

FANO THREEFOLDS WITH INFINITE AUTOMORPHISM GROUPS

IVAN CHELTSOV, VICTOR PRZYJALKOWSKI, AND CONSTANTIN SHRAMOV

ABSTRACT. We classify smooth Fano threefolds with infinite automorphism groups.

To the memory of Vasily Iskovskikh

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	6
3. Direct products and cones	9
4. Blow ups of the projective space	10
5. Blow ups of the quadric threefold	14
6. Blow ups of the quintic del Pezzo threefold	18
7. Blow ups of the flag variety	23
8. Blow ups and double covers of direct products	26
9. Blow up of a quadric along a twisted quartic	30
10. Divisor of bidegree $(1, 2)$ on $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$	33
11. Threefold missing in the Iskovskikh's trigonal list	34
12. Remaining cases	36
Appendix A. The Big Table	38
References	41

1. INTRODUCTION

One of the most important results obtained by Iskovskikh is a classification of smooth Fano threefolds of Picard rank 1 (see [Is77], [Is78]). In fact, he was the one who introduced the notion of Fano variety. Using Iskovskikh's classification, Mori and Mukai classified all smooth Fano threefolds of higher Picard ranks (see [MM82], and also [MM04] for a minor revision). Nowadays, Fano varieties play a central role in both algebraic and complex geometry, and provide key examples for number theory and mathematical physics.

Let \mathbb{k} be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. Automorphism groups of (smooth) Fano varieties are important from the point of view of birational geometry, in particular from the point of view of birational automorphism groups of rationally connected varieties. There is not much to study in dimension 1; the only smooth Fano variety is a projective line, whose automorphism group is $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})$. The automorphism groups of two-dimensional Fano varieties (also known as del Pezzo surfaces) are already rather tricky. However, the structure of del Pezzo surfaces is classically known, and their automorphism groups are described in details (see [DI09]). As for smooth Fano threefolds of Picard rank 1, several non-trivial examples of varieties with infinite automorphism groups were known, see [Mu88, Proposition 4.4], [MU83], and [Pr90]. Recently, the following result was obtained in [KPS18].

Theorem 1.1 ([KPS18, Theorem 1.1.2]). *Let X be a smooth Fano threefold with Picard rank equal to 1. Then the group $\text{Aut}(X)$ is finite unless one of the following cases occurs.*

- *The threefold X is the projective space \mathbb{P}^3 , and $\text{Aut}(X) \cong \text{PGL}_4(\mathbb{k})$.*
- *The threefold X is the smooth quadric Q in \mathbb{P}^4 , and $\text{Aut}(X) \cong \text{PSO}_5(\mathbb{k})$.*
- *The threefold X is the smooth section V_5 of the Grassmannian $\text{Gr}(2, 5) \subset \mathbb{P}^9$ by a linear subspace of dimension 6, and $\text{Aut}(X) \cong \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})$.*
- *The threefold X has Fano index 1 and anticanonical degree 22; moreover, the following cases are possible here:*
 - (i) *$X = X_{22}^{\text{MU}}$ is the Mukai–Umemura threefold, and $\text{Aut}(X) \cong \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})$;*
 - (ii) *$X = X_{22}^{\text{a}}$ is a unique threefold such that the connected component of identity in $\text{Aut}(X)$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{k}^+ ;*
 - (iii) *$X = X_{22}^{\text{m}}(u)$ is a threefold from a certain one-dimensional family such that the connected component of identity in $\text{Aut}(X)$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{k}^\times .*

Smooth Fano threefolds of Picard rank greater than 1 are more numerous than those with Picard rank 1. Some of these threefolds having large automorphism groups have been already studied by different authors. Namely, Batyrev classified all smooth toric Fano threefolds in [B81] (see also [WW82]). Süß classified in [Su14] all smooth Fano threefolds that admit a faithful action of a two-dimensional torus. Threefolds with an action of the group $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{k})$ were studied in [MU83], [Um88], [Nak89], and [Nak98].

The goal of this paper is to provide a classification similar to that given by Theorem 1.1 in the case of higher Picard rank. Given a smooth Fano threefold X , we identify it (or rather its deformation family) by the pair of numbers

$$\mathfrak{J}(X) = \rho.N,$$

where ρ is the Picard rank of the threefold X , and N is its number in the classification tables in [MM82], [IP99], and [MM04]. Note that the most complete list of smooth Fano threefolds is contained in [MM04].

The main result of this paper is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *The following assertions hold.*

- (i) *The group $\text{Aut}(X)$ is infinite for every smooth Fano threefold X with*

$$\mathfrak{J}(X) \in \{1.15, 1.16, 1.17, 2.26, \dots, 2.36, 3.9, 3.13, \dots, 3.31, \\ 4.2, \dots, 4.12, 5.1, 5.2, 5.3, 6.1, 7.1, 8.1, 9.1, 10.1\}.$$

- (ii) *There also exist smooth Fano threefolds X such that the group $\text{Aut}(X)$ is infinite if*

$$\mathfrak{J}(X) \in \{1.10, 2.20, 2.21, 2.22, 3.5, 3.8, 3.10, 4.13\},$$

while for a general threefold X from these families the group $\text{Aut}(X)$ is finite.

- (iii) *The group $\text{Aut}(X)$ is always finite when X is contained in any of the remaining families of smooth Fano threefolds.*

In fact, we describe all connected components of the identity of automorphisms groups of all smooth Fano threefolds, see Table 1.

For a smooth Fano threefold X , if the group $\text{Aut}(X)$ is infinite, then X is rational. However, unlike the case of Picard rank 1, the threefold X may have a non-trivial Hodge number $h^{1,2}(X)$. The simplest example is given by a blow up of \mathbb{P}^3 along a plane cubic, that is, a smooth Fano threefold X with $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 2.28$; in this case one has $h^{1,2}(X) = 1$. Using Theorem 1.2, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 1.3 (cf. [KPS18, Corollary 1.1.3]). *Let X be a smooth Fano threefold such that $h^{1,2}(X) > 0$. Then $\text{Aut}(X)$ is infinite if and only if*

$$\mathfrak{I}(X) \in \{2.28, 3.9, 3.14, 4.2\}.$$

If furthermore X has no extremal contractions to threefolds with non-Gorenstein singular points, then $\mathfrak{I}(X) = 4.2$.

Remark 1.4. Let X be a smooth Fano threefold such that the Picard rank of X is at least 2. Suppose that X cannot be obtained from a smooth Fano threefold by blowing up a point or a smooth curve. In this case, the threefold X is (sometimes) said to be primitive (see [MM83, Definition 1.3]). Moreover, by [MM83, Theorem 1.6], there exists a (standard) conic bundle $\pi: X \rightarrow S$ such that either $S \cong \mathbb{P}^2$ and the Picard rank of X is 2, or $S \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and the Picard rank of X is 3. Denote by Δ the discriminant curve of the conic bundle π . Suppose, in addition, that the group $\text{Aut}(X)$ is infinite. Using Theorem 1.2 and the classification of primitive Fano threefolds in [MM83], we see that either the arithmetic genus of Δ is 1, or Δ is empty and π is a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle. Furthermore, in the former case it follows from the classification that X is a divisor of bi-degree $(1, 2)$ in $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ (and in particular X has a structure of a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle in this case as well). If Δ is trivial and $S \cong \mathbb{P}^2$, then the same classification (or [De81, SW90]) implies that either X is a divisor of bi-degree $(1, 1)$ in $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$, or X is a projectivization of a decomposable vector bundle of rank 2 on \mathbb{P}^2 (in this case X is toric). Likewise, if Δ is trivial and $S \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, then either $X \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, or X is a blow up of the quadric cone in \mathbb{P}^4 in its vertex.

Information about automorphism groups of smooth complex Fano threefolds can be used to study the problem of existence of a Kähler–Einstein metric on them. For instance, the Matsushima obstruction implies that a smooth Fano threefold X does not admit such metric if its automorphism group is not reductive (see [Ma57]). Thus, inspecting our Table 1, we obtain the following.

Corollary 1.5. *If X is a smooth complex Fano threefold with*

$$\mathfrak{I}(X) \in \{2.28, 2.30, 2.31, 2.33, 2.35, 2.36, 3.16, 3.18, 3.21, \dots, 3.24, \\ 3.26, 3.28, \dots, 3.31, 4.8, \dots, 4.12\},$$

then X does not admit a Kähler–Einstein metric. In each of the families of smooth Fano threefolds with $\mathfrak{I}(X) \in \{1.10, 2.21, 2.26, 3.13\}$, there exists a variety that does not admit a Kähler–Einstein metric.

If a smooth complex Fano variety X has an infinite automorphism group, then the vanishing of its Futaki invariant, a Lie algebra character on the space of holomorphic vector fields, is a necessary condition for the existence of a Kähler–Einstein metric on X (see [Fut83]). This gives us a simple obstruction for the existence of a Kähler–Einstein metric. If X is toric, then the vanishing of its Futaki invariant is also a sufficient for X to be Kähler–Einstein (see [WZ04]). In this case, Futaki invariant vanishes if and only if the barycenter of the canonical weight polytope associated to X is at the origin. The Futaki invariants of smooth non-toric Fano threefolds admitting a faithful action of a two-dimensional torus have been computed in [Su14, Theorem 1.1]. We hope that one can use the results of this paper to compute Futaki invariant of other smooth Fano threefolds having infinite automorphism groups.

If a complex Fano variety X is acted on by a reductive group G , one can use Tian’s α -invariant $\alpha_G(X)$ to prove the existence of a Kähler–Einstein metric on X . To be precise,

if

$$\alpha_G(X) > \frac{\dim(X)}{\dim(X) + 1},$$

then X is Kähler–Einstein by [Ti87]. The larger the group G , the larger the α -invariant $\alpha_G(X)$ is. This simple criterion has been used in [Nad90, Don08, CS09, Su13, CS18] to prove the existence of a Kähler–Einstein metric on many smooth Fano threefolds.

Example 1.6. In the notations of Theorem 1.1, one has

$$\alpha_{\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})}(X_{22}^{\mathrm{MU}}) = \frac{5}{6}$$

by Donaldson’s [Don08, Theorem 3]. Likewise, one has $\alpha_{\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})}(V_5) = \frac{5}{6}$ by [CS09]. Thus, both Fano threefolds X_{22}^{MU} and V_5 are Kähler–Einstein (when $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{C}$).

Thanks to the proof of the Yau–Tian–Donaldson conjecture in [CDS15], there is an algebraic characterization for a smooth Fano variety X to admit a Kähler–Einstein metric through the notion of K -stability. In concrete cases, however, this criterion is far from being effective, because to prove K -stability one has to check the positivity of the Donaldson–Futaki invariant for all possible degenerations of the variety X . In a recent paper [DS16], Datar and Székelyhidi proved that given the action of a reductive group G on X , it suffices to consider only G -equivariant degenerations. For many smooth Fano threefolds, this equivariant version of K -stability has been checked effectively in [IS17]. We hope that our Theorem 1.2 can be used to check this in some other cases.

In some applications, it is useful to know the full automorphism group of a Fano variety (cf. [Pr13]). However, a complete classification of automorphism groups is available only in dimension two (see [DI09]), and in some particular cases in dimension three (see [Mu88, Proposition 4.4], [KPS18, §5] and [KP18]). For instance, at the moment, we lack any description of the possible automorphism groups of smooth cubic threefolds.

In dimension four, several interesting examples of smooth Fano varieties with infinite automorphism groups are known (see, for instance, [PZ18]). However, the situation here is very far from classification similar to our Theorem 1.2.

The plan of the paper is as follows. We study automorphisms of smooth Fano threefolds splitting them into several groups depending on their (sometimes non-unique) construction. In §2 we present some preliminary facts we need in the paper. In §3 we study Fano varieties that are related with direct products of lower dimensional varieties or cones over them. In §4, §5, and §6, we study Fano threefolds that are blow ups of \mathbb{P}^3 , the smooth quadric, and the Fano threefold V_5 , respectively. In §7 and §8 we study blow ups or double covers of the flag variety $W = \mathrm{Fl}(1, 2; 3)$ and products of projective spaces, respectively. In the next three sections we study three particularly remarkable families of varieties. In §9 we study the blow up of a smooth quadric in a twisted quartic; this variety is more complicated from our point of view than those in §5, so we separate it. In §10 we study divisors of bidegree $(1, 2)$ in $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$. In §11 we study the varieties X with $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 3.2$. Note that the (smooth) varieties from this family are trigonal, but the family is omitted in the Iskovskikh’s list of smooth trigonal Fano threefolds in [Is78]. Finally, in §12 we study the remaining sporadic cases of Fano threefolds.

Summarizing §§3–12, in Appendix A we provide a table containing an explicit description of connected components of identity in infinite automorphism groups arising in Theorem 1.2.

Notation and conventions.

All varieties are assumed to be projective and defined over an algebraically closed field \mathbb{k} of characteristic zero. Given a variety Y and its subvariety Z , we denote by $\text{Aut}(Y; Z)$ the stabilizer of Z in $\text{Aut}(Y)$. By $\text{Aut}^0(Y)$ and $\text{Aut}^0(Y; Z)$ we denote the connected component of identity in $\text{Aut}(Y)$ and $\text{Aut}(Y; Z)$, respectively.

Throughout the paper we denote by \mathbb{F}_n the Hirzebruch surface

$$\mathbb{F}_n = \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(n)\right).$$

In particular, the surface \mathbb{F}_1 is the blow up of \mathbb{P}^2 at a point. By V_7 we denote the blow up of \mathbb{P}^3 at a point. We denote by Q the smooth three-dimensional quadric, and by V_5 the smooth section of the Grassmannian $\text{Gr}(2, 5) \subset \mathbb{P}^9$ by a linear subspace of dimension 6. By W we denote the flag variety $\text{Fl}(1, 2; 3)$ of complete flags in the three-dimensional vector space; equivalently, this threefold can be described as the projectivization of the tangent bundle on \mathbb{P}^2 or a smooth divisor of bidegree $(1, 1)$ on $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$.

We denote by $\mathbb{P}(a_0, \dots, a_n)$ the weighted projective space with weights a_0, \dots, a_n . Note that $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 2)$ is the cone in \mathbb{P}^6 over a Veronese surface in \mathbb{P}^5 . One has

$$(1.7) \quad \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 2)) \cong (\mathbb{k}^+)^6 \rtimes ((\text{GL}_3(\mathbb{k}) \times \mathbb{k}^\times) / \mathbb{k}^\times),$$

where \mathbb{k}^\times embeds into the above product by

$$t \mapsto (t \cdot \text{Id}_{\text{GL}_3(\mathbb{k})}, t^2),$$

cf. [PS17, Proposition A.2.5].

Let $n > k_1 > \dots > k_r$ be positive integers. Then we denote by $\text{PGL}_{n; k_1, \dots, k_r}(\mathbb{k})$ the parabolic subgroup in $\text{PGL}_n(\mathbb{k})$ that consists of images of matrices in $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{k})$ preserving a (possibly incomplete) flag of subspaces of dimensions k_1, \dots, k_r . In particular, the group $\text{PGL}_{n; k}(\mathbb{k})$ is isomorphic to the group of $n \times n$ -matrices with a zero lower-left rectangle of size $(n - k) \times k$, and one has

$$(1.8) \quad \text{PGL}_{n; k}(\mathbb{k}) \cong (\mathbb{k}^+)^{k(n-k)} \rtimes ((\text{GL}_k(\mathbb{k}) \times \text{GL}_{n-k}(\mathbb{k})) / \mathbb{k}^\times).$$

Similarly, one has

$$(1.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{PGL}_{n; k_1, k_2}(\mathbb{k}) &\cong \\ &\cong \left((\mathbb{k}^+)^{k_1(n-k_1)} \rtimes (\mathbb{k}^+)^{k_2(k_1-k_2)} \right) \rtimes ((\text{GL}_{k_2}(\mathbb{k}) \times \text{GL}_{k_1-k_2}(\mathbb{k}) \times \text{GL}_{n-k_1}(\mathbb{k})) / \mathbb{k}^\times). \end{aligned}$$

In (1.8) and (1.9), the subgroup \mathbb{k}^\times is embedded into each factor as the group of scalar matrices. For brevity we write B for the group $\text{PGL}_{2; 1}(\mathbb{k}) \cong \mathbb{k}^+ \rtimes \mathbb{k}^\times$; this group is a Borel subgroup in $\text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})$.

For $n \geq 5$ by $\text{PSO}_{n; k}(\mathbb{k})$ we denote the parabolic subgroup of $\text{PSO}_n(\mathbb{k})$ preserving an isotropic linear subspace of dimension k . In particular, $\text{PSO}_{n; 1}(\mathbb{k})$ is a stabilizer in $\text{Aut}^0(\mathcal{Q})$ of a point on a smooth $(n - 2)$ -dimensional quadric \mathcal{Q} . One can check that the latter group is isomorphic to the connected component of identity of the automorphism group of a cone over the smooth $(n - 4)$ -dimensional quadric. Therefore, we have

$$\text{PSO}_{5; 1}(\mathbb{k}) \cong (\mathbb{k}^+)^3 \rtimes (\text{SO}_3(\mathbb{k}) \times \mathbb{k}^\times) \cong (\mathbb{k}^+)^3 \rtimes (\text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k}) \times \mathbb{k}^\times)$$

and

$$(1.10) \quad \text{PSO}_{6; 1}(\mathbb{k}) \cong (\mathbb{k}^+)^4 \rtimes \left((\text{SO}_4(\mathbb{k}) \times \mathbb{k}^\times) / \{\pm 1\} \right).$$

By $\mathrm{PGL}_{(2,2)}(\mathbb{k})$ we denote the image in $\mathrm{PGL}_4(\mathbb{k})$ of the group of block-diagonal matrices in $\mathrm{GL}_4(\mathbb{k})$ with two 2×2 blocks; one has

$$\mathrm{PGL}_{(2,2)}(\mathbb{k}) \cong (\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{k}) \times \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{k})) / \mathbb{k}^\times,$$

where \mathbb{k}^\times is embedded into each factor $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{k})$ as the group of scalar matrices. This group acts on \mathbb{P}^3 preserving two skew lines. By $\mathrm{PGL}_{(2,2);1}(\mathbb{k})$ we denote the parabolic subgroup in $\mathrm{PGL}_{(2,2)}(\mathbb{k})$ that is the stabilizer of a point on one of these lines; it is the image in $\mathrm{PGL}_4(\mathbb{k})$ of the group of block-diagonal matrices in $\mathrm{GL}_4(\mathbb{k})$ with two 2×2 blocks, one of which is an upper-triangular matrix. Thus, one has

$$\mathrm{PGL}_{(2,2);1}(\mathbb{k}) \cong \left(\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{k}) \times \tilde{\mathrm{B}} \right) / \mathbb{k}^\times,$$

where $\tilde{\mathrm{B}}$ is the subgroup of upper-triangular matrices in $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{k})$, and \mathbb{k}^\times is embedded into each factor as the group of scalar matrices.

We will use without reference the explicit descriptions of Fano threefolds provided in [MM82], [IP99], and [MM04]. We slightly change the descriptions in some cases for simplicity.

In certain cases we compute the dimensions of families of Fano varieties with certain properties considered *up to isomorphism*. Note that in general Fano varieties do not have moduli spaces with nice properties (cf. Lemma 6.5 below), and to appropriately approach the family parameterizing these up to isomorphism one has to deal with moduli stacks and coarse moduli spaces of these stacks. This is not our goal however, and we actually make only a weaker claim in such cases. Namely, if we say that some family of Fano threefolds up to isomorphism is d -dimensional, we mean that in the corresponding parameter space \mathcal{P} (which is obvious from the description of the family of Fano varieties) there is an open subset where the natural automorphism group of \mathcal{P} acts with equidimensional orbits, and the corresponding quotient is d -dimensional. Also, in such cases we do not consider the question about irreducibility of such families. We point out that in many cases the dimensions of the families of Fano threefolds are straightforward to compute. In several non-obvious cases we provide computations for the reader's convenience.

Acknowledgments. We are grateful to I. Arzhantsev, S. Gorchinskiy, A. Kuznetsov, Yu. Prokhorov, L. Rybnikov, D. Timashev, and V. Vologodsky for useful discussions. Special thanks go to the referees for their careful reading of the paper. Ivan Cheltsov was partially supported by Royal Society grant No. IES\R1\180205, and by the Russian Academic Excellence Project “5-100”. Victor Przyjalkowski was partially supported by Laboratory of Mirror Symmetry NRU HSE, RF government grant, ag. No. 14.641.31.0001. Constantin Shramov was partially supported by the Russian Academic Excellence Project “5-100”. The last two authors are Young Russian Mathematics award winners and would like to thank its sponsors and jury. This paper was finished during the authors' visit to the Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut in Oberwolfach. The authors appreciate its excellent environment and hospitality.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Any Fano variety X with at most Kawamata log terminal singularities admits only a finite number of extremal contractions. In particular, this implies that every extremal contraction is $\mathrm{Aut}^0(X)$ -equivariant, and for every birational extremal contraction $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ the action of $\mathrm{Aut}^0(X)$ on Y is faithful. In the latter case $\mathrm{Aut}^0(X)$ is naturally embedded into $\mathrm{Aut}^0(Y; Z)$, where $Z \subset Y$ is the image of the exceptional set of π . We will use these facts many times throughout the paper without reference.

