_{G}(H)$. By considering the short exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \epsilon_{G}(H) \rightarrow I \rightarrow I / \epsilon_{G}(H) \rightarrow 0
$$

by Corollary 6.25 of [Rot09] and Theorem 2.1.2 of Gla89], $I$ is finitely-presented if and only if $I / \epsilon_{G}(H)$ is, since $\epsilon_{G}(H)$ is finitely-presented. The result follows.

By Proposition 7.25, it follows that if $k G$ has a finitely-generated ideal that contains the augmentation ideal of an open compact subgroup, but $I$ is not finitely-presented, then the category of finitelypresented smooth representations of $G$ is not abelian. This situation occurs with the two groups we have principally studied in this section.

## Example

Recall the group $G_{3, g}$ and left ideal $I_{g} \subseteq k G_{3, g}$ from Definitions 7.5 and 7.6. By Lemma 7.15, $I_{g}$ contains the augmentation ideal of the compact open subgroup $\mathbb{U}_{3}^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}\right)$. The proof of Theorem 7.1 shows $I_{g}$ is finitely-generated but not finitely-presented. Thus the category of finitely-presented smooth representations of $G_{3, g}$ is not an abelian category.

## Example

Recall $H_{3, t}$ and $I$, as defined in subsection 7.6. By Lemma 7.19, $I$ contains the augmentation ideal of $\mathbb{U}_{3}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}\right)$, and $I$ is finitely-generated but not finitely-presented. So the category of finitelypresented smooth representations of $H_{3, t}$ is not abelian.

If $G$ is a closed subgroup of $G^{\prime}$, the functor $k G^{\prime} \otimes_{k G}$ takes finitely-presented $k G$-modules to finitelypresented $k G^{\prime}$-modules. However it does not take smooth representations of $G$ to smooth representations of $G^{\prime}$. Thus we cannot immediately deduce anything about the category of finitely-presented smooth representations of $G^{\prime}$ from information about the corresponding category for $G$. For example, although $G_{3, g}$ is a closed subgroup of $G L_{3}(F)$, we cannot easily deduce any properties of smooth representations of $G L_{3}(F)$ from those of $G_{3, g}$.

If we make the stronger requirement that $G \leq G^{\prime}$ be open, then any compact open subgroup of $G$ is compact open in $G^{\prime}$, and $k G^{\prime} \otimes_{k G}\left(k G / \epsilon_{G}(H)\right) \cong k G^{\prime} / \epsilon_{G^{\prime}}(H)$. So by Corollary 7.27, if the category of finitely-presented smooth $\bmod p$ representations of $G$ is not abelian, neither is this category for $G^{\prime}$. For example, we can apply this to $G=G_{3, g}$ and $G^{\prime}=G_{3, t^{\prime}}$ or $G_{3, D, E}$.

## 8 Characterisations of coherence

In this section we prove Theorem 1.4 .

### 8.1 The class of solvable groups

We reiterate the notation and setup of Section 1 .
Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\mathbb{U}_{n}$ be the affine group scheme of upper unitriangular matrices in $\mathbb{G}_{n}$ over $F$. Let $\mathbb{U}$ be a closed affine group subscheme of $\mathbb{U}_{n}$, defined and split over $F$. Let $U=\mathbb{U}(F)$.
Let $T$ be a closed subgroup - in the $p$-adic topology - of the diagonal elements $\mathbb{D}_{n}(F) \leq G L_{n}(F)$, such that $T$ normalises $U$. Let $G=\langle T, U\rangle \cong T \ltimes U$, so $G$ is a $p$-adic Lie group.
We define a set of roots of $U$ with respect to $T$, similarly to section 8.17 of [Bor91].
Let $\mathfrak{u}=\operatorname{Lie}(U)$. Since $T$ acts on $U$ via conjugation, $T$ acts on $\mathfrak{u}$. Let

$$
X(T)=\left\{\beta: T \rightarrow F^{\times} \mid \beta \text { a continuous group homomorphism }\right\}
$$

be the character group of $T$. For each $\beta \in X(T)$, define the subspace, called a weight space,

$$
\mathfrak{u}_{\beta}=\{v \in \mathfrak{u} \mid t \cdot v=\beta(t) v \forall t \in T\} \leq \mathfrak{u}
$$

The $\mathfrak{u}_{\beta}$ are finite-dimensional subspaces of $\mathfrak{u}$, and may have dimension larger than 1 . We define the set of weights

$$
\Phi=\left\{\beta \in X(T) \mid \mathfrak{u}_{\beta} \neq 0\right\} .
$$

Note that we allow the trivial character to be an element of $\Phi$.
Let $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{U}}$ be the normaliser of $\mathbb{U}$ in $\mathbb{D}_{n}$, so $T$ is a subgroup of $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{U}}(F)$. By Proposition 8.4 of [Bor91], $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{U}}(F)$ acts diagonalisably on $\mathfrak{u}$, and hence so does $T$.
Thus $\mathfrak{u}$ is the direct sum of its weight spaces:

$$
\mathfrak{u}=\bigoplus_{\beta \in \Phi} \mathfrak{u}_{\beta}
$$

The $\mathfrak{u}_{\beta}$ correspond to root subgroups $U_{\beta} \leq U$.
For $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2} \in X(T), v_{1} \in \mathfrak{u}_{\beta_{1}}, v_{2} \in \mathfrak{u}_{\beta_{2}}$, we have that $t \cdot\left[v_{1}, v_{2}\right]=\left[t \cdot v_{1}, t \cdot v_{2}\right]=\beta_{1}(t) \beta_{2}(t)\left[v_{1}, v_{2}\right]$ for all $t \in T$. We use additive notation $\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}$ to denote the character $t \mapsto \beta_{1}(t) \beta_{2}(t)$. Thus, we have that

$$
\left[\mathfrak{u}_{\beta_{1}}, \mathfrak{u}_{\beta_{2}}\right] \leq \mathfrak{u}_{\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}}
$$

We define the group homomorphism $f$ by

$$
f: T \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{\Phi}, \quad f(t)=\left(v_{F}(\beta(t))\right)_{\beta \in \Phi}
$$

where $v_{F}: F^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is the discrete valuation on $F$. For each $\beta$, we will write $n_{\beta}(t)=v_{F}(\beta(t))$, so that $f(t)=\left(n_{\beta}(t)\right)_{\beta \in \Phi}$. Note that if $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2} \in \Phi$ are such that $\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} \in \Phi$, then for all $t \in T$,

$$
n_{\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}}(t)=v_{F}\left(\beta_{1}(t) \beta_{2}(t)\right)=v_{F}\left(\beta_{1}(t)\right)+v_{F}\left(\beta_{2}(t)\right)=n_{\beta_{1}}(t)+n_{\beta_{2}}(t) .
$$

In this section, we prove Theorem [1.4, which states that the augmented Iwasawa algebra $k G$ is coherent if and only if the image $f(T)$ is cyclic and generated by an element of $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\right)^{\Phi}$.

