

THE POINCARÉ DUALITY THEOREM AND ITS CONVERSE I.

Andrew Ranicki (Edinburgh)

<http://www.maths.ed.ac.uk/~aar>



**FLOER
CENTER OF
GEOMETRY**

Festive Opening Colloquium
Bochum, 7th December, 2011

Local to global and, if possible, global to local

- ▶ There are many theorems in TOPOLOGY of the type
local input \implies global output

- ▶ Theorems of the type

global input \implies local output

are even more interesting, and correspondingly harder to prove! This frequently requires ALGEBRA.

- ▶ *Algebra is a pact one makes with the devil!*
(Sir Michael Atiyah)
- ▶ *I rather think that algebra is the song that the angels sing!*
(Barry Mazur)
- ▶ *One thing I've learned about algebra ... don't take it too seriously* (Peanuts cartoon)

Poincaré duality and its converse

- ▶ The Poincaré duality of an n -dimensional topological manifold M

$$H^*(M) \cong H_{n-*}(M)$$

is a local \implies global theorem.

- ▶ **Theorem** Let $n \geq 5$. A space X with n -dimensional Poincaré duality $H^*(X) \cong H_{n-*}(X)$ is homotopy equivalent to an n -dimensional topological manifold if and only if X has sufficient local Poincaré duality.
- ▶ Modern take on central result of the Browder-Novikov-Sullivan-Wall high-dimensional surgery theory for differentiable and PL manifolds, and its Kirby-Siebenmann extension to topological manifolds (1962-1970)
- ▶ Will explain "sufficient" over the course of the lectures!

The Seifert-van Kampen Theorem and its converse

- ▶ Local \implies global. The fundamental group of a union

$$X = X_1 \cup_Y X_2, \quad Y = X_1 \cap X_2$$

is an amalgamated free product

$$\pi_1(X) = \pi_1(X_1) *_{\pi_1(Y)} \pi_1(X_2).$$

- ▶ Global \implies local. Let $n \geq 6$. If X is an n -dimensional manifold such that $\pi_1(X) = G_1 *_H G_2$ then $X = X_1 \cup_Y X_2$ for codimension 0 submanifolds $X_1, X_2 \subset X$ with

$$\partial X_1 = \partial X_2 = Y = (n-1)\text{-dimensional manifold,}$$

$$\pi_1(X_1) = G_1, \quad \pi_1(X_2) = G_2, \quad \pi_1(Y) = H.$$

The Vietoris Theorem and its converses

- ▶ **Theorem** If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a surjection of compact metric spaces such that for each $y \in Y$ the restriction

$$f|_{f^{-1}(y)} : f^{-1}(y) \rightarrow \{y\}$$

induces an isomorphisms in homology

$$H_*(f^{-1}(y)) \cong H_*(\{y\})$$

then f induces isomorphisms in homology

$$f_* : H_*(X) \cong H_*(Y) .$$

- ▶ Local input: each $f^{-1}(y)$ ($y \in Y$) is acyclic

$$\tilde{H}_*(f^{-1}(y)) = 0 .$$

- ▶ Global output: f_* is an isomorphism.
- ▶ Would like to have converses of the Vietoris theorem! For example, under what conditions is a homotopy equivalence homotopic to a homeomorphism?

Manifolds and homology manifolds

- ▶ An **n -dimensional topological manifold** is a topological space M such that each $x \in M$ has an open neighbourhood homeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^n .
- ▶ An **n -dimensional homology manifold** is a topological space M such that the local homology groups of M at each $x \in M$ are isomorphic to the local homology groups of \mathbb{R}^n at 0

$$H_*(M, M \setminus \{x\}) \cong H_*(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } * = n \\ 0 & \text{if } * \neq n \end{cases}$$

- ▶ A topological manifold is a homology manifold.
- ▶ A homology manifold need not be a topological manifold.
- ▶ Will only consider compact M which can be realized as a subspace $M \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+k}$ for some large $k \geq 0$, i.e. a compact ENR. This is automatically the case for topological manifolds.

The triangulation of manifolds

- ▶ A **triangulation** of a space X is a simplicial complex K together with a homeomorphism

$$X \cong |K|$$

with $|K|$ the polyhedron of K .

- ▶ X is compact if and only if K is finite.
- ▶ Triangulation of n -dimensional topological manifolds:
 - ▶ Exists and is unique for $n \leq 3$
 - ▶ Known: may not exist for $n = 4$
 - ▶ Unknown: if exists for $n \geq 5$
 - ▶ Differentiable and PL manifolds are triangulated for all $n \geq 0$
- ▶ Triangulation of n -dimensional homology manifolds:
 - ▶ Exists and is unique for $n \leq 3$
 - ▶ Known: may not exist for $n \geq 4$.

