

Throughout the examination paper we will assume the existence of a suitable probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$. Results in the lectures may be used without further justification unless the question is asking specifically for the proof of a particular result.

- (1) Given $(s, y) \in [0, 1) \times \mathbb{R}$, consider the following stochastic control problem

$$V(s, y) = \min_{\nu} J(s, y; \nu)$$

$$= \min_{\nu} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_s^1 \left[(X_{s,y}^{\nu}(t))^2 - \frac{1}{2} \nu^2(t) \right] dt \right]$$

$$\text{such that } \begin{cases} dX_{s,y}^{\nu}(r) = \nu(r) dW(r), & r \in [s, T] \\ X_{s,y}^{\nu}(s) = y \\ \nu(t) \in [0, 1] \quad \forall t \in [0, 1] \text{ and } (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]} \text{-adapted} \end{cases}$$

- (a) Let $t \in [s, 1]$. Express $\mathbb{E}[(X_{s,y}^{\nu}(t))^2]$ in terms of the control $\nu(\cdot)$ and prove that

$$\mathbb{E}[(X_{s,y}^{\nu}(t))^2] = y^2 + \mathbb{E}[(X_{s,0}^{\nu}(t))^2].$$

[4 marks]

- (b) Show that $V(s, y)$ can be expressed as $V(s, y) = y^2(1 - s) + g(s)$ for some function $g(s)$ you should identify and compute $\partial_y V(s, y)$ and $\partial_{yy} V(s, y)$.

[6 marks]

- (c) Write down the HJB equation for this stochastic control problem.

[4 marks]

- (d) Find a solution to the HJB equation.

[11 marks]

- (2) (2.a) A Black-Scholes market is given where there are only one stock (with drift $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and volatility $\sigma > 0$) and one bank account with interest rate $r \in \mathbb{R}$.

In this market an investor, with initial wealth $x_0 > 0$ selects among *proportion strategies* ν that are *constants* and with such a strategy the *proportion of wealth invested in the stock* is a constant throughout.

The investor seeks to maximise his expected utility at time T which is a power-type utility

$$U(x) = \frac{1}{\gamma} x^\gamma, \quad \gamma \in (0, 1).$$

- (2.a.i) Identify explicitly the underlying, show that the SDE expressing the wealth process $(X^\nu(t))_{t \in [0, T]}$ is of Geometric Brownian motion type and write its explicit solution.

[5 marks]

- (2.a.ii) Write clearly the optimization problem and then compute the *constant optimal proportion strategy* ν^* explicitly *without* applying the stochastic control approach.

You may use without proving that $\forall c \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $\mathbb{E}[e^{cW(T)}] = e^{\frac{1}{2}c^2T}$.

[7 marks]

- (2.b) Let $T < \infty$ and consider the following BSDE with solution $(Y(t), Z(t))_{t \in [0, T]}$,

$$dY(t) = (rY(t) + aZ(t))dt + Z(t)dW(t), \quad Y(T) = \xi. \quad (1)$$

where r, a are constants, ξ is a square-integrable, \mathcal{F}_T -measurable random variable in a filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{0 \leq t \leq T}, \mathbb{P})$, and W is a one-dimensional Brownian Motion.

- (2.b.i) Argue that the solution $(Y(t), Z(t))$ exists and deduce the expression yielding $Y(t)$ as a map of T, t, r, a and ξ (a so-called *closed form solution*).

[6 marks]

- (2.b.ii) Denote by (Y^i, Z^i) the solution to BSDE (1) with ξ being replaced by $\xi_i, i = 1, 2$ both \mathcal{F}_T -adapted square-integrable RV. Suppose $\xi_1 \geq \xi_2$ a.s..

Prove that $Y^1(t) \geq Y^2(t) \forall t \in [0, T]$ a.s.

[7 marks]

- (3) In a d -dimensional complete market with zero interest rate, an agent with initial wealth $x > 0$ trades d stocks and generates wealth process X given by

$$X_t = x + \int_0^t \pi_s^T \sigma_s (\lambda_s ds + dB_s), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.$$

Here, T denotes transposition, the trading strategy π is a d -dimensional vector of wealth in each stock, λ is a d -dimensional vector, σ a $d \times d$ invertible matrix, and B a d -dimensional Brownian motion on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ equipped with the standard augmented filtration $\mathbb{F} := (\mathcal{F}_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$, with λ, σ, π adapted to \mathbb{F} .

The agent seeks to maximise $\mathbb{E}[U(X_T)]$, over the strategies such that the wealth process remains positive, and with a concave, increasing, differentiable utility function $U : (\bar{x}, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, for some $\bar{x} > 0$ denoting a constant below which terminal wealth is not permitted to fall. Denote by V the convex conjugate of U , and by I the inverse of U' . Denote the maximal expected utility by $u(x)$. Let $Z := \mathcal{E}(-\lambda^T \cdot B)$ and assume Z is a martingale.

