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#### Abstract

We study a double cover $\psi: X \rightarrow V \subset \mathbb{P}^{n}$ branched over a smooth divisor $R \subset V$ such that $R$ is cut on $V$ by a hypersurface of degree $2(n-\operatorname{deg}(V))$, where $n \geqslant 8$ and $V$ is a smooth hypersurface of degree 3 or 4 . We prove that $X$ is nonrational and birationally superrigid.


## 1 Introduction

Let $\psi: X \rightarrow V \subset \mathbb{P}^{n}$ be a double cover branched over a smooth divisor $R \subset V$, where $n \geqslant 4$ and $V$ is a smooth hypersurface ${ }^{1}$. Then $\operatorname{rk} \operatorname{Pic}(X)=1$ (see [4]) and

$$
-K_{X} \sim \psi^{*}\left(\left.\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}}(d+r-1-n)\right|_{V}\right)
$$

where $d=\operatorname{deg} V$ and $r$ is a natural number such that $\left.R \sim \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}}(2 r)\right|_{V}$. Therefore $X$ is nonrational in the case when $d+r \geqslant n+1$. The variety $X$ is rationally connected if $d+r \leqslant n$, because it is a smooth Fano variety (see [8]). Moreover, the following result is due to [11].
Theorem 1 The variety $X$ is birationally superrigid ${ }^{2}$ if it is general and $d+r=$ $n \geqslant 5$.

In this paper we prove the following result.

[^0]Theorem 2 The variety $X$ is birationally superrigid if $d+r=n \geqslant 8$ and $d=3$ or 4 .

One can use Theorem 2 to construct explicit examples of nonrational Fano varieties.

Example 3 The complete intersection

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=0}^{8} x_{i}^{4} & =z^{2}-x_{0}^{4} x_{1}^{4}+x_{2}^{4} x_{3}^{4}+x_{4}^{4} x_{5}^{4}+x_{6}^{4} x_{7}^{4} \\
& =0 \subset \mathbb{P}\left(1^{9}, 3\right) \cong \operatorname{Proj}\left(\mathbb{C}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{8}, z\right]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

is smooth. Hence, it is birationally superrigid and nonrational by Theorem 2.
In the case when $d+r=n \geqslant 4$ and $d=1$ or 2 the birational superrigidity of $X$ is proved in [5] and [10]. In the case when $d+r=n=4$ and $d=3$ the variety $X$ is not birationally superrigid, but it is nonrational (see [6], [3]). In the case when $d+r<n$ the only known way to prove the nonrationality of $X$ is the method of $\S \mathrm{V}$ in [8], which implies the following result.

Proposition 4 The variety $X$ is nonrational if it is very general, $n \geqslant 4$ and $r \geqslant$ $\frac{d+n+2}{2}$.
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## 2 Preliminaries

Let $X$ be a variety and $B_{X}=\sum_{i=1}^{\epsilon} a_{i} B_{i}$ be a boundary on $X$, where $a_{i} \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $B_{i}$ is either a prime divisor on $X$ or a linear system on $X$ having no base components. We say that $B_{X}$ is effective if every $a_{i} \geqslant 0$, we say that $B_{X}$ is movable if every $B_{i}$ is a linear system having no fixed components ${ }^{3}$. In the rest of the section we assume that all varieties are $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial.
Remark 5 We can consider $B_{X}^{2}$ as an effective codimension-two cycle if $B_{X}$ is movable.

The notions such as discrepancies, terminality, canonicity, log terminality and $\log$ canonicity can be defined for the $\log$ pair $\left(X, B_{X}\right)$ as for usual log pairs (see [7]).
Definition 6 The log pair ( $X, B_{X}$ ) has canonical (terminal, respectively) singularities if for every birational morphism $f: W \rightarrow X$ there is an equivalence

$$
K_{W}+B_{W} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} f^{*}\left(K_{X}+B_{X}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} a\left(X, B_{X}, E_{i}\right) E_{i}
$$

such that every number $a\left(X, B_{X}, E_{i}\right)$ is non-negative (positive, respectively), where $B_{W}$ is a proper transform of $B_{X}$ on $W$, and $E_{i}$ is an $f$-exceptional divisor. The number $a\left(X, B_{X}, E_{i}\right)$ is called the discrepancy of the $\log$ pair $\left(X, B_{X}\right)$ in the divisor $E_{i}$.

[^1]The application of Log Minimal Model Program (see [7]) to an effective movable $\log$ pair having canonical or terminal singularities preserves its canonicity or terminality respectively.

Definition 7 An irreducible subvariety $Y \subset X$ is a center of canonical singularities of the $\log$ pair $\left(X, B_{X}\right)$ if there is a birational morphism $f: W \rightarrow X$ and an $f$-exceptional divisor $E$ such that $f(E)=Y$ and the inequality $a\left(X, B_{X}, E\right) \leqslant 0$ holds. The set of all centers of canonical singularities of the $\log$ pair $\left(X, B_{X}\right)$ is denoted as $\mathbb{C}\left(X, B_{X}\right)$.

In particular, the $\log$ pair $\left(X, B_{X}\right)$ has terminal singularities if and only if $\mathbb{C}\left(X, B_{X}\right)=\varnothing$.

Remark 8 Let $H$ be a general hyperplane section of $X$. Then every component of $Z \cap H$ is contained in the set $\mathbb{C}\left(H,\left.B_{X}\right|_{H}\right)$ for every subvariety $Z \subset X$ contained in $\mathbb{C}\left(X, B_{X}\right)$.

