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#### Abstract

We prove that every smooth divisor in $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{2}$ of degree ( $1,1,2$ ) is K -stable.


## 1. Introduction

The goal of this paper is to prove the following result:
Main Theorem. Let $X$ be a smooth divisor in $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{2}$ of degree $(1,1,2)$. Then $X$ is $K$-stable.
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## 2. Smooth Fano threefolds in the deformation family № 3.3

Let $X$ be a divisor in $\mathbb{P}_{s, t}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}_{u, v}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}_{x, y, z}^{2}$ of tridegree $(1,1,2)$, where $([s: t],[u: v],[x, y, z])$ are coordinates on $\mathbb{P}_{s, t}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}_{u, v}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}_{x, y, z}^{2}$. Then $X$ is given by the following equation:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
s & t
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a_{11} & a_{12} \\
a_{21} & a_{22}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
u \\
v
\end{array}\right]=0
$$

where each $a_{i j}=a_{i j}(x, y, z)$ is a homogeneous polynomials of degree 2 . We can also define $X$ by

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lll}
x & y & z
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{lll}
b_{11} & b_{12} & b_{13} \\
b_{21} & b_{22} & b_{23} \\
b_{31} & b_{32} & b_{33}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y \\
z
\end{array}\right]=0
$$

where each $b_{i j}=b_{i j}(s, t ; u, v)$ is a bi-homogeneous polynomial of degree $(1,1)$.
Suppose that $X$ is smooth. Then we have the following commutative diagram:


Throughout this paper, all varieties are assumed to be projective and defined over $\mathbb{C}$.
where all maps are induced by natural projections. Note that $\omega$ is a (standard) conic bundle whose discriminant curve $\Delta_{\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}} \subset \mathbb{P}_{s, t}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}_{u, v}^{1}$ is a curve of degree $(3,3)$, which is given by

$$
\operatorname{det}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
b_{11} & b_{12} & b_{13} \\
b_{21} & b_{22} & b_{23} \\
b_{31} & b_{32} & b_{33}
\end{array}\right]=0
$$

Similarly, the map $\pi_{3}$ is a conic bundle whose discriminant curve $\Delta_{\mathbb{P}^{2}} \subset \mathbb{P}_{x, y, z}^{2}$ is a smooth plane quartic curve, which is given by $a_{11} a_{22}=a_{12} a_{21}$. Both maps $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ are birational morphisms that blow up the following smooth genus 3 curves:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\{s a_{11}+t a_{21}=s a_{12}+t a_{22}=0\right\} & \subset \mathbb{P}_{s, t}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}_{x, y, z}^{2} \\
\left\{u a_{11}+v a_{12}=u a_{21}+v a_{22}=0\right\} & \subset \mathbb{P}_{u, v}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}_{x, y, z}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, both morphisms $\pi_{1}$ and $\pi_{2}$ are fibrations into quintic del Pezzo surfaces.
Let $H_{1}=\pi_{1}^{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(1)\right)$, let $H_{2}=\pi_{2}^{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(1)\right)$, let $H_{3}=\pi_{3}^{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}(1)\right)$, let $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ be the exceptional divisors of the morphisms $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$, respectively. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
-K_{X} & \sim H_{1}+H_{2}+H_{3}, \\
E_{1} & \sim H_{1}+2 H_{3}-H_{2}, \\
E_{2} & \sim H_{2}+2 H_{3}-H_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This gives $E_{1}+E_{2} \sim 4 H_{3}$, which also follows from $E_{1}+E_{2}=\pi_{3}^{*}\left(\Delta_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}\right)$. We have

$$
-K_{X} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{3}{2} H_{1}+\frac{1}{2} H_{2}+\frac{1}{2} E_{2} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{1}{2} H_{1}+\frac{3}{2} H_{2}+\frac{1}{2} E_{1} .
$$

In particular, we see that $\alpha(X) \leqslant \frac{2}{3}$. Note that $E_{1} \cong E_{2} \cong \Delta_{\mathbb{P}^{2}} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$.
The Mori cone $\overline{\mathrm{NE}}(X)$ is simplicial and is generated by the curves contracted by $\omega, \phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$. The cone of effective divisors $\operatorname{Eff}(X)$ is generated by the classes of the divisors $E_{1}, E_{2}, H_{1}, H_{2}$.

Lemma 1. Let $S$ be a surface in the pencil $\left|H_{1}\right|$. Then $S$ is a normal quintic del Pezzo surface that has at most $D u$ Val singularities, the restriction $\left.\pi_{3}\right|_{S}: S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{x, y, z}^{2}$ is a birational morphism, and the restriction $\left.\pi_{2}\right|_{S}: S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{u, v}^{1}$ is a conic bundle. Moreover, one of the following cases hold:

- the surface $S$ is smooth,
$\left(\mathbb{A}_{1}\right)$ the surface $S$ has one singular point of type $\mathbb{A}_{1}$,
$\left(2 \mathbb{A}_{1}\right)$ the surface $S$ has two singular points of type $\mathbb{A}_{1}$,
$\left(\mathbb{A}_{2}\right)$ the surface $S$ has one singular point of type $\mathbb{A}_{2}$,
$\left(\mathbb{A}_{3}\right)$ the surface $S$ has one singular point of type $\mathbb{A}_{3}$.
Furthermore, in each of these five case, the del Pezzo surface $S$ is unique up to an isomorphism.
Proof. This is well-known [3, 4].
Remark 2. In the notations and assumptions of Lemma [1, suppose that the surface $S$ is singular, and let $\varpi: \widetilde{S} \rightarrow S$ be its minimal resolution of singularities. Then the dual graph of the $(-1)$-curves and $(-2)$-curves on the surface $\widetilde{S}$ can be described as follows:
$\left(\mathbb{A}_{1}\right)$ if $S$ has one singular point of type $\mathbb{A}_{1}$, then the dual graph is

$\left(2 \mathbb{A}_{1}\right)$ if $S$ has two singular points of type $\mathbb{A}_{1}$, then the dual graph is

$\left(\mathbb{A}_{2}\right)$ if $S$ has one singular point of type $\mathbb{A}_{2}$, then the dual graph is

$\left(\mathbb{A}_{3}\right)$ if $S$ has one singular point of type $\mathbb{A}_{3}$, then the dual graph is


Here, as in the papers [4, 3], we denote a $(-1)$-curve by $\bullet$, and we denote a $(-2)$-curve by $\circ$.
Lemma 3. Let $S_{1}$ be a surface in $\left|H_{1}\right|$, let $S_{2}$ be a surface in $\left|H_{2}\right|$, and let $P$ be a point in $S_{1} \cap S_{2}$. Then at least one of the surfaces $S_{1}$ or $S_{2}$ is smooth at $P$.

Proof. Local computations.
Corollary 4. In the notations and assumptions of Lemma suppose the conic $S_{1} \cdot S_{2}$ is reduced. Then at least one of the surfaces $S_{1}$ or $S_{2}$ is smooth along $S_{1} \cap S_{2}$.

Lemma 5. Let $P$ be a point in $X$, let $C$ be the scheme fiber of the conic bundle $\omega$ that contains $P$, and let $Z$ be the scheme fiber of the conic bundle $\pi_{3}$ that contains $P$. Then $C$ or $Z$ is smooth at $P$.

Proof. Local computations.
Lemma 6. Let $C$ be a fiber of the morphism $\pi_{3}$, let $S$ be a general surface in $\left|H_{3}\right|$ that contains $C$. Then $S$ is smooth, $K_{S}^{2}=4$ and $-\left.K_{S} \sim\left(H_{1}+H_{2}\right)\right|_{S}$, which implies that $-K_{S}$ is nef and big. Moreover, one of the following three cases holds:
(1) the conic $C$ is smooth, $-K_{S}$ is ample, and the restriction $\left.\omega\right|_{S}: S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{s, t}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}_{u, v}^{1}$ is a double cover branched over a smooth curve of degree (2,2),
(2) the conic $C$ is smooth, the divisor $-K_{S}$ is not ample, the conic $\omega(C)$ is an irreducible component of the discriminant curve $\Delta_{\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}}$, the conic $C$ is contained in $\operatorname{Sing}\left(\omega^{-1}\left(\Delta_{\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}}\right)\right)$, and the restriction map $\left.\omega\right|_{S}: S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{s, t}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}_{u, v}^{1}$ fits the following commutative diagram:

where $\alpha$ is a birational morphism that contracts two disjoint ( -2 -curves, and $\beta$ is a double cover branched over a singular curve of degree $(2,2)$, which is a union of the curve $\omega(C)$ and another smooth curve of degree $(1,1)$, which intersect transversally at two distinct points,
(3) the conic $C$ is singular, $-K_{S}$ is ample, and the restriction $\left.\omega\right|_{S}: S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{s, t}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}_{u, v}^{1}$ is a double cover branched over a smooth curve of degree (2,2).

Proof. The smoothness of the surface $S$ easily follows from local computations. If $-K_{S}$ is ample, the remaining assertions are obvious. So, to complete the proof, we assume that $-K_{S}$ is not ample.

Then the restriction $\left.\omega\right|_{S}: S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{s, t}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}_{u, v}^{1}$ fits the commutative diagram

where $\alpha$ is a birational morphism that contracts all $(-2)$-curves in $S$, and $\beta$ is a double cover branched over a singular curve of degree $(2,2)$. Let $\ell$ be a $(-2)$-curve in $S$. Then

$$
\left(H_{1}+H_{2}\right) \cdot \ell=-K_{S} \cdot \ell=0
$$

so that $\omega(\ell)$ is a point in $\mathbb{P}_{s, t}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}_{u, v}^{1}$. But $\pi_{3}(\ell)$ is a line in $\mathbb{P}_{x, y, z}^{2}$ that contains the point $\pi_{3}(C)$. This shows that the curve $\ell$ is an irreducible component of a singular fiber of the conic bundle $\omega$. Therefore, we see that $\omega(\ell) \in \Delta_{\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}}$. This implies that the conic bundle $\omega$ maps an irreducible component of the conic $C$ to an irreducible component of the curve $\Delta_{\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}}$, because $S$ is a general surface in the linear system $\left|H_{3}\right|$ that contains the curve $C$.

If $C$ is singular, an irreducible component of the curve $\Delta_{\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}}$ is a curve of degree $(1,0)$ or $(0,1)$, which is impossible [8, § 3.8]. Therefore, we see that the conic $C$ is smooth and irreducible, and the curve $\omega(C) \cong C$ is an irreducible component of the discriminant curve $\Delta_{\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}}$. Since the conic bundle $\omega$ is standard [8], the surface $\omega^{-1}(\omega(C))$ is irreducible and non-normal, which easily implies that the conic $C$ is contained in its singular locus.

Choosing appropriate coordinates on $\mathbb{P}_{s, t}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}_{u, v}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}_{x, y, z}^{2}$, we may assume that $\pi_{3}(C)=[0: 0: 1]$, the conic $C$ is given by $x=y=s v-t u=0,([0: 1],[0: 1])$ is a smooth point of the curve $\Delta_{\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}}$, and the fiber $\omega^{-1}([0: 1],[0: 1])$ is given by $s=u=x y=0$. Then $X$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(a_{1} s u+b_{1} s v+c_{1} t u\right) x^{2}+\left(a_{2} s u+b_{2} s v+c_{2} t u+t v\right) x y+ \\
& \quad+b_{4}(s v-t u) x z+\left(a_{3} s u+b_{3} s v+c_{3} t u\right) y^{2}+b_{5}(s v-t u) y z+(s v-t u) z^{2}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

for some numbers $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}, b_{4}, b_{5}, c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}$. One can check that $\Delta_{\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}}$ indeed splits as a union of the curve $\omega(C)$ and the curve in $\mathbb{P}_{s, t}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}_{u, v}^{1}$ of degree (2,2) that is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{1} b_{5}^{2} s t u^{2}-a_{1} b_{5}^{2} s^{2} u v+a_{2} b_{4} b_{5} s^{2} u v-a_{2} b_{4} b_{5} s t u^{2}-a_{3} b_{4}^{2} s^{2} u v+a_{3} b_{4}^{2} s t u^{2}-b_{1} b_{5}^{2} s^{2} v^{2}+ \\
& +b_{1} b_{5}^{2} s t u v+b_{2} b_{4} b_{5} s^{2} v^{2}-b_{2} b_{4} b_{5} s t u v-b_{3} b_{4}^{2} s^{2} v^{2}+b_{3} b_{4}^{2} s t u v-b_{4}^{2} c_{3} s t u v+b_{4}^{2} c_{3} t^{2} u^{2}+b_{4} b_{5} c_{2} s t u v- \\
& -b_{4} b_{5} c_{2} t^{2} u^{2}-b_{5}^{2} c_{1} s t u v+b_{5}^{2} c_{1} t^{2} u^{2}+4 a_{1} a_{3} s^{2} u^{2}+4 a_{1} b_{3} s^{2} u v+4 a_{1} c_{3} s t u^{2}-a_{2}^{2} s^{2} u^{2}-2 a_{2} b_{2} s^{2} u v- \\
& -2 a_{2} c_{2} s t u^{2}+4 a_{3} b_{1} s^{2} u v+4 a_{3} c_{1} s t u^{2}+4 b_{1} b_{3} s^{2} v^{2}+4 b_{1} c_{3} s t u v-b_{2}^{2} s^{2} v^{2}-2 b_{2} c_{2} s t u v+4 b_{3} c_{1} s t u v+ \\
& \quad+b_{4} b_{5} s t v^{2}-b_{4} b_{5} t^{2} u v+4 c_{1} c_{3} t^{2} u^{2}-c_{2}^{2} t^{2} u^{2}-2 a_{2} s t u v-2 b_{2} s t v^{2}-2 c_{2} t^{2} u v-t^{2} v^{2}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The surface $S$ is cut out on $X$ by the equation $y=\lambda x$, where $\lambda$ is a general complex number. Then the double cover $\beta: \bar{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{s, t}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}_{u, v}^{1}$ is branched over a singular curve of degree (2,2), which splits as a union of the curve $\omega(C)$ and the curve in $\mathbb{P}_{s, t}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}_{u, v}^{1}$ of degree $(1,1)$ that is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda^{2} b_{5}^{2} t u-\lambda^{2} b_{5}^{2} s v+4 \lambda^{2} a_{3} s u+4 \lambda^{2} b_{3} s v-2 b_{4} \lambda b_{5} s v+2 \lambda b_{4} b_{5} t u+ \\
& \quad+4 \lambda^{2} c_{3} t u+4 \lambda a_{2} s u+4 \lambda b_{2} s v-b_{4}^{2} s v+b_{4}^{2} t u+4 \lambda c_{2} t u+4 a_{1} s u+4 b_{1} s v+4 c_{1} t u+4 \lambda t v=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\lambda$ is general and $X$ is smooth, these two curves intersect transversally by two points, which implies the remaining assertions of the lemma.