The following assertion is well known to experts.

Lemma 2.1. *Let Y be a Fano variety with at most Kawamata log terminal singularities, and let $Z \subset Y$ be an irreducible subvariety. Suppose that there is a very ample divisor D on Y such that Z is not contained in any effective divisor linearly equivalent to D . Then the action of the group $\text{Aut}(Y; Z)$ on Z is faithful. Furthermore, if Z is non-ruled, then $\text{Aut}(Y; Z)$ is finite.*

Proof. Since the Picard group of the variety Y is finitely generated, the linear system of D defines an $\text{Aut}^0(Y)$ -equivariant embedding $\varphi: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$, so that the automorphisms in $\text{Aut}^0(Y)$ are induced by the automorphisms of \mathbb{P}^N . Note that Y is not contained in a hyperplane in \mathbb{P}^N by construction, and the same holds for Z by assumption. Thus $\text{Aut}^0(Y)$ coincides with the group $\text{Aut}^0(\mathbb{P}^N; Y)$, and the group $\text{Aut}^0(Y; Z)$ acts faithfully on Z . Note that both $\text{Aut}^0(Y)$ and $\text{Aut}^0(Y; Z)$ are linear algebraic groups. Thus, if $\text{Aut}^0(Y; Z)$ were non-trivial, it would contain a subgroup isomorphic either to \mathbb{k}^\times or \mathbb{k}^+ . In both cases this would imply that Z is covered by rational curves. We conclude that if Z is non-ruled, then the group $\text{Aut}^0(Y; Z)$ is trivial, so that the group $\text{Aut}(Y; Z)$ is finite. \square

The following theorem is classical, see for instance [Dol12, §§8–9].

Theorem 2.2. *Let X be a smooth del Pezzo surface of degree $d = K_X^2$. Then the following assertions hold.*

- If $d = 9$, then $\text{Aut}(X) \cong \text{PGL}_3(\mathbb{k})$.
- If $d = 8$, then either $X \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and $\text{Aut}^0(X) \cong \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k}) \times \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})$, or $X \cong \mathbb{F}_1$ and $\text{Aut}(X) \cong \text{PGL}_{3;1}(\mathbb{k})$.
- If $d = 7$, then $\text{Aut}^0(X) \cong \text{B} \times \text{B}$.
- If $d = 6$, then $\text{Aut}^0(X) \cong (\mathbb{k}^\times)^2$.
- If $d \leq 5$, then the group $\text{Aut}(X)$ is finite.

Let $\pi: X \rightarrow S$ be a surjective morphism such that X is a threefold, and S is a surface. If a general fiber of π is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^1 , we say that π is a *conic bundle*. We say that π is a *standard conic bundle* if both X and S are smooth, the morphism π is flat, and

$$\text{Pic}(X) \cong \pi^* \text{Pic}(S) \oplus \mathbb{Z},$$

see, for instance, [Sar81, Definition 1.3], [Sar83, Definition 1.12], or [Pr18, §1]. In this case, the morphism $\pi: X \rightarrow S$ is a Mori fiber space. Let $\Delta \subset S$ be the discriminant locus of π , i. e. the locus that consists of points $P \in S$ such that the scheme fiber $\pi^{-1}(P)$ is not isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^1 .

Remark 2.3 (see [Sar83, Corollary 1.11]). If $\pi: X \rightarrow S$ is a standard conic bundle, then Δ is a (possibly reducible) reduced curve that has at most nodes as singularities. In this case, the fiber of π over P is isomorphic to a reducible reduced conic in \mathbb{P}^2 if $P \in \Delta$ and P is not a singular point of the curve Δ . Likewise, if P is a singular point of Δ , then F is isomorphic to a non-reduced conic in \mathbb{P}^2 .

Lemma 2.4. *Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ be an irreducible nodal cubic. Then the group $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2; C)$ is finite.*

Proof. The action of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2; C)$ on C is faithful by Lemma 2.1. Furthermore, this action lifts to the normalization of C , so that $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2; C)$ acts on \mathbb{P}^1 preserving a pair of points. Therefore, we have $\text{Aut}^0(\mathbb{P}^2; C) \subset \mathbb{k}^\times$.

Suppose that $\text{Aut}^0(\mathbb{P}^2; C) \cong \mathbb{k}^\times$. Then the action of \mathbb{k}^\times lifts to the projective space

$$\mathbb{P}(H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(3))^\vee) \cong \mathbb{P}^3.$$

Moreover, it preserves a twisted cubic \tilde{C} (that is the image of \mathbb{P}^1 embedded by the latter linear system) therein, and also preserves some point $P \in \mathbb{P}^3$ outside \tilde{C} (such that the projection of \tilde{C} from P provides the initial embedding $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$). Since the curve C is nodal, there exists a unique line L in \mathbb{P}^3 that contains the point P and intersects \tilde{C} in two points P_1 and P_2 . The line L is \mathbb{k}^\times -invariant. Furthermore, the points P , P_1 , and P_2 are \mathbb{k}^\times -invariant, so that the action of \mathbb{k}^\times on L is trivial. This means that \mathbb{k}^\times (or its appropriate central extension) acts on $H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(3))$ with some weights w_1, w_2, w_3 , and w_4 , where at least two of the weights coincide. This in turn means that \mathbb{P}^3 cannot contain a \mathbb{k}^\times -invariant twisted cubic. The obtained contradiction shows that the group $\text{Aut}^0(\mathbb{P}^2; C)$ is actually trivial. \square

Lemma 2.5. *Let H be a hyperplane section of a smooth n -dimensional quadric $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$, where $n \geq 2$, and let $\Gamma \subset \text{Aut}(Y)$ be the pointwise stabilizer of H . Then Γ is finite, and every automorphism of H is induced by an automorphism of Y .*

Proof. Denote the homogeneous coordinates on \mathbb{P}^{n+1} by x_0, \dots, x_n . Since the group $\text{Aut}(Y)$ acts transitively both on $\mathbb{P}^n \setminus Y$ and on Y , we can assume that H is given by $x_0 = 0$ and Y is given by

$$x_0^2 + \dots + x_{n+1}^2 = 0$$

if H is smooth and by

$$x_0x_1 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_{n+1}^2 = 0$$

if H is singular (in this case H is tangent to Y at the point $[0 : 1 : 0 : \dots : 0]$). The pointwise stabilizer of H in both cases acts trivially on the last n coordinates, so $\Gamma = \{\pm 1\}$ in the former case, and Γ is trivial in the latter case. The last assertion of the lemma is obvious. \square

Now we prove several auxiliary assertions about two-dimensional quadrics.

Lemma 2.6. *Let C be a smooth curve of bidegree $(1, n)$, $n \geq 2$, on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ ramified, under the projection on the first factor, in two points. Then in some coordinates $[x_0 : x_1] \times [y_0 : y_1]$ the curve C is given by $x_0y_0^n + x_1y_1^n = 0$.*

Proof. It follows from the Riemann–Hurwitz formula that the ramification indices of the projection of C to the first factor of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ at both ramification points equal n .

Consider coordinates on the first factor of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ such that the branch points are $[0 : 1]$ and $[1 : 0]$, and the ramification points are $[0 : 1] \times [0 : 1]$ and $[1 : 0] \times [1 : 0]$. In the local coordinates x, y at $[0 : 1] \times [0 : 1]$ the polynomial in the y -coordinate is of degree n with the only root at 0, so it is proportional to y^n . The same applies to the other ramification point. \square

Corollary 2.7. *Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ be a smooth curve of bidegree $(1, n)$, $n \geq 2$, such that $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1; C)$ is infinite. Then C is unique up to the action of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1)$, and one has $\text{Aut}^0(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1; C) \cong \mathbb{k}^\times$.*

Proof. The action of $\text{Aut}^0(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1; C)$ on C is faithful by Lemma 2.1. The action of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1; C)$ preserves the set of ramification points of the projection of C to the first factor in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. The cardinality of this set is at least 2, and hence it is exactly 2. The rest is done by Lemma 2.6. \square

Lemma 2.8. *Up to the action of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1)$, there is a unique smooth curve of bidegree $(1, 1)$ or $(1, 2)$ on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, and a $(2n - 5)$ -dimensional family of embedded curves of bidegree $(1, n)$ for $n \geq 3$.*

Proof. The uniqueness in the cases of bidegrees $(1, 1)$ and $(1, 2)$ is obvious.

Now we suppose that $n \geq 3$. The dimension of the linear system of curves of bidegree $(1, n)$ on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ is

$$2 \cdot (n + 1) - 1 = 2n + 1.$$

Let C be a general smooth curve from this linear system. Let π_1 be the projection of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ on the first factor. Then the ramification points of the restriction $\pi_1|_C: C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ are $\text{Aut}^0(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1; C)$ -invariant. Since for a general C there are at least 4 such ramification points, we conclude that the group $\text{Aut}^0(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1; C)$ acts trivially on $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$. On the other hand, the action of this group on C is faithful by Lemma 2.1. Thus, we conclude that the group $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1; C)$ is finite. Since the group $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1)$ has dimension 6, the assertion immediately follows. \square

Remark 2.9. Let C be a curve of bidegree $(1, n)$, $n \leq 1$, on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Then

$$\text{Aut}^0(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1; C) \cong \text{B} \times \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})$$

for $n = 0$ and $\text{Aut}^0(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1; C) \cong \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})$ for $n = 1$.

We conclude this section with an elementary (but useful) observation concerning the projection from $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{k})$ to $\text{PGL}_n(\mathbb{k})$.

Remark 2.10. Let Γ be a subgroup of $\text{GL}_{n-1}(\mathbb{k})$ that contains all scalar matrices. Consider a subgroup $\Gamma \times \mathbb{k}^\times \subset \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{k})$ embedded into the group of block-diagonal matrices with blocks of sizes $n - 1$ and 1. Then the image of $\Gamma \times \mathbb{k}^\times$ in $\text{PGL}_n(\mathbb{k})$ is isomorphic to Γ .

3. DIRECT PRODUCTS AND CONES

In this section we consider smooth Fano threefolds X with

$$\mathfrak{I}(X) \in \{2.34, 2.36, 3.9, 3.27, 3.28, 3.31, 4.2, 4.10, 5.3, 6.1, 7.1, 8.1, 9.1, 10.1\}.$$

Lemma 3.1. *Let X_1 and X_2 be normal projective varieties. Then*

$$\text{Aut}^0(X_1 \times X_2) \cong \text{Aut}^0(X_1) \times \text{Aut}^0(X_2).$$

Furthermore, let $Z \subset X_1$ be a subvariety, and $P \in X_2$ be a point. Consider Z as a subvariety of the fiber of the projection $X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow X_2$ over the point P . Then

$$\text{Aut}^0(X_1 \times X_2; Z) \cong \text{Aut}^0(X_1; Z) \times \text{Aut}^0(X_2; P).$$

Proof. The group $\text{Aut}^0(X_1 \times X_2)$ acts trivially on the Neron–Severi group of $X_1 \times X_2$. In particular, it preserves the numerical class of a pull back of some ample divisor from X_1 . This implies that the projection $X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow X_1$ is $\text{Aut}^0(X_1 \times X_2)$ -equivariant. Similarly, we see that the projection $X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow X_2$ is also $\text{Aut}^0(X_1 \times X_2)$ -equivariant, and the first assertion follows. The second assertion easily follows from the first one. \square

Corollary 3.2. *Let X be a smooth Fano threefold. Then the following assertions hold.*

- If $\mathfrak{I}(X) = 2.34$, then $\text{Aut}^0(X) \cong \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k}) \times \text{PGL}_3(\mathbb{k})$.
- If $\mathfrak{I}(X) = 3.27$, then $\text{Aut}^0(X) \cong \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k}) \times \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k}) \times \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})$.
- If $\mathfrak{I}(X) = 3.28$, then $\text{Aut}^0(X) \cong \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k}) \times \text{PGL}_{3;1}(\mathbb{k})$.
- If $\mathfrak{I}(X) = 4.10$, then $\text{Aut}^0(X) \cong \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k}) \times \text{B} \times \text{B}$.
- If $\mathfrak{I}(X) = 5.3$, then $\text{Aut}^0(X) \cong \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k}) \times (\mathbb{k}^\times)^2$.
- If $\mathfrak{I}(X) \in \{6.1, 7.1, 8.1, 9.1, 10.1\}$, then $\text{Aut}^0(X) \cong \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})$.

Proof. In all these cases X is a product of \mathbb{P}^1 and a del Pezzo surface. Thus, the assertion follows from Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 2.2. \square

Lemma 3.3. *Let X be a smooth Fano threefold with $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 2.36$. Then*

$$\mathrm{Aut}^0(X) \cong \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 2)).$$

Proof. The threefold X is a blow up of $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 2)$ at its (unique) singular point. \square

We refer the reader to (1.7) for a detailed description of the group $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 2))$.

Lemma 3.4. *Let Y be a smooth Fano variety embedded in \mathbb{P}^N by a complete linear system $|D|$, where D is a very ample divisor on Y such that $D \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -\lambda K_Y$ for some positive rational number λ . Let $Z \subset Y$ be an irreducible non-ruled subvariety such that Z is not contained in any effective divisor in $|D|$. Let \widehat{Y} be a cone in \mathbb{P}^{N+1} with vertex P over the variety Y . Then*

$$\mathrm{Aut}^0(\widehat{Y}; Z \cup P) \cong \mathbb{k}^\times.$$

Proof. Note that \widehat{Y} a Fano variety, and it has a Kawamata log terminal singularity at the vertex P because D is proportional to the anticanonical class of Y . Furthermore, one has

$$\mathrm{Aut}^0(\widehat{Y}) \cong \mathrm{Aut}^0(\mathbb{P}^{N+1}; \widehat{Y}).$$

In particular, we can identify $\mathrm{Aut}^0(\widehat{Y}; Z \cup P)$ with a subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}^0(\mathbb{P}^{N+1}; \widehat{Y})$. The group $\mathrm{Aut}^0(\widehat{Y}; Z \cup P)$ preserves the linear span \mathbb{P}^N of Z , and by Lemma 2.1 it acts trivially on \mathbb{P}^N . This implies that $\mathrm{Aut}^0(\widehat{Y}; Z \cup P)$ is contained in the pointwise stabilizer of $\mathbb{P}^N \cup P$ in $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^{N+1})$. The latter stabilizer is isomorphic to \mathbb{k}^\times . On the other hand, $\mathrm{Aut}^0(\widehat{Y}; Z \cup P)$ contains an obvious subgroup isomorphic to \mathbb{k}^\times , and the assertion follows. \square

Corollary 3.5. *Let X be a smooth Fano threefold with $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 3.9$ or $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 4.2$. Then $\mathrm{Aut}^0(X) \cong \mathbb{k}^\times$.*

Lemma 3.6. *Let X be a smooth Fano threefold with $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 3.31$. Then*

$$\mathrm{Aut}^0(X) \cong \mathrm{PSO}_{6;1}(\mathbb{k}).$$

Proof. The threefold X is a blow up of a cone Y over a smooth quadric surface at its (unique) singular point. Therefore, we have $\mathrm{Aut}^0(X) \cong \mathrm{Aut}^0(Y)$. On the other hand, Y is isomorphic to the intersection of a smooth four-dimensional quadric $\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ with a tangent space at some point. Using Lemma 2.5, we see that $\mathrm{Aut}^0(Y)$ is isomorphic to a stabilizer of a point on \mathcal{Q} in $\mathrm{Aut}^0(\mathcal{Q}) \cong \mathrm{PSO}_6(\mathbb{k})$. \square

We refer the reader to (1.10) for a detailed description of the group $\mathrm{PSO}_{6;1}(\mathbb{k})$.

4. BLOW UPS OF THE PROJECTIVE SPACE

In this section we consider smooth Fano threefolds X with

$$\mathfrak{J}(X) \in \{2.4, 2.9, 2.12, 2.15, 2.25, 2.27, 2.28, 2.33, 2.35, 3.6, 3.11, 3.12, 3.14, 3.16, \\ 3.23, 3.25, 3.26, 3.29, 3.30, 4.6, 4.9, 4.12, 5.2\}.$$

Lemma 2.1 immediately implies the following.

Corollary 4.1. *Let X be a smooth Fano threefold with*

$$\mathfrak{J}(X) \in \{2.4, 2.9, 2.12, 2.15, 2.25\}.$$

Then the group $\text{Aut}(X)$ is finite.

Proof. These varieties are blow ups of \mathbb{P}^3 along smooth curves of positive genus that are not contained in a plane. \square

Corollary 4.2. *Let X be a smooth Fano threefold with $\mathfrak{J}(X) \in \{3.6, 3.11\}$. Then the group $\text{Aut}(X)$ is finite.*

Proof. The variety X is a blow up of a smooth Fano variety Y with $\mathfrak{J}(Y) = 2.25$. Thus the assertion follows from Corollary 4.1. \square

Lemma 4.3. *Let X be a smooth Fano threefold with $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 2.27$. Then*

$$\text{Aut}^0(X) \cong \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k}).$$

Proof. The threefold X is a blow up of \mathbb{P}^3 along a twisted cubic curve C . Applying Lemma 2.1, we see that the group $\text{Aut}^0(X) \cong \text{Aut}^0(\mathbb{P}^3; C)$ is a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(C) \cong \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})$. On the other hand, since $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ is embedded into \mathbb{P}^3 by a complete linear system, one has $\text{Aut}(C) \subset \text{Aut}^0(\mathbb{P}^3; C)$. \square

Lemma 4.4. *Let X be a smooth Fano threefold with $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 2.28$. Then*

$$\text{Aut}^0(X) \cong (\mathbb{k}^+)^3 \rtimes \mathbb{k}^\times.$$

Proof. The threefold X is a blow up of \mathbb{P}^3 along a plane cubic curve. Applying Lemma 2.1, we see that $\text{Aut}^0(X)$ is isomorphic to a pointwise stabilizer of a plane in \mathbb{P}^3 . \square

Lemma 4.5. *Let X be a smooth Fano threefold with $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 2.33$ or $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 2.35$. Then $\text{Aut}^0(X)$ is isomorphic to $\text{PGL}_{4;2}(\mathbb{k})$ or $\text{PGL}_{4;1}(\mathbb{k})$, respectively.*

Proof. The threefold X with $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 2.33$ is a blow up of \mathbb{P}^3 along a line, while the threefold X with $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 2.35$ is a blow up of \mathbb{P}^3 at a point. Thus, the assertions of the lemma follow from the definitions of the corresponding parabolic subgroups in $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^3) \cong \text{PGL}_4(\mathbb{k})$. \square

Lemma 4.6. *Let X be a smooth Fano threefold with $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 3.23$. Then*

$$\text{Aut}^0(X) \cong (\mathbb{k}^+)^3 \rtimes (\mathbb{B} \times \mathbb{k}^\times).$$

Proof. The threefold X is a blow up of the threefold V_7 along a proper transform of a conic Z passing through the center P of the blow up $V_7 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$. Therefore, $\text{Aut}^0(X)$ is isomorphic to the subgroup Θ of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^3)$ that preserves both Z and P .

