Bounded Three-Dimensional Fano Varieties of Integer Index
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ABSTRACT. The cube of the anticanonical class of a three-dimensional Fano variety with canonical singularities and integer Fano index is effectively bounded.
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All varieties under consideration are complex and projective. The basic definitions and notation can be found in [1] and [2].

§1. Introduction

The main purpose of this paper is to prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** Suppose that $X$ is a three-dimensional Fano variety with canonical singularities, and $-K_X \sim_{\mathbb Q} H$, where $H$ is an ample Cartier divisor. Then $H^3 \leq 184/I$, where $I$ is the Gorenstein index of $X$.

**Remark 1.** In Theorem 1, $I$ equals 1 or 2 (see [3]). Considering the global canonical covering of $X$ (see [4]), we can assume that $I = 1$, i.e., that $X$ is Gorenstein. It is easy to see that $-K_X \sim H$ in this case.

**Remark 2.** The bound for $H^3$ given by Theorem 1 is apparently far from being perfect. If $X$ is smooth, then $H^3 \leq 64$ (see [2]), and the equality is attained for $\mathbb P^3$. If $X$ has terminal Gorenstein singularities, $X$ can be deformed into a smooth variety (see [5]), and hence $H^3 \leq 64$. If $X$ has terminal singularities, a consideration of the canonical covering of $X$ (see Remark 1) implies that $H^3 \leq 64/I$.

There exist examples of three-dimensional Fano varieties with canonical Gorenstein singularities for which $H^3 = 72$, such as the cone over an anticanonically embedded del Pezzo surface of degree 9 (see [2]).

For $X$ with non-Gorenstein terminal quotient singularities, the classification implies that $H^3 \leq 24$; the equality is attained for the quotient of $\mathbb P^1 \times \mathbb P^1 \times \mathbb P^1$ by an involution with a finite number of fixed points (see [4]).

In [6, 7], Fano examined three-dimensional varieties whose hyperplane sections are $K3$ and Enriques (with canonical singularities) surfaces, respectively. Assuming the normality of such varieties, we can show that they coincide with the varieties satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 1 and the additional condition that the divisor $H$ is very ample. If $H$ is a $K3$ surface, we have $I = 1$, and if it is an Enriques surface, then $I = 2$ (see [3]). Interestingly, Fano conjectured that $H^3 \leq 72$ if $X$ has Gorenstein singularities and $H^3 \leq 24$ otherwise.

§2. $X$ with $B_s|H| \neq \emptyset$

**Theorem 2.** If $I = 1$ and $B_s|H| \neq \emptyset$ in Theorem 1, then $H^3 \leq 46$. 
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Proof. The results of [8] imply that, if $H^3 > 2$, $Bs[H]$ is a smooth rational curve $Z \in X \setminus \text{Sing}(X)$. Let $\pi: \overline{X} \to X$ be a blow-up of $Z$. Then the following diagram is commutative:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\overline{X} & \xrightarrow{\pi} & X \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow f \\
X & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & S
\end{array}
$$

where the morphism $f$ is a fibration into elliptic curves and $\pi^{-1}(Z) = \overline{Z}$ is a section of $f$. Moreover, $f|_Z: \overline{Z} \to S$ is either an isomorphism or a contraction of the exceptional section of the ruled surface $\overline{Z} \cong \mathbb{F}_n$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}_0$).

Let $E$ and $F$ be the surfaces swept out by the fibers of the morphism $f$ and passing through the fiber and the exceptional section of the ruled surface $\overline{Z}$, respectively. Then

$$K_{\overline{X}} = -\mathbb{F} - \frac{m+n}{2}E,$$

where $m = (H^3 + 2)/2$ and $m = n$ if $S$ is singular.

