

# Lecture 9

We're going to be a little more sketchy today in order to get to the end of deformations. The results we are aiming for are well illustrated by the following example.

Example:  $G = GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $B =$  upper  $\Delta^{\text{ar}}$  matrices,  $U =$  strictly upper  $\Delta^{\text{ar}}$  matrices,  $T =$  diagonal matrices;  $\mathfrak{b} = \text{Lie}(B)$ ,  $\mathfrak{t} = \text{Lie}(T)$ ,  $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$ .  
(NB  $B = U \rtimes T$ )

$$G \backslash G/B = \text{flag variety} = \left\{ \begin{matrix} F^0 \subset F^1 \subset F^2 \subset \dots \subset F^n \\ \mathfrak{o} \qquad \qquad \qquad \mathfrak{c}^n \end{matrix} : \dim F_i = i \right\} \longleftrightarrow \mathbb{P}(V(\omega_1) \oplus \dots \oplus V(\omega_{n-1}))$$

$g \mapsto g \cdot (\text{highest weights})$

$$G \backslash G/U = \text{base affine space} \longleftrightarrow V(\omega_1) \oplus \dots \oplus V(\omega_{n-1}).$$

$T^*(G/U) = G \times_U \mathfrak{b}^*$ , symplectic with two moment maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T^*(G/U) & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{t}^* \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{g}^* & & \mathfrak{g} \cdot \mathfrak{a} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} [g, \alpha] & \longmapsto & \alpha|_{\mathfrak{t}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ g \cdot \alpha & & \end{array}$$

Both are  $T$ -equivariant for right action of  $T$   
 $[g, \alpha] \cdot t = [gt, t^{-1}\alpha]$

So we have induced  $T^*(G/U) / T = G \times_B^{\mathfrak{g}} \mathfrak{b}^* \xrightarrow{\nu} \mathfrak{t}^*$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \downarrow \mu & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{g}^* & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \mathfrak{t}^*/\mathfrak{w} \end{array}$$

On identifying  $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{g}^*$  via  $\text{Tr}$ :  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} = \{ (F, X) \in G/B \times \mathfrak{g} : X(F^i) \subseteq F^i \forall i \}$   $\xrightarrow{\nu} \mathfrak{t}^*$

$(F, X) \mapsto X$   $\downarrow \mu$   $\mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{X \mapsto \text{eval}(X)} \mathfrak{t}^*/\mathfrak{w}$  (forget ordering)

$(F, X) \mapsto (X|_{F^i/F^{i-1}})_{i=1..n}$

This is the Grothendieck - Springer semiversal deformation. Note each fibre  $\nu^{-1}(\lambda)$  is symplectic as it is the hamiltonian reduction of  $T^*(G/U)$  w.r.t.  $T$  at  $\lambda$ . It is called a twisted cotangent bundle:  $T_{\lambda}^*(G/B)$

Theorem (Namikawa) Let  $X$  be a conical symplectic singularity and let  $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$  be a partial resolution of  $X$  where  $Y$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial and has terminal singularities such that  $K_Y$ , the canonical sheaf on  $Y$ , is trivial <sup>(ie  $\pi$  is crepant)</sup>

(i)  $\exists Y$  &  $\mathcal{E}$  varieties over  $A^d$  s.t. at  $0 \in A^d$   $Y$  and  $\mathcal{E}$  are the universal <sup>both</sup> Poisson deformations of  $Y$  and  $X$

(ii)  $\exists$  commutative diagram 
$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{\hat{\pi}} & \mathcal{E} \\ \nu \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha \\ A^d & \xrightarrow{f} & A^d \end{array}$$
 s.t. a)  $\hat{\pi}$  extends  $\pi$  at 0  
b)  $f$  is a Galois covering

(iii)  $Y$  is a locally trivial deformation of  $Y$

(iv) The morphism  $\nu_t: Y_t \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{f(t)}$  is an isomorphism at a general point  $t \in A^d$ .

BACK TO THE EXAMPLE:  $\pi: T^*(G/B) \xrightarrow{\pi} X$ , the Springer resolution.

Then  $Y = \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ ,  $\mathcal{E} = \mathfrak{g}$  and we have  $Y \xrightarrow{\nu} A^d = \mathfrak{h}$ ,  $\mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\alpha} A^d = \mathfrak{h}/W$

Moreover  $T^*(G/B) = \{ [g, \lambda + u] : u \in \text{Lie}(U) \} \xrightarrow{\nu} \{ [g, u] \} = T^*(G/B)$  is a diffeomorphism. If  $\lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$  with  $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$  for all  $i \neq j$ , then  $T^*(G/B) \xrightarrow{\nu} \mathfrak{g}$  is an isomorphism onto its image since if  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$  has the form  $X = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}$  then the only flag that is stable under  $X$  with  $X|_{F^i/P^{i-1}} = \lambda_i$  is  $F^i = \text{span}\{e_1, \dots, e_i\}$ .  $\square$

Corollary (Namikawa) Let  $X$  be a conical symplectic singularity. Then  $X$  admits a symplectic <sup>projective</sup> resolution if and only if  $X$  has a smoothing by a Poisson deformation.