Choose a point P' not contained in the linear span of C , and let Γ be the the subgroup of Θ that fixes P' . Then $\Theta \cong (\mathbb{k}^+)^3 \rtimes \Gamma$. On the other hand, Γ is the image in $\text{PGL}_4(\mathbb{k})$ of the group Γ' , such that the image of Γ' in $\text{PGL}_3(\mathbb{k}) \cong \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2)$ is the group that preserves a conic in \mathbb{P}^2 and a point on it. Now the assertion follows from Remark 2.10, cf. Lemma 5.3 below. \square

Lemma 4.7. *There exists a unique smooth Fano threefold X with $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 3.12$ such that $\text{Aut}^0(X) \cong \mathbb{k}^\times$. For all other smooth Fano threefolds X with $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 3.12$, the group $\text{Aut}(X)$ is finite.*

Proof. The threefold X is a blow up of \mathbb{P}^3 along a disjoint union of a line ℓ and a twisted cubic Z . We have $\text{Aut}^0(X) \cong \text{Aut}^0(\mathbb{P}^3; Z \cup \ell)$. Consider the pencil \mathcal{P} of planes in \mathbb{P}^3 passing through ℓ . This pencil is $\text{Aut}^0(\mathbb{P}^3; Z \cup \ell)$ -invariant. Thus there is an exact sequence of groups

$$1 \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{P}} \rightarrow \text{Aut}^0(\mathbb{P}^3; Z \cup \ell) \rightarrow \Gamma,$$

where $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{P}}$ preserves every member of \mathcal{P} , and Γ is a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1)$. Since a general surface $\Pi \cong \mathbb{P}^2$ in \mathcal{P} intersects $Z \cup \ell$ by a union of the line ℓ and three non-collinear points outside ℓ , we conclude that the (connected) group $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{P}}$ is trivial. On the other hand, Γ is a connected group that preserves the points of \mathbb{P}^1 corresponding to the tangent planes to Z . Since there are at least 2 such planes, we conclude that Γ can be infinite if and only if there are exactly two of them. The latter means that ℓ is the intersection line of two osculating planes of Z . Conversely, if ℓ is constructed in this way, then $\text{Aut}^0(X) \cong \text{Aut}^0(\mathbb{P}^3; Z \cup \ell)$ is isomorphic to the stabilizer of the two corresponding tangency points on Z in $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^3; Z) \cong \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})$, that is, to \mathbb{k}^\times . It remains to notice that the latter configuration is unique up to the action of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^3; Z)$. \square

Lemma 4.8. *Let X be a smooth Fano threefold with $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 3.14$. Then $\text{Aut}^0(X) \cong \mathbb{k}^\times$.*

Proof. The threefold X is a blow up of \mathbb{P}^3 along a union of a point P and a smooth cubic curve Z contained in a plane Π disjoint from P . Thus, we have $\text{Aut}^0(X) \cong \text{Aut}^0(\mathbb{P}^3; Z \cup P)$. The plane Π is $\text{Aut}^0(X)$ -invariant. Furthermore, by Lemma 2.1, the action of $\text{Aut}^0(\mathbb{P}^3; Z \cup P)$ on Π is trivial, and the assertion follows. \square

Lemma 4.9. *Let X be a smooth Fano threefold with $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 3.16$. Then $\text{Aut}^0(X) \cong \text{B}$.*

Proof. The threefold X is a blow up of the threefold V_7 along a proper transform of a twisted cubic Z passing through the center P of the blow up $V_7 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$. Therefore, $\text{Aut}^0(X)$ is isomorphic to the subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^3)$ that preserves both Z and P . The stabilizer of Z in \mathbb{P}^3 is isomorphic to $\text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})$, and the assertion follows. \square

Lemma 4.10. *Let X be a smooth Fano threefold with $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 3.25$. Then*

$$\text{Aut}^0(X) \cong \text{PGL}_{(2,2)}(\mathbb{k}).$$

Proof. The threefold X is a blow up of \mathbb{P}^3 along a disjoint union of two lines ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 . Therefore, $\text{Aut}^0(X)$ is isomorphic to the subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^3)$ that preserves both ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 . \square

Lemma 4.11. *Let X be a smooth Fano threefold with $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 3.26$. Then*

$$\text{Aut}^0(X) \cong (\mathbb{k}^+)^3 \rtimes (\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{k}) \times \mathbb{k}^\times)$$

Proof. The threefold X is a blow up of \mathbb{P}^3 along a disjoint union of a line ℓ and a point P . Therefore, $\text{Aut}^0(X)$ is isomorphic to the subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^3)$ that preserves both ℓ and P . The quotient of the latter group by its unipotent radical is isomorphic to the image in $\text{PGL}_4(\mathbb{k})$ of a subgroup of $\text{GL}_4(\mathbb{k})$ that consists of block-diagonal matrices with blocks of sizes 2, 1, and 1. Now the assertion follows from Remark 2.10. \square

Lemma 4.12. *Let X be a smooth Fano threefold with $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 3.29$. Then*

$$\text{Aut}^0(X) \cong \text{PGL}_{4;3,1}(\mathbb{k}).$$

Proof. The threefold X is a blow up of the threefold V_7 along a line in the exceptional divisor $E \cong \mathbb{P}^2$ of the blow up $V_7 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ of a point P on \mathbb{P}^3 . Therefore, $\text{Aut}^0(X)$ is isomorphic to the subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^3)$ that preserves both P and some plane Π passing through P . \square

Lemma 4.13. *Let X be a smooth Fano threefold with $\mathfrak{I}(X) = 3.30$. Then*

$$\mathrm{Aut}^0(X) \cong \mathrm{PGL}_{4;2,1}(\mathbb{k}).$$

Proof. The threefold X is a blow up of the threefold V_7 along a proper transform of a line ℓ passing through the center P of the blow up $V_7 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$. Therefore, $\mathrm{Aut}^0(X)$ is isomorphic to the subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^3)$ that preserves both ℓ and P . \square

Lemma 4.14. *There is a unique smooth Fano threefold X with $\mathfrak{I}(X) = 4.6$. Moreover, one has*

$$\mathrm{Aut}^0(X) \cong \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k}).$$

Proof. The variety X can be described as a blow up of three disjoint lines ℓ_1, ℓ_2 , and ℓ_3 on \mathbb{P}^3 . Thus $\mathrm{Aut}^0(X) \cong \mathrm{Aut}^0(\mathbb{P}^3; \ell_1 \cup \ell_2 \cup \ell_3)$. Note that there is a unique quadric Q' passing through ℓ_1, ℓ_2, ℓ_3 , see for instance [Re88, Exercise 7.2]. Hence Q' is preserved by $\mathrm{Aut}^0(\mathbb{P}^3; \ell_1 \cup \ell_2 \cup \ell_3)$. Furthermore, the quadric Q' is smooth. Since the elements of $\mathrm{Aut}(Q')$ are linear, one has

$$\mathrm{Aut}^0(\mathbb{P}^3; \ell_1 \cup \ell_2 \cup \ell_3) \cong \mathrm{Aut}^0(Q'; \ell_1 \cup \ell_2 \cup \ell_3).$$

Since ℓ_i are disjoint, they are rulings of the same family of lines on $Q' \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. The assertion of the lemma follows from the facts that stabilizer of 3 points on \mathbb{P}^1 is finite and that $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})$ acts transitively on triples of distinct points on \mathbb{P}^1 . \square

Lemma 4.15. *Let X be a smooth Fano threefold with $\mathfrak{I}(X) = 4.9$. Then*

$$\mathrm{Aut}^0(X) \cong \mathrm{PGL}_{(2,2);1}(\mathbb{k}).$$

Proof. The threefold X is a blow up of a one-dimensional fiber of the morphism $\pi: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$, where π is a blow up of \mathbb{P}^3 along a disjoint union of two lines ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 . Therefore, $\mathrm{Aut}^0(X)$ is isomorphic to the subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^3)$ that preserves ℓ_1, ℓ_2 , and a point on one of these lines. \square

Lemma 4.16. *Let X be a smooth Fano threefold with $\mathfrak{I}(X) = 4.12$. Then*

$$\mathrm{Aut}^0(X) \cong (\mathbb{k}^+)^4 \times (\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{k}) \times \mathbb{k}^\times).$$

Proof. The threefold X is a blow up of two one-dimensional fibers of the morphism $\pi: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$, where π is a blow up of \mathbb{P}^3 along a line ℓ . Therefore, $\mathrm{Aut}^0(X)$ is isomorphic to the subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^3)$ that preserves ℓ and two points on ℓ . The quotient of the latter group by its unipotent radical is isomorphic to the image in $\mathrm{PGL}_4(\mathbb{k})$ of a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_4(\mathbb{k})$ that consists of block-diagonal matrices with blocks of sizes 2, 1, and 1. Now the assertion follows from Remark 2.10. \square

Lemma 4.17. *Let X be a smooth Fano threefold with $\mathfrak{I}(X) = 5.2$. Then*

$$\mathrm{Aut}^0(X) \cong \mathbb{k}^\times \times \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{k}).$$

Proof. The threefold X is a blow up of two one-dimensional fibers contained in the same irreducible component of the exceptional divisor of the morphism $\pi: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$, where π is a blow up of \mathbb{P}^3 along a disjoint union of two lines ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 . Therefore, $\mathrm{Aut}^0(X)$ is isomorphic to the subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^3)$ that preserves ℓ_1, ℓ_2 , and two points on one of these lines. The latter group is isomorphic to the image in $\mathrm{PGL}_4(\mathbb{k})$ of a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_4(\mathbb{k})$ that consists of block-diagonal matrices with blocks of sizes 2, 1, and 1. Now the assertion follows from Remark 2.10. \square

5. BLOW UPS OF THE QUADRIC THREEFOLD

In this section we consider smooth Fano threefolds X with

$$\mathfrak{J}(X) \in \{2.7, 2.13, 2.17, 2.23, 2.29, 2.30, 2.31, 3.10, 3.15, 3.18, 3.19, 3.20, 4.4, 5.1\}.$$

Let $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^4 = \mathbb{P}(V)$ be a smooth quadric and $F: V \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ be the corresponding (rank 5) quadratic form. We say that a quadratic form (defined on some linear space U) has rank k (or vanishes for $k = 0$) on $\mathbb{P}(U)$ if it has rank k on U .

Since the ample generator of $\text{Pic}(Q)$ defines an embedding $Q \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^4$, Lemma 2.1 immediately implies the following.

Corollary 5.1. *Let X be a smooth Fano threefold with*

$$\mathfrak{J}(X) \in \{2.7, 2.13, 2.17\}.$$

Then the group $\text{Aut}(X)$ is finite.

Proof. These varieties are blow ups of Q along smooth curves of positive genus that are not contained in a hyperplane section. \square

Lemma 5.2. *Let X be a smooth Fano threefold with $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 2.23$. Then the group $\text{Aut}(X)$ is finite.*

Proof. The threefold X is a blow up of Q along a curve Z that is an intersection of a hyperplane section H of Q with another quadric. One has $\text{Aut}^0(X) \cong \text{Aut}^0(Q; Z)$. There is an exact sequence of groups

$$1 \rightarrow \Gamma_H \rightarrow \text{Aut}(Q; Z) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(H; Z),$$

where Γ_H is the pointwise stabilizer of H in $\text{Aut}(Q; Z)$. Since Z is an elliptic curve, by Lemma 2.1 the group $\text{Aut}(H; Z)$ is finite. Furthermore, by Lemma 2.5 the group Γ_H is finite. Thus, the group $\text{Aut}(X)$ is finite as well. \square

Lemma 5.3. *Let X be a smooth Fano threefold with $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 2.30$ or $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 2.31$. Then the group $\text{Aut}^0(X)$ is isomorphic to $\text{PSO}_{5;1}(\mathbb{k})$ or $\text{PSO}_{5;2}(\mathbb{k})$, respectively.*

Proof. Note that the threefold X with $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 2.30$ can be described as a blow up of a point on the smooth three-dimensional quadric. The rest is straightforward. \square

To understand automorphism groups of more complicated blow ups of Q along conics and lines, we will need some elementary auxiliary facts.

Lemma 5.4. *Let $C = \Pi \cap Q$ be the conic on Q cut out by a plane Π and let ℓ_Π be the line orthogonal to Π with respect to F . Let F_Π and F_{ℓ_Π} be restrictions of F to the cones over Π and ℓ_Π respectively. One has $3 - \text{rk}(F_\Pi) = 2 - \text{rk}(F_{\ell_\Pi})$. In particular, $\ell_\Pi \subset Q$ if and only if C is a double line, ℓ_Π is tangent to Q if and only if C is reducible and reduced, and ℓ_Π intersects Q transversally if and only if C is smooth.*

Proof. The numbers on both sides of the equality are dimensions of kernels of F_Π and F_{ℓ_Π} respectively. Both of them are equal to $\dim(\Pi \cap \ell_\Pi) + 1$. \square

Lemma 5.5. *Let $C = \Pi \cap Q$ be the conic on Q cut out by a plane Π and let ℓ_Π be the line orthogonal to Π with respect to F . Let $\ell \subset Q$ be a line disjoint from C . Then*

- (i) *the lines ℓ and ℓ_Π are disjoint;*
- (ii) *if $L \cong \mathbb{P}^3$ is such that $\ell, \ell_\Pi \subset L$, then $L \cap Q$ is smooth.*

Proof. Suppose that ℓ and ℓ_Π are not disjoint. Let $\ell \cap \ell_\Pi = P$. Consider any point $P' \in C$ and the line $\ell_{P'}$ passing through P and P' . The quadratic form F vanishes on P and P' , and the corresponding vectors are orthogonal to each other with respect to F . This implies that F vanishes on $\ell_{P'}$, so that one has $\ell_{P'} \subset Q$. Thus, the cone T over C with the vertex P lies on Q . In particular, T lies in the tangent space to Q at P and, since the intersection of the tangent space with Q is two-dimensional, T is exactly the intersection. This means that ℓ lies on the cone and, thus, intersects C . The contradiction proves assertion (i).

Thus, the linear span L of ℓ and ℓ_Π is three-dimensional. Suppose that $L \cap Q$ is singular. Then the restriction of F to L is degenerate, so its kernel is nontrivial. This means that there exist a point P lying on Q , Π , and, thus, on C . It also lies on ℓ by Lemma 5.4: since ℓ lies on Q , its orthogonal plane (containing P) intersected with Q coincides with ℓ . Thus, C intersects ℓ , which gives a contradiction required for assertion (ii). \square

Lemma 5.6. *Let C_1 and C_2 be two disjoint smooth conics on Q . Let ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 be their orthogonal lines. Let L be the linear span of ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 . Then $L \cong \mathbb{P}^3$ and $Q \cap L$ is smooth.*

Proof. Let Π_1 and Π_2 be planes containing C_1 and C_2 . Then the orthogonal linear space to L is $\Pi_1 \cap \Pi_2$. However Π_1 and Π_2 intersect by a point, since otherwise the curves C_1 and C_2 intersect by points $\Pi_1 \cap \Pi_2 \cap Q$.

Suppose that $Q \cap L$ is singular. Then the rank of F restricted to L is not maximal. This means that there exist a point P lying in the kernel of the restricted form. One has $P \in Q$, $P \in \Pi_1$, $P \in \Pi_2$, so C_1 intersects C_2 . \square

Lemma 5.7. *Let $C \subset Q$ be a smooth conic. Then*

$$\text{Aut}(Q; C) \cong \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k}) \times \mathbb{k}^\times,$$

so that the factor $\text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})$ acts faithfully on C , while the factor \mathbb{k}^\times is the pointwise stabilizer of C in $\text{Aut}(Q)$.

Proof. Let $\Pi \cong \mathbb{P}^2$ be the linear span of C . By Lemma 5.4, the line ℓ orthogonal to C intersects Q by two points P_1 and P_2 . Since the automorphisms of Q are linear, they preserve Π and ℓ . Choose coordinates x_0, \dots, x_4 in \mathbb{P}^4 such that the plane Π is given by $x_0 = x_1 = 0$, the line ℓ is given by

$$x_2 = x_3 = x_4 = 0,$$

the points P_i are $P_1 = [1 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 0]$ and $P_2 = [0 : 1 : 0 : 0 : 0]$, and C is given by

$$x_0 = x_1 = x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 = 0.$$

Then Q is given by

$$x_0x_1 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 = 0.$$

One has

$$\text{Aut}^0(Q; C) = \text{Aut}^0(Q; C \cup P_1 \cup P_2).$$

The subgroup $\text{Aut}^0(Q; C \cup P_1 \cup P_2) \subset \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})$ is the image of the subgroup $\Gamma \cong \text{O}_3(\mathbb{k})$ in $\text{GL}_5(\mathbb{k})$ that consists of block-diagonal matrices with blocks of sizes 3, 1, and 1, where the 3×3 -block is an orthogonal matrix, and the entries in the 1×1 -blocks are inverses of each other. Since the only scalar matrices contained in Γ are just $\pm \text{Id}_{\text{GL}_5(\mathbb{k})}$, we see that a subgroup $\text{SO}_3(\mathbb{k}) \times \mathbb{k}^\times \subset \Gamma$ of index 2 maps isomorphically to the image of Γ in $\text{PGL}_5(\mathbb{k})$. Therefore, one has

$$\text{Aut}^0(Q; C) \cong \text{PSO}_3(\mathbb{k}) \times \mathbb{k}^\times \cong \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k}) \times \mathbb{k}^\times.$$

The remaining assertions of the lemma are obvious. \square

Lemma 5.8. *There is a unique smooth Fano threefold X with $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 2.29$. Moreover,*

$$\mathrm{Aut}^0(X) \cong \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k}) \times \mathbb{k}^\times.$$

Proof. The variety X is a blow up of Q along a smooth conic C . This means that $\mathrm{Aut}^0(X) \cong \mathrm{Aut}^0(Q; C)$, and the assertion follows from Lemma 5.7. \square

Lemma 5.9. *There is a unique variety X with $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 3.10$ and $\mathrm{Aut}^0(X) \cong (\mathbb{k}^\times)^2$. There is a one-dimensional family of varieties such that for any its element X one has $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 3.10$ and $\mathrm{Aut}^0(X) \cong \mathbb{k}^\times$. For any other smooth Fano threefold X with $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 3.10$ the group $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ is finite.*

Proof. The threefold X is a blow up of Q along two disjoint conics C_1 and C_2 . Thus one has $\mathrm{Aut}^0(X) \cong \mathrm{Aut}^0(Q; C_1 \cup C_2)$. By Lemma 5.4, the line orthogonal to C_i intersects Q by two points $P_1^{(i)}$ and $P_2^{(i)}$. Thus, $\mathrm{Aut}^0(Q; C_1 \cup C_2) = \mathrm{Aut}^0(Q; \cup P_j^{(i)})$. By Lemma 5.6, the linear span L of $\{P_j^{(i)}\}$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^3 , and the quadric $Q' = Q \cap L$ is smooth. Moreover, the points $P_1^{(i)}$ and $P_2^{(i)}$ cannot lie on the same ruling of $Q' \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ by Lemma 5.4. Let π_1 and π_2 be two projections of $Q' \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ on the factors. If $|\{\pi_1(P_j^{(i)})\}| \geq 3$ and $|\{\pi_2(P_j^{(i)})\}| \geq 3$, then $\mathrm{Aut}(Q'; \cup P_j^{(i)})$ is finite since stabilizer of 3 or more points on \mathbb{P}^1 is finite. Thus $\mathrm{Aut}^0(Q; C_1 \cup C_2) \cong \mathrm{Aut}^0(X)$ is finite by Lemma 2.5. Thus we can assume that $|\{\pi_1(P_j^{(i)})\}| = 2$. If $|\{\pi_2(P_j^{(i)})\}| = 2$, then $\mathrm{Aut}^0(Q'; \{P_j^{(i)}\}) \cong (\mathbb{k}^\times)^2$ and, since the automorphisms of Q' acts on Q' by elements of $\mathrm{PGL}_4(\mathbb{k})$, one has $\mathrm{Aut}^0(X) \cong (\mathbb{k}^\times)^2$. Since automorphisms of a quadric surface act transitively on the fourtuples of points of the type as above and since any two smooth hyperplane sections of a quadric threefold can be identified by an automorphism of the quadric, all varieties X with $\mathrm{Aut}^0(X) \cong (\mathbb{k}^\times)^2$ are isomorphic. Similarly, in the case $|\{\pi_2(P_j^{(i)})\}| \geq 3$ we get a one-dimensional family of varieties X with $\mathrm{Aut}^0(X) \cong \mathbb{k}^\times$. (For a general point of the family one has $|\{\pi_2(P_j^{(i)})\}| = 4$.) \square

Lemma 5.10. *Let X be a smooth Fano threefold with $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 3.15$. Then X is unique up to isomorphism and $\mathrm{Aut}^0(X) \cong \mathbb{k}^\times$.*

Proof. The threefold X is a blow up of Q along a disjoint union of a conic C and a line ℓ . One has $\mathrm{Aut}^0(X) \cong \mathrm{Aut}^0(Q; C \cup \ell)$. By Lemma 5.4, the line orthogonal to C intersects Q by two points P_1 and P_2 . Thus, $\mathrm{Aut}^0(Q; C \cup \ell) \cong \mathrm{Aut}^0(Q; \ell \cup P_1 \cup P_2)$. By Lemma 5.5, the linear span L of ℓ, P_1, P_2 is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^3 and a quadric $Q' = Q \cap L$ is smooth. By Lemma 2.5, we have $\mathrm{Aut}^0(Q; \ell \cup P_1 \cup P_2) \cong \mathrm{Aut}^0(Q'; \ell \cup P_1 \cup P_2)$. Let us notice that P_1 and P_2 lie on different rulings on $Q' \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, because otherwise the line passing through P_1 and P_2 lies on Q . The images of ℓ, P_1 , and P_2 under the projection on the base of the family of lines on Q' containing ℓ gives three points on \mathbb{P}^1 , so their stabilizer is finite. The projection of P_1 and P_2 on the base of the other family of lines gives two points on \mathbb{P}^1 and the stabilizer of the two points on \mathbb{P}^1 is \mathbb{k}^\times . The automorphisms of \mathbb{P}^1 preserving the two points are induced from automorphisms of Q' and Q . Thus $\mathrm{Aut}^0(X) \cong \mathbb{k}^\times$. Moreover, any two smooth hyperplane sections of a quadric threefold can be identified by an automorphism of the quadric. Finally, there is an automorphism of a smooth two-dimensional quadric sending any line and two points (which do not lie on the line) on different rulings to another line and two points (which do not lie on the line) on different rulings. This gives the remaining assertion of the lemma. \square

Lemma 5.11. *There is a unique smooth Fano threefold X with $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 3.18$. Moreover, one has*

$$\mathrm{Aut}^0(X) \cong \mathbb{B} \times \mathbb{k}^\times.$$

Proof. The variety X is a blow up of a point P on a quadric \tilde{Q} and a proper transform of a conic C passing through it. Thus $\text{Aut}^0(X)$ is a subgroup of automorphisms of Q preserving C and P , and the assertion follows from Lemma 5.7. \square

Remark 5.12. In [IP99, §12] another description of the smooth Fano threefold X with $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 3.18$ is given. Namely, X is described as a blow up of \mathbb{P}^3 along a disjoint union of a line and a conic. However these descriptions are equivalent. Indeed, after the blow up of the conic on \mathbb{P}^3 the proper transform $\tilde{\Pi} \cong \mathbb{P}^2$ of the plane Π containing the conic has normal bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-1)$, so the contraction of $\tilde{\Pi}$ gives a smooth quadric. The line on \mathbb{P}^3 becomes the conic passing through the point which is the image of $\tilde{\Pi}$.