If the surface $S$ is nonsingular, then $Bs[E] = \emptyset$. If the surface $S$ is singular and $Bs[E] \neq \emptyset$, then $\dim(Bs[E]) = 0$, because $Bs[E] \cap Z = Bs[E] \cap \pi^{-1}(H) = \emptyset$ and $H$ is ample. Note that $Bs[E] \neq \emptyset$ only if $E$ is not a $Q$-Cartier divisor. In the latter case, let $g: X' \to \overline{X}$ be a small morphism such that $g^{-1}(E)$ is a $g$-ample $Q$-Cartier divisor (see [9]). Then $Bs[g^{-1}(E)] = \emptyset$. Indeed, if $Bs[g^{-1}(E)] \neq \emptyset$, then $Bs[g^{-1}(E)] = E_1 \cap E_2$ for sufficiently general divisors $E_1$ and $E_2$ from the linear system $|g^{-1}(E)|$, and $Bs[g^{-1}(E)]$ lies in fibers of the morphism $f \circ g|_{E_1}: E_1' \to \mathbb{P}^1$. The intersection form is seminegative definite in the fibers of the morphism $f \circ g|_{E_1}$, and hence, $g^{-1}(E)^3 \leq 0$; but $E_1 \cap E_2$ lies in fibers of the morphism $g$, and $g^{-1}(E)^3 > 0$, because the divisor $g^{-1}(E)$ is $g$-ample. Therefore, $Bs[g^{-1}(E)] = \emptyset$. Now, replacing $X$ with $X'$ where necessary, we can assume that $Bs[E] = \emptyset$.

The assertion of the theorem follows from the inequality $(m+n)/2 \leq 12$. Suppose that this inequality does not hold. Consider the log pair

$$\left(K_{\overline{X}}, \gamma \left(\overline{Z} + \mathbb{F} + \frac{m+n}{4}E_1 + \frac{m+n}{4}E_2\right)\right),$$

where $\gamma \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ and $E_1$ and $E_2$ are two sufficiently general divisors from the linear system $|E|$. It is well known that, if $\gamma < 1$, the set of log-canonical singularities (see [10]) of the log pair $(*)$ is connected. Indeed, consider the log resolution $\tau: Y \to \overline{X}$. The following relation holds:

$$K_Y + \tau^*\left(\gamma(1-\gamma)H\right) \equiv \tau^*(K_{\overline{X}} + \pi^*(H)) - \sum_{i=1}^k a_iE_i + \sum_{j=1}^l b_jD_j,$$

where $E_i$ and $D_j$ are irreducible divisors on $Y$, $a_i$ and $b_j$ are positive rational numbers, and the divisors $D_j$ are exceptional for the morphism $\tau$. We must show that the set $V = -\sum_{i=1}^k a_iE_i$ is connected. Note that

$$\pi^*(H) = \overline{Z} + \mathbb{F} + \frac{m+n}{2}E.$$

In a neighborhood of $\overline{Z}$, $\tau$ can be assumed to be an isomorphism, and $V$ is either $\emptyset$, if

$$\gamma < \frac{4}{m+n},$$
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or \{\overline{E_1}, \overline{E_2}\}, otherwise. By the Kawamata–Viehweg vanishing theorem (see [1]),
\[ H^1\left( \tau^*(K_{\overline{X}} + \pi^*(H)) + \left[ -\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i E_i \right] + \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{l} b_j D_j \right] \right) = 0. \]
This implies surjectivity:
\[ H^0\left( \tau^*(\overline{Z}) + \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{l} b_j D_j \right] \right) \rightarrow H^0\left( \left( \tau^*(\overline{Z}) + \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{l} b_j D_j \right] \right) |_{V} \right) \rightarrow 0. \]
Since the divisors \( D_j \) are \( \tau \)-exceptional and the divisor \( \overline{Z} \) is fixed, we have
\[ H^0\left( \tau^*(\overline{Z}) + \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{l} b_j D_j \right] \right) = \mathbb{C}. \]

Now let us show that, if \((m + n)/2 > 12 \) and \( \gamma = 1/(6 + \varepsilon) \) \((0 < \varepsilon \ll 1)\), then the set of log-canonical singularities of the log pair \((\ast)\) is disconnected. First, this set contains the divisors \( \overline{E_1} \) and \( \overline{E_2} \). Secondly, the assertion is trivially true in a neighborhood of \( \overline{Z} \). Therefore, it is sufficient to show that the set of log-canonical singularities of the log pair
\[ \left( K_{\overline{X}}, \frac{1}{6 + \varepsilon} \overline{F} \right) \]
contains no elements of codimension two that do not lie in fibers of the morphism \( f \). It is easy to see that, in codimension two, the singularities of the pair \((***)\) that do not lie in fibers of \( f \) coincide with the singularities of the log pair
\[ \left( K_{\overline{E_1}}, \frac{1}{6 + \varepsilon} \overline{F} \cap \overline{E_1} \right). \]

