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Abstract—An effective method for regularizing birational automorphisms of multidimensional algebraic varieties is suggested and applied explicitly to some three-dimensional Fano varieties and del Pezzo surfaces over an algebraically nonclosed field.
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1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we suggest an effective method for regularizing finite subgroups of birational automorphisms of algebraic surfaces and three-dimensional algebraic varieties. The result is applied to describe the finite subgroups of birational automorphisms of smooth double coverings of a three-dimensional quadric and a three-dimensional quartic with one ordinary double point and to del Pezzo surfaces of degrees 2 and 3 over an algebraically nonclosed field (in particular, we give an answer to Manin’s question from the book [1]).

All objects considered in this paper are assumed to be projective and defined over a field $\mathbb{F}$ of characteristic zero. The following result is well known.

Theorem 1.1. Let $X$ and $Y$ be varieties with canonical singularities and ample canonical divisors. Then any birational mapping between $X$ and $Y$ is biregular.

Theorem 1.1 has the following corollary.

Corollary 1.2. If $X$ is a variety with canonical singularities and ample canonical divisor, then any birational automorphism of $X$ is biregular.

The minimal model program (see [2]) implies the following assertion.

Theorem 1.3. Let $X$ be a variety of general type of dimension 2 or 3. Then there exists a birational mapping $\gamma: X \dasharrow V$ such that

$$\gamma \circ \text{Bir}(X) \circ \gamma^{-1} = \text{Aut}(V).$$

It is easy to see that this assertion does not hold for varieties with nonmaximal Kodaira dimension.

Definition 1.4. Let $X$ be a variety. We say that a subset $S$ of the group $\text{Bir}(X)$ is regularizable on a variety $V$ by a birational mapping $\gamma: X \dasharrow V$ if

$$\gamma \circ S \circ \gamma^{-1} \subset \text{Aut}(V);$$

the mapping $\gamma$ is called a regularization of the set $S \subset \text{Bir}(X)$.

Each regularizable subset of the group of birational automorphisms generates a regularizable subgroup; for this reason, we consider only regularizable subgroups in what follows.

Note that a regularization of birational automorphisms may be nonunique, and there exist birational automorphisms having no regularization. The following result is due to I. Dolgachev.
**Proposition 1.5.** Any sufficiently general birational quadratic transformation of the projective plane is nonregularizable.

**Proof.** Consider a sufficiently general birational quadratic transformation \( T: \mathbb{P}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2 \). We can assume that the order of \( T \) is infinite and \( T \) has three fundamental points. Consider a regularization \( f: X \rightarrow P^2 \) of the automorphism \( T \) and the biregular automorphism \( g = f^{-1} \circ T \circ f \).

Suppose that \( x_1, \ldots, x_n \) are fundamental points of \( f^{-1} \) such that they are not fundamental for \( T \) and the points \( T(x_1), \ldots, T(x_n) \) are not fundamental for \( T^{-1} \). Consider the corresponding exceptional curves \( E_i \).

Each curve \( g(E_i) \) is contracted to the point \( y_i = T(x_i) \) by the morphism \( f \) and to a point \( x_j \) by the morphism \( f \), because, by assumption, \( y_i \) is not a fundamental point of \( T^{-1} \). Thus, \( g \) permutes the curves \( E_i \), and some power \( g^N \) of \( g \) acts trivially on the curves \( E_i \). Therefore, the curves \( E_i \) can be contracted, which gives a regularization \( h: S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2 \) of the birational automorphism \( T^N \) such that \( h \) has at most six fundamental points, the three fundamental points of \( T \) and the three fundamental points of \( T^{-1} \).

By construction, the biregular automorphism \( h^{-1} \circ T^N \circ h \) has infinite order. On the other hand, the automorphism group of any surface obtained by blowing up four to eight points of \( \mathbb{P}^2 \) is finite. Therefore, \( h \) is a blow-up of no more than three points, and the fundamental points of \( T \) and \( T^{-1} \) coincide. On the other hand, general quadratic transformations do not have this property. \( \square \)

Note that the group \( \text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}^2) \) is generated by the projective automorphisms of \( \mathbb{P}^2 \) and Cremona involutions, all of which are regularizable.

**Corollary 1.6.** The regularizable elements of the group of birational automorphisms do not necessarily form a subgroup.

Thus, it is natural to try to describe the regularizable subgroups of the group of birational automorphisms for a given variety. While this problem in the general setting may be very difficult, the following result is well known.