Lemma 5.13. *There is a unique smooth Fano threefold X with $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 3.19$. Moreover, one has*

$$\text{Aut}^0(X) \cong \mathbb{k}^\times \times \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k}).$$

Proof. The variety X is a blow up of two different points P_1, P_2 on Q not contained in a line in Q . Thus one gets $\text{Aut}^0(X) \cong \text{Aut}^0(Q; P_1 \cup P_2)$. Since automorphisms of the quadric are linear, the line ℓ passing through P_1 and P_2 is preserved by the automorphisms, as well as its orthogonal plane Π and the conic $\Pi \cap Q$, which is smooth by Lemma 5.4. The assertion of the lemma follows from Lemma 5.7. \square

Lemma 5.14. *There is a unique variety X with $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 3.20$. One has*

$$\text{Aut}^0(X) \cong \mathbb{k}^\times \times \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k}).$$

Proof. The threefold X is a blow up of Q along two disjoint lines ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 . One has $\text{Aut}^0(X) \cong \text{Aut}^0(Q; \ell_1 \cup \ell_2)$. Let L be a linear span of $\ell_1 \cup \ell_2$. Then $L \cong \mathbb{P}^3$, and the quadric surface $Q' = L \cap Q$ is smooth. The lines ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 contain in the same family of lines on Q' . Thus by the fact that stabilizer of two points on \mathbb{P}^1 is \mathbb{k}^\times , surjectivity of a restriction from $\text{PGL}_4(\mathbb{k})$ to $\text{Aut}(Q')$ and Lemma 2.5 gives $\text{Aut}^0(X) \cong \mathbb{k}^\times \times \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})$. Uniqueness of X follows from the fact that any two smooth hyperplane sections of a quadric threefold can be identified by an automorphism of the quadric. \square

Lemma 5.15. *There is a unique smooth Fano threefold X with $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 4.4$. Moreover, one has*

$$\text{Aut}^0(X) \cong (\mathbb{k}^\times)^2.$$

Proof. The variety X is a blow up of two points P_1, P_2 on a quadric Q followed by the blow up of the proper transform of a conic C passing through them. Thus $\text{Aut}^0(X)$ is a subgroup of automorphisms of Q preserving C, P_1 , and P_2 . The assertion of the lemma follows from Lemma 5.7. \square

Lemma 5.16. *Let X be a smooth Fano threefold with $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 5.1$. Then*

$$\text{Aut}^0(X) \cong \mathbb{k}^\times.$$

Proof. The threefold X is a blow up of three one-dimensional fibers of the morphism $\pi: Y \rightarrow Q$, where π is a blow up of Q along a conic C . Therefore, $\text{Aut}^0(X)$ is isomorphic to the connected component of identity in the pointwise stabilizer of C in the group $\text{Aut}(Q)$. The rest is straightforward, cf. the proof of Lemma 5.7. \square

6. BLOW UPS OF THE QUINTIC DEL PEZZO THREEFOLD

In this section we consider smooth Fano threefolds X with

$$\mathfrak{I}(X) \in \{2.14, 2.20, 2.22, 2.26\}.$$

Let V_5 be the smooth section of the Grassmannian $\text{Gr}(2, 5) \subset \mathbb{P}^9$ by a linear subspace of dimension 6, that is, the smooth Fano threefold of Picard rank 1 and anticanonical degree 40. Then $\text{Pic}(V_5)$ is generated by an ample divisor H such that $-K_{V_5} \sim 2H$ and $H^3 = 5$. The linear system $|H|$ is base point free and gives an embedding $V_5 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^6$.

The automorphism group $\text{Aut}(V_5)$ is known to be isomorphic to $\text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})$, see, e. g., [Mu88, Proposition 4.4] or [CS15, Proposition 7.1.10]. Moreover, the threefold V_5 is a union of three $\text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})$ -orbits that can be described as follows (see [MU83, Lemma 1.5], [IP99, Remark 3.4.9], [San14, Proposition 2.13]). The unique one-dimensional orbit is a rational normal curve $\mathcal{C} \subset V_5$ of degree 6. The unique two-dimensional orbit is of the form $\mathcal{S} \setminus \mathcal{C}$, where \mathcal{S} is an irreducible surface in the linear system $|2H|$ whose singular locus consists of the curve \mathcal{C} .

Corollary 6.1. *Let P be a point in $V_5 \setminus \mathcal{S}$. Then the stabilizer of P in $\text{Aut}(V_5)$ is finite.*

Actually, one can show that the stabilizer of a point in $V_5 \setminus \mathcal{S}$ is isomorphic to the octahedral group, but we will not use this fact.

Lemma 6.2. *Let X be a smooth Fano threefold with $\mathfrak{I}(X) = 2.14$. Then $\text{Aut}(X)$ is finite.*

Proof. The threefold X is a blow up of V_5 along a complete intersection C of two surfaces in the linear system $|H|$. Then

$$\text{Aut}^0(X) \cong \text{Aut}^0(V_5; C).$$

Since C is a smooth elliptic curve, the group $\text{Aut}^0(V_5; C)$ must act trivially on it. On the other hand, it follows from [CS15, Lemma 7.2.3] that C is not contained in the surface \mathcal{S} , because $\deg(C) = 5$. Therefore, there exists a point $P \in C$ such that $P \notin \mathcal{S}$ and P is fixed by $\text{Aut}^0(X)$. Now, applying Corollary 6.1, we see that the group $\text{Aut}^0(V_5; C)$ is trivial, so that $\text{Aut}(X)$ is finite. \square

Remark 6.3. Let \mathfrak{H}_ℓ be the Hilbert scheme of lines on V_5 . There is a $\text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})$ -equivariant identification of \mathfrak{H}_ℓ with the plane \mathbb{P}^2 , see [San14, Proposition 2.20] (cf. [FN89, Theorem I]). This plane contains a unique $\text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})$ -invariant conic, which we denote by \mathfrak{C} . By [Il94, 1.2.1] and [IP99, Remark 3.4.9], the lines on V_5 that are contained in the surface \mathcal{S} are those that correspond to the points of the conic \mathfrak{C} , and they are exactly the tangent lines to the curve \mathcal{C} . Moreover, if C is a line in V_5 that is contained in the surface \mathcal{S} , then for its normal bundle one has

$$\mathcal{N}_{C/V_5} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)$$

by [San14, Proposition 2.27]. Likewise, if $C \not\subset \mathcal{S}$, then $\mathcal{N}_{L/V_5} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$.

Remark 6.4. Let C be either a line or an irreducible conic in V_5 , let $\pi: X \rightarrow V_5$ be a blow up of the curve C , and let E be the exceptional surface of the blow up π . Then the linear system $|\pi^*(H) - E|$ is base point free, because V_5 is a scheme-theoretic intersection of quadrics in \mathbb{P}^6 , and V_5 does not contain planes. Thus, the divisor $-K_X \sim \pi^*(2H) - E$ is ample.

Lemma 6.5. *Up to isomorphism, there are exactly two smooth Fano threefolds X with $\mathfrak{I}(X) = 2.26$. For one of them, we have*

$$\mathrm{Aut}^0(X) \cong \mathbb{k}^\times.$$

For another one, we have $\mathrm{Aut}^0(X) \cong \mathbb{B}$.

Proof. In this case, the threefold X is a blow up of V_5 along a line C ; moreover, by Remark 6.4 a blow up of an arbitrary line on V_5 is a smooth Fano variety. By Remark 6.3, the Hilbert scheme \mathfrak{H}_ℓ of lines on V_5 is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^2 , and by [KPS18, Lemma 4.2.1] the action of the group $\mathrm{Aut}(V_5) \cong \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})$ on \mathfrak{H}_ℓ is faithful. Therefore, we have

$$\mathrm{Aut}^0(X) \cong \mathrm{Aut}^0(V_5; C) \cong \Gamma,$$

where Γ is the stabilizer in $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})$ of the point $[C] \in \mathfrak{H}_\ell$. Furthermore, there are two $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})$ -orbits in \mathfrak{H}_ℓ : one is the conic \mathfrak{C} , and the other is $\mathfrak{H}_\ell \setminus \mathfrak{C}$. If $[C] \in \mathfrak{C}$, then $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{B}$; if $[C] \in \mathfrak{H}_\ell \setminus \mathfrak{C}$, then $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{k}^\times$. Thus, up to isomorphism we get two Fano threefolds X with $\mathfrak{I}(X) = 2.26$, with $\mathrm{Aut}^0(X)$ isomorphic to \mathbb{B} and \mathbb{k}^\times , respectively. \square

Remark 6.6. Let C be a line in the threefold V_5 , and let $\pi: X \rightarrow V_5$ be a blow of this line. By Remark 6.4, the threefold X is a smooth Fano threefold X with $\mathfrak{I}(X) = 2.26$. By Lemma 6.5, either $\mathrm{Aut}^0(X) \cong \mathbb{k}^\times$ or $\mathrm{Aut}^0(X) \cong \mathbb{B}$. One can show this without using the description of the Hilbert scheme of lines on V_5 . Indeed, it follows from [MM83, p. 117] or from [IP99, Proposition 3.4.1] that there exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & X & \\ \pi \swarrow & & \searrow \eta \\ V_5 & \overset{\phi}{\dashrightarrow} & Q \end{array}$$

where Q is a smooth quadric threefold in \mathbb{P}^4 , the rational map ϕ is given by the projection from the line C , and the morphism η is a blow-up of twisted cubic curve in Q , which we denote by C_3 . If C is not contained in the surface \mathcal{S} , then

$$E \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1.$$

Likewise, if C is contained in the surface \mathcal{S} , then $E \cong \mathbb{F}_2$. This follows from Remark 6.3. In both cases $\eta(E)$ is a hyperplane section of the quadric Q that passes through the curve C_3 (see [IP99, Proposition 3.4.1(iii)]). If C is not contained in the surface \mathcal{S} , then this hyperplane section is smooth. Otherwise, the surface $\eta(E)$ is a quadric cone, so that the induced morphism $E \rightarrow \eta(E)$ contracts the (-2) -curve of the surface $E \cong \mathbb{F}_2$ in this case. One has

$$\mathrm{Aut}(X) \cong \mathrm{Aut}(V_5; C) \cong \mathrm{Aut}(Q; C_3),$$

where the group $\mathrm{Aut}(Q; C_3)$ is easy to describe explicitly, since the pair (Q, C_3) is unique up to projective equivalence (in each of our cases). Indeed, fix homogeneous coordinates

$$[x : y : z : t : w]$$

on \mathbb{P}^4 . We may assume that $\eta(E)$ is cut out on Q by $w = 0$. Then we can identify C_3 with the image of the map

$$[\lambda : \mu] \mapsto [\lambda^3 : \lambda^2\mu : \lambda\mu^2 : \mu^3 : 0].$$

If $\eta(E)$ is smooth, then we may assume that Q is given by

$$(6.7) \quad xt - yz + w^2 = 0.$$

In this case, it follows from Lemma 2.5 and Corollary 2.7 that $\text{Aut}^0(Q; C_3) \cong \mathbb{k}^\times$. Here, the action of the group \mathbb{k}^\times is given by

$$\zeta: [x : y : z : t : w] \mapsto [x : \zeta^2 y : \zeta^4 z : \zeta^6 t : \zeta^3 w].$$

Similarly, if $\eta(E)$ is singular, one can show that $\text{Aut}^0(Q; C_3) \cong \mathbb{B}$.

For every line in V_5 , there exists a unique surface in $|H|$ that is singular along this line. This surface is spanned by the lines in V_5 that intersect this given line. More precisely, we have the following result.

Lemma 6.8. *Let S be a surface in $|H|$ that has non-isolated singularities. Then S is singular along some line C , and it is smooth away from C . If $C \subset \mathcal{S}$, then S does not contain irreducible curves of degree 3. Likewise, if $C \not\subset \mathcal{S}$, then S does not contain irreducible curves of degree 3 that intersect C . Moreover, in this case, the surface S contains a unique $\text{Aut}^0(V_5; C)$ -invariant irreducible cubic curve that is disjoint from C . Furthermore, this curve is a twisted cubic curve.*

Proof. If H is a general surface in $|H|$, then H is a smooth del Pezzo surface of degree 5, and

$$S|_H \in |-K_H|,$$

so that $S|_H$ is an irreducible singular curve of arithmetic genus 1, which implies that $S|_H$ has a unique singular point (an ordinary isolated double point or an ordinary cusp). This shows that S is singular along some line C , and S has isolated singularities away from this line.

Let us use the notations of Remark 6.6. Denote by \tilde{S} the proper transform of the surface S on the threefold X . Then \tilde{S} is the exceptional surface of the birational morphism η . In particular, the surface S is smooth away from the line C .

We have $\tilde{S} \cong \mathbb{F}_n$ for some non-negative integer n . If C is not contained in the surface \mathcal{S} , then $n = 1$. This follows from the proof of [CS15, Lemma 13.2.1]. Indeed, let \mathbf{s} be a curve in \mathbb{F}_n such that $\mathbf{s}^2 = -n$, and let \mathbf{f} be a general fiber of the natural projection $\xi: \mathbb{F}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. Then

$$-\tilde{S}|_{\tilde{\mathcal{S}}} \sim \mathbf{s} + k\mathbf{f}$$

for some integer k . Then

$$-7 = 2 + K_Q \cdot C_3 = \tilde{S}^3 = (\mathbf{s} + k\mathbf{f})^2 = -n + 2k,$$

which shows that $k = \frac{n-7}{2}$. Thus, if C is not contained in the surface \mathcal{S} , then $\eta(E)$ is a smooth surface, which implies that

$$E|_{\tilde{\mathcal{S}}} \sim \mathbf{s} + \frac{n-1}{2}\mathbf{f}$$

is a section of the natural projection $\tilde{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, which immediately shows that $n = 1$, since $0 \leq E|_{\tilde{\mathcal{S}}} \cdot \mathbf{s} = -\frac{n+1}{2}$ otherwise. Likewise, if C is contained in the surface \mathcal{S} , then $\eta(E)$ is a quadric cone, which implies that

$$E|_{\tilde{\mathcal{S}}} = Z + F,$$

where Z and F are irreducible curves in \tilde{S} such that Z is a section of the projection ξ , and F is a fiber of ξ over the singular point of the quadric cone $\eta(E)$. In this case, we have

$$Z \sim \mathbf{s} + \frac{n-3}{2}\mathbf{f},$$

so that $0 \leq Z \cdot \mathbf{s} = -\frac{n+3}{2}$ if $n \neq 3$. Hence, if $C \subset \mathcal{S}$, then $n = 3$.

Let M be an irreducible curve in S such that $M \neq C$, and let \widetilde{M} be the proper transform of the curve M on the threefold X . Then

$$\widetilde{M} \sim a\mathbf{s} + b\mathbf{f}$$

for some non-negative integers a and b . Moreover, we have $M \neq \mathbf{s}$, because $\mathbf{s} \subset E \cap \widetilde{S}$. In particular, we have

$$0 \leq \mathbf{s} \cdot \widetilde{M} = \mathbf{s} \cdot (a\mathbf{s} + b\mathbf{f}) = b - na,$$

so that $b \geq na$. Thus, if C is contained in the surface \mathcal{S} , then, since $n = 3$, we have

$$\deg(M) = \pi^*(H) \cdot \widetilde{M} = (\mathbf{s} + 4\mathbf{f}) \cdot \widetilde{M} = b + a \geq 4a,$$

which implies that $\deg(M) \neq 3$.

To complete the proof of the lemma, we may assume that M is an irreducible cubic curve that is not contained in the surface \mathcal{S} . We have to show that such curve M exists and it is unique. Almost as above, we have

$$3 = \deg(M) = \pi^*(H) \cdot \widetilde{M} = (\mathbf{s} + 3\mathbf{f}) \cdot \widetilde{M} = b + 2a \geq 3a,$$

so that $\widetilde{M} \sim \mathbf{s} + \mathbf{f}$. In particular, the curve M is disjoint from the curve C , since $E \cap \widetilde{S} = \mathbf{s}$.

Recall from Remark 6.6 that $\text{Aut}^0(X) \cong \text{Aut}^0(V_5; C) \cong \text{Aut}^0(Q; C_3) \cong \mathbb{k}^\times$ and

$$\text{Aut}(X) \cong \text{Aut}(V_5; C) \cong \text{Aut}(Q; C_3).$$

Thus, to complete the proof of the lemma, it is enough to show that the linear system $|\mathbf{s} + \mathbf{f}|$ contains unique irreducible $\text{Aut}^0(X)$ -invariant curve. To do this, observe that the group $\text{Aut}(Q; C_3)$ contains a slightly larger subgroup $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{k}^\times \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. On the quadric Q given by equation (6.7), the additional involution acts as

$$[x : y : z : t : w] \mapsto [t : z : y : x : w].$$

This group Γ also faithfully acts on the surface $\widetilde{S} \cong \mathbb{F}_1$.

We claim that the linear system $|\mathbf{s} + \mathbf{f}|$ contains a unique Γ -invariant curve (cf. the proof of [CS15, Lemma 13.2.1], where this is shown for the subgroup of the group Γ that is isomorphic to the group D_{10}). Indeed, let $\theta: \widetilde{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ be the contraction of the curve \mathbf{s} . Then θ defines a faithful action of Γ on \mathbb{P}^2 . It is easy to check that \mathbb{P}^2 has a unique Γ -invariant line. Denote this line by ℓ , and denote its proper transform on \widetilde{S} by $\widetilde{\ell}$. Then ℓ does not contain $\theta(\mathbf{s})$, so that

$$\widetilde{\ell} \sim \mathbf{s} + \mathbf{f}.$$

Thus, the curve $\widetilde{\ell}$ is the unique Γ -invariant curve in $|\mathbf{s} + \mathbf{f}|$.

By construction, the curve $\widetilde{\ell}$ is $\text{Aut}^0(X)$ -invariant curve in $|\mathbf{s} + \mathbf{f}|$. In fact, it is unique irreducible $\text{Aut}^0(X)$ -invariant curve in $|\mathbf{s} + \mathbf{f}|$. This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Corollary 6.9. *Let C be a twisted cubic curve in V_5 , let $\pi: X \rightarrow V_5$ be a blow up of the curve C , and let E be the exceptional surface of the blow up π . Then the linear system $|\pi^*(H) - E|$ is free from base points, and the divisor $-K_X$ is ample.*

Proof. It is enough to show that $|\pi^*(H) - E|$ is free from base points. Suppose that this is not the case. Then V_5 contains a line L such that either L is a secant of the curve C or the line L is tangent to C . This follows from the facts that V_5 is a scheme-theoretic intersection of quadrics and that V_5 does not contain quadric surfaces. Let S be the surface in $|H|$ that is singular along L . Then C is contained in S , which contradicts Lemma 6.8. \square

Lemma 6.10. *Up to isomorphism, there exists a unique smooth Fano threefold X with $\mathfrak{I}(X) = 2.20$ such that the group $\text{Aut}(X)$ is infinite. Moreover, in this case, one has $\text{Aut}^0(X) \cong \mathbb{k}^\times$.*

Proof. In this case, the threefold X is a blow up of V_5 along a twisted cubic curve. Denote this cubic by C . Then

$$\text{Aut}^0(X) \cong \text{Aut}^0(V_5; C).$$

Note that C is not contained in the surface \mathcal{S} by [CS15, Lemma 7.2.3].

