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#### Abstract

We prove the existence of Kähler-Einstein metrics on a nonsingular section of the Grassmannian $\operatorname{Gr}(2,5) \subset \mathbb{P}^{9}$ by a linear subspace of codimension 3 and on the Fermat hypersurface of degree 6 in $\mathbb{P}(1,1,1,2,3)$. We also show that a global log canonical threshold of the Mukai-Umemura variety is equal to $1 / 2$.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let $X$ be a variety ${ }^{1}$ with at most log canonical singularities (see [20]), and let $D$ be an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on the variety $X$. Then the number

$$
\operatorname{lct}(X, D)=\sup \{\lambda \in \mathbb{Q} \mid \text { the } \log \text { pair }(X, \lambda D) \text { is } \log \text { canonical }\} \in \mathbb{Q} \cup\{+\infty\}
$$

is called the $\log$ canonical threshold of the divisor $D$ (see [8]).
Suppose that $X$ is a Fano variety with at most log terminal singularities (see [19]).
Definition 1.1. The global log canonical threshold of the Fano variety $X$ is the number
$\operatorname{lct}(X)=\inf \left\{\operatorname{lct}(X, D) \mid D\right.$ is an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on $X$ such that $\left.D \sim_{\mathbb{Q}}-K_{X}\right\} \geq 0$.
Recall that every Fano variety $X$ is rationally connected (see [27]). Thus, the group $\operatorname{Pic}(X)$ is torsion free. Hence

$$
\operatorname{lct}(X)=\sup \left\{\begin{array}{l|l}
\lambda \in \mathbb{Q} & \begin{array}{l}
\text { the log pair }(X, \lambda D) \text { is log canonical } \\
\text { for every effective } \mathbb{Q} \text {-divisor } D \sim_{\mathbb{Q}}-K_{X}
\end{array}
\end{array}\right\} .
$$

Example 1.2. Let $X$ be a smooth hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ of degree $m$, where $2 \leq m \leq n$. Then

$$
\operatorname{lct}(X)=\frac{1}{n+1-m}
$$

if $m<n$ (see [5]). Thus, we have $\operatorname{lct}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}\right)=1 /(n+1)$. Suppose that $n=m$. By [5]

$$
1 \geq \operatorname{lct}(X) \geq \frac{n-1}{n} .
$$

It follows from [4] and [12] that if $X$ is general, then

$$
\operatorname{lct}(X) \geq \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } n \geq 6 \\ 22 / 25 & \text { if } n=5 \\ 16 / 21 & \text { if } n=4 \\ 3 / 4 & \text { if } n=3\end{cases}
$$

One has $\operatorname{lct}(X)=1-1 / n$ if $X$ contains a cone of dimension $n-2$.

[^0]Example 1.3. Let $X$ be a rational homogeneous space such that $-K_{X} \sim r D$ and

$$
\operatorname{Pic}(X)=\mathbb{Z}[D]
$$

where $D$ is an ample divisor and $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Then $\operatorname{lct}(X)=1 / r$ (see [17]).
Example 1.4. Let $X$ be a quasismooth hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}\left(1, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{4}\right)$ of degree $\sum_{i=1}^{4} a_{i}$ such that $X$ has at most terminal singularities, where $a_{1} \leq a_{2} \leq a_{3} \leq a_{4}$. Then

$$
-\left.K_{X} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}\left(1, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{4}\right)}(1)\right|_{X}
$$

and there are 95 possibilities for the quadruple $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}\right)$ (see [18]). One has

$$
1 \geq \operatorname{lct}(X) \geq \begin{cases}16 / 21 & \text { if } a_{1}=a_{2}=a_{3}=a_{4}=1 \\ 7 / 9 & \text { if }\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}\right)=(1,1,1,2) \\ 4 / 5 & \text { if }\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}\right)=(1,1,2,2) \\ 6 / 7 & \text { if }\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}\right)=(1,1,2,3) \\ 1 & \text { in the remaining cases }\end{cases}
$$

if $X$ is general (see [10, 12, 6]).
Example 1.5. Let $X$ be smooth del Pezzo surface. It follows from [11] that

$$
\operatorname{lct}(X)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } K_{X}^{2}=1 \text { and }\left|-K_{X}\right| \text { contains no cuspidal curves, } \\ 5 / 6 & \text { if } K_{X}^{2}=1 \text { and }\left|-K_{X}\right| \text { contains a cuspidal curve, } \\ 5 / 6 & \text { if } K_{X}^{2}=2 \text { and }\left|-K_{X}\right| \text { contains no tacnodal curves, } \\ 3 / 4 & \text { if } K_{X}^{2}=2 \text { and }\left|-K_{X}\right| \text { contains a tacnodal curve, } \\ 3 / 4 & \text { if } X \text { is a cubic in } \mathbb{P}^{3} \text { with no Eckardt points, } \\ 2 / 3 & \text { if either } X \text { is a cubic in } \mathbb{P}^{3} \text { with an Eckardt point or } K_{X}^{2}=4, \\ 1 / 2 & \text { if } X \cong \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1} \text { or } K_{X}^{2} \in\{5,6\}, \\ 1 / 3 & \text { in the remaining cases. }\end{cases}
$$

Let $G \subset \operatorname{Aut}(X)$ be an arbitrary subgroup.
Definition 1.6. The global $G$-invariant $\log$ canonical threshold $\operatorname{lct}(X, G)$ of the Fano variety $X$ is the number

$$
\sup \left\{\begin{array}{l|l}
\epsilon \in \mathbb{Q} & \begin{array}{l}
\text { the log pair }\left(X, \frac{\epsilon}{n} \mathcal{D}\right) \text { has } \log \text { canonical singularities for every } \\
G \text {-invariant linear system } \mathcal{D} \subset\left|-n K_{X}\right| \text { and every } n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}
\end{array}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