**Theorem 1.7.** For an algebraic variety \( X \), any finite subgroup \( G \subset \text{Bir}(X) \) is regularizable.

**Proof.** Consider the field of rational functions \( K(X) \) on \( X \), take a normal projective model \( Y \) of the field of invariant functions \( K(X)^G \), and consider the normalization \( V \) of \( Y \) in the field \( K(X) \). The variety \( V \) is birationally equivalent to \( X \), and the group \( G \) acts biregularly on \( V \). \( \square \)

It should be mentioned that the proof of Theorem 1.7 is not very effective in the sense that, given a variety and a finite subgroup of its birational automorphism group, it is fairly difficult to find a regularization of this group.

**Remark 1.8.** The main objective of this paper is to describe a new effective method for regularizing finite subgroups of birational automorphisms of surfaces and three-dimensional varieties.

In Sec. 6, this method is applied to find a regularization for the birational involutions of a double covering of a three-dimensional quadric.

The application of an equivariant resolution of singularities and the minimal model program gives the following generalization of Theorem 1.7.

**Theorem 1.9.** Let \( X \) be a variety of dimension 2 or 3, and let \( G \) be a finite subgroup in \( \text{Bir}(X) \). Then there exists a birational mapping \( \gamma: X \rightarrow Y \) to a variety \( Y \) with terminal singularities such that either the canonical divisor \( K_Y \) is nef or \( Y \) has the structure of a \((\gamma \circ G \circ \gamma^{-1})\)-equivariant bundle whose fibers are Fano varieties.

In what follows, we apply the effective regularization method to the birational automorphisms of birationally rigid Fano varieties.
Definition 1.10. A Fano variety $X$ is said to be *birationally rigid* if $X$ has $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminal singularities, $\text{Pic}(X) = \mathbb{Z}$, and $X$ is not birationally equivalent to any Mori fiber space except itself.

Theorem 1.9 implies the following assertion.

**Theorem 1.11.** Let $X$ be a birationally rigid Fano variety of dimension at most 3, and let $G$ be a finite subgroup in $\text{Bir}(X)$. Then there exists a birational mapping $\gamma : X \to Y$ to a Fano variety $Y$ with terminal singularities such that $\gamma \circ G \circ \gamma^{-1} \subset \text{Aut}(Y)$.

Thus, Theorem 1.11 gives a method for finding all finite subgroups of the group of birational automorphisms of birationally rigid del Pezzo surfaces and three-dimensional Fano varieties. We shall apply Theorem 1.11 to a double covering of a three-dimensional quadric in Sec. 6, to a singular three-dimensional quadric in Sec. 7, and to a cubic surface in $\mathbb{P}^3$ and a double covering of $\mathbb{P}^2$ in Sec. 4.

Below we recall some open questions related to regularization of birational automorphisms.

**Conjecture 1.12.** The group of birational automorphisms is generated by regularizable birational automorphisms.

Note that the subgroup of the group of birational automorphisms generated by the regularizable birational automorphisms is normal.

**Remark 1.13.** Conjecture 1.12 holds for $X$ with simple group $\text{Bir}(X)$.

It is conjectured that the groups $\text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}^2)$ and $\text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}^3)$ are simple.

**Conjecture 1.14.** For a given variety $X$, the group $\text{Bir}(X)$ has generators which are regularizable on varieties contained in a finite number of families.

It is well known that Conjectures 1.12 and 1.14 hold for surfaces and for many three-dimensional birationally rigid Fano varieties (see [3, 4]).

2. MOVABLE LOG PAIRS

In this section, we consider properties of movable log pairs, which were introduced and considered in their modern form by Alexeev in [5]. The results of this section are used in the next section to construct a regularization algorithm.

**Definition 2.1.** A *movable* log pair

$$(X, M_X) = \left( X, \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i M_i \right)$$

is a variety $X$ together with a formal finite linear combination of linear systems $M_i$ without fixed components such that all the numbers $b_i$ are nonnegative and rational.

Note that each movable log pair can be regarded as an ordinary log pair. In particular, for a movable log pair $(X, M_X)$, the divisor $-K_X + M_X$ is called the *log-canonical divisor* of the log pair $(X, M_X)$, and $M_X$ is said to be the *boundary* of the movable log pair $(X, M_X)$.

**Remark 2.2.** The direct image of the boundary of a movable log pair is defined in a natural way for any birational mapping.

If a log-canonical divisor of a movable log pair is a $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisor, then notions such as discrepancy, the property of being terminal, and canonicity can be defined for movable log pairs similarly to the corresponding notions for ordinary log pairs.

---

1A fibration $\tau : V \to Z$ is called a *Mori fiber space* if $\tau_* (\mathcal{O}_V) = \mathcal{O}_Z$, the variety $V$ has terminal $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial singularities, the divisor $-K_V$ is $\tau$-ample, and $\text{Pic}(V/Z) = \mathbb{Z}$. 
Definition 2.3. We say that movable log pairs \((X, M_X)\) and \((Y, M_Y)\) are birationally equivalent if there exists a birational mapping \(\rho: X \dashrightarrow Y\) such that \(M_Y = \rho(M_X)\).