It follows from Corollary 6.9 that there exists a commutative diagram

$$(6.11) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & X & \\ \pi \swarrow & & \searrow \eta \\ V_5 & \overset{\phi}{\dashrightarrow} & \mathbb{P}^2 \end{array}$$

such that ϕ is a linear projection from the twisted cubic C . The morphism η is a standard conic bundle that is given by the linear system $|\pi^*(H) - E|$. Simple computations imply that the discriminant of the conic bundle η is a curve of degree 3. Denote it by Δ . Then Δ has at most isolated ordinary double points by Remark 2.3.

Note that the diagram (6.11) is $\text{Aut}(X)$ -equivariant. Moreover, if the group $\text{Aut}^0(X)$ is not trivial, then it acts non-trivially on \mathbb{P}^2 in (6.11) because $\text{Aut}^0(X)$ acts non-trivially on the π -exceptional surface E , which follows from Corollary 6.1, since C is not contained in the surface \mathcal{S} .

Using Lemmas 2.1 and 2.4, we see that Δ must be reducible. Thus, we write $\Delta = \ell + M$, where M is a possibly reducible conic.

Let \tilde{S} be the surface in $|\pi^*(H) - E|$ such that $\eta(\tilde{S}) = \ell$, and let $S = \pi(\tilde{S})$. Then both \tilde{S} and S are non-normal by construction. Thus, it follows from Lemma 6.8 that S is singular along some line in V_5 . Denote this line by L . Then L is not contained in the surface \mathcal{S} by Lemma 6.8. Then $\text{Aut}^0(V_5; L) \cong \mathbb{k}^\times$ by Remark 6.6.

Since L must be $\text{Aut}^0(V_5; C)$ -invariant, we see that either $\text{Aut}^0(V_5; C)$ is trivial, or

$$\text{Aut}^0(V_5; C) \cong \mathbb{k}^\times.$$

In the later case, Lemma 6.8 also implies that C is the unique $\text{Aut}^0(V_5; C)$ -invariant twisted cubic curve contained in the surface S . This implies that, up to the action of $\text{Aut}(V_5)$, there exists a unique choice for C such that the group $\text{Aut}^0(V_5; C)$ is not trivial, and in this case, one has $\text{Aut}^0(V_5; C) \cong \mathbb{k}^\times$. In fact, Lemma 6.8 also implies that this case indeed exists. This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

We need the following fact about rational quartic curves in \mathbb{P}^3 .

Lemma 6.12. *Let C_4 be a smooth rational quartic curve in \mathbb{P}^3 . Then C_4 is contained in a unique quadric surface. Moreover, this quadric surface is smooth.*

Proof. Dimension count shows that C_4 is contained in a quadric surface, which we denote by S . Then this quadric surface is unique, since otherwise C_4 would be a complete intersection of two quadric surfaces in \mathbb{P}^3 , which is not the case.

Suppose that S is singular. Then S is an irreducible quadric cone. Let $\alpha: \mathbb{F}_2 \rightarrow S$ be the blow up of the vertex of the cone S , and let \tilde{C}_4 be the proper transform of the curve C_4 on the surface \mathbb{F}_2 . Denote by \mathbf{s} the (-2) -curve in \mathbb{F}_2 , and denote by \mathbf{f} the general fiber of the natural projection $\mathbb{F}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. Then

$$\tilde{C}_4 \sim as + bf$$

for some non-negative integers a and b . Then

$$b = (\mathbf{s} + 2\mathbf{f}) \cdot (a\mathbf{s} + b\mathbf{f}) = \deg(C_4) = 4,$$

since α is given by the linear system $|\mathbf{s} + 2\mathbf{f}|$. Keeping in mind that \tilde{C}_4 is a smooth rational curve, we deduce that $a = 1$. Then

$$\mathbf{s} \cdot \tilde{C}_4 = \mathbf{s} \cdot (\mathbf{s} + 4\mathbf{f}) = 2,$$

which implies that $C_4 = \alpha(\tilde{C}_4)$ is singular. This shows that S is a smooth quadric, since C_4 is smooth. \square

Let us conclude this section by proving the following result.

Lemma 6.13. *Up to isomorphism, there is a unique smooth Fano threefold X with $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 2.22$ such that $\text{Aut}(X)$ is infinite. Moreover, in this case, one has $\text{Aut}^0(X) \cong \mathbb{k}^\times$.*

Proof. In this case, the threefold X is a blow up of V_5 along a conic. Denote this conic by C . It easily follows from Remark 6.4 that there exists a commutative diagram

$$(6.14) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & X & \\ \pi \swarrow & & \searrow \eta \\ V_5 & \overset{\phi}{\dashrightarrow} & \mathbb{P}^3 \end{array}$$

where π is the blow up of the conic C , the morphism ϕ is a linear projection from the conic C , and the morphism η is a blow-up of a smooth rational quartic curve, which we denote by C_4 . Since (6.14) is $\text{Aut}(X)$ -equivariant, we see that

$$\text{Aut}(X) \cong \text{Aut}(V_5; C) \cong \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^3; C_4).$$

By Lemma 6.12, the curve C_4 is contained in a unique quadric surface, which we denote by S . This quadric surface is smooth again by Lemma 6.12. Thus, we have

$$S \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1,$$

and C_4 is a curve of bidegree $(1, 3)$. Note that $\text{Aut}^0(\mathbb{P}^3; C_4) \cong \text{Aut}^0(S; C_4)$ by Lemma 2.1. Thus, by Corollary 2.7, there exists a unique (up to the projective equivalence) choice for C_4 such that the group $\text{Aut}^0(\mathbb{P}^3; C_4)$ is not trivial. In this case, Corollary 2.7 also implies that $\text{Aut}^0(\mathbb{P}^3; C_4) \cong \mathbb{k}^\times$. This case indeed exists. For instance, one such smooth rational quartic curve C_4 is given by the parameterization

$$[u^4 : u^3v : uv^3 : v^4]$$

where $[u : v] \in \mathbb{P}^1$. In this case, the quadric S is given by $xt = yz$, where $[x : y : z : t]$ are homogeneous coordinates on \mathbb{P}^3 . Since C_4 is a scheme-theoretic intersection of cubic surfaces, the blow up of \mathbb{P}^3 at this quartic curve is indeed a Fano threefold, which can be obtained by blowing up V_5 at a conic. \square

7. BLOW UPS OF THE FLAG VARIETY

In this section we consider smooth Fano threefolds X with

$$\mathfrak{J}(X) \in \{2.32, 3.7, 3.13, 3.24, 4.7\}.$$

Recall that we denote the (unique) smooth Fano threefold with $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 2.32$ by W . This threefold is isomorphic to the flag variety $\text{Fl}(1, 2; 3)$ of complete flags in the three-dimensional vector space, and also to the projectivization of the tangent bundle on \mathbb{P}^2 or a smooth divisor of bi-degree $(1, 1)$ on $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$.

We start with a well-known observation which we will later use several times without reference.

Lemma 7.1. *One has $\text{Aut}^0(W) \cong \text{PGL}_3(\mathbb{k})$, and each of the two projections $W \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ induces an isomorphism*

$$\text{Aut}^0(W) \cong \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2) \cong \text{PGL}_3(\mathbb{k}).$$

Proof. Left to the reader. □

Lemma 7.2. *Let X be a smooth Fano threefold with $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 3.7$. Then the group $\text{Aut}(X)$ is finite.*

Proof. The threefold X is a blow up of the flag variety W along a smooth curve C which is an intersection of two divisors from $|-\frac{1}{2}K_W|$. By adjunction formula, C is an elliptic curve. We have $\text{Aut}^0(X) \subset \text{Aut}^0(W; C)$.

Let $\pi_1: W \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ and $\pi_2: W \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ be natural projections. Then both of them are $\text{Aut}^0(W)$ -equivariant. Let $C_1 = \pi_1(C)$ and $C_2 = \pi_2(C)$. Since the intersection of the fibers of each of the projections π_i with divisors from the linear system $|-\frac{1}{2}K_W|$ equals 1, we see that C_1 and C_2 are isomorphic to C . One has

$$\text{Aut}^0(W; C) \subset \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2; C_1) \times \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2; C_2).$$

On the other hand, both groups $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2; C_1)$ and $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2; C_2)$ are finite by Lemma 2.1. □

We will need the following simple auxiliary facts.

Lemma 7.3 ([PZ18, Lemma 6.2(a)]). *Let C_1 and C_2 be two irreducible conics in \mathbb{P}^2 . The following assertions hold.*

- (i) *If $|C_1 \cap C_2| = 1$, then $\text{Aut}^0(\mathbb{P}^2; C_1 \cup C_2) \cong \mathbb{k}^+$.*
- (ii) *If C_1 and C_2 are tangent to each other at two distinct points, then $\text{Aut}^0(\mathbb{P}^2; C_1 \cup C_2) \cong \mathbb{k}^\times$.*

Now we proceed to varieties X with $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 3.13$.

Lemma 7.4. *The following assertions hold.*

- *There is a unique smooth Fano threefold X with $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 3.13$ and*

$$\text{Aut}^0(X) \cong \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k}).$$

- *There is a unique smooth Fano threefold X with $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 3.13$ and $\text{Aut}^0(X) \cong \mathbb{k}^+$.*
- *For all other smooth Fano threefolds X with $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 3.13$, one has $\text{Aut}^0(X) \cong \mathbb{k}^\times$.*

Proof. A smooth Fano threefold X with $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 3.13$ is a blow up of the flag variety W along a curve C such that both natural projections π_1 and π_2 map C isomorphically to smooth conics C_i in the two copies of \mathbb{P}^2 . Let $S_1 = \pi_1^{-1}(C_1)$ and $S_2 = \pi_2^{-1}(C_2)$. One can check that $S_i \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, the intersection $S_1 \cap S_2$ is a curve of bidegree $(2, 2)$ on $S_1 \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, and C is its irreducible component of bidegree $(1, 1)$. One has

$$\text{Aut}^0(X) \cong \text{Aut}^0(W; C).$$

The curve C and the surfaces S_i are $\text{Aut}^0(W; C)$ -invariant. Moreover, the projections $\pi_i: W \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ are $\text{Aut}^0(W; C)$ -equivariant, and the conics C_i are invariant with respect to the arising action of $\text{Aut}^0(W; C)$ on \mathbb{P}^2 .

Note that the threefold W allows to identify one copy of \mathbb{P}^2 with the dual of the other. On the other hand, the conic C_1 provides an identification of $\mathbb{P}^2 \supset C_1$ with its dual. Under this identification, we may consider C_2 as a conic contained in the same projective plane \mathbb{P}^2 as the conic C_1 , so that both C_1 and C_2 are $\text{Aut}^0(W; C)$ -invariant with respect to the action of $\text{Aut}^0(W; C)$ on \mathbb{P}^2 . Moreover, we conclude that

$$\text{Aut}^0(W; C) \cong \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2; C_1 \cup C_2).$$

Keeping in mind that W is the flag variety $\text{Fl}(1, 2; 3)$, we can describe the curve $S_1 \cap S_2 \subset W$ as

$$S_1 \cap S_2 = \{(P, \ell) \in W \mid P \in C_1 \text{ and } \ell \text{ is tangent to } C_2\}.$$

The double covers $\pi_i: S_1 \cap S_2 \rightarrow C_i$ are branched exactly over the points of $C_1 \cap C_2$. If $S_1 \cap S_2$ is a reduced curve, then its arithmetic genus is equal to 1, and we conclude that for it to have an irreducible component of bidegree $(1, 1)$ on $S_1 \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, one of the following cases must occur: either $|C_1 \cap C_2| = 2$ and C_1 is tangent to C_2 at both points of their intersection, or $|C_1 \cap C_2| = 1$. If the intersection $S_1 \cap S_2$ is not reduced, then it is just the curve C taken with multiplicity 2, so that the conics C_1 and C_2 coincide. Recall that the conics C_i are irreducible in all of these cases.

Suppose that the conics C_1 and C_2 are tangent at two distinct points. (Note that up to isomorphism there is a one-dimensional family of such pairs of conics.) Then one gets $\text{Aut}^0(\mathbb{P}^2; C_1 \cup C_2) \cong \mathbb{k}^\times$ by Lemma 7.3(ii).

Suppose that the conics C_1 and C_2 are tangent with multiplicity 4 at a single point. (Note that up to isomorphism there is a unique pair of conics like this.) Then one gets $\text{Aut}^0(\mathbb{P}^2; C_1 \cup C_2) \cong \mathbb{k}^+$ by Lemma 7.3(i).

Finally, suppose that the conics C_1 and C_2 coincide. Then

$$\text{Aut}^0(\mathbb{P}^2; C_1 \cup C_2) \cong \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k}). \quad \square$$

Remark 7.5. The Fano threefold X with $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 3.13$ and $\text{Aut}^0(X) \cong \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})$ appeared in [Pr13, Example 2.4], cf. also [Nak89].

Lemma 7.6. *Let X be a smooth Fano threefold with $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 3.24$. Then one has $\text{Aut}^0(X) \cong \text{PGL}_{3;1}(\mathbb{k})$.*

Proof. The threefold X is a blow up of the flag variety W along a fiber of a projection $W \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$. The morphisms $X \rightarrow W$ and $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ are $\text{Aut}^0(X)$ -equivariant, which easily implies the assertion. \square

Lemma 7.7. *Let X be a smooth Fano threefold with $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 4.7$. Then*

$$\text{Aut}^0(X) \cong \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{k}).$$

Proof. The threefold X is a blow up of the flag variety W along a disjoint union of two fibers C_1 and C_2 of the projections $\pi_1, \pi_2: W \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$, respectively. Therefore, we have $\text{Aut}^0(X) \cong \text{Aut}^0(W; C_1 \cup C_2)$. Let $P = \pi_1(C_1)$ and $\ell = \pi_1(C_2)$, so that ℓ is a line on \mathbb{P}^2 . Note that $P \not\subset \ell$, since otherwise $C_1 \cap C_2 \neq \emptyset$. The $\text{Aut}^0(X)$ -equivariant map π_1 provides an isomorphism $\text{Aut}^0(W) \cong \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2)$. Under this isomorphism, the subgroup $\text{Aut}^0(W; C_1 \cup C_2)$ is mapped to a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2; \ell \cup P)$.

We claim that $\text{Aut}^0(X)$ is actually isomorphic to $\text{Aut}^0(\mathbb{P}^2; \ell \cup P)$. Indeed, let σ be an element of the latter group, and let $\hat{\sigma}$ be its (unique) preimage in $\text{Aut}^0(W)$. Then $\hat{\sigma}$ preserves the curve $C_1 = \pi_1^{-1}(P)$ and the surface $S = \pi_1^{-1}(\ell)$. Moreover, C_2 is the unique fiber of π_2 contained in S , and thus $\hat{\sigma}$ preserves C_2 as well.

We conclude that $\text{Aut}^0(X)$ is isomorphic to a stabilizer of a disjoint union of a point and a line on \mathbb{P}^2 . Now the assertion follows from Remark 2.10. \square

8. BLOW UPS AND DOUBLE COVERS OF DIRECT PRODUCTS

In this section we consider smooth Fano threefolds X with

$$\mathfrak{J}(X) \in \{2.2, 2.18, 3.1, 3.3, 3.4, 3.5, 3.8, 3.17, 3.21, 3.22, 4.1, 4.3, 4.5, 4.8, 4.11, 4.13\}.$$

Lemma 8.1. *Let $Y = \mathbb{P}^{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{P}^{n_k}$, and let $\theta: X \rightarrow Y$ be a smooth double cover of Y branched over a divisor of multidegree (d_1, \dots, d_k) . Suppose that $n_i + 1 \leq d_i \leq 2n_i$ for every i . Then the group $\text{Aut}(X)$ is finite.*

Proof. Let π_i be the projection of Y to the i -th factor, and let H_i be a hyperplane therein. Then the divisor class

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^k \pi_i^*(H_i)$$

defines the Segre embedding. On the other hand, the branch divisor Z is divisible by 2 in $\text{Pic}(Y)$, and thus is not contained in any effective divisor linearly equivalent to H . Moreover, by adjunction formula the canonical class of Z is numerically effective, so that Z is not (uni)ruled. Thus, Lemma 2.1 implies that the group $\text{Aut}(Y; Z)$ is finite. On the other hand, X is a Fano variety. The morphisms $\pi_i \circ \theta: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n_i}$ are extremal contractions, and so they are $\text{Aut}^0(X)$ -equivariant. This implies that θ is $\text{Aut}^0(X)$ -equivariant as well, so that $\text{Aut}^0(X)$ is a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(Y; Z)$. \square

Corollary 8.2. *Let X be a smooth Fano threefold with $\mathfrak{J}(X) \in \{2.2, 3.1\}$. Then the group $\text{Aut}(X)$ is finite.*

Proof. A smooth Fano threefold with $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 2.2$ is a double cover of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ with branch divisor of bidegree $(2, 4)$. A smooth Fano threefold with $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 3.1$ is a double cover of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ with branch divisor of tridegree $(2, 2, 2)$. Therefore, the assertion follows from Lemma 8.1. \square

Lemma 8.3. *Let X be a smooth Fano threefold with $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 2.18$. Then the group $\text{Aut}(X)$ is finite.*

Proof. The variety X is a double cover of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ branched over a divisor Z of bidegree $(2, 2)$. The natural morphisms from X to \mathbb{P}^1 and \mathbb{P}^2 are extremal contractions, which implies that the double cover $\theta: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ is $\text{Aut}^0(X)$ -equivariant. Thus $\text{Aut}^0(X)$ is a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2; Z)$. By Lemma 2.1 the action of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2; Z)$ on Z is faithful. Considering the projection of Z to \mathbb{P}^2 , we see that Z is a double cover of \mathbb{P}^2 branched over a quartic, that is, a (smooth) del Pezzo surface of degree 2. Therefore, by Theorem 2.2 the automorphism group of Z is finite, and the assertion follows. \square

Corollary 8.4. *Let X be a smooth Fano threefold with $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 3.4$. Then the group $\text{Aut}(X)$ is finite.*

Proof. The variety X is a blow up of a smooth Fano variety Y with $\mathfrak{J}(Y) = 2.18$. Thus the assertion follows from Lemma 8.3. \square

Lemma 8.5. *Let X be a smooth Fano threefold with $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 3.3$. Then the group $\text{Aut}(X)$ is finite.*

Proof. The variety X is a divisor of tridegree $(1, 1, 2)$ in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$. A natural projection $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ is $\text{Aut}^0(X)$ -equivariant. One can check that π is a blow up of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ along a smooth curve C that is a complete intersection of two divisors of bidegree $(1, 2)$. This implies that $\text{Aut}^0(X)$ is a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2; C)$. Since C is not contained in any effective divisor of bidegree $(1, 1)$, the action of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2; C)$ on C is faithful by Lemma 2.1. On the other hand, we see from adjunction formula that C has genus 3. Therefore, the automorphism group of C is finite, and the assertion follows. \square

The following fact was explained to us by A. Kuznetsov.

Lemma 8.6. *Let X be a Fano threefold with $\mathfrak{I}(X) = 3.8$. Then X is a blow up of an intersection of divisors of bidegrees $(0, 2)$ and $(1, 2)$ on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$.*

Proof. The variety X can be described as follows. Let $g: \mathbb{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ be a blow up of a point and let p_1 and p_2 be two natural projections of $\mathbb{F}_1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ to \mathbb{F}_1 and \mathbb{P}^2 respectively. Then X is a divisor from the linear section $|p_1^*g^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_1}(1) \otimes p_2^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2)|$.

Let us reformulate this description. Let $Y = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ and let a and b be pull backs of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)$, respectively. One has

$$\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{F}_1 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \cong \mathbb{P}_Y \left(\mathcal{O}_Y \oplus \mathcal{O}_Y(-a) \right).$$

Let $h \in |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)|$. Then X is a divisor from the linear system $|h + 2b|$.