### 8.2 Non-coherence: The case of cyclic torus

In this subsection, assume that $T$ is cyclic and choose a fixed generator $t_{0} \in T$. For convenience, we will write $n_{\beta}=n_{\beta}\left(t_{0}\right)$ in this subsection.

The next two lemmas are basic Lie algebra facts we need for examining the structure of $\mathfrak{u}=\operatorname{Lie}(U)$.

## Lemma 8.1:

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie algebra over a field $K$, and

$$
\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g}^{1} \geq \mathfrak{g}^{2} \geq \mathfrak{g}^{3} \geq \ldots
$$

be its lower central series. Let $S$ be a generating set for $\mathfrak{g}$. Then, for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the quotient Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}^{m} / \mathfrak{g}^{m+1}$ is generated by the (image of the) $m$-fold commutators

$$
S^{m}=\left\{\left[s_{1},\left[s_{2}, \ldots, s_{m}\right]\right] \mid s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{m} \in S\right\}
$$

## Proof:

Let $\mathfrak{h}^{m}$ be the Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ generated by $S^{m}$. We proceed by induction.
The case $m=1$ is clear. If $m \geq 1$, then

$$
\mathfrak{g}^{m+1}=\left[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^{m}\right]=\left[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}^{m}+\mathfrak{g}^{m+1}\right]=\left[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}^{m}\right]+\mathfrak{g}^{m+2}
$$

by induction. Then $\left[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}^{m}\right]$ is the Lie subalgebra generated by $\cup_{r \geq m+1} S^{k}$. If $r>m+1$, then $S^{r} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}^{m+2}$, and so

$$
\left[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}^{m}\right]+\mathfrak{g}^{m+2}=\mathfrak{h}^{m+1}+\mathfrak{g}^{m+2}
$$

therefore $\mathfrak{g}^{m+1} / \mathfrak{g}^{m+2}$ is generated by $\mathfrak{h}^{m}$, hence by $S^{m}$, as required.

## Lemma 8.2:

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a non-abelian nilpotent Lie algebra over a field $K$, generated by two distinct 1 dimensional subspaces $\mathfrak{h}_{1}, \mathfrak{h}_{2}$. Let $\mathfrak{z} \leq \mathfrak{g}^{2}$ be a 1-dimensional subspace. Let $\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}$ be the subalgebra generated by $\mathfrak{h}_{1}, \mathfrak{z}$. Then $\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}$ is a proper subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$.

## Proof:

Let $x \in \mathfrak{h}_{1}, y \in \mathfrak{h}_{2}, z \in \mathfrak{z}$ be non-zero. Suppose that $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}$.
Then $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}$ is generated by $x, z$, so $\mathfrak{g}^{2} / \mathfrak{g}^{3}$ is generated by $[x, z]$, by Lemma 8.1. But $z \in \mathfrak{g}^{2}$, so $[x, z] \in \mathfrak{g}^{3}$, hence $\mathfrak{g}^{2}=\mathfrak{g}^{3}$. Because $\mathfrak{g}$ is nilpotent, $\mathfrak{g}^{2}=0$, hence $z=0$, a contradiction. So $\mathfrak{g}^{\prime} \neq \mathfrak{g}$.

Recall the $p$-adic Lie groups $G_{3, t^{\prime}}, H_{3, t}$ from Theorems 7.1 and 7.2. The following proposition characterises when these groups appear as closed subgroups of $G$.

## Proposition 8.3:

Suppose there exists $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi$ such that $n_{\alpha}>0, n_{\beta}<0$. Then $G$ contains a closed subgroup of the form $G_{3, t^{\prime}}$ or $H_{3, t}$.

## Proof:

Let $\mathfrak{u}_{\alpha}^{\prime} \leq \mathfrak{u}_{\alpha}$ and $\mathfrak{u}_{\beta}^{\prime} \leq \mathfrak{u}_{\beta}$ be 1-dimensional subspaces. The subalgebra $\mathfrak{v}$ generated by these subspaces corresponds to a closed subgroup $U^{\prime} \leq U$ that is normalised by $T=\left\langle t_{0}\right\rangle$, since $T$ fixes $\mathfrak{u}_{\alpha}$ and $\mathfrak{u}_{\beta}$. So, it is enough to prove that $U^{\prime}$ contains a closed subgroup of the form $G_{3, t^{\prime}}$ or $H_{3, t}$. That is, we may without loss of generality assume that $\mathfrak{u}$ is generated by $\mathfrak{u}_{\alpha}, \mathfrak{u}_{\beta}$, and that these spaces are 1-dimensional.
We proceed by induction on $\operatorname{dim} U=\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{u}$. Clearly $\operatorname{dim} U \geq 2$, and if $\operatorname{dim} U=2$, then $\mathfrak{u}_{\alpha}, \mathfrak{u}_{\beta}$ must commute, implying $G$ is of the form $G_{3, t^{\prime}}$.
If $\operatorname{dim} U>2$, notice that if we can find $\alpha_{0}, \beta_{0} \in \Phi$ with $n_{\alpha_{0}}>0, n_{\beta_{0}}<0$, and subspaces $\mathfrak{u}_{\alpha_{0}}^{\prime} \leq \mathfrak{u}_{\alpha_{0}}, \mathfrak{u}_{\beta_{0}}^{\prime} \leq \mathfrak{u}_{\beta_{0}}$ such that $\mathfrak{u}_{\alpha_{0}}^{\prime}, \mathfrak{u}_{\beta_{0}}^{\prime}$ generate a proper subalgebra $\mathfrak{v} \leq \mathfrak{u}$, we are done by induction. This follows because $\mathfrak{v}$ corresponds to a proper closed subgroup $V \leq U$ with $V$ normalised by $T$, and satisfying the hypothesis of the proposition.
Let $\operatorname{dim} U>2$. Then $\mathfrak{u}_{\alpha}, \mathfrak{u}_{\beta}$ do not commute. Let $\delta=\alpha+\beta$, and