Note that any movable log pair is birationally equivalent to a movable log pair with canonical and terminal singularities.

Definition 2.4. Let \((X, M_X)\) be a movable log pair. Consider a birationally equivalent movable log pair \((W, M_W)\) with canonical singularities. Take \(n \gg 0\) such that the divisor

\[ D = n(K_W + M_W) \]

is a Cartier divisor. The \textit{Kodaira dimension} \(\kappa(X, M_X)\) of the movable log pair \((X, M_X)\) is defined to be equal to the Iitaka dimension of the log pair \((X, D)\).

It can be shown that the Kodaira dimension of a movable log pair is well defined and all birationally equivalent log pairs have the same Kodaira dimension.

Remark 2.5. The Kodaira dimension of a movable log pair is a nondecreasing function of the coefficients of its boundary.

The following definition coincides with the classical one in the case of the empty boundary.

Definition 2.6. We say that a movable log pair \((V, M_V)\) is a \textit{minimal model} of a movable log pair \((X, M_X)\) if these log pairs are birationally equivalent, the divisor \(K_V + M_V\) is nef, and \((V, M_V)\) has terminal \(\mathbb{Q}\)-factorial singularities.

A minimal model may not exist, and it may be nonunique. However, minimal models are important because of the following standard conjecture.

Conjecture 2.7. Let \((X, M_X)\) be an arbitrary movable log pair. Then either its Kodaira dimension is nonnegative and \((X, M_X)\) is birationally equivalent to a minimal model or \(\kappa(X, M_X) = -\infty\) and the movable log pair \((X, M_X)\) is birationally equivalent to a movable log pair \((Y, M_Y)\) with \(\mathbb{Q}\)-factorial terminal singularities such that \(Y\) has the structure of a Mori fiber space \(f: Y \rightarrow Z\) and the divisor \(- (K_Y + M_Y)\) is \(f\)-ample.

Proposition 2.8. Conjecture 2.7 holds in dimensions 2 and 3.

Consider a morphism related to a minimal model of a movable log pair.

Definition 2.9. Suppose that a movable log pair \((X, M_X)\) is a minimal model. We call a morphism \(I(X, M_X): X \rightarrow Z\) an \textit{Iitaka morphism} of the movable log pair \((X, M_X)\) if there exists an ample \(\mathbb{Q}\)-Cartier divisor \(L\) on the variety \(Z\) such that

\[ K_X + M_X \sim_\mathbb{Q} I(X, M_X)^*(L) \]

and \(I(X, M_X)_*(\mathcal{O}_X) = \mathcal{O}_Z\).

What can be said about the existence of Iitaka morphisms?

Conjecture 2.10. An Iitaka morphism exists.

Note that the standard log redundancy conjecture (see [2]) implies Conjecture 2.10. In particular, Conjecture 2.10 holds for all surfaces and all three-dimensional varieties (see, e.g., [6]).

Proposition 2.11. Let \(X\) be an algebraic surface or a three-dimensional variety, and let \((X, M_X)\) be a movable log pair. Then, for any minimal model of the movable log pair \((X, M_X)\), there exists an Iitaka morphism.

As mentioned, a minimal model of a movable log pair may be nonunique. However, the following rational mapping is always unique.
**Definition 2.12.** Suppose that a movable log pair \((X, M_X)\) is birationally equivalent to a minimal model \((V, M_V)\), and let \(\rho: X \dasharrow V\) be the birational mapping establishing their equivalence. Suppose that there exists an Iitaka morphism for the movable log pair \((V, M_V)\). Then the mapping

\[
I(X, M_X) = I(V, M_V) \circ \rho
\]

is called the *Iitaka mapping* of the movable log pair \((X, M_X)\).

It can be shown that the Iitaka mapping of a movable log pair does not depend on the choice of the minimal model. The following definition is a direct generalization of the classical canonical model.

**Definition 2.13.** We say that a movable log pair \((V, M_V)\) is a canonical model of a movable log pair \((X, M_X)\) if these pairs are birationally equivalent, the divisor \(K_V + M_V\) is ample, and the singularities of \((V, M_V)\) are canonical.

The proof of the following result is similar to that of the corresponding assertion for ordinary log pairs (see [6]).

**Theorem 2.14.** If a canonical model exists, then it is unique.

Theorem 2.14 has the following simple but important corollary.

**Corollary 2.15.** If \((X, M_X)\) is a canonical model, then all birational automorphisms \(X\) leaving the movable log pair \((X, M_X)\) fixed are biregular.