For any rank 2 vector bundle E over a smooth variety M if $\phi: \mathbb{P}_M(E) \rightarrow M$ is a natural projection and if $V \in |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_M(E)}(1)|$, then the natural birational map $V \rightarrow M$ is a blow up of $Z = \{s = 0\}$, where

$$s \in H^0 \left(M, E^* \right) \cong H^0 \left(\mathbb{P}_M(E), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_M(E)}(1) \right).$$

This means that if $\pi: \mathbb{P} \rightarrow Y$ is a projection, then $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(h)$ is dual to $\mathcal{O}_Y \oplus \mathcal{O}_Y(-a)$. The variety X is a blow up of a section of

$$\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(h + 2b) \cong \left(\mathcal{O}_Y \oplus \mathcal{O}_Y(a) \right) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(2b) \cong \mathcal{O}_Y(2b) \oplus \mathcal{O}_Y(a + 2b).$$

In other words, the threefold X is a blow up of an intersection of divisors of bidegrees $(0, 2)$ and $(1, 2)$ on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$. \square

Lemma 8.7. *Let $1 \leq n$ and $1 \leq m \leq 2$ be integers. Let \mathcal{C} be the family of smooth curves C of bidegree (n, m) on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ which project isomorphically to \mathbb{P}^2 (so that the projection of C to \mathbb{P}^1 is an n -to-1 cover, and the image of C under the projection to \mathbb{P}^2 is a curve of degree m). Then up to the action of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2)$ the family of curves in \mathcal{C} has dimension 0 if $1 \leq n \leq 2$, and dimension $2n - 5$ if $n \geq 3$. Furthermore, up to the action of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2)$ there is a unique curve C_0 in this family such that $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2; C_0)$ is infinite. One has*

- $\text{Aut}^0(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2; C_0) \cong (\mathbb{k}^+)^2 \rtimes (\mathbb{k}^\times)^2$ if $m = 1$ and $n \geq 2$;
- $\text{Aut}^0(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2; C_0) \cong \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})$ if $m = 2$ and $n = 1$;
- $\text{Aut}^0(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2; C_0) \cong \mathbb{k}^\times$ if $m = 2$ and $n \geq 2$.

Proof. Choose a curve C from \mathcal{C} . Let $\pi: \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ be the natural projection, so that $\pi(C)$ is a line if $m = 1$ and a smooth conic if $m = 2$. Let $S = \pi^{-1}(\pi(C))$. One has $S \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, and C is a curve of bidegree $(n, 1)$ on S . Furthermore, the surface S is $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2; C)$ -invariant. Obviously, the action of the group $\text{Aut}^0(S; C)$ on S comes from the restriction of the action of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2; C)$. Therefore, the assertions concerning the number of parameters follow from Corollary 2.7 and Lemma 2.8.

If $m = 2$, then the action of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2; C)$ on S is faithful by Lemma 2.1, so that $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2; C) \cong \text{Aut}(S; C)$. Hence the assertions of the lemma follow from Corollary 2.7 and Remark 2.9 in this case.

Now we assume that $m = 1$ and $n \geq 2$. Then one has

$$\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2; C) \cong \Gamma \rtimes \text{Aut}(S; C),$$

where Γ is the pointwise stabilizer of S in $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2)$. On the other hand, Γ is isomorphic to the pointwise stabilizer of the line $\pi(S)$ on \mathbb{P}^2 , so that

$$\Gamma \cong (\mathbb{k}^+)^2 \rtimes \mathbb{k}^\times.$$

Therefore, the assertion of the lemma follows from Corollary 2.7 and Remark 2.9 in this case as well. \square

Corollary 8.8. *Smooth Fano threefolds X with $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 3.5, 3.8, 3.17$, and 3.21 up to isomorphism form a family of dimension 5, 3, 0, and 0, respectively. In each of these families, there is a unique variety X_0 with infinite automorphism group. For $\mathfrak{J}(X_0) = 3.17$, one has $\text{Aut}^0(X_0) \cong \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})$. For $\mathfrak{J}(X_0) = 3.5$ and 3.8, one has $\text{Aut}^0(X_0) \cong \mathbb{k}^\times$. For $\mathfrak{J}(X_0) = 3.21$, one has*

$$\text{Aut}^0(X_0) \cong (\mathbb{k}^+)^2 \rtimes (\mathbb{k}^\times)^2.$$

Proof. A variety X with $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 3.5$ is a blow up of a curve C of bidegree $(5, 2)$ on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$. A variety X with $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 3.8$ is a blow up of a curve C of bidegree $(4, 2)$ on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ by Lemma 8.6. A variety X with $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 3.17$ is a blow up of a curve C of bidegree $(1, 2)$ on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$. A variety X with $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 3.21$ is a blow up of a curve C of bidegree $(2, 1)$ on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$. We conclude that $\text{Aut}^0(X) \cong \text{Aut}^0(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2; C)$. Therefore, everything follows from Lemma 8.7. \square

Remark 8.9 (cf. Lemma 7.6). One can use an argument similar to the proof of Lemma 8.7 to show that there is a unique smooth Fano threefold X with $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 3.24$, and one has $\text{Aut}^0(X) \cong \text{PGL}_{3,1}(\mathbb{k})$. Indeed, such a variety can be obtained as a blow up of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ along a curve of bidegree $(1, 1)$.

Remark 8.10. In [Su14, Theorem 1.1], it is claimed that there exists a smooth Fano threefold X with $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 3.8$ that admits a faithful action of $(\mathbb{k}^\times)^2$. Actually, this is not the case: the two-dimensional torus cannot faithfully act on this Fano threefold by Corollary 8.8. This also follows from the fact that every smooth Fano threefold in this family admits a fibration into del Pezzo surfaces of degree 5, which is given by the projection $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ in Lemma 8.6. These threefolds can be obtained by a blow up of a divisor of bi-degree $(1, 2)$ in $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ along a smooth conic. By Lemma 8.6, this conic is mapped to a conic in \mathbb{P}^2 by a projection to the second factor. In [Su14], the description of smooth Fano threefolds X with $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 3.8$ uses different conic: that is mapped to point in \mathbb{P}^2 by this projection. The blow up of such a *wrong* conic results in a weak Fano threefold that is not a Fano threefold. Therefore, we still do not know whether there exists a smooth Fano threefolds X with $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 3.8$ that admits a nontrivial Kähler–Ricci soliton or not as stated by [IS17, Theorem 6.2].

Similarly to Lemma 8.7, one can prove the following.

Lemma 8.11. *Let n be a positive integer. Let \mathcal{C} be the family of smooth curves C of tridegree $(1, 1, n)$ on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Then up to the action of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1)$ the family of curves in \mathcal{C} has dimension 0 if $1 \leq n \leq 2$, and dimension $2n - 5$ if $n \geq 3$. Furthermore, up to the action of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1)$ there is a unique curve C_0 in this family such that $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1; C_0)$ is infinite. One has*

- $\text{Aut}^0(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1; C_0) \cong \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})$ if $n = 1$;
- $\text{Aut}^0(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1; C_0) \cong \mathbb{k}^\times$ if $n \geq 2$.

Proof. Left to the reader. \square

Corollary 8.12. *Smooth Fano threefolds X with $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 4.3$ and 4.13, up to isomorphism form a family of dimension 0 and 1, respectively. In both cases, there is a unique variety X_0 with infinite automorphism group. In both cases one has $\text{Aut}^0(X_0) \cong \mathbb{k}^\times$.*

Proof. A variety X with $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 4.3$ and 4.13 is a blow up of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ along a curve C of tridegree $(1, 1, 2)$ and $(1, 1, 3)$, respectively. We conclude that

$$\text{Aut}^0(X) \cong \text{Aut}^0(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1; C).$$

Therefore, everything follows from Lemma 8.11. \square

Remark 8.13 (cf. Lemma 4.14). One can use Lemma 8.11 to prove that there is a unique smooth Fano threefold X with $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 4.6$, and one has $\text{Aut}^0(X) \cong \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})$. Indeed, such a variety can be obtained as a blow up of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ along a curve of tridegree $(1, 1, 1)$.

Lemma 8.14. *Let X be a smooth Fano threefold with $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 3.22$. Then*

$$\text{Aut}^0(X) \cong \text{B} \times \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k}).$$

Proof. The threefold X is a blow up $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ along a conic Z in a fiber of a projection $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. The morphisms $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ and $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ are $\text{Aut}^0(X)$ -equivariant. Thus the assertion can be deduced from Lemma 3.1. \square

Lemma 8.15. *Let X be a smooth Fano threefold with $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 4.1$. Then the group $\text{Aut}(X)$ is finite.*

Proof. The threefold X is a divisor of multidegree $(1, 1, 1, 1)$ in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Taking a projection $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, we see that X is a blow up of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ along a smooth curve C that is an intersection of two divisors of tridegree $(1, 1, 1)$. Thus, one has

$$\text{Aut}^0(X) \cong \text{Aut}^0(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1; C).$$

By adjunction formula, C is an elliptic curve. Consider the projections

$$\pi_i: \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

Then each π_i is $\text{Aut}^0(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1; C)$ -equivariant, and the restriction of π_i to C is a double cover $C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. The group $\text{Aut}^0(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1; C)$ is non-trivial if and only if its action on one of the \mathbb{P}^1 's is non-trivial. However, the latter must preserve the set of four branch points of the double cover, which implies that the group $\text{Aut}^0(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1; C)$ is trivial. \square

Lemma 8.16. *Let X be a smooth Fano threefold with $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 4.5$. Then*

$$\text{Aut}^0(X) \cong (\mathbb{k}^\times)^2.$$

Proof. The threefold X is a blow up $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ along a disjoint union of smooth curves Z_1 and Z_2 of bidegrees $(2, 1)$ and $(1, 0)$, respectively. One has

$$\text{Aut}^0(X) \cong \text{Aut}^0(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2; Z_1 \cup Z_2).$$

By Lemma 8.7 one has

$$\text{Aut}^0(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2; Z_1) \cong (\mathbb{k}^+)^2 \rtimes (\mathbb{k}^\times)^2,$$

where the subgroup $\text{Aut}^0(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2; Z_1) \subset \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2)$ acts as a stabilizer of two points in \mathbb{P}^2 , namely, the images P_1 and P_2 under the projection $\pi_2: \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ of the ramification points of the double cover $Z_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ given by the projection $\pi_1: \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$.

Consider the action of $\text{Aut}^0(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2)$ on \mathbb{P}^2 defined via the $\text{Aut}^0(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2)$ -equivariant projection π_2 . It is easy to see that $\text{Aut}^0(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2; Z_1 \cup Z_2)$ is the subgroup in $\text{Aut}^0(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2; Z_1)$ that consists of all elements preserving the point $\pi_2(Z_2)$ on \mathbb{P}^2 . Note that $\pi_2(Z_1)$ is the line passing through the points P_1 and P_2 ; the point $\pi_2(Z_2)$ is not contained in this line, since otherwise one would have $Z_1 \cap Z_2 \neq \emptyset$. Therefore, $\text{Aut}^0(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2; Z_1 \cup Z_2)$ acts on \mathbb{P}^2 preserving three points P_1 , P_2 , and $\pi_2(Z_2)$ in general position, so that

$$\text{Aut}^0(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2; Z_1 \cup Z_2) \cong (\mathbb{k}^\times)^2. \quad \square$$

Lemma 8.17. *Let X be a smooth Fano threefold with $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 4.8$. Then*

$$\text{Aut}^0(X) \cong \mathbb{B} \times \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k}).$$

Proof. The threefold X is a blow up $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ along a curve Z of bidegree $(1, 1)$ in a fiber of a projection $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. The morphisms $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ and $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ are $\text{Aut}^0(X)$ -equivariant. Thus the assertion can be deduced from Remark 2.9 and Lemma 3.1. \square

Lemma 8.18. *Let X be a smooth Fano threefold with $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 4.11$. Then*

$$\text{Aut}^0(X) \cong \mathbb{B} \times \text{PGL}_{3;1}(\mathbb{k}).$$

Proof. The threefold X is a blow up $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{F}_1$ along a (-1) -curve Z in a fiber of a projection $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. The morphisms $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ and $X \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_1$ are $\text{Aut}^0(X)$ -equivariant. Moreover, the (-1) -curve on \mathbb{F}_1 is unique, and hence is invariant with respect to the whole group $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{F}_1)$. Thus the assertion can be deduced from Theorem 2.2 and Lemma 3.1. \square

9. BLOW UP OF A QUADRIC ALONG A TWISTED QUARTIC

To deal with the case $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 2.21$, we need some auxiliary information about representations of the group $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{k})$.

Lemma 9.1. *Let U_4 be the (unique) irreducible five-dimensional representation of the group $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{k})$ (or $\text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})$), and let U_0 be its (one-dimensional) trivial representation. Consider the projective space $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}(U_0 \oplus U_4) \cong \mathbb{P}^5$, and for any point $R \in \mathbb{P}$ denote by Γ_R^0 the connected component of identity in the stabilizer of R in $\text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})$. The following assertions hold.*

- *There is a unique point $Q_0 \in \mathbb{P}$ such that $\Gamma_{Q_0}^0 = \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})$.*
- *Up to the action of $\text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})$, there is a unique point $Q_a \in \mathbb{P}$ such that $\Gamma_{Q_a}^0 \cong \mathbb{k}^+$.*
- *Up to the action of $\text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})$, there is a unique point $Q_B \in \mathbb{P}$ such that $\Gamma_{Q_B}^0 \cong \mathbb{B}$.*
- *Up to the action of $\text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})$, there is a one-dimensional family of points $Q_m^\xi \in \mathbb{P}$ parameterized by an open subset of \mathbb{k} , and an isolated point $Q_m^{3,1} \in \mathbb{P}$, such that*

$$\Gamma_{Q_m^\xi}^0 \cong \Gamma_{Q_m^{3,1}}^0 \cong \mathbb{k}^\times.$$

- *The point Q_0 is contained in the closure of the $\text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})$ -orbits of the points Q_a and Q_B , and also in the closure of the family Q_m^ξ .*

Proof. There is a $\text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})$ -equivariant (set-theoretical) identification of \mathbb{P} with a disjoint union $U_4 \sqcup \mathbb{P}(U_4)$. Thus, we have to find the points with infinite stabilizers in U_4 and $\mathbb{P}(U_4)$.

The representation U_4 can be identified with the space of homogeneous polynomials of degree 4 in two variables u and v , where the action of $\text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})$ comes from the natural action of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{k})$. Obviously, the point $Q_0 = 0$ is the only one with stabilizer $\text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})$. The

point Q_a can be chosen from the $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})$ -orbit of the polynomial u^4 , and the points Q_m^ξ can be chosen as $\xi^{-1}u^2v^2$.

Now consider the projectivization $\mathbb{P}(U_4)$. The point Q_B can be chosen as the class of the polynomial u^4 . Furthermore, up to the action of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})$ there are exactly two points $Q_m^{3,1}$ and $Q_m^{2,2}$ in $\mathbb{P}^4(U)$ such that the connected component of identity of their stabilizer is isomorphic to \mathbb{k}^\times . These points can be chosen as classes of the polynomials u^3v and u^2v^2 , respectively. Obviously, the point $Q_m^{2,2}$ is the limit of the points Q_m^ξ for $\xi \rightarrow 0$ (while Q_0 is the limit for $\xi \rightarrow \infty$).

Finally, we note that $Q_m^{3,1}$ is not contained in the closure of the family Q_m^ξ (and is not contained in the union of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})$ -orbits of the corresponding points), because a polynomial in u and v with a simple root cannot be a limit of polynomials having only multiple roots. \square

Lemma 9.2. *The following assertions hold.*

- *There exists a unique smooth Fano threefold X with $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 2.21$ and*

$$\mathrm{Aut}^0(X) \cong \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k}).$$

- *There is a unique smooth Fano threefold X with $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 2.21$ and $\mathrm{Aut}^0(X) \cong \mathbb{k}^+$.*
- *There is a one-parameter family of smooth Fano threefolds X with $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 2.21$ and $\mathrm{Aut}^0(X) \cong \mathbb{k}^\times$.*
- *For all other smooth Fano threefolds X with $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 2.21$, the group $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ is finite.*

Proof. The threefold X is a blow up of Q along a twisted quartic Z . Similarly to Lemma 4.3, we conclude that $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ is the stabilizer of the quadric Q in the subgroup $\Gamma \cong \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})$ of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^4)$ that acts naturally on Z .

Let U_1 be a two-dimensional vector space such that $Z \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ is identified with $\mathbb{P}(U_1)$. Then U_1 has a natural structure of an $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{k})$ -representation which induces the action of Γ on Z . The projective space \mathbb{P}^4 is identified with the projectivization of the $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{k})$ -representation $\mathrm{Sym}^4(U_1)$, and the linear system \mathcal{Q} of quadrics in \mathbb{P}^4 passing through Z is identified with the projectivization of some $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{k})$ -invariant six-dimensional vector subspace U in $\mathrm{Sym}^2(\mathrm{Sym}^4(U_1))$. By [FH91, Exercise 11.31], the latter $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{k})$ -representation splits into irreducible summands

$$\mathrm{Sym}^2(\mathrm{Sym}^4(U_1)) \cong U_0 \oplus U_4 \oplus U_8,$$

where U_i is the (unique) irreducible $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{k})$ -representation of dimension $i + 1$. Therefore, one has $U \cong U_0 \oplus U_4$.

Let Q_0 be the quadric that corresponds to the trivial $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{k})$ -subrepresentation $U_0 \subset U$. Then Q_0 is $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})$ -invariant, and $\mathrm{Aut}(Q_0; Z) \cong \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})$. We observe that the quadric Q_0 is smooth. Indeed, suppose that it is singular. If it is a cone (whose vertex is either a point or a line), then its vertex gives an $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{k})$ -subrepresentation in $\mathrm{Sym}^4(U_1) \cong U_4$. Since U_4 is an irreducible $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{k})$ -representation, we obtain a contradiction. Similarly, we see that Q_0 cannot be reducible or non-reduced. We conclude that there exists a unique smooth Fano threefold X_0 with $\mathfrak{J}(X_0) = 2.21$ and $\mathrm{Aut}^0(X_0) \cong \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})$.

Now we use the results of Lemma 9.1. They imply all the required assertions provided that we check smoothness (or non-smoothness) of the corresponding varieties. For the threefold X with $\mathrm{Aut}^0(X) \cong \mathbb{k}^+$, and for a general threefold X with $\mathrm{Aut}^0(X) \cong \mathbb{k}^\times$, smoothness follows from the presence of a smooth variety X_0 in the closure of the corresponding family.

It remains to notice that the quadrics Q_B and $Q_m^{3,1}$ are singular. Indeed, one can choose homogeneous coordinates $[x : y : z : t : w]$ on \mathbb{P}^4 such that the group \mathbb{k}^\times acts on \mathbb{P}^4 by

$$(9.3) \quad \zeta : [x : y : z : t : w] \mapsto [x : \zeta y : \zeta^2 z : \zeta^3 t : \zeta^4 w],$$

so that the quadrics Q_B and $Q_m^{3,1}$ are defined by equations $y^2 = xz$ and $xt = yz$, respectively. \square

Remark 9.4. There is an easy geometric way to construct the singular B-invariant quadric Q_B that contains the twisted quartic Z . Indeed, the group B has a fixed point P on Z . A projection from P maps \mathbb{P}^4 to a projective space \mathbb{P}^3 with an action of B, and maps Z to a B-invariant twisted cubic Z' in \mathbb{P}^3 . Furthermore, there is a B-fixed point P' on Z' . A projection from P' maps \mathbb{P}^3 to a projective plane \mathbb{P}^2 with an action of B, and maps Z' to a B-invariant conic in \mathbb{P}^2 . Taking a cone over this conic with vertex at P' , we obtain a B-invariant quadric surface in \mathbb{P}^3 passing through Z' . Taking a cone over the latter quadric with vertex at P , we obtain a B-invariant quadric Q_B in \mathbb{P}^4 passing through Z . Note that this quadric is singular, and thus it is different from Q_0 . By Lemma 9.1 every B-invariant quadric passing through Z coincides either with Q_0 or with Q_B . This implies that there does not exist a smooth Fano threefold X with $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 2.21$ and $\text{Aut}^0(X) \cong B$.

Remark 9.5. The Fano threefold X with $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 2.21$ and $\text{Aut}^0(X) \cong \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})$ appeared in [Pr13, Example 2.3].

Smooth Fano threefolds with $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 2.21$ such that $\text{Aut}^0(X) \cong \mathbb{k}^\times$ can be described very explicitly. Namely, each such threefold X is a blow up of the smooth quadric threefold Q_λ in \mathbb{P}^4 that is given by

$$(9.6) \quad z^2 = \lambda xw + (1 - \lambda)yt$$

along the twisted quartic curve Z that is given by the parameterization

$$[u^4 : u^3v : u^2v^2 : uv^3 : v^4]$$

where $[u : v] \in \mathbb{P}^1$. Here $[x : y : z : t : w]$ are homogeneous coordinates on \mathbb{P}^4 , the group \mathbb{k}^\times acts on \mathbb{P}^4 as in (9.3), and $\lambda \in \mathbb{k}$ such that $\lambda \neq 0$ and $\lambda \neq 1$. Note that $\text{Aut}(Q_\lambda; Z)$ also contains an additional involution

$$\iota : [x : y : z : t : w] \mapsto [w : t : z : y : x].$$

Together with \mathbb{k}^\times , they generate the subgroup $\mathbb{k}^\times \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. The action of this group lifts to X . Observe that there exists an $\text{Aut}(Q_\lambda; Z)$ -commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & X & \\ \pi' \swarrow & & \searrow \pi \\ Q_\lambda & \overset{\phi}{\dashrightarrow} & Q_{\lambda'} \end{array}$$

such that π is a blow up of Q_λ along the curve Z , the morphism π' is a blow up of some smooth quadric $Q_{\lambda'}$ along the curve Z , and ϕ is a birational map given by the linear system of quadrics passing through Z . In fact, it follows from [CS18, Remark 2.13] that $\lambda = \lambda'$ and ϕ can be chosen to be an involution. In the case when we have $\text{Aut}^0(Q_\lambda; Z) \cong \text{Aut}^0(X) \cong \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})$, this follows from [Pr13, Example 2.3].

