

# Minimal exponent and Hodge filtrations

Mircea Mustață  
(joint work with Mihnea Popa)

ZAG seminar  
May 19, 2020

# Introduction

**Set-up.** Let  $f \in R = \mathbf{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  nonzero. We want to study the singularities of the hypersurface  $H = (f = 0) \subset X = \mathbf{C}^n$  (more generally: a hypersurface in a smooth complex algebraic variety).

A fundamental invariant of singularities is the *log canonical threshold*  $\text{lct}(f)$ . In this talk I will discuss a refinement of this invariant: the *minimal exponent*. This becomes interesting when the singularities are ideal from the point of view of the log canonical threshold, that is, when  $\text{lct}(f) = 1$ .

I will focus mostly on describing the invariant, its properties, the tools used in its study, and some open problems.

# The log canonical threshold: the definition

**Analytic description:** for  $f \in \mathbf{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , the log canonical threshold  $\text{lct}(f)$  is

$$\sup \left\{ \lambda > 0 \mid \frac{1}{|f(x)|^{2\lambda}} \text{ is locally integrable} \right\}$$

If we only put the integrability condition around some  $P$  with  $f(P) = 0$ , then get the local log canonical threshold  $\text{lct}_P(f)$ .

Note: the “more  $f$  vanishes along its zero-locus”, the smaller the log canonical threshold.

**Algebraic description:** let  $\pi: Y \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^n$  be a log resolution of the pair  $(\mathbf{C}^n, (f = 0))$ . Hence  $\pi$  is proper, birational,  $Y$  is smooth, and locally on  $Y$  we have coordinates  $y_1, \dots, y_n$  such that

$$f \circ \pi = u(y)y_1^{a_1} \cdots y_n^{a_n} \quad \text{and} \quad \det(\text{Jac}(\pi)) = v(y)y_1^{k_1} \cdots y_n^{k_n},$$

with  $u(y)$  and  $v(y)$  invertible.

## The log canonical threshold: the definition, cont'd

For such a log resolution, we have

$$\text{lct}(f) = \min \frac{k_i + 1}{a_i}$$

with the minimum over all  $i$  and all charts as above.

Note: algebraic description a priori depends on resolution, but gives  $\text{lct}(f) \in \mathbf{Q}$ .

The equivalence of the two definitions follows from the change of variable formula:

$$\int_U \frac{1}{|f|^{2\lambda}} = \int_{\pi^{-1}(U)} \frac{|\det(\text{Jac}(\pi))|^2}{|f \circ \pi|^{2\lambda}}$$

and the fact that the function  $\frac{|z|^{2k_j}}{|z|^{2\lambda a_j}}$  on  $\mathbf{C}$  is locally integrable if and only if  $\lambda a_j - k_j < 1$ .

# The log canonical threshold: easy properties, examples

- 1) If we consider on the log resolution the strict transform of a component  $Z$  of  $(f = 0)$  such that  $\text{ord}_Z(f) = q$ , then  $\text{lct}(f) \leq \frac{1}{q}$ . In particular, we always have  $\text{lct}(f) \leq 1$ .
- 2) If  $f$  defines a smooth hypersurface, then  $\text{lct}(f) = 1$ .
- 3) Behavior under taking powers:  $\text{lct}(f^m) = \frac{\text{lct}(f)}{m}$ .
- 4) If  $d = \text{mult}_P(f)$ , then  $\frac{1}{d} \leq \text{lct}_P(f) \leq \frac{n}{d}$ .
- 5) If  $f = x_1^{a_1} + \dots + x_n^{a_n}$ , then

$$\text{lct}(f) = \min \left\{ 1, \frac{1}{a_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{a_n} \right\}$$

# The log canonical threshold in various settings

This invariant comes up in many settings, for example:

1) Birational geometry: it gives the largest  $c$  such that  $(\mathbf{C}^n, cH)$  is log canonical. There is a more general version when  $\mathbf{C}^n$  is replaced by a variety with mild singularities.

2) Vanishing theorems via multiplier ideals: it is the smallest  $\lambda > 0$  such that  $\mathcal{J}(f^\lambda) \neq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}^n}$ .