Note that the case (2) in Lemma 6 indeed can happen. For instance, if $X$ is given by

$$
(s v+t u) x^{2}+(s u-s v+t v) x y+(5 s v-5 t u) z x+3 s u y^{2}+(s v-t u) z y+(s v-t u) z^{2}=0
$$

then $X$ is smooth, and general surface in $\left|H_{3}\right|$ that contains the curve $\pi_{3}^{-1}([0: 0: 1])$ is a smooth weak del Pezzo surface, which is not a quartic del Pezzo surface.

Lemma 7. Let $C$ be a smooth fiber of the morphism $\omega$, and let $S$ be a general surface in $\left|H_{1}+H_{2}\right|$ that contains the curve $C$. Then $S$ is a smooth del Pezzo surface of degree 2, and $-\left.K_{S} \sim H_{3}\right|_{S}$.
Proof. Left to the reader.
Observe that $-K_{X}^{3}=18$, and $X$ is a smooth Fano threefold in the deformation family №3.3. Moreover, every smooth Fano threefold in this deformation family can be obtained in this way.

## 3. Applications of Abban-Zhuang theory

Let us use notations and assumptions of Section 2, Let $f: \widetilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be a birational map such that $\widetilde{X}$ is a normal threefold, and let $\mathbf{F}$ be a prime divisor in $\widetilde{X}$. Then, to prove that $X$ is K-stable, it is enough to show that $\beta(\mathbf{F})=A_{X}(\mathbf{F})-S_{X}(\mathbf{F})>0$, where $A_{X}(\mathbf{F})=1+\operatorname{ord}_{\mathbf{F}}\left(K_{\tilde{X}} / K_{X}\right)$ and

$$
S_{X}(\mathbf{F})=\frac{1}{-K_{X}^{3}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \operatorname{vol}\left(f^{*}\left(-K_{X}\right)-u \mathbf{F}\right) d u
$$

This follows from the valuative criterion for K-stability [5, 7].
Let $\mathfrak{C}$ be the center of the divisor $\mathbf{F}$ on the threefold $X$. By [6, Theorem 10.1], we have

$$
S_{X}(S)=\frac{1}{-K_{X}^{3}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \operatorname{vol}\left(-K_{X}-u S\right) d u<1
$$

for every surface $S \subset X$. Hence, if $\mathfrak{C}$ is a surface, then $\beta(\mathbf{F})>0$. Thus, to show that $X$ is K-stable, we may assume that $\mathfrak{C}$ is either a curve or a point. If $\mathfrak{C}$ is a curve, then [2, Corollary 1.7.26] gives

Corollary 8. Suppose that $\beta(\mathbf{F}) \leqslant 0$ and $\mathfrak{C}$ is a curve. Let $S$ be an irreducible normal surface in the threefold $X$ that contains $\mathfrak{C}$. Set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet}^{S} ; \mathfrak{C}\right)=\frac{3}{\left(-K_{X}\right)^{3}} \int_{0}^{\tau}\left(P(u)^{2} \cdot S\right) \cdot \operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{C}}\left(\left.N(u)\right|_{S}\right) d u+ \\
&+\frac{3}{\left(-K_{X}\right)^{3}} \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{\infty} \operatorname{vol}\left(\left.P(u)\right|_{S}-v \mathfrak{C}\right) d v d u
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\tau$ is the largest rational number $u$ such that $-K_{X}-u S$ is pseudo-effective, $P(u)$ is the positive part of the Zariski decomposition of $-K_{X}-u S$, and $N(u)$ is its negative part. Then $S\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet}^{S} ; \mathfrak{C}\right)>1$.

Let $P$ be a point in $\mathfrak{C}$. Then

$$
\frac{A_{X}(\mathbf{F})}{S_{X}(\mathbf{F})} \geqslant \delta_{P}(X)=\inf _{\substack{E / X \\ P \in C_{X}(E)}} \frac{A_{X}(E)}{S_{X}(E)}
$$

where the infimum is taken over all prime divisors $E$ over $X$ whose centers on $X$ that contain $P$. Therefore, to prove that the Fano threefold $X$ is K-stable, it is enough to show that $\delta_{P}(X)>1$. On the other hand, we can estimate $\delta_{P}(X)$ by using [1, Theorem 3.3] and [2, Corollary 1.7.30]. Namely, let $S$ be an irreducible surface in $X$ with Du Val singularities such that $P \in S$. Set

$$
\tau=\sup \left\{u \in \mathbb{Q} \geqslant 0 \mid \text { the divisor }-K_{X}-u S \text { is pseudo-effective }\right\} .
$$

For $u \in[0, \tau]$, let $P(u)$ be the positive part of the Zariski decomposition of the divisor $-K_{X}-u S$, and let $N(u)$ be its negative part. Then [1, Theorem 3.3] and [2, Corollary 1.7.30] give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{P}(X) \geqslant \min \left\{\frac{1}{S_{X}(S)}, \delta_{P}\left(S ; W_{\bullet, \bullet}^{S}\right)\right\} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for

$$
\delta_{P}\left(S ; W_{\bullet, \bullet}^{S}\right)=\inf _{\substack{F / S, P \subseteq C_{S}(F)}} \frac{A_{S}(F)}{S\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet}^{S} ; F\right)}
$$

where

$$
S\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet}^{S} ; F\right)=\frac{3}{-K_{X}^{3}} \int_{0}^{\tau}\left(P(u)^{2} \cdot S\right) \cdot \operatorname{ord}_{F}\left(\left.N(u)\right|_{S}\right) d u+\frac{3}{-K_{X}^{3}} \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{\infty} \operatorname{vol}\left(\left.P(u)\right|_{S}-v F\right) d v d u
$$

and now the infimum is taken over all prime divisors $F$ over $S$ whose centers on $S$ that contain $P$. Let us show how to apply (3.1) in some cases. Recall that $S_{X}(S)<1$ by [6, Theorem 10.1].

Lemma 9. Let $C$ be the fiber of the conic bundle $\pi_{3}$ that contains $P$, and let $S$ be a general surface in $\left|H_{3}\right|$ that contains $C$. Suppose $S$ is a smooth del Pezzo of degree 4, and $C$ is smooth. Then $\delta_{P}(X)>1$.

Proof. One has $\tau=1$. Moreover, for $u \in[0,1]$, we have $N(u)=0$ and $\left.P(u)\right|_{S}=-K_{S}+(1-u) C$. Let $L=-K_{S}+(1-u) C$. Using Lemma 23 and arguing as in the proof of Lemma 26, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
S\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet}^{S} ; F\right)= & \frac{1}{6} \int_{0}^{1} 4(1+(1-u)) S_{L}(F) d u \leqslant \\
& \leqslant A_{S}(F) \int_{0}^{1} \frac{4}{6}(1+(1-u)) \frac{19+8(1-u)+(1-u)^{2}}{24} d u=\frac{143}{144} A_{S}(F)
\end{aligned}
$$

for any prime divisor $F$ over $S$ such that $P \in C_{S}(F)$. Then (3.1) gives $\delta_{P}(X)>1$.
Similarly, we obtain the following result:
Lemma 10. Let $S$ be the surface in $\left|H_{1}\right|$ that contain $P$. Then

$$
\delta_{P}(X) \geqslant \min \left\{\frac{1}{S_{X}(S)}, \frac{2592 \delta_{P}(S)}{2560+63 \delta_{P}(S)}\right\}
$$

for $\delta_{P}(S)=\delta_{P}\left(S,-K_{S}\right)$, where $\delta_{P}\left(S,-K_{S}\right)$ is defined in Appendix A.
Proof. We have $\tau=\frac{3}{2}$. Moreover, we have

$$
P(u)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(1-u) H_{1}+H_{2}+H_{3} \text { if } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1 \\
(2-u) H_{2}+(3-2 u) H_{3} \text { if } 1 \leqslant u \leqslant \frac{3}{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
N(u)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \text { if } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1 \\
(u-1) E_{2} \text { if } 1 \leqslant u \leqslant \frac{3}{2} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Note also that $\left.E_{2}\right|_{S}$ is a smooth genus 3 curve contained in the smooth locus of the surface $S$.
Recall that $S$ is a quintic del Pezzo surface with at most Du Val singularities, and the restriction morphism $\left.\pi_{2}\right|_{S}: S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{u, v}^{1}$ is a conic bundle. Note that the morphism $\left.\pi_{3}\right|_{S}: S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{x, y, z}^{2}$ is birational. Let $C$ be a fiber of the conic bundle $\left.\pi_{2}\right|_{S}$, and let $L$ be the preimage in $S$ of a general line in $\mathbb{P}_{x, y, z}^{2}$. Then $-K_{S} \sim C+L$ and

$$
\left.P(u)\right|_{S} \sim_{\mathbb{R}}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
C+L \text { if } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1, \\
(2-u) C+(3-2 u) L \text { if } 1 \leqslant u \leqslant \frac{3}{2},
\end{array}\right.
$$

Since $2 L-C$ is pseudoeffective, the divisor $\frac{7-4 u}{3}\left(-K_{S}\right)-(2-u) C-(3-2 u) L$ is also pseudoeffective.

Let $F$ be a divisor over $S$ such that $P \in C_{S}(F)$. Then it follows from Lemma 26 that

$$
\begin{gathered}
S\left(W_{\bullet, 0}^{S} ; F\right) \leqslant \frac{1}{6} A_{S}(F) \int_{1}^{\frac{3}{2}}(u-1)\left(\left.P(u)\right|_{S}\right)^{2} d u+\frac{1}{6} \int_{0}^{\frac{3}{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \operatorname{vol}\left(\left.P(u)\right|_{S}-v F\right) d v d u= \\
=\frac{7}{288} A_{S}(F)+\frac{1}{6} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{\infty} \operatorname{vol}\left(-K_{S}-v F\right) d v d u+\frac{1}{6} \int_{1}^{\frac{3}{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \operatorname{vol}((2-u) C+(3-2 u) L-v F) d v d u \leqslant \\
\leqslant \frac{7}{288} A_{S}(F)+\frac{1}{6} \int_{0}^{1} 5 \frac{A_{S}(F)}{\delta_{P}(S)} d u+\frac{1}{6} \int_{1}^{\frac{3}{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \operatorname{vol}\left(\frac{7-4 u}{3}\left(-K_{S}\right)-v F\right) d v d u= \\
=\frac{7}{288} A_{S}(F)+\frac{5}{6 \delta_{P}(S)} A_{S}(F)+\frac{1}{6} \int_{1}^{\frac{3}{2}}\left(\frac{7-4 u}{3}\right)^{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} \operatorname{vol}\left(-K_{S}-v F\right) d v d u \leqslant \\
=\frac{7}{288} A_{S}(F)+\frac{5}{6 \delta_{P}(S)} A_{S}(F)+\frac{1}{6} \int_{1}^{\frac{3}{2}}\left(\frac{7-4 u}{3}\right)^{3} 5 \frac{A_{S}(F)}{\delta_{P}(S)} d u= \\
=\frac{7}{288} A_{S}(F)+\frac{5}{6 \delta_{P}(S)} A_{S}(F)+\frac{25}{162 \delta_{P}(S)} A_{S}(F)=\left(\frac{80}{81 \delta_{P}(S)}+\frac{7}{288}\right) A_{S}(F)
\end{gathered}
$$

Then $\delta_{P}\left(S ; W_{\bullet, \bullet}^{S}\right) \geqslant \frac{1}{81 \delta_{P}(S)}+\frac{7}{288}=\frac{2592 \delta_{P}(S)}{2560+63 \delta_{P}(S)}$ and the required assertion follows from (3.1).
Keeping in mind that $S_{X}(S)<1$ by [6, Theorem 10.1] and the $\delta$-invariant of the smooth quintic del Pezzo surface is $\frac{15}{13}$ by [2, Lemma 2.11], we obtain
Corollary 11. Let $S$ be the surface in $\left|H_{1}\right|$ that contain $P$. If $S$ is smooth, then $\delta_{P}(X)>1$.
Similarly, using Lemmas 24 and 25 from Appendix A, we obtain
Corollary 12. Let $S$ be the surface in $\left|H_{1}\right|$ that contain $P$. Suppose that $S$ has at most singular points of type $\mathbb{A}_{1}$, and $P$ is not contained in any line in $S$ that passes through a singular point. Then $\delta_{P}(X)>1$.