If the Fano variety $X$ is smooth and $G$ is compact, then it follows from [7, Appendix A] that

$$
\operatorname{lct}(X, G)=\alpha_{G}(X)
$$

where $\alpha_{G}(X)$ is the invariant introduced in [25]. We have $\operatorname{lct}(X) \leq \operatorname{lct}(X, G) \in \mathbb{R} \cup\{+\infty\}$.
Remark 1.7. Suppose that the subgroup $G$ is finite. Then

$$
\operatorname{lct}(X, G)=\sup \left\{\begin{array}{l|l}
\lambda \in \mathbb{Q} & \begin{array}{l}
\text { the log pair }(X, \lambda D) \text { is } \log \text { canonical for every } \\
\text { effective } G \text {-invariant } \mathbb{Q} \text {-divisor } D \sim_{\mathbb{Q}}-K_{X}
\end{array}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Indeed, it is enough to show that if $\mathcal{D} \subset\left|-m K_{X}\right|$ is a $G$-invariant linear system such that the log pair $(X, c \mathcal{D})$ is not $\log$ canonical for some $c \in \mathbb{Q} \geq 0$, then there is a $G$-invariant effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $B \sim_{\mathbb{Q}}-m K_{X}$ such that the $\log$ pair $(X, c B)$ is not log canonical. Put $k=|G|$. Suppose that the $\log$ pair $(X, c \mathcal{D})$ is not $\log$ canonical. Let $D \in \mathcal{D}$ be a general divisor. Then the $\log$ pair

$$
\left(X, \frac{c}{k} \sum_{g \in G} g(D)\right)
$$

is not $\log$ canonical either (see the proof of [20, Theorem 4.8]), which implies the required assertion.
Example 1.8. The simple group $\operatorname{PGL}\left(2, \mathrm{~F}_{7}\right)$ is a group of automorphisms of the quartic

$$
x^{3} y+y^{3} z+z^{3} x=0 \subset \mathbb{P}^{2} \cong \operatorname{Proj}(\mathbb{C}[x, y, z])
$$

which gives an embedding $\operatorname{PGL}\left(2, \mathrm{~F}_{7}\right) \subset \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right)$. One has $\operatorname{lct}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}, \operatorname{PGL}\left(2, \mathrm{~F}_{7}\right)\right)=4 / 3($ see $[24,11])$.
Example 1.9. Let $X$ be the cubic surface in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ given by the equation

$$
x^{3}+y^{3}+z^{3}+t^{3}=0 \subset \mathbb{P}^{3} \cong \operatorname{Proj}(\mathbb{C}[x, y, z, t])
$$

and let $G=\operatorname{Aut}(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{3} \rtimes \mathrm{~S}_{4}$. Then $\operatorname{lct}(X, G)=4$ by [11].
The following result is proved in $[25,23,13]$ (cf. [7, Appendix A]).
Theorem 1.10. Suppose that $X$ has at most quotient singularities, the group $G$ is compact, and the inequality

$$
\operatorname{lct}(X, G)>\frac{\operatorname{dim}(X)}{\operatorname{dim}(X)+1}
$$

holds. Then $X$ admits an orbifold Kähler-Einstein metric.
Remark 1.11. Let $G \subset \operatorname{Aut}(X)$ be a reductive subgroup and $G^{\prime} \subset G$ the maximal compact subgroup of $G$. Then a restriction to $G^{\prime}$ of any irreducible representation of $G$ remains irreducible as a complex representation of $G^{\prime}$. This implies that all linear systems on $X$ that are invariant with respect to $G$ are also invariant with respect to $G^{\prime}$ (the converse holds by obvious reasons). In particular, $\operatorname{lct}(X, G)=\operatorname{lct}\left(X, G^{\prime}\right)$.

Theorem 1.10 has many applications (see Examples 1.2, 1.4, and 1.9).
Example 1.12. Let $X$ be one of the following smooth Fano varieties:

- a Fermat hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ of degree $n / 2 \leq d \leq n$ (cf. Example 1.9);
- a smooth complete intersection of two quadrics in $\mathbb{P}^{5}$ that is given by

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{5} x_{i}^{2}=\sum_{i=0}^{5} \zeta^{i} x_{i}^{2}=0 \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{5} \cong \operatorname{Proj}\left(\mathbb{C}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{5}\right]\right)
$$

where $\zeta$ is a primitive sixth root of unity;

- a hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}\left(1^{n+1}, q\right)$ of degree $p q$ that is given by the equation

$$
w^{p}=\sum_{i=0}^{5} x_{i}^{p q} \subseteq \mathbb{P}\left(1^{n+1}, q\right) \cong \operatorname{Proj}\left(\mathbb{C}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}, w\right]\right)
$$

such that $p q-q \leq n$, where $\mathrm{wt}\left(x_{0}\right)=\ldots=\mathrm{wt}\left(x_{n}\right)=1, \mathrm{wt}(w)=q \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, and $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Let $G=\operatorname{Aut}(X)$. Then $G$ is finite and the inequality $\operatorname{lct}(X, G) \geq 1$ holds (see $[25,23]$ ).

The numbers $\operatorname{lct}(X)$ and $\operatorname{lct}(X, G)$ also play an important role in birational geometry. For instance, the following result holds (see [11]).

Theorem 1.13. Let $X_{i}$ be a Fano variety, and let $G_{i} \subset \operatorname{Aut}\left(X_{i}\right)$ be a finite subgroup such that the variety $X_{i}$ is $G_{i}$-birationally superrigid (see [7]) and the inequality $\operatorname{lct}\left(X_{i}, G_{i}\right) \geq 1$ holds, where $i=1, \ldots, r$. Then the following assertions hold:

- there is no $\left(G_{1} \times \ldots \times G_{r}\right)$-equivariant birational map $\rho: X_{1} \times \ldots \times X_{r} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n}$;
- every $\left(G_{1} \times \ldots \times G_{r}\right)$-equivariant birational automorphism of $X_{1} \times \ldots \times X_{r}$ is biregular;
- for every $\left(G_{1} \times \ldots \times G_{r}\right)$-equivariant rational dominant map $\rho: X_{1} \times \ldots \times X_{r} \rightarrow Y$ whose general fiber is a rationally connected variety, there a commutative diagram
where $\xi$ is a birational map, $\pi$ is a natural projection, and $\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}\right\} \subseteq\{1, \ldots, r\}$.
Varieties satisfying all hypotheses of Theorem 1.13 do exist.
Example 1.14. The simple group $\mathfrak{A}_{6}$ is a group of automorphisms of the sextic

$$
10 x^{3} y^{3}+9 z x^{5}+9 z y^{5}+27 z^{6}=45 x^{2} y^{2} z^{2}+135 x y z^{4} \subset \mathbb{P}^{2} \cong \operatorname{Proj}(\mathbb{C}[x, y, z])
$$

which induces an embedding $\mathfrak{A}_{6} \subset \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right)$. Then $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ is $\mathfrak{A}_{6}$-birationally superrigid and the equality $\operatorname{lct}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}, \mathfrak{A}_{6}\right)=2$ holds (see $[24,11]$ ). Thus, there is an induced embedding $\mathfrak{A}_{6} \times \mathfrak{A}_{6} \cong \Omega \subset \operatorname{Bir}\left(\mathbb{P}^{4}\right)$ such that $\Omega$ is not conjugate to any subgroup in $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{P}^{4}\right)$ by Theorem 1.13.

