

Throughout the examination paper we will assume the existence of a suitable probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ . Results in the lectures may be used without further justification unless the question is asking specifically for the proof of a particular result.

- (1) Given  $(s, y) \in [0, 1) \times \mathbb{R}$ , consider the following stochastic control problem

$$V(s, y) = \min_{\nu} J(s, y; \nu)$$

$$= \min_{\nu} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_s^1 \left[ (X_{s,y}^{\nu}(t))^2 - \frac{1}{2} \nu^2(t) \right] dt \right]$$

$$\text{such that } \begin{cases} dX_{s,y}^{\nu}(r) = \nu(r) dW(r), & r \in [s, T] \\ X_{s,y}^{\nu}(s) = y \\ \nu(t) \in [0, 1] \quad \forall t \in [0, 1] \text{ and } (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]} \text{-adapted} \end{cases}$$

- (a) Let  $t \in [s, 1]$ . Express  $\mathbb{E}[(X_{s,y}^{\nu}(t))^2]$  in terms of the control  $\nu(\cdot)$  and prove that

$$\mathbb{E}[(X_{s,y}^{\nu}(t))^2] = y^2 + \mathbb{E}[(X_{s,0}^{\nu}(t))^2].$$

[4 marks]

- (b) Show that  $V(s, y)$  can be expressed as  $V(s, y) = y^2(1 - s) + g(s)$  for some function  $g(s)$  you should identify and compute  $\partial_y V(s, y)$  and  $\partial_{yy} V(s, y)$ .

[6 marks]

- (c) Write down the HJB equation for this stochastic control problem.

[4 marks]

- (d) Find a solution to the HJB equation.

[11 marks]

- (2) (2.a) A Black-Scholes market is given where there are only one stock (with drift  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  and volatility  $\sigma > 0$ ) and one bank account with interest rate  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ .

In this market an investor, with initial wealth  $x_0 > 0$  selects among *proportion strategies*  $\nu$  that are *constants* and with such a strategy the *proportion of wealth invested in the stock* is a constant throughout.

The investor seeks to maximise his expected utility at time  $T$  which is a power-type utility

$$U(x) = \frac{1}{\gamma} x^\gamma, \quad \gamma \in (0, 1).$$

- (2.a.i) Identify explicitly the underlying, show that the SDE expressing the wealth process  $(X^\nu(t))_{t \in [0, T]}$  is of Geometric Brownian motion type and write its explicit solution.

[5 marks]

- (2.a.ii) Write clearly the optimization problem and then compute the *constant optimal proportion strategy*  $\nu^*$  explicitly *without* applying the stochastic control approach.

You may use without proving that  $\forall c \in \mathbb{R}$  we have  $\mathbb{E}[e^{cW(T)}] = e^{\frac{1}{2}c^2T}$ .

[7 marks]

- (2.b) Let  $T < \infty$  and consider the following BSDE with solution  $(Y(t), Z(t))_{t \in [0, T]}$ ,

$$dY(t) = (rY(t) + aZ(t))dt + Z(t)dW(t), \quad Y(T) = \xi. \quad (1)$$

where  $r, a$  are constants,  $\xi$  is a square-integrable,  $\mathcal{F}_T$ -measurable random variable in a filtered probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{0 \leq t \leq T}, \mathbb{P})$ , and  $W$  is a one-dimensional Brownian Motion.

- (2.b.i) Argue that the solution  $(Y(t), Z(t))$  exists and deduce the expression yielding  $Y(t)$  as a map of  $T, t, r, a$  and  $\xi$  (a so-called *closed form solution*).

[6 marks]

- (2.b.ii) Denote by  $(Y^i, Z^i)$  the solution to BSDE (1) with  $\xi$  being replaced by  $\xi_i, i = 1, 2$  both  $\mathcal{F}_T$ -adapted square-integrable RV. Suppose  $\xi_1 \geq \xi_2$  a.s..

Prove that  $Y^1(t) \geq Y^2(t) \forall t \in [0, T]$  a.s.

[7 marks]

- (3) In a  $d$ -dimensional complete market with zero interest rate, an agent with initial wealth  $x > 0$  trades  $d$  stocks and generates wealth process  $X$  given by

$$X_t = x + \int_0^t \pi_s^T \sigma_s (\lambda_s ds + dB_s), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.$$

Here,  $T$  denotes transposition, the trading strategy  $\pi$  is a  $d$ -dimensional vector of wealth in each stock,  $\lambda$  is a  $d$ -dimensional vector,  $\sigma$  a  $d \times d$  invertible matrix, and  $B$  a  $d$ -dimensional Brownian motion on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  equipped with the standard augmented filtration  $\mathbb{F} := (\mathcal{F}_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ , with  $\lambda, \sigma, \pi$  adapted to  $\mathbb{F}$ .

The agent seeks to maximise  $\mathbb{E}[U(X_T)]$ , over the strategies such that the wealth process remains positive, and with a concave, increasing, differentiable utility function  $U : (\bar{x}, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , for some  $\bar{x} > 0$  denoting a constant below which terminal wealth is not permitted to fall. Denote by  $V$  the convex conjugate of  $U$ , and by  $I$  the inverse of  $U'$ . Denote the maximal expected utility by  $u(x)$ . Let  $Z := \mathcal{E}(-\lambda^T \cdot B)$  and assume  $Z$  is a martingale.