Alternatively, we can estimate $\delta_{P}(X)$ using [2, Theorem 1.7.30]. Namely, let $C$ be an irreducible smooth curve in $S$ that contains $P$. Suppose $S$ is smooth at $P$. Since $S \not \subset \operatorname{Supp}(N(u))$, we write

$$
\left.N(u)\right|_{S}=d(u) C+N_{S}^{\prime}(u),
$$

where $N_{S}^{\prime}(u)$ is an effective $\mathbb{R}$-divisor on $S$ such that $C \not \subset \operatorname{Supp}\left(N_{S}^{\prime}(u)\right)$, and $d(u)=\operatorname{ord}_{C}\left(\left.N(u)\right|_{S}\right)$. Now, for every $u \in[0, \tau]$, we define the pseudo-effective threshold $t(u) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geqslant 0}$ as follows:

$$
t(u)=\inf \left\{v \in \mathbb{R}_{\geqslant 0} \mid \text { the divisor }\left.P(u)\right|_{S}-v C \text { is pseudo-effective }\right\} .
$$

For $v \in[0, t(u)]$, we let $P(u, v)$ be the positive part of the Zariski decomposition of $\left.P(u)\right|_{S}-v C$, and we let $N(u, v)$ be its negative part. As in Corollary 园, we let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet}^{S} ; C\right)=\frac{3}{\left(-K_{X}\right)^{3}} \int_{0}^{\tau}\left(P(u)^{2} \cdot S\right) \cdot \operatorname{ord}_{C}\left(\left.N(u)\right|_{S}\right) d u+ \\
& \quad+\frac{3}{\left(-K_{X}\right)^{3}} \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{\infty} \operatorname{vol}\left(\left.P(u)\right|_{S}-v C\right) d v d u
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $C \not \subset \operatorname{Supp}(N(u, v))$ for every $u \in[0, \tau)$ and $v \in(0, t(u))$. Thus, we can let

$$
F_{P}\left(W_{\bullet,,, \bullet}^{S, C}\right)=\frac{6}{\left(-K_{X}\right)^{3}} \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{t(u)}(P(u, v) \cdot C) \cdot \operatorname{ord}_{P}\left(\left.N_{S}^{\prime}(u)\right|_{C}+\left.N(u, v)\right|_{C}\right) d v d u
$$

Finally, we let

$$
S\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet, \bullet}^{S, C} ; P\right)=\frac{3}{\left(-K_{X}\right)^{3}} \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{t(u)}(P(u, v) \cdot C)^{2} d v d u+F_{P}\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet, \bullet}^{S, C}\right)
$$

Then [2, Theorem 1.7.30] gives

## Corollary 13. One has

( $\star$ ) $\quad \frac{A_{X}(\mathbf{F})}{S_{X}(\mathbf{F})} \geqslant \delta_{P}(X) \geqslant \min \left\{\frac{1}{S\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet, \bullet}^{S, C} ; P\right)}, \frac{1}{S\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet}^{S} ; C\right)}, \frac{1}{S_{X}(S)}\right\}$.
Moreover, if both inequalities in (\$) are equalities and $\mathfrak{C}=P$, then $\delta_{P}(X)=\frac{1}{S_{X}(S)}$.
Let us show how to compute $S\left(W_{\bullet \bullet \bullet}^{S} ; C\right)$ and $S\left(W_{\bullet \bullet, \bullet}^{S, C} ; P\right)$ in some cases.
Lemma 14. Suppose that $\omega(P) \notin \Delta_{\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}}$. Let $S$ be a general surface in $\left|H_{1}+H_{2}\right|$ that contains $P$, and let $C$ be the fiber of the morphism $\omega$ containing $P$. Then $S\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet}^{S} ; C\right)=\frac{31}{36}$ and $S\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet, \bullet}^{S, C} ; P\right)=1$.

Proof. We have $\tau=1$. Moreover, for $u \in[0,1]$, we have $N(u)=0$ and $\left.P(u)\right|_{S}=-K_{S}+2(1-u) C$. On the other hand, it follows from Lemma 7 that $S$ is a smooth del Pezzo surface of degree 2, and the restriction map $\left.\pi_{3}\right|_{S}: S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{x, y, z}^{2}$ is a double cover that is ramified over a smooth quartic curve. Therefore, applying the Galois involution of this double cover to $C$, we obtain another smooth irreducible curve $Z \subset S$ such that $C+Z \sim-2 K_{S}, C^{2}=Z^{2}=0$ and $C \cdot Z=4$, which gives

$$
\left.P(u)\right|_{S}-v C \sim_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\frac{5}{2}-2 u-v\right) C+\frac{1}{2} Z .
$$

Then $\left.P(u)\right|_{S}-v C$ is pseudoeffective $\left.\Longleftrightarrow P(u)\right|_{S}-v C$ is nef $\Longleftrightarrow v \leqslant \frac{5}{2}-2 u$. Thus, we have

$$
\operatorname{vol}\left(\left.P(u)\right|_{S}-v C\right)=\left(-K_{S}+2(1-u) C\right)^{2}=10-8 u-4 v
$$

and $P(u, v) \cdot C=2$. Now, integrating, we get $S\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet}^{S} ; C\right)=\frac{31}{36}$ and $S\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet \bullet}^{S, C} ; P\right)=1$.
Lemma 15. Suppose that $P \notin E_{1} \cup E_{2}$. Let $S$ be a general surface in $\left|H_{3}\right|$ that contains $P$, and let $C$ be the fiber of the morphism $\pi_{3}$ containing $P$. Suppose that $S$ is a smooth del Pezzo surface. Then $S\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet}^{S} ; C\right)=\frac{7}{9}$ and $S\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet, \bullet}^{S, C} ; P\right)=1$.
Proof. We have $\tau=1$. Moreover, for $u \in[0,1]$, we have $N(u)=0$ and $\left.P(u)\right|_{S}=-K_{S}+(1-u) C$. Since $S$ is a smooth del Pezzo surface, the restriction map $\left.\omega\right|_{S}: S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{s, t}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}_{u, v}^{1}$ is a double cover ramified over a smooth elliptic curve. Therefore, using the Galois involution of this double cover, we get an irreducible curve $Z \subset S$ such that $C+Z \sim-K_{S}, C^{2}=Z^{2}=0, C \cdot Z=2$, which gives

$$
\left.P(u)\right|_{S}-v C \sim_{\mathbb{R}}(2-u-v) C+Z
$$

Then $\left.P(u)\right|_{S}-v C$ is pseudoeffective $\left.\Longleftrightarrow P(u)\right|_{S}-v C$ is nef $\Longleftrightarrow v \leqslant 2-u$. Thus, we have

$$
\operatorname{vol}\left(\left.P(u)\right|_{S}-v C\right)=\left(-K_{S}+(1-u) C\right)^{2}=8-4 u-4 v
$$

and $P(u, v) \cdot C=2$. Now, integrating, we obtain $S\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet}^{S} ; C\right)=\frac{7}{9}$ and $S\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet \bullet}^{S, C} ; P\right)=1$.
Lemma 16. Suppose that $P \notin E_{1} \cup E_{2}$. Let $S$ be a general surface in $\left|H_{3}\right|$ that contains $P$, and let $C$ be the fiber of the morphism $\pi_{3}$ containing $P$. Suppose $S$ is not a smooth del Pezzo surface. Then $S\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet}^{S} ; C\right)=\frac{8}{9}$ and $S\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet, \bullet}^{S, C} ; P\right)=\frac{7}{9}$.
Proof. We have $\tau=1$. Moreover, for $u \in[0,1]$, we have $N(u)=0$ and $\left.P(u)\right|_{S}=-K_{S}+(1-u) C$. It follows from Lemma 6 that $S$ contains two ( -2 )-curves $\mathbf{e}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{e}_{2}$ such that $-K_{S} \sim 2 C+\mathbf{e}_{1}+\mathbf{e}_{2}$. On the surface $S$, we have $C^{2}=0, C \cdot \mathbf{e}_{1}=C \cdot \mathbf{e}_{2}=1, \mathbf{e}_{1}^{2}=\mathbf{e}_{2}^{2}=-2$, and

$$
\left.P(u)\right|_{S}-v C \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \underset{8}{(3-u-v) C+}+\mathbf{e}_{1}+\mathbf{e}_{2} .
$$

Then $\left.P(u)\right|_{S}-v C$ is pseudoeffective $\Longleftrightarrow v \leqslant 3-u$. Moreover, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
P(u, v)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(3-u-v) C+\mathbf{e}_{1}+\mathbf{e}_{2} \text { if } 0 \leqslant v \leqslant 1-u, \\
\frac{3-u-v}{2}\left(2 C+\mathbf{e}_{1}+\mathbf{e}_{2}\right) \text { if } 1-u \leqslant v \leqslant 3-u,
\end{array}\right. \\
N(u, v)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \text { if } 0 \leqslant v \leqslant 1-u, \\
\frac{u+v-1}{2}\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}+\mathbf{e}_{2}\right) \text { if } 1-u \leqslant v \leqslant 3-u,
\end{array}\right. \\
\operatorname{vol}\left(\left.P(u)\right|_{S}-v C\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
8-4 u-4 v \text { if } 0 \leqslant v \leqslant 1-u, \\
(u+v-3)^{2} \text { if } 1-u \leqslant v \leqslant 3-u .
\end{array}\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

Now, integrating $\operatorname{vol}\left(\left.P(u)\right|_{S}-v C\right)$, we obtain $S\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet}^{S} ; C\right)=\frac{8}{9}$.
To compute $S\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet \bullet}^{S, C} ; P\right)$, observe that $F_{P}\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet, \bullet}^{S, C}\right)=0$, because $P \notin \mathbf{e}_{1} \cup \mathbf{e}_{2}$, since $S$ is a general surface in $\left|H_{3}\right|$ that contains $C$. On the other hand, we have

$$
P(u, v) \cdot C=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
2 \text { if } 0 \leqslant v \leqslant 1-u \\
3-u-v \text { if } 1-u \leqslant v \leqslant 3-u
\end{array}\right.
$$

Hence, integrating $(P(u, v) \cdot C)^{2}$, we get $S\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet, \bullet}^{S, C} ; P\right)=\frac{7}{9}$ as required.
Lemma 17. Suppose $P \in\left(E_{1} \cup E_{2}\right) \backslash\left(E_{1} \cap E_{2}\right)$. Let $S$ be a general surface in $\left|H_{3}\right|$ that contains $P$, let $C$ be the irreducible component of the fiber of the conic bundle $\pi_{3}$ containing $P$ such that $P \in C$. Then $S\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet}^{S} ; C\right)=1$ and $S\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet, \bullet}^{S, C} ; P\right) \leqslant \frac{31}{36}$.
Proof. We have $\tau=1$. For $u \in[0,1]$, we have $N(u)=0$ and $\left.P(u)\right|_{S} \sim_{\mathbb{R}}-K_{S}+(1-u)\left(C+C^{\prime}\right)$, where $C^{\prime}$ is the irreducible curve in $S$ such that $C+C^{\prime}$ is the fiber of the conic bundle $\pi_{3}$ that passes through the point $P$. Since $P \notin E_{1} \cap E_{2}$, we see that $P \notin C^{\prime}$.

By Lemma 6, the surface $S$ is a smooth del Pezzo surface of degree 4, so we can identify it with a complete intersection of two quadrics in $\mathbb{P}^{4}$. Then $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ are lines in $S$, and $S$ contains four additional lines that intersect $C$. Denote them by $L_{1}, L_{2}, L_{3}, L_{4}$, and let $Z=L_{1}+L_{2}+L_{3}+L_{4}$. Then the intersections of the curves $C, C^{\prime}$ and $Z$ on the surface $S$ are given in the table below.

| $\bullet$ | $C$ | $C^{\prime}$ | $Z$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $C$ | -1 | 1 | 4 |
| $C^{\prime}$ | 1 | -1 | 0 |
| $Z$ | 4 | 0 | -4 |

Observe that $-K_{S} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{3}{2} C+\frac{1}{2} C^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2} Z$. This gives $\left.P(u)\right|_{S}-v C \sim_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\frac{5}{2}-u-v\right) C+\left(\frac{3}{2}-u\right) C^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2} Z$, which implies that $\left.P(u)\right|_{S}-v C$ is pseudoeffective $\Longleftrightarrow v \leqslant \frac{5}{2}-u$.