Remark 9 Let $Z \subset X$ be a proper irreducible subvariety such that $X$ is smooth at the generic point of $Z$. Suppose that $B_{X}$ is effective. Then $Z \in \mathbb{C}\left(X, B_{X}\right)$ implies $\operatorname{mult}_{Z}\left(B_{X}\right) \geqslant 1$, but in the case $\operatorname{codim}(Z \subset X)=2$ the inequality $\operatorname{mult}_{Z}\left(B_{X}\right) \geqslant 1$ implies $Z \in \mathbb{C}\left(X, B_{X}\right)$.

The following result is Lemma 3.18 in [1].
Lemma 10 Suppose that $X$ is a smooth complete intersection $\cap_{i=1}^{k} G_{i} \subset \mathbb{P}^{n}$, and $B_{X}$ is effective such that $B_{X} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} r H$ for some $r \in \mathbb{Q}$, where $G_{i}$ is a hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^{n}$, and $H$ is a hyperplane section of $X$. Then $\operatorname{mult}_{Z}\left(B_{X}\right) \leqslant r$ for every irreducible subvariety $Z \subset X$ such that $\operatorname{dim}(Z) \geqslant k$.

The following result is well known (see [2], [3]).
Theorem 11 Let $X$ be a Fano variety of Picard rank 1 having terminal $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial singularities that is not birationally superrigid. Then there is a linear system $\mathcal{M}$ on the variety $X$ whose base locus has codimension at least 2 such that the singularities of the log pair $(X, \mu \mathcal{M})$ are not canonical, where $\mu$ is a positive rational number such that $K_{X}+\mu \mathcal{M} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$.

Let $f: V \rightarrow X$ be a birational morphism such that the union of $\cup_{i=1}^{\epsilon} f^{-1}\left(B_{i}\right)$ and all $f$-exceptional divisors forms a divisor with simple normal crossing. Then $f$ is called a log resolution of the $\log$ pair $\left(X, B_{X}\right)$, and the $\log$ pair $\left(V, B^{V}\right)$ is called the $\log$ pull back of $\left(X, B_{X}\right)$ if

$$
B^{V}=f^{-1}\left(B_{X}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{n} a\left(X, B_{X}, E_{i}\right) E_{i}
$$

such that $K_{V}+B^{V} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} f^{*}\left(K_{X}+B_{X}\right)$, where $E_{i}$ is an $f$-exceptional divisor and $a\left(X, B_{X}, E_{i}\right) \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Definition 12 The log canonical singularity subscheme $\mathcal{L}\left(X, B_{X}\right)$ is the subscheme associated to the ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}\left(X, B_{X}\right)=f_{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{V}\left(\left\lceil-B^{V}\right\rceil\right)\right)$. A proper irreducible subvariety $Y \subset X$ is called a center of $\log$ canonical singularities of the $\log$ pair ( $X, B_{X}$ ) if there is a divisor $E \subset V$ that is contained in the effective part of the support of $\left\lfloor B^{V}\right\rfloor$ and $f(E)=Y$. The set of all centers of log canonical singularities of ( $X, B_{X}$ ) is denoted as $\mathbb{L} \mathbb{C S}\left(X, B_{X}\right)$, the set-theoretic union of the elements of $\mathbb{L} \mathbb{C}\left(X, B_{X}\right)$ is denoted as $\operatorname{LCS}\left(X, B_{X}\right)$.

In particular, we have $\operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathcal{L}\left(X, B_{X}\right)\right)=\operatorname{LCS}\left(X, B_{X}\right)$.
Remark 13 Let $H$ be a general hyperplane section of $X$ and $Z \in \mathbb{L} \mathbb{C}\left(X, B_{X}\right)$. Then every component of the intersection $Z \cap H$ is contained in the set $\mathbb{L} \mathbb{C S}\left(H,\left.B_{X}\right|_{H}\right)$.

The following result is Theorem 17.4 in [9].
Theorem 14 Let $g: X \rightarrow Z$ be a morphism. Then $\operatorname{LCS}\left(X, B_{X}\right)$ is connected in a neighborhood of every fiber of the morphism $g \circ f$ if the following conditions hold:

- the morphism $g$ has connected fibers;
- the divisor $-\left(K_{X}+B_{X}\right)$ is $g$-nef and $g$-big;
- the inequality $\operatorname{codim}\left(g\left(B_{i}\right) \subset Z\right) \geqslant 2$ holds if $a_{i}<0$;

The following corollary of Theorem 14 is Theorem 17.6 in [9].
Theorem 15 Let $Z$ be an element of the set $\mathbb{C}\left(X, B_{X}\right)$, and $H$ be an effective Cartier divisor on the variety $X$. Suppose that the boundary $B_{X}$ is effective, the varieties $X$ and $H$ are smooth in the generic point of $Z$ and $Z \subset H \not \subset \operatorname{Supp}\left(B_{X}\right)$. Then $\mathbb{L} \mathbb{C}\left(H,\left.B\right|_{H}\right) \neq \varnothing$.

