

D-CRYSTALS

CLARK BARWICK

Definition 1 (Grothendieck, [3, §16.8]). Suppose k a field, X/k a smooth variety.¹ Then the diagonal embedding $X \rightarrow X \times X$ is given by an ideal $I \triangleleft O_{X \times X}$, and the sheaf of differential operators of order $n \in \mathbf{N}$ is typically defined as the O_X -dual of the quotient $O_{X \times X}/I^{n+1}$:

$$D_{X/k,n} := \underline{\text{Mor}}_{O_X}(O_{X \times X}/I^{n+1}, O_X).$$

The resulting filtered sheaf is a sheaf of noncommutative rings, which will simply be denoted $D_{X/k}$, and the category of right $D_{X/k}$ -modules that are quasicohherent as O_X -modules will be denoted $\text{Mod}^r(D_{X/k})$.²

Theorem 2 (Kashiwara, [6, Theorem 2.3.1]). *Suppose $Z \rightarrow X$ a closed immersion of smooth varieties over a field k of characteristic 0; then the category of right $D_{Z/k}$ -modules is naturally equivalent to the category of right $D_{X/k}$ -modules set-theoretically supported on Z .*

Theorem 3 (Hodges, [5]). *Suppose k algebraically closed of characteristic 0, and suppose X smooth over k . Then the functor $- \otimes_{O_X} D_{X/k}$ induces an equivalence of K -theory spectra*

$$K(X) \rightarrow K(D_{X/k}).$$

About the Proof. For affines, this follows from the K' -equivalence of a filtered ring and its 0-th filtered piece [7, Theorem 7]. The general case follows from using Kashiwara's Theorem to devise a localization sequence for $K(D_{-/k})$, which can be compared to the localization sequence for K . \square

Example 4 (Bernstein-Gelfand-Gelfand, [2]). If X is singular, then $D_{X/k}$ is an unpleasant ring, and neither Kashiwara's nor Hodges' Theorem holds for right $D_{X/k}$ -modules. To illustrate, suppose that C is the affine cone over the Fermat curve $x^3 + y^3 + z^3 = 0$ (over \mathbf{C} , let us say); then X is normal, and has an isolated Gorenstein singularity at the origin.

Nevertheless, the ring $D(C)$ of differential operators is neither left nor right noetherian: if e denotes the Euler operator $x\partial_x + y\partial_y + z\partial_z$, and if $D^{(j)}(C)$ (respectively, $D_n^{(j)}(C)$) is the R -module of homogenous differential operators of degree j (resp., and of order n), then the two-sided ideals

$$J_k := \sum_{j>1} D^{(j)} + \sum_{n \geq 0} e^n D_k^{(1)}$$

form an ascending chain that does not stabilize.

5. The standard method for rectifying this is *defining deviancy down* by forcing Kashiwara's Theorem; namely, for a singular scheme Z , one embeds Z (at least locally) into a smooth scheme X and *defines* the category of right $D_{Z/k}$ -modules to be the full subcategory of right $D_{X/k}$ -modules set-theoretically supported along

Date: 19 July 2006.

¹For simplicity I will use the term "variety" for a separated noetherian scheme of finite type.

²I will stick to right D -modules here.

Z . One must then show that the resulting category is invariant up to a canonical equivalence of categories.

Definition 6 (Grothendieck, [4, 4.1]). The *infinitesimal site* (X_{inf}/k) of X/k is the category of diagrams $X \leftarrow S \rightarrow T$ in which the morphism $S \rightarrow T$ is a closed nilimmersion of k -schemes, and the morphism $S \rightarrow X$ is étale.³ There is a natural forgetful functor $(S, T) \mapsto T$ to the category of k -schemes; pull back the étale topology along this functor.

7. There is a stack in categories on the infinitesimal site of X :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Mod}_{X/k, \text{qc}}^! : (X_{\text{inf}}/k)^{\text{op}} &\longrightarrow \text{Cat} \\ (S, T) &\longmapsto \text{Mod}_{\text{qc}}(O_T) \\ (f, g) &\longmapsto H^0 g^!. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 8 (Beilinson-Drinfeld, [1, Definition 7.10.3]). A \mathcal{D} -crystal on X is a cartesian section of the stack $\text{Mod}_{X/k, \text{qc}}^!$. More precisely, a \mathcal{D} -crystal M assigns to every object (S, T) a quasicohherent O_T -module $M_{(S, T)}$ and to every morphism $(f, g) : (S, T) \rightarrow (S', T')$ an isomorphism

$$M_{(S, T)} \rightarrow H^0 g^! M_{(S', T')}.$$

The category of such will be denoted $\text{Cris}^!(X/k)$.

Example 9. Suppose X a smooth k -scheme. Then for any object $(S, T) \in (X_{\text{inf}}/k)$, let $p_T : T \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$ denote the structure morphism of T , and set

$$t\omega_{X/k}(T) := H^n p_T^! \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec } k}.$$

It follows from the smoothness property of X that there exists a morphism $q : T \rightarrow X$ of k -schemes, so that $H^n p_T^! \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec } k} \cong H^0 q^! \omega_{X/k}$, where $\omega_{X/k}$ is the dualizing sheaf of top-degree differential forms.⁴ Thus $t\omega_{X/k}$ is a \mathcal{D} -crystal.