Note that \( \overline{F} \cap \overline{E_1} \) is a nonmultiple irreducible fiber of the elliptic fibration \( f|_{\overline{E_1}} : \overline{E_1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \), and the surface \( \overline{E_1} \) has canonical singularities, because the linear system \([\overline{E}]\) can be assumed free. It is easy to calculate directly that the log pair \((***)\) is log-terminal if \( \gamma < 1, 5/6, 2/3, 1/2, 1/3, 1/4, \) or \( 1/6 \) and the preimage of \( \overline{F} \cap \overline{E_1} \) on the minimal resolution of the surface \( \overline{E_1} \) is a degenerate fiber of an elliptic surface of the type of stable fiber, \( II, III, IV, I^*_{0 \geq 0}, IV^*, III^*, \) or \( II^* \), respectively. \( \square \)

**Remark 3.** If \( I = 1 \) and \( X \) has terminal singularities in Theorem 1, then \( H^2 \leq 6 \). Indeed, this can be shown by setting \( \gamma = 5/(6 + \varepsilon) \) \((0 < \varepsilon \ll 1)\) in the proof of Theorem 2.

**Remark 4.** If \( I = 1 \) and \( H^3 \geq 48 \) in Theorem 1, then there are the following possibilities:

(1) \( H \) is very ample;
(2) the linear system \([H]\) determines a double covering of a variety of minimal degree (\( X \) is hyperelliptic).

This follows from Theorem 2 and the properties of movable linear systems on \( K3 \) surfaces (see [2, 8]).

§3. \( X \) is hyperelliptic or trigonal

**Lemma 1 (M. Reid).** Consider
\[ V \cong \text{Proj}\left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(d_i) \right) \quad \text{and} \quad Y_j \cong \text{Proj}\left( \bigoplus_{i=j}^{m} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(d_i) \right), \]
where \( d_1 \geq \cdots \geq d_m, \ d_1 > d_m, \) and \( m \geq j > 1 \). Let us identify \( Y_j \) with the subvariety in \( V \) determined by the natural projection \( \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(d_i) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=j}^{m} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(d_i) \). Suppose that \( s \in H^0\left( \mathcal{O}_{V/\mathbb{P}^1}(a) \otimes f^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(b)) \right) \), where \( f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \) is the natural projection and \( a \) and \( b \) are integers. Then \( s \) has a zero on \( Y_j \) of order no smaller than \( q \) if and only if
\[ ad_j + b + (d_1 - d_j)(q - 1) < 0. \]
Proof. See [2].

Lemma 2. If, in Theorem 1, \( I = 1 \) and \( X \) is hyperelliptic (see Remark 4), then \( H^3 \leq 16 \).

Proof (In the smooth case, see [2]). It is easy to see that \( \varphi_{|H|}(X) \) is a variety of "minimal degree;" taking into account the required inequality, we can assume that

\[
\varphi_{|H|}(X) \cong \varphi_{|O_{V/p^1}(1)|}(V), \quad V \cong \text{Proj} \left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^{3} O_{P^1}(d_i) \right), \quad d_1 \geq d_2 \geq d_3 \geq 0.
\]

If \( d_1 = d_2 = d_3 \), then \( H^3 \leq 16 \). Therefore, we can assume that \( d_1 > d_3 \). If \( \varphi_{|H|}(X) \) is singular in codimension 2, then \( [11] \) \( H^3 \leq 8 \). Hence we can assume that \( d_2 \neq 0 \). Note that \( d_3 = 0 \) if \( \varphi_{|H|}(X) \) is singular, and, in the notations of Lemma 1, \( \varphi_{|O_{V/p^1}(1)|} \) contracts the curve \( Y_3 \).

Let \( D \) be the proper preimage on \( V \) of the ramification divisor of the double covering \( \varphi_{|H|} \). In the notations of Lemma 1, we have

\[
D \sim O_{V/p^1}(4) - f^*\left(O_{P^1}(2(d_1 + d_2 + d_3 - 2))\right).
\]

Considering the normalization of the fiber product \( X \times_{\varphi_{|H|}(X)} V \), we readily see that \( D \) contains \( Y_3 \) with multiplicity no larger than 2. Since \( D \) is reduced, it contains \( Y_2 \) with multiplicity no larger than 1.

