

Lec 4 15/02/22 (Tue)

①

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u - \Delta u = |u|^{p-1} u + \Phi \mathbb{Z} \\ u|_{t=0} = u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad \Phi \in HS(L^2; H^s) \end{cases}$$

on \mathbb{R}^d :

$$\Psi(t) = \int_0^t S(t-t') \Phi dW(t')$$

(i) $\Psi \in C_t H_x^s$, a.s.

($r < \infty$, when $d=1,2$)

(ii) $\Psi \in L_T^q W_x^{s,r}$

$\forall q < \infty, \quad r \leq \frac{2d}{d-2}$

\forall finite $T > 0$.

ex 1: $d=1, p=3, s=0$.

$$\Gamma_{u_0, \Phi}(u) = S(t) u_0 - i \int_0^t S(t-t') \underbrace{|u|^{p-1} u(t')}_{\mathbb{1}_{[0,T]}(t')} dt' - i \Psi.$$

Apply the nonhomog Strichartz

Recall: We say (q, r) is admissible if

$$\frac{2}{q} + \frac{d}{r} = \frac{d}{2} \quad (q, r, 2) \neq (2, \infty, 2)$$

$$2 \leq q, r \leq \infty$$

(2)

• $d=1$: $(q, r) = (8, 4), (\infty, 2)$, admissible

Set

$$X(T) = C_T L^2_x \cap L^8_T L^4_x.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \| \Gamma(u) \|_{X(T)} &\stackrel{\text{Strichartz}}{\lesssim} \underbrace{\| u_0 \|_{L^2}} + \underbrace{\| |u|^2 u \|_{L^8_T L^4_x}} \\ &+ \underbrace{\| \Psi \|_{X(T)}}_{< \infty, \text{ a.s.}} \leq T^{1/2} \underbrace{\| u^3 \|_{L^8_T L^4_x}}_{\substack{\text{H\"older mt} \\ = T^{1/2} \| u \|^3_{L^8_T L^4_x}}} \stackrel{\frac{7}{8} = \frac{3}{8} + \frac{4}{8}}{\leq} \underbrace{T^{1/2} \| u \|^3_{X(T)}} \end{aligned}$$

$$T \leq 1 \Rightarrow \| \Gamma(u) \|_{X(T)} \leq \left(C_0 \| u_0 \|_{L^2} + \| \Psi \|_{X(1)} \right) + \underline{C_1} T^{1/2} \| u \|_{X(T)}^3 \quad (3)$$

Also,

$$\| \Gamma(u) - \Gamma(v) \|_{X(T)} \lesssim \underline{T^{1/2}} \left(\| u \|^2_{X(T)} + \| v \|^2_{X(T)} \right) \| u - v \|_{X(T)}$$

Let $R = 2 \left(C_0 \| u_0 \|_{L^2} + \| \Psi \|_{X(1)} \right)$. \leftarrow random

\Rightarrow Proceeding as before, we conclude that Γ is a contraction on $\overline{B_R} \subset X(T)$ by choosing $T = T(R) > 0$ suff small.

\Rightarrow LWP in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with $\Phi \in \text{HS}(L^2, L^2)$

Rmk: If $u_0 \in H^s$ and $\Phi \in HS(L^2; HS)$ for some s , ④

then we can use the fractional Leibniz rule:

$$\|fg\|_{\dot{W}^{s,r}} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{W}^{s,p_1}} \|g\|_{L^{q_1}} + \|f\|_{L^{p_2}} \|g\|_{\dot{W}^{s,r_2}}$$

$$1 < r, p_j, q_j < \infty \quad 0 < s < 1,$$

$$\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p_j} + \frac{1}{q_j}, \quad j = 1, 2$$

$$'' D^s(fg) \approx D^s f \cdot g + f \cdot D^s g ''$$

to show $u \in C_T H_x^s \iff$ persistence of regularity

Scaling symmetry on NLS: $i \partial_t u - \Delta u = \pm |u|^{p-1} u$

u is a soln to NLS with $u|_{t=0} = u_0$

$\Leftrightarrow u_\lambda(t, x) = \frac{1}{\lambda^{2/p-1}} u\left(\frac{t}{\lambda^2}, \frac{x}{\lambda}\right)$ is a soln to

(NLS) with $u_\lambda(0, x) = \frac{1}{\lambda^{2/p}} u_0\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right) =: u_{0,\lambda}(x)$

Set $S_{crit} = \frac{d}{2} - \frac{2}{p-1}$. Then, we have

critical Sobolev regularity index

$$\|u_{0,\lambda}\|_{\dot{H}^{S_{crit}}} = \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{S_{crit}}}$$

NLS has several conservation laws:

mass

$$M(u) = \int |u|^2 dx, \quad P(u) = \text{Im} \int \nabla u \cdot u dx$$

momentum

energy

$$E(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int |\nabla u|^2 dx \mp \frac{1}{p+1} \int |u|^{p+1} dx.$$

⑥

We say that (NLS) is

- mass-critical (= L^2 -critical) if $s_{crit} = 0$
- energy-critical (= H^1 -critical) if $s_{crit} = 1$.