Note that if a movable log pair \((X, M_X)\) has a canonical model, then \(\kappa(X, M_X)\) is equal to the dimension of the variety \(X\). Moreover, it is easy to see that if Conjecture 2.7 holds, then the converse is true as well (see [2]).

### 3. EFFECTIVE REGULARIZATION

In this section, we describe an effective algorithm for finding regularizations of finite subgroups of birational automorphism groups.

Let \(X\) be a surface or a three-dimensional variety, and let \(G\) be a finite subgroup in Bir\((X)\). Take a very ample divisor \(H\) on \(X\) and a nonnegative rational number \(\mu\). We set

\[
(X, H_X^\mu) = \left( X, \sum_{g \in G} \mu g(\lvert H \rvert) \right).
\]

By construction, the movable log pair \((X, H_X^\mu)\) is \(G\)-invariant.

**Lemma 3.1.** For \(\mu \gg 0\), \(\kappa(X, H_X^\mu) = \dim(X)\).

**Proof.** The Kodaira dimension of a movable log pair is a nondecreasing function of the coefficients of its boundary. Thus,

\[
\kappa(X, H_X^\mu) \geq \kappa(X, \mu|H|).
\]

Since \(H\) is ample, we have \(\kappa(X, \mu|H|) = \dim(X)\) for \(\mu \gg 0\). \(\square\)

Thus, by Lemma 3.1, \(\kappa(X, H_X^\mu) = \dim(X)\) for sufficiently large \(\mu\). Therefore, Propositions 2.8 and 2.11 give a birational surgery \(\sigma: X \dasharrow V\) such that the movable log pair

\[
(V, H_V^\mu) = (\sigma(X), \sigma(H_X^\mu))
\]

is the canonical model of the movable log pair \((X, H_X^\mu)\).
Lemma 3.2. The rational mapping $\sigma$ is a regularization of the subgroup $G$.

Proof. The movable log pair $(V, H^V_\mu)$ is a $(\sigma \circ G \circ \sigma^{-1})$-invariant canonical model. The required assertion follows from Corollary 2.15. □

Thus, we have effectively constructed a regularization of the group $G$. In particular, we have obtained an effective proof of Theorem 1.7 for surfaces and three-dimensional varieties. Moreover, using a $G$-invariant resolution of singularities and the log minimal model program, we can obtain an effective regularization of the subgroup $G$ which satisfies all the requirements of Theorem 1.9 from this proof.

Let us show that the singularities of the variety $V$ itself are canonical also.

Lemma 3.3. The singularities of $V$ are canonical.

Proof. The second main theorem of [6] implies that, for some rational number $\delta > \mu$, $(V, H^V_\delta)$ is the canonical model of the movable log pair $(X, H^X_\mu)$. In particular, both log-canonical divisors $K_V + H^V_\mu$ and $K_V + H^V_\delta$ are $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier. Therefore, the canonical divisor

$$K_V \sim_\mathbb{Q} (K_V + H^V_\delta) - \frac{\mu}{\delta - \mu} [(K_V + H^V_\delta) - (K_V + H^V_\mu)]$$

is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier as well. The movable log pair $(V, H^V_\mu)$ is a canonical model. In particular, its singularities are canonical. This and the fact that the divisor $K_V$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier imply the canonicity of the singularities of $V$. □

4. DEL PEZZO SURFACES

In this section, we consider del Pezzo surfaces over a nonclosed field in the context of regularization of birational automorphisms.

Take a smooth del Pezzo surface $X$ with $\text{Pic}(X) = \mathbb{Z}$ and $K^2_X$ equal to 2 or 3. Note that, because of the condition $\text{Pic}(X) = \mathbb{Z}$, the field of definition $\mathbb{F}$ is not algebraically closed.

Remark 4.1. It is well known that

$$X \cong \begin{cases} 
\text{a double covering of } \mathbb{P}^2 \text{ branched along a smooth quadric if } K^2_X = 2, \\
\text{a cubic in } \mathbb{P}^3 \text{ if } K^2_X = 3.
\end{cases}$$

Let us briefly recall the construction of the Bertini and Geiser involutions of the surface $X$. Consider a birational morphism $f: W \to X$ such that the surface $W$ is nonsingular and $K^2_W > 0$. The condition $\text{Pic}(X) = \mathbb{Z}$ implies that the anticanonical divisor $-K_W$ is nef and big. Therefore, for $n \gg 0$, the linear system $|-nK_W|$ is free and determines a birational morphism to a del Pezzo surface $V$ with canonical singularities of degree 1 or 2.

Definition 4.2. We refer to the birational mapping $\phi_{|\cdot|nK_W|} \circ f^{-1}$ as a standard mapping of the del Pezzo surface $X$ to the del Pezzo surface $V$.

