

Mathematical Techniques III (PHY 317)

Solutions to Problem Set 1

Solution to Problem 1.

This problem and the next are asking you to prove that certain sets with certain operations are vector spaces. Recall from lecture that a vector space consists of a set of vectors ($\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}, \dots$), a set of scalars (λ, μ, \dots) which in this case are real numbers, and two operations (vector addition and scalar multiplication) obeying a set of eight axioms, which we recall here for convenience:

1. $(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}) + \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{u} + (\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w})$;
2. $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{u}$;
3. There exists a zero vector $\mathbf{0}$ which obeys $\mathbf{0} + \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}$;
4. For any given \mathbf{v} , there exists a vector $-\mathbf{v}$ such that $\mathbf{v} + (-\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{0}$;
5. $\lambda(\mu\mathbf{v}) = (\lambda\mu)\mathbf{v}$;
6. $1\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}$ for all \mathbf{v} ;
7. $(\lambda + \mu)\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{v} + \mu\mathbf{v}$; and
8. $\lambda(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}) = \lambda\mathbf{u} + \lambda\mathbf{v}$.

Notice that the real numbers satisfy these eight axioms; that is, if we take the vectors $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}, \dots$ to be real numbers themselves, then the eight axioms become familiar properties of the real numbers.

Now notice that the way that vector addition and scalar multiplication are defined in \mathbb{R}^N , we are performing these operations in each slot of the N -tuple independently. Since each slot is a real number, the eight axioms for \mathbb{R}^N follow from the ones for the real numbers. Therefore \mathbb{R}^N is a vector space.

For each of the three subsets of \mathbb{R}^N in the Problem we have to determine whether they are closed under vector addition and scalar multiplication. Clearly the first subset is closed under both because if

$$v_1 + v_2 + \dots + v_N = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad w_1 + w_2 + \dots + w_N = 0 ,$$

then

$$(v_1 + w_1) + (v_2 + w_2) + \dots + (v_N + w_N) = 0 ;$$

and, similarly, if $v_1 + v_2 + \cdots + v_N = 0$ then

$$(\lambda v_1) + (\lambda v_2) + \cdots + (\lambda v_N) = 0 .$$

Therefore the first subset is a vector subspace.

The second subset is not closed under either operation, e.g., if

$$v_1 + v_2 + \cdots + v_N = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad w_1 + w_2 + \cdots + w_N = 1 ,$$

then

$$(v_1 + w_1) + (v_2 + w_2) + \cdots + (v_N + w_N) = 2 ;$$

hence it is not a vector subspace.

Finally, the third subset is closed under vector addition because the sum of two rational numbers is again rational (Prove it!). However if λ is irrational and v_i rational, then λv_i is irrational. Therefore it is not closed under scalar multiplication, so that it is not a vector subspace.

Solution to Problem 2.

In this case, the set \mathcal{F} of real-valued functions in the interval inherits the operations of vector addition and scalar multiplication from those in \mathbb{R} by defining them pointwise. Therefore the axioms follow again from those of \mathbb{R} .

As for the three subsets: the first one is closed under both addition and scalar multiplication: if $f(0) = 0$ and $g(0) = 0$, $(f + g)(0) = f(0) + g(0) = 0 + 0 = 0$. Similarly if $f(0) = 0$, then $(\lambda f)(0) = \lambda f(0) = 0$. So it is a vector subspace.

The second subset is not closed under either vector addition or scalar multiplication since if $f(0) = 1$ and $g(0) = 1$, then

$$(f + g)(0) = f(0) + g(0) = 1 + 1 = 2 .$$

The third subset is closed under both operations. Indeed, if $f(-1) = f(1)$ and $g(-1) = g(1)$ then,

$$\begin{aligned} (f + g)(-1) &= f(-1) + g(-1) = f(1) + g(1) = (f + g)(1) \\ (\lambda f)(-1) &= \lambda f(-1) = \lambda f(1) = (\lambda f)(1) . \end{aligned}$$

Finally the fourth subset is also closed under both vector addition and scalar multiplication. Let $f'' + 2f' - 5f = 0$ and $g'' + 2g' - 5g = 0$.