The following result is Theorem 3.1 in [3].
Theorem 16 Suppose that $\operatorname{dim}(X)=2$, the boundary $B_{X}$ is effective and movable, and there is a smooth point $O \in X$ such that $O \in \mathbb{L} \mathbb{C}\left(X,\left(1-a_{1}\right) \Delta_{1}+(1-\right.$ $\left.a_{2}\right) \Delta_{2}+M_{X}$ ), where $\Delta_{1}$ and $\Delta_{2}$ are smooth curves on $X$ intersecting normally at $O$, and $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ are arbitrary non-negative rational numbers. Then we have

$$
\operatorname{mult}_{O}\left(B_{X}^{2}\right) \geqslant\left\{\begin{array}{l}
4 a_{1} a_{2} \text { if } a_{1} \leqslant 1 \text { or } a_{2} \leqslant 1 \\
4\left(a_{1}+a_{2}-1\right) \text { if } a_{1}>1 \text { and } a_{2}>1
\end{array}\right.
$$

## 3 Main local inequality

Let $X$ be a variety, $O$ be a smooth point on $X, f: V \rightarrow X$ be a blow up of the point $O, E$ be an exceptional divisor of $f, B_{X}=\sum_{i=1}^{\epsilon} a_{i} \mathcal{B}_{i}$ be a movable boundary on $X$, and $B_{V}=f^{-1}\left(B_{X}\right)$, where $a_{i}$ is a non-negative rational number and $\mathcal{B}_{i}$ is a linear system on $X$ having no base components. Suppose that $O \in \mathbb{C}\left(X, B_{X}\right)$, but the singularities of $\left(X, B_{X}\right)$ are log terminal in some punctured neighborhood of the point $O$. The following result is Corollary 3.5 in [3].

Lemma 17 Suppose that $\operatorname{dim}(X)=3$ and mult ${ }_{O}\left(B_{X}\right)<2$. Then there is a line $L \subset E \cong \mathbb{P}^{2}$ such that $L \in \mathbb{L} \mathbb{C}\left(V, B_{V}+\left(\operatorname{mult}_{O}\left(B_{X}\right)-1\right) E\right)$.

Suppose that $\operatorname{dim}(X)=4$ and mult $O_{O}\left(B_{X}\right)<3$. Then the proof of Lemma 17 and Theorem 14 implies the following result.

Proposition 18 One of the following possibilities holds:

- there is a surface $S \subset E$ such that $S \in \mathbb{L} \mathbb{C}\left(V, B_{V}+\left(\operatorname{mult}_{O}\left(B_{X}\right)-2\right) E\right)$;
- there is a line $L \subset E \cong \mathbb{P}^{3}$ such that $L \in \mathbb{L} \mathbb{C S}\left(V, B_{V}+\left(\operatorname{mult}_{O}\left(B_{X}\right)-2\right) E\right)$.

Now suppose that the set $\mathbb{L} \mathbb{C}\left(V, B_{V}+\left(\operatorname{mult}_{O}\left(B_{X}\right)-2\right) E\right)$ does not contain surfaces that are contained in the divisor $E$ and contains a line $L \subset E \cong \mathbb{P}^{3}$. Let $g: W \rightarrow V$ be a blow up of in $L, F=g^{-1}(L), \bar{E}=g^{-1}(E)$, and $B_{W}=g^{-1}\left(B_{V}\right)$. Then

$$
B^{W}=B_{W}+\left(\operatorname{mult}_{O}\left(B_{X}\right)-3\right) \bar{E}+\left(\operatorname{mult}_{O}\left(B_{X}\right)+\operatorname{mult}_{L}\left(B_{V}\right)-5\right) F .
$$

Proposition 19 One of the following possibilities holds:

- the divisor $F$ is contained in $\mathbb{L} \mathbb{C}\left(W, B^{W}+\bar{E}+2 F\right)$;
- there is a surface $Z \subset F$ such that $Z \in \mathbb{L} \mathbb{C S}\left(W, B^{W}+\bar{E}+2 F\right)$ and $g(Z)=L$.

The following result is implied by Proposition 19.
Theorem 20 Let $Y$ be a variety, $\operatorname{dim}(Y)=4, \mathcal{M}$ be a linear system on the variety $Y$ having no base components, $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ be sufficiently general divisors in $\mathcal{M}$, $P$ be a smooth point on the variety $Y$ such that $P \in \mathbb{C}\left(Y, \frac{1}{n} \mathcal{M}\right)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, but the singularities of $\left(Y, \frac{1}{n} \mathcal{M}\right)$ are canonical in some punctured neighborhood of the point $P, \pi: \hat{Y} \rightarrow Y$ be a blow up of $P$, and $\Pi$ be an exceptional divisor of $\pi$. Then there is a line $C \subset \Pi \cong \mathbb{P}^{3}$ such that the inequality

$$
\operatorname{mult}_{P}\left(S_{1} \cdot S_{2} \cdot \Delta\right) \geqslant 8 n^{2}
$$

holds for any divisor $\Delta$ on $Y$ such that the following conditions hold:

- the divisor $\Delta$ contains the point $P$ and $\Delta$ is smooth at $P$;
- the line $C \subset \Pi \cong \mathbb{P}^{3}$ is contained in the divisor $\pi^{-1}(\Delta)$;
- the divisor $\Delta$ does not contain subvarieties of dimension 2 contained in $\operatorname{Bs}(\mathcal{M})$.