The naked homeomorphism

- ▶ *Poincaré, for one, was emphatic about the importance of the naked homeomorphism - when writing philosophically - yet his memoirs treat DIFF or PL manifolds only.*
in L. Siebenmann's [1970 ICM lecture](#) on topological manifolds.
- ▶ *... topological manifolds bear the simplest possible relation to their underlying homotopy types. This is a broad statement worth testing.* (ibid.)
- ▶ Will describe how surgery theory manufactures the homotopy theory of topological manifolds of dimension > 4 from Poincaré duality spaces and chain complexes.
- ▶ Poincaré duality is the most important property of the algebraic topology of manifolds.

The original statement of Poincaré duality

► Analysis Situs and its Five Supplements (1892–1904)



228

ANALYSIS SITUS.

Donc

$$P_p = P_{h-p}.$$

Par conséquent, pour une variété fermée, les nombres de Betti également distants des extrêmes sont égaux.

Ce théorème n'a, je crois, jamais été énoncé; il était cependant connu de plusieurs personnes qui en ont même fait des applications.

- Originally proved for a differentiable manifold M , but long since established for topological and homology manifolds.
- $h = n$, the dimension of M .
- $P_p = \dim_{\mathbb{Z}} H_p(M)$, the p th Betti number of M .
- Happy birthday! 2011 is the 100th anniversary of Brouwer's proof that homeomorphic manifolds have the same dimension. Also true for homology manifolds.

Orientation

- ▶ A **local fundamental class** of an n -dimensional homology manifold M at $x \in M$ is a choice of generator

$$[M]_x \in \{1, -1\} \subset H_n(M, M \setminus \{x\}) = \mathbb{Z} .$$

- ▶ The local Poincaré duality isomorphisms are defined by

$$[M]_x \cap - : H^*(\{x\}) \cong H_{n-*}(M, M \setminus \{x\}) .$$

- ▶ An n -dimensional homology manifold M is **orientable** if there exists a fundamental homology class $[M] \in H_n(M)$ such that for each $x \in M$ the image

$$[M]_x \in H_n(M, M \setminus \{x\}) = \mathbb{Z}$$

is a local fundamental class.

- ▶ We shall only consider manifolds which are orientable, together with a choice of fundamental class $[M] \in H_n(M)$.

Poincaré duality in modern terminology

- ▶ **Theorem** For an n -dimensional manifold M the cap products with the orientation $[M] \in H_n(M)$ are Poincaré duality isomorphisms

$$[M] \cap - : H^*(M) \cong H_{n-*}(M).$$

- ▶ **Idea of proof** Glue together the local Poincaré duality isomorphisms

$$[M]_x \cap - : H^*({x}) \cong H_{n-*}(M, M \setminus {x}) \quad (x \in M)$$

to obtain the global Poincaré duality isomorphisms

$$[M] \cap - = \varprojlim_{x \in M} [M]_x \cap - :$$

$$H^*(M) = \varprojlim_{x \in M} H^*({x}) \cong H_{n-*}(M) = \varprojlim_{x \in M} H_{n-*}(M, M \setminus {x})$$

- ▶ Need to work on the chain level, rather than directly with homology.

Poincaré duality spaces

- ▶ **Definition** An n -dimensional Poincaré duality space X is a finite CW complex X with a homology class $[X] \in H_n(X)$ such that cap product with $[X]$ defines Poincaré duality isomorphism

$$[X] \cap - : H^*(X; \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(X)]) \cong H_{n-*}(X; \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(X)]) .$$

- ▶ In the simply-connected case $\pi_1(X) = \{1\}$ just

$$[X] \cap - : H^*(X) \cong H_{n-*}(X) .$$

- ▶ Homotopy invariant: any finite CW complex homotopy equivalent to an n -dimensional Poincaré duality space is an n -dimensional Poincaré duality space.
- ▶ A triangulable n -dimensional homology manifold is an n -dimensional Poincaré duality space.
- ▶ A nontriangulable n -dimensional homology manifold is homotopy equivalent to an n -dimensional Poincaré duality

Floer's Diplom thesis

- ▶ Floer's 1982 Bochum Diplom thesis (under the supervision of Ralph Stöcker) was on the homotopy-theoretic classification of $(n - 1)$ -connected $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional Poincaré duality spaces for $n > 1$.
- ▶ <http://www.maths.ed.ac.uk/~aar/papers/floer.pdf>

Klassifikation hochzusammenhängender Poincaré-Räume

Andreas Floer

Diplomarbeit

Ruhr-Universität Bochum

Abteilung für Mathematik

1982

Manifold structures in the homotopy type of a Poincaré duality space

- ▶ (Existence) When is an n -dimensional Poincaré duality space homotopy equivalent to an n -dimensional topological manifold?
- ▶ (Uniqueness) When is a homotopy equivalence of n -dimensional topological manifolds homotopic to a homeomorphism?
- ▶ There are also versions of these questions for differentiable and PL manifolds, and also for homology manifolds.
- ▶ But it is the topological manifold version which is the most interesting! Both intrinsically, and because most susceptible to algebra, at least for $n > 4$.