Proposition 10 (Beilinson-Drinfeld, [1, Proposition 7.10.12]). *If X is a smooth k -scheme, then the category $\text{Cris}^!(X/k)$ is equivalent to the category $\text{Mod}^r(D_{X/k})$.*

About the Proof. The question is local, so assume X affine. If pr_1, pr_2 are the projections from the formal completion of the diagonal, $\text{Cris}^!(X/k)$ is equivalent to the category of quasicohherent O_X -modules M equipped with isomorphisms $\text{pr}_1^! M \cong \text{pr}_2^! M$ satisfying the obvious cocycle condition. There is a natural isomorphism

$$M \otimes_{O_X} D_X \cong \text{pr}_{2, \star} \text{pr}_1^! M,$$

and adjunction then converts the isomorphism $\text{pr}_1^! M \cong \text{pr}_2^! M$ into the structure of a right D_X -module; the cocycle condition guarantees associativity. \square

Theorem 11 (Beilinson-Drinfeld, [1, Lemma 7.10.11]). *Kashiwara's Theorem holds for \mathcal{D} -crystals; i.e., for any closed immersion $Z \rightarrow X$ of schemes (not necessarily smooth), the category of \mathcal{D} -crystals on Z is naturally equivalent to the category of \mathcal{D} -crystals on X set-theoretically supported on Z .*

³I can replace “étale” more generally with “quasi-finite” or less generally with “Zariski open immersion;” the resulting theory of \mathcal{D} -crystals is the same in each instance.

⁴Observe however that $\omega_{X/k}(T)$ is only a truncation of the dualizing complex $\omega_{T/k}$.

12. The appropriate functorialities of \mathcal{D} -crystals do not exist in general. It is more natural not to truncate $g^!$, and to consider instead the following $(\infty, 1)$ -stack:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{HMod}_{X/k, \mathrm{qc}}^! : (X_{\mathrm{inf}}/k)^{\mathrm{op}} &\longrightarrow (\infty, 1)\mathrm{Cat} \\ (S, T) &\longmapsto \mathrm{Cplx}(\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{qc}}(O_T)) \\ (f, g) &\longmapsto g^!. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 13. A *homotopy \mathcal{D} -crystal* on X is a homotopy cartesian section of the stack $\mathrm{HMod}_{X/k, \mathrm{qc}}^!$. The category of such will be denoted $\mathrm{HCris}^!(X/k)$.

Example 14. The assignment $(S, T) \mapsto \omega_{T/k}$ is a homotopy \mathcal{D} -crystal on X .

Proposition 15. *If X is a smooth k -scheme, then the category $\mathrm{HCris}^!(X/k)$ is equivalent to the category $\mathrm{Cplx}(\mathrm{Mod}^r(D_{X/k}))$.*

Theorem 16. *Kashiwara's Theorem holds for homotopy \mathcal{D} -crystals; i.e., if $Z \rightarrow X$ is any closed immersion of schemes (not necessarily smooth), there is a natural equivalence between the $(\infty, 1)$ -category of homotopy \mathcal{D} -crystals on Z and the full subcategory of the $(\infty, 1)$ -category of homotopy \mathcal{D} -crystals on X set-theoretically supported on X .*

Conjecture 17. *For any scheme X , the K -theory of the $(\infty, 1)$ -category of \mathcal{D} -crystals on X is naturally equivalent to $K^!(X)$.*

Strategy. Again the analogue of Kashiwara's theorem permits a quick reduction to the affine case. In this case it seems possible to work directly with the definition of K -theory of $(\infty, 1)$ -categories, but since the definition is necessarily complicated, I have not yet managed to check all the details unless X is Cohen-Macaulay. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Beilinson and V. Drinfeld. Quantization of Hitchin's integrable system and Hecke eigen-sheaves. Preprint available from <http://www.math.uchicago.edu/~arinkin/langlands/>.
- [2] I. N. Bernšteĭn, I. M. Gelfand, and S. I. Gelfand. Differential operators on a cubic cone. *Uspehi Mat. Nauk*, 27(1(163)):185–190, 1972.
- [3] A. Grothendieck. Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas IV. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (32):361, 1967.
- [4] A. Grothendieck. Crystals and the de Rham cohomology of schemes. In *Dix Exposés sur la Cohomologie des Schémas*, pages 306–358. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1968.
- [5] Timothy J. Hodges. K -theory of D -modules and primitive factors of enveloping algebras of semisimple Lie algebras. *Bull. Sci. Math. (2)*, 113(1):85–88, 1989.
- [6] Masaki Kashiwara. Algebraic study of systems of partial differential equations. *Mém. Soc. Math. France (N.S.)*, (63):xiv+72, 1995.
- [7] Daniel Quillen. Higher algebraic K -theory. I. In *Algebraic K-theory, I: Higher K-theories (Proc. Conf., Battelle Memorial Inst., Seattle, Wash., 1972)*, pages 85–147. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 341. Springer, Berlin, 1973.

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, GEORG-AUGUST-UNIVERSITÄT GÖTTINGEN, BUNSENSTRASSE 3–5, 37073 GÖTTINGEN, GERMANY
E-mail address: clarkbar@gmail.com