Proof Let $\Delta$ be a divisor on $Y$ such that $P \in \Delta$, the divisor $\Delta$ is smooth at $P$, and $\Delta$ does not contain any surface that is contained in the base locus of $\mathcal{M}$. Then the base locus of the linear system $\left.\mathcal{M}\right|_{\Delta}$ has codimension 2 in $\Delta$. In particular, the intersection $S_{1} \cdot S_{2} \cdot \Delta$ is an effective one-cycle. Let $\bar{S}_{1}=\left.S_{1}\right|_{\Delta}$ and $\bar{S}_{2}=\left.S_{2}\right|_{\Delta}$. Then we must prove that the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{mult}_{P}\left(\bar{S}_{1} \cdot \bar{S}_{2}\right) \geqslant 8 n^{2} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds, perhaps, under certain additional conditions on $\Delta$. Put $\overline{\mathcal{M}}=\left.\mathcal{M}\right|_{\Delta}$. Then

$$
P \in \mathbb{L} \mathbb{C}\left(\Delta, \frac{1}{n} \overline{\mathcal{M}}\right)
$$

by Theorem 15. Let $\bar{\pi}: \hat{\Delta} \rightarrow \Delta$ be a blow up of $P$ and $\bar{\Pi}=\bar{\pi}^{-1}(P)$. Then the diagram

is commutative, where $\hat{\Delta}$ is identified with $\pi^{-1}(\Delta) \subset \hat{Y}$. We have $\bar{\Pi}=\Pi \cap \hat{\Delta}$.
Let $\hat{\mathcal{M}}=\bar{\pi}^{-1}(\overline{\mathcal{M}})$. The inequality 21 is obvious if $\operatorname{mult}_{P}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}) \geqslant 3 n$. Hence we may assume that $\operatorname{mult}_{P}(\overline{\mathcal{M}})<3 n$. Then

$$
\bar{\Pi} \notin \mathbb{L} \mathbb{C}\left(\hat{\Delta}, \frac{1}{n} \hat{\mathcal{M}}+\left(\frac{1}{n} \operatorname{mult}_{P}(\overline{\mathcal{M}})-2\right) \bar{\Pi}\right)
$$

which implies the existence of a subvariety $\Xi \subset \bar{\Pi} \cong \mathbb{P}^{2}$ such that $\Xi$ is a center of $\log$ canonical singularities of $\left(\hat{\Delta}, \frac{1}{n} \hat{\mathcal{M}}+\left(\frac{1}{n} \operatorname{mult}_{P}(\overline{\mathcal{M}})-2\right) \bar{\Pi}\right)$.

Suppose that $\Xi$ is a curve. Put $\hat{S}_{i}=\bar{\pi}^{-1}\left(S_{i}\right)$. Then

$$
\operatorname{mult}_{P}\left(\bar{S}_{1} \cdot \bar{S}_{2}\right) \geqslant \operatorname{mult}_{P}(\overline{\mathcal{M}})^{2}+\operatorname{mult}_{\Xi}\left(\hat{S}_{1} \cdot \hat{S}_{2}\right)
$$

but we can apply Theorem 16 to the $\log$ pair $\left(\hat{\Delta}, \frac{1}{n} \hat{\mathcal{M}}+\left(\frac{1}{n} \operatorname{mult}_{P}(\overline{\mathcal{M}})-2\right) \bar{\Pi}\right)$ in the generic point of the curve $\Xi$. The latter implies that the inequality

$$
\operatorname{mult}_{\Xi}\left(\hat{S}_{1} \cdot \hat{S}_{2}\right) \geqslant 4\left(3 n^{2}-n \operatorname{mult}_{P}(\overline{\mathcal{M}})\right)
$$

holds. Therefore we have

$$
\operatorname{mult}_{P}\left(\bar{S}_{1} \cdot \bar{S}_{2}\right) \geqslant \operatorname{mult}_{P}(\overline{\mathcal{M}})^{2}+4\left(3 n^{2}-n \operatorname{mult}_{P}(\overline{\mathcal{M}})\right) \geqslant 8 n^{2}
$$

which implies the inequality 21.
Suppose now that the subvariety $\Xi \subset \bar{\Pi}$ is a point. In this case Proposition 18 implies the existence of a line $C \subset \Pi \cong \mathbb{P}^{3}$ such that

$$
C \in \mathbb{L} \mathbb{C}\left(\hat{Y}, \frac{1}{n} \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{M})+\left(\operatorname{mult}_{P}(\mathcal{M}) / n-2\right) \Pi\right)
$$

and $\Xi=C \cap \hat{\Delta}$. The line $C \subset \Pi$ depends only on the properties of the log pair ( $Y, \frac{1}{n} \mathcal{M}$ ).

Suppose that initially we take $\Delta$ such that $C \subset \pi^{-1}(\Delta)$. Then we can repeat all the previous steps of our proof. Moreover, the geometrical meaning of Proposition 19 is the following: the condition $C \subset \hat{\Delta}=\pi^{-1}(\Delta)$ implies that

$$
C \in \mathbb{L} \mathbb{C} S\left(\hat{\Delta}, \frac{1}{n} \hat{\mathcal{M}}+\left(\operatorname{mult}_{P}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}) / n-2\right) \bar{\Pi}\right)
$$

in the case when the set $\mathbb{L} \mathbb{C S}\left(\hat{\Delta}, \frac{1}{n} \hat{\mathcal{M}}+\left(\frac{1}{n} \operatorname{mult}_{P}(\overline{\mathcal{M}})-2\right) \bar{\Pi}\right)$ does not contain any other curve in $\bar{\Pi}$. Thus we can apply the previous arguments to the divisor $\Delta$ such that $C \subset \hat{\Delta}$ and obtain the proof of the inequality 21 .