Given $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we say that the Cauchy problem is

- subcritical if $s > s_{crit} \iff$ expect well-posedness
smaller data, longer time
- critical if $s = s_{crit}$
scaling does not change the H^s -norm of initial data
- supercritical if $s < s_{crit}$.
larger data, longer time \iff too good to be true
 \iff expect ill-posedness

$$\|u_{0,\lambda}\|_{H^s} = \lambda^{s_{crit}-s} \|u_0\|_{H^s}$$

$$s_{\text{crit}} = \frac{d}{2} - \frac{2}{p-1}$$

⑦

• $p=3$: $d=1 \Rightarrow s_{\text{crit}} = -1/2$

$d=2 \Rightarrow s_{\text{crit}} = 0.$

ex 2: $d=2, p=3, \underline{s=0}$ $\longrightarrow u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2), \Phi \in HS(L^2; L^2)$
critical problem.

$(q, r) = (4, 4), (\infty, 2)$ admissible.

$$\| \Gamma(u) \|_{L^4_{T,x}} \leq \| S(t) u_0 \|_{L^4_{T,x}} + \| \Psi \|_{L^4_{T,x}}$$

$$\| \Gamma(u) \|_{L^4_T L^4_x} \leq \| S(t) u_0 \|_{L^4_{T,x}} + \| \Psi \|_{L^4_{T,x}}$$

$$+ C \| |u|^2 u \|_{L^{4/3}_{T,x}}$$

$$= \| u \|_{L^4_{T,x}}^3$$

NO T^0 !!

$$\text{Set } R = 2 \left(\|S(t)u_0\|_{L^4_{T,x}} + \|\Psi\|_{L^4_{T,x}} \right) \quad (*)$$

(8)

\Rightarrow For $u \in \overline{B}_R \subset L^4_{T,x}$, we have

$$\cdot \|P(u)\|_{L^4_{T,x}} \leq \frac{1}{2}R + CR^3 \leq R$$

Also

$$\cdot \|P(u) - P(v)\|_{L^4_{T,x}} \leq C'R^2 \|u - v\|_{L^4_{T,x}} \leq \frac{1}{2} \text{ for } R \ll 1$$

$$\cdot \|S(t)u_0\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}; L^4_x)} \approx \|u_0\|_{L^2_x} < \infty$$

$$\cdot \|\Psi\|_{L^4([0, T]; L^4_x)} < \infty, \text{ a.s.}$$

\Rightarrow We can choose $T = T(u) > 0$ small s.t. $R \ll 1$

$\Rightarrow P$ is a contraction on $\overline{B_R} \subset L^4_{T,x}$

(9)

$\Rightarrow \exists!$ soln $u \in \overline{B_R} \subset L^4_{T,x}$

$$u = \underbrace{S(t)u_0}_{\substack{\uparrow \\ C_T L^2_x}} - i \int_0^t \underbrace{S(t-t')(|u|^2 u)(t') dt'}_{\substack{\uparrow \\ C_T L^2_x, \text{ a.s.}}} - i \Psi$$

$$\| \dots \|_{C_T L^2_x} \stackrel{\text{Str}}{\lesssim} \| |u|^2 u \|_{L^{4/3}_{T,x}} = \| u \|_{L^4_{T,x}}^3 < \infty$$

$\Rightarrow u \in C_T L^2_x, \text{ a.s.}$

Note: Uniqueness holds only in $C_T L^2_x \cap L^4_{T,x}$ ^{the ball in}
 \Leftarrow conditional uniqueness

$|u|^2 u \Leftarrow u$ must belong to L^3_{loc} (in x).

Now, we turn our attention to
SNLS with multiplicative noise.

$$i\partial_t u - \Delta u = \mathcal{N}(u) + \sigma(u) \Phi \Xi.$$

\uparrow
 $|u|^{\sigma-1} u$

• Stochastic convolution:

$$\Psi(t) = \Psi[u](t) = \int_0^t S(t-t') \sigma(u(t')) \Phi dW(t')$$

- $\Phi \in \text{HS}(L^2; H^s) \Leftrightarrow$ NOT sufficient.
- The argument in the additive case does not apply here to the lack of indep.