3) Jet schemes: gives the asymptotic rate of growth for the dimension of

$$\{u \in (\mathbf{C}[t]/(t^m))^n \mid f(u) = 0 \text{ in } \mathbf{C}[t]/(t^m)\}.$$

4) Positive characteristic version defined via Frobenius ( $F$ -pure threshold).

5) Criteria for  $K$ -semistability of Fano varieties.

## Some history: the Archimedean zeta function of $f$

If  $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbf{C}^n)$ , one can see that the map

$$s \in \mathbf{C}, \operatorname{Re}(s) > 0 \rightarrow \int_{\mathbf{C}^n} |f(x)|^{2s} \varphi(x) dx d\bar{x}$$

is well-defined and holomorphic.

Around 1970, Bernstein-S. Gelfand and Atiyah showed that this extends meromorphically to  $\mathbf{C}$  (problem of I. Gelfand). Argument: use resolution of singularities to reduce to monomial case, then integration by parts.

We get a meromorphic distribution denoted  $Z_f$ , the *Archimedean zeta function* of  $f$ . The argument shows: all poles are of the form  $-\frac{k_i+1+m}{a_i}$ , with  $m \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$  (in terms of log resolution). Also: the largest pole is  $-\operatorname{lct}(f)$ .

# The Bernstein-Sato polynomial

Soon afterwards, Bernstein gave another proof, using the following result:

**Theorem** (Bernstein, 1972). There is a nonzero polynomial  $b(s)$  such that

$$b(s)f^s = P(s, x, \partial_x) \bullet f^{s+1} \quad \text{for some } P$$

Here  $f^s$  is a formal symbol, on which derivations act by

$$\partial_{x_i} \bullet f^s = \frac{s \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}}{f} f^s$$

Note: the set of such  $b(s)$  is an ideal in  $\mathbf{C}[s]$ . Its monic generator is the *Bernstein-Sato* polynomial  $b_f(s)$ .

**Remark.** By making  $s = -1$  in the definition, we see

$$b_f(-1) \frac{1}{f} = P(-1, x, \partial_x) \bullet 1 \in \mathbf{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$$

If  $f$  not invertible, then  $b_f(-1) = 0$ . Put  $\tilde{b}_f(s) = b_f(s)/(s+1)$ .



## More history: $b_f(s)$ and the Archimedean zeta function

For simplicity, suppose that  $f \in \mathbf{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  and  $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} b_f(s) \int_{f(x) > 0} f(x)^s \varphi(x) dx &= \int_{f(x) > 0} (P(s, x, \partial_x) \bullet f(x)^{s+1}) \varphi(x) dx \\ &= \int_{f(x) > 0} f(x)^{s+1} \psi(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

for some  $\psi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ . This uses integration by parts and the fact that  $\varphi$  has compact support. Note that this is well-defined and holomorphic for  $\operatorname{Re}(s) > -1$ .

We repeat: multiply by  $b_f(s+1)$  etc. Conclusion:  $Z_f$  admits meromorphic continuation and all the poles are of the form  $\lambda - j$ , for some root  $\lambda$  of  $b_f(s)$  and some  $j \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ .

## $b_f(s)$ : examples

**Example 1.** If  $f = x_1$ , then

$$\partial_{x_1} \bullet x_1^{s+1} = (s+1)x_1^s$$

We get  $b_f(s) = s+1$ . One can show: this holds iff  $H$  is smooth.

**Example 2.** If  $f = x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2$ , then

$$(\partial_{x_1}^2 + \dots + \partial_{x_n}^2) \bullet f^{s+1} = 2(s+1)(2s+n)f^s.$$

In fact, we have  $b_f(s) = (s+1)(s + \frac{n}{2})$ .

**Example 3** (Cayley). If  $f = \det(x_{i,j}) \in \mathbf{C}[x_{i,j} \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq n]$ , then

$$\det(\partial_{i,j}) \bullet f^{s+1} = (s+1)(s+2) \cdots (s+n)f^s.$$

In fact,  $b_f(s) = (s+1)(s+2) \cdots (s+n)$ .

## $b_f(s)$ : basic properties

**Theorem** (Kashiwara, 1976). For every  $f$ , all roots of  $b_f(s)$  are in  $\mathbf{Q}_{<0}$ .

Idea: relate the  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -module  $\mathcal{O}_X[1/f, s]f^s$  and the push-forward of the corresponding  $\mathcal{D}$ -module on a log resolution.