Remark 9.7. It was pointed out to us by A. Kuznetsov that in the above notation, for the threefold $X_{-1/3}$ corresponding to $\lambda = -1/3$ one has $\text{Aut}^0(X_{-1/3}) \cong \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})$. To check this it is enough to write down the condition that the quadric (9.6) is invariant with respect to generators of the Lie algebra of the group $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{k})$.

10. DIVISOR OF BIDEGREE (1, 2) ON $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$

In this section, we consider smooth Fano threefolds X with $\mathfrak{I}(X) = 2.24$. All of them are divisors of bidegree (1, 2) on $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$.

Lemma 10.1. *Let C and ℓ be a conic and a line on \mathbb{P}^2 , respectively. Suppose that C and ℓ intersect transversally (at two distinct points). Then $\text{Aut}^0(\mathbb{P}^2; C \cup \ell) \cong \mathbb{k}^\times$.*

Proof. Let P_1 and P_2 be the two points of intersection $C \cap \ell$. Let ℓ' be a tangent line to C at P_1 . Choose coordinates $[x : y : z]$ on \mathbb{P}^2 such that the lines ℓ and ℓ' are given by $x = 0$ and $y = 0$, so that $P_1 = [0 : 0 : 1]$. We can also assume that $P_2 = [0 : 1 : 0]$. In these coordinates the conic C is given by $x^2 = yz$. An automorphism of \mathbb{P}^2 preserving ℓ and C acts on the tangent space $T_{P_1}(C \cup \ell) \cong \mathbb{k}^2$ by scaling x and y (considered as coordinates on $T_{P_1}(C \cup \ell)$), so it acts in the same way on the initial \mathbb{P}^2 . Keeping in mind that the automorphism should preserve $C = \{x^2 = yz\}$, we get the assertion of the lemma. \square

Lemma 10.2 (cf. [Su14, Theorem 1.1]). *Any smooth divisor of bidegree (1, 2) on $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ has finite automorphic group with two exceptions. The connected component of identity of the automorphism group for one exception is isomorphic to \mathbb{k}^\times , and for another exception it is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{k}^\times)^2$.*

Proof. Let X be a smooth divisor of bidegree (1, 2) on $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$. The projection ϕ on the first factor provides X a structure of conic bundle. Its discriminant curve Δ is a curve of degree 3 given by vanishing of the discriminant of the quadratic form (whose coordinates are linear functions on the base of the conic bundle). The curve Δ is at worst nodal by Remark 2.3.

Let us denote coordinates on $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 = \mathbb{P}_x^2 \times \mathbb{P}_y^2$ by $[x_0 : x_1 : x_2] \times [y_0 : y_1 : y_2]$. Let the group Θ be defined as the maximal subgroup of $\text{Aut}^0(X)$ acting by fiberwise transformations with respect to ϕ . There is an exact sequence of groups

$$1 \rightarrow \Theta \rightarrow \text{Aut}^0(X) \rightarrow \Gamma,$$

where Γ acts faithfully on \mathbb{P}_x^2 .

We claim that the group Θ is finite. Indeed, suppose that it is not. Let ℓ be a general line in \mathbb{P}_x^2 , and let S be the surface $\phi^{-1}(\ell)$. Then S is Θ -invariant, and the image of Θ in $\text{Aut}(S)$ is infinite. On the other hand, the surface S is a smooth del Pezzo surface of degree 5, so that $\text{Aut}(S)$ is finite by Theorem 2.2. The obtained contradiction shows that the kernel of the action of the group $\text{Aut}^0(X)$ on \mathbb{P}_x^2 is finite.

The variety X is given by

$$(10.3) \quad x_0Q_0 + x_1Q_1 + x_2Q_2 = 0,$$

where Q_i are quadratic forms in y_j . Note that they are linearly independent because X is smooth.

The curve Δ is Γ -invariant. If Δ is a smooth cubic, then by Lemma 2.1 the group Γ is finite. If Δ is singular, but irreducible, then by Remark 2.3 and Lemma 2.4 the group Γ is finite.

Suppose that Δ is a union of a line and a smooth conic. Then this line intersects the conic transversally since Δ is nodal. In particular, Γ , and thus also $\text{Aut}^0(X)$, is a subgroup of \mathbb{k}^\times . Let us get an equation of X in appropriate coordinates.

First, we can assume that the line is given by $x_0 = 0$ and the intersection points with the conic have coordinates $[0 : 1 : 0]$ and $[0 : 0 : 1]$. This means that if we put $x_0 = x_1 = 0$ or $x_0 = x_2 = 0$ in (10.3), we get squares of linear forms, because fibers over nodes of Δ

are double lines by Remark 2.3. Taking these (linearly independent!) linear forms as coordinates on \mathbb{P}_y^2 one gets $Q_1 = y_1^2$ and $Q_2 = y_2^2$.

Now let

$$Q_0 = a_0 y_0^2 + a_1 y_0 y_1 + a_2 y_0 y_2 + a_3 y_1^2 + a_4 y_1 y_2 + a_5 y_2^2.$$

Let us notice that $a_0 \neq 0$ since otherwise the point of X given by $x_0 = y_1 = y_2 = 0$ is singular. So we can assume that $a_0 = 1$. Making a linear change of coordinates

$$y_0 = y'_0 - \frac{a_1}{2} y'_1 - \frac{a_2}{2} y'_2, \quad y_1 = y'_1, \quad y_2 = y'_2$$

and dropping primes for simplicity we may assume that $a_1 = a_2 = 0$. Making, as above, a linear change of coordinates

$$x_0 = x'_0 - a_3 x'_1 - a_5 x'_2, \quad x_1 = x'_1, \quad x_2 = x'_2$$

and dropping primes again we may assume that $a_3 = a_5 = 0$. Finally, using scaling we can assume that $a_4 = -1$, since $a_4 \neq 0$ because otherwise Δ is a union of three lines. Summarizing, in some coordinates X is given by

$$x_0(y_0^2 - y_1 y_2) + x_1 y_1^2 + x_2 y_2^2 = 0.$$

The action of \mathbb{k}^\times from Lemma 10.1 is given by weights

$$\text{wt}(x_0) = 0, \quad \text{wt}(x_1) = 2, \quad \text{wt}(x_2) = -2, \quad \text{wt}(y_0) = 0, \quad \text{wt}(y_1) = -1, \quad \text{wt}(y_2) = 1,$$

so in this case $\text{Aut}^0(X) \cong \mathbb{k}^\times$.

Similarly, if Δ is a union of three lines in general position, then Γ , and thus $\text{Aut}^0(X)$, is a subgroup of $(\mathbb{k}^\times)^2$. Taking the intersection points of the lines by $[1 : 0 : 0]$, $[0 : 1 : 0]$, and $[0 : 0 : 1]$, one can easily see that X can be given by

$$x_0 y_0^2 + x_1 y_1^2 + x_2 y_2^2 = 0.$$

The toric structure on \mathbb{P}_x^2 given by the three lines induces the action of $(\mathbb{k}^\times)^2$ on X , so in this case $\text{Aut}^0(X) \cong (\mathbb{k}^\times)^2$. \square

11. THREEFOLD MISSING IN THE ISKOVSKIKH'S TRIGONAL LIST

Let X be a smooth Fano threefold with $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 3.2$. The threefold X can be described as follows. Let

$$U = \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}(-1, -1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}(-1, -1)\right),$$

let $\pi: U \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ be a natural projection, and let L be a tautological line bundle on U . Then X is a smooth threefold in the linear system $|2L + \pi^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}(2, 3))|$.

According to [Is77], the threefold X is not hyperelliptic, see also [CPS05]. Thus, the linear system $| -K_X |$ gives an embedding $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^9$. Note that X is not an intersection of quadrics in \mathbb{P}^9 . Indeed, let $\omega: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ be the restriction of the projection π to the threefold X , let $\pi_1: \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ and $\pi_2: \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be projections to the first and the second factors, respectively. Let $\phi_1 = \pi_1 \circ \omega$ and $\phi_2 = \pi_2 \circ \omega$. Then a general fiber of the morphism ϕ_1 is a smooth cubic surface. This immediately implies that X is not an intersection of quadrics in \mathbb{P}^9 .

Remark 11.1. In the notation of [Is78, §2], the threefold X is trigonal. However, it is missing in the classification of smooth trigonal Fano threefolds obtained in [Is78, Theorem 2.5]. Implicitly, in the proof of this theorem, Iskovskikh showed that X can be obtained as follows. The scheme intersection of all quadrics in \mathbb{P}^9 containing X is a scroll

$$R = \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)\right).$$

It is embedded to \mathbb{P}^9 by the tautological linear system, which we denote by M . Denote by F a fiber of a general projection $R \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. Then X is contained in the linear system $|3M - 4F|$. In the notation of [Re97, §2], we have $R = \mathbb{F}(2, 2, 1, 1)$, and X is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha_2^1(t_1, t_2)x_1^3 + \alpha_2^2(t_1, t_2)x_1^2x_2 + \alpha_1^1(t_1, t_2)x_1^2x_3 + \alpha_1^2(t_1, t_2)x_1^2x_4 + \\ & + \alpha_2^3(t_1, t_2)x_1x_2^2 + \alpha_1^3(t_1, t_2)x_1x_2x_3 + \alpha_1^4(t_1, t_2)x_1x_2x_4 + \alpha_0^1(t_1, t_2)x_1x_3^2 + \\ & + \alpha_0^2(t_1, t_2)x_1x_3x_4 + \alpha_0^3(t_1, t_2)x_1x_4^2 + \alpha_2^4(t_1, t_2)x_2^3 + \alpha_1^5(t_1, t_2)x_2^2x_3 + \\ & + \alpha_1^6(t_1, t_2)x_2^2x_4 + \alpha_0^4(t_1, t_2)x_2x_3^2 + \alpha_0^5(t_1, t_2)x_2x_3x_4 + \alpha_0^6(t_1, t_2)x_2x_4^2 = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where each $\alpha_d^i(t_1, t_2)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree d . Thus, the threefold X is the threefold T_{11} in [CPS05]. Note that the natural projection $R \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ restricted to X gives us the morphism ϕ_1 . In the proof of [Is78, Theorem 2.5], Iskovskikh applied Lefschetz theorem to X to deduce that its Picard group is cut out by divisors in the scroll R to exclude this case (this is case 4 in his proof). However, the threefold X is not an ample divisor on R , since its restriction to the subscroll $x_3 = x_4 = 0$ is negative, so that Lefschetz theorem is not applicable here.

Lemma 11.2. *Let X be a smooth Fano threefold with $\mathfrak{J}(X) = 3.2$. Then the group $\text{Aut}(X)$ is finite.*

Proof. In the notation of Remark 11.1, let S be the subscroll given by $x_3 = x_4 = 0$. Then one has $S \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, and S is contained in X . Furthermore, the normal bundle of S in X is $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}(-1, -1)$. This implies the existence of the following commutative diagram:

$$(11.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & V & & \\ & \nearrow \gamma_1 & \uparrow \alpha & \nwarrow \gamma_2 & \\ U_1 & & X & & U_2 \\ \downarrow \psi_1 & \nwarrow \beta_1 & \nearrow \beta_2 & \downarrow \psi_2 & \\ \mathbb{P}^1 & \nwarrow \phi_1 & \nearrow \phi_2 & \mathbb{P}^1 & \\ & \nwarrow \pi_1 & \downarrow \omega & \nearrow \pi_2 & \\ & & \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 & & \end{array}$$

Here U_1 and U_2 are smooth threefolds, the morphisms β_1 and β_2 are contractions of the surface S to curves in these threefolds, the morphism α is a contraction of the surface S to an isolated ordinary double point of the threefold V , the morphism ϕ_2 is a fibration into del Pezzo surfaces of degree 6 the morphism ψ_1 is a fibration into del Pezzo surfaces of degree 4, and ψ_2 is a fibration into quadric surfaces. By construction, V is a Fano threefold that has one isolated ordinary double point, and the morphisms γ_1 and γ_2 are small resolution of this singular point. Note also that $-K_V^3 = 16$.

Observe that the diagram (11.3) is $\text{Aut}(X)$ -equivariant. In particular, there exists an exact sequence of groups

$$1 \longrightarrow G_{\phi_1} \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(X) \longrightarrow G_{\mathbb{P}^1} \longrightarrow 1,$$

where G_{ϕ_1} is a subgroup in $\text{Aut}(X)$ that leaves a general fiber of ϕ_1 invariant, and $G_{\mathbb{P}^1}$ is a subgroup in $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1)$. Since a general fiber of ϕ_1 is a smooth cubic surface, we see from Theorem 2.2 that G_{ϕ_1} is finite. Let us show that $G_{\mathbb{P}^1}$ is also finite.

There exists an exact sequence of groups

$$1 \longrightarrow G_\omega \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(X) \longrightarrow G_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1} \longrightarrow 1,$$

where G_ω is subgroup in $\text{Aut}(X)$ that leaves a general fiber of ω invariant, and $G_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}$ is a subgroup in $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1)$. If the group $G_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}$ is finite, then the group $G_{\mathbb{P}^1}$ is also finite, because there is a natural surjective homomorphism $G_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1} \rightarrow G_{\mathbb{P}^1}$.

To prove the lemma, it is enough to show that $G_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}$ is finite. Note that this group preserves the projections π_1 and π_2 , because ϕ_1 is a fibration into cubic surfaces, while ϕ_2 is a fibration into del Pezzo surfaces of degree 6. Thus, implies that the group $G_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}$ is contained in $\text{Aut}^0(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1) \cong \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k}) \times \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})$.

The morphism ω in (11.3) is a standard conic bundle, and its discriminant curve Δ is a curve of bidegree $(5, 2)$ in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. The curve Δ is $G_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}$ -invariant. Moreover, it is reduced and has at most isolated ordinary double points as singularities, see Remark 2.3. Furthermore, if C is an irreducible component of the curve Δ , then the intersection number

$$C \cdot (\Delta - C)$$

must be even (see [CPS15, Corollary 2.1]). This implies, in particular, that no irreducible component of the curve Δ is a curve of bidegree $(0, 1)$.

Let C be an irreducible component of Δ of bidegree (a, b) with $b \geq 1$, and let $G_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}^0$ be the connected component of identity in the group $G_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}$. Then C is $G_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}^0$ -invariant. Moreover, the action of $G_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}^0$ on C is faithful; this follows from Lemma 2.1 for $b \geq 2$, and from Lemma 2.5 for $b = 1$.

Assume that there exists an irreducible component C of Δ such that C has bidegree $(a, 1)$. Then the intersection of C with $\Delta - C$ consists of $a + 5 - a = 5$ points. Thus, the group $G_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}^0$ is trivial in this case.

This means that we may assume that there exists an irreducible component C of Δ such that C has bidegree $(a, 2)$. Suppose that $a \geq 4$. If the normalization of C has positive genus, then the group $G_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}^0$ is trivial by Lemma 2.1. Thus we may suppose that C has at least $p_a(C) \geq 3$ singular points. This again implies that $G_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}^0$ is trivial, because the action of the group $G_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}^0$ lifts to the normalization of C and preserves the preimage of the singular locus of C .

We are left with the case when $1 \leq a \leq 3$. Then the intersection of C with $\Delta - C$ consists of $2(5 - a) \geq 6$ points. Thus, the group $G_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}^0$ is trivial in this case as well. \square

Remark 11.4. The commutative diagram (11.3) is well known to experts. For instance, it already appeared in the proof of [Ta09, Theorem 2.3], in the proof of [JPR07, Proposition 3.8], and in the proof of [CS08, Lemma 8.2].

12. REMAINING CASES

In this section we consider smooth Fano threefolds X with

$$\mathfrak{I}(X) \in \{2.1, 2.3, 2.5, 2.6, 2.8, 2.10, 2.11, 2.16, 2.19\}.$$

Theorem 1.1 immediately implies the following.

Corollary 12.1. *Let X be a smooth Fano threefold with*

$$\mathfrak{I}(X) \in \{2.1, 2.3, 2.5, 2.10, 2.11, 2.16, 2.19\}.$$

Then the group $\text{Aut}(X)$ is finite.

Proof. These varieties are blow ups of smooth Fano threefolds Y with $\mathfrak{I}(Y) \in \{1.11, 1.12, 1.13, 1.14\}$. \square

We will need the following auxiliary fact.

Lemma 12.2. *Let $\Delta \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ be a nodal curve of degree at least 4. Then the group $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2; \Delta)$ is finite.*

Proof. Left to the reader. \square

Lemma 12.3. *Let X be a smooth Fano threefold with $\mathfrak{I}(X) = 2.6$. Then the group $\text{Aut}(X)$ is finite.*

Proof. The threefold X is either a divisor of bidegree $(2, 2)$ on $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$, or a double cover of the flag variety W branched over a divisor $Z \sim -K_W$.

Suppose that X is a divisor of bidegree $(2, 2)$ on $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$. Let $\phi_i: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$, $i = 1, 2$, be the natural projections. Then ϕ_i is an $\text{Aut}^0(X)$ -equivariant standard conic bundle whose discriminant curve Δ_i is a sextic. By Remark 2.3 the curve Δ_i is at worst nodal, so that by Lemma 12.2 the group $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2; \Delta_i)$ is finite.

We have two exact sequences of groups

$$1 \rightarrow \Theta_i \rightarrow \text{Aut}^0(X) \rightarrow \Gamma_i,$$

where the action of Θ_i is fiberwise with respect to ϕ_i , and Γ_i acts faithfully on \mathbb{P}^2 preserving Δ_i . Using the first of these sequences, we conclude that $\text{Aut}^0(X) \cong \Theta_1$. Using the second one, we conclude that the group Θ_1 is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\Gamma_2 \subset \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2; \Delta_2)$. Since the latter group is finite, we see that the group $\text{Aut}^0(X)$ is trivial.

Now suppose that X is a double cover of the flag variety W branched over a divisor $Z \sim -K_W$. The divisor class $-\frac{1}{2}K_W$ is very ample, so that by Lemma 2.1 the group $\text{Aut}(W; Z)$ is finite. On the other hand, both conic bundles $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ are $\text{Aut}^0(X)$ -equivariant, so that the double cover $\theta: X \rightarrow W$ is $\text{Aut}^0(X)$ -equivariant as well. Thus, $\text{Aut}^0(X)$ is a subgroup in $\text{Aut}(W; Z)$, and the assertion follows. \square

Lemma 12.4. *Let X be a smooth Fano threefold with $\mathfrak{I}(X) = 2.8$. Then the group $\text{Aut}(X)$ is finite.*

Proof. There exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\phi} & V \\ \alpha \downarrow & & \downarrow \beta \\ V_7 & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \mathbb{P}^3 \end{array}$$

where π is a blow up of a point $O \in \mathbb{P}^3$, the morphism β is a double cover that is branched over an irreducible quartic surface S that has one isolated double point at O , the morphism ϕ is a blow up of the (singular) threefold V at the preimage of the point O via β , and α is a double cover that is branched over the proper transform of the surface R via π . The surface S has singularity of type \mathbb{A}_1 or \mathbb{A}_2 at the point O . (In the former case, the exceptional divisor of ϕ is a smooth quadric surface; in the latter case, the exceptional divisor of ϕ is a quadric cone.) In both cases, the morphism ϕ is a contraction of an extremal ray, so that ϕ is $\text{Aut}^0(X)$ -equivariant. Furthermore, the morphism β is given by the linear system $|-\frac{1}{2}K_V|$, and thus is also $\text{Aut}^0(X)$ -equivariant. This means

that $\text{Aut}^0(X)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^3; S)$. Since S is not uniruled, the group $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^3; S)$ is finite by Lemma 2.1. Hence, we see that the group $\text{Aut}^0(X)$ is trivial. \square

APPENDIX A. THE BIG TABLE

In this section we provide an explicit description of infinite automorphism groups arising in Theorem 1.2, and give more details about the corresponding Fano varieties. We refer the reader to the end of §1 for the notation concerning some frequently appearing groups. By S_d we denote a smooth del Pezzo surface of degree d , except for the quadric surface.