Let $V$ be a smooth Fano threefold (see [19]) such that $-K_{V} \sim 2 H$, where $H$ is an ample Cartier divisor that is not divisible in $\operatorname{Pic}(V)$.

Remark 1.15. The variety $V$ is called a del Pezzo variety, since a general element in the linear system $|H|$ is a smooth del Pezzo surface.

It is well-known that $V$ is one of the following varieties:

- $V_{1}$, i.e., a hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}(1,1,1,2,3)$ of degree 6 ;
- $V_{2}$, i.e., a hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}(1,1,1,1,2)$ of degree 4 ;
- $V_{3}$, i.e., a cubic surface in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$;
- $V_{4}$, i.e., a complete intersection of two quadrics in $\mathbb{P}^{5}$;
- $V_{5}$, i.e., a section of the Grassmannian $\operatorname{Gr}(2,5) \subset \mathbb{P}^{9}$ by a linear subspace of codimension 3 (all such sections are isomorphic);
- $W$, a divisor in $\mathbb{P}^{2} \times \mathbb{P}^{2}$ of bidegree $(1,1)$;
- $V_{7}$, i.e., a blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ at a point;
- the product $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$.

Remark 1.16. In [7] the values of the global log canonical thresholds of smooth del Pezzo threefolds were found:

$$
\operatorname{lct}(V)= \begin{cases}1 / 4 & \text { if } V \text { is a blow-up of } \mathbb{P}^{3} \text { at a point } \\ 1 / 2 & \text { in the remaining cases }\end{cases}
$$

Concerning Kähler-Einstein metrics on $V$, the following is known:

- $V_{7}$ does not admit a Kähler-Einstein metric (see [26]);
- $V_{4}$ admits a Kähler-Einstein metric (see [9], cf. Example 1.12);
- $W$ and $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ admit Kähler-Einstein metrics, since their automorphism groups are reductive and act on them transitively (see Theorem 1.10 and Remark 1.11);
- there are examples of varieties $V_{2} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,1,1,1,2)$ and $V_{3} \subset \mathbb{P}^{4}$ with large automorphism groups (see Example 1.12) that admit Kähler-Einstein metrics.
The question of existence of Kähler-Einstein metrics on the varieties $V_{1}$ and $V_{5}$ has not been studied in the literature yet (cf. a remark before [9, Theorem 3.2]).

The main purpose of this paper is to prove the following assertions.
Theorem 1.17. Let $G$ be a maximal compact subgroup in $\operatorname{Aut}\left(V_{5}\right)$. Then

$$
\operatorname{lct}\left(V_{5}, G\right)=\operatorname{lct}\left(V_{5}, \operatorname{Aut}\left(V_{5}\right)\right)=5 / 6
$$

Theorem 1.18. Let $V_{1}$ be a hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}(1,1,1,2,3)$ given by the equation

$$
w^{2}=t^{3}+x^{6}+y^{6}+z^{6} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,1,1,2,3) \cong \operatorname{Proj}(\mathbb{C}[x, y, z, t, w]),
$$

where $\operatorname{wt}(x)=\operatorname{wt}(y)=\operatorname{wt}(z)=1, \operatorname{wt}(t)=2$, and $\operatorname{wt}(w)=3$. Then $\operatorname{lct}\left(V_{1}, \operatorname{Aut}\left(V_{1}\right)\right) \geq 1$.
Note that the latter results combined with Theorem 1.10 imply the existence of Kähler-Einstein metrics on the variety $V_{5}$ and on the Fermat hypersurface of degree 6 in $\mathbb{P}(1,1,1,2,3)$.

Remark 1.19. Let $V_{1}$ be a smooth hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}(1,1,1,2,3)$ of degree 6 . Assume that $\operatorname{lct}\left(V_{1}, G\right) \geq 1$, where $G$ is a subgroup in $\operatorname{Aut}\left(V_{1}\right)$. Then

- the linear system $|H|$ does not contain $G$-invariant surfaces,
- the linear system $|H|$ does not contain $G$-invariant pencils (cf. the proof of [24, Theorem 1.2]),
- the variety $V_{1}$ is $G$-birationally superrigid (see $[1,2]$ ).

Remark 1.20. The methods we use to prove Theorem 3.2 (see below) are similar to those of [23]. Nevertheless, some statements of [23] (say, [23, Corollary 4.2] or a standard method for excluding zero-dimensional components of a subscheme of $\log$ canonical singularities) cannot be directly applied in our case, since the group $\operatorname{Aut}\left(V_{1}\right)$ never acts on $V_{1}$ without fixed points.

The structure of the paper is as follows. Section 2 contains some auxiliary statements. In Section 3 we prove Theorem 1.18. In Section 4 we prove Theorem 1.17. The methods of Section 4 can be applied without significant changes to one more interesting Fano threefold, the so-called Mukai-Umemura variety (see [14] and Remark 5.2). To complete the picture, in Section 5 we calculate the global log canonical threshold of the Mukai-Umemura variety without any group action.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Let $X$ be a variety with $\log$ terminal singularities. Let us consider a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $B_{X}=\sum_{i=1}^{r} a_{i} B_{i}$, where $B_{i}$ is a prime Weil divisor on the variety $X$ and $a_{i}$ is an arbitrary nonnegative rational number. Suppose that $B_{X}$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisor such that $B_{i} \neq B_{j}$ for $i \neq j$.