**Theorem** (Lichtin, 1989). With our notation for a log resolution of  $(\mathbf{C}^n, H)$ , every root of  $b_f(s)$  is equal to  $-\frac{k_i+1+j}{a_i}$  for some  $i$  and some  $j \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ; in particular, all roots are  $\leq -\text{lct}(f)$ .

Idea: refine Kashiwara's method, bringing in the picture  $K_{Y/X}$ .

**Theorem** (Kollár, 1997). For every  $f$ , we have  $b_f(-\text{lct}(f)) = 0$ .

Idea: use integration by parts as in the application of  $b_f(s)$  to  $Z_f(s)$ .

By the last two theorems: the largest root of  $b_f(s)$  is  $-\text{lct}(f)$ .

# The minimal exponent

**Definition** (Saito). The *minimal exponent*  $\tilde{\alpha}(f)$  of  $f$  is the negative of the largest root of  $\tilde{b}_f(s)$ . Also local version  $\tilde{\alpha}_P(f)$  for  $P \in H$ .

Convention: if  $\tilde{b}_f(s) = 1$  (i.e.  $H$  is smooth), then  $\tilde{\alpha}(f) = \infty$ .

Note: the results of Lichtin and Kollár imply  $\text{lct}(f) = \min\{\tilde{\alpha}(f), 1\}$ .

Hence  $\tilde{\alpha}(f)$  gives new information precisely when  $\text{lct}(f) = 1$ .

**Theorem** (Saito, 1993). The hypersurface  $H$  has rational singularities iff  $\tilde{\alpha}(f) > 1$ .

Note: if the log resolution is such that  $\tilde{H}$  is smooth, then  $H$  has rational singularities iff  $k_i + 1 \geq a_i$  for all  $i$ , with equality iff  $(y_i = 0)$  corresponds to a component of  $\tilde{H}$ .

Invariant studied in the 80s for  $f$  with isolated singularities by Varchenko, Steenbrink, Loeser (called *Arnold exponent*).

## Minimal exponent: examples

The minimal exponent is a refinement of the log canonical threshold that gives an interesting measure of rational singularities. Many properties extend from  $\text{lct}(f)$ , but there are still many open questions.

**Example 1.** If  $f = x_1^{a_1} + \dots + x_n^{a_n}$ , with  $a_i \geq 2$  for all  $i$ , then

$$\tilde{\alpha}(f) = \frac{1}{a_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{a_n}$$

**Example 2.** If  $f$  is homogeneous, of degree  $d \geq 2$ , with isolated singularity, then

$$\tilde{\alpha}(f) = \frac{n}{d}$$

**Example 3.** If  $f = \det(x_{i,j})$ , then  $\tilde{\alpha}(f) = 2$ .

# Properties of the minimal exponent

**Theorem** (M.-Popa). The minimal exponent satisfies the following properties:

i) If  $d = \text{mult}_P(f) \geq 2$ , then

$$\tilde{\alpha}_P(f) \leq \frac{n}{d}$$

with equality if  $f$  has an ordinary singularity at  $P$ .

- ii) The invariant goes down under restriction to a smooth hypersurface: if  $g = f(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, 0)$ , then  $\tilde{\alpha}_0(g) \leq \tilde{\alpha}_0(f)$ .
- iii) We have  $\tilde{\alpha}_P(f + g) \leq \tilde{\alpha}_P(f) + \tilde{\alpha}_P(g)$ .
- iv) Given a family  $(f_t)_{t \in T}$  and points  $P_t$  such that  $f_t(P_t) = 0$ , the function  $T \ni t \rightarrow \tilde{\alpha}_{P_t}(f_t)$  is lower semicontinuous.

**Remark.** In the case of isolated singularities, the above statements follow from the work of Varchenko, Steenbrink, and Loeser.

# Higher direct images of sheaves of differential forms

Let  $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$  be a log resolution of  $(X, H)$ , isomorphism over  $X \setminus H$ , and let  $E = \pi^*(H)_{\text{red}}$ . Suppose  $H$  is singular.