$$
\mathfrak{u}_{\delta}^{\prime}=\left[\mathfrak{u}_{\alpha}, \mathfrak{u}_{\beta}\right] \leq \mathfrak{u}_{\delta} .
$$

If $\mathfrak{u}_{\delta}^{\prime}$ commutes with both $\mathfrak{u}_{\alpha}$ and $\mathfrak{u}_{\beta}$, then $G$ must be of the form $H_{3, t}$.
If not, suppose $n_{\alpha}+n_{\beta}=n_{\delta}>0$. Let $\mathfrak{v}$ be the Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{u}$ generated by $\mathfrak{u}_{\delta}^{\prime}, \mathfrak{u}_{\beta}$. As $\mathfrak{u}_{\delta}^{\prime} \leq \mathfrak{u}^{2}$, by Lemma 8.2 $\mathfrak{v}$ is a proper subalgebra and $n_{\delta}>0, n_{\beta}<0$, so we are done by induction. If $n_{\alpha}+n_{\beta}=n_{\delta}<0$, the argument is very similar.
Suppose that $n_{\alpha}+n_{\beta}=n_{\delta}=0$, and suppose that $\left[\mathfrak{u}_{\alpha}, \mathfrak{u}_{\delta}^{\prime}\right] \neq 0$. Let $\gamma=\alpha+\delta$, and $\left[\mathfrak{u}_{\alpha}, \mathfrak{u}_{\delta}^{\prime}\right]=\mathfrak{u}_{\gamma}^{\prime} \leq \mathfrak{u}_{\gamma}$. Then $n_{\gamma}=n_{\alpha}+n_{\delta}=n_{\alpha}>0$. Let $\mathfrak{v}$ be the subalgebra generated by $\mathfrak{u}_{\beta}, \mathfrak{u}_{\gamma}^{\prime}$. Then $\mathfrak{u}_{\gamma}^{\prime} \leq \mathfrak{u}^{3} \leq \mathfrak{u}^{2}$, so by Lemma 8.2, the subalgebra $\mathfrak{v}$ is proper. Also $n_{\gamma}>0, n_{\beta}<0$, so we are done by induction. If $\left[\mathfrak{u}_{\alpha}, \mathfrak{u}_{\delta}^{\prime}\right]=0$, then $\left[\mathfrak{u}_{\beta}, \mathfrak{u}_{\delta}^{\prime}\right] \neq 0$ and the argument is very similar.

Since $k G_{3, t^{\prime}}, k H_{3, t}$ are not coherent, by Theorems 7.1 and 7.2 , if the hypothesis of the above proposition is satisfied, then $k G$ is not coherent, by Proposition 5.7.

## Corollary 8.4:

Suppose $f\left(t_{0}\right) \notin\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\right)^{\Phi} \cup\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}\right)^{\Phi}$, and let $G=\langle T, U\rangle=\left\langle t_{0}, U\right\rangle$. Then the augmented Iwasawa algebra $k G$ is not coherent.

### 8.3 Non-coherence for solvable groups

Let $G$ be as in subsection 8.1. We show that the class of groups $G$ for which $k G$ is coherent is quite small.

## Theorem 8.5:

The augmented Iwasawa algebra $k G$ is coherent only if $f(T) \leq \mathbb{Z}^{\Phi}$ is cyclic and generated by an element in $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\right)^{\Phi}$.

The proof of this theorem is a deduction from Corollary 8.4, using some information about subgroups of $\mathbb{Z}^{N}$.

## Lemma 8.6:

Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $x, y \in\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\right)^{N}$. Suppose that the submodule

$$
A=\mathbb{Z} x+\mathbb{Z} y \leq \mathbb{Z}^{N}
$$

is contained in $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\right)^{N} \cup\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}\right)^{N}$. Then $A$ is generated by one element.

## Proof:

Note that if $x=0$ or $y=0$ we are done so assume $x, y \neq 0$. If there exist $i, j \in\{1,2, \ldots, N\}$ such that $x_{i}>y_{i}$ but $x_{j}<y_{j}$, then $x-y \notin\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\right)^{N} \cup\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}\right)^{N}$, a contradiction. So, without loss of generality, assume that $x_{i} \geq y_{i}$ for all $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, N\}$.
If there exists $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, N\}$ such that $x_{i}=y_{i}=0$, then we may consider $A$ as a submodule of $\mathbb{Z}^{N-1}$ by removing the $i$ th component. Moreover, if there exists $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, N\}$ such that $y_{i}=0$ and $x_{i}>0$, let $j \in\{1,2, \ldots, N\}$ be such that $y_{j}>0$. Then, there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough such that $x_{j}-m y_{j}<0$. But $x_{i}-m y_{i}=x_{i}>0$, thus $x-m y \notin\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\right)^{N} \cup\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}\right)^{N}$, a contradiction.
Therefore, we may assume that $x_{i} \geq y_{i}>0$ for all $i \in\{1,2, \ldots N\}$.
For each $i$, let $q_{i}=\frac{x_{i}}{y_{i}} \in \mathbb{Q}$. Let $q=\min \left\{q_{i} \mid i \in\{1,2, \ldots N\}\right\}$, and by reordering, assume that $q=q_{1}$ without loss of generality. Let $q=\frac{a}{b}$ for coprime $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$, and $z=b x-a y \in A$. Suppose $z \neq 0$. Then $z_{1}=0$ - but $y_{1}>0$, so we have a contradiction as seen above. Thus, $z=0$.
So, $b x=a y$. By Euclid's algorithm, $\exists r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $r a-s b=1$, since $a, b$ are coprime. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x=(r a-s b) x=r a x-s b x=r a x-s a y=a(r x-s y), \\
& y=(r a-s b) y=r a y-s b y=r b x-s b y=b(r x-s y),
\end{aligned}
$$

and so $A$ is generated by $r x-s y$.

