

ON THE UNICITY OF THE THEORY OF HIGHER CATEGORIES

CLARK BARWICK AND CHRISTOPHER SCHOMMER-PRIES

ABSTRACT. We axiomatise the theory of (∞, n) -categories. We prove that the space of theories of (∞, n) -categories is a $B(\mathbb{Z}/2)^n$. We prove that virtually all known purported models of (∞, n) -categories satisfy our axioms, whence they are all equivalent, in a manner that is unique up to this action of $(\mathbb{Z}/2)^n$.

CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	1
Part 1.	Preliminaries on gaunt n-categories	3
2.	Strict n -categories	3
3.	Gaunt n -categories	5
4.	Endomorphisms and Automorphisms of Gaunt_n	6
5.	Correspondences and the category Gaunt_n	10
6.	The categories Θ_n and Υ_n	13
Part 2.	The moduli space of theories of (∞, n)-categories	16
7.	The Unicity Theorem	16
8.	A colossal model of (∞, n) -categories	17
9.	The connectedness of the space of theories	21
10.	The loop space of the space of theories	22
Part 3.	A recognition principle for categorical presentations	23
11.	Presentations of (∞, n) -categories	23
12.	Strict n -categories as presheaves of sets	25
13.	Rezk's complete Segal Θ_n -spaces form a theory of (∞, n) -categories	27
14.	n -Fold complete Segal spaces are a homotopy theory of (∞, n) -categories	37
15.	Epilogue: Model categories of (∞, n) -categories	41
	References	44

1. INTRODUCTION

Any model for the theory of (∞, n) -categories must, at a minimum, form an ∞ -category \mathcal{C} .¹ Such an ∞ -category must contain the *gaunt n -categories* (Definition 3.1)

The second author was supported by NSF fellowship DMS-0902808.

¹By this we mean a *quasicategory* in the sense of Michael Boardman and Rainer Vogt, André Joyal, and Jacob Lurie; we freely use the language and technology of Lurie's books [26, 25].

as a full subcategory – these are strict n -categories with no nontrivial isomorphisms at any level. In particular, \mathcal{C} contains the k -cells C_k for $0 \leq k \leq n$. These are the strict n -categories with the universal property that the set of k -morphisms of a strict n -category D is the set of functors $C_k \rightarrow D$.

In order for the objects of \mathcal{C} to be considered as (∞, n) -categories, they must be built from cells together with composition operations. These composition operations are governed by pasting diagrams, possibly quite general ones. The largest conceivable collection of these pasting diagrams is the class of gaunt n -categories itself, so we encode these properties via a pair of axioms:

C.1 Strong generation. Every object of \mathcal{C} is the canonical colimit (in the ∞ -categorical sense) of the diagram of all the gaunt n -categories that map to it.

C.2 Weak generation. Every object of \mathcal{C} admits a ‘cell decomposition’ – i.e., it is *some* colimit of cells (again in the ∞ -categorical sense).

Correspondences are configurations of (∞, n) -categories parametrized by cells. These must be well-behaved:

C.3 Internal Homs for correspondences. For any $0 \leq k \leq n$, the ∞ -category of objects of \mathcal{C} over the k -cell C_k has internal Homs.

Our pasting diagrams are constructed by repeatedly applying certain gluing operations. These operations must remain colimits when viewed in \mathcal{C} . To ensure this, we identify (6.5) a finite list S_{00} of pushouts of gaunt n -categories, and we introduce another axiom:

C.4 Fundamental pushouts. The image of the diagrams S_{00} are pushouts in \mathcal{C} .

Finally, the ∞ -category \mathcal{C} must be minimal (in a rather weak sense) with these features:

C.5 Versality. If \mathcal{D} is an ∞ -category that contains the gaunt n -categories as a full subcategory and satisfies the axioms above, then there is a left adjoint $K: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ and a natural transformation η between the restriction of K to the gaunt n -categories and the inclusion of the gaunt n -categories into \mathcal{D} such that η is an equivalence on cells.

An ∞ -category \mathcal{C} that contains a copy of Gaunt_n and satisfies these axioms is called a *theory of (∞, n) -categories*. In this paper

- we prove that there is a *unique* theory of (∞, n) -categories, up to equivalence;
- we prove that, up to the formation of opposites, there is a contractible space of equivalences of the theory of (∞, n) -categories; and
- we prove that all the best-known purported models of (∞, n) -categories satisfy these axioms and are therefore equivalent in an essentially unique manner.

This verifies two conjectures proposed by Carlos Simpson [34, Conjectures 2 and 3]. When $n = 1$, a similar result was proven by Toën [36].

In more detail, the main theorem is:

Theorem 1.1 (Unicity). *The moduli space $\text{Thy}_{(\infty, n)}$ of theories of (∞, n) -categories is a $B(\mathbb{Z}/2)^n$.*

Any theory of (∞, n) -categories has internal Homs, and is thus canonically enriched in itself. Results of David Gepner and Rune Haugseng [19, 20] show that categories enriched in (∞, n) -categories are a model of $(\infty, n + 1)$ -categories. Thus

the unicity theorem for the ∞ -category of (∞, n) -categories implies the unicity of the $(\infty, n + 1)$ -category of (∞, n) -categories.

This paper is divided into three parts. The first part concerns various aspects of strict n -category theory, most particularly including the theory of gaunt n -categories. It does not make use of any ∞ -category theory.

The second part concerns the axiomatization. We first introduce our axioms. Then we show that $\text{Thy}_{(\infty, n)}$ is nonempty by explicitly constructing a theory of (∞, n) -categories that satisfies our axioms. We show that any other theory of (∞, n) -categories is equivalent to this given theory – $\text{Thy}_{(\infty, n)}$ is connected. We then compute the based loop space at the point we constructed – that is, the space of autoequivalences of the model of (∞, n) -categories. There are n obvious involutions, which are given by forming the opposite at each categorical level; it turns out that up to a contractible space of identifications, these are *all* of the autoequivalences.

In the third and final part of this paper we prove that most of the purported models of (∞, n) -categories in the literature satisfy our axioms. These include:

- (a) Charles Rezk’s complete Segal Θ_n -spaces,
- (b) the n -fold complete Segal spaces of the first-named author,
- (c) André Hirschowitz and Simpson’s Segal n -categories,
- (d) the n -relative categories of the first-named author and Dan Kan,
- (e) categories enriched in any internal model category whose underlying homotopy theory is a homotopy theory of (∞, n) -categories,
- (f) when $n = 1$, Boardman and Vogt’s quasicategories,
- (g) when $n = 1$, Lurie’s marked simplicial sets, and
- (h) when $n = 2$, Lurie’s scaled simplicial sets,

Consequently they are all equivalent to our model, in a manner that is unique up to the formation of the opposites at the various levels. This also confirms that any *model categories* that these ∞ -categories underlie are Quillen equivalent. In fact, Quillen equivalences between model categories of (∞, n) -categories are easily recognized (Proposition 15.10): a Quillen adjunction between two model categories of (∞, n) -categories is a Quillen equivalence if and only if it preserves the cells up to weak equivalence. This implies that many of the known Quillen functors relating various models are in fact Quillen equivalences.

Part 1. Preliminaries on gaunt n -categories

2. STRICT n -CATEGORIES

Definition 2.1. A small *strict 0-category* is a set. Proceeding recursively, for any positive integer n , a small *strict n -category* is a small category enriched in small $(n - 1)$ -categories. A *functor* between strict n -categories will mean an enriched functor. We denote by Cat_n the category of small strict n -categories and functors.

Remark 2.2. For the rest of this paper we will hold the convention that, unless otherwise stated, all strict n -categories are small.

Definition 2.3. A set can be regarded as a 1-category with only identity morphisms, and this defines a fully faithful functor

$$\text{Cat}_0 \hookrightarrow \text{Cat}_1$$

that respects products. Passing to enriched categories then yields a sequence of fully faithful functors

$$\mathrm{Cat}_0 \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Cat}_1 \hookrightarrow \cdots \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Cat}_{(n-1)} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Cat}_n \hookrightarrow \cdots .$$

We will tacitly treat these functors as *inclusions* in order to treat strict k -categories as examples of strict n -categories when $0 \leq k \leq n$. In particular, a strict n -category in the image of Cat_0 under this inclusion will be called *discrete*.

Remark 2.4. It is well known that the fully faithful inclusion $i : \mathrm{Cat}_k \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Cat}_n$ admits a right adjoint j_k . The right adjoint $j_k : \mathrm{Cat}_n \rightarrow \mathrm{Cat}_k$ carries a strict n -category C to the maximal k -category $j_k C$ contained therein.

Example 2.5. The following are some important examples of strict n -categories:

- (2.5.1) The empty n -category \emptyset is the empty set, regarded as an n -category. Later it will be convenient to write $\partial C_0 := \emptyset$.
- (2.5.2) The 0-cell C_0 is the singleton set, viewed as a strict n -category. This is also the terminal strict n -category.
- (2.5.3) The 1-category E is the “walking isomorphism,” that is, the unique contractible groupoid that contains exactly two objects.
- (2.5.4) The k -cell C_k is the strict k -category defined inductively as follows: the set of objects of C_k is the set $\{\perp, \top\}$, and one has

$$\mathrm{hom}_{C_k}(x, y) := \begin{cases} C_0 & \text{if } x = y; \\ C_{k-1} & \text{if } x = \perp \text{ and } y = \top; \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

There is a unique composition law making this a strict k -category, and therefore a strict n -category for $n \geq k$.

- (2.5.5) The $(k-1)$ -category $\partial C_k := j_{k-1} C_k$ can be described as the $(k-1)$ -category of “walking parallel $(k-1)$ -morphisms”.
- (2.5.6) A finite ordinal S gives rise to a 1-category Δ^S , whose objects are elements of S in which there is a unique morphism $s \rightarrow s'$ if and only if $s \leq s'$. The simplex category of nonempty finite ordinals will be denoted Δ , as usual.

Notation 2.6. We may generalize the fourth example in the following manner. Suppose X a strict n -category. We obtain a strict $(n+1)$ -category σX , the *suspension* of X , as follows. The set of objects of σX is the set $\{\top, \perp\}$, and one defines

$$\mathrm{hom}_{\sigma X}(x, y) := \begin{cases} C_0 & \text{if } x = y; \\ X & \text{if } x = \perp \text{ and } y = \top; \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

There is a unique composition law that makes this into a strict n -category.

Observe that the k -fold suspension of the zero cell C_0 is now nothing more than the k -cell $\sigma^k(C_0) = C_k$. Furthermore, the suspension functor preserves both pullback and pushout squares. Consequently, we have an isomorphism

$$\sigma(\emptyset) \cong C_0 \sqcup C_0 \cong \partial C_1,$$

and therefore by induction we have

$$\sigma^k(\emptyset) \cong \sigma^{k-1}(C_0 \cup^\emptyset C_0) \cong C_{k-1} \cup^{\partial C_{k-1}} C_{k-1} \cong \partial C_k.$$

The canonical inclusion $\partial C_k \hookrightarrow C_{k-1}$ arises as the k -fold suspension of the unique functor $C_0 \sqcup C_0 \rightarrow C_0$.

The following proposition is well known.

Proposition 2.7. *The cells $(C_i, 0 \leq i \leq n)$ generate Cat_n under colimits; that is, the smallest full subcategory of Cat_n containing the cells and closed under colimits is all of Cat_n . \square*

3. GAUNT n -CATEGORIES

Definition 3.1. A strict n -category X is *gaunt* if for any $1 \leq k \leq n$, the n -category X is *local* with respect to the natural functor

$$\sigma^{k-1}E \rightarrow \sigma^{k-1}(C_0) = C_{k-1};$$

that is, the induced map

$$\text{Cat}_n(C_{k-1}, X) \rightarrow \text{Cat}_n(\sigma^{k-1}E, X)$$

is a bijection. Equivalently, a strict n -category X is gaunt just in case, for any $1 \leq k \leq n$, any invertible k -morphism is an identity.

We write $\text{Gaunt}_n \subset \text{Cat}_n$ for the full subcategory spanned by the gaunt n -categories.

Remark 3.2. Observe that the suspension of a gaunt n -category is again gaunt. Note also that if a strict n -category X is gaunt, then for any $0 \leq k \leq n$, so is the strict k -category $j_k X$.

Remark 3.3. Rezk observed [32, § 10] that the 1-category E may be exhibited in Cat_1 as a pushout of more elementary n -categories:

$$E \cong \Delta^3 \cup_{(\Delta^{\{0,2\}} \sqcup \Delta^{\{1,3\}})} (\Delta^0 \sqcup \Delta^0).$$

Consequently, a strict n -category X is gaunt if and only if for each $k \geq 0$ the following natural map is a bijection:

$$\text{Fun}(C_k, X) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\sigma^k(\Delta^3), X) \times_{\text{Fun}(\sigma^k(\Delta^{\{0,2\}} \sqcup \Delta^{\{1,3\}}), X)} \text{Fun}(\sigma^k(\Delta^0 \sqcup \Delta^0), X).$$

The following is an easy consequence of the fact that Cat_n is a presentable category.

Proposition 3.4. *The inclusion*

$$\text{Gaunt}_n \hookrightarrow \text{Cat}_n$$

admits a left adjoint L^G that exhibits Gaunt_n as a localization of Cat_n . \square

In particular, Gaunt_n is a presentable category. In fact, we can be more precise.

Lemma 3.5. *The category Gaunt_n is locally finitely presentable.*

Proof. Since Cat_n is locally finitely presentable, it suffices to show that the inclusion $\text{Gaunt}_n \hookrightarrow \text{Cat}_n$ commutes with filtered colimits. To this end, suppose Λ a filtered category, and suppose $D: \Lambda \rightarrow \text{Cat}_n$ a diagram such that for any object $\alpha \in \Lambda$, the n -category D_α is gaunt. We claim that the colimit $D = \text{colim}_{\alpha \in \Lambda} D_\alpha$ (formed in Cat_n) is gaunt as well. This claim now follows readily from the fact that both C_k and $\sigma^k(E)$ are compact objects in Cat_n . \square

Remark 3.6. The previous lemma now implies that the category Gaunt_n can be identified with the category of Ind-objects of the full subcategory $\text{Gaunt}_n^\omega \subset \text{Gaunt}_n$ spanned by the compact objects of Gaunt_n . That is [29, Corollary 2.1.9'], for any category \mathcal{D} that admits all filtered colimits, if $\text{Fun}^\omega(\text{Gaunt}_n, \mathcal{D})$ denotes the full subcategory of $\text{Fun}(\text{Gaunt}_n, \mathcal{D})$ spanned by those functors that preserve filtered colimits, then the restriction functor

$$\text{Fun}^\omega(\text{Gaunt}_n, \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\text{Gaunt}_n^\omega, \mathcal{D})$$

is an equivalence.

Corollary 3.7. *Suppose $0 \leq k \leq n$. Then the smallest full subcategory of Gaunt_n that is closed under colimits and contains the cells C_r for $r \leq k$ is Gaunt_k .*

Proof. The inclusion of gaunt k -categories commutes with colimits (as it admits a right adjoint, see Rk. 3.2), whence it is enough to consider the case $k = n$. This now follows readily from Corollary 3.4 and Proposition 2.7. \square

4. ENDOMORPHISMS AND AUTOMORPHISMS OF Gaunt_n

We now demonstrate that the full subcategory of $\text{Fun}(\text{Gaunt}_n, \text{Gaunt}_n)$ spanned by the autoequivalences is discrete, and in particular it is the set $(\mathbb{Z}/2)^n$. We will also give conditions ensuring an endomorphism is an equivalence.

Definition 4.1. The *globular category* \mathbb{G}_n consists of the full subcategory of Gaunt_n consisting of the i -cells C_i for $i \leq n$.

An *n -globular set* is a presheaf of sets on \mathbb{G}_n . The *k -cells* X_k of a globular set is the set obtained by evaluating the presheaf X on C_k .

Example 4.2. Any strict n -category X gives rise to an n -globular set, which we also denote by X , such that $X_k := \text{Cat}_n(C_k, X)$. In other words, X_k is the set of k -morphisms of X .

Remark 4.3. The globular sets considered here are sometimes called *reflexive globular sets*, in order to emphasize the fact that our globular category \mathbb{G}_n includes *degeneracies* $C_k \rightarrow C_{k-1}$. The non-reflexive globular category \mathbb{G}_n^{nr} consists of the subcategory of \mathbb{G}_n with the same objects but only with the morphisms which are injective on n -morphisms. As a category it is generated by object C_k $0 \leq k \leq n$ with morphisms

$$s_k, t_k : C_{k-1} \rightarrow C_k$$

satisfying $s_k t_{k-1} = t_k t_{k-1}$ and $s_k s_{k-1} = t_k s_{k-1}$. We will have only cursory use for non-reflexive globular sets in this paper.

Remark 4.4. In this language, an alternative, noninductive definition of strict n -category is possible: a strict n -category X is an n -globular set together with a family of operations $n \geq k \geq j$:

$$*_j : X_k \times_{X_{j-1}} X_k \rightarrow X_k,$$

which are associative, unital, and suitably compatible.

Lemma 4.5. *There is a unique natural transformation from the identity functor on Gaunt_n to itself.*

Proof. Such a natural transformation consists of component maps (i.e. functors) $\eta_X: X \rightarrow X$ for each gaunt n -category X . We will show that $\eta_X = \text{id}_X$ for all X . The functor η_X induces, for each $0 \leq k \leq n$, a map on sets of k -cells,

$$(\eta_X)_k: X_k \rightarrow X_k.$$

Since a functor is completely determined by the map on k -cells for each k , it is enough to show that each $(\eta_X)_k$ is the identity. By naturality of η it is enough to show that the single functor $\eta_{C_n} = \text{id}_{C_n}$.

One may now show that $\eta_{C_k} = \text{id}_{C_k}$ by inducting on k . When $k = 0$ the claim is obvious. Now the inductive hypothesis asserts η_{C_k} is a functor which restricts to the identity functor on ∂C_k . There is only one functor with this property, namely $\eta_{C_k} = \text{id}_{C_k}$. \square

Construction 4.6. For any category C enriched in a symmetric monoidal category V , one may of course form the opposite enriched category X^{op} . This is an involution on the category of V -enriched categories.

Inducting this yields a free action ρ of $(\mathbb{Z}/2)^n$ on Cat_n . We will show in a moment that in fact this action produces an equivalence between $(\mathbb{Z}/2)^n$ and the monoidal full subcategory of $\text{Fun}(\text{Gaunt}_n, \text{Gaunt}_n)$ spanned by the autoequivalences.

For now, let us restrict this action: we note that ρ restricts to an action on the globular category \mathbb{G}_n .

Proposition 4.7. *Every autoequivalence of \mathbb{G}_n is isomorphic to $\rho(g)$ for some element $g \in (\mathbb{Z}/2)^n$.*

Proof. First we observe that the k -cell $C_k \in \mathbb{G}_n$ is the unique object such that, up to isomorphism, there exists precisely k other objects of \mathbb{G}_n which occur as proper retracts (namely all the cells C_i with $0 \leq i < k$). Consequently, every autoequivalence F of \mathbb{G}_n must fix the objects.

Next we observe that for each $i < j$, there exists precisely one epimorphism $C_j \twoheadrightarrow C_i$. Since epimorphisms are preserved by any equivalence of categories, this unique epimorphism is also preserved by F . Similarly, for each $0 \leq i < n$, there exist precisely two monomorphisms $C_i \hookrightarrow C_n$; these are either preserved by F or else they are permuted. Thus every autoequivalence determines an element $\gamma(F) \in (\mathbb{Z}/2)^n$ such that $\gamma(F)_i = 0$ just in case the pair of monomorphisms $C_i \hookrightarrow C_n$ is preserved by F , and $\gamma(F)_i = 1$ just in case the pair of monomorphisms $C_i \hookrightarrow C_n$ is permuted by F . Note that of course $\gamma(\rho(g)) = g$.

To conclude the proof, we observe that the symbol $\gamma(F)$ determines F . Indeed, every morphism $C_i \rightarrow C_j$ in \mathbb{G}_n admits a factorization

$$C_i \twoheadrightarrow C_k \hookrightarrow C_n \twoheadrightarrow C_j$$

for some $C_k \in \mathbb{G}_n$. \square

Lemma 4.8. *Let F be an autoequivalence of the category Gaunt_n . Then F restricts to an equivalence between \mathbb{G}_n and its essential image in Gaunt_n ; that is, $F(C_k) \cong C_k$ for all $0 \leq k \leq n$.*

Proof. The proper retracts of C_n are precisely the cells C_k for $0 \leq k < n$, and, as before, each C_k is distinguished as the unique such retract such that, up to isomorphism, there exists precisely k other objects which occur as further proper retracts (the cells C_s for $s < k$). Thus it is enough to show that $F(C_n) \cong C_n$ for the n -cell alone, as this implies the analogous statement $F(C_k) \cong C_k$ for all $0 \leq k \leq n$.