**Theorem** (M.-Popa) We have

$$R^i \pi_* \Omega_Y^{n-i}(\log E) = 0 \quad \text{for all } i > n - 1 - [\tilde{\alpha}(f)]$$

**Remarks.** 1) We have  $R^i \pi_* \Omega_Y^j(\log E) = 0$  for  $i + j > n$  (Saito).

2) In particular, we always get  $R^{n-1} \pi_* \Omega_Y^1(\log E) = 0$  (easy).

3) First interesting case:  $R^{n-2} \pi_* \Omega_Y^2(\log E) = 0$  if  $H$  has rational singularities (M.-Olano-Popa, Kebekus-Schnell).

4) For quasi-homogeneous, isolated singularities, the result is sharp.

## Minimal exponent and Hodge ideals

The proofs of the above theorems make use of the connection between minimal exponent and two other objects: *Hodge ideals* and *V-filtration*. From now on: suppose that  $H$  is reduced. Consider the inclusion map

$$j: U = X \setminus H \hookrightarrow X = \mathbf{C}^n \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{O}_X[1/f] = j_*\mathcal{O}_U.$$

$\mathcal{O}_X[1/f]$  is not just a module over the sheaf  $\mathcal{D}_X$  of differential operators, but underlies a mixed Hodge module in the sense of Saito's theory. The filtered pieces:

$$F_k\mathcal{O}_X[1/f] = \frac{1}{f^{k+1}}I_k(f) \quad \text{for an ideal } I_k(f) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_X \text{ } k^{\text{th}}\text{Hodge ideal}$$

Similarly, by considering the push-forward of  $\mathbf{Q}_V^H[n]$  from a suitable étale cover  $V$  of  $U$ , one puts a Hodge filtration on  $\mathcal{O}_X[1/f]f^{-\lambda}$ , for  $\lambda \in \mathbf{Q}_{>0}$  and define  $I_k(f^\lambda)$ .



## Minimal exponent and Hodge ideals, cont'd

This notion extends that of multiplier ideal

$$I_0(f^\lambda) = \mathcal{J}(f^{\lambda-\epsilon}) \quad \text{for } 0 < \epsilon \ll 1$$

and many properties of multiplier ideals extend to Hodge ideals.

**Theorem** (M.-Popa, Saito). If  $\lambda \in (0, 1]$  and  $k \geq 0$ , then

$$I_k(f^\lambda) = \mathcal{O}_X \quad \text{if and only if } k + \lambda \leq \tilde{\alpha}(f)$$

This in turn follows from a result describing all  $I_k(f^\lambda)$  in terms of the  $V$ -filtration on  $\mathcal{O}_X[1/f, s]f^s$  constructed by Malgrange. For example:

$$F_k \mathcal{O}_X[1/f] = \{P(x, -1)f^{-1} \mid P(x, s)f^s \in F_{k+1}V^1\}$$

## Open problem: description via resolutions/valuations

We begin with a result. Suppose that  $H$  is reduced and  $\pi: Y \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^n$  is a log resolution of  $(\mathbf{C}^n, H)$  such that the strict transform  $\tilde{H}$  is smooth. Write

$$\pi^*(H) = \tilde{H} + \sum_i a_i E_i \quad \text{and} \quad K_{Y/\mathbf{C}^n} = \sum_i k_i E_i$$

**Theorem** (M.-Popa, Dirks-M.) We have the following inequality:

$$\tilde{\alpha}(f) \geq \min_i \frac{k_i + 1}{a_i}$$

Note: if  $\tilde{\alpha}(f) > 1$ , then by successively blowing-up the intersection of  $\tilde{H}$  with exceptional divisors, can make RHS in theorem approach 1, hence can't expect equality in general.

## Open problem: description via resol/val, cont'd

For the questions that follow may assume  $H$  has rational singularities.

**Question 1.** Given  $f$ , is there always a log resolution of  $(\mathbf{C}^n, H)$  as above for which we have

$$\tilde{\alpha}(f) = \min_i \frac{k_i + 1}{a_i}?$$

**Question 2.** Given an arbitrary log resolution of  $(\mathbf{C}^n, H)$ , is there always an  $i$  such that

$$\tilde{\alpha}(f) = \frac{k_i + 1}{a_i}?$$

**Question 3.** Given an arbitrary log resolution of  $(\mathbf{C}^n, H)$ , what is the data needed to read off  $\tilde{\alpha}(f)$ ?