Lemma 1 implies the inequalities

\[
d_2 - d_1 - 2d_3 + 4 \geq 0, \quad 4 - 2d_2 \geq 0,
\]

whence \( H^3 = 2(d_1 + d_2 + d_3) \leq 16 \). \( \square \)

Lemma 3. Suppose that, in Theorem 1, \( I = 1 \) and \( X \) is trigonal, i.e., \( H \) is very ample, and a general element of the family \( \{H^2\} \) of curves is an irreducible smooth trigonal curve. Then \( H^3 \leq 54 \).

Proof. Let us identify \( X \) with its anticanonical image. As in the case of a smooth \( X \) (see [2]), we can show that the intersection of the quadrics in \( P^{H^3/2+2} \) that contain \( X \) is \( W \cong \varphi_{|O_{V/p^1}(1)|}(V) \), where

\[
V \cong \text{Proj} \left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^{4} O_{P^1}(d_i) \right), \quad d_1 \geq d_2 \geq d_3 \geq d_4 \geq 0.
\]

If \( d_1 = d_2 = d_3 = d_4 \), then \( H^3 \leq 18 \). Therefore, we can assume that \( d_1 > d_4 \). Suppose that \( Z = \text{Sing}(W) \cong P^k \) (\( k = 0, 1, 2 \)); then \( W \) is a cone with vertex \( Z \). As in the smooth case, \( Z \cap X \subseteq \text{Sing}(X) \).

Note that, if \( \dim(Z) = 2 \), then \( \dim(Z \cap X) = 1 \), \( d_2 = d_3 = d_4 = 0 \), and, in the notations of Lemma 1, \( \varphi_{|O_{V/p^1}(1)|} \) contracts \( Y_2 \) onto \( Z \) and is a blow-up at a generic point of \( Z \).

Suppose that \( \varphi^{-1} \varphi_{|O_{V/p^1}(1)|}(X) \). The subadjunction formula (see [1]) and the smoothness of \( V \) imply that \( \varphi \) has canonical singularities; in the notations of Lemma 1, we have

\[
\varphi \sim O_{V/p^1}(3) + f^*(O_{P^1}(2 - d_1 - d_2 - d_3 - d_4)).
\]

Since \( \varphi \) is irreducible, \( \varphi \) does not contain \( Y_2 \); it contains \( Y_3 \) with multiplicity no larger than 1 and \( Y_4 \) with multiplicity no larger than 2. Lemma 1 implies the inequalities

\[
2d_2 - d_1 - d_3 - d_4 + 2 \geq 0, \quad d_3 - d_2 + 2 \geq 0, \quad 2 - d_2 - d_3 + d_1 \geq 0,
\]

whence \( H^3 = 2 + 2(d_1 + d_2 + d_3 + d_4) \leq 54 \). \( \square \)
§4. X is swept out by “straight lines”

Lemma 4. Suppose that \( x \) is a closed smooth point of a \( k \)-dimensional variety \( X \) and \( H \) is an ample Cartier divisor on \( X \). If a generic point \( v \in X \) is connected with \( x \) by an irreducible curve \( C_v \) such that \( HC_v \leq d \), then \( H^k \leq d^k \).

Proof. We have
\[
h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(mH)) = m^k H^k / k! + O(m^{k-1})
\]
for \( m \in \mathbb{N}_{>0} \). If \( H^k > d^k \), then, for \( m \gg 0 \), there exists a divisor \( D \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(mH)) \) of multiplicity no smaller than \((md + 1)\) at the point \( x \). Therefore, \( D \) contains all curves \( C_v \), which cannot be. \( \square \)

Lemma 5. If, in Theorem 1, \( I = 1 \) and there exists an irreducible curve \( C_v \) passing through a generic point \( v \in X \) and such that \( HC_v = 1 \), then \( H^3 \leq 46 \).

Proof. If \( Bs|H| \neq \emptyset \), then Theorem 2 implies that \( H^3 \leq 46 \). Suppose that \( Bs|H| = \emptyset \) and consider the family \( \{C\} \) of irreducible reduced curves such that \( HC = 1 \) and \( \{C\} \) contains a curve passing through a generic point of \( X \). Remark 4 implies that \( C \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \).