Recall that a *generator* of a category \mathcal{C} is an object X such that the corepresentable functor $\mathcal{C}(X, -) : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ is faithful [28, pg. 127]. The collection of generators is preserved under any autoequivalence. The n -cell C_n is a generator for Cat_n and hence also Gaunt_n , however the k -cells C_k for $k < n$ are not generators. Thus no proper retract of C_n is a generator. We claim that in fact C_n is the unique generator such that every proper retract is *not* a generator. If this characterization holds, then any autoequivalence necessarily preserves the n -cell up to automorphism and the lemma follows.

In fact we will prove a stronger statement: we claim that the n -cell C_n is a retract of every generator of Gaunt_n . Now consider the gaunt n -category ∂C_{n+1} . This may be written as

$$\partial C_{n+1} = C_n \cup^{\partial C_n} C_n.$$

There are exactly two non-identity n -morphisms in ∂C_{n+1} ; call them $a, b : C_n \rightarrow \partial C_{n+1}$. Observe that these two functors differ only on the unique nontrivial n -morphism of C_n . The unique non-trivial n -morphism of C_n , viewed as a map $C_n \rightarrow C_n$, corresponds to the element $\text{id} \in \text{Gaunt}_n(C_n, C_n)$.

Suppose now that X is a generator of Gaunt_n . Then there must exist a functor $X \rightarrow C_n$ such that the induced map $X_n \rightarrow \text{Gaunt}_n(C_n, C_n)$ contains the element $\text{id} \in \text{Gaunt}_n(C_n, C_n)$ in its image, for otherwise $\mathcal{C}(X, -)$ would not be able to distinguish a and b , contradicting the fact that X is a generator. Thus there exists an n -morphism f of X which maps via this functor to $\text{id} \in \text{Gaunt}_n(C_n, C_n)$. Corresponding to f is a section $C_n \rightarrow X$ that carries the unique nontrivial n -morphism of C_n to f . This exhibits C_n as a retract of X , as desired. \square

Remark 4.9. We thank Dimitri Ara who pointed out an error in an earlier version of the above lemma. An alternative proof of this lemma has appeared in work of Dimitri Ara, Moritz Groth, and Javier Gutiérrez [2].

The composition law $*_j$ (for k -morphisms, $n \geq k \geq j$) is corepresented by a map

$$w_j^k : C_k \rightarrow C_k \cup^{C_{j-1}} C_k.$$

Let $E : \text{Gaunt}_n \rightarrow \text{Gaunt}_n$ be an endofunctor. We will say that E *commutes with compositional pushouts* if for all $k \geq j \geq 1$ the natural map is an isomorphism:

$$E(C_k \cup^{C_{j-1}} C_k) \cong E(C_k) \cup^{E(C_{j-1})} E(C_k).$$

Note that it is sufficient to consider only the case $k = n$. The other cases, being retracts of the $n = k$ case, follow automatically.

Lemma 4.10. *Any endofunctor E of the category Gaunt_n that commutes with compositional pushouts and restricts to an automorphism of the category \mathbb{G}_n is an autoequivalence and isomorphic to a functor of the form $\rho(g)$ for some $g \in (\mathbb{Z}/2)^n$.*

Proof. By Proposition 4.7 the restriction of E to \mathbb{G}_n is necessarily of the form $\rho(g)$ for some $g \in (\mathbb{Z}/2)^n$. It suffices to prove that $E \circ \rho(g) \simeq \rho(g) \circ \rho(g)$, which is in turn simply the identity, so without loss of generality we may assume $\rho(g) = \text{id}$, that is, that E restricts to the identity functor on \mathbb{G}_n .

Now in this case, the isomorphisms

$$\text{Gaunt}_n(C_k, X) \cong \text{Gaunt}_n(F(C_k), F(X)) = \text{Gaunt}_n(C_k, F(X))$$

are natural in both C_k and X , whence one obtains a natural isomorphism $\gamma: U \cong U \circ F$, where $U: \text{Gaunt}_n \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathbb{G}_n^{\text{op}}, \text{Set})$ is the forgetful functor from gaunt n -categories to globular sets. It thus remains to show that this natural isomorphism is compatible with the compositions $*_j$.

For this, consider

$$w_j^k: C_k \rightarrow C_k \cup^{C_{j-1}} C_k,$$

the morphism that corepresents the composition law $*_j$, as above. Since E preserves compositional pushouts, one obtains a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_k & \xrightarrow{w_j^k} & C_k \cup^{C_{j-1}} C_k \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ E(C_k) & \xrightarrow{E(w_j^k)} & E(C_k \cup^{C_{j-1}} C_k) \\ & & \downarrow \cong \\ & & E(C_k) \cup^{E(C_{j-1})} E(C_k) \end{array}$$

Hence for any gaunt n -category X , we obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Gaunt}_n(C_k \cup^{C_{j-1}} C_k, X) & \xrightarrow{*_j} & \text{Gaunt}_n(C_k, X) \\ \cong \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\ \text{Gaunt}_n(E(C_k \cup^{C_{j-1}} C_k), E(X)) & & \text{Gaunt}_n(F(C_k), F(X)) \\ \cong \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\ \text{Gaunt}_n(E(C_k) \cup^{E(C_{j-1})} E(C_k), E(X)) & & \text{Gaunt}_n(C_k, E(X)) \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ \text{Gaunt}_n(C_k \cup^{C_{j-1}} C_k, E(X)) & \xrightarrow{*_j} & \text{Gaunt}_n(C_k, E(X)) \end{array}$$

in which the top and bottom morphisms are exactly the composition functors. Hence the natural isomorphism γ is compatible with compositions, whence it lifts to a natural isomorphism $\text{id} \cong E$, as desired. \square

Remark 4.11. The above lemma is also valid for Gaunt_n^ω in place of Gaunt_n .

Corollary 4.12. *Any autoequivalence of the category Gaunt_n is isomorphic to a functor of the form $\rho(g)$ for some $g \in (\mathbb{Z}/2)^n$.*

Proof. Any autoequivalence preserves all colimits, in particular the compositional pushouts. Moreover by Lemma 4.8, every autoequivalence F restricts to an autoequivalence of \mathbb{G}_n , and hence the assumptions of the previous lemma are met. \square

Theorem 4.13. *The full subcategory*

$$\text{Aut}(\text{Gaunt}_n) \subset \text{Fun}(\text{Gaunt}_n, \text{Gaunt}_n)$$

of the category spanned by the autoequivalences is equivalent to the discrete set $(\mathbb{Z}/2)^n$.

Proof. Indeed, it follows from Corollary 4.12 that it is essentially surjective, and it follows from Lemma 4.5 that the action functor $\rho : (\mathbb{Z}/2)^n \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\text{Gaunt}_n)$ is fully faithful. \square

Combining these results with Rm. 3.6 we also obtain:

Corollary 4.14. *The full subcategory*

$$\text{Aut}(\text{Gaunt}_n^\omega) \subset \text{Fun}(\text{Gaunt}_n^\omega, \text{Gaunt}_n^\omega)$$

spanned by the autoequivalences is equivalent to the discrete set $(\mathbb{Z}/2)^n$.

5. CORRESPONDENCES AND THE CATEGORY Gaunt_n

The category Cat_n is cartesian closed, which means that for each strict n -category X , the endo-functor $(-) \times X$ admits a right adjoint, $\underline{\text{Hom}}(X, -)$. The strict n -categories $\underline{\text{Hom}}(X, Y)$ make Cat_n enriched in itself, and thus it can be regarded as a *large* strict $(n+1)$ -category.

The category Gaunt_n , as a full subobject of Cat_n , is likewise a large strict $(n+1)$ -category. Moreover the n -category $\underline{\text{Hom}}(X, Y)$ is gaunt whenever Y is gaunt, making Gaunt_n itself cartesian closed. In fact, if we replace Gaunt_n by a skeleton, then it is a large *gaunt* $(n+1)$ -category, though we will not need this observation.

If X is a strict n -category (possibly large) then we may consider the slice category (Gaunt_n/X) of gaunt n -categories equipped with a functor to X . This is naturally enriched in Cat_n as well and hence also a (large) $(n+1)$ -category. If $p_0 : A_0 \rightarrow X$ and $p_1 : A_1 \rightarrow X$ are in (Gaunt_n/X) , then the Cat_n -enriched hom from p_0 to p_1 is given by the following pull-back:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{\text{Hom}}(p_0, p_1) & \longrightarrow & \text{pt} \\ \downarrow \ulcorner & & \downarrow p_0 \\ \underline{\text{Hom}}(A_0, A_1) & \xrightarrow{(p_1)_*} & \underline{\text{Hom}}(A_0, X) \end{array}$$

Definition 5.1. A *correspondence* (or *k-correspondence*, for clarity) of gaunt n -categories is an object of the overcategory (Gaunt_n/C_k) . In other words, a k -correspondence of gaunt n -categories is a gaunt n -category M along with a functor $M \rightarrow C_k$.

Remark 5.2. By Lemma 3.5 Gaunt_n is locally finitely presentable. It follows (see [1, Corollary 2.44, 2.47]) that each of the categories of k -correspondence is also locally finitely presentable.

Given two k -correspondences $M \rightarrow C_k$ and $N \rightarrow C_k$, we may form the *product correspondence* $M \times_{C_k} N$. This is the product in the category (Gaunt_n/C_k) of k -correspondences.

The terminology and connection to the classical theory of correspondences is made more clear by introducing the following category.

Definition 5.3. Define $\text{Corr}_n^0 := \text{Gaunt}_n$, and for $k > 0$, define Corr_n^k recursively as follows. The objects are triples (X_0, X_1, F) consisting of two gaunt n -categories X_0 and X_1 and a functor

$$F : X_0^{\text{op}} \times X_1 \rightarrow \text{Corr}_{n-1}^{k-1}.$$

(Here $\text{op} = \rho(1, 0, \dots, 0)$ is the opposite obtained by reversing just the 1-morphisms of X_0 .) A morphism $(X_0, X_1, F) \rightarrow (Y_0, Y_1, G)$ is a triple (f_0, f_1, α) consisting of functors $f_0: X_0 \rightarrow Y_0$ and $f_1: X_1 \rightarrow Y_1$ and a natural transformation

$$\alpha: F \rightarrow G \circ (f_0^{\text{op}} \times f_1).$$

Lemma 5.4. *There is a natural equivalence of categories*

$$\phi_n^k: (\text{Gaunt}_n / C_k) \simeq \text{Corr}_n^k.$$

Proof. The functor ϕ_n^0 is simply the identity. We now define ϕ_n^k recursively. Suppose that $k > 0$, and assume that the equivalence ϕ_{n-1}^{k-1} has been defined.

Let us define the functor $\phi_n^k: (\text{Gaunt}_n / C_k) \rightarrow \text{Corr}_n^k$. For any object $p: X \rightarrow C_k$ of (Gaunt_n / C_k) , let $\phi_n^k(p)$ be the triple (X_0, X_1, F) , where X_0 and X_1 are the fibers of p over 0 and 1, respectively, and the functor $F: X_0^{\text{op}} \times X_1 \rightarrow \text{Corr}_{n-1}^{k-1}$ is the composite $\phi_{n-1}^{k-1} \circ F'$, where the functor

$$F': X_0^{\text{op}} \times X_1 \rightarrow (\text{Gaunt}_{n-1} / C_{k-1})$$

is defined as follows:

- For any objects $(x_0, x_1) \in X_0^{\text{op}} \times X_1$, let $F(x_0, x_1)$ be the $(n-1)$ -category $X(x_0, x_1)$, equipped with the functor $X(x_0, x_1) \rightarrow C_k(0, 1) = C_{k-1}$ induced by p .
- For any objects (x_0, x_1) and (y_0, y_1) of $X_0^{\text{op}} \times X_1$, the functor

$$X_0(y_0, x_0) \times X_1(x_1, y_1) \rightarrow \text{Fun}_{/C_{k-1}}(X(x_0, x_1), X(y_0, y_1))$$

is simply composition.

For any commutative triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ & \searrow p & \swarrow q \\ & & C_k \end{array}$$

of gaunt n -categories, we define

$$\phi_n^k(f) := (f_0, f_1, \alpha): \phi_n^k(p) = (X_0, X_1, F' \circ \phi_n^k) \rightarrow (Y_0, Y_1, G' \circ \phi_n^k) = \phi_n^k(q),$$

where f_0 and f_1 are the restrictions of f to the fibers, and α is the composite $\phi_{n-1}^{k-1} * \alpha'$, in which the natural transformation α' is the one whose components are given by the functor $X(x_0, x_1) \rightarrow Y(f(x_0), f(x_1))$ induced by f .

We now construct a quasi-inverse $\psi_n^k: \text{Corr}_n^k \rightarrow (\text{Gaunt}_n / C_k)$ to ϕ_n^k . Again, when $k = 0$, we let ψ_n^0 be the identity, and we proceed recursively. We assume $k > 0$ and that the quasi-inverse ψ_{n-1}^{k-1} to ϕ_{n-1}^{k-1} has been defined.

For any object $(X_0, X_1, F) \in \text{Corr}_n^k$, define a gaunt n -category $U(X_0, X_1, F)$ with object set $\text{ob}X_0 \sqcup \text{ob}X_1$ and

$$U(X_0, X_1, F)(a, b) := \begin{cases} X_0(a, b) & \text{if } a, b \in X_0 \\ X_1(a, b) & \text{if } a, b \in X_1 \\ \psi_{n-1}^{k-1}(F(a, b)) & \text{if } a \in X_0, b \in X_1 \\ \emptyset & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

The composition in $U(X_0, X_1, F)$ is the obvious one, and it is clear that this defines a functor $U: \text{Corr}_n^k \rightarrow \text{Gaunt}_n$. We now apply this functor to the terminal object of

Corr_n^k , namely the triple $(C_0, C_0, \phi_{n-1}^{k-1}(C_{k-1}))$. Since $U(C_0, C_0, \phi_{n-1}^{k-1}(C_{k-1})) = C_k$, it follows that U factors through a functor $\psi_n^k: \text{Corr}_n^k \rightarrow (\text{Gaunt}_n/C_k)$.

It is now a simple matter to observe that ψ_n^k is indeed quasi-inverse to ϕ_n^k . \square

Remark 5.5. Unwinding the functor ϕ_n^k in the argument above, one finds that the product in the category Corr_n^k may be written recursively in the following manner:

$$(X_0, X_1, F) \times (Y_0, Y_1, G) \cong (X_0 \times Y_0, X_1 \times Y_1, F \otimes G)$$

where $F \otimes G$ is defined as the composite:

$$(X_0 \times Y_0)^{\text{op}} \times (X_1 \times Y_1) \cong (X_0^{\text{op}} \times X_1) \times (Y_0^{\text{op}} \times Y_1) \xrightarrow{(F, G)} \text{Corr}_{n-1}^{k-1} \times \text{Corr}_{n-1}^{k-1} \xrightarrow{\times} \text{Corr}_{n-1}^{k-1}.$$

Lemma 5.6. *The category (Gaunt_n/C_k) of k -correspondences is cartesian closed.*

Proof. The claim is that for any k -correspondence $N \rightarrow C_k$, the functor

$$- \times_{C_k} N: (\text{Gaunt}_n/C_k) \rightarrow (\text{Gaunt}_n/C_k)$$

admits a right adjoint $\underline{\text{Hom}}_{C_k}(N, -)$.

Since Gaunt_n/C_k is locally finitely presentable, it is cocomplete and admits a strong generator [1, Th. 1.20] and is co-wellpowered [1, Th. 1.58]. Thus by the special adjoint functor theorem [28, Sect. V.8] the functor

$$- \times_{C_k} N: (\text{Gaunt}_n/C_k) \rightarrow (\text{Gaunt}_n/C_k)$$

admits a right adjoint precisely if it commutes with colimits.

When $k = 0$, this follows from the fact that Gaunt_n itself is cartesian closed.

For $k > 0$, suppose $(\text{Gaunt}_{n-1}/C_{k-1})$ is cartesian closed. To prove that the category (Gaunt_n/C_k) is cartesian closed, we require a description of colimits in terms of the equivalent category Corr_n^k . For any small category Λ and any diagram $X: \Lambda \rightarrow \text{Corr}_n^k$ with

$$X_\lambda = (X_{\lambda,0}, X_{\lambda,1}, F_\lambda),$$

it is easy to see that the colimit is given by the triple (X_0, X_1, F) where

$$X_0 = \text{colim}_{\lambda \in \Lambda} X_{\lambda,0} \quad \text{and} \quad X_1 = \text{colim}_{\lambda \in \Lambda} X_{\lambda,1},$$

and $F: X_0^{\text{op}} \times X_1 \rightarrow \text{Corr}_{n-1}^{k-1}$ is the enriched left Kan extension of

$$\text{colim}_{\lambda \in \Lambda} F_\lambda: \text{colim}_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (X_{\lambda,0}^{\text{op}} \times X_{\lambda,1}) \rightarrow \text{Corr}_{n-1}^{k-1}$$

along the diagonal

$$\text{colim}_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (X_{\lambda,0}^{\text{op}} \times X_{\lambda,1}) \rightarrow X_0^{\text{op}} \times X_1.$$

Now for any object $Y = (Y_0, Y_1, G) \in \text{Corr}_n^k$, we wish to compare $(\text{colim}_{\lambda \in \Lambda} X_\lambda) \times Y$ and $\text{colim}_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (X_\lambda \times Y)$. In light of our descriptions of products in Corr_n^k , we see that the former is $(X_0 \times Y_0, X_1 \times Y_1, F \otimes G)$, and the latter is the colimit of the diagram $Z: \Lambda \rightarrow \text{Corr}_n^k$ that carries λ to

$$(X_{\lambda,0} \times Y_1, X_{\lambda,1} \times Y_1, F_\lambda \otimes G).$$

Note that, since Gaunt_n is cartesian closed, one has

$$\text{colim}_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (X_{\lambda,0} \times Y_0) \cong X_0 \times Y_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{colim}_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (X_{\lambda,1} \times Y_1) \cong X_1 \times Y_1;$$

hence our description of colimits in Corr_n^k exhibits the colimit of Z as $(X_0 \times Y_0, X_1 \times Y_1, (F \otimes G)')$, where $(F \otimes G)'$ is the enriched left Kan extension of

$$\text{colim}_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (F_\lambda \otimes G): \text{colim}_{\lambda \in \Lambda} ((X_{\lambda,0} \times Y_0)^{\text{op}} \times (X_{\lambda,1} \times Y_1)) \rightarrow \text{Corr}_{n-1}^{k-1}$$

along the diagonal

$$\text{colim}_{\lambda \in \Lambda} ((X_{\lambda,0} \times Y_0)^{\text{op}} \times (X_{\lambda,1} \times Y_1)) \rightarrow (X_0 \times Y_0)^{\text{op}} \times (X_1 \times Y_1).$$

Our induction hypothesis is that Corr_{n-1}^{k-1} is cartesian closed; so this enriched left Kan extension can be identified with the composition of the enriched left Kan extension of

$$\text{colim}_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (F_\lambda, G): \text{colim}_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (X_{\lambda,0}^{\text{op}} \times X_{\lambda,1}) \times (Y_0^{\text{op}} \times Y_1) \rightarrow \text{Corr}_{n-1}^{k-1} \times \text{Corr}_{n-1}^{k-1}$$

along the diagonal

$$\text{colim}_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (F_\lambda, G): \text{colim}_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (X_{\lambda,0}^{\text{op}} \times X_{\lambda,1}) \times (Y_0^{\text{op}} \times Y_1) \rightarrow (X_0^{\text{op}} \times X_1) \times (Y_0^{\text{op}} \times Y_1).$$

But now this left Kan extension is simply the product of G with the left Kan extension that defines F . In other words, we have an isomorphism $(F \otimes G)' \cong F \otimes G$, whence the proof is complete. \square

6. THE CATEGORIES Θ_n AND Υ_n

For many purposes, it is unwieldy to contemplate all gaunt n -categories (or even all compact gaunt n -categories). The critical structural features of n -categories are already captured by far smaller categories. One such smaller category is Joyal's category Θ_n of ' n -disks' (Definition 6.1):

Definition 6.1 ([8, Definition 3.1]). Let C be a small category. The *wreath product* $\Delta \wr C$ is the category

- whose objects consist of tuples $([n]; c_1, \dots, c_n)$ where $[n] \in \Delta$ and $c_i \in C$, and
- whose morphisms from $([m]; a_1, \dots, a_m)$ to $([n]; b_1, \dots, b_n)$ consist of tuples $(\phi; \phi_{ij})$, where $\phi: [m] \rightarrow [n]$, and $\phi_{ij}: a_i \rightarrow b_j$ where $0 < i \leq m$, and $\phi(i-1) < j \leq \phi(i)$.