- (3.a) Derive the dynamics of ZX and deduce that $\mathbb{E}[Z_T X_T] \leq x$.

[3 marks]

- (3.b) Show that $u(x) \leq v(y) + xy$, where $v(y) := \mathbb{E}[V(yZ_T)]$, for $y > 0$.

[3 marks]

- (3.c) Explain why the optimal terminal wealth, \hat{X}_T , is given by $\hat{X}_T = I(yZ_T)$, for some $y > 0$, and explain how y is fixed.

[3 marks]

- (3.d) Suppose $U(x) = \log(x - \bar{x})$. Compute a formula for \hat{X}_T in terms of x . What is the lowest value of initial wealth which guarantees that terminal wealth $\hat{X}_T > \bar{x}$?

[5 marks]

- (3.e) By considering $Z\hat{X}$, where \hat{X} is the optimal wealth process, show that the optimal portfolio process is given by

$$\hat{\pi}_t = (\hat{X}_t - \bar{x})(\sigma_t^{-1})^T \lambda_t, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.$$

[5 marks]

- (3.f) Suppose now that the agent also receives stochastic income at a rate $Y = (Y(t))_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ per unit time, where Y is a bounded non-negative adapted process. By considering the dynamics of X under the unique equivalent martingale measure \mathbb{Q} , argue that in this case the wealth process of any strategy satisfies

$$\mathbb{E}[Z_T X_T] \leq \bar{x} := x + K,$$

for some non-negative constant K that you should identify. What is the minimum initial wealth required for a feasible problem in this case? Interpret the result.

[6 marks]

- (4) On a filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}, \mathbb{P})$, an agent trades in an incomplete market, generating wealth process X . A non-attainable claim pays a bounded random variable C at terminal time T .

An agent with initial capital x and exponential utility function $U(x) = -e^{-x}$, maximises expected utility of terminal wealth, with the random endowment of a short position in the claim. Denote the value function by $u(x)$. You may assume the interest rate is zero and that the wealth process X is a \mathbb{Q} -martingale, for all equivalent local martingale measures (ELMMs) \mathbb{Q} with finite entropy. Denote the density process of such an ELMM \mathbb{Q} by Z , and denote the relative entropy between \mathbb{Q} and \mathbb{P} by $H(\mathbb{Q}|\mathbb{P}) := \mathbb{E}[Z_T \log Z_T]$.

- (4.a) Show that we have the inequality

$$\mathbb{E}[U(X_T - C)] \leq \mathbb{E}[V(yZ_T) - yZ_T C] + xy, \quad y > 0 \tag{2}$$

for any trading strategy and any \mathbb{Q} , where V is the convex conjugate of U . Hence show that $u(x) \leq v(y) + xy$, where v is the value function of the dual problem, which you should define.

[4 marks]

- (4.b) Suppose that equality is achieved in (2) for the optimal terminal wealth \widehat{X}_T and an optimal density \widehat{Z}_T . Show that

$$\widehat{X}_T - C = -\log(y\widehat{Z}_T),$$

for some $y > 0$.

[2 marks]

- (4.c) Explain how y is determined and hence derive a formula for $\widehat{X}_T - C$ in terms of x and \widehat{Z}_T , and some constants that you should identify.

[5 marks]

- (4.d) Hence show that the maximal expected utility is given by

$$u(x) = -\exp \left\{ -x - H(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}|\mathbb{P}) + \mathbb{E}^{\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}}[C] \right\},$$

where $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}$ is the ELMM corresponding to \widehat{Z} .

[2 marks]

- (4.e) Denote by u_0 and Z^0 the value function and density of the dual minimiser \mathbb{Q}^0 when there is no random endowment in the above utility maximisation problem. The utility indifference price p of the claim at time zero is defined implicitly by $u(x + p) = u_0(x)$.

Derive a formula for p .

[5 marks]

- (4.f) Now suppose the market model contains one stock S and one non-traded asset Y , following the geometric Brownian motions

$$dS_t = \sigma_S S_t (\lambda_S dt + dB_t^S), \quad dY_t = \sigma_Y Y_t (\lambda_Y dt + dB_t^Y),$$

where B^S, B^Y are correlated Brownian motions with constant correlation $\rho \in (-1, 1)$ and $\sigma_S, \sigma_Y, \lambda_S, \lambda_Y$ are constants. By deriving an expression for $H(\mathbb{Q}|\mathbb{P})$, show that in this case the indifference price has the representation

$$p = \mathbb{E}^{\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}} \left[C - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \widehat{\psi}_t^2 dt \right]$$

where $\widehat{\psi}$ is an adapted process. Explain how $\widehat{\psi}$ is related to $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}$.

[7 marks]