

**Proof of Carathéodory extension theorem** (Non-examinable)

We suppose we have an algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  of subsets of  $\Omega$  and a countable additive set function  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{A}$ . Associated with  $\mu$  we have an *outer measure* which is a set function  $\lambda$  defined for *all* subsets of  $\Omega$  as follows:

$$\lambda(E) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_k) : A_k \in \mathcal{A} \text{ \& } E \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k \right\}$$

The following proposition summarises the properties of outer measure that we need:

**Proposition.** (i) for any sequence  $E_1, E_2, \dots$  of sets we have

$$\lambda\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k\right) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda(E_k)$$

(ii) if  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  then  $\lambda(A) = \mu(A)$

(iii) if  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $E$  is any set then  $\lambda(A \cap E) + \lambda(A^c \cap E) = \lambda(E)$

*Proof.* (i) Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then for each  $k$  we can find a sequence  $A_{k1}, A_{k2}, \dots$  of sets in  $\mathcal{A}$  which cover  $E_k$  and such that  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_{kj}) < \lambda(E_k) + \epsilon 2^{-k}$ . Then the countable family  $(A_{kj})$  covers the union  $\bigcup E_k$  and  $\sum_{k,j} \mu(A_{kj}) \leq \sum_k \{\lambda(E_k) + \epsilon 2^{-k}\} = \epsilon + \sum_k \lambda(E_k)$ . As  $\epsilon$  is arbitrary it follows that  $\lambda(\bigcup E_k) \leq \sum_k \lambda(E_k)$  as required.

(ii) That  $\lambda(A) \leq \mu(A)$  follows from the definition of  $\lambda$  (one can take  $A_1 = A$  and the other  $A_k$  empty). For the converse suppose  $A \subseteq \bigcup A_k$  where  $A_k \in \mathcal{A}$ . We have to show  $\sum \mu(A_k) \geq \mu(A)$ . To do this let  $B_k = A_k \cap (A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_{k-1})^c$  so that  $A \subseteq \bigcup B_k$  and the  $B_k$  are disjoint. Then by the countable additivity of  $\mu$  we have  $\mu(A) \leq \sum \mu(B_k) \leq \sum \mu(A_k)$  as required.

(iii) From (i) we have  $\lambda(A \cap E) + \lambda(A^c \cap E) \geq \lambda(E)$ . To show the reverse inequality, let  $\epsilon > 0$  and find  $A_1, A_2, \dots$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $E \subseteq \bigcup A_k$  and  $\sum \mu(A_k) < \lambda(E) + \epsilon$ . Then the sets  $A_k \cap A$  cover  $E \cap A$ , so  $\sum \mu(A_k \cap A) \geq \lambda(E \cap A)$  and similarly  $\sum \mu(A_k \cap A^c) \geq \lambda(E \cap A^c)$  and since  $\mu(A_k \cap A) + \mu(A_k \cap A^c) = \mu(A_k)$  we deduce that  $\sum \mu(A_k) \geq \lambda(A \cap E) + \lambda(A^c \cap E)$ . Hence  $\lambda(E) + \epsilon \geq \lambda(A \cap E) + \lambda(A^c \cap E)$  and as  $\epsilon$  is arbitrary  $\lambda(E) \geq \lambda(A \cap E) + \lambda(A^c \cap E)$  as required.

Part (i) of the proposition says that  $\lambda$  is *countably subadditive*. In general it will not be additive on arbitrary sets. However as we shall see it is countably additive on a suitable collection of sets, and this is how we obtain our measure. We now state the main result:

**Theorem.** (Carathéodory extension theorem) Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an algebra of subsets of  $\Omega$  and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let  $\mu$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite countably additive set function on  $\mathcal{A}$ .

Then there is a unique measure  $\lambda$  on  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $\lambda(A) = \mu(A)$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ .

*Proof.* We define  $\lambda(E)$ , for arbitrary  $E$ , as the outer measure as introduced above. We shall show that it is in fact a measure on  $\mathcal{F}$ . To do this we introduce a collection of sets  $\mathcal{C}$ , consisting of all sets  $B$  such that

$$\lambda(B \cap E) + \lambda(B^c \cap E) = \lambda(E) \tag{1}$$

for every set  $E$ . Note first that by part (ii) of the proposition this equation is satisfied by every  $B \in \mathcal{A}$ , so  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ .

Next we show that  $\mathcal{C}$  is an algebra. It is clear from (1) that  $B \in \mathcal{C}$  implies  $B^c \in \mathcal{C}$ . So we suppose  $A, B \in \mathcal{C}$  and aim to prove  $A \cup B \in \mathcal{C}$ . To do this, first note that as  $A \in \mathcal{C}$  we get from (1) applied with  $B^c \cap E$  in place of  $E$  that

$$\lambda(A^c \cap B^c \cap E) + \lambda(A \cap B^c \cap E) = \lambda(B^c \cap E) \tag{2}$$

and as  $B \in \mathcal{C}$ , applying (1) with  $(A \cup B) \cap E$  in place of  $E$  gives

$$\lambda((A \cup B) \cap E) = \lambda(A \cap B^c \cap E) + \lambda(B \cap E) \quad (3)$$

Combining (2) and (3) gives

$$\lambda((A \cup B)^c \cap E) + \lambda((A \cup B) \cap E) = \lambda(B^c \cap E) + \lambda(B \cap E) = \lambda(E)$$

showing that  $\mathcal{C}$  is an algebra.

Note that (3) shows that, if  $A$  and  $B$  are disjoint sets in  $\mathcal{C}$ , then  $\lambda((A \cup B) \cap E) = \lambda(A \cap E) + \lambda(B \cap E)$ . By iterating this we obtain, for any disjoint  $B_1, \dots, B_n \in \mathcal{C}$  and any set  $E$ , that

$$\lambda((B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_n) \cap E) = \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda(B_k \cap E) \quad (4)$$

Now we finish the proof by showing that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra and  $\lambda$  is countably additive on  $\mathcal{C}$ . To do this suppose  $B_1, B_2, \dots$  are disjoint sets in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Write  $A_n = \cup_{k=1}^n B_k$  and  $A = \cup_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k$ . Now for any set  $E$ , by (4) we have  $\lambda(A_n \cap E) = \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda(B_k \cap E)$ .

On the other hand by part (i) of the proposition  $\lambda(A \cap E) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda(B_k \cap E)$ . Combining these we deduce  $\lambda(A \cap E) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda(B_k \cap E)$  and  $\lambda(A_n \cap E) \rightarrow \lambda(A \cap E)$ . Now as  $A_n \in \mathcal{C}$  we have  $\lambda(A_n \cap E) + \lambda(A_n^c \cap E) = \lambda(E)$  so  $\lambda(A_n \cap E) + \lambda(A^c \cap E) \leq \lambda(E)$  and letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  gives  $\lambda(A \cap E) + \lambda(A^c \cap E) \leq \lambda(E)$ . The reverse inequality follows from (i) of the proposition so we have (1) holding for  $A$  and  $A \in \mathcal{C}$ . Also taking  $E = \Omega$  we have  $\lambda(A) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda(B_k)$ .

Hence we conclude that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra containing  $\mathcal{A}$  and that  $\lambda$  is a measure on it. Then  $\mathcal{C}$  contains  $\mathcal{F}$  so  $\lambda$  is a measure on  $\mathcal{F}$ .

To prove uniqueness suppose  $\nu$  is a measure on  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $\nu(A) = \mu(A)$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . First note that  $\nu(E) \leq \lambda(E)$  for any  $E \in \mathcal{F}$ , since if  $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{A}$  are such that  $E \subseteq \cup A_k$  then  $\nu(E) \leq \sum \nu(A_k) = \sum \mu(A_k)$  using the countable additivity of  $\nu$ . Next, if  $E \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $\mu(A) < \infty$  we have  $\nu(E \cap A) = \lambda(E \cap A)$ . To see this, suppose on the contrary that  $\nu(E \cap A) < \lambda(E \cap A)$ . Then

$$\mu(A) = \nu(A) = \nu(E \cap A) + \nu(E^c \cap A) < \lambda(E \cap A) + \lambda(E^c \cap A) = \lambda(A) = \mu(A)$$

which is a contradiction. So  $\nu(E \cap A) = \lambda(E \cap A)$  when  $\mu(A) > 0$ , as claimed.

We apply this to get  $\nu(E \cap B_k) = \lambda(E \cap B_k)$  for each  $k$  and any  $E \in \mathcal{F}$ , and we deduce  $\nu(E) = \sum \nu(E \cap B_k) = \sum \lambda(E \cap B_k) = \lambda(E)$ , so  $\nu = \lambda$  as required.