The category Θ_n is now defined inductively as a wreath product: $\Theta_1 = \Delta$, and $\Theta_n = \Delta \wr \Theta_{n-1}$. In particular this gives rise to embeddings $\sigma: \Theta_{n-1} \rightarrow \Theta_n$, given by $\sigma(o) = ([1]; o)$, and $\iota: \Delta \rightarrow \Theta_n$ given by $\iota([n]) = ([n]; ([0]), \dots, ([0]))$.

There is a fully-faithful embedding $i: \Theta_n \hookrightarrow \text{Gaunt}_n$ as a dense subcategory [8, Th. 3.7]. The image under i of $([m]; a_1, \dots, a_m)$ may be described inductively as the following colimit:

$$i([m]; o_1, \dots, o_m) = \sigma(i(o_1)) \cup^{C_0} \sigma(i(o_2)) \cup^{C_0} \dots \cup^{C_0} \sigma(i(o_m)).$$

This colimit, taken in Gaunt_n , is a series of pushouts in which C_0 is embedded into $\sigma(i(o_k))$ via \top and into $\sigma(i(o_{k+1}))$ via \perp as described after Ex. 2.5. There is no possible confusion by the meaning of σ , as $i(\sigma(o)) = \sigma(i(o))$ for all $o \in \Theta_{n-1}$.

Since we will be concerned with the study of correspondences, it is convenient to enlarge Θ_n to contain products of correspondences:

Definition 6.2. The category Υ_n is the smallest full subcategory of Gaunt_n that contains Θ_n and is closed under products of correspondences, $(M, N) \mapsto M \times_{C_k} N$.

Remark 6.3. We now examine the fiber products of cells in detail. We aim to express these fiber products as simple iterated colimits of cells. Let $\varphi : C_i \rightarrow C_j$ and $\psi : C_k \rightarrow C_j$ be a pair of functors ($i, j, k \geq 0$). A map of cells $\varphi : C_i \rightarrow C_j$ either factors as a composite $C_i \rightarrow C_0 \rightarrow C_j$ or is a suspension $\varphi = \sigma(\xi)$ of some map $\xi : C_{i-1} \rightarrow C_{j-1}$.

We thus begin by contemplating the case in which φ is *not* the suspension of a map of lower dimensional cells. In this case we have a diagram of pullback squares

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} C_i \times F & \longrightarrow & F & \longrightarrow & C_k \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \psi \\ C_i & \longrightarrow & C_0 & \longrightarrow & C_j \end{array}$$

Here F is the fiber of $\psi : C_k \rightarrow C_j$ over the unique object in the image of φ . There are four possibilities:

- (A) The image of ψ may be disjoint from the image of φ , in which case $F = \partial C_0 = \emptyset$. Hence F and also $C_i \times F$ are the empty colimit of cells.
- (B) The fiber may be a zero cell, $F = C_0$, in which case $C_i \times F \cong C_i$ is trivially a colimit of cells.
- (C) The fiber may be the k -cell $F \cong C_k$, but we have $i = 0$. In this case $C_i \times F \cong F \cong C_k$ is again trivially a colimit of cells.
- (D) The fiber may be an k -cell $F \cong C_k$, and we have $i \geq 1$. In this case we have (cf. [32, Proposition 4.9])

$$C_i \times C_k \cong (C_i \cup^{C_0} C_k) \cup^{\sigma(C_{i-1} \times C_{k-1})} (C_k \cup^{C_0} C_i)$$

where for each pushout $C_x \cup^{C_0} C_y$, the object C_0 is included into the final object of C_x and the initial object of C_y .

As the suspension functor σ commutes with pullback squares, a general pullback of cells is the suspension of one of the types just considered. Moreover, as the suspension functor also commutes with pushout squares, the above considerations give a recipe for writing any fiber product of cells as an iterated pushout of cells. This will be made precise in Lemma 6.7.

Notation 6.4. The inclusion $\Upsilon_n \hookrightarrow \text{Gaunt}_n$ induces a fully faithful nerve functor

$$\nu : \text{Gaunt}_n \hookrightarrow \text{Fun}(\Upsilon_n^{\text{op}}, \text{Set}).$$

In particular, we may regard gaunt n -categories as particular presheaves of sets on the category Υ_n (precisely which presheaves will be determined in Corollary 10.2). Note that the nerve functor commutes with all limits, hence in particular fiber products.

Notation 6.5. Let S_{00} consist of the union $A \cup B \cup C \cup D$ of the following four finite sets of maps of presheaves on Υ_n :

$$A := \left\{ \nu C_{i-1} \cup^{\nu(\partial C_{i-1})} \nu C_{i-1} \rightarrow \nu(\partial C_i) \mid 0 \leq i \leq n-1 \right\}$$

(when $i = 0$, we interpret this as the empty presheaf mapping to the nerve of the empty n -category),

$$B := \left\{ \nu C_j \cup^{\nu C_i} \nu C_j \rightarrow \nu(C_j \cup^{C_i} C_j) \mid 0 \leq i < j \leq n \right\},$$

$$C := \left\{ \nu(C_{i+j} \cup^{C_i} C_{i+k}) \cup^{\nu\sigma^{i+1}(C_{j-1} \times_{C_k} C_{k-1})} \nu(C_{i+k} \cup^{C_i} C_{i+j}) \rightarrow \nu(C_{i+j} \times_{C_i} C_{i+k}) \right. \\ \left. \middle| 0 \leq i \leq n, 0 < j, k \leq n - i \right\},$$

and, lastly,

$$D := \left\{ \nu\sigma^k(\Delta^3) \cup^{\nu\sigma^k(\Delta^{\{0,2\}} \sqcup \Delta^{\{1,3\}})} \nu\sigma^k(\Delta^0 \sqcup \Delta^0) \rightarrow \nu C_k \mid 0 \leq k \leq n \right\}.$$

Now let S_0 be the smallest class of morphisms $U \rightarrow V$ in $\text{Fun}(\Upsilon_n^{\text{op}}, \text{Set})$ that (a) is closed under isomorphism, (b) contains S_{00} , and (c) is closed under the operation $-\times_{C_k} N$ for any functor $V \rightarrow C_k$ and any k -correspondence $N \rightarrow C_k$ with $N \in \Upsilon_n$.

Lemma 6.6. *Suppose X a gaunt n -category. Then the presheaf $\nu X : \Upsilon_n^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ is local with respect to the morphisms of S_0 .*

Proof. Forming each of the pushouts of S_{00} in Gaunt_n yields an equivalence, so X is local with respect to S_{00} .

Now let $S'_0 \subseteq S_0$ denote the class of morphisms $f : U \rightarrow V$ in S_0 such that νX is local with respect to f for any gaunt X . We have observed that S'_0 contains S_{00} . It is also visibly closed under isomorphism.

We complete the proof by showing that S'_0 is closed under the operation $-\times_{C_i} N$ for any $N \in \Upsilon_n$. Indeed, suppose $U \rightarrow V$ a morphism of S'_0 . We claim that for any morphism $V \rightarrow C_k$ and any functor $N \rightarrow C_k$, the map

$$\Upsilon_n(V \times_{C_k} N, X) \rightarrow \Upsilon_n(U \times_{C_k} N, X)$$

is a bijection. For each $W \in \Upsilon_n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Gaunt}_n(W \times_{C_i} N, X) &\cong (\text{Gaunt}_n / C_k)(W \times_{C_k} N, X \times C_k) \\ &\cong (\text{Gaunt}_n / C_k)(W, \underline{\text{Hom}}_{C_k}(N, X \times C_k)), \end{aligned}$$

where $\underline{\text{Hom}}_{C_k}(N, -)$ denotes the right adjoint of Lemma 5.6. The claim now follows from the observation that as $\underline{\text{Hom}}_{C_i}(N, X \times C_i)$ is gaunt, it is local with respect to $U \rightarrow V$. \square

Lemma 6.7. *Let*

$$\mathcal{C} := S_{00}^{-1} \text{Fun}(\Upsilon_n^{\text{op}}, \text{Set})$$

denote the full subcategory of presheaves of sets which are local with respect to the morphisms of S_{00} . Let $\varphi : C_i \rightarrow C_j$ and $\psi : C_k \rightarrow C_j$ be an arbitrary pair of maps ($i, j, k \geq 0$). Then $\nu(C_i \times_{C_j} C_k)$ is contained in the smallest full subcategory of \mathcal{C} that contains the nerves of cells and is closed under the formation of colimits.

Proof. Recall that ν commutes with limits. Let m ($\leq i, j, k$) be the largest integer such that $\varphi = \sigma^m(g)$ and $\psi = \sigma^m(f)$ are both m -fold suspensions of maps, $g : C_{i-m} \rightarrow C_{j-m}$ and $f : C_{k-m} \rightarrow C_{j-m}$.

Suppose, without loss of generality, that φ is not an $(m+1)$ -fold suspension of a map. We thus have an m -suspension of the situation considered in Rk. 6.3; that is, we have a diagram of pullback squares

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \sigma^m(C_{i-m} \times_{C_0} F) & \longrightarrow & \sigma^m(F) & \longrightarrow & C_k \\ \downarrow \lrcorner & & \downarrow \lrcorner & & \downarrow \psi = \sigma^m(f) \\ C_i = \sigma^m(C_{i-m}) & \xrightarrow{\sigma^m(!)} & C_m = \sigma^m(C_0) & \xleftarrow{\sigma^m(g)} & C_j, \end{array}$$

where as above F denotes the fiber of $f : C_{k-m} \rightarrow C_{j-m}$ over the image of g . So let us consider each of the cases A-D of Rk. 6.3 in turn.

(A) If $F = \emptyset$, then

$$C_i \times_{C_j} C_k \cong \sigma^m(C_{i-m} \times_{C_0} F) \cong \sigma^m(\emptyset) \cong \partial C_m.$$

In this case, the morphisms of $A \subset S_{00}$ provide an iterative construction of $\nu \partial C_m$ as a colimit in $S_{00}^{-1} \text{Fun}(\Upsilon_n^{\text{op}}, \text{Set})$ of cells.

(B) Next, if $F \cong C_0$, then

$$C_i \times_{C_j} C_k \cong \sigma^m(C_{i-m} \times_{C_0} F) \cong \sigma^m(C_{i-m}) \cong C_i$$

is already a cell.

(C) Similarly, if $F \cong C_{k-m}$, but $i = m$, then

$$C_i \times_{C_j} C_k \cong \sigma^m(C_0 \times_{C_0} F) \cong \sigma^m(C_{k-m}) \cong C_k$$

is again already a cell.

(D) Finally, let us suppose that $F \cong C_\ell$ with $i = m + p$ and $k = m + \ell$ for $p > 0$. In this case we have,

$$C_i \times_{C_j} C_k \cong C_{m+p} \times_{C_m} C_{m+\ell}$$

is precisely the fiber product considered in the set $C \subset S_{00}$. One readily observes that morphisms of B and C provide an inductive construction of this fiber product as an iterated colimit of cells in \mathcal{C} . \square

Part 2. The moduli space of theories of (∞, n) -categories

7. THE UNICITY THEOREM

We now introduce our axioms for the theory of (∞, n) -categories.

Basic Data. We assume that \mathcal{C} is a presentable ∞ -category equipped with a fully faithful functor $f : \text{Gaunt}_n^\omega \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}$.

The first axiom states that every object of \mathcal{C} can be written as a colimit of gaunt n -categories in a canonical manner; this is called *strong generation*.

Axiom (C.1: Strong generation). The functor f *strongly generates* \mathcal{C} ; that is [27, 4.4.2], the left Kan extension of f along itself is the identity functor on \mathcal{C} .

Equivalently, any object $X \in \mathcal{C}$ is the canonical colimit of the gaunt n -categories mapping to it:

$$\text{colim}_{H \in \text{Gaunt}_n / X} H \simeq X.$$

The next axiom states that every object of \mathcal{C} can be written as a colimit of objects of \mathbb{G}_n , but not necessarily in this canonical manner.

Axiom (C.2: Weak generation). If $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ is a full subcategory that contains the image $f(\mathbb{G}_n)$ and is closed under colimits, then $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{C}$.

We also demand that \mathcal{C} admit internal Homs for correspondences.

Axiom (C.3: Internal Homs for correspondences). For any morphism $\eta : X \rightarrow f(C_i)$ of \mathcal{C} , the fiber product functor

$$\eta^* : \mathcal{C}_{/f(C_i)} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{/X}$$

preserves colimits.

The Adjoint Functor Theorem [26, Corollary 5.5.2.9] implies that this is equivalent to the existence of internal homs for the categories of correspondences $\mathcal{C}/f(C_i)$.

We introduce a special collection of maps of \mathcal{C} . Denote S_{00} consist of the union $A \cup B \cup C \cup D$ of the following four finite sets of maps of \mathcal{C} :

$$A := \left\{ f(C_{i-1}) \cup^{f(\partial C_{i-1})} f(C_{i-1}) \rightarrow f(\partial C_i) \mid 0 \leq i \leq n-1 \right\}$$

(when $i = 0$, we interpret this as the initial object of \mathcal{C} mapping to the image under f of the empty n -category),

$$B := \left\{ f(C_j) \cup^{f(C_i)} f(C_j) \rightarrow f(C_j \cup^{C_i} C_j) \mid 0 \leq i < j \leq n \right\},$$

$$C := \left\{ f(C_{i+j} \cup^{C_i} C_{i+k}) \cup^{f(\sigma^{i+1}(C_{j-1} \times C_{k-1}))} f(C_{i+k} \cup^{C_i} C_{i+j}) \rightarrow f(C_{i+j} \times_{C_i} C_{i+k}) \right. \\ \left. \mid 0 \leq i \leq n, 0 < j, k \leq n-i \right\},$$

and, lastly,

$$D := \left\{ f(\sigma^k([3])) \cup^{f(\sigma^k(\{0,2\} \sqcup \{1,3\}))} f(\sigma^k([0] \sqcup [0])) \rightarrow f(C_k) \mid 0 \leq k \leq n \right\}.$$

Axiom (C.4: Fundamental pushouts). Each of the finite number of maps comprising S_{00} is an equivalence.

Finally, we will require that \mathcal{C} be *versal* with Axioms C.1–4, so that any other presentable ∞ -category satisfying Axioms C.1–4 admits some left adjoint from \mathcal{C} :

Axiom (C.5: Versality). For any ∞ -category \mathcal{D} and any fully faithful functor $g: \text{Gaunt}_n^\omega \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ satisfying Axioms C.1–4, there exist a left adjoint $K: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ and a natural transformation $\xi: K \circ f \rightarrow g$ such that $\xi|_{\mathbb{G}_n}: K \circ f|_{\mathbb{G}_n} \rightarrow g|_{\mathbb{G}_n}$ is an equivalence.

Definition 7.1. A pair (\mathcal{C}, f) satisfying these axioms (C.1–5) will be said to be a *theory of (∞, n) -categories*. We define a subcategory $\text{Thy}_{(\infty, n)}$ of the ∞ -category of ∞ -categories: the objects are ∞ -categories \mathcal{C} that underlie a theory (\mathcal{C}, f) of (∞, n) -categories, and the morphisms are equivalences of these ∞ -categories.

The ∞ -category $\text{Thy}_{(\infty, n)}$ is an ∞ -groupoid and thus a homotopy type; it is the *moduli space of theories of (∞, n) -categories*. Our main theorem is a computation of this homotopy type.

Theorem 7.2 (Unicity). *One has*

$$\text{Thy}_{(\infty, n)} \simeq B(\mathbb{Z}/2)^n.$$

The proof will occupy the next few sections. Our first task is to demonstrate that the moduli space of theories of (∞, n) -categories $\text{Thy}_{(\infty, n)}$ is connected – and in particular, nonempty.

8. A COLOSSAL MODEL OF (∞, n) -CATEGORIES

We will now construct a theory of (∞, n) -categories. We will do this by giving a presentation of an ∞ -category in terms of generators and relations. In fact, the best-known examples of theories of (∞, n) -categories are all given via these presentations, but we will select a colossal set of generators – namely, the objects of Υ_n .

Here is the general set-up for presentations:

Notation 8.1. Let \mathcal{R} be a small category, and let $i: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \text{Gaunt}_n^\omega$ be a functor. Let T be a strongly saturated class of morphisms in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{R})$ of small generation. Denote by $i^*: \mathcal{P}(\text{Gaunt}_n^\omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{R})$ the precomposition with the functor i ; denote by $i_!: \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\text{Gaunt}_n^\omega)$ the left adjoint, given by Kan extension along i ; and denote by $i_*: \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\text{Gaunt}_n^\omega)$ the right adjoint, given by right Kan extension along i .

The triple (\mathcal{R}, i, T) give rise to a presentable ∞ -category $T^{-1}\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{R})$ and a functor $\text{Gaunt}_n^\omega \rightarrow T^{-1}\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{R})$, which is the restriction of i^* . We are interested in those circumstances in which these satisfy our axioms. In particular, it is convenient to have a formulation of axiom (C.3) that can be verified in the presheaf ∞ -category $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{R})$. To this end, we introduce the following.

Definition 8.2. Suppose $Z \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{R})$. For some integer $0 \leq k \leq n$, we say that a morphism $Z \rightarrow C_k$ is *degenerate* if it factors through an inclusion $C_j \hookrightarrow C_k$ for $j < k$; otherwise, we say that it is *nondegenerate*.

Proposition 8.3. For $\mathcal{C} = T^{-1}\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{R})$ as above, Axiom (C.3) is equivalent to the following condition.

(C.3-bis) There is a subset $T_0 \subset T$ that generates T as a strongly saturated class for which the following condition holds. For any object W of \mathcal{R} , any functor $i(W) \rightarrow C_k$ of Υ_n , any morphism $U \rightarrow V$ of T_0 , and any nondegenerate morphism $V \rightarrow C_k$ of $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{R})$, the induced morphism

$$U \times_{C_k} i(W) \rightarrow V \times_{C_k} i(W)$$

lies in T .

Proof. For any T -local object X of $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{R})$, a morphism $Y \rightarrow X$ represents an object of $(T^{-1}\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{R}))_{/X}$ if and only if, for any morphism $U \rightarrow V$ of T_0 , the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Map}(V, Y) & \longrightarrow & \text{Map}(U, Y) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Map}(V, X) & \longrightarrow & \text{Map}(U, X). \end{array}$$

is homotopy cartesian, since the horizontal map at the bottom is an equivalence. For this, it suffices to show that the induced map on homotopy fibers over any vertex of $\text{Map}(V, X)$ is an equivalence. Unpacking this, we obtain the condition that for any morphism $V \rightarrow X$, the map

$$\text{Map}_{/X}(V, Y) \rightarrow \text{Map}_{/X}(U, Y)$$

is a weak equivalence. We therefore deduce that $(T^{-1}\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{R}))_{/X}$ may be exhibited as a localization $T_X^{-1}(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{R}))_{/X}$, where T_X is the strongly saturated class generated by the set of diagrams of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U & \xrightarrow{\phi} & V \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & X & \end{array}$$

in which $\phi \in T_0$.

Now suppose $\eta: Z \rightarrow C_k$ a morphism of $T^{-1}\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{R})$. Since colimits are universal in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{R})$ [26, § 6.1.1], the functor

$$\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{R})_{/C_k} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{R})_{/Z}$$

given by pullback along η preserves all colimits, and the universal property of localizations guarantees that the composite

$$\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{R})_{/C_k} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{R})_{/Z} \rightarrow T_Z^{-1}(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{R})_{/Z}) \simeq (T^{-1}\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{R}))_{/Z}$$

descends to a colimit-preserving functor

$$(T^{-1}\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{R}))_{/C_k} \simeq T_{C_k}^{-1}(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{R})_{/C_k}) \rightarrow T_Z^{-1}(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{R})_{/Z}) \simeq (T^{-1}\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{R}))_{/Z}$$

(which then must also be given by the pullback along η) if and only if, for any diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U & \xrightarrow{\phi} & V \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & C_k & \end{array}$$

in which $0 \leq k \leq n$ and $\phi \in T_0$, the induced morphism $U \times_{C_k} Z \rightarrow V \times_{C_k} Z$ lies in T .

It is clear that it suffices to check this only for nondegenerate morphisms $V \rightarrow C_k$. It now remains only to show that it suffices to check this for objects Z among the essential image of \mathcal{R} . This follows from the fact that the class T is strongly saturated and the fact that \mathcal{R} generates $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{R})$ under colimits. \square

We are now prepared to describe our presentation of (∞, n) -categories.