Then using the fact that $(f + g)' = f' + g'$ and similarly for the second derivative $(f + g)'' = f'' + g''$, we see that

$$(f + g)'' + 2(f + g)' - 5(f + g) = f'' + g'' + 2f' + 2g' - 5f - 5g = 0 .$$

Finally scalar multiplication works the same way after noticing that $(\lambda f)' = \lambda f'$, etc.

Solution to Problem 3.

We can think of the plane as \mathbb{R}^2 as follows. A displacement in the plane with vector $\mathbf{r} = x \hat{\mathbf{i}} + y \hat{\mathbf{j}}$ has coordinates $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Under this identification, therefore the basis $\{\hat{\mathbf{i}}, \hat{\mathbf{j}}\}$ for the plane correspond to the canonical basis for \mathbb{R}^2 , which we write as $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2\}$.

A rotation by an angle θ on the plane has the following effect on the coordinates:

$$x \mapsto x' = \cos \theta x - \sin \theta y \quad \text{and} \quad y \mapsto y' = \sin \theta x + \cos \theta y ,$$

which is clearly linear. Let $R_\theta : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ denote the corresponding linear map. A linear transformation is uniquely characterised by how it acts on a basis, and on the canonical basis R_θ acts as:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{e}_1 &\mapsto R_\theta(\mathbf{e}_1) = \cos \theta \mathbf{e}_1 + \sin \theta \mathbf{e}_2 \\ \mathbf{e}_2 &\mapsto R_\theta(\mathbf{e}_2) = -\sin \theta \mathbf{e}_1 + \cos \theta \mathbf{e}_2 . \end{aligned}$$

Now the matrix \mathbf{R}_θ representing R_θ in this basis has the form

$$\mathbf{R}_\theta = \begin{pmatrix} R_{11} & R_{12} \\ R_{21} & R_{22} \end{pmatrix} ,$$

where the entries R_{ij} are defined by

$$R_\theta(\mathbf{e}_j) = \sum_{i=1}^2 R_{ij} \mathbf{e}_i = R_{1j} \mathbf{e}_1 + R_{2j} \mathbf{e}_2 .$$

From the action of R_θ on the canonical basis, we can then read off the matrix:

$$\mathbf{R}_\theta = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} ,$$

whose determinant is given by

$$\det \mathbf{R}_\theta = \begin{vmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{vmatrix} = (\cos \theta)^2 + (\sin \theta)^2 = 1 .$$

In Lecture we saw that matrix multiplication is simply composition of linear maps. Therefore the matrix product $\mathbf{R}_\theta\mathbf{R}_\phi$ is the matrix of the composition of two rotations: one by angle ϕ followed by one by angle θ . Geometrically it is clear that the composition corresponds to a single rotation by angle $\theta + \phi$. Therefore we know that $\mathbf{R}_\theta\mathbf{R}_\phi = \mathbf{R}_{\theta+\phi}$. We can check this explicitly, of course:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{R}_\theta\mathbf{R}_\phi &= \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \phi & -\sin \phi \\ \sin \phi & \cos \phi \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta \cos \phi - \sin \theta \sin \phi & -\cos \theta \sin \phi - \sin \theta \cos \phi \\ \sin \theta \cos \phi + \cos \theta \sin \phi & -\sin \theta \sin \phi + \cos \theta \cos \phi \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta + \phi) & -\sin(\theta + \phi) \\ \sin(\theta + \phi) & \cos(\theta + \phi) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \mathbf{R}_{\theta+\phi} .\end{aligned}$$

Notice that we could have turned this calculation around and used it to *derive* the trigonometric identities for addition of angles.

Similarly, the inverse of a matrix is the matrix of the inverse linear transformation. Now the inverse of a rotation by θ is a rotation by $-\theta$, therefore $\mathbf{R}_\theta^{-1} = \mathbf{R}_\theta$. Let us nevertheless check this explicitly. The inverse of an arbitrary (invertible) 2×2 matrix is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{ad - bc} \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix} .$$

Applying this to \mathbf{R}_θ , we find

$$\mathbf{R}_\theta^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(-\theta) & -\sin(-\theta) \\ \sin(-\theta) & \cos(-\theta) \end{pmatrix} ,$$

where we have used that the cosine and sine functions are even and odd, respectively:

$$\cos(-\theta) = \cos \theta \quad \text{and} \quad \sin(-\theta) = -\sin \theta .$$