Let $\pi: \bar{X} \rightarrow X$ be a birational morphism such that $\bar{X}$ is smooth. Put

$$
B_{\bar{X}}=\sum_{i=1}^{r} a_{i} \bar{B}_{i}
$$

where $\bar{B}_{i}$ is a proper transform of the divisor $B_{i}$ on the variety $\bar{X}$. Then

$$
K_{\bar{X}}+B_{\bar{X}} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}+B_{X}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} E_{i},
$$

where $c_{i} \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $E_{i}$ is an exceptional divisor of the morphism $\pi$. Suppose that

$$
\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{r} \bar{B}_{i}\right) \cup\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} E_{i}\right)
$$

is a divisor with simple normal crossings. Put

$$
B^{\bar{X}}=B_{\bar{X}}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} E_{i} .
$$

Definition 2.1. The singularities of ( $X, B_{X}$ ) are log canonical (respectively, log terminal) if

- the inequality $a_{i} \leq 1$ holds (respectively, the inequality $a_{i}<1$ holds),
- the inequality $c_{j} \geq-1$ holds (respectively, the inequality $c_{j}>-1$ holds)
for every $i=1, \ldots, r$ and $j=1, \ldots, n$.
One can show that Definition 2.1 does not depend on the choice of the morphism $\pi$. Put

$$
\operatorname{LCS}\left(X, B_{X}\right)=\left(\bigcup_{a_{i} \geq 1} B_{i}\right) \cup\left(\bigcup_{c_{i} \leq-1} \pi\left(E_{i}\right)\right) \subsetneq X
$$

and let us call $\operatorname{LCS}\left(X, B_{X}\right)$ the locus of $\log$ canonical singularities of the $\log$ pair $\left(X, B_{X}\right)$.
Definition 2.2. A proper irreducible subvariety $Y \subsetneq X$ is said to be a center of $\log$ canonical singularities of the log pair $\left(X, B_{X}\right)$ if one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- either the inequality $a_{i} \geq 1$ holds and $Y=B_{i}$,
- or the inequality $c_{i} \leq-1$ holds and $Y=\pi\left(E_{i}\right)$
for some choice of the birational morphism $\pi: \bar{X} \rightarrow X$.
Let $\mathbb{L} \mathbb{C} \mathbb{S}\left(X, B_{X}\right)$ be the set of all centers of $\log$ canonical singularities of $\left(X, B_{X}\right)$. Then

$$
Y \in \mathbb{L C S}\left(X, B_{X}\right) \quad \Rightarrow \quad Y \subseteq \operatorname{LCS}\left(X, B_{X}\right)
$$

and $\mathbb{L C S}\left(X, B_{X}\right)=\varnothing \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{LCS}\left(X, B_{X}\right)=\varnothing \Leftrightarrow$ the $\log$ pair $\left(X, B_{X}\right)$ is log terminal.
Remark 2.3. We can use similar constructions and notation for any $\log$ pair $(X, \lambda \mathcal{D})$, where $\mathcal{D}$ is a linear system and $\lambda$ is a nonnegative rational number.

The set $\operatorname{LCS}\left(X, B_{X}\right)$ can be naturally equipped with a scheme structure (see [23, 8]). Put

$$
\mathcal{I}\left(X, B_{X}\right)=\pi_{*}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\lceil c_{i}\right\rceil E_{i}-\sum_{i=1}^{r}\left\lfloor a_{i}\right\rfloor \bar{B}_{i}\right),
$$

and let $\mathcal{L}\left(X, B_{X}\right)$ be a subscheme that corresponds to the ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}\left(X, B_{X}\right)$.
Remark 2.4. The scheme $\mathcal{L}\left(X, B_{X}\right)$ is usually called the subscheme of log canonical singularities of the $\log$ pair $\left(X, B_{X}\right)$, and the ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}\left(X, B_{X}\right)$ is usually called the multiplier ideal sheaf of the $\log$ pair $\left(X, B_{X}\right)$.

It follows from the construction of the subscheme $\mathcal{L}\left(X, B_{X}\right)$ that

$$
\operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathcal{L}\left(X, B_{X}\right)\right)=\operatorname{LCS}\left(X, B_{X}\right) \subset X
$$

The following result is known as the Shokurov vanishing theorem (see [8]) or the Nadel vanishing theorem (see [21, Theorem 9.4.8]).

Theorem 2.5. Let $H$ be a nef and big $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on $X$ such that $K_{X}+B_{X}+H \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} D$ for some Cartier divisor $D$ on the variety $X$. Then for every $i \geq 1$

$$
H^{i}\left(X, \mathcal{I}\left(X, B_{X}\right) \otimes D\right)=0
$$

The following result is known as the Shokurov connectedness theorem.
Theorem 2.6. Suppose that $-\left(K_{X}+B_{X}\right)$ is nef and big. Then $\operatorname{LCS}\left(X, B_{X}\right)$ is connected.
Proof. It follows from Theorem 2.5 that the sequence

$$
\mathbb{C}=H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}\left(X, B_{X}\right)}\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(\mathcal{I}\left(X, B_{X}\right)\right)=0
$$

is exact. Thus, the locus

$$
\operatorname{LCS}\left(X, B_{X}\right)=\operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathcal{L}\left(X, B_{X}\right)\right)
$$

is connected.
One can generalize Theorem 2.6 in the following way (see [8, Lemma 5.7]).
Theorem 2.7. Let $\psi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a morphism. Then the set

$$
\operatorname{LCS}\left(\bar{X}, B^{\bar{X}}\right)
$$

is connected in a neighborhood of every fiber of the morphism $\psi \circ \pi: X \rightarrow Z$ in the case when

- the morphism $\psi$ is surjective and has connected fibers,
- the divisor $-\left(K_{X}+B_{X}\right)$ is nef and big with respect to $\psi$.

The following result is a corollary of Theorem 2.5 (see [23, Theorem 4.1]).
Lemma 2.8. Suppose that $-\left(K_{X}+B_{X}\right)$ is nef and big and $\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{LCS}\left(X, B_{X}\right)\right)=1$. Then

- the locus $\mathrm{LCS}\left(X, B_{X}\right)$ is a connected union of smooth rational curves,
- the locus $\operatorname{LCS}\left(X, B_{X}\right)$ does not contain a cycle of smooth rational curves,
- any intersecting irreducible components of the locus $\operatorname{LCS}\left(X, B_{X}\right)$ meet transversally.

Let $P$ be a point in $X$. Let us consider an effective divisor

$$
\Delta=\sum_{i=1}^{r} \varepsilon_{i} B_{i} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} B_{X}
$$

where $\varepsilon_{i}$ is a nonnegative rational number. Suppose that

- the divisor $\Delta$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisor,
- the equivalence $\Delta \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} B_{X}$ holds,
- the log pair $(X, \Delta)$ is $\log$ canonical at the point $P \in X$.