Notation 8.4. We consider the ∞ -category $\mathcal{P}(\Upsilon_n)$ of presheaves on the category Υ_n of Definition 6.2 and the Yoneda embedding

$$f: \Upsilon_n \hookrightarrow \tau_{\leq 0} \mathcal{P}(\Upsilon_n) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}(\Upsilon_n).$$

Let S_{00} denote the image of the finite set of morphisms of the same name as defined in Notation 6.5, which also represent the morphisms that appeared in (C.3). Let S_0 be the smallest class of morphisms of $\mathcal{P}(\Upsilon_n)$ that is stable under equivalence, contains S_{00} , and is stable under the operation $X \times_{C_i} (-)$ for $X \in \Upsilon_n$. One may check that S_0 has countably many isomorphism classes of maps and agrees with the essential image of the class S_0 introduced in Notation 6.5. Let S be the strongly saturated class of morphisms of $\mathcal{P}(\Upsilon_n)$ generated by the class S_0 .

We write

$$\text{Cat}_{(\infty, n)} := S^{-1} \mathcal{P}(\Upsilon_n).$$

Lemma 8.5. *The Yoneda embedding factors through a fully-faithful inclusion*

$$\Upsilon_n \hookrightarrow \tau_{\leq 0} \text{Cat}_{(\infty, n)}.$$

This induces a fully-faithful nerve functor

$$\nu: \text{Gaunt}_n \hookrightarrow \tau_{\leq 0} \text{Cat}_{(\infty, n)}.$$

Proof. The 0-truncated objects of $\mathcal{P}(\Upsilon_n)$ are precisely those presheaves of spaces taking values in the 0-truncated spaces, i.e. functors $\Upsilon_n^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Set}$. The 0-truncated objects of $\text{Cat}_{(\infty, n)} = S^{-1} \mathcal{P}(\Upsilon_n)$ consist of precisely those 0-truncated objects of $\mathcal{P}(\Upsilon_n)$ which are S -local. By Lemma 6.6, the nerve of every gaunt n -category is S -local, and so the result follows. \square

We have explained how we will verify (C.3), but we also have some work to do to verify (C.2). For this reason, we filter Υ_n in the following manner.

Notation 8.6. Let $\Upsilon_n^{(0)} = \mathbb{G}_n$ be the globular category of cells and for any $k \geq 1$, define $\Upsilon_n^{(k)}$ to be the full subcategory of Υ_n spanned by the set

$$\left\{ X \in \Upsilon_n \left| \begin{array}{l} \text{there exists a colimit diagram } f: K^{\triangleright} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_{(\infty, n)} \\ \text{such that } f(+\infty) \simeq X \text{ and } f(K) \subset \Upsilon_n^{(k-1)} \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

That is, $\Upsilon_n^{(k)} \subset \Upsilon_n$ consists of colimits, formed in $\text{Cat}_{(\infty, n)}$, of diagrams of objects of $\Upsilon_n^{(k-1)}$.

Proposition 8.7. *The collection $\{\Upsilon_n^{(k)}\}$ forms an exhaustive filtration of Υ_n .*

Proof. First we observe that for the strongly saturated class S contains the map

$$\sigma(i(o_1)) \cup^{C_0} \sigma(i(o_2)) \cup^{C_0} \dots \cup^{C_0} \sigma(i(o_m)) \rightarrow i([m]; o_1, \dots, o_m)$$

and thus, by induction, the union of the $\Upsilon_n^{(k)}$ contains all of Θ_n .

It now suffices to show that this union is closed under fiber products over cells. Since colimits commute with fiber products over cells (both in Gaunt_n and $\text{Cat}_{(\infty, n)}$) it is sufficient to show that $C_j \times_{C_i} C_k$ is contained in the union for all $i, j, k \leq n$. The fiber products of cells were analyzed in detail in Remark 6.3 and the proof of Lemma 6.7, where it was shown that they can all be obtained from the cells by a finite number of the colimits provided by S_{00} . These are colimits in $\text{Cat}_{(\infty, n)}$, whence the result follows. \square

Corollary 8.8. *The ∞ -category $\text{Cat}_{(\infty, n)}$ is generated under colimits by the cells $C_k \in \Upsilon_n$; that is, the smallest subcategory of $\text{Cat}_{(\infty, n)}$ containing the cells and closed under colimits is $\text{Cat}_{(\infty, n)}$ itself.*

Proof. As $\text{Cat}_{(\infty, n)}$ is strongly generated by Υ_n , it suffices to show that the representables are generated by the cells *under colimits in $\text{Cat}_{(\infty, n)}$* . This follows from the exhaustiveness of the filtration of Proposition 8.7. \square

Corollary 8.9. *The cells detect equivalences in $\text{Cat}_{(\infty, n)}$, that is $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is an equivalence in $\text{Cat}_{(\infty, n)}$ if and only if it induces equivalences $\text{Map}(C_k, X) \rightarrow \text{Map}(C_k, Y)$ for all $0 \leq k \leq n$.*

Proof. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of $\text{Cat}_{(\infty, n)}$, and consider the full subcategory of those $H \in \Upsilon_n$ such that the map

$$\text{Map}(H, X) \rightarrow \text{Map}(H, Y)$$

is an equivalence. This subcategory is stable under colimits computed in $\text{Cat}_{(\infty, n)}$, and it contains \mathbb{G}_n . Hence it is all of Υ_n by Corollary 8.8. \square

Theorem 8.10. *The ∞ -category $\text{Cat}_{(\infty, n)}$ satisfies axioms (C.1-5).*

Proof. The ∞ -category $\text{Cat}_{(\infty, n)}$ is by construction strongly generated by Υ_n and thus *a fortiori* by Gaunt_n^ω (C.1). For (C.2) we observe that by construction $\text{Cat}_{(\infty, n)}$ is strongly generated by Υ_n , thus it is sufficient to prove that every object of Υ_n is weakly generated in $\text{Cat}_{(\infty, n)}$ by cells. However this follows immediately from Prop. 8.7.

Internal Homs for correspondences exist (C.3) by virtue of Proposition 8.3, and the fundamental pushouts are equivalences (C.4) by construction.

It remains to prove the versality (C.5). Let (\mathcal{D}, g) be a pair satisfying axioms (C.1–4). We aim to show that there is a left adjoint $\text{Cat}_{(\infty, n)} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$. We consider the ∞ -category $\mathcal{P}(\text{Gaunt}_n^\omega)$ along with the Yoneda embedding $\text{Gaunt}_n^\omega \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}(\text{Gaunt}_n^\omega)$, and we let T_0 be the smallest set of morphisms of $\mathcal{P}(\text{Gaunt}_n^\omega)$ that contains the image of the morphisms of Notation 6.5 and is stable under equivalence and the operation $H \times_{C_i} (-)$ for $H \in \text{Gaunt}_n^\omega$. We let T be the saturated class of morphisms of $\mathcal{P}(\text{Gaunt}_n^\omega)$ generated by T_0 . By (C.1), (C.3-bis), and (C.4), the functor g induces a fully faithful functor $j: \mathcal{D} \hookrightarrow L_T \mathcal{P}(\text{Gaunt}_n^\omega)$ that admits a left adjoint L .

Restriction along $i: \Upsilon_n \hookrightarrow \text{Gaunt}_n^\omega$ is a functor $i^*: \mathcal{P}(\text{Gaunt}_n^\omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\Upsilon_n)$, which admits a left adjoint $i_!$. Since $i_!$ carries representables to representables, it follows that $i_!(S_0) \subseteq T$, and since $i_!$ preserves colimits, it follows that $i_!(S) \subseteq T$. Consequently, i^* restricts to a functor $L_T \mathcal{P}(\text{Gaunt}_n^\omega) \rightarrow \text{Cat}_{(\infty, n)}$ that admits a left adjoint $L_T i_!$. Composing this with L provides the desired left adjoint $\ell: \text{Cat}_{(\infty, n)} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$.

If $y: \text{Gaunt}_n^\omega \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\text{Gaunt}_n^\omega)$ denotes the Yoneda functor, then $g = L \circ L_T \circ y$, while $\nu: \text{Gaunt}_n^\omega \rightarrow \text{Cat}_{(\infty, n)}$ is given by $L_S \circ i^* \circ y$. The counit of the adjunction $(i_!, i^*)$ induces the desired natural transformation:

$$\ell \circ f = (LL_T i_!) \circ (L_S \circ i^* \circ y) \stackrel{(\dagger)}{\cong} LL_T i_! i^* y \rightarrow LL_T y \cong g.$$

Where the isomorphism labeled (\dagger) exists because the Υ_n -nerve of a gaunt n -category is already S -local. Note that by construction this natural transformation restricts to the identity on Υ_n (which includes \mathbb{G}_n). \square

9. THE CONNECTEDNESS OF THE SPACE OF THEORIES

In this section, we will prove:

Theorem 9.1 (Versal is Universal). *The moduli space of theories of (∞, n) -categories $\text{Thy}_{(\infty, n)}$ is connected.*

First we introduce a lemma.

Lemma 9.2. *Suppose \mathcal{D} a small quasicategory, and suppose \mathcal{C} a locally small quasicategory that admits small colimits. Suppose $g: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ a functor that strongly generates \mathcal{C} . For any quasicategory \mathcal{E} admitting all small colimits, and let $\text{Fun}^L(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E}) \subset \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})$ denote the full sub-quasicategory consisting of those functors that preserve small colimits. Then the functor $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E})$ induced by g restricts to a fully faithful functor*

$$\text{Fun}^L(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E}).$$

Proof. The ∞ -category \mathcal{C} is a localization of $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D})$, whence we obtain a fully faithful embedding $\text{Fun}^L(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}^L(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}), \mathcal{E})$. Now by [26, 5.1.5.6], left Kan extension induces an equivalence $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E}) \simeq \text{Fun}^L(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}), \mathcal{E})$. See [26, 5.5.4.20]. \square

Proof of Theorem 9.1. Suppose that (\mathcal{C}, f) and (\mathcal{D}, g) are each theories of (∞, n) -categories; that is, they each satisfy axioms C.1-5. By the versality axiom C.5 we have left adjoints

$$L_1: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \quad \text{and} \quad L_2: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

and natural transformations $\eta_1: L_1 \circ f \rightarrow g$ and $\eta_2: L_2 \circ g \rightarrow f$ such that $\eta_i|_{\mathbb{G}_n}$ is an equivalence. Then the theorem follows provided that we demonstrate that both $L_1 \circ L_2$ and $L_2 \circ L_1$ are autoequivalences. We will show this for $L_2 \circ L_1$. The argument for $L_1 \circ L_2$ is identical.

Thus $E := L_2 \circ L_1: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is a colimit preserving endofunctor along with a natural transformation $\eta_2 \circ L_2(\eta_1): E \circ f \rightarrow f$. Since f strongly generates \mathcal{C} , Lemma 9.2 ensures that there is a natural transformation $\eta: E \rightarrow \text{id}$ whose composition with f is $\eta_2 \circ L_2(\eta_1)$. To see that η is an equivalence, let $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ be the full subcategory spanned by those X such that η_X is an equivalence. Since E preserves colimits, \mathcal{E} is stable under colimits, and since $\eta_2 \circ L_2(\eta_1)|_{\mathbb{G}_n}$ is an equivalence, it follows from (C.2) that $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{C}$. \square

10. THE LOOPSPACE OF THE SPACE OF THEORIES

To complete the proof of Theorem 7.2, we now compute the loopspace of $\text{Thy}_{(\infty, n)}$ – i.e., the space of autoequivalences of $\text{Cat}_{(\infty, n)}$. In this section we will prove:

Theorem 10.1. *The full subcategory $\text{Aut}(\text{Cat}_{(\infty, n)}) \subset \text{Fun}(\text{Cat}_{(\infty, n)}, \text{Cat}_{(\infty, n)})$ spanned by the autoequivalences is the discrete set $(\mathbb{Z}/2)^n$.*

We begin by analysing the subcategory $\tau_{\leq 0} \text{Cat}_{(\infty, n)}$ of 0-truncated objects of $\text{Cat}_{(\infty, n)}$. We have already seen (Lemma 8.5) that Gaunt_n embeds as a full subcategory of $\tau_{\leq 0} \text{Cat}_{(\infty, n)}$. We now show that this embedding is an equivalence.

Lemma 10.2. *There is an identification $\tau_{\leq 0} \text{Cat}_{(\infty, n)} \simeq \text{Gaunt}_n$. In particular a presheaf of sets on Υ_n is isomorphic to the nerve of a gaunt n -category if and only if it is S -local.*

Proof. The nerve of a gaunt n -category is S -local (cf. Lemma 6.6). Conversely, for any $X \in \tau_{\leq 0} \text{Cat}_{(\infty, n)} \subseteq \text{Fun}(\Upsilon_n^{\text{op}}, \text{Set})$, we may restrict to \mathbb{G}_n to obtain a globular set H_X . For $0 \leq j < i \leq n$, apply X to the unique nondegenerate i -cell $\mu: C_i \rightarrow C_i \cup^{C_j} C_i$ connecting the initial and terminal vertices; this gives rise to the various compositions

$$X(C_i) \times_{X(C_j)} X(C_i) \cong X(C_i \cup^{C_j} C_i) \rightarrow X(C_i).$$

By examining the maps

$$X(C_i) \times_{X(C_j)} X(C_i) \times_{X(C_j)} X(C_i) \cong X(C_i \cup^{C_j} C_i \cup^{C_j} C_i) \rightarrow X(C_i)$$

corresponding to the unique nondegenerate i -cell

$$C_i \rightarrow C_i \cup^{C_j} C_i \cup^{C_j} C_i$$

connecting the initial and terminal vertices, we find that these compositions are associative, and by examining the maps $X(C_j) \rightarrow X(C_i)$ induced by the nondegenerate cell $C_i \rightarrow C_j$, we find that these compositions are unital. From this we deduce that H_X forms a strict n -category. Finally, since X is local with respect to $K_k \rightarrow C_k$, it follows that H_X is gaunt. Now map $A \rightarrow X$, with $A \in \Upsilon_n$ induces a map $A \rightarrow \nu H_X$, and hence we have a map $X \rightarrow \nu H_X$ in $\tau_{\leq 0} \text{Cat}_{(\infty, n)}$. By construction this is a cellular equivalence, whence $X \simeq \nu H_X$. \square

Proposition 10.3. *Let \mathcal{C} be a presentable ∞ -category for which there exists an equivalence $\tau_{\leq 0}\mathcal{C} \simeq \text{Gaunt}_n$. Assume that $(\tau_{\leq 0}\mathcal{C})^\omega$ strongly generates \mathcal{C} . Then the ∞ -category $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ is equivalent to the (discrete) group $(\mathbb{Z}/2)^n$.*

Proof. We observe that the existence of an equivalence $\tau_{\leq 0}\mathcal{C} \simeq \text{Gaunt}_n$, Lemma 4.5, Lemma 4.10, and Corollary 4.14 guarantee that $\text{Aut}((\tau_{\leq 0}\mathcal{C})^\omega)$ in $\text{Fun}((\tau_{\leq 0}\mathcal{C})^\omega, (\tau_{\leq 0}\mathcal{C})^\omega)$ is equivalent to the discrete group $(\mathbb{Z}/2)^{\times n}$. It therefore suffices to exhibit an equivalence of ∞ -categories $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \text{Aut}((\tau_{\leq 0}\mathcal{C})^\omega)$.

Clearly $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ is contained in the full subcategory $\text{Fun}^L(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}) \subset \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})$ spanned by those functors that preserve small colimits. Since $(\tau_{\leq 0}\mathcal{C})^\omega$ strongly generates \mathcal{C} , it follows from Lemma 9.2 that the inclusion $(\tau_{\leq 0}\mathcal{C})^\omega \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ induces a fully faithful functor

$$\text{Fun}^L(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}) \hookrightarrow \text{Fun}((\tau_{\leq 0}\mathcal{C})^\omega, \mathcal{C}).$$

Moreover, any autoequivalence of \mathcal{C} restricts to an autoequivalence of $\tau_{\leq 0}\mathcal{C}$ and hence an autoequivalence of $(\tau_{\leq 0}\mathcal{C})^\omega$. Thus restriction furnishes us with a fully faithful functor from $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ to $\text{Aut}((\tau_{\leq 0}\mathcal{C})^\omega) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2)^n$.

It remains to show that the restriction functor is essentially surjective. For this, suppose $(\tau_{\leq 0}\mathcal{C})^\omega \rightarrow (\tau_{\leq 0}\mathcal{C})^\omega$ an autoequivalence. One may form the left Kan extension $\Phi: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ of the composite

$$\phi: (\tau_{\leq 0}\mathcal{C})^\omega \rightarrow (\tau_{\leq 0}\mathcal{C})^\omega \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

along the inclusion $(\tau_{\leq 0}\mathcal{C})^\omega \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}$. One sees immediately that Φ is an equivalence, and moreover its restriction to $(\tau_{\leq 0}\mathcal{C})^\omega$ coincides with ϕ . \square

Corollary 10.2 provides an identification $\tau_{\leq 0}\text{Cat}_{(\infty, n)} \simeq \text{Gaunt}_n$, and so Theorem 10.1 now follows from Proposition 10.3.

Part 3. A recognition principle for categorical presentations

11. PRESENTATIONS OF (∞, n) -CATEGORIES

The best-known examples of theories of (∞, n) -categories are given by presentations in terms of generators and relations. In order to show that these examples also satisfy our axioms, we can compare them directly to our colossal model $\text{Cat}_{(\infty, n)}$ of (∞, n) -categories. The main point is that Υ_n is so large that any ‘reasonable’ set of generators can be compared to it.

Notation 11.1. Suppose \mathcal{R} an ordinary category, and suppose $i: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \Upsilon_n$ a functor. Suppose T_0 a set of morphisms of $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{R})$, and write T for the strongly saturated class of morphisms of $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{R})$ it generates.

Theorem 11.2. *Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied.*

- (R.1) $i^*(S_0) \subseteq T$.
- (R.2) $i_!(T_0) \subseteq S$.
- (R.3) Any counit $R \rightarrow i^*i_!(R) = i^*(i(R))$ is in T for any $R \in \mathcal{R}$.
- (R.4) For each $0 \leq k \leq n$, there exists an object $R_k \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $i(R_k) \cong C_k \in \Upsilon_n$.

Then $i^*: \text{Cat}_{(\infty, n)} \rightarrow T^{-1}\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{R})$ is an equivalence, and $T^{-1}\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{R})$ is a theory of (∞, n) -categories.

Proof. Condition (R.1) implies both that i_* carries T -local objects to S -local objects and that we obtain an adjunction:

$$L^T \circ i^*: S^{-1} \mathcal{P}(\Upsilon_n) \rightleftarrows T^{-1} \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{R}): i_*.$$

Similarly, condition (R.2) implies that i^* carries S -local objects to T -local objects and that we obtain a second adjunction:

$$L^S \circ i_!: T^{-1} \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{R}) \rightleftarrows S^{-1} \mathcal{P}(\Upsilon_n): i^*.$$

Since i^* sends S -local objects to T -local objects, $i^* \simeq L^T \circ i^*$ when restricted to the S -local objects of $\mathcal{P}(\Upsilon_n)$. Thus $i^*: \mathcal{P}(\Upsilon_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{R})$ restricts to a functor

$$i^*: \text{Cat}_{(\infty, n)} = S^{-1} \mathcal{P}(\Upsilon_n) \rightarrow T^{-1} \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{R}),$$

that admits a left adjoint $L^S \circ i_!$ and a right adjoint i_* .

Notice that $i_!(R) \cong i(R)$ in $\mathcal{P}(\Upsilon_n)$, where we have identified \mathcal{R} and Υ_n with their images under the Yoneda embedding in, respectively, $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{R})$ and $\mathcal{P}(\Upsilon_n)$. Thus by (R.3) the counit map applied to $r \in \mathcal{R}$,

$$R \rightarrow i^* \circ L^S \circ i_!(R) \cong i^* \circ L^S i(R) \cong i^* i(R)$$

becomes an equivalence in $T^{-1} \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{R})$ (the last equality follows from Lemma 8.5, as the image of i consists of S -local objects). The endofunctor $i^* \circ L^S \circ i_!: T^{-1} \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{R}) \rightarrow T^{-1} \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{R})$ is a composite of left adjoints, hence commutes with colimits. Therefore, as $T^{-1} \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{R})$ is strongly generated by \mathcal{R} , the functor $i^* \circ L^S \circ i_!$ is determined by its restriction to \mathcal{R} . It is equivalent the left Kan extension of its restriction to \mathcal{R} . Consequently $i^* \circ L^S \circ i_!$ is equivalent to the identity functor.