## Proof of Theorem 8.5:

Suppose $k G$ is coherent. Note that for any $t \in T,\langle t, U\rangle$ is a closed subgroup of $G$, so by Proposition 5.7, $k\langle t, U\rangle$ is coherent. Thus, by Corollary 8.4, $f(t) \in\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\right)^{\Phi} \cup\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}\right)^{\Phi}$ for all $t \in T$. That is,

$$
f(T) \subseteq\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\right)^{\Phi} \cup\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}\right)^{\Phi}
$$

Now, $f(T)$ is finitely-generated, thus by Lemma 8.6 and induction, $f(T)$ is a cyclic subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}^{\Phi}$, and must be generated by an element of $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\right)^{\Phi}$.

### 8.4 Coherence for solvable groups

In this subsection we show that the converse to Theorem 8.5 also holds.
First, we examine the structure of the subgroups of $\left(F^{\times}\right)^{n}$.

## Lemma 8.7:

Let $T \leq\left(F^{\times}\right)^{n}$ be a closed subgroup. Then,

$$
T \cong \mathbb{Z}^{d} \times\left(T \cap\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}^{\times}\right)^{n}\right)
$$

as $p$-adic Lie groups, and $T \cap\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}^{\times}\right)^{n}$ is compact.

## Proof:

Note that $\left(F^{\times}\right)^{n}=\left(\pi^{\mathbb{Z}} \times \mathcal{O}_{F}^{\times}\right)^{n}$, where $\pi \in F$ is a uniformiser. So let $q: T \rightarrow\left(\pi^{\mathbb{Z}}\right)^{n}$ be the natural projection restricted to $T$. Then $\operatorname{Im} q$ is (isomorphic to) a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$, hence is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$ for some $d \leq n$. Then, $\operatorname{Ker} q=T \cap\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}^{\times}\right)^{n}$. Thus we have a short exact sequence of abelian groups

$$
0 \rightarrow T \cap\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}^{\times}\right)^{n} \rightarrow T \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{d} \rightarrow 0
$$

which must split because $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module. So $T \cong \mathbb{Z}^{d} \times\left(T \cap\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}^{\times}\right)^{n}\right)$, and $T \cap\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}^{\times}\right)^{n}$ is a closed subgroup of the compact group $\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}^{\times}\right)^{n}$, hence $T \cap\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}^{\times}\right)^{n}$ is compact.

## Theorem 8.8:

If $f(T) \leq \mathbb{Z}^{\Phi}$ is cyclic and generated by an element of $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\right)^{\Phi}$, then the augmented Iwasawa algebra $k G$ is coherent.

## Proof:

By Lemma 8.7, $T=T_{0} \times T_{1}$ where $T_{0} \cong \mathbb{Z}^{d}$ and $T_{1}$ is a compact $p$-adic Lie group, with $T_{1}$ a subgroup of the group of diagonal matrices in $G L_{n}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}\right)$. Because $U$ is a subgroup of the upper unitriangular matrices in $G L_{n}(F)$, we can show easily that $T_{1} \leq \operatorname{Ker} f$. Thus $f\left(T_{0}\right)=f(T)$ is cyclic. If $f\left(T_{0}\right)$ is non-trivial, let $\left\{t_{1}, \ldots, t_{d-1}\right\} \subseteq T_{0}$ generate $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\left.f\right|_{T_{0}}\right)$ and $t_{0} \in T_{0}$ be such that $f\left(t_{0}\right)$ generates $f\left(T_{0}\right)$. If $f\left(T_{0}\right)$ is trivial, let $\left\{t_{0}, t_{1}, \ldots, t_{d-1}\right\}$ be any set of generators for $T_{0}$. Then $T_{0}=\left\langle t_{0}\right\rangle \times\left\langle t_{1}, \ldots, t_{d-1}\right\rangle \cong \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}$, and $\left\langle t_{1}, \ldots, t_{d-1}\right\rangle \leq \operatorname{Ker} f$.
Next, choose $\mathcal{O}_{F}$-Lie subalgebras of $\mathfrak{u}$,

$$
\mathfrak{v}_{0} \leq \mathfrak{v}_{1} \leq \mathfrak{v}_{2} \leq \ldots
$$

of the form

$$
\mathfrak{v}_{m}=\bigoplus_{\beta \in \Phi} \mathfrak{v}_{\beta, m}
$$

where $\mathfrak{v}_{\beta, m} \leq \mathfrak{u}_{\beta}$ is an $\mathcal{O}_{F}$-lattice, and such that $\mathfrak{u}=\underset{m \geq 0}{\lim } \mathfrak{v}_{m}$.
These correspond to compact open subgroups $V_{0} \leq V_{1} \leq V_{2} \leq \ldots$ of $U$, and we have that $V_{m-1}$ is an open (hence closed) subgroup of $V_{m}$ for all $m \geq 1$.
Then,

$$
t_{0} \cdot \mathfrak{v}_{m}=\bigoplus_{\beta \in \Phi} t_{0} \cdot \mathfrak{v}_{\beta, m}=\bigoplus_{\beta \in \Phi} \pi^{n_{\beta}\left(t_{0}\right)} \mathfrak{v}_{\beta, m}
$$