- (3.a) Derive the dynamics of  $ZX$  and deduce that  $\mathbb{E}[Z_T X_T] \leq x$ .

[3 marks]

- (3.b) Show that  $u(x) \leq v(y) + xy$ , where  $v(y) := \mathbb{E}[V(yZ_T)]$ , for  $y > 0$ .

[3 marks]

- (3.c) Explain why the optimal terminal wealth,  $\hat{X}_T$ , is given by  $\hat{X}_T = I(yZ_T)$ , for some  $y > 0$ , and explain how  $y$  is fixed.

[3 marks]

- (3.d) Suppose  $U(x) = \log(x - \bar{x})$ . Compute a formula for  $\hat{X}_T$  in terms of  $x$ . What is the lowest value of initial wealth which guarantees that terminal wealth  $\hat{X}_T > \bar{x}$ ?

[5 marks]

- (3.e) By considering  $Z\hat{X}$ , where  $\hat{X}$  is the optimal wealth process, show that the optimal portfolio process is given by

$$\hat{\pi}_t = (\hat{X}_t - \bar{x})(\sigma_t^{-1})^T \lambda_t, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.$$

[5 marks]

- (3.f) Suppose now that the agent also receives stochastic income at a rate  $Y = (Y(t))_{0 \leq t \leq T}$  per unit time, where  $Y$  is a bounded non-negative adapted process. By considering the dynamics of  $X$  under the unique equivalent martingale measure  $\mathbb{Q}$ , argue that in this case the wealth process of any strategy satisfies

$$\mathbb{E}[Z_T X_T] \leq \bar{x} := x + K,$$

for some non-negative constant  $K$  that you should identify. What is the minimum initial wealth required for a feasible problem in this case? Interpret the result.

[6 marks]

- (4) On a filtered probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}, \mathbb{P})$ , an agent trades in an incomplete market, generating wealth process  $X$ . A non-attainable claim pays a bounded random variable  $C$  at terminal time  $T$ .

An agent with initial capital  $x$  and exponential utility function  $U(x) = -e^{-x}$ , maximises expected utility of terminal wealth, with the random endowment of a short position in the claim. Denote the value function by  $u(x)$ . You may assume the interest rate is zero and that the wealth process  $X$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -martingale, for all equivalent local martingale measures (ELMMs)  $\mathbb{Q}$  with finite entropy. Denote the density process of such an ELMM  $\mathbb{Q}$  by  $Z$ , and denote the relative entropy between  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\mathbb{P}$  by  $H(\mathbb{Q}|\mathbb{P}) := \mathbb{E}[Z_T \log Z_T]$ .

- (4.a) Show that we have the inequality

$$\mathbb{E}[U(X_T - C)] \leq \mathbb{E}[V(yZ_T) - yZ_T C] + xy, \quad y > 0 \tag{2}$$

for any trading strategy and any  $\mathbb{Q}$ , where  $V$  is the convex conjugate of  $U$ . Hence show that  $u(x) \leq v(y) + xy$ , where  $v$  is the value function of the dual problem, which you should define.

[4 marks]

- (4.b) Suppose that equality is achieved in (2) for the optimal terminal wealth  $\widehat{X}_T$  and an optimal density  $\widehat{Z}_T$ . Show that

$$\widehat{X}_T - C = -\log(y\widehat{Z}_T),$$

for some  $y > 0$ .

[2 marks]

- (4.c) Explain how  $y$  is determined and hence derive a formula for  $\widehat{X}_T - C$  in terms of  $x$  and  $\widehat{Z}_T$ , and some constants that you should identify.

[5 marks]

- (4.d) Hence show that the maximal expected utility is given by

$$u(x) = -\exp \left\{ -x - H(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}|\mathbb{P}) + \mathbb{E}^{\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}}[C] \right\},$$

where  $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}$  is the ELMM corresponding to  $\widehat{Z}$ .

[2 marks]

- (4.e) Denote by  $u_0$  and  $Z^0$  the value function and density of the dual minimiser  $\mathbb{Q}^0$  when there is no random endowment in the above utility maximisation problem. The utility indifference price  $p$  of the claim at time zero is defined implicitly by  $u(x + p) = u_0(x)$ .

Derive a formula for  $p$ .

[5 marks]

- (4.f) Now suppose the market model contains one stock  $S$  and one non-traded asset  $Y$ , following the geometric Brownian motions

$$dS_t = \sigma_S S_t (\lambda_S dt + dB_t^S), \quad dY_t = \sigma_Y Y_t (\lambda_Y dt + dB_t^Y),$$

where  $B^S, B^Y$  are correlated Brownian motions with constant correlation  $\rho \in (-1, 1)$  and  $\sigma_S, \sigma_Y, \lambda_S, \lambda_Y$  are constants. By deriving an expression for  $H(\mathbb{Q}|\mathbb{P})$ , show that in this case the indifference price has the representation

$$p = \mathbb{E}^{\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}} \left[ C - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \widehat{\psi}_t^2 dt \right]$$

where  $\widehat{\psi}$  is an adapted process. Explain how  $\widehat{\psi}$  is related to  $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}$ .

[7 marks]