It can be shown that if $K^2_V = 1$, then the surface $V$ is a double covering of a quadric cone in $\mathbb{P}^3$ branched along a quadric, and if $K^2_V = 2$, then the surface $V$ is a double covering of $\mathbb{P}^2$ branched along a quadric. Thus, $V$ has a canonical biregular involution, which induces a birational involution $\tau$ of the del Pezzo surface $X$. For a smooth surface $V$, the involution $\tau$ is not biregular; it is called a Bertini involution if $K^2_V = 1$ and a Geiser involution if $K^2_V = 2$. The classical and more geometric definitions of the Bertini and Geiser involutions are given in [7, 8].
Remark 4.3. The surface $V$ is smooth if and only if $V \cong W$.

The importance and essence of the Bertini and Geiser involutions are seen from the following classical result of Manin (see [7, 8]).

Theorem 4.4. The surface $X$ is birationally rigid, and the group $\text{Bir}(X)$ is the semidirect product of the group $\text{Aut}(X)$ and the subgroup generated by all the Bertini and Geiser involutions of the surface $X$.

In [9], the following theorem was proved.

Theorem 4.5. Let $Y$ be a del Pezzo surface with canonical singularities birationally equivalent to the surface $X$. Then $Y$ can be obtained by a standard transformation of $X$.

Theorems 4.5 and 1.11 imply the following assertion.

Proposition 4.6. Each finite subgroup of the group $\text{Bir}(X)$ is either a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(X)$ or a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(V)$, where $V$ is a smooth del Pezzo surface obtained by a standard transformation from the surface $X$.

5. MANIN’S QUESTION

In this section, we answer a question asked by Manin in [1].

Let $X$ be a smooth cubic surface in $\mathbb{P}^3$ with $\text{Pic}(X) = \mathbb{Z}$ over a nonclosed field $\mathbb{F}$, and let $G$ be the group of birational automorphisms of $X$ generated by all the Bertini and Geiser involutions of $X$.

Remark 5.1. It follows from the construction of the Bertini and Geiser involutions that $G$ is a normal subgroup in $\text{Bir}(X)$.

The following result is a special case of Theorem 4.4.

Theorem 5.2. The cubic $X$ is birationally rigid, and the group $\text{Bir}(X)$ is the semidirect product of the groups $G$ and $\text{Aut}(X)$.

In [1], Manin asked what elements of the groups $G$ and $\text{Bir}(X)$ have finite order. Note that all biregular automorphisms of the cubic $X$, the Bertini and Geiser involutions, and all elements of the group $\text{Bir}(X)$ conjugate to them are all of finite order.

Remark 5.3. Let $\theta$ be a biregular automorphism of the cubic $X$, and let $\tau$ be a birational Bertini or Geiser involution of $X$ such that $\theta \circ \tau = \tau \circ \theta$. Then $\tau \circ \theta$ is of finite order.

Somewhat later, Kanevskii described the finite-order elements of the group $G$ [10]. He used a purely group-theoretic method based on the generating relations in $G$ found in [8].

Theorem 5.4. Each birational automorphism of $X$ of finite order is conjugate in the group $\text{Bir}(X)$ to one of the following birational automorphisms:

(a) a biregular automorphism of the cubic $X$;
(b) a Bertini involution;
(c) a Geiser involution;
(d) the composition of a biregular automorphism of $X$ and a Bertini or Geiser involution that commute with each other.

Theorems 5.2 and 5.4 imply the following assertion.

Corollary 5.5. The elements of finite order in the group $G$ are conjugate to Bertini and Geiser involutions.
Proof of Theorem 5.4. Let $\tau$ be an element of finite order in $\text{Bir}(X)$. We must show that $\tau$ is conjugate to one of the following birational automorphisms: a biregular automorphism of the cubic $X$; a Bertini involution; a Geiser involution; the composition of a biregular automorphism of $X$ and a Bertini or Geiser involution that commute with each other.

Since the cubic $X$ is birationally rigid, we can apply Theorem 1.11, according to which the birational automorphism $\tau$ can be regularized on some smooth del Pezzo surface $Y$. Thus, there exists a birational mapping $\gamma: X \dashrightarrow Y$ such that $\gamma \circ \tau \circ \gamma^{-1} \in \text{Aut}(Y)$. On the other hand, by Theorem 4.5, the surface $Y$ is biregular to either the cubic $X$ itself or its blow-up. In the first case, $\tau$ is conjugate to a biregular automorphism of $X$. We can assume that there exists a blow-up $f: Y \to X$ and $K^2_Y = 1$ or $K^2_Y = 2$.