Remark 2.9. Suppose that $\left(X, B_{X}\right)$ is not $\log$ canonical at the point $P \in X$. Put

$$
\alpha=\min \left\{\left.\frac{a_{i}}{\varepsilon_{i}} \right\rvert\, \varepsilon_{i} \neq 0\right\} .
$$

Note that $\alpha$ is well defined, because there is $\varepsilon_{i} \neq 0$. Then $\alpha<1$, the $\log$ pair

$$
\left(X, \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{a_{i}-\alpha \varepsilon_{i}}{1-\alpha} B_{i}\right)
$$

is not $\log$ canonical at the point $P \in X$, the equivalence

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{a_{i}-\alpha \varepsilon_{i}}{1-\alpha} B_{i} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} B_{X} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \Delta
$$

holds, and at least one irreducible component of the divisor $\operatorname{Supp}(\Delta)$ is not contained in

$$
\operatorname{Supp}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{a_{i}-\alpha \varepsilon_{i}}{1-\alpha} B_{i}\right)
$$

The following result is an easy corollary of Remark 2.9.
Lemma 2.10. Let $X$ be a smooth Fano variety such that $\operatorname{Pic}(X)=\mathbb{Z}[H]$ for some divisor $H \in \operatorname{Pic}(X)$, and let $G \subset \operatorname{Aut}(X)$ be a subgroup. Let $\lambda$ be a rational number such that

- $\operatorname{lct}(X, D) \geq \lambda / n$ for every $G$-invariant divisor $D \in|n H|$,
- $\operatorname{lct}(X, \mathcal{D}) \geq \lambda / n$ for every $G$-invariant linear subsystem $\mathcal{D} \subset|n H|$ that has no fixed components.
Then

$$
\operatorname{lct}(X, G) \geq \lambda
$$

Proof. Suppose that $\operatorname{lct}(X, G)<\lambda$. Then there are a natural number $n$ and a $G$-invariant linear subsystem $\mathcal{D} \subset|n H|$ such that the log pair

$$
\left(X, \frac{\lambda}{n} \mathcal{D}\right)
$$

is not $\log$ canonical. Put $\mathcal{D}=F+\mathcal{M}$, where $F$ is a fixed part of the linear system $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{M}$ is a $G$-invariant linear system that has no fixed components.

Let $M_{1}, \ldots, M_{r}$ be general divisors in $\mathcal{M}$, where $r \gg 0$. Then

$$
\left(X, \frac{\lambda}{n}\left(F+\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{r} M_{i}}{r}\right)\right)
$$

is not $\log$ canonical by [20, Theorem 4.8].
Since $\operatorname{Pic}(X)=\mathbb{Z}[H]$, we have $F \sim n_{1} H$ and $\mathcal{M} \sim n_{2} H$ for some $n_{1}, n_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that $n_{1}+n_{2}=n$. By Remark 2.9, we see that the log pair

$$
\left(X, \frac{\lambda}{n_{2} r} \sum_{i=1}^{r} M_{i}\right)
$$

is not $\log$ canonical, because $F$ is $G$-invariant. Then the $\log$ pair

$$
\left(X, \frac{\lambda}{n_{2}} \mathcal{M}\right)
$$

is not $\log$ canonical by [20, Theorem 4.8], which is a contradiction.
The following simple calculation will be useful in Section 4.
Lemma 2.11. Let $\operatorname{dim}(X)=3$; let $C \subset X$ be an irreducible reduced curve and $P \in C$ a point such that

$$
\operatorname{Sing}(C) \not \supset P \notin \operatorname{Sing}(X)
$$

Let $L \subset X$ be a curve such that $P \notin \operatorname{Sing}(L)$ and $D a \mathbb{Q}$-divisor on $X$ such that $C \subset \operatorname{Supp}(D) \not \supset L$. Assume that $L$ and $C$ are tangent at $P$. Then

$$
\operatorname{mult}_{P}(D \cdot L) \geq 2 \operatorname{mult}_{C}(D)
$$

Proof. Let $\pi: \widetilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be a blow-up of the point $P$, and let $E$ be an exceptional divisor of $\pi$. Denote by $\widetilde{L}, \widetilde{C}$, and $\widetilde{D}$ the proper transforms on $\widetilde{X}$ of the curves $L$ and $C$ and the divisor $D$, respectively. Then the intersection

$$
\widetilde{L} \cap \operatorname{Supp}(\widetilde{D})
$$

contains some point $\widetilde{P} \in E$, since $L$ and $C$ are tangent at $P$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{mult}_{P}(D \cdot L) & =\operatorname{mult}_{P}(D)+\operatorname{mult}_{\widetilde{P}}(\widetilde{D} \cdot \widetilde{L}) \geq \operatorname{mult}_{C}(D)+\operatorname{mult}_{\widetilde{P}}(\widetilde{D}) \\
& \geq \operatorname{mult}_{C}(D)+\operatorname{mult}_{\widetilde{C}}(D)=2 \operatorname{mult}_{C}(D) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3. VERONESE DOUBLE CONE

We will use the following notation: if $\mathcal{D}$ is a (nonempty) linear system on the variety $X$, then $\varphi_{\mathcal{D}}$ denotes the rational map defined by $\mathcal{D}$.

Let $V$ be a smooth Fano threefold such that $\left(-K_{V}\right)^{3}=8$ and

$$
\operatorname{Pic}(V)=\mathbb{Z}[H]
$$

for some $H \in \operatorname{Pic}(V)$. Then $V$ is a hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}(1,1,1,2,3)$ of degree 6 .
The linear system $|H|$ has the only base point $O \in V$ and defines a rational map

$$
\varphi_{|H|}: V \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}
$$

with irreducible fibers; a general fiber of $\varphi_{|H|}$ is an elliptic curve.
Remark 3.1. We will refer to the subvarieties of $V$ that are swept out by the fibers of $\varphi_{|H|}$ as vertical subvarieties.

Let $G \subset \operatorname{Aut}(V)$ be a subgroup. Note that $G$ is finite, its action on $V$ extends to $\mathbb{P}(1,1,1,2,3)$, and $G$ naturally acts on $\mathbb{P}(|H|) \cong \mathbb{P}^{2}$. Moreover, the following conditions are equivalent:

- $G$ has no fixed points on $\mathbb{P}(|H|) \cong \mathbb{P}^{2}$;
- $G$ has no invariant lines on $\mathbb{P}(|H|) \cong \mathbb{P}^{2}$;
- $|H|$ contains no $G$-invariant surfaces;
- $|H|$ contains no $G$-invariant pencils (cf. the proof of [24, Theorem 1.2]);
- $V$ is $G$-birationally superrigid (see [1, 2]).

Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a linear subsystem in $\left|-K_{X}\right|$ generated by divisors of the form

$$
\lambda_{0} x^{2}+\lambda_{1} y^{2}+\lambda_{2} z^{2}+\lambda_{3} x y+\lambda_{4} x z+\lambda_{5} y z=0,
$$

where $x, y$, and $z$ are coordinates of weight 1 on $\mathbb{P}(1,1,1,2,3)$. The statement of Theorem 1.18 is implied by the following result.

Theorem 3.2. Suppose that the linear system $\mathcal{B}$ contains no $G$-invariant divisors. Then $\operatorname{lct}(V, G) \geq 1$.

Proof. Assume that $\operatorname{lct}(V, G)<1$. Then the linear system $|H|$ does not contain $G$-invariant divisors, but there exists an effective $G$-invariant $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $D \sim_{\mathbb{Q}}-K_{V}$ such that

$$
\mathbb{L C S}(V, \lambda D) \neq \varnothing
$$

for some $1>\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}$. The set $\operatorname{LCS}(V, \lambda D)$ is $G$-invariant.
Lemma 3.3. The set $\mathbb{L C}(V, \lambda D)$ does not contain divisors.
Proof. Easy.

Lemma 3.4. The set $\mathbb{L C}(V, \lambda D)$ does not contain curves.
Proof. Let $C \subset \operatorname{LCS}(V, \lambda D)$ be a $G$-invariant curve. Then for any point $P \in C$ one has $\operatorname{mult}_{P} D>1 / \lambda$.

Lemma 2.8 implies that $C$ has a nonvertical component. Then $\operatorname{deg}\left(\phi_{|H|}(C)\right) \geq 3$, since the linear system $\mathcal{B}$ does not contain $G$-invariant surfaces.

Let $S$ be a general surface in $|H|$. Put

$$
S \cap C=\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{s}\right\}
$$

where $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{s}$ are distinct points. Then $s \geq 3$. Moreover, one has $s>3$ if $O \in C$. So it is easy to see that one may assume the following:

- $O \notin\left\{P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}\right\}$,
- $\phi_{|H|}\left(P_{1}\right), \phi_{|H|}\left(P_{2}\right)$, and $\phi_{|H|}\left(P_{3}\right)$ are distinct points.

The surface $S$ is a del Pezzo surface. One has

$$
\left.D\right|_{S} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}}-2 K_{S}
$$

and $-K_{S}^{2}=1$. The $\log$ pair $\left(S,\left.\lambda D\right|_{S}\right)$ is not $\log$ terminal at $P_{1}, P_{2}$, and $P_{3}$. Theorem 2.5 implies that the sequence

$$
\mathbb{C}^{2}=H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{S}\left(-K_{S}\right)\right) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}(S, \lambda D \mid S)}\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(\mathcal{I}\left(S,\left.\lambda D\right|_{S}\right) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{S}\left(-K_{S}\right)\right)=0
$$

is exact, since the scheme $\mathcal{L}\left(S,\left.\lambda D\right|_{S}\right)$ is zero-dimensional by Lemma 3.3. In particular, the support of the subscheme $\mathcal{L}\left(S,\left.\lambda D\right|_{S}\right)$ contains at most two points, which is a contradiction.

So $\operatorname{LCS}(V, \lambda D)$ is zero-dimensional. Theorem 2.6 implies that $\operatorname{LCS}(V, \lambda D)$ consists of a single point $P \in V$.

Lemma 3.5. $P=O$.
Proof. Assume that $P \neq O$. Then the $G$-orbit of $P$ is nontrivial, since so is the $G$-orbit of $\varphi_{|H|}(P)$, which is a contradiction.

Let $\pi: \bar{V} \rightarrow V$ be a blow-up of the point $O$ with an exceptional divisor $E$; let $\bar{D}$ be a proper transform of $D$ on $\bar{V}$. Then the $\log$ pair

$$
\left(\bar{V}, \lambda \bar{D}+\left(\lambda \operatorname{mult}_{O}(D)-2\right) E\right)
$$

is not $\log$ canonical in the neighborhood of $E$. On the other hand, one has multo $(D) \leq 2$, since otherwise $\operatorname{Supp}(\bar{D})$ would contain all fibers of the elliptic fibration $\varphi_{\left|\pi^{*}(H)-E\right|}$. Hence the set

$$
\operatorname{LCS}\left(\bar{V}, \lambda \bar{D}+\left(\lambda \operatorname{mult}_{O}(D)-2\right) E\right)
$$

contains some $G$-invariant subvariety $Z \subsetneq E$ and is contained in $E \cong \mathbb{P}^{2}$.
Lemma 3.6. One has $\operatorname{dim}(Z)=0$.
Proof. Suppose that $\operatorname{dim}(Z)=1$. Let $L$ be a general line in $E \cong \mathbb{P}^{2}$. Then

$$
2 \geq \operatorname{mult}_{O}(D)=L \cdot \bar{D} \geq \operatorname{deg}(Z) \operatorname{mult}_{Z}(\bar{D})>\frac{\operatorname{deg}(Z)}{\lambda}>\operatorname{deg}(Z)
$$

Hence $Z$ contains a $G$-invariant line. But $|H|$ does not contain $G$-invariant surfaces, which gives a contradiction.

So we see that the $G$-invariant set $\operatorname{LCS}(\bar{V}, \lambda \bar{D})$ consists of a finite number of points. By Theorem 2.7 the set $\operatorname{LCS}(Y, \lambda \bar{D})$ consists of a single point, since the divisor $-\left(K_{Y}+\lambda \bar{D}\right)$ is $\pi$-ample. But $G$ acts on $E$ without fixed points, since $|H|$ contains no $G$-invariant pencils. The contradiction concludes the proof of Theorem 3.2.