Moreover, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(u, v)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\frac{5}{2}-u-v\right) C+\left(\frac{3}{2}-u\right) C^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2} Z \text { if } 0 \leqslant v \leqslant 1 \\
\left(\frac{5}{2}-u-v\right)\left(C+C^{\prime}\right)+\frac{1}{2} Z \text { if } 1 \leqslant v \leqslant 2-u \\
\left(\frac{5}{2}-u-v\right)\left(C+C^{\prime}+Z\right) \text { if } 2-u \leqslant v \leqslant \frac{5}{2}-u,
\end{array}\right. \\
& N(u, v)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \text { if } 0 \leqslant v \leqslant 1 \\
(v-1) C^{\prime} \text { if } 1 \leqslant v \leqslant 2-u, \\
(v-1) C^{\prime}+(v+u-2) Z \text { if } 2-u \leqslant v \leqslant \frac{5}{2}-u
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
P(u, v) \cdot C=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1+v \text { if } 0 \leqslant v \leqslant 1, \\
2 \text { if } 1 \leqslant v \leqslant 2-u, \\
10-4 u-4 v \text { if } 2-u \leqslant v \leqslant \frac{5}{2}-u,
\end{array}\right. \\
\operatorname{vol}\left(\left.P(u)\right|_{S}-v C\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
8-v^{2}-4 u-2 v \text { if } 0 \leqslant v \leqslant 1, \\
9-4 u-4 v \text { if } 1 \leqslant v \leqslant 2-u, \\
(5-2 u-2 v)^{2} \text { if } 2-u \leqslant v \leqslant \frac{5}{2}-u .
\end{array}\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

Now, integrating $\operatorname{vol}\left(\left.P(u)\right|_{S}-v C\right)$ and $(P(u, v) \cdot C)^{2}$, we get $S\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet}^{S} ; C\right)=1$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
S\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet, \bullet}^{S, C} ; P\right)=\frac{5}{6}+F_{P}\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet \bullet}^{S, C}\right) & =\frac{5}{6}+\frac{1}{3} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{\frac{5}{2}-u}(P(u, v) \cdot C) \cdot \operatorname{ord}_{P}\left(\left.N(u, v)\right|_{C}\right) d v d u \leqslant \\
& \leqslant \frac{5}{6}+\frac{1}{3} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{2}^{\frac{5}{2}-u}(10-4 u-4 v)(v+u-2) d v d u=\frac{31}{36}
\end{aligned}
$$

because $P \notin C^{\prime}$, and the curves $Z$ and $C$ intersect each other transversally.

## 4. The proof of Main Theorem

Let us use notations and assumptions of Sections 2 and 3. Recall that $\mathbf{F}$ is a prime divisor over the threefold $X$, and $\mathfrak{C}$ is its center in $X$. To prove Main Theorem, we must show that $\beta(\mathbf{F})>0$.

Lemma 18. Suppose that $\mathfrak{C}$ is a curve. Then $\beta(\mathbf{F})>0$.
Proof. Suppose $\beta(\mathbf{F}) \leqslant 0$. Then $\delta_{P}(X) \leqslant 1$ for every point $P \in \mathfrak{C}$. Let us seek for a contradiction.
Let $S_{1}$ be a general surface in the linear system $\left|H_{1}\right|$. Then $S_{1}$ is smooth. Hence, if $S_{1} \cap \mathfrak{C} \neq \varnothing$, then $\delta_{P}(X) \leqslant 1$ for every point $P \in S_{1} \cap \mathfrak{C}$, which contradicts Corollary 11. We see that $S_{1} \cdot \mathfrak{C}=0$. Similarly, we see that $S_{2} \cdot \mathfrak{C}=0$. Therefore, we see that $\omega(\mathfrak{C})$ is a point.

Let $C$ be the scheme fiber of the conic bundle $\omega$ over the point $\omega(\mathfrak{C})$. Then $\mathfrak{C}$ is an irreducible component of the curve $C$. If the fiber $C$ is smooth, then we $\mathfrak{C}=C$.

Suppose that $C$ is smooth. If $S$ is a general surface in the linear system $\left|H_{1}+H_{2}\right|$ that contains $\mathfrak{C}$, then $S\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet}^{S} ; \mathfrak{C}\right)=\frac{31}{36}<1$ by Lemma 14, which contradicts Corollary 8, So, the curve $C$ is singular.

Note that $\pi_{3}(\mathfrak{C})$ is a line in $\mathbb{P}_{x, y, z}^{2}$. On the other hand, the discriminant curve $\Delta_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}$ is an irreducible smooth quartic curve in $\mathbb{P}_{x, y, z}^{2}$. Therefore, in particular, the line $\pi_{3}(\mathfrak{C})$ is not contained in $\Delta_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}$. Now, let $P$ be a general point in $\mathfrak{C}$, let $Z$ be the fiber of the conic bundle $\pi_{3}$ that passes through $P$, and let $S$ be a general surface in $\left|H_{3}\right|$ that contains the curve $Z$. Then $Z$ and $S$ are both smooth, and it follows from Lemma 6 that $S$ is a del Pezzo of degree 4 , so that $\delta_{P}(X)>1$ by Lemma 9 ,

Hence, to complete the proof of Main Theorem, we may assume that $\mathfrak{C}$ is a point. Set $P=\mathfrak{C}$. Let $\mathscr{C}$ be the fiber of the conic bundle $\omega$ that contains $P$.

Lemma 19. Suppose that $P \notin E_{1} \cap E_{2}$. Then $\beta(\mathbf{F})>0$.
Proof. Apply Lemmas 15, 16, 17 and Corollary 13,
Thus, to complete the proof of Main Theorem, we may assume, in addition, that $P \in E_{1} \cap E_{2}$. Then the conic $\mathscr{C}$ is smooth at $P$ by Lemma 5. In particular, we see that $\mathscr{C}$ is reduced.

Lemma 20. Suppose that $\mathscr{C}$ is smooth. Then $\beta(\mathbf{F})>0$.
Proof. Apply Lemma 14 and Corollary 13.

To complete the proof of Main Theorem, we may assume that $\mathscr{C}$ is singular. Write $\mathscr{C}=\ell_{1}+\ell_{2}$, where $\ell_{1}$ and $\ell_{2}$ are irreducible components of the conic $\mathscr{C}$. Then $P \neq \ell_{1} \cap \ell_{2}$, since $P \notin \operatorname{Sing}(\mathscr{C})$.

Let $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ be general surfaces in $\left|H_{1}\right|$ and $\left|H_{2}\right|$ that passes through the point $P$, respectively. Then $\mathscr{C}=S_{1} \cap S_{2}$, and it follows from Corollary 4 that $S_{1}$ or $S_{2}$ is smooth along the conic $\mathscr{C}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $S_{1}$ is smooth along $\mathscr{C}$. We let $S=S_{1}$.

If $S$ is smooth, then $\delta_{P}(X)>1$ by Corollary 11. Thus, we may assume that $S$ is singular.
Recall that $S$ is a quintic del Pezzo surface, and $\ell_{1}$ and $\ell_{2}$ are lines in its anticanonical embedding. The preimages of the lines $\ell_{1}$ and $\ell_{2}$ on the minimal resolution of the surface $S$ are ( -1 )-curves, which do not intersect ( -2 )-curves. By Lemma 1 and Remark 2, one of the following cases holds:
$\left(\mathbb{A}_{1}\right)$ the surface $S$ has one singular point of type $\mathbb{A}_{1}$,
$\left(2 \mathbb{A}_{1}\right)$ the surface $S$ has two singular points of type $\mathbb{A}_{1}$.
In both cases, the restriction morphism $\left.\pi_{3}\right|_{S}: S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{x, y, z}^{2}$ is birational. In $\left(\mathbb{A}_{1}\right)$-case, this morphism contracts three disjoint irreducible smooth rational curves $\mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{2}, \mathbf{e}_{3}$ such that $\left.E_{1}\right|_{S}=2 \mathbf{e}_{1}+\mathbf{e}_{2}+\mathbf{e}_{3}$, the curves $\mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{2}, \mathbf{e}_{3}$ are sections of the conic bundle $\left.\pi_{2}\right|_{S}: S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{u, v}^{1}$, the curve $\mathbf{e}_{1}$ passes through the singular point of the surface $S$, but $\mathbf{e}_{2}$ and $\mathbf{e}_{3}$ are contained in the smooth locus of the surface $S$. In $\left(2 \mathbb{A}_{1}\right)$-case, the morphism $\left.\pi_{3}\right|_{S}$ contracts two disjoint curves $\mathbf{e}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{e}_{2}$ such that $\left.E_{1}\right|_{S}=2 \mathbf{e}_{1}+2 \mathbf{e}_{2}$, the curves $\mathbf{e}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{e}_{2}$ are sections of the conic bundle $\left.\pi_{2}\right|_{S}$, and each curve among $\mathbf{e}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{e}_{2}$ contains one singular point of the surface $S$. In both cases, we may assume that $\ell_{1} \cap \mathbf{e}_{1} \neq \varnothing$.
Let us identify the surface $S$ with its image in $\mathbb{P}^{5}$ via the anticanonical embedding $S \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{5}$. Then $\ell_{1}$ and $\ell_{2}$ and the curves contracted by $\left.\pi_{3}\right|_{S}$ are lines. In ( $\mathbb{A}_{1}$ )-case, the surface $S$ contains two additional lines $\ell_{3}$ and $\ell_{4}$ such that $\ell_{3}+\ell_{4} \sim \ell_{1}+\ell_{2}$, the intersection $\ell_{3} \cap \ell_{4}$ is the singular point of the surface $S$, and the intersection graph of the lines $\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}, \ell_{3}, \ell_{4}, \mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{2}, \mathbf{e}_{3}$ is shown here:


In this picture, we denoted by - the singular point of the surface $S$. Moreover, on the surface $S$, the intersections of the lines $\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}, \ell_{3}, \ell_{4}, \mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{2}, \mathbf{e}_{3}$ are given in the table below.

| $\bullet$ | $\ell_{1}$ | $\ell_{2}$ | $\ell_{3}$ | $\ell_{4}$ | $\mathbf{e}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{e}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{e}_{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\ell_{1}$ | -1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\ell_{2}$ | 1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\ell_{3}$ | 0 | 0 | $-\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\ell_{4}$ | 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $-\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{e}_{1}$ | 1 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $-\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{e}_{2}$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{e}_{3}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Likewise, in $\left(2 \mathbb{A}_{1}\right)$-case, the surface $S$ contains one additional lines $\ell_{3}$ such that $2 \ell_{3} \sim \ell_{1}+\ell_{2}$, the line $\ell_{3}$ passes through both singular points of the del Pezzo surface $S$, and the intersection graph of the lines on the surface $S$ is shown in the following picture:


As above, singular points of the surface $S$ are denote by $\bullet$. The intersections of the lines $\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}, \ell_{3}$, $\mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{2}$ on the surface $S$ are given in the table below.

| $\bullet$ | $\ell_{1}$ | $\ell_{2}$ | $\ell_{3}$ | $\mathbf{e}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{e}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\ell_{1}$ | -1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| $\ell_{2}$ | 1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| $\ell_{3}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| $\mathbf{e}_{1}$ | 1 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $-\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| $\mathbf{e}_{2}$ | 0 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $-\frac{1}{2}$ |

Remark 21. By [3, Lemma 2.9], the lines in $S$ generate the group $\mathrm{Cl}(S)$ and the cone of effective divisors $\operatorname{Eff}(S)$, and every extremal ray of the Mori cone $\overline{\mathrm{NE}}(S)$ is generated by the class of a line.

In $\left(\mathbb{A}_{1}\right)$-case, the point $P$ is one of the points $\mathbf{e}_{1} \cap \ell_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{2} \cap \ell_{2}$ or $\mathbf{e}_{3} \cap \ell_{2}$, because $P \in E_{1} \cap E_{2}$. On the other hand, if $P=\mathbf{e}_{2} \cap \ell_{2}$ or $P=\mathbf{e}_{3} \cap \ell_{2}$, it follows from Corollary 12 that $\delta_{P}(X)>1$. In $\left(2 \mathbb{A}_{1}\right)$-case, either $P=\mathbf{e}_{1} \cap \ell_{1}$ or $P=\mathbf{e}_{2} \cap \ell_{2}$. Therefore, to complete the proof of Main Theorem, we may assume that $P=\mathbf{e}_{1} \cap \ell_{1}$ in both cases.