On the other hand, for each $X \in \text{Cat}_{(\infty, n)}$, consider the other counit map $X \rightarrow i_* i^* X$. For each k , we have natural equivalences,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Map}(C_k, i_* i^* X) &\simeq \text{Map}(i(R_k), i_* i^* X) \\ &\simeq \text{Map}(i^* i(R_k), i^* X) \\ &\simeq \text{Map}(R_k, i^* X) \\ &\simeq \text{Map}(i(R_k), X) \simeq \text{Map}(C_k, X), \end{aligned}$$

which follow from (R.3), (R.4), the identity $i_*(R_k) \cong i(R_k)$, and the fact that $i^* X$ is T -local. By Corollary 8.9 this implies that the counit $X \rightarrow i_* i^* X$ is an equivalence. Thus i^* is a functor with both a left and right inverse, hence is itself an equivalence of ∞ -categories. \square

Remark 11.3. Note that if the functor $i: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \Upsilon_n$ is fully-faithful, then condition (R.3) is automatic. Note also that (R.3) and (R.4) together imply that the presheaves $i^*(C_k)$ on \mathcal{R} are each T -equivalent to representables R_k .

Condition (R.1) appears to be the most difficult to verify in practice. Heuristically, it states that T contains *enough morphisms*. To verify it, it will be convenient to subdivide it into two conditions.

Lemma 11.4. *Condition (R.1) is implied by the conjunction of the following.*

(R.1-bis(a)) $i^*(S_{00}) \subset T$.

(R.1-bis(b)) For any morphism $U' \rightarrow V'$ of T_0 , and for any morphisms $V' \rightarrow i^*(C_i)$ and $H \rightarrow C_i$ with $H \in \Upsilon_n$, the pullback

$$U' \times_{i^*(C_i)} i^* H \rightarrow V' \times_{i^*(C_i)} i^* H$$

lies in T .

Proof. First, consider the subclass $T' \subset T$ containing those morphisms $U' \rightarrow V'$ of T such that for any nondegenerate morphisms $V' \rightarrow C_k$ and $H \rightarrow C_k$, the pullback

$$U' \times_{C_k} H \rightarrow V' \times_{C_k} H$$

lies in T . Since colimits in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{R})$ are universal, one deduces immediately that the class T' is strongly saturated. Hence (R.1-bis(b)) implies that $T' = T$. Thus T is closed under pullbacks along morphisms $H \rightarrow C_k$ and contains i^*S_{00} (by (R.1-bis(a))), hence contains all of i^*S_0 . \square

There are two main examples to which we shall apply Th. 11.2: Rezk's model of complete Segal Θ_n -spaces [§ 13] and the model of n -fold complete Segal spaces [§ 14].

12. STRICT n -CATEGORIES AS PRESHEAVES OF SETS

A category internal to an ordinary category \mathcal{D} may be described as a simplicial object in \mathcal{D} , that is a \mathcal{D} -valued presheaf $C: \Delta^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$, which satisfies the following *strong Segal conditions*. For any nonnegative integer m and any integer $1 \leq k \leq m-1$, the following square is a pullback square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C([m]) & \longrightarrow & C(\{k, k+1, \dots, m\}) \\ \downarrow \lrcorner & & \downarrow \\ C(\{0, 1, \dots, k\}) & \longrightarrow & C(\{k\}). \end{array}$$

Thus a strict n -category consists of a presheaf of sets on the category $\Delta^{\times n}$ which satisfies the Segal condition in each factor and further satisfies a globularity condition. Equivalently a strict n -category is a presheaf of sets on $\Delta^{\times n}$ which is local with respect to the classes of maps $\text{Segal}_{\Delta^{\times n}}$ and $\text{Glob}_{\Delta^{\times n}}$ defined below.

Notation 12.1. Objects of $\Delta^{\times n}$ will be denoted $\mathbf{m} = ([m_k])_{k=1, \dots, n}$. Let

$$j: \Delta^{\times n} \rightarrow \text{Fun}((\Delta^{\times n})^{\text{op}}, \text{Set})$$

denote the Yoneda embedding. Let

$$\boxtimes: \text{Fun}(\Delta^{\text{op}}, \text{Set}) \times \text{Fun}((\Delta^{\times n-1})^{\text{op}}, \text{Set}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}((\Delta^{\times n})^{\text{op}}, \text{Set})$$

be the essentially unique functor that preserves colimits separately in each variable and sends $(j[k], j(\mathbf{m}))$ to $j([k], \mathbf{m})$. Let Segal_{Δ} denote the collection of maps that corepresent the Segal squares:

$$\text{Segal}_{\Delta} = \{j\{0, 1, \dots, k\} \cup^{j\{k\}} j\{k, k+1, \dots, m\} \rightarrow j[m] \mid 1 \leq k \leq m-1\}$$

and inductively define

$$\text{Segal}_{\Delta^{\times n}} = \{\text{Segal}_{\Delta} \boxtimes j(\mathbf{m}) \mid \mathbf{m} \in \Delta^{\times n-1}\} \cup \{j[k] \boxtimes \text{Segal}_{\Delta^{\times n-1}} \mid [k] \in \Delta\}.$$

Moreover for each $\mathbf{m} \in \Delta^{\times n}$, let $\widehat{\mathbf{m}} = ([\widehat{m}_j])_{1 \leq j \leq n}$ be defined by the formula

$$[\widehat{m}_j] = \begin{cases} [0] & \text{if there exists } i \leq j \text{ with } [m_i] = [0], \text{ and} \\ [m_j] & \text{else} \end{cases},$$

and let

$$\text{Glob}_{\Delta^{\times n}} = \{j(\mathbf{m}) \rightarrow j(\widehat{\mathbf{m}}) \mid \mathbf{m} \in \Delta^{\times n}\}.$$

The presheaf underlying a strict n -category C will be called its *nerve* νC .

Strict n -categories may also be described as certain presheaves on the category Θ_n , the opposite of Joyal's category of n -disks (Definition 6.1).

As $i: \Theta_n \rightarrow \text{Cat}_n$ is a dense functor, the corresponding nerve functor $\nu: \text{Cat}_n \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\Theta_n^{\text{op}}, \text{Set})$ is fully-faithful. The essential image consists of precisely those presheaves which are local with respect to the class of maps Segal_{Θ_n} defined inductively to be the union of $\sigma \text{Segal}_{\Theta_{n-1}}$ and the following:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} j(\{0, \dots, k\}; o_1, \dots, o_k) \cup^{j(\{k\})} j(\{k, \dots, m\}; o_{k+1}, \dots, o_m) \rightarrow j(\{m\}; o_1, \dots, o_m) \\ 0 \leq k \leq m, \quad o_i \in \Theta_{n-1} \end{array} \right\}.$$

We will call this latter class Se_{Θ_n} for later reference.

Notation 12.2. Let K denote the simplicial set

$$\Delta^3 \sqcup (\Delta^{\{0,2\}} \sqcup \Delta^{\{1,3\}}) (\Delta^0 \sqcup \Delta^0)$$

obtained by contracting two edges in the three simplex.

Rezk observed [32, § 10] that K detects equivalences in nerves of categories, and consequently it may be used to formulate his completeness criterion. We shall use it to identify the gaunt n -categories. To this end set

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Comp}_{\Delta} &= \{K \rightarrow j[0]\} \\ \text{Comp}_{\Delta^{\times n}} &= \{\text{Comp}_{\Delta} \boxtimes j(\mathbf{0})\} \cup \{j[k] \boxtimes \text{Comp}_{\Delta^{\times n-1}}\} \\ \text{Comp}_{\Theta_n} &= \iota_! \text{Comp}_{\Delta} \cup \sigma_! \text{Comp}_{\Theta_{n-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\iota_!: \text{Fun}(\Delta^{\text{op}}, \text{Set}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\Theta_n^{\text{op}}, \text{Set}) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_!: \text{Fun}(\Theta_{n-1}^{\text{op}}, \text{Set}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\Theta_n^{\text{op}}, \text{Set})$$

are given by left Kan extension along ι and σ , respectively.

Corollary 12.3. *A presheaf of sets on $\Delta^{\times n}$ is isomorphic to the nerve of a gaunt n -category if and only if it is local with respect to the classes $\text{Segal}_{\Delta^{\times n}}$, $\text{Glob}_{\Delta^{\times n}}$, and $\text{Comp}_{\Delta^{\times n}}$. A presheaf of sets on Θ_n is isomorphic to the nerve of a gaunt n -category if and only if it is local with respect to the classes Segal_{Θ_n} and Comp_{Θ_n} .*

Proof. Being local with respect $\text{Segal}_{\Delta^{\times n}}$ and $\text{Glob}_{\Delta^{\times n}}$ (or to Segal_{Θ_n} for Θ_n -presheaves) implies that the presheaf is the nerve of a strict n -category. Such an n -category is gaunt if and only if it is local with respect to the morphisms $\sigma^k(E) \rightarrow \sigma^k(C_0)$. This last follows from locality with respect to $\text{Comp}_{\Delta^{\times n}}$ (or, respectively, with respect to Comp_{Θ_n}) because the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [1] \sqcup [1] & \xleftarrow{i_{0,2} \sqcup i_{1,3}} & [3] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ [0] \sqcup [0] & \xrightarrow{\quad \quad \quad} & \lrcorner E \end{array}$$

is a pushout square of strict n -categories. \square

The description of Θ_n as an iterated wreath product gives rise to a canonical functor $\delta_n: \Delta^{\times n} \rightarrow \Theta_n$, described in [8, Definition 3.8], which sends $[k_1] \times [k_2] \times$

$\cdots \times [k_n]$ to the object

$$([k_n]; \underbrace{i_{\Delta \times (n-1)}([k_1] \times \cdots \times [k_{n-1}]), \dots, i_{\Delta \times (n-1)}([k_1] \times \cdots \times [k_{n-1}])}_{k_n \text{ times}}).$$

This object may be thought of as generated by a $k_1 \times k_2 \times \cdots \times k_n$ grid of cells. If X is a strict n -category then its nerve $\nu_{\Delta \times n} X$ in $\text{Fun}((\Delta^{\times n})^{\text{op}}, \text{Set})$ is obtained by the formula $\delta_n^* \nu_{\Theta_n} X$, where ν_{Θ_n} is the nerve induced from the inclusion $\Theta_n \rightarrow \text{Cat}_n$ [8, Proposition 3.9, Rk. 3.12].

Proposition 12.4. *Joyal's category Θ_n is the smallest full subcategory of Gaunt_n containing the grids (the full subcategory of Θ_n spanned by the image of δ_n) and closed under retracts. Furthermore, the morphisms in the set Segal_{Θ_n} may be obtained as retracts of the set $(\delta_n)_!(\text{Segal}_{\Delta \times n})$.*

Proof. Both statements follow by induction. First note that Θ_n itself is closed under retracts [8, Proposition 3.14]. In the base case, the category $\Theta_1 = \Delta$ consists of precisely the grids, and the sets of morphisms agree $\text{Segal}_{\Theta_1} = \text{Segal}_{\Delta}$. Now assume, by induction, that every object of $o \in \Theta_{n-1}$ is the retract of a grid $\delta_n(\mathbf{m}^o)$, for some object $\mathbf{m}^o = [m_1^o] \times \cdots \times [m_{n-1}^o] \in \Delta^{\times n}$. In fact, given any finite collection of objects $\{o_i \in \Theta_{n-1}\}$ they may be obtained as the retract of a single grid. This grid may be obtained as the image of $\mathbf{k} = [k_1] \times \cdots \times [k_{n-1}]$, where k_j is the maximum of the collection $\{m_j^{o_i}\}$. It now follows easily that the object $([n]; o_1, \dots, o_i) \in \Theta_n$ is a retract of the grid coming from the object $[n] \times \mathbf{k}$.

To prove the second statement we note that there are two types of maps in Segal_{Θ_n} , those in $\sigma_!(\text{Segal}_{\Theta_{n-1}})$ and the maps

$$j(\{0, \dots, k\}; o_1, \dots, o_k) \cup^{j(\{k\})} j(\{k, \dots, m\}; o_{k+1}, \dots, o_m) \rightarrow j([m]; o_1, \dots, o_m)$$

for $0 \leq k \leq m$ and $o_i \in \Theta_{n-1}$. This later map is a retract of the image under $(\delta_n)_!$ of the map

$$(j\{0, 1, \dots, k\} \cup^{j\{k\}} j\{k, \dots, m\} \rightarrow j[m]) \boxtimes j(\mathbf{m})$$

which is a map in $\text{Segal}_{\Delta \times n}$. Here \mathbf{m} is such that $(\{0, \dots, k\}; o_1, \dots, o_k)$ is the retract of the grid corresponding to $[k] \times \mathbf{m}$.

The former class of morphisms in Segal_{Θ_n} , those in $\sigma_!(\text{Segal}_{\Theta_{n-1}})$, are also retracts on elements in $\text{Segal}_{\Delta \times n}$. Specifically, if $\sigma_!(f) \in \sigma_!(\text{Segal}_{\Theta_{n-1}})$, then by induction f is the retract of $(\delta_{n-1})_!(g)$ for some $g \in \text{Segal}_{\Delta \times (n-1)}$. One may then readily check that $\sigma(f)$ is the retract of $j[1] \boxtimes g \in \text{Segal}_{\Delta \times n}$. \square

13. REZK'S COMPLETE SEGAL Θ_n -SPACES FORM A THEORY OF (∞, n) -CATEGORIES

Here we consider Joyal's full subcategory Θ_n of Cat_n [22, 7, 8]; write $i: \Theta_n \rightarrow \Upsilon_n$ for the inclusion functor. Rezk [32, 11.4] identifies the set of morphisms $\mathcal{T}_{n, \infty}$ of $\mathcal{P}(\Theta_n)$ consisting of the union of Segal_{Θ_n} and Comp_{Θ_n} ². Let us write T_{Θ_n} for the saturated class generated by $\mathcal{T}_{n, \infty}$, and let us write $\text{CSS}(\Theta_n)$ for the localization $T_{\Theta_n}^{-1} \mathcal{P}(\Theta_n)$. We now show that $\text{CSS}(\Theta_n)$ is a theory of (∞, n) -categories.

²Rezk use a slightly different generating set based on the full decomposition of $[n]$ as the union

$$[1] \cup^{[0]} [1] \cup^{[0]} \dots \cup^{[0]} [1].$$

Both Rezk's set of generators and the union $\text{Segal}_{\Theta_n} \cup \text{Comp}_{\Theta_n}$ are readily seen to produce the same saturated class T_{Θ_n} . We find it slightly more convenient to use the later class of generators.

Remark 13.1. It follows from [26, A.3.7.3] that $\text{CSS}(\Theta_n)$ is canonically equivalent to the simplicial nerve of the cofibrant-fibrant objects in the simplicial model category $\Theta_n \text{Sp}_\infty$ considered by Rezk — i.e., the left Bousfield localization of the injective model category of simplicial presheaves on Θ_n with respect to the set $\mathcal{J}_{n,\infty}$.

Lemma 13.2. *The saturated class T_{Θ_n} contains the set $i^*(S_{00})$.*

Proof. The set S_{00} consists of the union of four subsets of maps, corresponding to the four families of fundamental pushouts of types (a), (b), (c), and (d) in Axiom (C.3). The second and last subsets corresponding to the (b) and (d) families pullback to morphisms which are contained in the generating set of T_{Θ_n} . Thus it remains to prove that the same holds for the remaining families (a) and (c). In particular, we wish to show that for each $0 \leq i \leq n$, each $0 \leq j, k \leq n-i$, and every nondegenerate morphism $C_{i+j} \rightarrow C_i$ and $C_{i+k} \rightarrow C_i$, the natural morphism

$$(13.2.1) \quad f(C_{i+j} \cup^{C_i} C_{i+k}) \cup^{f(\sigma^{i+1}(C_{j-1} \times C_{k-1}))} (f(C_{i+k} \cup^{C_i} C_{i+j}) \rightarrow f(C_{i+j} \times_{C_i} C_{i+k}),$$

is contained in T_{Θ_n} where the pushout is formed as in Notation 6.5.

In fact a stronger statement holds (cf. [32, Proposition 4.9]). For each object $o \in \Theta_n$ we have a natural bijection of sets

$$\text{hom}(o, C_{i+j} \times_{C_i} C_{i+k}) \cong \text{hom}(o, C_{i+j} \cup^{C_i} C_{i+k}) \cup^{\text{hom}(o, C_{i+m})} \text{hom}(o, C_{i+k} \cup^{C_i} C_{i+j}).$$

Thus Eq. 13.2.1 is in fact an equivalence in the presheaf category $\mathcal{P}(\Theta_n)$. In particular the family (c) pulls back to a family of equivalences, which are hence contained in T_{Θ_n} . An virtually identical argument applies to the family (a), which also consists of morphisms pulling back to equivalences of presheaves. \square

The functor $\sigma : \Theta_{n-1} \rightarrow \Theta_n$ gives rise to a functor $\sigma_! : \mathcal{P}(\Theta_{n-1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\Theta_n)$, left adjoint to σ^* . The classes Segal_{Θ_n} and Comp_{Θ_n} are defined inductively using the 1-categorical analog of $\sigma_!$, but may also be defined using $\sigma_!$. We therefore collect some relevant properties of this functor in the next two lemmas.

Lemma 13.3. *The functor $\sigma_!$ preserves both pushouts and pullbacks, sends $T_{\Theta_{n-1}}$ -local objects to T_{Θ_n} -local objects, and satisfies $\sigma_!(T_{\Theta_{n-1}}) \subseteq T_{\Theta_n}$.*

Proof. The functor $\sigma_!$ is a left adjoint, hence it preserves all colimits in $\mathcal{P}(\Theta_n)$, in particular pushouts. Moreover, $\sigma_!$ sends the generators of $T_{\Theta_{n-1}}$ to generators of T_{Θ_n} . Together these imply the containment $\sigma_!(T_{\Theta_{n-1}}) \subseteq T_{\Theta_n}$. Direct computations, which we leave to the reader, show that $\sigma_!$ sends $T_{\Theta_{n-1}}$ -local objects to T_{Θ_n} -local objects and that the following formula holds,

$$\text{hom}([n]; o_1, \dots, o_n), \sigma(X \times_Y Z) = \coprod_{\substack{i_k : [n] \rightarrow [1] \\ 0 \leq k \leq n+1}} \text{Map}(o_k, X \times_Y Z).$$

where $i_k : [n] \rightarrow [1]$ maps $i \in [n]$ to $0 \in [1]$ if $i < k$ and to $1 \in [1]$ otherwise. In the above formula when $k = 0$ or $n + 1$ the space $\text{Map}(o_k, X \times_Y Z)$ is interpreted as a singleton space. From this it follows that σ preserves fiber products. \square

The following observation is clear from the definition of σ and the morphisms in Θ_n .

Lemma 13.4. *Suppose that $V \in \Theta_n$ is of the form $V \cong \sigma(W)$ for some $W \in \Theta_{n-1}$. Suppose that $f : V \rightarrow C_i$ is nondegenerate and $i > 0$. Then $f = \sigma(g)$ for a unique nondegenerate $g : W \rightarrow C_{i-1}$.*

More generally, the Θ -construction gives rise, for each $[m] \in \Delta$, to functors

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^{[m]} : \Theta_{n-1}^{\times m} &\rightarrow \Theta_n \\ (o_1, \dots, o_m) &\mapsto ([m]; o_1, \dots, o_m). \end{aligned}$$

The case $\sigma^{[1]} = \sigma$ has just been considered, and as in that case we obtain functors

$$\sigma_1^{[m]} : \mathcal{P}(\Theta_{n-1})^{\times m} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\Theta_n)$$

by left Kan extension in each variable. These functors were also considered by Rezk [32, § 4.4], and we adopt a similar notation: $\sigma_1^{[m]}(X_1, \dots, X_m) = ([m]; X_1, \dots, X_m)$.

Lemma 13.5. *Let b_1, \dots, b_p be elements of $\mathcal{P}(\Theta_{n-1})$, and let $0 \leq r \leq s \leq p$. Let A and B be defined as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \sigma_1^{\{0, \dots, s\}}(b_1, \dots, b_s) \cup \sigma_1^{\{r, \dots, s\}}(b_{r+1}, \dots, b_s) \sigma_1^{\{r, \dots, p\}}(b_{r+1}, \dots, b_p), \text{ and} \\ B &= \sigma_1^{[p]}(b_1, \dots, b_p). \end{aligned}$$

Then the natural map $A \rightarrow B$ is in T_{Θ_n} .