## 4. QUINTIC DEL PEZZO THREEFOLD

Let $V_{5}$ be a smooth Fano variety such that

$$
\operatorname{Pic}\left(V_{5}\right)=\mathbb{Z}[H]
$$

and $H^{3}=5$. One has $-K_{V_{5}} \sim 2 H$ (see, for example, [19]). Let $\mathcal{W} \cong \mathbb{C}^{3}$ be a vector space endowed with a nondegenerate quadratic form $q$. Then the variety $V_{5}$ is isomorphic to the variety of triples of pairwise orthogonal (with respect to $q$ ) lines in $\mathcal{W}$ (see [19]). In particular, there is a natural action of the group $\mathrm{SO}_{3}(\mathbb{C})$ (or $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ ) on the variety $V_{5}$.

Remark 4.1. One can show that $\operatorname{Aut}\left(V_{5}\right)=\mathrm{PSL}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$. By Remark 1.11, to prove Theorem 1.17 it suffices to check that $\operatorname{lct}\left(V_{5}, \mathrm{PSL}_{2}(\mathbb{C})\right)=5 / 6$.

The variety $V_{5}$ has a natural $\mathrm{PSL}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$-equivariant stratification:

$$
V_{5}=U \cup \Delta \cup C,
$$

where $U$ is an open orbit that consists of triples of pairwise distinct lines, $\Delta$ is a two-dimensional orbit that consists of the triples $\left(l_{1}, l_{1}, l_{2}\right)$, where $q\left(l_{1}, l_{1}\right)=0$ and $q\left(l_{1}, l_{2}\right)=0$, and $C$ is a onedimensional orbit that consists of the triples $(l, l, l)$, where $q(l, l)=0$.

The linear system $|H|$ defines an embedding $V_{5} \subset \mathbb{P}^{6}$. Under this embedding the curve $C$ is a rational normal curve of degree 6 , and $\Delta$ is swept out by the lines that are tangent to $C$.

Lemma 4.2. One has $\operatorname{lct}\left(V_{5}, \Delta\right)=5 / 6$.
Proof. The surface $\Delta$ is smooth outside $C$ and has a singularity along $C$ that is locally isomorphic to $T \times \mathbb{A}^{1}$, where $T$ is a germ of a cuspidal curve.

In particular, $\operatorname{lct}\left(V_{5}, \mathrm{PSL}_{2}(\mathbb{C})\right) \leq 5 / 6$.
Lemma 4.3. Let $\mathcal{D} \subset|n H|$ be a $\mathrm{PSL}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$-invariant linear system on $V_{5}$ such that $\Delta \not \subset \mathrm{Bs}(\mathcal{D})$. Then $\operatorname{lct}(X, \mathcal{D}) \geq 1 / n$.

Proof. Suppose that $\operatorname{lct}(X, \mathcal{D})<1 / n$. Then there exists a $\mathrm{PSL}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$-invariant subvariety $Z \subsetneq X$ such that

$$
\operatorname{mult}_{Z}(D)>n
$$

where $D$ is a general divisor in $\mathcal{D}$. Since $\Delta \not \subset \operatorname{Bs}(\mathcal{D})$, the subvariety $Z$ is the curve $C$. Let $P$ be a general point of $C$ and $L$ be the tangent line to $C$ at $P$. Then $L \not \subset \operatorname{Supp}(D)$. By Lemma 2.11 one has

$$
2 n=D \cdot L \geq \operatorname{mult}_{P}(D \cdot L)>2 n,
$$

which is a contradiction.
Lemmas 2.10, 4.2, and 4.3 imply that $\operatorname{lct}\left(V_{5}, \mathrm{PSL}_{2}(\mathbb{C})\right) \geq 5 / 6$, and hence $\operatorname{lct}\left(V_{5}, \mathrm{PSL}_{2}(\mathbb{C})\right)=5 / 6$.

## 5. THE MUKAI-UMEMURA THREEFOLD

Let $X$ be a smooth Fano threefold such that

$$
\operatorname{Pic}(X)=\mathbb{Z}\left[-K_{X}\right],
$$

the equality $-K_{X}^{3}=22$ holds, and $\operatorname{Aut}(X) \cong \operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$. It is well known that the variety having such properties is unique (see $[22,3]$ ).

Proposition 5.1. The equality $\operatorname{lct}(X)=1 / 2$ holds.
Proof. Let $U \subset \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ be a subspace of forms of degree 12 . Consider $U \cong \mathbb{C}^{13}$ as the affine part of $\mathbb{P}(U \oplus \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{P}^{13}$, and let us identify $\mathbb{P}(U)$ with the hyperplane at infinity.

The natural action of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ on $\mathbb{C}[x, y]$ induces an action on $\mathbb{P}(U \oplus \mathbb{C})$. Put

$$
\varphi=x y\left(x^{10}-11 x^{5} y^{5}-y^{10}\right) \in U
$$

and consider the closure $\overline{\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \cdot[\varphi+1]} \subset \mathbb{P}(U \oplus \mathbb{C})$. It follows from [22] that

$$
X \cong \overline{\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \cdot[\varphi+1]}
$$

and the natural embedding $X \subset \mathbb{P}(U \oplus \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{P}^{13}$ is induced by $\left|-K_{X}\right|$.
It is well known (see [19, Theorem 5.2.13]) that the action of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ on $X$ has the following orbits:

- the three-dimensional orbit $\Sigma_{3}=\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \cdot[\varphi+1] ;$
- the two-dimensional orbit $\Sigma_{2}=\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \cdot\left[x y^{11}\right]$;
- the one-dimensional orbit $\Sigma_{1}=\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \cdot\left[y^{12}\right]$.

The orbit $\Sigma_{3}$ is open. The orbit $\Sigma_{1} \cong \mathbb{P}^{1}$ is closed. One has $\bar{\Sigma}_{2}=\Sigma_{1} \cup \Sigma_{2}$, and

$$
X \cap \mathbb{P}(U)=\Sigma_{1} \cup \Sigma_{2}
$$

Put $R=X \cap \mathbb{P}(U)$. It follows from [22] that

- the surface $R$ is swept out by lines on $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{13}$,
- the surface $R$ contains all lines on $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{13}$,
- for any lines $L_{1} \subset R \supset L_{2}$ such that $L_{1} \neq L_{2}$, one has $L_{1} \cap L_{2}=\varnothing$,
- the surface $R$ is singular along the orbit $\Sigma_{1} \cong \mathbb{P}^{1}$,
- the normalization of the surface $R$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$,
- for every point $P \in \Sigma_{1}$, the surface $R$ is locally isomorphic to

$$
x^{2}=y^{3} \subset \mathbb{C}^{3} \cong \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[x, y, z])
$$

which implies that $\operatorname{lct}(X, R)=5 / 6$.
The structure of the surface $R$ can be described as follows. We see that

$$
\Sigma_{2}=\left\{\left[(a x+b y)(c x+d y)^{11}\right] \mid a d-b c=1\right\} \subset \mathbb{P}(U)
$$

which implies that there is a birational morphism $\nu: \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow R$ that is defined by

$$
\nu:[a: b] \times[c: d] \mapsto\left[(a x+b y)(c x+d y)^{11}\right] \in R
$$

which is a normalization of the surface $R$.
Let $V_{5}$ be a smooth Fano threefold such that

$$
-K_{V_{5}} \sim 2 H
$$

and $H^{3}=5$, where $H$ is a Cartier divisor on $V_{5}$. Then $|H|$ induces an embedding $V_{5} \subset \mathbb{P}^{6}$ (see Section 4).