where $\pi \in F$ is the uniformiser of $F$. Thus $t_{0} \cdot \mathfrak{v}_{m}$ has finite index in $\mathfrak{v}_{m}$, and so $t_{0} V_{m} t_{0}^{-1}$ is a finite index subgroup of $V_{m}$. Thus $t_{0} V_{m} t_{0}^{-1} \leq V_{m}$ is an open subgroup (since it is also closed). Moreover, $t_{0} \cdot \mathfrak{v}_{m}$ is an $\mathcal{O}_{F}$-Lie ideal of $\mathfrak{v}_{m}$, thus $t_{0} V_{m} t_{0}^{-1}$ is an open normal subgroup of $V_{m}$.
Let $V_{m}^{\prime}=\left\langle T_{1}, V_{m}\right\rangle=T_{1} \ltimes V_{m}$ for each $m \geq 0$. Let $\mathfrak{v}_{m}^{\prime}$ be the corresponding Lie algebra. Because $t_{0} \cdot \mathfrak{v}_{m}$ is a finite index Lie ideal of $\mathfrak{v}_{m}$, it follows that $t_{0} \cdot \mathfrak{v}_{m}^{\prime}$ is a finite index Lie ideal of $\mathfrak{v}_{m}^{\prime}$, for all $m$. Thus $t_{0} V_{m}^{\prime} t_{0}^{-1}$ is a finite index, closed, normal subgroup of $V_{m}^{\prime}$, and hence is also an open normal subgroup. By Corollary 19.4 of Sch11, it follows that the Iwasawa algebra $k V_{m}^{\prime}$ is a free right $k\left(t_{0} V_{m}^{\prime} t_{0}^{-1}\right)$-module.
Let $V_{m}^{\prime \prime}=\left\langle V_{m}^{\prime}, t_{1}, \ldots, t_{d-1}\right\rangle$ for each $m \geq 0$. Now, each of the $t_{1}, \ldots t_{d-1}$ commute with $T_{1}$, and act, via conjugation, as an automorphism on $V_{m}$. Thus the $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{d-1}$ act as automorphisms of $V_{m}^{\prime}$, and therefore as ring automorphisms of the Iwasawa algebra $k V_{m}^{\prime}$. So, the augmented Iwasawa algebra of $V_{m}^{\prime \prime}$ is a skew Laurent polynomial ring, $k V_{m}^{\prime \prime}=k V_{m}^{\prime}\left[t_{1}, t_{1}^{-1}, \ldots, t_{d-1}, t_{d-1}^{-1}\right]$.
By the non-commutative Hilbert basis theorem, $k V_{m}^{\prime \prime}$ is a Noetherian ring for each $m$. Let

$$
\sigma_{t_{0}}: k V_{m}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow k V_{m}^{\prime \prime}, \quad \sigma_{t_{0}}(x)=t_{0} x t_{0}^{-1}
$$

be the ring endomorphism given by conjugation by $t_{0}$. Then $\sigma_{t_{0}}$ is injective. Note $\sigma_{t_{0}}$ fixes the $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{d-1}$. The image of $\sigma_{t_{0}}$ is

$$
\sigma_{t_{0}}\left(k V_{m}^{\prime \prime}\right)=k\left(t_{0} V_{m}^{\prime \prime} t_{0}^{-1}\right)=k\left(t_{0} V_{m}^{\prime} t_{0}^{-1}\right)\left[t_{1}, t_{1}^{-1}, \ldots, t_{d-1}, t_{d-1}^{-1}\right] .
$$

Thus $k V_{m}^{\prime \prime}$ is a free, hence flat, right $\sigma_{t_{0}}\left(k V_{m}^{\prime \prime}\right)$-module.
By Emerton's result on the coherence of skew polynomial rings, Theorem 6.2, it follows that the skew polynomial ring $k V_{m}^{\prime \prime}\left[t_{0}\right]=k V_{m}^{\prime \prime}\left[t_{0} ; \sigma_{t_{0}}\right]$ is a left coherent ring, for all $m \geq 0$. Now, since $V_{m}$ is a closed subgroup of $V_{m+1}$, it follows that $V_{m}^{\prime \prime}$ is a closed subgroup of $V_{m+1}^{\prime \prime}$, therefore $k V_{m+1}^{\prime \prime}$ is a flat right $k V_{m}^{\prime \prime}$-module, by Proposition 4.10. Hence $k V_{m+1}^{\prime \prime}\left[t_{0}\right]$ is a flat right $k V_{m}^{\prime \prime}\left[t_{0}\right]$-module, for all $m \geq 0$. Thus, by Lemma 5.3, the direct limit

$$
{\underset{m \geq 0}{\lim }} k V_{m}^{\prime \prime}\left[t_{0}\right]=\left(\underset{m \geq 0}{\lim } k V_{m}^{\prime \prime}\right)\left[t_{0}\right]=k G^{\prime}\left[t_{0}\right]
$$

is a left coherent ring, where $G^{\prime}=\left\langle t_{1}, \ldots t_{d-1}, T_{1}, U\right\rangle$. Then, the set $\left\{1, t_{0}, t_{0}^{2}, \ldots\right\}$ is a left denominator set in $k G^{\prime}\left[t_{0}\right]$, and $k G=k G^{\prime}\left[t_{0}, t_{0}^{-1}\right]=\left\{1, t_{0}, t_{0}^{2}, \ldots\right\}^{-1} k G^{\prime}\left[t_{0}\right]$. Therefore, by Corollary 5.5, $k G$ is a left coherent ring.

We can conclude from Theorem 8.5 and Theorem 8.8 a necessary and sufficient criterion for $k G$ to be coherent - this is Theorem 1.4.

Corollary (Theorem 1.4):
The augmented Iwasawa algebra $k G$ is coherent if and only if $f(T) \leq \mathbb{Z}^{\Phi}$ is a cyclic subgroup generated by an element of $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\right)^{\Phi}$.

## 9 Coherence for algebraic groups

In this section we prove Corollary 1.3, deducing Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2.

### 9.1 Coherence for solvable and split-semisimple groups

## Proposition 9.1:

Let $\mathbb{U}$ be an affine group subscheme of the upper unitriangular matrices $\mathbb{U}_{n}$, defined and split over $F$. Let $\mathbb{T}$ be a split affine group subscheme of the diagonal group $\mathbb{D}_{n} \leq \mathbb{G} \mathbb{L}_{n}$ such that $\mathbb{T}$ normalises $\mathbb{U}$, and let $\mathbb{G}=\mathbb{T} \ltimes \mathbb{U} \leq \mathbb{G}_{n}$. Let $G=\mathbb{G}(F)=T \ltimes U$, where $T=\mathbb{T}(F), U=\mathbb{U}(F)$. If the rank of the root system of $U$ with respect to $T$ is at least two, then $k G$ is not a coherent ring.