Proof. This follows from [32, Proposition 6.4], but the proof given there (even in the corrected version) relies on the false proposition [32, Proposition 2.19]. However it is straightforward to supply an alternate proof (along the lines of [32, Proposition 5.3]). First note that if each of the b_i were a representable presheaf, then the map $A \rightarrow B$ may be written as a pushout of the generating morphism $\mathcal{T}_{n, \infty}$, hence is manifestly an element of T_{Θ_n} (we leave this as an exercise). The general case, however, reduces to this case as every presheaf is (canonically) a colimit of representables, the functors $\sigma_1^{[i]}$ commute with these colimits separately in each variable, and T_{Θ_n} , being a saturated class, is closed under colimits. \square

Notation 13.6. We now define three additional classes of morphisms of $\mathcal{P}(\Theta_n)$. Let J_a be the set of all morphisms $H \rightarrow C_i$ ($0 \leq i \leq n$) of Υ_n ; let J_b be the set of all nondegenerate morphisms $H \rightarrow C_i$ ($0 \leq i \leq n$) of Θ_n ; and let J_c be set of all inclusions $C_j \hookrightarrow C_i$ ($0 \leq j \leq i \leq n$) of \mathbb{G}_n . Now, for $x \in \{a, b, c\}$, set:

$$T_{\Theta_n}^{(x)} := \left\{ [f : U \rightarrow V] \in T_{\Theta_n} \left| \begin{array}{l} \text{for any } [H \rightarrow C_i] \in J_x \text{ and } [V \rightarrow C_i] \in \mathcal{P}(\Theta_n), \\ \text{one has } f \times_{C_i} \nu H \in T_{\Theta_n} \end{array} \right. \right\}$$

Lemma 13.7. *Each of the three classes $T_{\Theta_n}^{(x)}$ ($x \in \{a, b, c\}$) is a strongly saturated class.*

Proof. As colimits are universal in $\mathcal{P}(\Theta_n)$, the functors $(-) \times_{C_i} \nu H$ preserves all small colimits. Thus the class $[(-) \times_{C_i} \nu H]^{-1}(T_{\Theta_n})$ is a saturated class in $\mathcal{P}(\Theta_n)$. Taking appropriate intersections of these classes and T_{Θ_n} yields the three classes in question. \square

We aim to show that the ∞ -category $\text{CSS}(\Theta_n)$ is a theory of (∞, n) -categories. For this we need to prove Axioms (R.1-4) of Th. 11.2. The most difficult property, (R.1), would follow from Lemma 11.4 and Lemma 13.2 if we also knew the identity $T_{\Theta_n} = T_{\Theta_n}^{(a)}$. As these are saturated classes and $T_{\Theta_n}^{(a)} \subseteq T_{\Theta_n}$, it is enough to show

that the generators Segal_{Θ_n} and Comp_{Θ_n} of T_{Θ_n} are contained in $T_{\Theta_n}^{(a)}$. We will ultimately prove this by an inductive argument, but first we need some preliminaries.

First, we note the following.

Lemma 13.8. *One has $T_{\Theta_n} = T_{\Theta_n}^{(c)}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 13.7, it is enough to check that the generators of Segal_{Θ_n} and Comp_{Θ_n} of T_{Θ_n} are contained in $T_{\Theta_n}^{(c)}$. For that assume that $[U \rightarrow V]$ is a generator of T_{Θ_n} and let $C_j \hookrightarrow C_i$ be an inclusion. We wish to demonstrate that for all $V \rightarrow C_i$ we have that

$$(13.8.1) \quad U \times_{C_i} C_j \rightarrow V \times_{C_i} C_j$$

is in T_{Θ_n} . Recall that

$$\text{Comp}_{\Theta_n} = \iota_! \text{Comp}_{\Delta} \cup \sigma_! \text{Comp}_{\Theta_{n-1}} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Segal}_{\Theta_n} = \text{Segal}_{\Theta_n} \cup \sigma_! \text{Segal}_{\Theta_{n-1}}.$$

There are several cases:

- (a) $i = j$. In this trivial case (13.8.1) reduces to $[U \rightarrow V] \in T_{\Theta_n}$.
- (b) The morphism $V \rightarrow C_i$ factors as $V \rightarrow C_0 \rightarrow C_i$. In this case the fiber product $C_j \times_{C_i} C_0$ is either C_0 or empty. In the latter case (13.8.1) is an isomorphism, and in the former case it is $[U \rightarrow V] \in T_{\Theta_n}$. Notice that this case covers $\iota_! \text{Comp}_{\Delta}$.
- (c) $j = 0 < i$ and the map $V \rightarrow C_i$ does not factor through C_0 . In this case it follows that $[U \rightarrow V]$ is not in $\iota_! \text{Comp}_{\Delta}$, and hence

$$V = ([m]; o_1, \dots, o_m)$$

is representable with $m \neq 0$. Moreover the map

$$V \rightarrow C_i = ([1]; C_{i-1})$$

consists of a surjective map $[m] \rightarrow [1]$ (which specifies a unique $0 < k \leq m$; the inverse image of $0 \in [1]$ consists of all elements strictly less than k) together with map $o_k \rightarrow C_{i-1}$. A direct calculation shows that in this situation (13.8.1) is either an isomorphism or a generator of T_{Θ_n} .

- (d) $0 < j \leq i$, the map

$$[U \rightarrow V] \in \sigma_! \text{Comp}_{\Theta_{n-1}} \cup \sigma_! \text{Segal}_{\Theta_{n-1}}$$

is a suspension, and the map $V \rightarrow C_i$ does not factor through C_0 . It follows that $V \rightarrow C_i$ is the suspension of a map. This case then follows by induction and Lemma 13.3.

- (e) The final case is when $0 < j < i$, the map $[U \rightarrow V] \in \text{Se}_{\Theta_n}$ is not a suspension, nor in Comp_{Θ_n} , and the map $V \rightarrow C_i$ does not factor through C_0 . In this case (13.8.1) is a map of the form described in Lemma 13.5. \square

Remark. We thank Charles Rezk for finding a critical gap in an earlier preprint version of the above proof. Correcting this led us to restructure many of the arguments in this section.

Armed with this, we now reduce the problem to verifying that $T_{\Theta_n} = T_{\Theta_n}^{(b)}$.

Lemma 13.9. *If $T_{\Theta_n}^{(b)}$ coincides with T_{Θ_n} , then so does the class $T_{\Theta_n}^{(a)}$.*

Proof. First note that as Θ_n strongly generates $\mathcal{P}(\Theta_n)$, and T_{Θ_n} is strongly saturated, it is enough to consider $H \in \Theta_n$ representable. Let $f: U \rightarrow V$ be a morphism in T_{Θ_n} , let $V \rightarrow C_i$ be given, and let $H \rightarrow C_i$ be arbitrary. There exists a unique factorization $H \rightarrow C_k \hookrightarrow C_i$, with $H \rightarrow C_k$ nondegenerate. Consider the following diagram of pullbacks in $\mathcal{P}(\Theta_n)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} U'' & \longrightarrow & U' & \longrightarrow & U \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ V'' & \longrightarrow & V' & \longrightarrow & V \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H & \longrightarrow & C_k & \hookrightarrow & C_i \end{array}$$

Since $T_{\Theta_n}^{(c)} = T_{\Theta_n}$, we have $[U' \rightarrow V'] \in T_{\Theta_n}$, and if $T_{\Theta_n}^{(b)} = T_{\Theta_n}$, then we also have $[U'' \rightarrow V''] \in T_{\Theta_n}$, as desired. \square

Lemma 13.10. *For each $x \in \{a, b\}$, we have*

$$T_{\Theta_n}^{(x)} = \left\{ [f: U \rightarrow V] \in T_{\Theta_n} \left| \begin{array}{l} \text{for any } [H \rightarrow C_i] \in J_x \text{ and any nondegenerate} \\ [V \rightarrow C_i] \in \mathcal{P}(\Theta_n), \text{ one has } f \times_{C_i} \nu H \in T_{\Theta_n} \end{array} \right. \right\}$$

In other words, to verify that $f: U \rightarrow V$ is in one of these classes, it suffices to consider only those fiber products $f \times_{C_i} \nu H$ with $V \rightarrow C_i$ nondegenerate.

Proof. Let us focus on the case $x = a$. Let $f: U \rightarrow V$ be in class given on the right-hand side of the asserted identity. We wish to show that $f \in T_{\Theta_n}^{(a)}$, that is for any pair of morphism $H \rightarrow C_i$ and $V \rightarrow C_i$ we have

$$U' = U \times_{C_i} H \rightarrow V \times_{C_i} H = V'$$

is in T_{Θ_n} . This follows as there exists a factorization $V \rightarrow C_k \rightarrow C_i$ and a diagram of pullbacks:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} U' & \longrightarrow & V' & \longrightarrow & H' & \longrightarrow & H \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ U & \longrightarrow & V & \longrightarrow & C_k & \hookrightarrow & C_i \end{array}$$

such that $V \rightarrow C_k$ is nondegenerate. The analogous result for $T_{\Theta_n}^{(b)}$ follows by the same argument and the observation that $H' \rightarrow C_k$ is nondegenerate if $H \rightarrow C_i$ is such. \square

Now we settle an important first case of the equality $T_{\Theta_n} = T_{\Theta_n}^{(b)}$.

Lemma 13.11. *Let $[U \rightarrow V] \in \text{Segal}_{\Theta_n}$ be a morphism that is not contained in $\sigma_1(\text{Segal}_{\Theta_{n-1}})$. Let $V \rightarrow C_i$ be nondegenerate, and let $[H \rightarrow C_i] \in J_b$ be a nondegenerate map in Θ_n . Then the morphism $U \times_{C_i} H \rightarrow V \times_{C_i} H$ is contained in T_{Θ_n} .*

Proof. For the special case $i = 0$, a more general version of this statement was proven by Rezk [32, Proposition 6.6] and forms one of the cornerstone results of that work. Our current proof builds on Rezk's ideas.

The fundamental argument is to construct a category \mathcal{Q} along with a functorial assignment of commuting squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A_\alpha & \longrightarrow & U \times_{C_i} H \\
\wr \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
B_\alpha & \longrightarrow & V \times_{C_i} H
\end{array}$$

for each $\alpha \in \mathcal{Q}$. This assignment is required to satisfy a host of conditions.

First, each of the functors $A, B : \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\Theta_n)$ is required to factor through $\tau_{\leq 0} \mathcal{P}(\Theta_n)$, the category of 0-truncated objects. The 0-truncated objects of $\mathcal{P}(\Theta_n)$ consist precisely of those presheaves of spaces taking values in the homotopically discrete spaces. There is no harm regarding such objects simply as ordinary set-valued presheaves, and we will do so freely.

Second, we require that for each $\alpha \in \mathcal{Q}$ the natural morphism $A_\alpha \rightarrow B_\alpha$ is in the class T_{Θ_n} . As T_{Θ_n} is saturated, this second condition implies that the natural map $\operatorname{colim}_{\mathcal{Q}} A \rightarrow \operatorname{colim}_{\mathcal{Q}} B$ is also in T_{Θ_n} , where these colimits are taken in the ∞ -category $\mathcal{P}(\Theta_n)$ (hence are equivalently homotopy colimits for a levelwise model structure on simplicial presheaves, see Rk. 13.1).

Third and last, we require that the natural maps $\operatorname{colim}_{\mathcal{Q}} A \rightarrow U \times_{C_i} H$ and $\operatorname{colim}_{\mathcal{Q}} B \rightarrow V \times_{C_i} H$ are equivalences in $\mathcal{P}(\Theta_n)$ (i.e. levelwise weak equivalences of space-valued presheaves). If all of the above properties hold, then we obtain a natural commuting square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\operatorname{colim}_{\mathcal{Q}} A & \xrightarrow{\cong} & U \times_{C_i} H \\
\wr \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\operatorname{colim}_{\mathcal{Q}} B & \xrightarrow{\cong} & V \times_{C_i} H
\end{array}$$

in which the indicated morphisms are in the class T_{Θ_n} . As this class is saturated it follows that $U \times_{C_i} H \rightarrow V \times_{C_i} H$ is also in this class. Thus if such a \mathcal{Q} and associated functors can be produced, we will have completed the proof.

However, the construction of a \mathcal{Q} , A , and B demonstrably satisfying the above properties appears to be somewhat delicate. In the case $i = 0$ the original published proof [32, Proposition 6.6] was incorrect, and a corrected proof has been supplied by Rezk in [33, Proposition 2.1]. At this point we deviate from Rezk's treatment. Specifically our category \mathcal{Q} and associated functors will differ from his. We will focus on the more complicated case $i > 0$, and leave the necessary simplifications in the case $i = 0$ to the reader (or simply refer the reader to [32, Proposition 6.6]).

Under the assumptions of the statement of the lemma we have the following identifications of presheaves:

$$\begin{aligned}
U &= j(\{0, \dots, k\}; o_1, \dots, o_k) \cup^{j(\{k\})} j(\{k, k+1, \dots, m\}; o_{k+1}, \dots, o_m) \\
V &= j([m]; o_1, \dots, o_m) \\
H &= j([n]; u_1, \dots, u_n)
\end{aligned}$$

where $0 \leq k \leq m$ and $o_\alpha, u_\beta \in \Theta_{n-1}$ are given. If $i > 0$, then the i -cell is the representable presheaf $j([1]; C_{i-1})$. A nondegenerate map $V \rightarrow C_i$ includes a nondegenerate map $f : [m] \rightarrow [1]$, and likewise a nondegenerate map $H \rightarrow C_i$ includes a nondegenerate map $g : [n] \rightarrow [1]$. Let m' be the fiber over $0 \in [1]$, and let m'' be the fiber over 1 . Then $[m] = [m'] \cdot [m'']$ is the ordered concatenation of $[m']$ and $[m'']$.

We define A_\bullet to be the fiber product of B_\bullet with $U \times_{C_i} H$ over $V \times_{C_i} H$. Because colimits in ∞ -topoi are universal, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{colim}_{\mathcal{Q}} A &\simeq \operatorname{colim}_{\mathcal{Q}} \left(B \times_{(V \times_{C_i} H)} (U \times_{C_i} H) \right) \\ &\simeq \left(\operatorname{colim}_{\Delta} B_\bullet \right) \times_{(V \times_{C_i} H)} (U \times_{C_i} H) \\ &\simeq U \times_{C_i} H. \end{aligned}$$

Thus all that remains is to show that the natural transformation $A_\bullet \rightarrow B_\bullet$ is levelwise in T_{Θ_n} .

As each layer of B_\bullet is a disjoint union of certain C_δ , it is sufficient to show that the map

$$C_\delta \times_{(V \times_{C_i} H)} (U \times_{C_i} H) \rightarrow C_\delta$$

is in T_Θ for each C_δ . As in the previous construction, there exist unique $0 \leq r \leq s \leq p$ such that $\delta'(t) < k$ if and only if $t < r$, and $k < \delta'(t)$ if and only if $s < t$. The interval $\{r, \dots, s\} \subset [p]$ is precisely the preimage of $\{k\}$ under δ' . We then have

$$\begin{aligned} C_\delta \times_{(V \times_{C_i} H)} (U \times_{C_i} H) \\ \cong \sigma_1^{\{0, \dots, s\}}(b_1, \dots, b_s) \cup \sigma_1^{\{r, \dots, s\}}(b_{r+1}, \dots, b_s) \sigma_1^{\{r, r+1, \dots, p\}}(b_{r+1}, \dots, b_p) \end{aligned}$$

and so the desired result follows from Lemma 13.5. \square

Remark 13.12. It is possible to give an alternative proof of Lemma 13.11 which builds directly on Rezk's proof in the case $i = 0$. Let \mathcal{Q} be Rezk's category $\mathcal{Q}_{m,n}$ as defined in [33], and following Rezk define functors $A^{(i=0)}$ and $B^{(i=0)}$ as the objects

$$\begin{aligned} A_\delta^{(i=0)} &:= V_{(\delta_1, \delta_2)^{-1}(M \times N)}(D_1, \dots, D_p), \quad \text{and} \\ B_\delta^{(i=0)} &:= V[p](D_1, \dots, D_p), \end{aligned}$$

where we are also using the notation of [33]. Then these choices satisfy the requisite properties for the case $i = 0$, the most difficult being [33, Proposition 2.3].

For general i , notice that we have inclusions of subobjects

$$\begin{aligned} V \times_{C_i} H &\subseteq V \times H, \\ U \times_{C_i} H &\subseteq U \times H. \end{aligned}$$

We may define A_δ and B_δ as pullbacks

$$\begin{aligned} A_\delta &= A_\delta^{(i=0)} \times_{(U \times H)} (U \times_{C_i} H), \quad \text{and} \\ B_\delta &= B_\delta^{(i=0)} \times_{(V \times H)} (V \times_{C_i} H). \end{aligned}$$

Since colimits in ∞ -topoi are universal we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{colim}_{\mathcal{Q}} A &\simeq \operatorname{colim}_{\mathcal{Q}} A^{(i=0)} \times_{(U \times H)} (U \times_{C_i} H) \simeq U \times_{C_i} H, \\ \operatorname{colim}_{\mathcal{Q}} B &\simeq \operatorname{colim}_{\mathcal{Q}} B^{(i=0)} \times_{(V \times H)} (V \times_{C_i} H) \simeq V \times_{C_i} H, \end{aligned}$$

and so all that remains is to verify that $A_\delta \rightarrow B_\delta$ is indeed in T_{Θ_n} . This can be accomplished by explicitly computing A_δ and B_δ in terms of the functors $\sigma_1^{[\ell]}$ and invoking Lemma 13.5.

We may now complete the proof of (R.1) for complete Segal Θ_n -spaces.

Theorem 13.13. *The triple $(\Theta_n, T_{\Theta_n}, i)$ satisfies axiom (R.1), namely $i^*(S) \subset T_{\Theta_n}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 13.9, it is enough to show that the strongly saturated classes $T_{\Theta_n}^{(b)}$ contains the generating sets Segal_{Θ_n} and Comp_{Θ_n} . By Lemma 13.10, it suffices to show that for any $[U \rightarrow V] \in \text{Segal}_{\Theta_n} \cup \text{Comp}_{\Theta_n}$, any nondegenerate morphism $[H \rightarrow C_i] \in J_b$, and any nondegenerate morphism $V \rightarrow C_i$ of $\mathcal{P}(\Theta_n)$, we must show that

$$U' = U \times_{C_i} \nu H \rightarrow V \times_{C_i} \nu H = V'$$

is contained in T_{Θ_n} . Observe the following:

- If $[U \rightarrow V] \in \text{Segal}_{\Theta_n}$ is not in the image of $\sigma_!$, then $U \rightarrow V$ is contained in $T_{\Theta_n}^{(b)}$ by Lemma 13.11.
- If $[U \rightarrow V] \in \text{Comp}_{\Theta_n}$ is not in the image of $\sigma_!$, then $V = C_0$, and the only nondegenerate map $V \rightarrow C_i$ occurs when $i = 0$. In this case $U \rightarrow V$ is in $T_{\Theta_n}^{(b)}$ by [32, Proposition 6.1].

Thus we may restrict our attention to those generators $U \rightarrow V$ that lie in the image of $\sigma_!$. We proceed by induction. When $n = 1$, the set of generators in the image of $\sigma_!$ is empty.

Assume that

$$T_{\Theta_{n-1}} = T_{\Theta_{n-1}}^{(a)} = T_{\Theta_{n-1}}^{(b)} = T_{\Theta_{n-1}}^{(c)},$$

and let $U \rightarrow V$ be an element of $\text{Segal}_{\Theta_n} \cup \text{Comp}_{\Theta_n}$ that lies in the image of $\sigma_!$. Now note that if $C_i = C_0$, then $U' \rightarrow V'$ lies in T_{Θ_n} , again by [32, Proposition 6.1]. If $i \neq 0$, then by Lemma 13.4, the map $V \rightarrow C_i$ is also in the image of $\sigma_!$. In this case, if we have a factorization $H \rightarrow C_0 \rightarrow C_i$ (which, since $H \rightarrow C_i$ is nondegenerate, can only happen if $H = C_0$), then $U' \rightarrow V'$ is an equivalence (as both are empty). Hence it $U \rightarrow V$ lies in T_{Θ_n} .

This leaves the final case, where both $[U \rightarrow V]$ and $[V \rightarrow C_i]$ lie in the image of $\sigma_!$, and $[H \rightarrow C_i]$ is nondegenerate with $H = j([m]; o_1, \dots, o_m) \neq C_0$, for some $m \geq 1$, $o_i \in \Theta_{n-1}$. The nondegenerate map $H \rightarrow C_i = ([1]; C_{i-1})$ is given explicitly by the following data (see also the proof of Lemma 13.3): a map $i_k : [m] \rightarrow [1]$ for some $1 \leq k \leq m$ such that $i_k(i) = 0$ if $i < k$ and $i_k(i) = 1$ otherwise, together with a single (nondegenerate) map $o_k \rightarrow C_{i-1}$. In this case we may explicitly compute the pullback

$$U' = U \times_{C_i} H \rightarrow V \times_{C_i} H = V'$$

and deduce that it is contained in the class T_{Θ_n} .