Now, we will apply Corollary 13 to the surface $S$ with $C=\mathbf{e}_{1}$ at the point $P$. We have $\tau=\frac{3}{2}$. As in the proof of Corollary 10, we see that

$$
P(u)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(1-u) H_{1}+H_{2}+H_{3} \text { if } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1 \\
(2-u) H_{2}+(3-2 u) H_{3} \text { if } 1 \leqslant u \leqslant \frac{3}{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
N(u)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \text { if } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1 \\
(u-1) E_{2} \text { if } 1 \leqslant u \leqslant \frac{3}{2} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Since $\left.H_{1}\right|_{S} \sim 0,\left.H_{2}\right|_{S} \sim \ell_{1}+\ell_{2},\left.H_{3}\right|_{S} \sim \ell_{1}+2 \mathbf{e}_{1}$, we have

$$
\left.P(u)\right|_{S}-v \mathbf{e}_{1} \sim_{\mathbb{R}}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(2-v) \mathbf{e}_{1}+2 \ell_{1}+\ell_{2} \text { if } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1 \\
(6-4 u-v) \mathbf{e}_{1}+(5-3 u) \ell_{1}+(2-u) \ell_{2} \text { if } 1 \leqslant u \leqslant \frac{3}{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Thus, since the intersection form of the curves $\ell_{1}$ and $\ell_{2}$ is semi-negative definite, we get

$$
t(u)=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
2 \text { if } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1 \\
6-4 u \text { if } 1 \leqslant u \leqslant \frac{3}{2} \\
12
\end{array}\right.
$$

Similarly, if $0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1$, then

$$
\begin{gathered}
P(u, v)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(2-v) \mathbf{e}_{1}+2 \ell_{1}+\ell_{2} \text { if } 0 \leqslant v \leqslant 1, \\
(2-v) \mathbf{e}_{1}+(3-v) \ell_{1}+\ell_{2} \text { if } 1 \leqslant v \leqslant 2,
\end{array}\right. \\
N(u, v)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \text { if } 0 \leqslant v \leqslant 1, \\
(v-1) \ell_{1} \text { if } 1 \leqslant v \leqslant 2,
\end{array}\right. \\
P(u, v) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{1}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{v+2}{2} \text { if } 0 \leqslant v \leqslant 1, \\
\frac{4-v}{2} \text { if } 1 \leqslant v \leqslant 2,
\end{array}\right. \\
\operatorname{vol}\left(\left.P(u)\right|_{S}-v \mathbf{e}_{1}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{10-4 v-v^{2}}{2} \text { if } 0 \leqslant v \leqslant 1, \\
\frac{(2-v)(6-v)}{2} \text { if } 1 \leqslant v \leqslant 2 .
\end{array}\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

Likewise, if $1 \leqslant u \leqslant \frac{3}{2}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(u, v)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(6-4 u-v) \mathbf{e}_{1}+(5-3 u) \ell_{1}+(2-u) \ell_{2} \text { if } 0 \leqslant v \leqslant 3-2 u, \\
(6-4 u-v) \mathbf{e}_{1}+(8-5 u-v) \ell_{1}+(2-u) \ell_{2} \text { if } 3-2 u \leqslant v \leqslant 6-4 u,
\end{array}\right. \\
& N(u, v)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \text { if } 0 \leqslant v \leqslant 3-2 u, \\
(v+2 u-3) \ell_{1} \text { if } 3-2 u \leqslant v \leqslant 6-4 u,
\end{array}\right. \\
& P(u, v) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{1}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{4+v-2 u}{2} \text { if } 0 \leqslant v \leqslant 3-2 u, \\
\frac{10-6 u-v}{2} \text { if } 3-2 u \leqslant v \leqslant 6-4 u,
\end{array}\right. \\
& \operatorname{vol}\left(\left.P(u)\right|_{S}-v \mathbf{e}_{1}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{66+24 u^{2}+4 u v-v^{2}-80 u-8 v}{2} \text { if } 0 \leqslant v \leqslant 3-2 u, \\
\frac{(6-4 u-v)(14-8 u-v)}{2} \text { if } 3-2 u \leqslant v \leqslant 6-4 u .
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Integrating, we get $S\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet}^{S} ; \mathbf{e}_{1}\right)=\frac{137}{144}$ and $S\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet \bullet}^{S, \mathbf{e}_{1}} ; P\right)=\frac{59}{96}+F_{P}\left(W_{\bullet \bullet, \bullet}^{S}, \mathbf{e}_{1}\right)$. To compute $F_{P}\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet, \bullet}^{S, \mathbf{e}_{1}}\right)$, we let $Z=\left.E_{2}\right|_{S}$. Then $Z$ is a smooth curve of genus 3 such that $\pi(Z)$ is a smooth quartic in $\mathbb{P}_{x, y, z}^{2}$. Moreover, the curve $Z$ is contained in the smooth locus of the surface $S$, and

$$
Z \sim\left\{\begin{array}{l}
4 \mathbf{e}_{1}+\ell_{3}+\ell_{4}+2 \ell_{1} \text { in }\left(\mathbb{A}_{1}\right) \text {-case } \\
2 \ell_{1}+2 \ell_{2}+2 \mathbf{e}_{1}+2 \mathbf{e}_{2} \text { in }\left(2 \mathbb{A}_{1}\right) \text {-case }
\end{array}\right.
$$

In particular, we have $Z \cdot \mathbf{e}_{1}=1$. Since $\mathbf{e}_{1} \not \subset Z$, we have

$$
N_{S}^{\prime}(u)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \text { if } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1, \\
(u-1) Z \text { if } 1 \leqslant u \leqslant \frac{3}{2} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Note that $P \in Z$, because $P \in E_{1} \cap E_{2}$. Thus, since $\mathbf{e}_{1} \cdot Z=1$ and $\mathbf{e}_{1} \cdot \ell_{1}=1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{P}\left(W_{\bullet, 0, \bullet}^{S, \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{\bullet}}}\right)=\frac{1}{3} \int_{1}^{\frac{3}{2}} \int_{0}^{6-4 u}\left(P(u, v) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{1}\right)(u-1) d v d u+\frac{1}{3} \int_{0}^{\frac{3}{2}} \int_{0}^{t(u)}\left(P(u, v) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{1}\right)\left(N(u, v) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{1}\right) d v d u= \\
& +\frac{1}{3} \int_{1}^{\frac{3}{2}} \int_{0}^{3-2 u} \frac{(4+v-2 u)(u-1)}{2} d v d u+\frac{1}{3} \int_{1}^{\frac{3}{2}} \int_{3-2 u}^{6-4 u} \frac{(10-6 u-v)(u-1)}{2} d v d u+ \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{3} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{1}^{2} \frac{(4-v)(v-1)}{2} d v d u+\frac{1}{3} \int_{1}^{\frac{3}{2}} \int_{3-2 u}^{6-4 u} \frac{(10-6 u-v)(v+2 u-3)}{2} d v d u=\frac{71}{288}
\end{aligned}
$$

so that $S\left(W_{\bullet,,, 0}^{S, \mathbf{e}_{1}} ; P\right)=\frac{31}{36}$. Now, applying Corollary 13, we get $\delta_{P}(X)>1$, because $S_{X}(S)<1$. Therefore, we see that $\beta(\mathbf{F})>0$. By [5, 7], this completes the proof of Main Theorem.

Remark 22. Instead of using Corollary [13, we can finish the proof of Main Theorem as follows. Let $F$ be a divisor over $S$ such that $P \in C_{S}(F)$, and let $\mathcal{C}$ be a fiber of the conic bundle $\left.\pi_{2}\right|_{S}$. Then, arguing as in the proof of Corollary 10, we get

$$
S\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet}^{S} ; F\right) \leqslant\left(\frac{7}{288}+\frac{5}{6 \delta_{P}(S)}\right) A_{S}(F)+\frac{1}{6} \int_{1}^{\frac{3}{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \operatorname{vol}\left((2-u) \mathcal{C}+\left.(3-2 u) H_{3}\right|_{S}-v F\right) d v d u
$$

But $\delta_{P}(S)=1$ by Lemmas 24 and 25, since $P=\mathbf{e}_{1} \cap \ell_{1}$. Thus, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& S\left(W_{\bullet \bullet}^{S} ; F\right) \leqslant \frac{247}{288} A_{S}(F)+\frac{1}{6} \int_{1}^{\frac{3}{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \operatorname{vol}\left((2-u) \mathcal{C}+\left.(3-2 u) H_{3}\right|_{S}-v F\right) d v d u= \\
& =\frac{247}{288} A_{S}(F)+\frac{1}{6} \int_{1}^{\frac{3}{2}}(3-2 u)^{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} \operatorname{vol}\left(\frac{2-u}{3-2 u} \mathcal{C}+\left.H_{3}\right|_{S}-v F\right) d v d u= \\
& =\frac{247}{288} A_{S}(F)+\frac{1}{6} \int_{1}^{\frac{3}{2}}(3-2 u)^{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} \operatorname{vol}\left(-K_{S}+\frac{u-1}{3-2 u} \mathcal{C}-v F\right) d v d u
\end{align*}
$$

Set $L=-K_{S}+t \mathcal{C}$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}_{\geqslant 0}$. Then $L$ is ample and $L^{2}=5+4 t$. Define $\delta_{P}(S, L)$ as in Appendix A. Then, applying [2, Corollary 1.7.24] to the flag $P \in \mathbf{e}_{1} \subset S$, we get

$$
\delta_{P}(S, L) \geqslant\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1 \text { if } 0 \leqslant t \leqslant \frac{-3+\sqrt{21}}{6} \\
\frac{15+12 t}{6 t^{2}+18 t+13} \text { if } \frac{-3+\sqrt{21}}{6} \leqslant t
\end{array}\right.
$$

The proof of this inequality is very similar to our computations of $S\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet}^{S} ; \mathbf{e}_{1}\right)$ and $S\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet, \bullet}^{S, \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{e}}} ; P\right)$, so that we omit the details. Now, we let $t=\frac{u-1}{3-2 u}$. Then $t \geqslant \frac{-3+\sqrt{21}}{6} \Longleftrightarrow u \geqslant \frac{3}{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{21}}\right)$, so

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{6} \int_{1}^{\frac{3}{2}}(3-2 u)^{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} \operatorname{vol}\left(-K_{S}+t \mathcal{C}-v F\right) d v d u= \\
& =\frac{1}{6} \int_{1}^{\frac{3}{2}}(3-2 u)^{3}(5+4 t) S_{L}(F) d u \leqslant \frac{1}{6} \int_{1}^{\frac{3}{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{21}}\right)}(3-2 u)^{3}(5+4 t) A_{S}(F) d u+ \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{6} \int_{\frac{3}{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{21}}\right)}^{\frac{3}{2}}(3-2 u)^{3}(5+4 t) \frac{15+12 t}{6 t^{2}+18 t+13} A_{S}(F) d u=\frac{247}{2016} A_{S}(F)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, using (©), we get $S\left(W_{\bullet \bullet}^{S} ; F\right) \leqslant \frac{247}{288} A_{S}(F)+\frac{247}{2016} A_{S}(F)=\frac{247}{252} A_{S}(F)$. Then $\delta_{P}\left(S ; W_{\bullet, \bullet}^{S}\right) \geqslant \frac{252}{247}$, so that $\delta_{P}(X)>1$ by (3.1), since $S_{X}(S)<1$ by [6, Theorem 10.1].

## Appendix A. $\delta$-invariants of del Pezzo surfaces

In this appendix, we present three rather sporadic results about $\delta$-invariants of del Pezzo surfaces with at most du Val singularities, which are used in the proof of Main Theorem.

Let $S$ be a del Pezzo surface that has at most du Val singularities, let $L$ be an ample $\mathbb{R}$-divisor on the surface $S$, and let $P$ be a point in $S$. Set

$$
\delta_{P}(S, L)=\inf _{\substack{F / S \\ P \in C_{S}(F)}} \frac{A_{S}(F)}{S_{L}(F)}
$$

where infimum is taken over all prime divisors $F$ over $S$ such that $P \in C_{S}(F)$, and

$$
S_{L}(F)=\frac{1}{L^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \operatorname{vol}(L-u F) d u
$$

It would be nice to find an explicit formula for $\delta_{P}(S, L)$. But this problem seems to be very difficult. So, we will only estimate $\delta_{P}(S, L)$ in thee very special cases when $K_{S}^{2} \in\{4,5\}$.

Suppose that $4 \leqslant K_{S}^{2} \leqslant 5$. Let us identify $S$ with its image in the anticanionical embedding.
Lemma 23. Suppose that $K_{S}^{2}=4$. Let $C$ be a possibly reducible conic in $S$ that passes through $P$, and let $L=-K_{S}+t C$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}_{\geqslant 0}$. If the conic $C$ is smooth, then

$$
\delta_{P}(S, L) \geqslant \begin{cases}\frac{24}{19+8 t+t^{2}} & \text { if } 0 \leqslant t \leqslant 1 \\ \frac{6(1+t)}{5+6 t+3 t^{2}} & \text { if } t \geqslant 1\end{cases}
$$

Similarly, if $C$ is a reducible conic, then


$$
\delta_{L}(S, L) \geqslant \frac{24(1+t)}{19+30 t+12 t^{2}}
$$

Proof. The proof of this lemma is similar to the proof of [2, Lemma 2.12]. Namely, as in that proof, we will apply [2, Theorem 1.7.1], [2, Corollary 1.7.12], [2, Corollary 1.7.25] to get (母) and ( $\mathbf{Q}$ ). Let us use notations introduced in [2, § 1] applied to $S$ polarized by the ample divisor $L$.