## Proof:

The character group of $\mathbb{T}$ is $X(\mathbb{T})=\left\{\right.$ morphisms of group schemes $\left.\mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m}\right\}$. Let $\mathbb{T} \cong \mathbb{G}_{m}^{d}$. Then $X(\mathbb{T}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{d}$, and $T \cong\left(F^{\times}\right)^{d}$, so

$$
X(T)=\left\{\alpha_{\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{d}\right)} \mid\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{d}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}\right\} \cong \mathbb{Z}^{d}
$$

where

$$
\alpha_{\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{d}\right)}: T \rightarrow F^{\times}, \quad \alpha_{\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{d}\right)}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{d}\right)=t_{1}^{j_{1}} \ldots t_{d}^{j_{d}} .
$$

We have that $\Phi=\left\{\beta \in X(T) \mid u_{\beta} \neq 0\right\}$. For each $\beta \in \Phi$, let $\left(j_{1}^{\beta}, \ldots, j_{d}^{\beta}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}$ such that $\beta=\alpha_{\left(j_{1}^{\beta}, \ldots, j_{d}^{\beta}\right)}$.
Let $t=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{d}\right) \in T$, and let $n_{i}=v_{F}\left(\lambda_{i}\right)$ for each $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, d\}$. Then

$$
v_{F}(\beta(t))=v_{F}\left(\lambda_{1}^{j_{1}^{\beta}} \ldots \lambda_{d}^{j_{d}^{\beta}}\right)=j_{1}^{\beta} n_{1}+\cdots+j_{d}^{\beta} n_{d} .
$$

Let $M$ be the $(|\Phi| \times d)$-matrix with coefficients $M_{\beta i}=j_{i}^{\beta}$. Recall from subsection 8.1 the group homomorphism $f: T \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{\Phi}$. Then, $f$ is given by

$$
f(t)=M \cdot\left(\begin{array}{c}
n_{1} \\
\ldots \\
n_{d}
\end{array}\right),
$$

where $n_{i}=v_{F}\left(\lambda_{i}\right)$. So, the image $f(T) \leq \mathbb{Z}^{\Phi}$ is given by the $\mathbb{Z}$-span of the columns of $M$. If $k G$ is coherent, then by Theorem $1.4, f(T)$ is cyclic, so $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} f(T) \leq \mathbb{Q}^{\Phi}$ is either trivial or a 1 -dimensional vector space. Hence the $\mathbb{Q}$-rank of $M$ is at most 1 , and so the vectors $\left\{\left(j_{1}^{\beta}, \ldots, j_{d}^{\beta}\right) \mid \beta \in \Phi\right\}$ are contained in a 1-dimensional vector subspace of $\mathbb{Q}^{d}$. So, the rank of the root system of $U$ with respect to $T$ is at most 1 .
Hence, if the rank of the root system of $U$ with respect to $T$ is 2 or greater, $k G$ is not coherent.

We then deduce Corollary 1.3 .

## Corollary 1.3:

Let $\mathbb{G}$ be a finite-dimensional split-solvable affine group scheme defined over $F$, and $G=\mathbb{G}(F)$. If the root system of $\mathbb{G}$ has rank 2 or greater, then $k G$ is not coherent.

This follows directly from Proposition 9.1 because the class of groups considered is the same.
We can then show that nearly all split-semisimple groups over $F$ have a non-coherent augmented Iwasawa algebra.

## Corollary 9.2:

Let $\mathbb{G}$ be a split-semisimple affine group scheme defined over $F$, with root system of rank at least 2. Let $G=\mathbb{G}(F)$. Then the augmented Iwasawa algebra $k G$ is not coherent.

## Proof:

This follows by considering the Borel subgroup $\mathbb{B} \leq \mathbb{G}$, with $B=\mathbb{B}(F) \leq G$. We have that $\mathbb{B}$ is a split-solvable affine group scheme with a root system of rank at least 2 , thus $\mathbb{B}$ is as in the statement of Corollary 1.3, and hence $k B$ is not coherent. But $B$ is a closed subgroup of $G$, so by Proposition 5.7, $k G$ is not coherent.

## Theorem 1.1:

Let $\mathbb{G}$ be a split-semisimple affine group scheme defined over $F$. Let $G=\mathbb{G}(F)$. Then the augmented Iwasawa algebra $k G$ is coherent if and only if the rank of the root system of $\mathbb{G}$ is 1 .

## Proof:

The "only if" part of the statement follows from Corollary 9.2 .
If the root system of $\mathbb{G}$ has rank 1 , then $\mathbb{G}=\mathbb{S L}_{2}$ or $\mathbb{P G L}_{2}$, by the classification of splitsemisimple linear algebraic groups, see for example Table 9.2 of [MT11.
If $\mathbb{G}=\mathbb{S L}_{2}$, then $G=S L_{2}(F)$, and Corollary 4.4 of [Sho20] shows $k G=k S L_{2}(F)$ is coherent.
If $\mathbb{G}=\mathbb{P} \mathbb{G}_{2}$, then $G=P G L_{2}(F)$ is isomorphic to a quotient of $S L_{2}(F)$, namely $G \cong S L_{2}(F) / H$, where $H=\{I,-I\}$ is the centre of $S L_{2}(F)$. Then, by Proposition 2.34, the augmented Iwasawa algebra $k G \cong k S L_{2}(F) / \epsilon(H)$. The two-sided ideal $\epsilon(H)$ is certainly finitely-generated as a left ideal, because $H$ is finite. Thus, $k G$ is coherent by Lemma 5.2, since $k S L_{2}(F)$ is coherent.

### 9.2 Coherence for the general linear group

Notice that applying Proposition 5.7 and Theorem 1.1 to the inclusion of the special linear group $S L_{n}(F)$ into the general linear group $G L_{n}(F)$ gives the following.