Suppose that $K^2_Y = 2$. Then

$$\text{Pic}(Y) = \mathbb{Z}K_Y \oplus \mathbb{Z}E,$$

where $E$ is the exceptional divisor of the blow-up $f$.

Note that the action of $\gamma \circ \tau \circ \gamma^{-1}$ on $\text{Pic}(Y)$ must preserve the class $K_Y$. If

$$\gamma \circ \tau \circ \gamma^{-1}(E) \sim E,$$

then the mapping $f \circ \gamma \circ \tau \circ \gamma^{-1} \circ f^{-1}$ is biregular. Thus, we can assume that the curve $E$ is not $(\gamma \circ \tau \circ \gamma^{-1})$-invariant. A direct calculation gives

$$(\gamma \circ \tau \circ \gamma^{-1})^*(K_X) \sim 2f^*(K_X) + 3E, \quad (\gamma \circ \tau \circ \gamma^{-1})^*(E) \sim -f^*(K_X) - 2E.$$

It follows from the construction of the Geiser involutions that, for some Geiser involution $\sigma$ of the cubic surface $X$, the action of

$$\gamma \circ \tau \circ \gamma^{-1} \circ f^{-1} \circ \sigma \circ f$$

on the group $\text{Pic}(Y)$ is trivial. Therefore, the mapping $f \circ \gamma \circ \tau \circ \gamma^{-1} \circ f^{-1} \circ \sigma$ is a biregular automorphism of $X$ which, in addition, leaves the point $f(E)$ fixed; hence $f \circ \gamma \circ \tau \circ \gamma^{-1} \circ f^{-1} \circ \sigma$ commutes with the involution $\sigma$.

Now, suppose that $K^2_Y = 1$ and

$$\text{Pic}(Y) = \mathbb{Z}K_Y \oplus \mathbb{Z}E,$$

where $E$ is the exceptional divisor of the birational morphism $f$.

We can assume that the action of $\gamma \circ \tau \circ \gamma^{-1}$ on $\text{Pic}(Y)$ is nontrivial, because otherwise the birational automorphism $f \circ \gamma \circ \tau \circ \gamma^{-1} \circ f^{-1}$ is biregular. A direct calculation shows that

$$(\gamma \circ \tau \circ \gamma^{-1})^*(K_X) \sim 5f^*(K_X) + 6E, \quad (\gamma \circ \tau \circ \gamma^{-1})^*(E) \sim -4f^*(K_X) - 5E.$$ 

It follows from the construction of Bertini involutions that, for some Bertini involution $\sigma$ of the cubic $X$, the composition $f \circ \gamma \circ \tau \circ \gamma^{-1} \circ f^{-1} \circ \sigma$ is biregular and leaves $f(E)$ fixed, which implies that the automorphism $f \circ \gamma \circ \tau \circ \gamma^{-1} \circ f^{-1} \circ \sigma$ commutes with $\sigma$.

Now, consider the remaining case, in which $K^2_Y = 1$ and

$$\text{Pic}(Y) = \mathbb{Z}K_Y \oplus \mathbb{Z}E_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}E_2,$$

where $E_1$ and $E_2$ are two different exceptional curves of the morphism $f$.

As in the cases considered above, we can assume that the action of $\gamma \circ \tau \circ \gamma^{-1}$ on the group $\text{Pic}(Y)$ is nontrivial. For similar reasons, we can assume that

$$\gamma \circ \tau \circ \gamma^{-1}(E_1 \cup E_2) \neq E_1 \cup E_2.$$
Suppose that the curve $E_1$ is $(\gamma \circ \tau \circ \gamma^{-1})$-invariant. Let $g: Y \to V$ be the blow-down of $E_1$. Then $g \circ \gamma \circ \tau \circ \gamma^{-1} \circ g^{-1}$ is a birational automorphism of the surface $V$. On the other hand, $V$ is a smooth del Pezzo surface with $K_V^2 = 2$. As in the case of $K_V^2 = 2$, it is easy to show that the automorphism $f \circ \gamma \circ \tau \circ \gamma^{-1} \circ f^{-1}$ is the composition of a Geiser involution of $X$ and a birational automorphism of $X$ commuting with it.