In the rest of the section we prove Proposition 19. We may assume that $X \cong \mathbb{C}^{4}$. Let $H$ be a general hyperplane section of $X$ such that $L \subset f^{-1}(H), T=f^{-1}(H)$ and $S=g^{-1}(T)$. Then

$$
K_{W}+B^{W}+\bar{E}+2 F+S \sim_{\mathbb{Q}}(f \circ g)^{*}\left(K_{X}+B_{X}+H\right)
$$

and

$$
B^{W}+\bar{E}+2 F=B_{W}+\left(\operatorname{mult}_{O}\left(B_{X}\right)-2\right) \bar{E}+\left(\operatorname{mult}_{O}\left(B_{X}\right)+\operatorname{mult}_{L}\left(B_{V}\right)-3\right) F
$$

which implies that

$$
F \in \mathbb{L} \mathbb{C}\left(W, B^{W}+\bar{E}+2 F\right) \Longleftrightarrow \operatorname{mult}_{O}\left(B_{X}\right)+\operatorname{mult}_{L}\left(B_{V}\right) \geqslant 4
$$

by Definition 12. Thus we may assume that $\operatorname{mult}_{O}\left(B_{X}\right)+\operatorname{mult}_{L}\left(B_{V}\right)<4$. We must prove that there is a surface $Z \subset F$ such that $Z \in \mathbb{L} \mathbb{C}\left(W, B^{W}+\bar{E}+2 F\right)$ and $g(Z)=L$.

Now let $\bar{H}$ be a sufficiently general hyperplane section of the variety $X$ passing through the point $O, \bar{T}=f^{-1}(\bar{H})$ and $\bar{S}=g^{-1}(\bar{T})$. Then $O \in \mathbb{L} \mathbb{C S}\left(\bar{H},\left.B_{X}\right|_{\bar{H}}\right)$ by Theorem 15 and

$$
K_{W}+B^{W}+\bar{E}+F+\bar{S} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}}(f \circ g)^{*}\left(K_{X}+B_{X}+H\right)
$$

which implies that the log pair $\left(\bar{S},\left.\left(B^{W}+\bar{E}+F\right)\right|_{\bar{S}}\right)$ is not log terminal. We can apply Theorem 14 to the morphism $f \circ g: \bar{S} \rightarrow \bar{H}$. Therefore either the locus $\operatorname{LCS}\left(\bar{S},\left.\left(B^{W}+\bar{E}+F\right)\right|_{\bar{S}}\right)$ consists of a single isolated point in the fiber of the morphism $\left.g\right|_{F}: F \rightarrow L$ over the point $\bar{T} \cap L$ or it contains a curve in the fiber of the morphism $\left.g\right|_{F}: F \rightarrow L$ over the point $\bar{T} \cap L$.

Remark 23 Every element of the set $\mathbb{L} \mathbb{C}\left(\bar{S},\left.\left(B^{W}+\bar{E}+F\right)\right|_{\bar{S}}\right)$ that is contained in the fiber of the $\mathbb{P}^{2}$-bundle $\left.g\right|_{F}: F \rightarrow L$ over the point $\bar{T} \cap L$ is an intersection of $\bar{S}$ with some element of the set $\mathbb{L} \mathbb{C S}\left(W, B^{W}+\bar{E}+F\right)$ due to the generality in the choice of $\bar{H}$.

Therefore the generality of $\bar{H}$ implies that either $\mathbb{L} \mathbb{C S}\left(W, B^{W}+\bar{E}+F\right)$ contains a surface in the divisor $F$ dominating the curve $L$ or the only center of log canonical singularities of the $\log$ pair $\left(W, B^{W}+\bar{E}+F\right)$ that is contained in the divisor $F$ and dominates the curve $L$ is a section of the $\mathbb{P}^{2}$-bundle $\left.g\right|_{F}: F \rightarrow L$. On the other hand, we have

$$
\mathbb{L} \mathbb{C S}\left(W, B^{W}+\bar{E}+F\right) \subseteq \mathbb{L} \mathbb{C S}\left(W, B^{W}+\bar{E}+2 F\right),
$$

which implies that in order to prove Proposition 19 we may assume that the divisor $F$ contains a curve $C$ such that the following conditions hold:

- the curve $C$ is a section of the $\mathbb{P}^{2}$-bundle $\left.g\right|_{F}: F \rightarrow L$;
- the curve $C$ is the unique element of the set $\mathbb{L} \mathbb{C}\left(W, B^{W}+\bar{E}+2 F\right)$ that is contained in the $g$-exceptional divisor $F$ and dominates the curve $L$;
- the curve $C$ is the unique element of the set $\mathbb{L C S}\left(W, B^{W}+\bar{E}+F\right)$ that is contained in the $g$-exceptional divisor $F$ and dominates the curve $L$.

We have $O \in \mathbb{L} \mathbb{C S}\left(H,\left.M_{X}\right|_{H}\right)$ by Theorem 15 , but $\mathbb{L} \mathbb{C S}\left(S,\left(B^{W}+\bar{E}+\right.\right.$ $\left.2 F)\left.\right|_{S}\right) \neq \varnothing$, where $S$ is the proper transform of $H$ on $W$. We can apply Theorem 14 to the log pair $\left(S,\left.\left(B^{W}+\bar{E}+2 F\right)\right|_{S}\right)$ and the birational morphism $\left.f \circ g\right|_{S}: S \rightarrow H$, which implies that one of the following holds:

- the locus $\operatorname{LCS}\left(S,\left.\left(B^{W}+\bar{E}+2 F\right)\right|_{S}\right)$ consists of a single point;
- the locus $L C S\left(S,\left(B^{W}+\bar{E}+2 F\right) \mid S\right)$ contains the curve $C$.