## Theorem 9.3:

If $n \geq 3$, then the augmented Iwasawa algebra $k G L_{n}(F)$ is not coherent.
In the rest of this subsection, we improve Theorem 9.3 to a full characterisation. Firstly notice that, as in the second Example of subsection 2.1, the augmented Iwasawa algebra

$$
k G L_{1}(F) \cong k F^{\times} \cong k \mathcal{O}_{F}^{\times}\left[X, X^{-1}\right]
$$

is a Noetherian ring, and hence coherent. We now prove that $G L_{2}(F)$ also has a coherent augmented Iwasawa algebra.
We reuse much of the notation from Section 5 of [Sho20]. In particular, let $G=G L_{2}(F)$ throughout the remainder of the subsection.

## Definition 9.4:

Let

$$
K_{1}=G L_{2}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}\right), \quad \alpha=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & \pi
\end{array}\right) \in G, \quad K_{2}=\alpha K_{1} \alpha^{-1}, \quad z=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\pi & 0 \\
0 & \pi
\end{array}\right) \in G,
$$

and

$$
G^{0}=\operatorname{Ker}\left(v_{F} \circ \operatorname{det}: G L_{2}(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\right)
$$

where det: $G L_{2}(F) \rightarrow F^{\times}$is the matrix determinant.
Because $G / G^{0} \cong \mathbb{Z}$ is a discrete group, $G^{0}$ is an open subgroup of $G$. Therefore any compact open subgroup $H \leq G^{0}$ is also a compact open subgroup of $G$, and so the augmented Iwasawa algebra of $G$ is given by

$$
k G=\bigoplus_{g \in H \backslash G} k H \otimes g=\bigoplus_{\substack{g \in H \backslash G^{0} \\ g^{\prime} \in G^{0} \backslash G}} k H \otimes g g^{\prime}=\bigoplus_{g^{\prime} \in G^{0} \backslash G} k G^{0} g .
$$

In fact, a set of representatives for the cosets $G^{0} \backslash G$ is

$$
\left\{z^{n} \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\right\} \cup\left\{z^{n} \alpha \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}
$$

by considering determinants. Therefore

$$
k G=\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} k G^{0} z^{n} \oplus \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} k G^{0} z^{n} \alpha
$$

## Definition 9.5:

Let $G^{\prime}=\left\langle G^{0}, z\right\rangle \leq G$ be the subgroup of $G$ generated by $G^{0}$ and $z$.
Then $G^{\prime}$ is also an open subgroup of $G$. In fact, $G^{\prime}=\left(v_{F} \circ \operatorname{det}\right)^{-1}(2 \mathbb{Z})$, so $G^{\prime}$ is of index 2 in $G$, and

$$
k G=k G^{\prime} \oplus k G^{\prime} \alpha,
$$

thus $k G$ is a free left $k G^{\prime}$-module of rank 2 .

## Theorem 9.6:

The augmented Iwasawa algebra $k G^{\prime}$ is coherent.
We prove Theorem 9.6 below by considering amalgmated products of rings. The coherence of $k G L_{2}(F)$ then follows straightforwardly.

## Theorem 9.7:

The augmented Iwasawa algebra of the general linear group $G L_{2}(F)$ is a coherent ring.

## Proof:

Clearly $k G=k G L_{2}(F)$ is a finitely-presented left $k G^{\prime}$-module, since it is free of rank 2. By Theorem 9.6, $k G^{\prime}$ is a left coherent ring, thus by Lemma 5.1, $k G$ is a left coherent ring.

This theorem implies an important consequence for the category of finitely-presented smooth representations of $G L_{2}(F)$.

## Corollary 9.8:

The category of finitely-presented smooth representations of $G L_{2}(F)$ over $k$ is an abelian category.

## Proof:

Let $G=G L_{2}(F)$ and $J \leq G$ be an open pro-p subgroup. Then $k G$ is a coherent left $k G$ module, and $k G / \epsilon_{G}(J)$ is a finitely-presented quotient. So by Theorems 2.1.2 and 2.2.1 of Gla89, $k G / \epsilon_{G}(J)$ is also a left coherent $k G$-module. Hence, by Corollary 7.27, the category of finitely-presented smooth representations of $G L_{2}(F)$ is abelian.

Corollary 9.8 improves Corollary 5.2 of [Sho20] by removing the $Z$-finiteness assumption on the representations of $G L_{2}(F)$.

Notice that the proof of Theorem 9.7 does not require $k$ to be a field of characteristic $p$ - in particular Theorem 9.7 and Corollary 9.8 hold when $k$ is the ring of integers of a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$. This proves the $G L_{2}(F)$ case of Conjecture 6.1.4 of [EGH23].

It now remains to prove Theorem 9.6, which again requires an intermediate result.

## Proposition 9.9:

Let $D=B *_{A} C$ be an amalgamated product of rings. The amalgamated product of Laurent polynomial rings

$$
\left.B\left[X, X^{-1}\right] *_{A[X, X-1}\right] C\left[X, X^{-1}\right] \cong D\left[X, X^{-1}\right] .
$$

## Proof:

We show that $D\left[X, X^{-1}\right]$ satisfies the universal property of being a pushout.
Let $D$ be the amalgamated product of $B, C$ over $A$ via the ring homomorphisms $f: A \rightarrow B$, $g: A \rightarrow C$, giving the following commutative diagram.


Let $F, G, J_{B}, J_{C}$ be the appropriate ring homomorphisms between $A\left[X, X^{-1}\right], B\left[X, X^{-1}\right], C\left[X, X^{-1}\right]$, $D\left[X, X^{-1}\right]$ which each map $X \mapsto X$,

and let $i_{A}: A \rightarrow A\left[X, X^{-1}\right]$ be the natural inclusion, similarly defining $i_{B}, i_{C}, i_{D}$.
Now, let $Q$ be a ring, with ring homomorphisms $\theta: B\left[X, X^{-1}\right] \rightarrow Q, \phi: C\left[X, X^{-1}\right] \rightarrow Q$ such that $\theta \circ F=\phi \circ G$, as shown in the following diagram.


We show that there exists a unique ring homomorphism $u: D\left[X, X^{-1}\right] \rightarrow Q$ such that the diagram commutes.