As $[U \rightarrow V]$ is in the image of $\sigma_!^{[1]}$, it is of the form $([1]; U'') \rightarrow ([1]; V'')$ for some $[U'' \rightarrow V'']$ in $\text{Segal}_{\Theta_{n-1}}$. The pullback is then given explicitly as:

$$\begin{aligned} U' &= ([m]; o_1, \dots, o_k \times_{C_{i-1}} U'', o_{k+1}, \dots, o_m) \\ &\rightarrow ([m]; o_1, \dots, o_k \times_{C_{i-1}} V'', o_{k+1}, \dots, o_m) = V'. \end{aligned}$$

This map arises as the right-most vertical map in the following (oddly drawn) commuting square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
j([k-1]; o_1, \dots, o_{k-1}) \cup^{j(\{k-1\})} (\{k-1, k\}; o_k \times_{C_{i-1}} U'') \cup^{j(\{k\})} j(\{k, \dots, m\}; o_{k+1}, \dots, o_m) & & \\
\downarrow & \begin{array}{c} \lrcorner \\ \rightarrow ([m]; o_1, \dots, o_k \times_{C_{i-1}} U'', o_{k+1}, \dots, o_m) \\ \downarrow \\ \rightarrow ([m]; o_1, o_2, \dots, o_k \times_{C_{i-1}} V'', o_{k+1}, \dots, o_m) \\ \lrcorner \end{array} & \\
j([k-1]; o_1, \dots, o_{k-1}) \cup^{j(\{k-1\})} (\{k-1, k\}; o_k \times_{C_{i-1}} V'') \cup^{j(\{k\})} j(\{k, \dots, m\}; o_{k+1}, \dots, o_m) & &
\end{array}$$

The left-most vertical map is a pushout of identities and (by induction) a map in $\sigma_!(T_{\Theta_{n-1}})$. Thus by Lemma 13.3 it is contained in T_{Θ_n} . Both horizontal maps are contained in T_{Θ_n} by [32, Proposition 6.4], whence the right-most vertical map $[U' \rightarrow V']$ is also contained in T_{Θ_n} , as desired. \square

Lemma 13.14. *The triple $(\Theta_n, T_{\Theta_n}, i)$ satisfies axiom (R.2), namely $i_!(T_{\Theta_n}) \subseteq S$.*

Proof. As $i_!$ commutes with colimits, to show that $i_!(T_{\Theta_n}) \subseteq S$ it is sufficient to show this property for a subset which strongly generates the class T_{Θ_n} . The maps in Comp_{Θ_n} are clearly mapped into S . This leaves the maps Segal_{Θ_n} . We now write $S = S_n$ and induct on n . When $n = 0$, one has $\Upsilon_0 = \Theta_0 = \text{pt}$.

Assume that $i_!(T_{\Theta_{n-1}}) \subseteq S_{n-1}$. The suspension functor $\sigma_! : \mathcal{P}(\Upsilon_{n-1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\Upsilon_n)$ preserves colimits and sends the generators S_{n-1} into S_n . Hence the suspensions of maps in $i_!(T_{\Theta_{n-1}})$ are in S_n . Moreover, by construction the image under $i_!$ of the following map

$$j(\{0, 1\}; C_i) \cup^{j(\{1\})} j(\{1, 2\}; C_i) \rightarrow j(\{0, 1, 2\}; C_i, C_i)$$

is in S_n for all cells C_i . By induction, it follows that all the Segal generators are mapped into S_n except possibly the following

$$(13.14.1) \quad j(\{0, \dots, k\}; o_1, \dots, o_k) \cup^{j(\{k\})} j(\{k, \dots, m\}; o_{k+1}, \dots, o_m) \rightarrow j(\{0, \dots, m\}; o_1, \dots, o_m)$$

where $o_i \in \Theta_{n-1}$. To show that $i_!$ maps the above morphism to a morphism in S_n , we observe that the above map may be rewritten as follows. The source may be written as

$$j(\{0, \dots, k\}; o_1, \dots, o_k) \times_{j(\{k-1, k\}; C_0)} \left[j(\{k-1, k\}; C_0) \cup^{j(\{k\})} j(\{k, k+1\}; C_0) \right] \times_{j(\{k, k+1\}; C_0)} j(\{k, k+1, m\}; o_{k+1}, \dots, o_m)$$

while the target is

$$j(\{0, \dots, k\}; o_1, \dots, o_k) \times_{j(\{k-1, k\}; C_0)} j(\{k-1, k, k+1\}; C_0, C_0) \times_{j(\{k, k+1\}; C_0)} j(\{k, k+1, m\}; o_{k+1}, \dots, o_m).$$

Schematically then, the map of (13.14.1) is of the form

$$A \times_{C_1} U \times_{C_1} B \rightarrow A \times_{C_1} V \times_{C_1} B$$

for $U \rightarrow V$ in S and $A, B \in \Upsilon_n$. By property (C.2) of $\text{Cat}_{(\infty, n)}$ (cf. also Proposition 8.3) it follows that (13.14.1) lies in S_n also. \square

Theorem 13.15. *The triple $(\Theta_n, T_{\Theta_n}, i)$ satisfies the axioms (R.1-4); The ∞ -category $\text{CSS}(\Theta_n)$ of complete Segal Θ_n -spaces is a theory of (∞, n) -categories.*

Proof. Condition (R.4) is clear, and the functor $i : \Theta_n \rightarrow \Upsilon_n$ is a fully-faithful inclusion, hence (R.3) is automatically satisfied. Conditions (R.1) and (R.2) follow from Th. 13.13 and Lemma 13.14. \square

Remark 13.16. From the above theorem and Th. 10.1 it follows that the automorphism group of the ∞ -category $\text{CSS}(\Theta_n)$ is the discrete group $(\mathbb{Z}/2)^n$. A more direct proof of this fact, based on computing the automorphisms of the category Θ_n , has appeared in [2].

14. n -FOLD COMPLETE SEGAL SPACES ARE A HOMOTOPY THEORY OF (∞, n) -CATEGORIES

We give the iterative construction of the ∞ -category $\text{CSS}(\Delta^{\times n})$ of n -fold complete Segal spaces, following [3] and [27, § 1], and we show that $\text{CSS}(\Delta^{\times n})$ is a theory of (∞, n) -categories.

Definition 14.1 ([3]). Let $\text{CSS}(\Delta^0)$ be the ∞ -category \mathfrak{S} of Kan simplicial sets. Suppose now that n is a positive integer; assume that both a presentable ∞ -category $\text{CSS}(\Delta^{\times n-1})$ and a fully faithful functor

$$c_{n-1} : \text{CSS}(\Delta^0) \hookrightarrow \text{CSS}(\Delta^{\times n-1})$$

that preserves all small colimits have been constructed. Let us call a simplicial object $X : \mathbb{N}\Delta^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{CSS}(\Delta^{\times n-1})$ an n -fold Segal space if it satisfies the following pair of conditions.

- (B.1) The object X_0 lies in the essential image of c_{n-1} .
- (B.2) For any integers $0 < k < m$, the object X_m is exhibited as the limit of the diagram

$$X(\{0, 1, \dots, k\}) \rightarrow X(\{k\}) \leftarrow X(\{k, k+1, \dots, m\}).$$

Now for any n -fold Segal space X , one may apply the right adjoint to the functor c_{n-1} objectwise to X to obtain a simplicial space $\iota_1 X$. Let us call X an n -fold complete Segal space if it satisfies the following additional condition.

- (B.3) The Kan complex $(\iota_1 X)_0$ is exhibited as the limit of the composite functor

$$\Delta_{/NE}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \Delta^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\iota_1 X} \text{CSS}_0,$$

where the category E is as in Ex. 2.5. Denote by $\text{CSS}(\Delta^{\times n})$ the full subcategory of $\text{Fun}(\mathbb{N}\Delta^{\text{op}}, \text{CSS}(\Delta^{\times n-1}))$ spanned by the n -fold complete Segal spaces.

In order to make sense of the inductive definition above, it is necessary to show that $\text{CSS}(\Delta^{\times n})$ is a presentable ∞ -category, and to construct a fully faithful, colimit-preserving functor $c_n : \text{CSS}(\Delta^0) \hookrightarrow \text{CSS}(\Delta^{\times n})$. To prove presentability, we demonstrate that the ∞ -category $\text{CSS}(\Delta^{\times n})$ is in fact an accessible localization of $\text{Fun}(\mathbb{N}\Delta^{\text{op}}, \text{CSS}(\Delta^{\times n-1}))$; then the desired functor c_n will be the composite

$$\text{CSS}(\Delta^0) \xrightarrow{c_{n-1}} \text{CSS}(\Delta^{\times n-1}) \xrightarrow{c} \text{Fun}(\Delta^{\text{op}}, \text{CSS}(\Delta^{\times n-1})) \xrightarrow{L} \text{CSS}(\Delta^{\times n}),$$

where c denotes the constant functor and L denotes the purported localization.

Lemma 14.2. *For any positive integer n , the ∞ -category $\text{CSS}(\Delta^{\times n})$ is an accessible localization of $\text{Fun}(\mathbb{N}\Delta^{\text{op}}, \text{CSS}(\Delta^{\times n-1}))$.*

Proof. Denote by j any Yoneda embedding (the context will always be made clear). Let K denote the simplicial set as in 12.2, which we regard as a simplicial space that is discrete in each degree. This is a pushout along an inclusion, hence this is also a (homotopy) pushout in the ∞ -category of simplicial spaces. Now let T be the strongly saturated class of morphisms of $\text{Fun}(\mathbb{N}\Delta^{\text{op}}, \text{CSS}(\Delta^{\times n-1}))$ generated by the three sets

$$\begin{aligned} & \{j([0], \mathbf{m}) \rightarrow j(\mathbf{0}) \mid \mathbf{m} \in \Delta^{\times n-1}\}, \\ & \{\text{Segal}_{\Delta} \boxtimes \mathbf{m} \mid \mathbf{m} \in \Delta^{\times n-1}\}, \\ & \{c_{n-1}(K) \rightarrow j(\mathbf{0})\}, \end{aligned}$$

One deduces immediately that a simplicial object of $\text{CSS}(\Delta^{\times n-1})$ is a Segal space if and only if it is local with respect to each of the first two sets of morphisms. To show that $\text{CSS}(\Delta^{\times n})$ coincides with the localization $T^{-1}\text{Fun}(\mathbb{N}\Delta^{\text{op}}, \text{CSS}(\Delta^{\times n-1}))$, it is enough to show that a 1-fold Segal space X is complete if and only if the natural map

$$X_0 \rightarrow \text{Map}(K, X)$$

is an equivalence. By the Yoneda lemma, our claim is just a restatement of [32, Proposition 10.1]. \square

Corollary 14.3. *For any nonnegative integer n , the ∞ -category $\text{CSS}(\Delta^{\times n})$ is an accessible localization of $\mathcal{P}(\Delta^{\times n-1})$.*

Proof. If $n = 0$, there is nothing to prove. If n is positive, then let us suppose that we have written CSS_{n-1} as a localization $T_{\Delta^{\times n-1}}^{-1}\mathcal{P}(\Delta^{\times n-1})$ for some strongly saturated class $T_{\Delta^{\times n-1}}$ of small generation. Denote by

$$\boxtimes: \mathcal{P}(\Delta) \times \mathcal{P}(\Delta^{\times n-1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\Delta^{\times n})$$

the essentially unique functor that carries pairs of the form $(j[k], j(\mathbf{m}))$ to $j([k], \mathbf{m})$ and preserves colimits separately in each variable. Now let $T_{\Delta^{\times n}}$ be the strongly saturated class generated by the class T above along with the set

$$\{j[k] \boxtimes U \rightarrow j[k] \boxtimes V \mid [U \rightarrow V] \in T_{\Delta^{\times n-1}}\}.$$

Now $\text{CSS}(\Delta^{\times n})$ coincides with $T_{\Delta^{\times n}}^{-1}\mathcal{P}(\Delta^{\times n})$. \square

Remark 14.4. The class $T_{\Delta^{\times n}}$ is precisely the strongly saturated class generated by the union of $\text{Segal}_{\Delta^{\times n}}$, $\text{Glob}_{\Delta^{\times n}}$, and $\text{Comp}_{\Delta^{\times n}}$ as in Cor 12.3.

Write $d: \Delta^{\times n} \rightarrow \Upsilon_n$ for the composite of the functor $\delta_n: \Delta^{\times n} \rightarrow \Theta_n$ described in [8, Definition 3.8] followed by the fully faithful functor $i: \Theta_n \hookrightarrow \Upsilon_n$ consider in the previous section. We will now show that the triple $(\Delta^{\times n}, T_{\Delta^{\times n}}, d)$ satisfies conditions (R.1-4) of Th. 11.2, hence $\text{CSS}(\Delta^{\times n})$ is a theory of (∞, n) -categories. In contrast to the previous section, the functor d is not fully-faithful and hence condition (R.3) is not automatic. We thus begin with this condition.

Lemma 14.5. *The triple $(\Delta^{\times n}, T_{\Delta^{\times n}}, d)$ satisfies condition (R.3), that is for all objects $\mathbf{m} \in \Delta^{\times n}$, the canonical map $\mathbf{m} \rightarrow \delta_n^* \delta_n(\mathbf{m}) \simeq d^* d(\mathbf{m})$ is in $T_{\Delta^{\times n}}$.*

Proof. We will proceed by induction on n , the base case $n = 0$ being trivial. As the functor $j[m] \boxtimes (-)$ preserves colimits and sends the generators of $T_{\Delta^{\times n-1}}$ into $T_{\Delta^{\times n}}$, we have a containment $j[m] \boxtimes T_{\Delta^{\times n-1}} \subseteq T_{\Delta^{\times n}}$. Thus by induction the canonical map,

$$j[m] \boxtimes \mathbf{m} \rightarrow j[m] \boxtimes \delta_{n-1}^* \delta_{n-1}(\mathbf{m})$$

is in $T_{\Delta^{\times n}}$. In particular when $m = 0$, the map $j[m] \boxtimes \mathbf{m} \rightarrow j[m] \boxtimes \mathbf{0}$ is a composite of maps in $\text{Glob}_{\Delta^{\times n}}$, whence the map

$$j[0] \boxtimes \delta_{n-1}^* \delta_{n-1}(\mathbf{m}) \rightarrow j[0] \boxtimes \mathbf{0}$$

is in $T_{\Delta^{\times n}}$.

We will first prove the lemma for objects of the form $j[1] \boxtimes \mathbf{m} \in \Delta \times \Delta^{\times n-1} \cong \Delta^{\times n}$. One may readily check that the following is a pushout square of presheaves of sets:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (j\{0\} \boxtimes \delta_{n-1}^* \delta_{n-1}(\mathbf{m})) \sqcup (j\{1\} \boxtimes \delta_{n-1}^* \delta_{n-1}(\mathbf{m})) & \hookrightarrow & j[1] \boxtimes \delta_{n-1}^* \delta_{n-1}(\mathbf{m}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (j\{0\} \boxtimes \mathbf{0}) \sqcup (j\{1\} \boxtimes \mathbf{0}) & \longrightarrow & \delta_n^* \delta_n(j[1] \boxtimes \mathbf{m}) \end{array}$$

Moreover, as the topmost map is an inclusion of sets and pushouts in $\mathcal{P}(\Delta^{\times n})$ are computed object-wise, this is also a (homotopy) pushout square in $\mathcal{P}(\Delta^{\times n})$. As we just observed, the left-most map is in the strongly saturated $T_{\Delta^{\times n}}$, whence the right-most map is also in $T_{\Delta^{\times n}}$. It follows that the composite,

$$j[1] \boxtimes \mathbf{m} \rightarrow j[1] \boxtimes \delta_{n-1}^* \delta_{n-1}(\mathbf{m}) \rightarrow \delta_n^* \delta_n(j[1] \boxtimes \mathbf{m})$$

is in $T_{\Delta^{\times n}}$.

To prove the general case, i.e. that the map $j[k] \boxtimes \mathbf{m} \rightarrow \delta_n^* \delta_n(j[k] \boxtimes \mathbf{m})$ is in $T_{\Delta^{\times n}}$, we induct on k . Assume the result holds when $k \leq m$. We will prove it for $k = m + 1$. First consider the following commutative square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} j[m] \boxtimes \mathbf{m} \cup^{j[0] \boxtimes \mathbf{m}} j[1] \boxtimes \mathbf{m} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & j[m+1] \boxtimes \mathbf{m} \\ \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \\ \delta_n^* \delta_n(j[m] \boxtimes \mathbf{m}) \cup^{j[0] \boxtimes \mathbf{0}} \delta_n^* \delta_n(j[1] \boxtimes \mathbf{m}) & \rightarrow & \delta_n^* \delta_n(j[m+1] \boxtimes \mathbf{m}) \end{array}$$

The indicated maps are in $T_{\Delta^{\times n}}$; the topmost map is a generator and the leftmost vertical map by induction. As $T_{\Delta^{\times n}}$ is saturated, the rightmost vertical map is in $T_{\Delta^{\times n}}$ if and only if the bottommost map is as well. Thus it suffices to prove that the natural map

$$\delta_n^* \delta_n(j[m] \boxtimes \mathbf{m}) \cup^{j[0] \boxtimes \mathbf{0}} \delta_n^* \delta_n(j[1] \boxtimes \mathbf{m}) \rightarrow \delta_n^* \delta_n(j[m+1] \boxtimes \mathbf{m})$$

is in $T_{\Delta^{\times n}}$.

The Yoneda embedding strongly generates any presheaf ∞ -category and hence the object $\delta_n^* \delta_n(j[m+1] \boxtimes \mathbf{m})$ may canonically be written as a colimit of representable presheaves. Let $\mathcal{D} = (\Delta^{\times n} \downarrow \delta_n^* \delta_n(j[m+1] \boxtimes \mathbf{m}))$ denote the overcategory consisting of pairs $(j[p] \boxtimes \mathbf{p}, \phi)$ where ϕ is a map

$$\phi : j[p] \boxtimes \mathbf{p} \rightarrow \delta_n^* \delta_n(j[m+1] \boxtimes \mathbf{m}).$$

Let $B : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\Delta^{\times n})$ denote the functor which forgets the map ϕ . We have a canonical equivalence in $\mathcal{P}(\Delta^{\times n})$:

$$\text{colim}_{\mathcal{D}} B \simeq \delta_n^* \delta_n(j[m+1] \boxtimes \mathbf{m}).$$

By adjunction, specifying a map $\phi : j[p] \boxtimes \mathbf{p} \rightarrow \delta_n^* \delta_n(j[m+1] \boxtimes \mathbf{m})$ is equivalent to a specifying a map $\phi' : \delta_n(j[p] \boxtimes \mathbf{p}) \rightarrow \delta_n(j[m+1] \boxtimes \mathbf{m})$, i.e. a map in $\mathcal{P}(\Theta_n)$:

$$\phi' : ([p]; \underbrace{\delta_{n-1}(\mathbf{p}), \dots, \delta_{n-1}(\mathbf{p})}_{p \text{ times}}) \rightarrow ([m+1]; \underbrace{\delta_{n-1}(\mathbf{m}), \dots, \delta_{n-1}(\mathbf{m})}_{m+1 \text{ times}}).$$

In particular every such map includes the data of a map $\bar{\phi} : [p] \rightarrow [m+1]$. To simplify notation we will denote the object $(j[p] \boxtimes \mathbf{p}, \phi)$ as B_ϕ or simply ϕ .

Let \mathcal{C} denote the full subcategory of \mathcal{D} consisting of the union of the following three types of objects:

(a) those B_ϕ in which $\bar{\phi}$ factors as

$$\bar{\phi} : [p] \rightarrow \{0, \dots, m\} \subseteq [m+1],$$

(b) those B_ϕ in which $\bar{\phi}$ factors as

$$\bar{\phi} : [p] \rightarrow \{m, m+1\} \subseteq [m+1], \quad \text{and}$$

(c) those B_ϕ in which $(\bar{\phi})^{-1}(\{m\}) = \{r\} \subseteq [p]$ consists of a singleton for some $0 \leq r \leq p$.