Corollary 24 Either $C \subset S$ or $S \cap C$ consists of a single point.
By construction we have $L \cong C \cong \mathbb{P}^{1}$ and

$$
F \cong \operatorname{Proj}\left(\mathcal{O}_{L}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{L}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{L}(1)\right)
$$

and $\left.S\right|_{F} \sim B+D$, where $B$ is the tautological line bundle on $F$ and $D$ is a fiber of the natural projection $\left.g\right|_{F}: F \rightarrow L \cong \mathbb{P}^{1}$.

Lemma 25 The group $H^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{W}(S-F)\right)$ vanishes.
Proof The intersection of the divisor $-g^{*}(E)-F$ with every curve that is contained in the divisor $\bar{E}$ is non-negative and $\left.\left(-g^{*}(E)-F\right)\right|_{F} \sim B+D$. Hence $-4 g^{*}(E)-4 F$ is $h$-big and $h$-nef, where $h=f \circ g$. However, we have $X \cong \mathbb{C}^{4}$ and

$$
K_{W}-4 g^{*}(E)-4 F=S-F
$$

which implies $H^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{W}(S-F)\right)=0$ by the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing (see [7]).

Thus the restriction map

$$
H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{W}(S)\right) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}\left(\left.S\right|_{F}\right)\right)
$$

is surjective, but $|S|_{F} \mid$ has no base points (see $\S 2.8$ in [12]).
Corollary 26 The curve $C$ is not contained in $S$.
Let $\tau=\left.g\right|_{F}$ and $\mathcal{I}_{C}$ be an ideal sheaf of $C$ on $F$. Then $R^{1} \tau_{*}\left(B \otimes \mathcal{I}_{C}\right)=0$ and the map

$$
\pi: \mathcal{O}_{L}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{L}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{L}(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{L}(k)
$$

is surjective, where $k=B \cdot C$. The map $\pi$ is given by a an element of the group

$$
H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{L}(k+1)\right) \oplus H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{L}(k-1)\right) \oplus H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{L}(k-1)\right)
$$

which implies $k \geqslant-1$.
Lemma 27 The equality $k=0$ is impossible.
Proof Suppose $k=0$. Then the map $\pi$ is given by matrix $(a x+b y, 0,0)$, where $a$ and $b$ are complex numbers and $(x: y)$ are homogeneous coordinates on $L \cong \mathbb{P}^{1}$. Thus the map $\pi$ is not surjective over the point of $L$ at which $a x+b y$ vanishes.

Therefore the divisor $B$ can not have trivial intersection with $C$. Hence the intersection of the divisor $S$ with the curve $C$ is either trivial or consists of more than one point, but we already proved that $S \cap C$ consists of one point. The obtained contradiction proves Proposition 19.

The following result is a generalization of Theorem 20.
Theorem 28 Let $Y$ be a variety of dimension $r \geqslant 5, \mathcal{M}$ be a linear system on $Y$ having no base components, $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ be general divisors in the linear system $\mathcal{M}, P$ be a smooth point of the variety $Y$ such that $P \in \mathbb{C}\left(Y, \frac{1}{n} \mathcal{M}\right)$ for some natural number $n$, but the singularities of the $\log \operatorname{pair}\left(Y, \frac{1}{n} \mathcal{M}\right)$ are canonical in some punctured neighborhood of $P, \pi: \hat{Y} \rightarrow Y$ be a blow up of the point $P$, and $\Pi$ be a $\pi$-exceptional divisor. Then there is a linear subspace $C \subset \Pi \cong \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ having codimension 2 such that mult $p\left(S_{1} \cdot S_{2} \cdot \Delta\right)>8 n^{2}$, where $\Delta$ is a divisor on $Y$ passing through $P$ such that $\Delta$ is smooth at $P$, the divisor $\pi^{-1}(\Delta)$ contains $C$, the divisor $\Delta$ does not contain any subvarieties of $Y$ of codimension 2 that are contained in the base locus of $\mathcal{M}$.

Proof We consider only the case $r=5$. Let $H_{1}, H_{2}, H_{3}$ be general hyperplane sections of the variety $Y$ passing through $P$. Put $\bar{Y}=\cap_{i=1}^{3} H_{i}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}=\left.\mathcal{M}\right|_{\bar{Y}}$. Then $\bar{Y}$ is a surface, which is smooth at $P$, and $P \in \mathbb{L} \mathbb{C}\left(\bar{Y}, \frac{1}{n} \overline{\mathcal{M}}\right)$ by Theorem 15. Let $\pi: \hat{Y} \rightarrow Y$ be a blow up of $P, \Pi$ be an exceptional divisor of $\pi$, and $\hat{\mathcal{M}}=\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{M})$. Then the set

$$
\mathbb{L} \mathbb{C S}\left(\hat{Y}, \frac{1}{n} \hat{\mathcal{M}}+\left(\operatorname{mult}_{P}(\mathcal{M}) / n-2\right) \Pi\right)
$$

contains a subvariety $Z \subset \Pi$ such that $\operatorname{dim}(Z) \geqslant 2$.
In the case $\operatorname{dim}(Z)=4$ the claim is obvious. In the case $\operatorname{dim}(Z)=3$ we can proceed as in the proof of Theorem 20 to prove that

$$
\operatorname{mult}_{P}\left(S_{1} \cdot S_{2} \cdot \Delta\right)>8 n^{2}
$$

for any divisor $\Delta$ on $Y$ such that the divisor $\Delta$ contains the point $P$, the divisor $\Delta$ is smooth at the point $P$, the divisor $\Delta$ does not contain any subvariety $\Gamma \subset Y$ of codimension 2 that is contained in the base locus of the linear system $\mathcal{M}$.