A reflection in the x -axis acts on the coordinates on the plane as follows:

$$x \mapsto x' = x \quad \text{and} \quad y \mapsto y' = -y ,$$

which is again clearly linear. Let $F : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ denote the corresponding linear transformation. Its action on the canonical basis is

$$\mathbf{e}_1 \mapsto F(\mathbf{e}_1) = \mathbf{e}_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{e}_2 \mapsto F(\mathbf{e}_2) = -\mathbf{e}_2 ,$$

from where we can read off the matrix F as was done above for the rotation:

$$F = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} .$$

Its determinant is clearly -1 . Because $F^2 = \mathbb{1}$, the identity matrix, we see that F is its own inverse: $F^{-1} = F$. One can understand this geometrically by drawing a picture and contemplating what a reflection does. A linear transformation which is its own inverse is called an **involution**.

Finally we are asked to prove that $FR_\theta = R_\theta^{-1}F$. This is simply matrix multiplication, but one can understand this geometrically without having to do any calculation. One simply notices that this equation can be written as

$$R_\theta^{-1} = FR_\theta F .$$

The right-hand side is the composition of three linear transformations: a reflection on the x -axis, a rotation by an angle θ and a second reflection on the x -axis. If you draw a picture, the equation is obvious.

Solution to Problem 4.

Let us take each of the eight matrices in turn:

- $\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

It has determinant 1 and acts as follows on the canonical basis:

$$\mathbf{e}_1 \mapsto -\mathbf{e}_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{e}_2 \mapsto \mathbf{e}_2 .$$

It can be interpreted geometrically as a reflection on the \mathbf{e}_1 -axis.

- $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

It has determinant 1, acts as follows on the canonical basis:

$$\mathbf{e}_1 \mapsto \mathbf{e}_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{e}_2 \mapsto -\mathbf{e}_1 ;$$

and can be interpreted geometrically as a $\pi/2$ rotation in the plane.

- $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$

It has determinant 6, acts as follows on the canonical basis:

$$\mathbf{e}_1 \mapsto 2\mathbf{e}_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{e}_2 \mapsto 3\mathbf{e}_2 ;$$

and can be interpreted geometrically as stretching the plane by a factor of 2 in the direction \mathbf{e}_1 and by a factor of 3 in the direction \mathbf{e}_2 .

- $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

It has determinant 0 and acts as follows on the canonical basis:

$$\mathbf{e}_1 \mapsto \mathbf{e}_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{e}_2 \mapsto \mathbf{0} ,$$

where $\mathbf{0}$ is the zero column vector. It can be interpreted geometrically as an orthogonal projection onto the \mathbf{e}_1 -axis along the \mathbf{e}_2 direction.

- $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & a \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

It has determinant 1 and acts as follows on the canonical basis:

$$\mathbf{e}_1 \mapsto \mathbf{e}_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{e}_2 \mapsto a \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 .$$

It can be interpreted geometrically as a shear of the plane.

- $\begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta & 0 \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

It has determinant 1 and it acts as follows on the canonical basis:

$$\mathbf{e}_1 \mapsto \cos \theta \mathbf{e}_1 + \sin \theta \mathbf{e}_2 , \mathbf{e}_2 \mapsto -\sin \theta \mathbf{e}_1 + \cos \theta \mathbf{e}_2 , \text{ and } \mathbf{e}_3 \mapsto \mathbf{e}_3 .$$

It can be interpreted geometrically as a rotation by an angle θ about the \mathbf{e}_3 -axis.

- $\begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & 0 & -\sin \theta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \sin \theta & 0 & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}$

It has determinant 1 and it acts as follows on the canonical basis:

$$\mathbf{e}_1 \mapsto \cos \theta \mathbf{e}_1 + \sin \theta \mathbf{e}_3 , \mathbf{e}_2 \mapsto \mathbf{e}_2 , \text{ and } \mathbf{e}_3 \mapsto -\sin \theta \mathbf{e}_1 + \cos \theta \mathbf{e}_3 .$$

It can be interpreted geometrically as a rotation by an angle $-\theta$ about \mathbf{e}_2 -axis.

- $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$

It has determinant -1 and acts as follows on the canonical basis:

$$\mathbf{e}_1 \mapsto \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2 \mapsto \mathbf{e}_2, \text{ and } \mathbf{e}_3 \mapsto -\mathbf{e}_3 .$$

It can be interpreted geometrically as a reflection on the $(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2)$ -plane.