Notice that $F \circ i_{A}=i_{B} \circ f, J_{B} \circ i_{B}=i_{D} \circ j_{B}$, similarly for $G, J_{C}$, and that $\theta \circ i_{B}=\left.\theta\right|_{B}$, $\phi \circ i_{C}=\left.\phi\right|_{C}$. Thus we have a commutative diagram,

and there exists a unique $v: D \rightarrow Q$ such that $v \circ j_{B}=\left.\theta\right|_{B}, v \circ j_{C}=\left.\phi\right|_{C}$, by the universal property of pushout for $D$. Now, $\theta(X)=\phi(X)$ since $\theta \circ F=\phi \circ G$, so $\theta(X)$ commutes with all elements of $v \circ j_{B}(B)$ and $v \circ j_{C}(C)$. Since $D$ is generated by $j_{B}(B), j_{C}(C)$, it follows that $\theta(X)$ commutes with all elements of $v(D)$. Moreover, $\theta(X)$ is invertible with inverse $\theta\left(X^{-1}\right)$.
Hence, there is a unique ring homomorphism $u: D\left[X, X^{-1}\right] \rightarrow Q$ satisfying $u \circ i_{D}=v$ and $u(X)=\theta(X)$. Then,

$$
u \circ J_{B} \circ i_{B}=u \circ i_{D} \circ j_{B}=v \circ j_{B}=\left.\theta\right|_{B}, \quad u(X)=\theta(X),
$$

so $u \circ J_{B}=\theta$, and

$$
u \circ J_{C} \circ i_{C}=u \circ i_{D} \circ j_{C}=v \circ j_{C}=\left.\phi\right|_{C}, \quad u(X)=\phi(X),
$$

so $u \circ J_{C}=\phi$.
Let $u^{\prime}: D\left[X, X^{-1}\right] \rightarrow Q$ be any ring homomorphism such that $u^{\prime} \circ J_{B}=\theta$ and $u^{\prime} \circ J_{C}=\phi$. Then $u^{\prime}(X)=\theta(X)=\phi(X)=u(X)$. Also

$$
\left(u^{\prime} \circ i_{D}\right) \circ j_{B}=u^{\prime} \circ\left(i_{D} \circ j_{B}\right)=u^{\prime} \circ J_{B} \circ i_{B}=\left.\theta\right|_{B}=v \circ j_{B},
$$

and similarly $\left(u^{\prime} \circ i_{D}\right) \circ j_{C}=v \circ j_{C}$. Since $D$ is generated by $j_{B}(B), j_{C}(C)$, it follows $u^{\prime} \circ i_{D}=v$. Therefore $u^{\prime}=u$.
Therefore, there is a unique ring homomorphism $u: D\left[X, X^{-1}\right] \rightarrow Q$ making the diagram commute. So $D\left[X, X^{-1}\right]$ satisfies the universal property of pushout, as required.

## Proof of Theorem 9.6:

Let $H_{1}, H_{2}, H^{\prime}$ be the closed subgroups of $G^{\prime}$ generated by $z$ and $K_{1}, K_{2}, K_{1} \cap K_{2}$, respectively:

$$
H_{1}=\left\langle K_{1}, z\right\rangle, \quad H_{2}=\left\langle K_{2}, z\right\rangle, \quad H^{\prime}=\left\langle K_{1} \cap K_{2}, z\right\rangle .
$$

Then $K_{1}$ is a compact open subgroup of $H_{1}, z$ commutes with all elements of $K_{1}$, and $z^{n} \in K_{1}$ only if $n=0$. It follows that the augmented Iwasawa algebra $k H_{1} \cong k K_{1}\left[X, X^{-1}\right]$. Also $k K_{1}$ is Noetherian, hence $k H_{1}$ is Noetherian by Hilbert's basis theorem. Similarly, the augmented Iwasawa algebras $k H_{2} \cong k K_{2}\left[X, X^{-1}\right]$ and $k H^{\prime} \cong k\left(K_{1} \cap K_{2}\right)\left[X, X^{-1}\right]$ are Noetherian. Moreover the natural inclusion maps from $H^{\prime}$ to $H_{1}, H_{2}$ send $X$ to $X$.
By Proposition 9.9, it follows that

$$
k H_{1} *_{k H^{\prime}} k H_{2} \cong k K_{1}\left[X, X^{-1}\right] *_{k\left(K_{1} \cap K_{2}\right)\left[X, X^{-1}\right]} k K_{2}\left[X, X^{-1}\right] \cong\left(k K_{1} *_{k\left(K_{1} \cap K_{2}\right)} k K_{2}\right)\left[X, X^{-1}\right] .
$$

Now, by Proposition 4.2 of [Sho20] and Theorem II. 3 of [Ser80], (as shown during the proof of Corollary 5.2 of [Sho20]),

$$
k G^{0} \cong k K_{1} *_{k\left(K_{1} \cap K_{2}\right)} k K_{2} .
$$

Moreover, as $z$ is a central element, and no power lies in $G^{0}$, we have a natural isomorphism $k G^{\prime} \cong k G^{0}\left[X, X^{-1}\right]$. It follows that

$$
k G^{\prime} \cong k G^{0}\left[X, X^{-1}\right] \cong k H_{1} *_{k H^{\prime}} k H_{2},
$$

with the natural maps from $k H_{1}, k H_{2}, k H^{\prime}$ corresponding to inclusions of $H_{1}, H_{2}, H^{\prime}$ into $G^{\prime}$. In particular, by Theorem 4.13, $k G^{\prime}$ is a flat module over each of the Noetherian rings $k H_{1}, k H_{2}, k H^{\prime}$. Thus, by Theorem 12 of [Abe82], $k G^{\prime}$ is a left coherent ring.

Thus we have shown that the augmented Iwasawa algebra $k G L_{2}(F)$ is a coherent ring. Consequently, by Theorem 9.3, we know precisely when the augmented Iwasawa algebra of each general linear group is coherent.

## Corollary 1.2:

The augmented Iwasawa algebra of $G L_{n}(F)$ is coherent if and only if $n \leq 2$.
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