It should be pointed out that in the cases when $\operatorname{dim}(Z) \geqslant 3$ we do not need to fix any linear subspace $C \subset \Pi$ of codimension 2 such that $\pi^{-1}(\Delta)$ contains $C$. The latter condition is vacuous posteriori when $\operatorname{dim}(Z) \geqslant 3$.

Suppose that $\operatorname{dim}(Z)=2$. Then the surface $Z$ is a linear subspace of $\Pi \cong \mathbb{P}^{4}$ having codimension 2 by Theorem 14 . Moreover, the surface $Z$ does not depend on the choice of our divisors $H_{1}, H_{2}, H_{3}$, because it depends only on the properties of the log pair $\left(Y, \frac{1}{n} \mathcal{M}\right)$.

Put $C=Z$. Let $H$ be a sufficiently general hyperplane section of $Y$ passing through the point $P$, and $\Delta$ be a divisor on $Y$ such that $\Delta$ contains point $P$, the divisor $\Delta$ is smooth at the point $P$, the divisor $\pi^{-1}(\Delta)$ contains $C$, the divisor $\Delta$ does not contain any subvariety of $Y$ of codimension 2 contained in the base locus of the linear system $\mathcal{M}$. Then

$$
\operatorname{mult}_{P}\left(S_{1} \cdot S_{2} \cdot \Delta\right)>8 n^{2} \Longleftrightarrow \operatorname{mult}_{P}\left(\left.\left.\left.S_{1}\right|_{H} \cdot S_{2}\right|_{H} \cdot \Delta\right|_{H}\right)>8 n^{2}
$$

due to the generality of $H$. However, we have mult $P_{P}\left(\left.\left.\left.S_{1}\right|_{H} \cdot S_{2}\right|_{H} \cdot \Delta\right|_{H}\right)>8 n^{2}$ by Theorem 20, because $P \in \mathbb{C}\left(H,\left.\mu \mathcal{M}\right|_{H}\right)$ for some positive rational number $\mu<1 / n$ by Theorem 15 .

## 4 Birational superrigidity

In this section we prove Theorem 2. Let $\psi: X \rightarrow V \subset \mathbb{P}^{n}$ be a double cover branched over a smooth divisor $R \subset V$ such that $n \geqslant 7$. Then $\left.R \sim \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}}(2 r)\right|_{V}$ for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$, and

$$
-K_{X} \sim \psi^{*}\left(\left.\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}}(d+r-1-n)\right|_{V}\right)
$$

where $d=\operatorname{deg} V$. Suppose that $d+r=n$ and $d=3$ or 4 . Then the group $\operatorname{Pic}(X)$ is generated by the divisor $-K_{X}$, and $\left(-K_{X}\right)^{2}=2 d \leqslant 8$. Suppose that $X$ is not birationally superrigid. Then Theorem 11 implies the existence of a linear system $\mathcal{M}$ whose base locus has codimension at least 2 and the singularities of the log pair $\left(X, \frac{1}{m} \mathcal{M}\right)$ are not canonical, where $m$ is a natural number such that the equivalence $\mathcal{M} \sim-m K_{X}$ holds. Hence the set $\mathbb{C}\left(X, \frac{1}{m} \mathcal{M}\right)$ contains a proper irreducible subvariety $Z \subset X$ such that $Z \in \mathbb{C}(X, \mu \mathcal{M})$ for some rational $\mu<1 / m$.

Corollary 29 For a general $S \in \mathcal{M}$ the inequality $\operatorname{mult}_{Z}(S)>m$ holds.
A priori we have $\operatorname{dim}(Z) \leqslant \operatorname{dim}(X)-2=n-3$. We may assume that $Z$ has maximal dimension among subvarieties of $X$ such that the singularities of the $\log$ pair $\left(X, \frac{1}{m} \mathcal{M}\right)$ are not canonical in their generic points.

Lemma 30 The inequality $\operatorname{dim}(Z) \neq 0$ holds.
Proof Suppose that $Z$ is a point. Let $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ be sufficiently general divisors in the linear system $\mathcal{M}, f: U \rightarrow X$ be a blow up of $Z$, and $E$ be an $f$-exceptional divisor. Then Theorem 28 implies the existence of a linear subspace $\Pi \subset E \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-2}$ of codimension 2 such that

$$
\operatorname{mult}_{Z}\left(S_{1} \cdot S_{2} \cdot D\right)>8 m^{2}
$$

holds for any $D \in\left|-K_{X}\right|$ such that $\Pi \subset f^{-1}(D)$, the divisor $D$ is smooth at $Z$, and $D$ does not contain any subvariety of $X$ of codimension 2 that is contained in the base locus of $\mathcal{M}$.

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a linear system of hyperplane sections of the hypersurface $V$ such that $H \in \mathcal{H}$ if and only if $\Pi \subset(\psi \circ f)^{-1}(H)$. Then there is a linear subspace $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{P}^{n}$ of dimension $n-3$ such that the divisors in the linear system $\mathcal{H}$ is cut on $V$ by the hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ that contains the linear subspace $\Sigma$. Hence the base locus of the linear system $\mathcal{H}$ consists of the intersection $\Sigma \cap V$, but we have $\Sigma \not \subset V$ by the Lefschetz theorem. In particular, $\operatorname{dim}(\Sigma \cap V)=n-4$.