• γ -radonifying operator

(11)

\Leftrightarrow Banach generalization of HS operators.

$H =$ separable Hilbert space

$$d\mu \sim e^{-\frac{1}{2}\|x\|_H^2} dx$$

\Leftrightarrow NOT countably additive if $\dim H = \infty$

\Rightarrow Need to enlarge H to make sense of μ .

$$i : H \hookrightarrow B$$

(H, B, μ) is called an abstract Wiener space

if μ makes sense as a Gaussian prob meas on B .

$\{e_n\} = \text{O.N.B. of } H.$

(12)

$$\langle \underset{B}{x}, \underset{B}{e_n} \rangle_{B^*}, \quad n = 1, \dots, N$$

• Gross '60's. Books by Kuo, Nualart

ex: $d\mu_s \sim \underbrace{e^{-\frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{H^s}^2}}_{\text{on } H^s(\mathbb{T}^d)} du$

$$\Leftrightarrow \boxed{u = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \frac{g_n}{\langle n \rangle^s} e^{in \cdot x}}$$

$\{g_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} = \text{indep standard } \mathbb{C}\text{-valued Gaussians}$

$$e^{-\frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{H^s}^2} du = e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_n \langle m \rangle^{2s} |\hat{u}(m)|^2} du$$

$$= \prod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \underbrace{\langle m \rangle^{2s}}_{|g_n|^2} |\hat{u}(m)|^2} \underbrace{d\hat{u}(m)}_{\text{Lebesgue meas on } \mathbb{C} \cong \mathbb{R}^2}$$

$$\mathbb{E}[\|u\|_{H^\sigma}^2] = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \frac{\mathbb{E}[|g_n|^2]}{\langle m \rangle^{2s-2\sigma}} < \infty$$

iff

$$\sigma < s - \frac{d}{2}$$



$$u_N = \sum_{|m| \leq N} \frac{g_m}{\langle m \rangle^s} e^{i u \cdot x} \rightarrow u \text{ in } H^\sigma(\mathbb{T}^d), \text{ a.s. if } \sigma < s - \frac{d}{2}$$

On \mathbb{T}^d

(H^s, H^σ, μ_s) is an abstract Wiener space.
if $\sigma < s - \frac{d}{2}$

(4)

$(H^s, W^{\sigma, p}, \mu_s) =$
 $p \leq \infty$

Back to

$$W(t) = \sum \beta_n(t) e_n$$

\uparrow Gaussian for fixed t .

$\Phi \in \mathcal{HS}(L^2; H^s)$

$$\Rightarrow \Phi W(t) \in H_x^s$$

$\Phi \in \underline{\mathcal{Y}}(L^2; \mathcal{B})$ (or $\mathcal{M}(L^2; \mathcal{B})$ or $\mathcal{R}(L^2; \mathcal{B})$)

$$\Rightarrow \Phi W(t) \in \mathcal{B}$$

$(L^2, \mathcal{B}, \text{Law}(\Phi W(t)))$ is an abstract Wiener space.

- $\Phi \in \gamma(H; B)$
 - \uparrow sep. Hilbert space
 - \nwarrow Banach space
 - \swarrow indep std Gaussian

(15)

if $\|\Phi\|_{\gamma(H; B)} = \left(\mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_n g_n \Phi(e_n) \right\|_B^2 \right)^{1/2} < \infty$.

- If B is a Hilbert space,
then $\gamma(H; B) = HS(H; B)$.

$\|\Phi\|_{\gamma(H; B)} \sim \left(\mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_n g_n \Phi(e_n) \right\|_B^p \right)^{1/p}, \quad 1 < p < \infty$

\uparrow Kahane-Khintchine ineq.

$\sum_n g_n \Phi(e_n) \leftarrow$ Gaussian series.

different modes of convergence are equivalent
(Ito - Nisio thm)

• Hytönen, van Neerven, Veraar, Weis.

(16)

Analysis in Banach spaces, vol 1-2.

—
Aside: Def of an abstract Wiener space, starting from μ on H .

We say that a semi-norm $\|\cdot\|$ is measurable
if $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, \exists a finite-dim'l ortho projection P_ε of H

st.
$$\mu(\|Px\| > \varepsilon) < \varepsilon$$

for any finite-dim'l ortho projection $P \perp P_\varepsilon$.

$\Rightarrow B =$ completion of H under $\|\cdot\|$.

$\Rightarrow (H, B, \mu)$ is an abstract Wiener space.

(Think of " $P_\varepsilon =$ low freq projection"
" $P =$ high freq projection $\perp P_\varepsilon$ "