Proof:  $\Rightarrow Y_t$  is smooth, so  $\mathcal{E}_{f(t)}$  is too.

$\Leftarrow$  take  $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$  as above. Then  $\exists t \in A^d$  s.t.  $Y_t = \mathcal{E}_{f(t)}$  is smooth since  $f$  is onto. Then  $Y_0 \cong \tilde{Y}$  is smooth.  $\square$

\* By advances in the Minimal Model Programme due to Birkar-Cascini-Hacon-McKernan, such a partial resolution exists.

Other examples of this picture include:

- semi-universal deformation of Kleinian singularities

- restriction of Grothendieck - Springer resolution to Slodowy slices at

nilpotent  $x$  (Lehn - Namikawa - Sorger) provided  $H^2(T^*G/B, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^2(\pi^{-1}(x), \mathbb{C})$  is an isomorphism.

- one might expect "generalized Calogero-Moser spaces" to be fibre

products of universal deformations of orbit spaces  $T^*\mathfrak{h}/G$  with  $G \leq \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{h})$ .

### ⊗ PERIOD MAP

Proof of theorem: 1) We still have to see  $\text{PD}_x$  is unobstructed.

a) Use lemma 45 to pass from  $\text{PD}_x$  to  $\text{PD}_U$  for  $U = X_{\text{reg}} \cup \text{symp. leaves}$  of codim 2.  $U \setminus X_{\text{reg}} =: \Sigma$ .

b) Since  $U$  only has Kleinian singularities, we can resolve minimally (i.e. crepantly, i.e. symplectically)  $\pi: \hat{U} \rightarrow U$  and local cohomology calc<sup>n</sup> (as before) will show  $H^1(\hat{U}, \mathcal{O}_{\hat{U}}) = H^1(U, \mathcal{O}_U) = 0$ .

c) From this cohomology result a theorem of Wahl  $\Rightarrow \exists \text{PD}_{\hat{U}} \rightarrow \text{PD}_U$  i.e. scheme deformation of  $\hat{U}$  descends to  $U$  (Wahl) & then Poisson structure on  $\pi^{-1}(U_{\text{reg}})$  pushes to Poisson structure on  $U$ .

d) Represent  $\text{PD}_{\hat{U}}$  by  $R_{\hat{U}}$ ,  $\text{PD}_U$  by  $R_U$ . Then  $\tau: R_U \rightarrow R_{\hat{U}}$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \text{e)} & 0 \rightarrow & \text{PD}_{\text{st}, U}(\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]) & \rightarrow & \text{PD}_U(\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]) & \rightarrow & H^0(\Sigma, \mathcal{H}) \\ & & \uparrow ? & & \uparrow & & \\ & 0 \rightarrow & H^2(U, \pi_* \mathbb{C}) & \rightarrow & H^2(\hat{U}, \mathbb{C}) & \rightarrow & H^0(U, R^2 \pi_* \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow 0 \text{ (Leray)} \\ & & \parallel & & \parallel & & \\ & & \mathbb{C} & & \text{PD}_{\hat{U}}(\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]) & & \end{array}$$

$\exists$  interesting comparison of  $\mathcal{H}$  &  $R^2 \pi_* \mathbb{C}$ : first in Poisson deformations of  $U$  considered only as deformations; second in Poisson deformations of  $\hat{U}$  considered only as deformations (and as a sheaf, pushed down to  $U$ ). Can show that monodromy of the two are related, essentially by uniqueness of minimal resolution. In particular

$$\dim H^0(U, \mathcal{H}) = \dim H^0(U, R^2 \pi_* \mathbb{C})$$

$$\therefore \dim \text{PD}_U(\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]) \leq \dim \text{PD}_{\hat{U}}(\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon])$$

f)  $\dim \tau^{-1}(0)$  is finite: i.e. show it has no curves passing through it.

This is then the study of deformations of  $\hat{U}$  over  $\mathbb{C}[[t]]$  that are trivial on passing down to the associated deformation on  $U$ . But around each point  $p \in \Sigma$  the deformation on  $\pi^{-1}(p) \subset \hat{U}$  must be trivial since this is a Kleinian singularity calculation. Now glue together

g)  $PD_{\hat{U}}$  is unobstructed: because controlled by  $H^2(\hat{U}^{an}, \mathbb{C})$  and Grauert-Mumford  $\Rightarrow H^2(U^{an}, S) = H^2(U^{an}, \mathbb{C}) \otimes S$  for any artinian  $S$ .

$\Rightarrow R_{\hat{U}}$  is regular.

$$h) \dim R_{\hat{U}} \leq \dim R_U + \dim \tau^{-1}(0) = \dim R_U \leq \dim PD_U(\mathbb{C}[[t]]) \leq \dim PD_{\hat{U}}(\mathbb{C}[[t]]) \leq \dim R_{\hat{U}}$$

$\Rightarrow R_U$  regular, so unobstructed.