Let $H$ be a general divisor in $\mathcal{H}$ and $D=\psi^{-1}(H)$. Then $\Pi \subset f^{-1}(D)$, and $D$ is smooth at the point $Z$. Moreover, the divisor $D$ does not contain any subvariety $\Gamma \subset X$ of codimension 2 that is contained in the base locus of $\mathcal{M}$, because otherwise $\psi(\Gamma) \subset \Sigma \cap V$, but $\operatorname{dim}(\psi(\Gamma))=n-3$ and $\operatorname{dim}(\Sigma \cap V)=n-4$. Let
$H_{1}, H_{2}, \ldots, H_{k}$ be general divisors in $\left|-K_{X}\right|$ passing through the point $Z$, where $k=\operatorname{dim}(Z)-3$. Then we have

$$
2 d m^{2}=H_{1} \cdots \cdot H_{k} \cdot S_{1} \cdot S_{2} \cdot D \geqslant \operatorname{mult}_{Z}\left(S_{1} \cdot S_{2} \cdot D\right)>8 m^{2}
$$

which is a contradiction.
Lemma 31 The inequality $\operatorname{dim}(Z) \geqslant \operatorname{dim}(X)-4$ holds.
Proof Suppose that $\operatorname{dim}(Z) \leqslant \operatorname{dim}(X)-5$. Let $H_{1}, H_{2}, \ldots, H_{k}$ be sufficiently general hyperplane sections of the hypersurface $V \subset \mathbb{P}^{n}$, where $k=\operatorname{dim}(Z)>0$. Put

$$
\bar{V}=\cap_{i=1}^{k} H_{i}, \bar{X}=\psi^{-1}(\bar{V}), \bar{\psi}=\left.\psi\right|_{\bar{X}}: \bar{X} \rightarrow \bar{V}
$$

and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}=\left.\mathcal{M}\right|_{\bar{X}}$. Then $\bar{V}$ is a smooth hypersurface of degree $d$ in $\mathbb{P}^{n-k}, \bar{\psi}$ is a double cover branched over a smooth divisor $R \cap \bar{V}, \overline{\mathcal{M}}$ has no base components, and $\bar{V}$ does not contains linear subspaces of $\mathbb{P}^{n-k}$ of dimension $n-k-3$ by the Lefschetz theorem. Let $P$ be any point of the intersection $Z \cap \bar{X}$. Then $P \in \mathbb{C}\left(\bar{X}, \frac{1}{m} \overline{\mathcal{M}}\right)$ and we can repeat the proof of Lemma 30 to get a contradiction.

Lemma 32 The inequality $\operatorname{dim}(Z) \neq \operatorname{dim}(X)-2$ holds.
Proof Suppose that $\operatorname{dim}(Z)=\operatorname{dim}(X)-2$. Let $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ be sufficiently general divisors in the linear system $\mathcal{M}$, and $H_{1}, H_{2}, \ldots, H_{n-3}$ be general divisors in $\left|-K_{X}\right|$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 d m^{2}=H_{1} \cdots \cdot H_{n-3} \cdot S_{1} \cdot S_{2} & \geqslant \operatorname{mult}_{Z}\left(S_{1}\right) \operatorname{mult}_{Z}\left(S_{2}\right)\left(-K_{X}\right)^{n-3} \cdot Z \\
& >m^{2}\left(-K_{X}\right)^{n-3} \cdot Z,
\end{aligned}
$$

because $\operatorname{mult}_{Z}(\mathcal{M})>m$. Therefore $\left(-K_{X}\right)^{n-3} \cdot Z<2 d$. On the other hand, we have

$$
\left(-K_{X}\right)^{n-3} \cdot Z=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\operatorname{deg}\left(\psi(Z) \subset \mathbb{P}^{n}\right) \text { when }\left.\psi\right|_{Z} \text { is birational, } \\
2 \operatorname{deg}\left(\psi(Z) \subset \mathbb{P}^{n}\right) \text { when }\left.\psi\right|_{Z} \text { is not birational. }
\end{array}\right.
$$

The Lefschetz theorem implies that $\operatorname{deg}(\psi(Z))$ is a multiple of $d$. Therefore $\left.\psi\right|_{Z}$ is a birational morphism and $\operatorname{deg}(\psi(Z))=d$. Hence either $\psi(Z)$ is contained in $R$, or the scheme-theoretic intersection $\psi(Z) \cap R$ is singular in every point. However, we can apply the Lefschetz theorem to the smooth complete intersection $R \subset \mathbb{P}^{n}$, which gives a contradiction.

Lemma 33 The inequality $\operatorname{dim}(Z) \leqslant \operatorname{dim}(X)-5$ holds.
Proof Suppose that $\operatorname{dim}(Z) \geqslant \operatorname{dim}(X)-4 \geqslant 3$. Let $S$ be a sufficiently general divisor in the linear system $\mathcal{M}, \hat{S}=\psi(S \cap R)$ and $\hat{Z}=\psi(Z \cap R)$. Then $\hat{S}$ is a divisor on the complete intersection $R \subset \mathbb{P}^{n}$ such that mult $\hat{\mathcal{Z}}(\hat{S})>m$ and $\left.\hat{S} \sim \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}}(m)\right|_{R}$, because $R$ is a ramification divisor of $\psi$. Hence, the inequality $\operatorname{dim}(\hat{Z}) \geqslant 2$ is impossible by Lemma 10 .

Therefore Theorem 2 is proved.
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