First, we suppose that $P$ is not contained in any line in $S$. In particular, the conic $C$ is smooth. Let $\underset{\sim}{\sigma}: \widetilde{S} \rightarrow S$ be the blowup of the point $P$, let $E$ be the exceptional curve of the blow up $\sigma$, and let $\widetilde{C}$ be the proper transform on $\widetilde{S}$ of the conic $C$. Then $\widetilde{S}$ is a smooth cubic surface in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$, and there exists a unique line $\mathbf{l} \subset \widetilde{S}$ such that $-K_{\widetilde{S}} \sim \widetilde{C}+E+\mathbf{l}$. Take $u \in \mathbb{R}_{\geqslant 0}$. Then

$$
\sigma^{*}(L)-u E \sim_{\mathbb{R}}(1+t) \widetilde{C}+(2+t-u) E+\mathbf{l}
$$

which implies that $\sigma^{*}(L)-u E$ is pseudoeffective $\Longleftrightarrow u \leqslant 2+t$. Similarly, we see that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathscr{P}(u) \sim_{\mathbb{R}}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(1+t) \widetilde{C}+(2+t-u) E+\mathrm{l} \text { if } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 2, \\
(3+t-u) \widetilde{C}+(2+t-u) E+\mathrm{l} \text { if } 2 \leqslant u \leqslant 2+t,
\end{array}\right. \\
\mathscr{N}(u)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \text { if } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 2, \\
(u-2) \widetilde{C} \text { if } 2 \leqslant u \leqslant 2+t,
\end{array}\right. \\
\mathscr{P}(u) \cdot E=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u \text { if } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 2, \\
2 \text { if } 2 \leqslant u \leqslant 2+t,
\end{array}\right. \\
\operatorname{vol}\left(\sigma^{*}(L)-u E\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
4+4 t-u^{2} \text { if } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 2, \\
4(2+t-u) \text { if } 2 \leqslant u \leqslant 2+t,
\end{array}\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

where we denote by $\mathscr{P}(u)$ the positive part of the Zariski decomposition of the divisor $\sigma^{*}(L)-u E$, and we denote by $\mathscr{N}(u)$ its negative part. This gives

$$
S_{L}(E)=\frac{8+12 t+3 t^{2}}{6(1+t)}
$$

Moreover, applying [2, Corollary 1.7.25], we obtain

$$
S\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet}^{E} ; Q\right) \leqslant \frac{4+6 t+3 t^{2}}{6(1+t)}
$$

for every point $Q \in E$. Note that $A_{S}(E)=2$. Thus, it follows from [2, Corollary 1.7.12] that

$$
\delta_{P}(S, L) \geqslant \frac{6(1+t)}{4+6 t+3 t^{2}}>\frac{24}{19+8 t+t^{2}} .
$$

To complete the proof of the lemma, we may assume that $S$ contains a line $\ell$ such that $P \in \ell$. Then $\ell \cdot C=0$ or $\ell \cdot C=1$. If $\ell \cdot C=0$, then $\ell$ must be an irreducible component of the conic $C$. Let us apply [2, Theorem 1.7.1] and [2, Corollary 1.7.25] to the flag $P \in \ell$ to estimate $\delta_{P}(S, L)$. Take $u \in \mathbb{R}_{\geqslant 0}$. Let $P(u)$ be the positive part of the Zariski decomposition of the divisor $L-u \ell$, and let $N(u)$ be its negative part. We must compute $P(u), N(u), P(u) \cdot \ell$ and $\operatorname{vol}(L-u \ell)$,

There exists a birational morphism $\pi: S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ that blows up five points $O_{1}, \ldots, O_{5} \in \mathbb{P}^{2}$ such that no three of them are collinear. For every $i \in\{1, \ldots, 5\}$, let $\mathbf{e}_{i}$ be the $\pi$-exceptional curve such that $\pi\left(\mathbf{e}_{i}\right)=O_{i}$. Similarly, let $\mathbf{l}_{i j}$ be the strict transform of the line in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ that contains $O_{i}$ and $O_{j}$, where $1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant 5$. Finally, let $B$ be the strict transform of the conic on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ that passes through the points $O_{1}, \ldots, O_{5}$. Then $\mathbf{e}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{5}, \mathbf{l}_{12}, \ldots, \mathbf{l}_{45}, B$ are all lines in $S$, and each extremal ray of the Mori cone $\mathrm{NE}(S)$ is generated by a class of one of these 16 lines.

Suppose that the conic $C$ is irreducible. Then $C \cdot \ell=1$. In this case, without loss of generality, we may assume that $\ell=\mathbf{e}_{1}$ and $C \sim \mathbf{l}_{12}+\mathbf{e}_{2}$. If $0 \leqslant t \leqslant 1$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(u)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
L-u \ell \text { if } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1, \\
L-u \ell-(u-1)\left(\mathbf{l}_{12}+\mathbf{l}_{13}+\mathbf{l}_{14}+\mathbf{l}_{15}\right) \text { if } 1 \leqslant u \leqslant 1+t, \\
L-u \ell-(u-1)\left(\mathbf{l}_{12}+\mathbf{l}_{13}+\mathbf{l}_{14}+\mathbf{l}_{15}\right)-(u-t-1) B \text { if } 1+t \leqslant u \leqslant \frac{3+t}{2},
\end{array}\right. \\
& N(u)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \text { if } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1, \\
(u-1)\left(\mathbf{l}_{12}+\mathbf{l}_{13}+\mathbf{l}_{14}+\mathbf{l}_{15}\right) \text { if } 1 \leqslant u \leqslant 1+t, \\
(u-1)\left(\mathbf{l}_{12}+\mathbf{l}_{13}+\mathbf{l}_{14}+\mathbf{l}_{15}\right)+(u-t-1) B \text { if } 1+t \leqslant u \leqslant \frac{3+t}{2},
\end{array}\right. \\
& P(u) \cdot \ell=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1+t+u \text { if } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1, \\
5+t-3 u \text { if } 1 \leqslant u \leqslant 1+t, \\
6+2 t-4 u \text { if } 1+t \leqslant u \leqslant \frac{3+t}{2},
\end{array}\right. \\
& \operatorname{vol}(L-u \ell)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
4(1+t)-2 u(1+t)-u^{2} \text { if } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1, \\
(2-u)(4+2 t-3 u) \text { if } 1 \leqslant u \leqslant 1+t, \\
(3+t-2 u)^{2} \text { if } 1+t \leqslant u \leqslant \frac{3+t}{2},
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

and $L-u \ell$ is not pseudoeffective for $u>\frac{3+t}{2}$. Similarly, if $t \geqslant 1$, then

$$
P(u)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
L-u \ell \text { if } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1 \\
L-u \ell-(u-1)\left(\mathbf{l}_{12}+\mathbf{l}_{13}+\mathbf{l}_{14}+\mathbf{l}_{15}\right) \text { if } 1 \leqslant u \leqslant 2
\end{array}\right.
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
N(u)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \text { if } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1, \\
(u-1)\left(\mathbf{l}_{12}+\mathbf{l}_{13}+\mathbf{l}_{14}+\mathbf{l}_{15}\right) \text { if } 1 \leqslant u \leqslant 2,
\end{array}\right. \\
P(u) \cdot \ell=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1+t+u \text { if } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1, \\
5+t-3 u \text { if } 1 \leqslant u \leqslant 2,
\end{array}\right. \\
\operatorname{vol}(L-u \ell)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
4(1+t)-2 u(1+t)-u^{2} \text { if } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1, \\
(2-u)(4+2 t-3 u) \text { if } 1 \leqslant u \leqslant 2,
\end{array}\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

and $L-u \ell$ is not pseudoeffective for $u>2$. Then

$$
S_{L}(\ell)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{17+4 t-t^{2}}{24} \text { if } 0 \leqslant t \leqslant 1 \\
\frac{2+3 t}{3(1+t)} \text { if } t \geqslant 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Observe that $P \notin \mathbf{l}_{i j}$ for every $1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant 5$. Thus, if $t \leqslant 1$, then [2, Corollary 1.7.25] gives

$$
S\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet}^{\ell} ; P\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{19+8 t+t^{2}}{24} \text { if } P \in B \\
\frac{9+15 t+3 t^{2}+t^{3}}{12(1+t)} \text { if } P \notin B .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Similarly, if $t \geqslant 1$, then [2, Corollary 1.7.25] gives

$$
S\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet}^{\ell} ; P\right)=\frac{5+6 t+3 t^{2}}{6(1+t)}
$$

Now, using [2, Theorem 1.7.1], we get (\&).
To complete the proof of the lemma, we may assume that the conic $C$ is reducible. In this case, we let $\ell$ be an irreducible component of the conic $C$ that contains $P$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\ell=\mathbf{e}_{1}$ and $C=\mathbf{e}_{1}+B$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(u)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
L-u \ell \text { if } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1, \\
L-u \ell-(u-1) B \text { if } 1 \leqslant u \leqslant 1+t, \\
L-u \ell-(u-t-1)\left(\mathbf{l}_{12}+\mathbf{l}_{13}+\mathbf{l}_{14}+\mathbf{l}_{15}\right)-(u-1) B \text { if } 1+t \leqslant u \leqslant \frac{3+2 t}{2},
\end{array}\right. \\
& N(u)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \text { if } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1, \\
(u-1) B \text { if } 1 \leqslant u \leqslant 1+t, \\
(u-t-1)\left(\mathbf{l}_{12}+\mathbf{l}_{13}+\mathbf{l}_{14}+\mathbf{l}_{15}\right)+(u-1) B \text { if } 1+t \leqslant u \leqslant \frac{3+2 t}{2},
\end{array}\right. \\
& P(u) \cdot \ell=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1+u \text { if } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1, \\
2 \text { if } 1 \leqslant u \leqslant 1+t, \\
6+4 t-4 u \text { if } 1+t \leqslant u \leqslant \frac{3+2 t}{2},
\end{array}\right. \\
& \operatorname{vol}(L-u \ell)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
4(1+t)-2 u-u^{2} \text { if } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1, \\
5+4 t-4 u \text { if } 1 \leqslant u \leqslant 1+t, \\
(3+2 t-2 u)^{2} \text { if } 1+t \leqslant u \leqslant \frac{3+2 t}{2},
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

and the divisor $L-u \ell$ is not pseudoeffective for $u>\frac{3+2 t}{2}$. This gives

$$
S_{L}(\ell)=\frac{17+30 t+12 t^{2}}{24(1+t)}
$$

Moreover, using [2, Corollary 1.7.25], we compute

$$
S\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet}^{\ell} ; P\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{19+30 t+12 t^{2}}{24(1+t)} \text { if } P \in B \\
\frac{19+24 t}{24(1+t)} \text { if } P \in \mathbf{l}_{12} \cup \mathbf{l}_{13} \cup \mathbf{l}_{14} \cup \mathbf{l}_{15}, \\
\frac{3+4 t}{4(1+t)} \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Now, using [2, Theorem 1.7.1], we get (4) as claimed.
In the remaining part of this appendix, we suppose that $K_{S}^{2}=5, L=-K_{S}$, and $S$ has isolated ordinary double points, i.e. singular points of type $\mathbb{A}_{1}$. As usual, we set $\delta_{P}(S)=\delta_{P}\left(S,-K_{S}\right)$ and

$$
\delta(S)=\inf _{P \in S} \delta_{P}(S)
$$

Let $\eta: \widetilde{S} \rightarrow S$ be the minimal resolution of the quintic del Pezzo surface $S$. Since $-K_{\widetilde{S}} \sim \eta^{*}\left(-K_{S}\right)$, we can estimate the number $\delta_{P}(S)$ as follows. Let $O$ be a point in the surface $\widetilde{S}$ such that $\eta(O)=P$, and let $C$ be a smooth irreducible rational curve in $\widetilde{S}$ such that

- if $P \in \operatorname{Sing}(S)$, then $C$ is the $\eta$-exceptional curve such that $\eta(C)=P$,
- if $P \notin \operatorname{Sing}(S)$, then $C$ is appropriately chosen curve that contains $O$.

As usual, we set

$$
\tau=\sup \left\{u \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geqslant 0} \mid \text { the divisor }-K_{\tilde{S}}-u C \text { is pseudo-effective }\right\}
$$

For $u \in[0, \tau]$, let $P(u)$ be the positive part of the Zariski decomposition of the divisor $-K_{\widetilde{S}}-u C$, and let $N(u)$ be its negative part. Let

$$
S_{S}(C)=\frac{1}{K_{S}^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \operatorname{vol}\left(-K_{\widetilde{S}}-u C\right) d u=\frac{1}{K_{S}^{2}} \int_{0}^{\tau} P(u)^{2} d u
$$

and let

$$
S\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet}^{C}, O\right)=\frac{2}{K_{S}^{2}} \int_{0}^{\tau}(P(u) \cdot C) \operatorname{ord}_{O}\left(\left.N(u)\right|_{C}\right) d u+\frac{1}{K_{S}^{2}} \int_{0}^{\tau}(P(u) \cdot C)^{2} d u
$$

If $P \notin \operatorname{Sing}(S)$, then [2, Theorem 1.7.1] and [2, Corollary 1.7.25] give

$$
\frac{1}{S_{S}(C)} \geqslant \delta_{P}(S) \geqslant \min \left\{\frac{1}{S_{S}(C)}, \frac{1}{S\left(W_{\bullet}^{C}, O\right)}\right\}
$$

Similarly, if $P \in \operatorname{Sing}(S)$, then [2, Corollary 1.7.12] and [2, Corollary 1.7.25] give

$$
\frac{1}{S_{S}(C)} \geqslant \delta_{P}(S) \geqslant \min \left\{\frac{1}{S_{S}(C)}, \inf _{O \in C} \frac{1}{S\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet}^{C}, O\right)}\right\}
$$

Lemma 24. Suppose $S$ has one singular point. Then $\delta(S)=\frac{15}{17}$, and the following assertions hold:

- If $P$ is not contained in any line in $S$ that contains the singular point of $S$, then $\delta_{P}(S) \geqslant \frac{15}{13}$.
- If $P$ is not the singular point of the surface $S$, but $P$ is contained in a line in $S$ that passes through the singular point of the surface $S$, then $\delta_{P}(S)=1$.
- If $P$ is the singular point of the surface $S$, then $\delta_{P}(S)=\frac{15}{17}$.