Now, suppose that none of the curves $E_i$ is $(\gamma \circ \tau \circ \gamma^{-1})$-invariant. Then

$$
(\gamma \circ \tau \circ \gamma^{-1})^*(K_X) \sim 5f^*(K_X) + 6E_1 + 6E_2,
(\gamma \circ \tau \circ \gamma^{-1})^*(E_1) \sim -2f^*(K_X) - 2E_1 - 3E_2,
(\gamma \circ \tau \circ \gamma^{-1})^*(E_2) \sim -2f^*(K_X) - 3E_1 - 2E_2.
$$

It follows from the construction of the Bertini involutions that $f \circ \gamma \circ \tau \circ \gamma^{-1} \circ f^{-1}$ is the composition of a Bertini involution of $X$ and a birational automorphism of $X$ commuting with it. \hfill \Box

6. A DOUBLE COVERING OF A QUADRIC

In this section, we effectively apply the regularization algorithm described in Sec. 3. Consider a double covering

$$
\theta: X \to Q \subset \mathbb{P}^4
$$

of a smooth three-dimensional quadric $Q$ branched along a smooth octic $S \subset Q$. The variety $X$ is a Fano variety with $\text{Pic}(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and $-K_X^3 = 4$. Moreover, $X$ is birationally rigid (see [3]).

**Definition 6.1.** We say that a curve $C \subset X$ is a line if $-K_X \cdot C = 1$.

The variety $X$ contains a one-dimensional family of lines if $F = C$. Each line $C$ on $X$ induces a birational involution $\tau_C$ in $\text{Bir}(X)$ such that $\tau_C$ is nonbiregular if and only if $\theta(C) \not\subset S$ (see [3]). The essence of the involutions $\tau_C$ is clarified by the following result of Iskovskikh (see [3]).

**Theorem 6.2.** The group $\text{Bir}(X)$ is the semidirect product of the automorphism group of the three-dimensional variety $X$ and the normal subgroup generated by the nonbiregular involutions $\tau_C$.

The involutions $\tau_C$ are regularizable by Theorem 1.7. Therefore, according to Theorem 6.2, Conjectures 1.12 and 1.14 hold for the variety $X$.

Take a line $C$ on $X$ such that $\theta(C)$ is not contained in the surface $S$. Then the birational involution $\tau_C$ is not biregular, and Theorem 1.11 implies the existence of a birational mapping $\gamma: X \dashrightarrow X_C$ such that $X_C$ is a three-dimensional Fano variety with terminal singularities and $\gamma \circ \tau_C \circ \gamma^{-1} \in \text{Aut}(X_C)$.

Now, let us show how to explicitly construct a birational mapping $\gamma$ by using the regularization algorithm described above. Take a rational number $\mu > 0$ and consider the movable log pair

$$
(X, H_X^\mu) = (X, |−K_X| + \mu \tau_C(−K_X)|).\n$$

**Lemma 6.3.** The singularities of the movable log pair $(X, H_X^\mu)$ are terminal if $\mu < 1/10$, canonical if $\mu = 1/10$, and noncanonical if $\mu > 1/10$. Moreover,

$$
\kappa(X, H_X^\mu) = \begin{cases}
-\infty & \text{for } \mu < \frac{1}{10}, \\
0 & \text{for } \mu = \frac{1}{10}, \\
3 & \text{for } \mu > \frac{1}{10}.
\end{cases}
$$
Proof. All these assertions are proved by easy calculations based on the direct construction of the birational involution $\tau_C$ described in [3]. □

Thus, by Corollary 2.15, the mapping $I(X, H^m_X)$ is a regularization of the birational involution $\tau_C$ for $\mu > 1/10$. Let us explicitly describe the Iitaka mapping $I(X, H^m_X)$ for $\mu > 1/10$. Consider the blow-up $f: W \to X$ of the line $C$. Let $Z$ be the unique basis curve of the linear system $|-K_W|$. We let $g: V \to W$ be the blow-up of the curve $Z$, set $G = g^{-1}(Z)$, and consider the movable log pair

$$(V, H^m_V) = (V, (f \circ g)^{-1}(H^m_X)).$$

**Remark 6.4.** The movable log pair $(V, H^m_V)$ is terminal for all $\mu > 0$.

Thus, if $\mu > 1/10$, then we can apply the log minimal model program to $(V, H^m_V)$ and obtain a birational mapping $\rho: V \dasharrow Y$ such that the movable log pair $(Y, H^m_Y) = (Y, \rho(H^m_V))$ has terminal $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial singularities and the divisor $K_Y + H^m_Y$ is nef and big.

**Remark 6.5.** An explicit construction of the mapping $\rho$ is described in [9]; it is also shown in [9] that $\rho$ is the composition of a flop in some curve $T$ contained in the divisor $G$ and a contraction of the proper image of $G$ to a cyclic quotient singularity of type $\frac{1}{2}(1, 1, 1)$.