For any object $D \in \mathcal{D}$, the under category $\mathcal{C}_{D/}$ actually has an initial object and is thus weakly contractible. Consequently (see, e.g., [26, Th. 4.1.3.1 and Proposition 4.1.1.8]), the induced morphism of (homotopy) colimits over these categories is an equivalence; in particular, it follows that the following canonical maps are equivalences in $\mathcal{P}(\Delta^{\times n})$:

$$\operatorname{colim}_{\mathcal{C}} B \simeq \operatorname{colim}_{\mathcal{D}} B \simeq \delta_n^* \delta_n(j[m+1] \boxtimes \mathbf{m}).$$

For each $\phi \in \mathcal{C}$, let A_ϕ denote the fiber product

$$A_\phi := B_\phi \times_{\delta_n^* \delta_n(j[m+1] \boxtimes \mathbf{m})} \left(\delta_n^* \delta_n(j[m] \boxtimes \mathbf{m}) \cup^{j[0] \boxtimes \mathbf{0}} \delta_n^* \delta_n(j[1] \boxtimes \mathbf{m}) \right).$$

This gives rise to a new functor $A : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\Delta^{\times n})$, and as colimits in $\mathcal{P}(\Delta^{\times n})$ are universal, we have natural equivalences:

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{colim}_{\mathcal{C}} A &\simeq \left(\operatorname{colim}_{\mathcal{C}} B \right) \times_{\delta_n^* \delta_n(j[m+1] \boxtimes \mathbf{m})} \left(\delta_n^* \delta_n(j[m] \boxtimes \mathbf{m}) \cup^{j[0] \boxtimes \mathbf{0}} \delta_n^* \delta_n(j[1] \boxtimes \mathbf{m}) \right) \\ &\simeq \delta_n^* \delta_n(j[m] \boxtimes \mathbf{m}) \cup^{j[0] \boxtimes \mathbf{0}} \delta_n^* \delta_n(j[1] \boxtimes \mathbf{m}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the desired result follows if we can demonstrate that the natural map

$$\operatorname{colim}_{\mathcal{C}} A \rightarrow \operatorname{colim}_{\mathcal{C}} B$$

is in the class $T_{\Delta^{\times n}}$. This class, being saturated, is closed under colimits, and so it suffices to show that each of the maps

$$A_\phi \rightarrow B_\phi$$

is in $T_{\Delta^{\times n}}$. If $B_\phi \in \mathcal{C}$ is of type (a) or type (b), then $A_\phi \simeq B_\phi$ is an equivalence, hence in the desired class. If $B_\phi = (j[p] \boxtimes \mathbf{p}, \phi)$ is of type (c), so that $(\bar{\phi})^{-1}(\{m\}) = \{r\}$ for $0 \leq r \leq p$, then a direct calculation reveals:

$$A_\phi \simeq (j\{0, \dots, r\} \boxtimes \mathbf{p}) \cup^{(j\{r\} \boxtimes \mathbf{p})} (j\{r, r+1, \dots, p\} \boxtimes \mathbf{p}) \rightarrow j[p] \boxtimes \mathbf{p} \simeq B_\phi.$$

As this is one of the generators of $T_{\Delta^{\times n}}$, the result follows. \square

Theorem 14.6. *The ∞ -category $\operatorname{CSS}(\Delta^{\times n})$ of n -fold complete Segal spaces is a theory of (∞, n) -categories.*

Proof. We will show that the triple $(\Delta^{\times n}, T_{\Delta^{\times n}}, d)$ satisfies conditions (R.1-4) of Th. 11.2. Condition (R.4) clearly holds. Condition (R.3) is the statement of Lemma 14.5.

For condition (R.2) we must show that $i_!(\delta_n)_!(T_{\Delta^{\times n}}) \subseteq S$. By Lemma 13.14 it is sufficient to show that $(\delta_n)_!(T_{\Delta^{\times n}}) \subseteq T_{\Theta_n}$, and as $(\delta_n)_!$ preserves colimits it is sufficient to check this on the generating classes $\text{Segal}_{\Delta^{\times n}}$, $\text{Glob}_{\Delta^{\times n}}$, and $\text{Comp}_{\Delta^{\times n}}$. In each case this is clear: the set $\text{Glob}_{\Delta^{\times n}}$ maps under $(\delta_n)_!$ to equivalences in $\mathcal{P}(\Theta_n)$, the set $\text{Comp}_{\Delta^{\times n}}$ is constructed as the image of $\text{Comp}_{\Delta^{\times n}}$ under $(\delta_n)_!$, and the image of $\text{Segal}_{\Delta^{\times n}}$ under $(\delta_n)_!$ is a subset of $\text{Segal}_{\Delta^{\Theta_n}}$.

For the final condition (R.1) we must show that $\delta_n^* i^*(S) \subseteq T_{\Delta^{\times n}}$. By Th. 13.13, it suffices to show that $\delta_n^*(T_{\Theta_n}) \subseteq T_{\Delta^{\times n}}$. As δ_n^* preserves colimits, it is sufficient to prove this for the generating class of T_{Θ_n} . As we previously mentioned, the set $\text{Comp}_{\Delta^{\Theta_n}}$ consists of elements in the image of $(\delta_n)_!$. By Proposition 12.4 the remaining generators of T_{Θ_n} are retracts of maps in the image of $(\delta_n)_!$. Thus T_{Θ_n} is contained in the strongly saturated class generated from $(\delta_n)_!(T_{\Delta^{\times n}})$. Hence $\delta_n^*(T_{\Theta_n})$ is contained in the strongly saturated class generated by $\delta_n^*(\delta_n)_!(T_{\Delta^{\times n}})$. Using Lemma 14.5 one readily deduces that the generators of $T_{\Delta^{\times n}}$ are mapped, via $\delta_n^*(\delta_n)_!$ back into $T_{\Delta^{\times n}}$. As the composite functor $\delta_n^*(\delta_n)_!$ preserves colimits, this implies that the saturated class generated by $\delta_n^*(\delta_n)_!(T_{\Delta^{\times n}})$ is contained in $T_{\Delta^{\times n}}$, whence $\delta_n^*(T_{\Theta_n}) \subseteq T_{\Delta^{\times n}}$. \square

Corollary 14.7. *The functor $\text{CSS}(\Theta_n) \rightarrow \text{CSS}(\Delta^{\times n})$ induced by δ_n is an equivalence of ∞ -categories.*

15. EPILOGUE: MODEL CATEGORIES OF (∞, n) -CATEGORIES

We conclude with a brief discussion of model categories of (∞, n) -categories, in which we describe some interactions between our results here and those of Bergner, Lurie, Rezk, and Simpson. We first note that a spate of further corollaries to our main results can be obtained by employing the following.

Construction 15.1. Suppose \mathcal{A} a category equipped with a subcategory $w\mathcal{A}$ that contains all the objects of \mathcal{A} (i.e., a *relative category* in the terminology of [5]). We call the morphisms of $w\mathcal{A}$ *weak equivalences*. In this situation, one may form the *hammock localization* $\mathbb{L}^{\text{H}}\mathcal{A}$ of Dwyer–Kan [15]; this is a simplicial category. One may apply to each mapping space a fibrant replacement R that preserves products (e.g., Ex^{∞}) to obtain a category enriched in *Kan complexes*, which we shall denote $\mathbb{L}_f^{\text{H}}\mathcal{A}$. We may now apply the simplicial nerve construction [26, 1.1.5.5] to obtain a ∞ -category $\text{N}\mathbb{L}_f^{\text{H}}\mathcal{A}$, which we shall denote simply by $\text{N}^{\text{H}}\mathcal{A}$. We shall call $\text{N}^{\text{H}}\mathcal{A}$ the *∞ -category underlying* the relative category \mathcal{A} .

When \mathcal{A} is a simplicial model category, the simplicial localization $\mathbb{L}^{\text{H}}\mathcal{A}$ is equivalent [16] to the full sub-simplicial category \mathcal{A}° spanned by the cofibrant-fibrant objects. In this case, our $\text{N}^{\text{H}}\mathcal{A}$ is equivalent to $\text{N}\mathcal{A}^{\circ}$, as used by Lurie [26, A.2].

Remark 15.2. When \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are model categories, and $F: \mathcal{A} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{B}: G$ is a Quillen equivalence between them, there is [16] an induced equivalence of hammock localizations $\mathbb{L}^{\text{H}}\mathcal{A} \simeq \mathbb{L}^{\text{H}}\mathcal{B}$, and thus of underlying ∞ -categories $\text{N}^{\text{H}}\mathcal{A} \simeq \text{N}^{\text{H}}\mathcal{B}$.

Example 15.3. The ∞ -category underlying the relative category of n -relative categories [4] is a theory of (∞, n) -categories.

Definition 15.4. Let us call a model category \mathcal{A} a *model category of (∞, n) -categories* if its underlying ∞ -category $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{H}}\mathcal{A}$ is a theory of (∞, n) -categories.

Example 15.5. By [24], the Joyal model category of simplicial sets is a model category of $(\infty, 1)$ -categories. More generally, all of the following model categories are model categories of $(\infty, 1)$ -categories:

- (a) the Joyal model category of quasicategories QCat [14, 23, 26],
- (b) the Rezk model category of complete Segal spaces CSS [31],
- (c) the Bergner model category of simplicial categories [17, 10],
- (d) the Tamsamani–Hirschowitz–Simpson–Pellissier model categories of Segal Categories [18, 21, 30, 9, 35, 11],
- (e) the Barwick–Kan model category of relative categories [5].

Example 15.6. By Th. 13.15 and Th. 14.6, both Rezk’s model category $\Theta_n \mathrm{Sp}$ of complete Θ_n -spaces [32] and the model category of n -fold complete Segal spaces [3, 27] are model categories of (∞, n) -categories.

We may now use the construction above to find more examples of theories of (∞, n) -categories.

Example 15.7 ([27, Proposition 1.5.4]). Let \mathcal{M} be a left proper simplicial combinatorial model category which is an absolute distributor ([27, Definition 1.5.1]). Then the category $\mathrm{Fun}(\Delta^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{M})$, of simplicial objects in \mathcal{M} , admits the \mathcal{M} -enriched complete Segal model structure $\mathrm{CSS}_{\mathcal{M}}$, which is again left proper, simplicial, combinatorial, and an absolute distributor. If \mathcal{M} is a model category of $(\infty, n-1)$ -categories, then $\mathrm{CSS}_{\mathcal{M}}$ is a model category of (∞, n) -categories.

The condition of being an *absolute distributor* is needed in order to formulate the correct notion of *complete \mathcal{M} -enriched Segal object*. We refer the reader to [27] for details, but note that being an absolute distributor is a property of the underlying ∞ -category of the given model category. In particular it is preserved under any Quillen equivalence.

Example 15.8. Suppose that \mathcal{M} is a model category satisfying the following list of conditions.

- (M.1) The class of weak equivalences of \mathcal{M} are closed under filtered colimits.
- (M.2) Every monomorphism of \mathcal{M} is a cofibration.
- (M.3) For any object Y of \mathcal{M} , the functor $X \mapsto X \times Y$ preserves colimits.
- (M.4) For any cofibrations $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and $f': X' \rightarrow Y'$, the *pushout product*

$$f \square f': (X \times Y') \cup^{(X \times X')} (Y \times X') \rightarrow Y \times Y'$$

is a cofibration that is trivial if either f or f' is.

- (M.5) The ∞ -category $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{H}}\mathcal{M}$ is a homotopy theory of $(\infty, n-1)$ -categories.

Work of Bergner [11] and Lurie [27], combined with 14.6 above, shows that each of the following is an example of a model category of (∞, n) -categories:

- the projective or (equivalently) the injective model category [27, 2.2.16, 2.3.1, 2.3.9] $\mathrm{Seg}_{\mathcal{M}}$ of \mathcal{M} -enriched preSegal categories, and
- the model category [26, A.3.2] $\mathrm{Cat}_{\mathcal{M}}$ of categories enriched in \mathcal{M} .

Moreover, following Simpson [35] the injective (AKA Reedy) model category of Segal $(n-1)$ -categories [21, 30, 35] satisfies conditions (M.1-4); indeed, the most difficult of these to verify is (M.4), which Simpson does in [35, Th. 19.3.2 (using Corollary 17.2.6)].

Thus, for example, the injective and projective model categories of $\Theta_n Sp$ -enriched Segal categories $\text{Seg}_{\Theta_n Sp}$ as well as the model category $\text{Cat}_{\Theta_n Sp}$ of categories enriched in $\Theta_n Sp$ are seen to be model categories of (∞, n) -categories. Indeed very recent work of Bergner and Rezk [12] discusses these model categories in detail and links them by an explicit chain of Quillen equivalences.

Additionally, we see that the injective model category of Segal n -categories is also a model category of (∞, n) -categories, as is the model category of categories enriched in Segal $(n - 1)$ -categories.

A partial converse to 15.2 holds, which allows one to deduce Quillen equivalences between these various model categories.

Lemma 15.9 ([26, A.3.7.7]). *Two combinatorial model categories \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are connected by a chain of Quillen equivalences if and only if $\text{N}^H\mathcal{A}$ and $\text{N}^H\mathcal{B}$ are equivalent ∞ -categories.*

From this it follows that if \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are combinatorial model categories with the property that both $\text{N}^H\mathcal{A}$ and $\text{N}^H\mathcal{B}$ are theories of (∞, n) -categories, then \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are connected by a chain of Quillen equivalences. This applies to all of the model categories of (∞, n) -categories mentioned above.

A zig-zag of Quillen equivalences can be a troublesome gadget to work with. It is usually far more informative to have a single direct and explicit Quillen equivalence between competing model categories of (∞, n) -categories. While our techniques do not generally provide such a direct Quillen equivalence, we do offer the following recognition principle.

Proposition 15.10. *Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be two model categories of (∞, n) -categories and let $L : \mathcal{A} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{B} : R$ be a Quillen adjunction between them. Then (L, R) is a Quillen equivalence if and only if the left derived functor $\text{N}^HL : \text{N}^H\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{N}^H\mathcal{B}$ preserves the cells up to weak equivalence.*

Proof. A Quillen equivalence induces an equivalence $\text{N}^HL : \text{N}^H\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{N}^H\mathcal{B}$ of ∞ -categories. By Corollary 8.9 and Lemma 4.8 any such equivalence necessarily preserves the cells up to equivalence. Conversely, as the left-derived functor $\text{N}^HL : \text{N}^H\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{N}^H\mathcal{B}$ preserves (homotopy) colimits and $\text{N}^H\mathcal{A}$ and $\text{N}^H\mathcal{B}$ are generated under (homotopy) colimits by the cells (Corollary 8.8), it follows that N^HL induces an equivalence of ∞ -categories. In particular it induces an equivalence of homotopy categories, and hence (L, R) is a Quillen equivalence. \square

In particular the above applies when the cells are fibrant-cofibrant objects of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} which are preserved by L itself.

Example 15.11. The standard Quillen adjunction (cf. [27, Lemma 2.3.13]) from Segal n -categories to n -fold complete Segal spaces is a Quillen equivalence.

Example 15.12. The functor δ_n induces a Quillen equivalence between the model category of complete Segal Θ_n -spaces [32] and the model category of n -fold complete Segal spaces [27, 1.5.4]. (See also Bergner–Rezk [13]).

A category with a specified subcategory of weak equivalences is a *relative category*, and hence gives rise to a homotopy theory. Thus any theory of (∞, n) -categories arising this way may, in principle, be compared using our axioms. We therefore end with the following.

Conjecture 15.13. The ∞ -category underlying Verity’s n -trivial weak complicial sets [37, 38] is a homotopy theory of (∞, n) -categories. The relative category consisting of Batanin’s ω -categories [6] such that every k -cell is an equivalence for $k > n$, together with the class of morphisms which are essentially k -surjective for all k is a theory of (∞, n) -categories.

REFERENCES

1. Jiří Adámek and Jiří Rosický, *Locally presentable and accessible categories*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 189, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994. MR MR1294136 (95j:18001)
2. Dimitri Ara, Moritz Groth, and Javier J. Gutiérrez, *On autoequivalences of the $(\infty, 1)$ -category of ∞ -operads*, (2013).
3. C. Barwick, (∞, n) – Cat as a closed model category, Ph.D. thesis, University of Pennsylvania, May 2005.
4. C. Barwick and D. M. Kan, *n -relative categories*, Preprint. arxiv.org/abs/1102.0186, 2011.
5. ———, *Relative categories: Another model for the homotopy theory of homotopy theories*, To appear in *Indag. Math.* arxiv.org/abs/1011.1691, 2011.
6. M. A. Batanin, *Monoidal globular categories as a natural environment for the theory of weak n -categories*, *Adv. Math.* **136** (1998), no. 1, 39–103. MR 1623672 (99f:18010)
7. Clemens Berger, *A cellular nerve for higher categories*, *Adv. Math.* **169** (2002), no. 1, 118–175. MR 1916373 (2003g:18007)
8. ———, *Iterated wreath product of the simplex category and iterated loop spaces*, *Adv. Math.* **213** (2007), no. 1, 230–270. MR 2331244 (2008f:55010)
9. Julia E. Bergner, *A characterization of fibrant Segal categories*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **135** (2007), no. 12, 4031–4037 (electronic). MR 2341955 (2008h:55031)
10. ———, *A model category structure on the category of simplicial categories*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **359** (2007), no. 5, 2043–2058. MR 2276611 (2007i:18014)
11. ———, *Three models for the homotopy theory of homotopy theories*, *Topology* **46** (2007), no. 4, 397–436. MR 2321038 (2008e:55024)
12. Julia E. Bergner and Charles Rezk, *Comparison of models for (∞, n) -categories, I*, (2012), [arxiv:1204.2013](https://arxiv.org/abs/1204.2013).
13. ———, *Comparison of models of (∞, n) -categories, II*, In preparation.
14. J. M. Boardman and R. M. Vogt, *Homotopy invariant algebraic structures on topological spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1973, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 347. MR MR0420609 (54 #8623a)
15. W. G. Dwyer and D. M. Kan, *Calculating simplicial localizations*, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **18** (1980), no. 1, 17–35. MR 81h:55019
16. ———, *Function complexes in homotopical algebra*, *Topology* **19** (1980), no. 4, 427–440. MR 81m:55018
17. ———, *Simplicial localizations of categories*, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **17** (1980), no. 3, 267–284. MR 81h:55018
18. W. G. Dwyer, D. M. Kan, and J. H. Smith, *Homotopy commutative diagrams and their realizations*, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **57** (1989), no. 1, 5–24. MR MR984042 (90d:18007)
19. David Gepner and Rune Haugseng, *Enriched ∞ -categories via non-symmetric ∞ -operads*, *Adv. Math.* **279** (2015), 575–716. MR 3345192
20. Rune Haugseng, *Rectification of enriched ∞ -categories*, *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* **15** (2015), no. 4, 1931–1982. MR 3402334
21. A. Hirschowitz and C. Simpson, *Descente pour les n -champs (Descent for n -stacks)*, Preprint available from [arXiv:math/9807049v3](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/9807049v3).
22. André Joyal, *Disks, duality and theta-categories*, Unpublished manuscript, 1997.
23. ———, *Notes on quasi-categories*, Preprint. www.math.uchicago.edu/~may/IMA/JOYAL/, December 2008.
24. André Joyal and Myles Tierney, *Quasi-categories vs Segal spaces*, *Categories in algebra, geometry and mathematical physics*, *Contemp. Math.*, vol. 431, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007, pp. 277–326. MR 2342834 (2008k:55037)
25. Jacob Lurie, *Higher algebra*.

26. ———, *Higher topos theory*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 170, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2009. MR 2522659 (2010j:18001)
27. ———, $(\infty, 2)$ -categories and the Goodwillie calculus I, Preprint. arxiv.org/abs/0905.0462, 2009.
28. Saunders Mac Lane, *Categories for the working mathematician*, second ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 5, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998. MR 1712872 (2001j:18001)
29. Michael Makkai and Robert Paré, *Accessible categories: the foundations of categorical model theory*, Contemporary Mathematics, vol. 104, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1989. MR 1031717 (91a:03118)
30. R. Pellissier, *Catégories enrichies faibles*, Ph.D. thesis, Université de Nice-Sophia Antipolis, 2002, [arXiv:math.AT/0308246](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0308246).
31. Charles Rezk, *A model for the homotopy theory of homotopy theory*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **353** (2001), no. 3, 973–1007 (electronic). MR MR1804411 (2002a:55020)
32. ———, *A Cartesian presentation of weak n -categories*, Geom. Topol. **14** (2010), no. 1, 521–571. MR 2578310 (2010m:18005)
33. ———, *Correction to “A Cartesian presentation of weak n -categories” [mr2578310]*, Geom. Topol. **14** (2010), no. 4, 2301–2304. MR 2740648
34. Carlos Simpson, *Some properties of the theory of n -categories*, Preprint arxiv.org/abs/math/0110273.
35. ———, *Homotopy theory of higher categories*, New Mathematical Monographs, no. 19, Cambridge University Press, 2011.
36. Bertrand Toën, *Vers une axiomatisation de la théorie des catégories supérieures*, *K-Theory* **34** (2005), no. 3, 233–263. MR 2182378 (2006m:55041)
37. D. R. B. Verity, *Weak complicial sets. I. Basic homotopy theory*, *Adv. Math.* **219** (2008), no. 4, 1081–1149. MR 2450607 (2009j:18011)
38. Dominic Verity, *Weak complicial sets. II. Nerves of complicial Gray-categories*, *Categories in algebra, geometry and mathematical physics*, *Contemp. Math.*, vol. 431, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007, pp. 441–467. MR 2342841 (2008g:18011)