Proof. We let $P_{0}$ be the singular point of the surface $S$, and let $\ell_{0}$ be the $\pi$-exceptional curve. Then it follows from [4] that there exists a birational morphism $\pi: \widetilde{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ such that $\pi\left(\ell_{0}\right)$ is a line, the map $\pi$ blows up three points $Q_{1}, Q_{2}, Q_{3}$ contained in $\pi\left(\ell_{0}\right)$ and another point $Q_{0} \in \mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash \pi\left(\ell_{0}\right)$.

For $i \in\{0,1,2,3\}$, let $\mathbf{e}_{i}$ be the $\pi$-exceptional curve such that $\pi\left(\mathbf{e}_{i}\right)=Q_{i}$. For every $i \in\{1,2,3\}$, let $\ell_{i}$ be the strict transform of the line in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ that passes through $Q_{0}$ and $Q_{i}$. Then $\ell_{0}, \ell_{1}, \ell_{2}, \ell_{3}$, $\mathbf{e}_{0}, \mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{2}, \mathbf{e}_{3}$ are the only irreducible curves in the surface $\widetilde{S}$ that have negative self-intersections. Moreover, the intersections of these curves are given in the following table:

|  | $\ell_{0}$ | $\ell_{1}$ | $\ell_{2}$ | $\ell_{3}$ | $\mathbf{e}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{e}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{e}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{e}_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\ell_{0}$ | -2 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $\ell_{1}$ |  | -1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| $\ell_{2}$ |  |  | -1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| $\ell_{3}$ |  |  |  | -1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
| $\mathbf{e}_{0}$ |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | -1 |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{e}_{1}$ | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | -1 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{e}_{2}$ | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  | -1 |  |
| $\mathbf{e}_{3}$ | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | -1 |

Note that $\eta\left(\ell_{1}\right), \eta\left(\ell_{2}\right), \eta\left(\ell_{3}\right), \eta\left(\mathbf{e}_{0}\right), \eta\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}\right), \eta\left(\mathbf{e}_{2}\right), \eta\left(\mathbf{e}_{3}\right)$ are all lines contained in the surface $S$. Among them, only the lines $\eta\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}\right), \eta\left(\mathbf{e}_{2}\right), \eta\left(\mathbf{e}_{3}\right)$ pass through the singular point $P_{0}$.

For $\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, b_{0}, b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{8}$, we write

$$
\left[a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, b_{0}, b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}\right]:=\sum_{i=0}^{3} a_{i} \ell_{i}+\sum_{i=0}^{3} b_{i} e_{i} \in \operatorname{Pic}(\widetilde{S}) \otimes \mathbb{R}
$$

If $P=P_{0}$, then $C=\ell_{0}$, which implies that $\tau=2$ and

$$
\begin{gathered}
P(u)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
{[-u, 1,1,1,2,0,0,0] \text { if } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1,} \\
{[-u, 1,1,1,2,1-u, 1-u, 1-u] \text { if } 1 \leqslant u \leqslant 2,}
\end{array}\right. \\
N(u)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \text { if } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1, \\
(u-1)\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}+\mathbf{e}_{2}+\mathbf{e}_{3}\right) \text { if } 1 \leqslant u \leqslant 2,
\end{array}\right. \\
P(u) \cdot C=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
2 \text { if } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1, \\
3-u \text { if } 1 \leqslant u \leqslant 2,
\end{array} P(u)^{2}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
5-2 u^{2} \text { if } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1, \\
(4-u)(2-u) \text { if } 1 \leqslant u \leqslant 2,
\end{array}\right.\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

which implies that $S_{S}(C)=\frac{17}{15}$ and $S\left(W_{\bullet, 0}^{C} ; O\right)=1$. Therefore, using ( $\left(\boxed{)}\right.$, we obtain $\delta_{P_{0}}(S)=\frac{15}{17}$.
To proceed, we may assume that $P \neq P_{0}$. If $O \in \mathbf{e}_{0}$, we let $C=\mathbf{e}_{0}$. Then $\tau=2$, and

$$
\begin{gathered}
P(u)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
{[0,1,1,1,2-u, 0,0,0] \text { if } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1,} \\
{[0,2-u, 2-u, 2-u, 2-u, 0,0,0] \text { if } 1 \leqslant u \leqslant 2,}
\end{array}\right. \\
N(u)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \text { if } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1, \\
(u-1)\left(\ell_{1}+\ell_{2}+\ell_{3}\right) \text { if } 1 \leqslant u \leqslant 2,
\end{array}\right. \\
P(u) \cdot C=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1+u \text { if } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1, \\
4-2 u \text { if } 1 \leqslant u \leqslant 2,
\end{array} \quad P(u)^{2}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
5-2 u-u^{2} \text { if } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1, \\
2(2-u)^{2} \text { if } 1 \leqslant u \leqslant 2,
\end{array}\right.\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

which implies that $S_{S}(C)=\frac{13}{15}$ and $S\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet}^{C} ; O\right) \leqslant \frac{13}{15}$, so that $\delta_{P}(S)=\frac{15}{13}$ by .

If $O \in \ell_{1}$, we let $C=\ell_{1}$. In this case, we have $\tau=2$, and

$$
\begin{gathered}
P(u)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
{[0,1-u, 1,1,2,0,0,0] \text { if } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1,} \\
{[1-u, 1-u, 1,1,3-u, 2-2 u, 0,0] \text { if } 1 \leqslant u \leqslant 2,}
\end{array}\right. \\
N(u)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \text { if } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1, \\
(u-1)\left(\ell_{0}+\mathbf{e}_{0}+2 \mathbf{e}_{1}\right) \text { if } 1 \leqslant u \leqslant 2,
\end{array}\right. \\
P(u) \cdot C=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1+u \text { if } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1, \\
4-2 u \text { if } 1 \leqslant u \leqslant 2,
\end{array} \quad P(u)^{2}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
5-2 u-u^{2} \text { if } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1, \\
2(2-u)^{2} \text { if } 1 \leqslant u \leqslant 2,
\end{array}\right.\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

so that $S_{S}(C)=\frac{13}{15}$. If $O \in \ell_{1} \backslash\left(\mathbf{e}_{0} \cup \mathbf{e}_{1}\right)$, then $S\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet}^{C} ; O\right)=\frac{11}{15}$. If $O=\ell_{1} \cap \mathbf{e}_{1}$, then $S\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet}^{C} ; O\right)=1$. Thus, using ( we see that $\delta_{P}(S)=\frac{15}{13}$ if $O \in \ell_{1} \backslash \mathbf{e}_{1}$, and $\delta_{P}(S) \geqslant 1$ if $O=\ell_{1} \cap \mathbf{e}_{1}$.

Similarly, $\delta_{P}(S)=\frac{15}{13}$ if $O \in \ell_{2} \backslash \mathbf{e}_{2}$ or $O \in \ell_{3} \backslash \mathbf{e}_{3}$, and $\delta_{P}(S) \geqslant 1$ if $O=\ell_{2} \cap \mathbf{e}_{2}$ or $O=\ell_{3} \cap \mathbf{e}_{3}$. If $O \in \mathbf{e}_{1}$, we let $C=\mathbf{e}_{1}$. In this case, we have $\tau=2$, and

$$
\begin{gathered}
P(u)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
{\left[-\frac{u}{2}, 1,1,1,2,-u, 0,0\right] \text { if } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1,} \\
{\left[-\frac{u}{2}, 2-u, 1,1,2,-u, 0,0\right] \text { if } 1 \leqslant u \leqslant 2,}
\end{array}\right. \\
N(u)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{u}{2} \ell_{0} \text { if } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1, \\
\frac{u}{2} \ell_{0}+(u-1) \ell_{1} \text { if } 1 \leqslant u \leqslant 2,
\end{array}\right. \\
P(u) \cdot C=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{2+u}{2} \text { if } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1, \\
\frac{4-u}{2} \text { if } 1 \leqslant u \leqslant 2,
\end{array} \quad P(u)^{2}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
5-2 u-\frac{u^{2}}{2} \text { if } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1, \\
\frac{(6-u)(2-u)}{2} \text { if } 1 \leqslant u \leqslant 2,
\end{array}\right.\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

which implies that $S_{S}(C)=1$ and $S\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet}^{C} ; O\right) \leqslant \frac{13}{15}$ if $O \in \mathbf{e}_{1} \backslash \ell_{0}$, so that $\delta_{P}(S)=1$ by (
Likewise, we see that $\delta_{P}(S)=1$ in the case when $O \in \mathbf{e}_{2}$ or $O \in \mathbf{e}_{3}$. Thus, to complete the proof, we may assume that $P$ is not contained in any line in $S$.

Now, we let $C$ be the unique curve in the pencil $\left|\ell_{1}+\mathbf{e}_{1}\right|$ that contains $P$. By our assumption, the curve $C$ is smooth and irreducible. Then $\tau=2$, and

$$
\begin{gathered}
P(u)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
{\left[-\frac{u}{2}, 1-u, 1,1,2,-u, 0,0\right] \text { if } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1,} \\
{\left[-\frac{u}{2}, 1-u, 1,1,3-u,-u, 0,0\right] \text { if } 1 \leqslant u \leqslant 2,}
\end{array}\right. \\
N(u)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{u}{2} \ell_{0} \text { if } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1, \\
\frac{1}{2} u \ell_{0}+(u-1) \mathbf{e}_{0} \text { if } 1 \leqslant u \leqslant 2, \\
P(u) \cdot C=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{4-u}{2} \text { if } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1, \\
\frac{3(2-u)}{2} \text { if } 1 \leqslant u \leqslant 2,
\end{array} \quad P(u)^{2}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
5-4 u+\frac{u^{2}}{2} \text { if } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1, \\
\frac{3(2-u)^{2}}{2} \text { if } 1 \leqslant u \leqslant 2 .
\end{array}\right.\right.
\end{array} . \begin{array}{l}
\text { i(2) }
\end{array}\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

Then $S_{S}(C)=\frac{11}{15}$ and $S\left(W_{\bullet \bullet \bullet}^{C} ; O\right)=\frac{23}{30}$. Thus, it follows from that $\delta_{P}(S) \geqslant \frac{30}{23}>\frac{15}{13}$.
Finally, let us estimate $\delta_{P}(S)$ in the case when the del Pezzo surface $S$ has two singular points. In this case, the surface $S$ contains a line that passes through both its singular points (4].

Lemma 25. Suppose $S$ has two singular points. Let $\ell$ be the line in $S$ that passes through both singular points of the surface $S$. Then $\delta(S)=\frac{15}{19}$. Moreover, the following assertions hold:

- If $P$ is not contained in any line in $S$ that contains a singular point of $S$, then $\delta_{P}(S) \geqslant \frac{15}{13}$.
- If $P$ is not contained in the line $\ell$, but $P$ is contained in a line in $S$ that passes through a singular point of the surface $S$, then $\delta_{P}(S)=1$.
- If $P \in \ell$, then $\delta_{P}(S)=\frac{15}{19}$.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{e}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{e}_{2}$ be $\eta$-exceptional curves. Then $\widetilde{S}$ contains ( -1 )-curves $\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}, \ell_{3}, \ell_{4}, \ell_{5}$ such that the intersections of the curves $\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}, \ell_{3}, \ell_{4}, \ell_{5}, \mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{2}$ on $\widetilde{S}$ are given in the following table.

|  | $\ell_{1}$ | $\ell_{2}$ | $\ell_{3}$ | $\ell_{4}$ | $\ell_{5}$ | $e_{1}$ | $e_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\ell_{1}$ | -1 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| $\ell_{2}$ |  | -1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |
| $\ell_{3}$ |  | 1 | -1 | 1 |  |  |  |
| $\ell_{4}$ |  |  | 1 | -1 | 1 |  |  |
| $\ell_{5}$ |  |  |  | 1 | -1 |  | 1 |
| $e_{1}$ | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | -2 |  |
| $e_{2}$ | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  | -2 |

The curves $\eta\left(\ell_{1}\right), \eta\left(\ell_{2}\right), \eta\left(\ell_{3}\right), \eta\left(\ell_{4}\right), \eta\left(\ell_{5}\right)$ are the only lines in $S$. Moreover, we have $\ell=\eta\left(\ell_{1}\right)$, and $\eta\left(\ell_{1}\right), \eta\left(\ell_{2}\right), \eta\left(\ell_{5}\right)$ are the only lines in $S$ that contain a singular point of the surface $S$.