Let $L \cong \mathbb{P}^{1}$ be a line on $X$. Then

$$
\mathcal{N}_{L / X} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(-2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(1)
$$

Let $\alpha_{L}: U_{L} \rightarrow X$ be a blow-up of the line $L$, and let $E_{L}$ be the exceptional divisor of $\alpha_{L}$. Then it follows from Theorem 4.3.3 in [19] that there is a commutative diagram

where $\rho_{L}$ is a flop in the exceptional section of $E \cong \mathbb{F}_{3}$, the morphism $\beta_{L}$ contracts a surface $D_{L} \subset W_{L}$ to a smooth rational curve of degree 5 , and $\psi_{L}$ is a double projection from the line $L$.

Let $\bar{D}_{L} \subset X$ be the proper transform of the surface $D_{L}$. Then $\operatorname{mult}_{L}\left(\bar{D}_{L}\right)=3$ and $\bar{D}_{L} \sim-K_{X}$. It follows from [15] that $X \backslash \bar{D}_{L} \cong \mathbb{C}^{3}$.

It follows from [16] that there is an open subset $\breve{D}_{L} \subset \bar{D}_{L}$ that is given by

$$
\mu_{0} x^{4}+\left(\mu_{1} y z+\mu_{2} z^{3}\right) x^{3}+\left(\mu_{3} y^{3}+\mu_{4} y^{2} z^{2}+\mu_{5} y z^{4}\right) x^{2}+\left(\mu_{6} y^{4} z+\mu_{7} y^{3} z^{3}\right) x+\mu_{8} y^{6}+\mu_{9} y^{5} z^{2}=0
$$

in $\mathbb{C}^{3} \cong \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[x, y, z])$, where the point $L \cap \Sigma_{1} \in \breve{D}_{L}$ is given by the equations $x=y=z=0$ and

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\mu_{0}=-2^{8} \times 5^{2}, & \mu_{1}=2^{9} \times 3^{3} \times 5, & \mu_{2}=-2^{6} \times 3^{4} \times 5, & \mu_{3}=-2^{8} \times 3^{3} \times 7 \\
\mu_{4}=-2^{4} \times 3^{4} \times 127, & \mu_{5}=2^{9} \times 3^{5}, & \mu_{6}=2^{2} \times 3^{6} \times 89, & \mu_{7}=-2^{8} \times 3^{6} \\
& \mu_{8}=-3^{6} \times 5^{3}, & \mu_{9}=2^{5} \times 3^{7}
\end{array}
$$

Put $O_{L}=\Sigma_{1} \cap L$. Then mult $O_{L}\left(\bar{D}_{L}\right)=4$, and it follows from [20, Proposition 8.14] that

$$
\operatorname{LCS}\left(X, \frac{1}{2} \bar{D}_{L}\right)=O_{L}
$$

and $\operatorname{lct}\left(X, \bar{D}_{L}\right)=1 / 2$. Thus, we see that $\operatorname{lct}(X) \leq 1 / 2$.
Suppose that $\operatorname{lct}(X)<1 / 2$. Then there exists an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor

$$
D \sim_{\mathbb{Q}}-K_{X}
$$

such that the log pair $(X, \lambda D)$ is not log canonical for some positive rational number $\lambda<1 / 2$. By Remark 2.9, we may assume that $R \not \subset \operatorname{Supp}(D)$, because $\operatorname{lct}(X, R)=5 / 6$.

Let $C$ be a line in $X$ such that $C \not \subset \operatorname{Supp}(D)$. Then

$$
1=D \cdot C \geq \operatorname{mult}_{O_{C}}(D) \operatorname{mult}_{O_{C}}(C)=\operatorname{mult}_{O_{C}}(D)
$$

which implies that $O_{C} \notin \operatorname{LCS}(X, \lambda D)$. In particular, we see that $\Sigma_{1} \notin \mathrm{LCS}(X, \lambda D)$.
Let $\Gamma$ be an irreducible curve in $\operatorname{Supp}(D)$ such that $O_{C} \in \Gamma$. Then

$$
\operatorname{mult}_{\Gamma}\left(\frac{1}{2} \bar{D}_{C}+\lambda D\right)=\frac{\operatorname{mult}_{\Gamma}\left(\bar{D}_{C}\right)}{2}+\lambda \operatorname{mult}_{\Gamma}(D) \leq \frac{\operatorname{mult}_{\Gamma}\left(\bar{D}_{C}\right)}{2}+\lambda \operatorname{mult}_{O_{C}}(D)<1
$$

because $\lambda<1 / 2$ and $\operatorname{Sing}\left(\bar{D}_{C}\right)=C$, because $\bar{D}_{C} \neq R$. Thus, we see that

$$
\Gamma \nsubseteq \operatorname{LCS}\left(X, \frac{1}{2} \bar{D}_{C}+\lambda D\right) \supseteq \operatorname{LCS}(X, \lambda D) \cup O_{C}
$$

which is impossible by Theorem 2.6, because $O_{C} \notin \operatorname{LCS}(X, \lambda D)$ and $\lambda<1 / 2$.

Remark 5.2. It follows from [14] that

$$
\operatorname{lct}\left(X, \mathrm{SO}_{3}(\mathbb{R})\right)=\frac{5}{6}
$$

which implies, in particular, that $X$ has a Kähler-Einstein metric. This equality can be obtained by arguing as in the proof of Theorem 1.17 (the only difference is that we do not need to use Lemma 2.11 here).
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