## Open problem: connection to zeta functions

We begin again with a known result. It is known that for every non-constant  $f$ , the Archimedean zeta function  $Z_f$  has (at least) simple poles at the negative integers. The other poles: *non-trivial*.

**Theorem** (Loeser, 1985). If  $H$  has isolated singularities, then the largest non-trivial pole of  $Z_f$  is at  $-\tilde{\alpha}(f)$ .

**Question.** Does the result remain true for arbitrary singularities?

**Remark.** Unlike the analytic characterization of  $\text{lct}(f)$ , the above result seems much harder to use to prove properties of  $\tilde{\alpha}(f)$ .

It would be very interesting to prove a non-Archimedean analogue of the above result (for *Igusa* or *motivic* zeta functions).

Igusa zeta function:  $Z_{f,p}(s) = \int_{\mathbf{Z}_p^n} |f(x)|_p^s d\mu_p$  for  $f \in \mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ .

## Open problem: connection to zeta functions, cont'd

Denef-Loeser motivic zeta function: if  $\mathcal{M}_0 = K_0(\text{Var}/\mathbf{C})[\mathbf{L}^{-1}]$ , then

$$Z_f^{\text{mot}} = \int_{\mathbf{C}[t]^n} \mathbf{L}^{-s \cdot \text{ord}_t f(-)} \in \mathcal{M}_0[[\mathbf{L}^{-s}]]$$

Equivalent information with  $\sum_{m \geq 0} [H_m] \mathbf{L}^{-(m+1)n} T^m \in \mathcal{M}_0[[T]]$ , where  $H_m = \{u \in (\mathbf{C}[t]/(t^{m+1}))^n \mid f(u) = 0\}$ .

Denef-Loeser:  $Z_f^{\text{mot}}$  is a rational function, with denominators products of  $1 - \mathbf{L}^{-a_i s - k_i - 1}$ . The  $-\frac{k_i+1}{a_i}$  that “have to appear” are the poles of  $Z_f^{\text{mot}}$ .

**Question.** Is the largest pole of  $(1 - \mathbf{L}^{-s-1}) \cdot Z_f^{\text{mot}}$  equal to  $-\tilde{\alpha}(f)$ ?

Recall: the Strong Monodromy Conjecture predicts that all poles of  $Z_f^{\text{mot}}$  are roots of  $b_f(s)$ .

## Open problem: $\tilde{\alpha}(f)$ as log canonical threshold

The log canonical threshold can be defined also for an ideal  $\mathfrak{a} = (f_1, \dots, f_r)$ , either:

- by the same formula, involving a log resolution of  $(\mathbf{C}^n, V(\mathfrak{a}))$ , or
- $\text{lct}(\mathfrak{a}) = \sup \left\{ \lambda > 0 \mid \frac{1}{(|f_1|^2 + \dots + |f_r|^2)^\lambda} \text{ is locally integrable} \right\}$ .

**Question.** Given  $f$  having a singular point at  $P$ , is there a “natural” ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  associated to  $f$  such that

$$\tilde{\alpha}_P(f) = \text{lct}(\mathfrak{a})?$$

Going in the opposite direction is OK:

**Theorem (M.).** If  $\mathfrak{a} = (f_1, \dots, f_r)$  and  $g = f_1 y_1 + \dots + f_r y_r$ , then

$$\text{lct}(\mathfrak{a}) = \tilde{\alpha}(g)$$

## Open problem: analogue in positive characteristic

Suppose now that  $g \in \mathbf{F}_p[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , with  $g(0) = 0$ . In this case, Takagi and Watanabe defined the *F-pure threshold*  $\text{fpt}_0(g)$ :

$$\text{fpt}_0(g) = \lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\nu(e)}{p^e}$$

where  $\nu(e) = \max\{r \mid g^r \notin (x_1^{p^e}, \dots, x_n^{p^e})\} \leq p^e - 1$ .

This is an analogue of  $\text{lct}_0(f)$  and there are important results and conjectures, when  $f \in \mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , regarding the relation between  $\text{lct}_0(f)$  and  $\text{fpt}_0(f \bmod p)$  for various primes  $p$ .

**Question.** Is there an analogue of  $\tilde{\alpha}(f)$  in this setting? This would be  $> 1$  precisely when  $(g = 0)$  has *F*-rational singularities.