As in the proof of Lemma 24, for $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}, a_{5}, b_{1}, b_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{7}$, we write

$$
\left[a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}, a_{5}, b_{1}, b_{2}\right]:=\sum_{i=1}^{5} a_{i} \ell_{i}+\sum_{i=1}^{2} b_{i} e_{i} \in \operatorname{Pic}(\widetilde{S}) \otimes \mathbb{R}
$$

If $O \in \ell_{1} \backslash\left(\mathbf{e}_{1} \cup \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)$, we let $C=\ell_{1}$. In this case, we have $\tau=3$, and

$$
\begin{gathered}
P(u)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
{\left[1-u, 1,1,1,1, \frac{2-u}{2}, \frac{2-u}{2}\right] \text { if } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 2,} \\
{[1-u, 3-u, 3-u, 0,0,0] \text { if } 2 \leqslant u \leqslant 3,}
\end{array}\right. \\
N(u)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{u}{2}\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}+\mathbf{e}_{2}\right) \text { if } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 2, \\
(u-2)\left(\ell_{2}+\ell_{5}\right)+(u-1)\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}+\mathbf{e}_{2}\right) \text { if } 2 \leqslant u \leqslant 3,
\end{array}\right. \\
P(u) \cdot C=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1 \text { if } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 2, \\
3-u \text { if } 2 \leqslant u \leqslant 3,
\end{array} \quad P(u)^{2}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
5-2 u \text { if } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 2, \\
(3-u)^{2} \text { if } 2 \leqslant u \leqslant 3,
\end{array}\right.\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

which implies that $S_{S}(C)=\frac{19}{15}$ and $S\left(W_{\bullet, 0}^{C} ; O\right) \leqslant \frac{17}{15}$, so that $\delta_{P}(S)=\frac{15}{19}$ by (
If $O \in \mathbf{e}_{1}$, then $C=\mathbf{e}_{1}$. In this case, we have $\tau=2$, and

$$
\begin{gathered}
P(u)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
{[1,1,1,1,1,1-u, 1] \text { if } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1,} \\
{[3-2 u, 2-u, 1,1,1,1-u, 2-u] \text { if } 1 \leqslant u \leqslant 2,}
\end{array}\right. \\
N(u)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \text { if } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1, \\
2(u-1) \ell_{1}+(u-1) \ell_{2}+(u-1) \mathbf{e}_{2} \text { if } 1 \leqslant u \leqslant 2,
\end{array}\right. \\
P(u) \cdot C=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
2 u \text { if } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1, \\
3-u \text { if } 1 \leqslant u \leqslant 2,
\end{array} P(u)^{2}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
5-2 u^{2} \text { if } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1, \\
(2-u)(4-u) \text { if } 1 \leqslant u \leqslant 2,
\end{array}\right.\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

which implies that $S_{S}(C)=\frac{17}{15}$ and $S\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet}^{C} ; O\right) \leqslant \frac{19}{15}$, so that $\delta_{P}(S) \geqslant \frac{19}{15}$ by ( ( ) .

On the other hand, we already know that $S_{S}(\ell)=\frac{19}{15}$, which implies that $\delta_{P}(S)=\frac{19}{15}$ if $P=\eta\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}\right)$. Similarly, we see that $\delta_{P}(S)=\frac{19}{15}$ if $P=\eta\left(\mathbf{e}_{2}\right)$. Hence, we may assume that $O \notin \mathbf{e}_{1} \cup \mathbf{e}_{2} \cup \ell_{1}$.

If $O \in \ell_{2}$, we let $C=\ell_{2}$. In this case, we have $\tau=2$, and

$$
\begin{gathered}
P(u)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
{\left[1,1-u, 1,1,1, \frac{2-u}{2}, 1\right] \text { if } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1,} \\
{\left[1,1-u, 2-u, 1,1, \frac{2-u}{2}, 1\right] \text { if } 1 \leqslant u \leqslant 2}
\end{array}\right. \\
N(u)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{u}{2} \mathbf{e}_{1} \text { if } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1, \\
\frac{u}{2} \mathbf{e}_{1}+(u-1) \ell_{3} \text { if } 1 \leqslant u \leqslant 2,
\end{array}\right. \\
P(u) \cdot C=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{2+u}{2} \text { if } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1, \\
\frac{4-u}{2} \text { if } 1 \leqslant u \leqslant 2,
\end{array} \quad P(u)^{2}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
5-2 u-\frac{u^{2}}{2} \text { if } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1, \\
\frac{(6-u)(2-u)}{2} \text { if } 1 \leqslant u \leqslant 2,
\end{array}\right.\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

which implies that $S_{S}(C)=1$ and $S\left(W_{\bullet, 0}^{C} ; O\right) \leqslant \frac{13}{15}$, so that $\delta_{P}(S)=1$ by
Similarly, we see that $\delta_{P}(S)=1$ if $O \in \ell_{5}$. Hence, if $P$ is contained in a line in $S$ that passes through a singular point of the surface $S$, then $\delta_{P}(S)=1$. Thus, we may assume that $O \notin \ell_{2} \cup \ell_{2}$.

If $P \in \ell_{3}$, we let $C=\ell_{3}$. In this case, we have $\tau=2$, and

$$
\begin{gathered}
P(u)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
{[1,1,1-u, 1,1,1,1] \text { if } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1,} \\
{[1,3-2 u, 1-u, 2-u, 1,2-u, 1] \text { if } 1 \leqslant u \leqslant 2,}
\end{array}\right. \\
N(u)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \text { if } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1, \\
(u-1)\left(\ell_{4}+2 \ell_{2}+\mathbf{e}_{1}\right) \text { if } 1 \leqslant u \leqslant 2,
\end{array}\right. \\
P(u) \cdot C=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1+u \text { if } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1, \\
4-2 u \text { if } 1 \leqslant u \leqslant 2,
\end{array} \quad P(u)^{2}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
5-2 u-u^{2} \text { if } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1, \\
2(2-u)^{2} \text { if } 1 \leqslant u \leqslant 2,
\end{array}\right.\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

which implies that $S_{S}(C)=\frac{13}{15}$ and $S\left(W_{\bullet, 0}^{C} ; O\right) \leqslant \frac{13}{15}$, so that $\delta_{P}(S)=\frac{15}{13}$ by (
Similarly, we see that $\delta_{P}(S)=\frac{15}{13}$ if $O \in \ell_{4}$. Therefore, we may also assume that $O \notin \ell_{3} \cup \ell_{4}$.
Let $C$ be the curve in the pencil $\left|\ell_{2}+\ell_{3}\right|$ that contains $O$. Then $C$ is smooth and irreducible, since $O$ is not contained in the curves $\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}, \ell_{3}, \ell_{4}, \ell_{5}, \mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{2}$ by assumption. Then $\tau=2$, and

$$
\begin{gathered}
P(u)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
{\left[1,1-u, 1-u, 1,1, \frac{2-u}{2}, 1\right] \text { if } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1,} \\
{\left[1,1-u, 1-u, 2-u, 1, \frac{2-u}{2}, 1\right] \text { if } 1 \leqslant u \leqslant 2,}
\end{array}\right. \\
N(u)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{u}{2} \mathbf{e}_{1} \text { if } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1, \\
\frac{u}{2} \mathbf{e}_{1}+(u-1) \ell_{4} \text { if } 1 \leqslant u \leqslant 2,
\end{array}\right. \\
P(u) \cdot C=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{4-u}{2} \text { if } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1, \\
\frac{3(2-u)}{2} \text { if } 1 \leqslant u \leqslant 2,
\end{array} \quad P(u)^{2}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
5-4 u+\frac{u^{2}}{2} \text { if } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1, \\
\frac{3(2-u)^{2}}{2} \text { if } 1 \leqslant u \leqslant 2 .
\end{array}\right.\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

This implies that $S_{S}(C)=\frac{11}{15}$ and $S\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet}^{C} ; O\right)=\frac{23}{30}$, so that $\delta_{P}(S) \geqslant \frac{30}{23}>\frac{15}{13}$ by .

## Appendix B. Nemuro Lemma

Now, let $X$ be any smooth Fano threefold, let $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ be a fibration into del Pezzo surfaces, let $S$ be a fiber of the morphism $\pi$ such that $S$ is an irreducible reduced normal del Pezzo surface that has at worst du Val singularities, and let $P$ be a point in $S$. As in Section 3, set

$$
\tau=\sup \left\{u \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geqslant 0} \mid \text { the divisor }-K_{X}-u S \text { is pseudo-effective }\right\} .
$$

For $u \in[0, \tau]$, let $P(u)$ be the positive part of the Zariski decomposition of the divisor $-K_{X}-u S$, and let $N(u)$ be its negative part. Suppose, in addition, that

$$
N(u)=\sum_{j=1}^{l} f_{j}(u) E_{j}
$$

for some irreducible reduced surfaces $E_{1}, \ldots, E_{l}$ on the Fano threefold $X$ that are different from $S$, where each $f_{i}:[0, \tau] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geqslant 0}$ is some function. For every $j \in\{1, \ldots, l\}$, we set $c_{j}=\operatorname{lct}_{P}\left(S ;\left.E_{j}\right|_{S}\right)$. As in Appendix A, we set $\delta_{P}(S)=\delta_{P}\left(S,-K_{S}\right)$. Define $S\left(W_{\bullet}{ }_{\bullet} ; F\right)$ and $\delta_{P}\left(S ; W_{\bullet, \bullet}^{S}\right)$ as in [2, § 1], or define these numbers using the formulas used in (3.1).
Lemma 26. Let $F$ be any prime divisor over $S$ such that $P \in C_{S}(F)$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
S\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet}^{S} ; F\right) \leqslant & A_{S}(F) \frac{3}{\left(-K_{X}\right)^{3}} \int_{0}^{\tau} \sum_{j=1}^{\tau} \frac{f_{j}(u)}{c_{j}}\left(\left.P(u)\right|_{S}\right)^{2} d u+ \\
& +\frac{3}{\left(-K_{X}\right)^{3}} \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{\infty} \operatorname{vol}\left(\left.P(u)\right|_{S}-v F\right) d v d u \leqslant \\
\leqslant & A_{S}(F)\left(\frac{3}{\left(-K_{X}\right)^{3}} \sum_{j=1}^{l} \int_{0}^{\tau} \frac{f_{j}(u)}{c_{j}}\left(\left.P(u)\right|_{S}\right)^{2} d u+\frac{3}{\left(-K_{X}\right)^{3}} \frac{\tau\left(-K_{S}\right)^{2}}{\delta_{P}(S)}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

In particular, we have

$$
\delta_{P}\left(S ; W_{\bullet, \bullet}^{S}\right) \geqslant\left(\frac{3}{\left(-K_{X}\right)^{3}} \sum_{j=1}^{l} \int_{0}^{\tau} \frac{f_{j}(u)}{c_{j}}\left(\left.P(u)\right|_{S}\right)^{2} d u+\frac{3}{\left(-K_{X}\right)^{3}} \frac{\tau\left(-K_{S}\right)^{2}}{\delta_{P}(S)}\right)^{-1}
$$

Proof. Since the $\log$ pair $\left(S,\left.c_{j} E_{j}\right|_{S}\right)$ is $\log$ canonical at $P$, we conclude that $\operatorname{ord}_{F}\left(\left.E_{j}\right|_{S}\right) \leqslant \frac{A_{S}(F)}{c_{j}}$. Thus, we get the first inequality in ( $\gg)$. Moreover, since $\left.P(u)\right|_{S}=-K_{S}-\left.N(u)\right|_{S}$, we have

$$
\int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{\infty} \operatorname{vol}\left(\left.P(u)\right|_{S}-v F\right) d v d u \leqslant \int_{0}^{\tau}\left(-K_{S}\right)^{2} S_{S}(F) d u=\tau\left(-K_{S}\right)^{2} S_{S}(F) \leqslant A_{S}(F) \frac{\tau\left(-K_{S}\right)^{2}}{\delta_{P}(S)}
$$

Hence, the assertion follows.
Corollary 27. Suppose that $N(u)=0$ for every $u \in[0, \tau]$, i.e. we have $l=0$. Then

$$
\delta_{P}\left(S, W_{\bullet, \bullet}^{S}\right) \geqslant \frac{\left(-K_{X}\right)^{3} \delta_{P}(S)}{3 \tau\left(-K_{S}\right)^{2}}
$$

Corollary 28. Suppose that $l=1,\left.E_{1}\right|_{S}$ is a smooth curve contained in $S \backslash \operatorname{Sing}(S)$, and

$$
f_{1}(u)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \text { if } u \in[0, t] \\
c(u-t) \text { if } u \in[t, \tau]
\end{array}\right.
$$

for some $t \in(0, \tau)$ and some $c \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. Then

$$
\delta_{P}\left(S ; W_{\bullet \bullet \bullet}^{S}\right) \geqslant\left(\frac{3}{\left(-K_{X}\right)^{3}} \int_{t}^{\tau} c(u-t)\left(\left.P(u)\right|_{S}\right)^{2} d u+\frac{3}{\left(-K_{X}\right)^{3}} \frac{\tau\left(-K_{S}\right)^{2}}{\delta_{P}(S)}\right)^{-1}
$$
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