For $\mu = 1/10$, the birational morphism $f: W \to X$ is crepant with respect to the movable log pair $(X, H^m_X)$. Therefore, $K_Y + H^m_Y \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ for $\mu = 1/10$, and hence the anticanonical divisor $-K_Y$ is nef and big. Now, we can apply Proposition 2.11 to the movable log pair $(Y, H^m_Y)$ with $\mu > 1/10$ and obtain the birational morphism

$$I(Y, H^m_Y) = \psi_{|n(K_Y + H^m_Y)|} \quad \text{for some } n \gg 0.$$ 

By construction, $X_C = I(Y, H^m_Y)(Y)$ is a Fano variety with canonical singularities. Moreover, it can be shown that the birational morphism $I(Y, H^m_Y)$ does not contract divisors on the variety $Y$. Thus, the singularities of $X_C$ are terminal, but they are not $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial, as is easy to see. Therefore, for $\mu > 1/10$, the birational mapping

$$\gamma = I(Y, H^m_Y) \circ \rho \circ (f \circ g)^{-1}$$

is the required regularization of the birational involution $\tau_C$.

**Remark 6.6.** The construction of the mapping $\gamma$ implies $-K^3_{X_C} = 1/2$.

In [9], the following result was obtained.

**Theorem 6.7.** Let $Y$ be a Fano variety with canonical singularities which is birationally equivalent to the variety $X$. Then

$$Y \cong \begin{cases} X, \\ X_C \quad \text{for some line } C \text{ on } X. \end{cases}$$

Thus, Theorem 1.11 implies the following assertion.

**Proposition 6.8.** All finite subgroups of the group Bir$(X)$ are subgroups of the groups Aut$(X)$ and Aut$(X_C)$ for some line $C$ on $X$.

For each line $C$ on $X$, the group Aut$(X_C)$ contains $\mathbb{Z}_2$ as a canonical subgroup inducing the birational involution $\tau_C$ on the variety $X$. Moreover, it can be shown that if $X$ is sufficiently general, then

$$\text{Aut}(X) \cong \text{Aut}(X_C) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2.$$ 

**Corollary 6.9.** For a sufficiently general double covering of the quadric $X$, all finite subgroups of Bir$(X)$ are isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_2$ and conjugate to subgroups generated by the involutions $\tau_C$ and the involution induced by the double covering $\theta$. 
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7. A SINGULAR THREE-DIMENSIONAL QUARTIC

In this section, we apply Theorem 1.11 to a singular three-dimensional quadric.

Let $X$ be a sufficiently general quartic in $\mathbb{P}^4$ having one singular point $O$ locally isomorphic to an ordinary double point. Note that $X$ is a Fano variety with $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial singularities and $\text{Pic}(X) = \mathbb{Z}$.

Remark 7.1. The quartic $X$ contains precisely 24 different lines passing through the singular point $O$, and the group $\text{Aut}(X)$ is trivial.

In [9], the following theorem was proved.

Theorem 7.2. For the singular point $O$ and each line $C$ on $X$ passing through $O$, there exist birational surgeries

$$\psi_O : X \dasharrow X_O \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_C : X \dasharrow X_C$$

such that the varieties $X_O$ and $X_C$ are three-dimensional Fano varieties with canonical singularities, $-K_{X_O}^3 = 2$, and $-K_{X_C}^3 = 1/2$. Any three-dimensional Fano variety $Y$ with canonical singularities which is birationally isomorphic to the quadric $X$ has the form

$$Y \cong \begin{cases} X, \\ X_O, \\ X_C \end{cases} \text{ for some line } C \text{ on } X \text{ passing through } O.$$

In [4], the birational rigidity of $X$ was proved and the following result was obtained.

Theorem 7.3. The singular point $O$ and each line $C$ on $X$ passing through $O$ induce birational involutions $\tau_O$ and $\tau_C$, respectively, of the quartic $X$. Moreover, the involutions $\tau_O$ and $\tau_C$ generate the group $\text{Bir}(X)$.

The involutions $\tau_O$ and $\tau_C$ are regularizable, and Conjectures 1.12 and 1.14 hold for the quartic $X$. Theorems 1.11 and 7.2 imply that the birational involutions $\tau_O$ and $\tau_C$ can be regularized on the varieties $X_O$ and $X_C$; moreover, the following assertion is valid [9].

Proposition 7.4. The birational mappings $\psi_O$ and $\psi_C$ are regularizations of the birational involutions $\tau_O$ and $\tau_C$, respectively.

The construction of the birational mappings $\psi_O$ and $\psi_C$ is similar to that of the regularization of the birational involutions of a double covering of a three-dimensional quadric in the preceding section.

The generality of the variety $X$ implies

$$\text{Aut}(X_C) \cong \text{Aut}(X_0) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2.$$ 

Corollary 7.5. All finite subgroups of the group $\text{Bir}(X)$ are isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_2$ and conjugate to subgroups generated by the involutions $\tau_C$ and $\tau_O$.
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