

(1)

Edge days - Arithmetic of cubic threefolds

Theorem (Torelli) ϵ

Let C_1, C_2 be smooth projective curves over a field k of genus $g \geq 2$.

If polarised abelian varieties $J(C_1) \cong J(C_2)$ as principally polarised abelian varieties, then

$$C_1 \cong C_2$$

Analogue false for $g \geq 7$!

Generalisation? Say: Obvious analogue of $J(C)$ in higher dimensions is the Albanese variety. However for many classes of varieties this is trivial. So use intermediate Jacobians!

Cubic threefolds / \mathbb{C}

Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^5$ be a smooth cubic threefold X/\mathbb{C} .

Hodge Diamond:

				1	
		0	0		
	0	1	0		
0	5	5	0		\leftarrow looks like $H^1(\text{ab. var})/\mathbb{C}$
	0	1	0		
		0	0		
				1	

We define the intermediate Jacobian of X to be

$$J(X) := H^3(X, \mathbb{R}) / H^3(X, \mathbb{Z})$$

equipped with its natural complex structure.

This is a principally polarised abelian fivefold with $H^1(J(X), \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^3(X, \mathbb{Z})$.
 Sug.: polarisation comes from cup-product.
 Need special Hodge structure (Hodge 2) to get an abelian variety, rather than just a complex torus.

(Clemens - Griffiths '71): Analogue of Torelli theorem. X is not rational.

Cubic threefolds over any field k ($\text{char}(k) \neq 2$)

~~Let~~ Let X be a smooth cubic threefold / k .

Intermediate Jacobian: (Bombieri - Swinnerton-Dyer '66)

Let $F(X)$ be a family variety of lines of X . This is a smooth surface of general type.

$$J(X) := \text{Alb}(F(X))$$

(Clemens - Griffiths: these defs agree / \mathbb{C})

Deligne '72, Achter '14: Intermediate Jacobian in families

(2)

Beauville '82: Torelli theorem / \bar{k} .

Q

let X be a smooth cubic threefold over an algebraically closed field k with $\text{char}(k) = 2$.

Is X non-rational?

Theorem 1 (K. Javanpeykar - Long (15))

~~Let X_1, X_2 be smooth cubic threefolds over a field k with $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$.~~
Let X_1, X_2 be smooth cubic threefolds over a field k with $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$.

If $J(X_1) \cong J(X_2)$ as principally polarised abelian varieties

then $X_1 \cong X_2$.

proof Assume $k \subset \mathbb{Q}$ for simplicity (in positive characteristic, require ℓ -adic cohomology instead of Betti cohomology)

Let: \mathcal{C} = moduli stack of cubic threefolds over \mathbb{Q}

$$\binom{n+d}{d} \binom{n}{3} = 35$$

= Hilbert scheme of cubic threefolds
say stack remembers Aut every cut linear. the automorphisms. $\overline{\text{PGL}}_5$

\mathcal{A}_5 = moduli stack of ppav of dim 5 over \mathbb{Q}

not schemes due to presence of non-trivial automorphisms

Intermediate Jacobian

$$J: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow A_5$$

Toelli/C: J is injective on ~~isomorphism classes of~~ \mathbb{C} -points

If \mathcal{E}, A_5 were schemes, would be done! Not true, but fibres are schemes.

Proposition

The geometric fibres of J are schemes
" J is a representable morphism".

proof

What stops a stack from being a scheme (or an algebraic space): the presence of non-trivial automorphisms. So it suffices to show that the fibre has no non-trivial automorphisms.

fibre over A classifies pairs (X, \mathcal{C}) with \bullet X smooth cubic threefold
~~...~~
 $\bullet \mathcal{C}: J(X) \cong A$

with automorphisms coming from $\text{Aut } X$.
~~...~~ for $\sigma \in \text{Aut } X$ to preserve \mathcal{C} ,
need σ to act trivially on $J(X)$.

Suffices to show that $\text{Aut } X \rightarrow \text{Aut } J(X)$
is injective.

~~...~~

$\text{Aut } H^1(J(X), \mathbb{C})$
15. 3. 1

③ Lefschetz trace formula $\text{Tr}(\sigma | H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})) = \chi(X^\sigma)$

(maximal smooth)

(If $\sigma \neq 1$ acts trivially on H^i : $1 + 1 - 10 + 1 + 1 = -6$)

diagonalise action of σ on $V = \mathbb{K}^5$

$V = \bigoplus V_\lambda$
eigenvalues λ of σ

Maschke's theorem

$$X^\sigma = U \left(\sum \chi_\lambda(x_\lambda) \right)$$

$\chi = 0, 1, \dots$, cubic curve or cubric surface.

$$-6 = \sum \chi_\lambda(x_\lambda) \geq 0$$

Remarks

1. Similar result holds for intersections of 3 quadrics of odd dimension (Torelli & Reboulet '89)

2. Can't always descend Torelli's theorem to arbitrary ground fields!

Int of 2 quadrics of odd dim.

Torelli & Donagi '80, but no Torelli over \mathbb{Q} !

$$\text{Let } \begin{cases} a_0 x_0^2 + \dots + a_{n+2} x_{n+2}^2 = 0 \\ b_0 x_0^2 + \dots + b_{n+2} x_{n+2}^2 = 0 \end{cases} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n+2}(\mathbb{Q})$$

n odd.

altrose form var of $\binom{n-1}{2}$ planes is an abelian var/K

$$J(X) = J(C), \text{ where}$$

$$C: y^2 = (-1)^{\binom{n-1}{2}} (a_0 x_0 + b_0) \dots (a_{n+2} x_{n+2} + b_{n+2})$$

Let $t \in \mathbb{Q}^* \setminus \mathbb{Q}^{*2}$ and let X_t be given by ~~the~~ ^{the same} equations, but a_0, b_0, b_1, b_2 multiplied by t .

Then $X \not\cong X_t$ but $J(X) \cong J(X_t)$.

Applications

Let X be a smooth projective variety (\mathbb{Q}).
 Say that X has good reduction at a prime p if there exists a smooth proper scheme

$$X \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}_p$$

whose generic fibre is isomorphic to X .

Theorem (Faltings, Shafarevich conjecture)

Let $g \geq 1$ and S a finite set of primes.
 There are only finitely many abelian varieties over \mathbb{Q} with good reduction outside of S .

Theorem 2 (Tavanpeykar, L. (1.5))

Let S be a finite set of primes. There are only finitely many smooth cubic threefolds over \mathbb{Q} with good reduction outside of S .

proof let $e \in S$.
 $H^3(X, \mathbb{Q}_e^{(1)}) \cong H^1(X, \mathbb{Q}_e)$

④

smooth basechange

X good reduction at $p \Rightarrow$

~~the Galois group of the unramified extension of \mathbb{Q}_p is $H^1(X, \mathbb{Q}_p)$~~

\Rightarrow

$H^1(X, \mathbb{Q}_p)$

Néron-Ogg-Shafarevich

$\Rightarrow J(X)$ has good reduction at p .

Now using Faltings's theorem
Theorem 1

Faltings's theorem \square

we have versions of the Shaf. conj for other complete intersections of Hodge level 1, e.g. intersections of two quadrics + quartic threefolds.

But proofs are different as, recall that the Torelli theorem fails here! Also no global Torelli for quartic threefolds, need "quasi-finite Torelli", follows from "infinitesimal Torelli" + Griffiths transversality.