Consider the RC-fibration (see [12, 13]) \( \varphi: X \rightarrow W \) associated with \( \{C\} \).

If \( \dim(W) = 0 \), then two generic points of \( X \) can be connected by a chain of no more than three curves from \( \{C\} \); we can glue together these curves and obtain a new family \( \{C'\} \) such that two generic points of \( X \) can be connected by one curve from the family \( \{C'\} \) (see [13]). The application of Lemma 4 to \( \{C'\} \) yields the inequality \( H^3 \leq 26 \) (\( H^3 \) is even).

Consider the commutative diagram
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\bar{X} & \xrightarrow{\pi} & W \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi \\
X & \xrightarrow{\xi} & W
\end{array}
\]
where \( \bar{X} \) is a smooth variety, \( \pi \) is birational, and \( \varphi \) is a morphism.

Suppose that \( \dim(W) = 1 \). Consider a generic fiber \( S \) of the morphism \( \varphi \) and a generic curve \( C \) from \( \{C\} \). The family \( \{\pi^{-1}(C)\} \) of curves determines a family \( \{C_S\} \in S \) of curves on \( S \). The generic curve \( C_S \) from \( \{C_S\} \) is irreducible, smooth, and rational; we have \(-K_S C_S \leq 1 \) and \( C_S^2 > 0 \), which contradicts the adjunction formula.

If \( \dim(W) = 2 \), we consider a generic curve \( C \) from \( \{C\} \); \( \pi^{-1}(C) \) is a generic fiber of the morphism \( \varphi \) and \(-K_{\bar{X}} \pi^{-1}(C) \leq 1 \), which contradicts the relation \(-K_{\bar{X}} \pi^{-1}(C) = 2 \), because the generic fiber of \( \varphi \) is \( \mathbb{P}^1 \). \( \square \)

§5. Double projection from a generic point of \( X \)

Lemma 6. Suppose that, in Theorem 1, \( I = 1 \), \( H^3 \geq 56 \), and \( \pi: \bar{X} \rightarrow X \) is a blow-up of a sufficiently generic point \( v \in X \) with exceptional divisor \( E \). Then the divisor \( \pi^*(H) - 2E \) is numerically effective and ample.

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that \( \pi^*(H) - 2E \) is numerically effective. Remark 4 and Lemma 2 imply that \( H \) is very ample. Let us identify \( X \) with its anticanonical image. If \((\pi^*(H) - 2E)C < 0 \) for a curve \( C \in \bar{X} \), then \( v \in \pi(C) \in T_v(X) \cap X \), where \( T_v(X) \) is the tangent space to \( X \) at the point \( v \). Lemma 3, the Noether–Enriques–Peetre Theorem (see [14]), and the results of [2] imply that \( X \) is cut out by quadrics. Therefore, \( C \) is a straight line on \( X \) passing through the point \( v \); by Lemma 5, this cannot be. \( \square \)

Proof of Theorem 1. According to Remark 1, it is sufficient to prove Theorem 1 under the condition \( I = 1 \). Let \( \pi: \bar{X} \rightarrow X \) be a blow-up of a generic point \( v \in X \). If \( H^3 \geq 56 \), then, by Lemma 6, the divisor \( K_{\bar{X}} \sim \pi^*(H) - 2E \) is numerically effective and ample. By the base point free theorem (see [1]), there
exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq N}$, the linear system $|n(\pi^*(H) - 2E)|$ is base-point-free. Therefore, for $n \gg 0$, there exists a morphism $\varphi: \tilde{X} \to X'$ such that $X'$ has canonical singularities,

$$\pi^*(H) - 2E = \varphi^*(H'), \quad -K_{X'} \sim H', \quad\text{and}\quad H'^3 = H^3 - 8,$$

where $H'$ is an ample Cartier divisor.

Under the assumptions of Theorem 1, this surgery can be repeated 17 times. Thus we can assume that $\pi$ is a blow-up of 17 points of $X$ in general position.

The general divisor $D \in |-K_X|$ is reduced and irreducible, it has only canonical singularities, and it is a $K3$ surface (see [15]). Since the divisor $-K_X$ is $\pi$-ample, the morphism $\pi$ determines on $\tilde{D}$ a contraction of 17 pairwise disjoint curves; according to [16], this cannot be. $\square$
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