Surfaces

- ▶ Surface = 2-dimensional topological manifold.
- ▶ Every orientable surface is homeomorphic to the standard surface Σ_g of genus $g \geq 0$.
- ▶ Every 2-dimensional Poincaré duality space is homotopy equivalent to a surface.
- ▶ A homotopy equivalence of surfaces is homotopic to a homeomorphism.
- ▶ In general, the analogous statements are false for n -dimensional manifolds with $n > 2$.

Bundle theories



	spaces	bundles	classifying spaces
differentiable	manifolds	vector bundles	BO $\pi_*(BO)$ infinite
topological	manifolds	topological bundles	$BTOP$ $\pi_*(BTOP)$ infinite
homotopy theory	Poincaré duality spaces	spherical fibrations	BG $\pi_*(BG) = \pi_{*-1}^S$ finite

- ▶ Forgetful maps downwards. Difference between the first two rows = finite (but non-zero) = exotic spheres (Milnor).
- ▶ An n -dimensional differentiable manifold M has a tangent bundle $\tau_M : M \rightarrow BO(n)$ and a stable normal bundle $\nu_M : M \rightarrow BO$.
- ▶ Similarly for a topological manifold M , with $BTOP(n)$.
- ▶ An n -dimensional Poincaré duality space X has a Spivak normal fibration $\nu_X : X \rightarrow BG$.

The Hirzebruch signature theorem

- ▶ The **signature** of a $4k$ -dimensional Poincaré duality space X is

$$\sigma(X) = \text{signature}(H^{2k}(X), \text{intersection form}) \in \mathbb{Z}$$

- ▶ The **Hirzebruch \mathcal{L} -genus** of a vector bundle η over a space X is a certain polynomial $\mathcal{L}(\eta) \in H^{4*}(X; \mathbb{Q})$ in the Pontrjagin classes $p_*(\eta) \in H^{4*}(M)$.
- ▶ **Signature Theorem (1953)** If M is a $4k$ -dimensional differentiable manifold then

$$\sigma(M) = \langle \mathcal{L}(\tau_M), [M] \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$$

- ▶ There have been many extensions of the theorem since 1953!

The Browder converse of the Hirzebruch signature theorem

- ▶ **Theorem** (Browder, 1962) For $k > 1$ a simply-connected $4k$ -dimensional Poincaré duality space X is homotopy equivalent to a $4k$ -dimensional differentiable manifold M if and only if $\nu_X : X \rightarrow BG$ lifts to a vector bundle $\eta : X \rightarrow BO$ such that

$$\sigma(X) = \langle \mathcal{L}(-\eta), [X] \rangle \in \mathbb{Z} .$$

- ▶ Novikov (1962) initiated the complementary theory of necessary and sufficient conditions for a homotopy equivalence of simply-connected differentiable manifolds to be homotopic to a diffeomorphism.
- ▶ Many developments in the last 50 years, including versions for topological manifolds and homeomorphisms.

The Browder-Novikov-Sullivan-Wall surgery theory I.

- ▶ Is an n -dimensional Poincaré duality space X homotopy equivalent to an n -dimensional topological manifold?
- ▶ The surgery theory provides a 2-stage obstruction for $n > 4$, working outside of X , involving normal maps $(f, b) : M \rightarrow X$ from manifolds M , with b a bundle map.
- ▶ Primary obstruction in the topological K -theory of vector bundles to the existence of a normal map $(f, b) : M \rightarrow X$.
- ▶ Secondary obstruction $\sigma(f, b) \in L_n(\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(X)])$ in the Wall surgery obstruction group, depending on the choice of (f, b) in resolving the primary obstruction. The algebraic L -groups defined algebraically using quadratic forms over $\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(X)]$.
- ▶ The mixture of topological K -theory and algebraic L -theory not very satisfactory!

The Browder-Novikov-Sullivan-Wall surgery theory II.

- ▶ Is a homotopy equivalence $f : M \rightarrow N$ of n -dimensional topological manifolds homotopic to a homeomorphism?
- ▶ For $n > 4$ similar 2-stage obstruction theory for deciding if f is homotopic to a homeomorphism.
- ▶ The mapping cylinder of f

$$L = M \times [0, 1] \cup_{(x,1) \sim f(x)} N$$

defines an $(n + 1)$ -dimensional Poincaré pair $(L, M \sqcup N)$ with manifold boundary. The 2-stage obstruction for uniqueness is the 2-stage obstruction for relative existence.

- ▶ Again, the mixture of topological K -theory and algebraic L -theory not very satisfactory!