2) We now lift  $\mathbb{C}^x$ -action on  $X$  to  $\mathbb{C}^x$ -action on  $Y$ :

a)  $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$  includes inside it  $\pi: \hat{U} \rightarrow U$ . So  $\mathbb{C}^x$ -action lifts by uniqueness of min<sup>l</sup> resolution to  $\hat{U}$ .  $\pi$  is semi-small  $\Rightarrow \text{codim}(Y, \hat{U}) \geq \frac{1}{2} \text{codim}(X, U) \geq 2$ .

b) Extend the action from  $\hat{U}$  to  $Y$  by using  $\pi$ -ample bundles and discreteness of appropriate Picard groups.

3) Use Grothendieck's algebraization theorem: the universal deformations of  $Y$  and  $X$  respectively have families  $\{Y_n\}$  and  $\{X_n\}$  over  $R_Y/m_Y^n$  &  $R_X/m_X^n$  and moreover  $Y_n \rightarrow X_n$  is projective (with ample line bundle a lift of a relatively ample line bundle for  $Y \rightarrow X$ , this being unobstructed since  $H^2(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) = 0$ ) and so  $\exists \hat{Y} \rightarrow \hat{X}$ , completions of schemes  $Y$  and  $X$  over  $R_Y$  and  $R_X$  which produce  $Y_n$  &  $X_n$ .

4) The  $\mathbb{C}^x$ -action on  $X$  induces one on:  $Y$  (as we've seen), on  $R_X$  &  $R_Y$  and on  $Y_n$  and  $X_n$ , and on  $\hat{Y}$  and  $\hat{X}$ . The basic observation that if  $(A, m)$  is a complete local ring with  $\mathbb{C}^x$ -action such that all weights on  $m$  are non-negative, then

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A\text{-mod}_{gr}^{f.g.} & \xleftrightarrow{\sim} & A^{fin}\text{-mod}_{gr}^{f.g.} \\ \begin{array}{ccc} M & \longrightarrow & M^{fin} \\ A \otimes_{A^{fin}} N & \longleftarrow & N \end{array} \end{array}$$

where  $A^{\text{fin}} = \text{span}\{\text{all eigenvectors for } \mathbb{C}^{\times}\text{-action}\}$ , and similarly for  $M^{\text{fin}}$ . This allows one to produce  $A^d$  from  $R_x$ ,  $A^d$  from  $R_y$ ,  $\mathbb{E}$  from  $\hat{\mathbb{E}}$ ,  $Y$  from  $\hat{Y}$ , and the required diagram in the theorem.

5) To see the map  $A^d \xrightarrow{\mathbb{E}} A^d$  in Calabi one considers again

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{PD}_y & \longrightarrow & \text{PD}_{\hat{u}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{PD}_x & \longrightarrow & \text{PD}_u \end{array}$$

and reduces to the case  $\text{PD}_{\hat{u}} \rightarrow \text{PD}_u$ . Then there will be several components for  $\Sigma$ . Let  $B$  be one such. Then the Poisson deformations are related to the global sections of the local system  $(\mathbb{R}^2 \pi_x \mathbb{C})_p$  for  $p \in B$ . This is  $H^2(\pi^{-1}(p), \mathbb{C})$  which is a system of  $\mathbb{P}^1$ 's glued together via Lie theoretic data of type  $A, D, E$  depending on the Kleinian singularity  $\dots$  the monodromy produces an automorphism of this data i.e.  $\tau$  a graph automorphism of the root system. The covering group is then  $\prod_B W_B$  where  $W_B = \{w \in W : \tau w \tau^{-1} = w\}$  i.e. takes picture for deform<sup>n</sup> of KS  
 $\swarrow$   
 Weyl gp type  $A, D, E$

and then introduces global data of <sup>trivial</sup> monodromy.

6) The local triviality in  $C^\infty$ -setting is achieved by checking in the terminal singularities case that  $\text{PD} = \text{PD}_{\text{et}}$  : both unobstructed, IM on tangent space and  $\text{PD}_{\text{et}} \rightarrow \text{PD}$ .

7) It's more complicated to get the last claim: one introduces "twistor deformations" of  $Y$  i.e.  $H^2(Y, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \text{Pic}(Y)$  and so line bundles produce quantizations. Ampleness of a line bundle  $\rightsquigarrow$  affineness of corresp. deformation  $\square$

⊕ The Period Map: The local triviality of  $Y_t$ 's  $\Rightarrow H^2(Y_t, \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\varphi_t} H^2(Y, \mathbb{C})$   
 But each  $Y_t$  carries  $\omega_t \Rightarrow$  get a morphism  $A^d \rightarrow H^2(Y, \mathbb{C}) \quad t \mapsto \varphi_t(\omega_t)$  which is called the Period Map. It is an isomorphism around the origin of  $A^d$  and  $[\omega] \in H^2(Y, \mathbb{C})$ : used originally by Kaledin-Verbitsky.