In the first column of Table 1, we give the identifier $\mathfrak{J}(X)$ for a smooth Fano threefold X . In the second column we put the anticanonical degree $-K_X^3$. In the third column we, mainly following [MM82], [IP99], and [MM04], give a brief description of the variety. In the fourth column we put a dimension of the family of Fano threefolds of given type. In columns 5 and 6 we present the groups $\text{Aut}^0(X)$ if they are non-trivial, and dimensions of families of varieties with the given group $\text{Aut}^0(X)$. Finally, in the last column we put the reference to the statement in the text of our paper where the variety is discussed.

Table 1: Automorphisms of smooth Fano threefolds

\mathfrak{J}	$-K^3$	Brief description	δ	Aut^0	δ^0	ref.
1.10	22	a zero locus of three sections of the rank 3 vector bundle $\bigwedge^2 \mathcal{Q}$, where \mathcal{Q} is the universal quotient bundle on $\text{Gr}(3, 7)$	6	\mathbb{k}^\times	1	1.1
				\mathbb{k}^+	0	
				$\text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})$	0	
1.15	40	V_5 that is a section of $\text{Gr}(2, 5) \subset \mathbb{P}^9$ by linear subspace of codimension 3	0	$\text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})$	0	1.1
1.16	54	Q that is a hypersurface in \mathbb{P}^4 of degree 2	0	$\text{PSO}_5(\mathbb{k})$	0	1.1
1.17	64	\mathbb{P}^3	0	$\text{PGL}_4(\mathbb{k})$	0	1.1
2.20	26	the blow up of $V_5 \subset \mathbb{P}^6$ along a twisted cubic	3	\mathbb{k}^\times	0	6.10
2.21	28	the blow up of $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ along a twisted quartic	2	\mathbb{k}^\times	1	§9
				$\text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})$	0	
				\mathbb{k}^+	0	
2.22	30	the blow up of $V_5 \subset \mathbb{P}^6$ along a conic	1	\mathbb{k}^\times	0	6.13
2.24	30	a divisor on $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ of bidegree $(1, 2)$	1	$(\mathbb{k}^\times)^2$	0	§10
				\mathbb{k}^\times	0	
2.26	34	the blow up of the threefold $V_5 \subset \mathbb{P}^6$ along a line	0	\mathbb{k}^\times	0	6.5
				\mathbf{B}	0	
2.27	38	the blow up of \mathbb{P}^3 along a twisted cubic	0	$\text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})$	0	4.3
2.28	40	the blow up of \mathbb{P}^3 along a plane cubic	1	$(\mathbb{k}^+)^3 \rtimes \mathbb{k}^\times$	1	4.4
2.29	40	the blow up of $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ along a conic	0	$\mathbb{k}^\times \times \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})$	0	5.8
2.30	46	the blow up of \mathbb{P}^3 along a conic	0	$\text{PSO}_{5;1}(\mathbb{k})$	0	5.3

2.31	46	the blow up of $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ along a line	0	$\mathrm{PSO}_{5;2}(\mathbb{k})$	0	5.3
2.32	48	W that is a divisor on $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ of bidegree $(1, 1)$	0	$\mathrm{PGL}_3(\mathbb{k})$	0	7.1
2.33	54	the blow up of \mathbb{P}^3 along a line	0	$\mathrm{PGL}_{4;2}(\mathbb{k})$	0	4.5
2.34	54	$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$	0	$\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k}) \times \mathrm{PGL}_3(\mathbb{k})$	0	3.2
2.35	56	V_7 , the blow up of a point on \mathbb{P}^3	0	$\mathrm{PGL}_{4;1}(\mathbb{k})$	0	4.5
2.36	62	$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2))$	0	$\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 2))$	0	3.3
3.5	20	the blow up of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ along a curve C of bidegree $(5, 2)$ such that the composition $C \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ is an embedding	5	\mathbb{k}^\times	0	8.8
3.8	24	a divisor in the linear system $ (\alpha \circ \pi_1)^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)) \otimes \pi_2^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2)) $, where $\pi_1: \mathbb{F}_1 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_1$ and $\pi_2: \mathbb{F}_1 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ are projections, and $\alpha: \mathbb{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ is a blow up of a point	3	\mathbb{k}^\times	0	8.8
3.9	26	the blow up of a cone over the Veronese surface $R_4 \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ with center in a disjoint union of the vertex and a quartic on $R_4 \cong \mathbb{P}^2$	6	\mathbb{k}^\times	6	3.5
3.10	26	the blow up of $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ along a disjoint union of two conics	2	\mathbb{k}^\times	1	5.9
				$(\mathbb{k}^\times)^2$	0	
3.12	28	the blow up of \mathbb{P}^3 along a disjoint union of a line and a twisted cubic	1	\mathbb{k}^\times	0	4.7
3.13	30	the blow up of $W \subset \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ along a curve of bidegree $(2, 2)$ that is mapped by natural projections $\pi_2: W \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ and $\pi_1: W \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ to irreducible conics	1	\mathbb{k}^\times	1	7.4
				\mathbb{k}^+	0	
				$\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})$	0	
3.14	32	the blow up of \mathbb{P}^3 along a disjoint union of a plane cubic curve that is contained in a plane $\Pi \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ and a point that is not contained in Π	1	\mathbb{k}^\times	1	4.8
3.15	32	the blow up of $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ along a disjoint union of a line and a conic	0	\mathbb{k}^\times	0	5.10
3.16	34	the blow up of V_7 along a proper transform via the blow up $\alpha: V_7 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ of a twisted cubic passing through the center of the blow up α	0	B	0	4.9
3.17	36	a divisor on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ of tridegree $(1, 1, 1)$	0	$\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})$	0	8.8
3.18	36	the blow up of \mathbb{P}^3 along a disjoint union of a line and a conic	0	$B \times \mathbb{k}^\times$	0	5.11
3.19	38	the blow up of $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ at two non-collinear points	0	$\mathbb{k}^\times \times \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})$	0	5.13

3.20	38	the blow up of $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ along a disjoint union of two lines	0	$\mathbb{k}^\times \times \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})$	0	5.14
3.21	38	the blow up of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ along a curve of bidegree $(2, 1)$	0	$(\mathbb{k}^+)^2 \rtimes (\mathbb{k}^\times)^2$	0	8.8
3.22	40	the blow up of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ along a conic in a fiber of the projection $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$	0	$B \times \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})$	0	8.14
3.23	42	the blow up of V_7 along a proper transform via the blow up $\alpha: V_7 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ of an irreducible conic passing through the center of the blow up α	0	$(\mathbb{k}^+)^3 \rtimes (B \times \mathbb{k}^\times)$	0	4.6
3.24	42	a blow up of W along a fiber of a projection $W \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$	0	$\mathrm{PGL}_{3;1}(\mathbb{k})$	0	7.6
3.25	44	the blow up of \mathbb{P}^3 along a disjoint union of two lines	0	$\mathrm{PGL}_{(2,2)}(\mathbb{k})$	0	4.10
3.26	46	the blow up of \mathbb{P}^3 with center in a disjoint union of a point and a line	0	$(\mathbb{k}^+)^3 \rtimes (\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{k}) \times \mathbb{k}^\times)$	0	4.11
3.27	48	$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$	0	$(\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k}))^3$	0	3.2
3.28	48	$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{F}_1$	0	$\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k}) \times \mathrm{PGL}_{3;1}(\mathbb{k})$	0	3.2
3.29	50	the blow up of the Fano threefold V_7 along a line in $E \cong \mathbb{P}^2$, where E is the exceptional divisor of the blow up $V_7 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$	0	$\mathrm{PGL}_{4;3,1}(\mathbb{k})$	0	4.12
3.30	50	the blow up of V_7 along a proper transform via the blow up $\alpha: V_7 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ of a line that passes through the center of the blow up α	0	$\mathrm{PGL}_{4;2,1}(\mathbb{k})$	0	4.13
3.31	52	the blow up of a cone over a smooth quadric in \mathbb{P}^3 at the vertex	0	$\mathrm{PSO}_{6;1}(\mathbb{k})$	0	3.6
4.2	28	the blow up of the cone over a smooth quadric $S \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ along a disjoint union of the vertex and an elliptic curve on S	1	\mathbb{k}^\times	1	3.5
4.3	30	the blow up of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ along a curve of tridegree $(1, 1, 2)$	0	\mathbb{k}^\times	0	8.12
4.4	32	the blow up of the smooth Fano threefold Y with $\mathfrak{I}(Y) = 3.19$ along the proper transform of a conic on the quadric $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ that passes through the both centers of the blow up $Y \rightarrow Q$	0	$(\mathbb{k}^\times)^2$	0	5.15
4.5	32	the blow up of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ along a disjoint union of two irreducible curves of bidegree $(2, 1)$ and $(1, 0)$	0	$(\mathbb{k}^\times)^2$	0	8.16
4.6	34	the blow up of \mathbb{P}^3 along a disjoint union of three lines	0	$\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})$	0	4.14
4.7	36	the blow up of $W \subset \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ along a disjoint union of two curves of bidegrees $(0, 1)$ and $(1, 0)$	0	$\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{k})$	0	7.7

4.8	38	the blow up of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ along a curve of tridegree $(0, 1, 1)$	0	$B \times \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})$	0	8.17
4.9	40	the blow up of the smooth Fano threefold Y with $\mathfrak{I}(Y) = 3.25$ along a curve that is contracted by the blow up $Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$	0	$\mathrm{PGL}_{(2,2);1}(\mathbb{k})$	0	4.15
4.10	42	$\mathbb{P}^1 \times S_7$	0	$\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k}) \times B \times B$	0	3.2
4.11	44	the blow up of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{F}_1$ along a curve $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ such that C is contained in a fiber $F \cong \mathbb{F}_1$ of the projection $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ and $C \cdot C = -1$ on F	0	$B \times \mathrm{PGL}_{3;1}(\mathbb{k})$	0	8.18
4.12	46	the blow up of the smooth Fano threefold Y with $\mathfrak{I}(Y) = 2.33$ along two curves that are contracted by the blow up $Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$	0	$(\mathbb{k}^+)^4 \rtimes (\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{k}) \times \mathbb{k}^\times)$	0	4.16
4.13	26	the blow up of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ along a curve of tridegree $(1, 1, 3)$	1	\mathbb{k}^\times	0	8.12
5.1	28	the blow up of the smooth Fano threefold Y with $\mathfrak{I}(Y) = 2.29$ along three curves that are contracted by the blow up $Y \rightarrow Q$	0	\mathbb{k}^\times	0	5.16
5.2	36	the blow up of the smooth Fano threefold Y with $\mathfrak{I}(Y) = 3.25$ along two curves $C_1 \neq C_2$ that are contracted by the blow up $\phi: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ and that are contained in the same exceptional divisor of the blow up ϕ	0	$\mathbb{k}^\times \times \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{k})$	0	4.17
5.3	36	$\mathbb{P}^1 \times S_6$	0	$\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k}) \times (\mathbb{k}^\times)^2$	0	3.2
6.1	30	$\mathbb{P}^1 \times S_5$	2	$\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})$	2	3.2
7.1	24	$\mathbb{P}^1 \times S_4$	4	$\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})$	4	3.2
8.1	18	$\mathbb{P}^1 \times S_3$	6	$\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})$	6	3.2
9.1	12	$\mathbb{P}^1 \times S_2$	8	$\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})$	8	3.2
10.1	6	$\mathbb{P}^1 \times S_1$	10	$\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})$	10	3.2

REFERENCES

- [B81] V. Batyrev, *Toric Fano threefolds*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **45** (1981), No. 4, 704–717.
- [CDS15] X.-X. Chen, S. Donaldson, S. Sun, *Kähler–Einstein metrics on Fano manifolds. I, II, III*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **28** (2015), No. 1, 183–197, 199–234, 235–278.
- [CPS05] I. Cheltsov, V. Przyjalkowski, C. Shramov, *Hyperelliptic and trigonal Fano threefolds*, Izv. Math. **69** (2005), 365–421.
- [CPS15] I. Cheltsov, V. Przyjalkowski, C. Shramov, *Which quartic double solids are rational?*, preprint, arXiv:math.ag/1508.07277 (2015).
- [CS08] I. Cheltsov, C. Shramov, *Log canonical thresholds of smooth Fano threefolds*, Russian Mathematical Surveys **63** (2008), 859–958.
- [CS09] I. Cheltsov, C. Shramov, *Extremal metrics on del Pezzo threefolds*, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. **264** (2009), No. 1, 30–44.
- [CS15] I. Cheltsov, C. Shramov, *Cremona groups and the icosahedron*, CRC Press, 2015.
- [CS18] I. Cheltsov, C. Shramov, *Kähler–Einstein Fano threefolds of degree 22*, preprint, arXiv:math.AG/1803.02774 (2018).

- [DS16] V. Datar, G. Székelyhidi, *Kähler–Einstein metrics along the smooth continuity method*, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **26** (2016), No. 4, 975–1010.
- [De81] I. Demin, *Three-dimensional Fano manifolds representable as line fiberings*, *Mathematics of the USSR-Izvestiya*, **17** (1981), No. 1, 219–226; erratum in *Mathematics of the USSR-Izvestiya* **20** (1983), No. 3, 625–626.
- [Dol12] I. Dolgachev, *Classical algebraic geometry*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2012.
- [DI09] I. Dolgachev, V. Iskovskikh, *Finite subgroups of the plane Cremona group*, *Algebra, arithmetic, and geometry: in honor of Yu. I. Manin. Vol. I*, 443–548, *Progr. Math.*, **269**, Birkhäuser, 2009.
- [Don08] S. Donaldson, *Kähler geometry on toric manifolds, and some other manifolds with large symmetry*, *Handbook of Geometric Analysis*, No. 1. *Adv. Lect. Math.* **7** (2008), 29–75.
- [FH91] W. Fulton, J. Harris, *Representation theory. A first course*, *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, 129. *Readings in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
- [FN89] M. Furushima, N. Nakayama, *The family of lines on the Fano threefold V_5* , *Nagoya Math. J.* **116** (1989), 111–122.
- [Fut83] A. Futaki, *An obstruction to the existence of Einstein–Kähler metrics*, *Invent. Math.* **73** (1983), 437–443.
- [Ili94] A. Iliev, *The Fano surface of the Gushel’ threefold*, *Compositio Math.* **94** (1994), No. 1, 81–107.
- [IS17] N. Ilten, H. Süß, *K-stability for Fano manifolds with torus action of complexity 1*, *Duke Math. J.* **166** (2017), No. 1, 177–204.
- [IP99] V. Iskovskikh, Yu. Prokhorov, *Fano varieties*, *Encyclopaedia Math. Sci.* 47 (1999) Springer, Berlin.
- [Is77] V. Iskovskikh, *Fano 3-folds I*, *Math USSR, Izv.* 11 (1977), 485–527.
- [Is78] V. Iskovskikh, *Fano 3-folds II*, *Math USSR, Izv.* 11 (1978), 469–506.
- [JPR07] P. Jahnke, T. Peternell, I. Radloff, *Threefolds with big and nef anticanonical bundles II* *Cent. Eur. J. Math.* **9** (2011), 449–488.
- [KP18] A. Kuznetsov, Yu. Prokhorov, *Prime Fano threefolds of genus 12 with a \mathbb{G}_m -action*, *Épjournal de Géom. Algèbr., EPIGA*, **2** (2018), No. 3.
- [KPS18] A. Kuznetsov, Yu. Prokhorov, C. Shramov, *Hilbert schemes of lines and conics and automorphism groups of Fano threefolds*, *Japanese J. Math.* **13** (2018), No. 1, 109–185.
- [Ma57] Y. Matsushima, *Sur la structure du groupe d’homéomorphismes analytiques d’une certaine variété kaehlérienne*, *Nagoya Math. J.* **11** (1957), 145–150.
- [MM82] S. Mori, S. Mukai, *Classification of Fano 3-folds with $B_2 \geq 2$* , *Manuscripta Math.*, 36(2):147–162, 1981/82; erratum in *Manuscripta Math.* 110 (2003), No. 3, 407.
- [MM83] S. Mori, S. Mukai, *On Fano 3-folds with $B_2 \geq 2$* , *Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics* **1** (1983), 101–129.
- [MM04] S. Mori, S. Mukai, *Extremal rays and Fano 3-folds*, *The Fano Conference*, 37–50, Univ. Torino, Turin, 2004.
- [Mu88] S. Mukai, *Curves, K3 surfaces and Fano 3-folds of genus ≤ 10* , *Algebraic Geometry and Commutative Algebra, in Honor of Masayoshi Nagata, Vol. I*, 357–377 (1988).
- [MU83] S. Mukai, H. Umemura, *Minimal rational threefolds*, *Lecture Notes in Math.* **1016** (1983), 490–518.
- [Nad90] A. Nadel, *Multiplier ideal sheaves and Kähler–Einstein metrics of positive scalar curvature*, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **132** (1990), No. 3, 549–596.
- [Nak89] T. Nakano, *On equivariant completions of 3-dimensional homogeneous spaces of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$* , *Japanese J. Math.* **15** (1989), No. 2, 221–273.
- [Nak98] T. Nakano, *Projective threefolds on which $\mathrm{SL}(2)$ acts with 2-dimensional general orbits*, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **350** (1998), No. 7, 2903–2924.
- [Pr90] Yu. Prokhorov, *Automorphism groups of Fano 3-folds*, *Russian Math. Surveys*, **45** (1990), 222–223.
- [Pr13] Yu. Prokhorov, *G-Fano threefolds, II*, *Advances in Geometry* **13** (2013), No. 3, 419–434.
- [Pr18] Yu. Prokhorov, *The rationality problem for conic bundles*, *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* 73 (2018), No. 3(441), 3–88.
- [PS17] Y. Prokhorov, C. Shramov, *Jordan constant for Cremona group of rank 3*, *Mosc. Math. J.*, 17:3 (2017), 457–509.
- [PZ18] Yu. Prokhorov, M. Zaidenberg, *Fano–Mukai fourfolds of genus 10 as compactifications of \mathbb{C}^4* , *EJM* **4** (2018), No. 3, 1197–1263.

- [Re88] M. Reid, *Undergraduate algebraic geometry*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts, **12**. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1988.
- [Re97] M. Reid, *Chapters on algebraic surfaces*, IAS/Park City Math. Ser. **3** (1997), 3–159.
- [San14] G. Sanna, *Rational curves and instantons on the Fano threefold Y_5* , arXiv:1411.7994 (2014).
- [Sar81] V. Sarkisov, *Birational automorphisms of conic bundles*, Mathematics of the USSR-Izvestiya, **17** (1981), No. 1, 177–202.
- [Sar83] V. Sarkisov, *On conic bundle structures*, Mathematics of the USSR-Izvestiya, **20** (1983), No. 2, 355–390.
- [Su13] H. Süß, *Kähler–Einstein metrics on symmetric Fano T -varieties*, Adv. Math. **246** (2013), 100–113.
- [Su14] H. Süß, *Fano threefolds with 2-torus action: a picture book*, Doc. Math. **19** (2014), 905–940.
- [SW90] M. Szurek, J. Wisniewski, *Fano bundles of rank 2 on surfaces*, Compositio Mathematica **76** (1990), No. 1–2, 295–305.
- [Ta09] K. Takeuchi, *Weak Fano threefolds with del Pezzo fibration*, preprint, arXiv:0910.2188 (2009).
- [Ti87] G. Tian, *On Kähler–Einstein metrics on certain Kähler manifolds with $C_1(M) > 0$* , Invent. Math. **89** (1987), No. 2, 225–246.
- [Um88] H. Umemura, *Minimal rational threefolds. II*, Nagoya Math. J. **110** (1988), 15–80.
- [WW82] K. Watanabe, M. Watanabe, *The Classification of Fano 3-Folds with Torus Embeddings*, Tokyo J. Math. **5** (1982), No. 1, 37–48.
- [WZ04] X.-J. Wang, X. Zhu, *Kähler–Ricci solitons on toric manifolds with positive first Chern class*, Adv. Math. **188** (2004), 87–103.

Ivan Cheltsov

School of Mathematics, The University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH9 3JZ, UK.
 National Research University Higher School of Economics, Russian Federation, AG Laboratory, HSE, 6 Usacheva str., Moscow, 117312, Russia.
 I.Cheltsov@ed.ac.uk

Victor Przyjalkowski

Steklov Mathematical Institute of Russian Academy of Sciences, 8 Gubkina street, Moscow 119991, Russia.
 National Research University Higher School of Economics, Russian Federation, Laboratory of Mirror Symmetry, NRU HSE, 6 Usacheva str., Moscow, Russia, 119048.
 victorprz@mi.ras.ru, victorprz@gmail.com

Constantin Shramov

Steklov Mathematical Institute of Russian Academy of Sciences, 8 Gubkina street, Moscow 119991, Russia.
 National Research University Higher School of Economics, Russian Federation, AG Laboratory, HSE, 6 Usacheva str., Moscow, 117312, Russia.
 costya.shramov@gmail.com