

§ The unobstructedness of PD_X .

Again, we need to study the two dimensional case, which is rather famous.

We have already seen that the Slodowy slice $S \subset \mathfrak{g}$ to the subregular nilpotent orbit produces a Poisson deformation of S , a Kleinian singularity.

Now, in this case we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{PD}_{\text{et}, S}(\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]) \rightarrow \text{PD}_S(\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]) \rightarrow T_S^! \rightarrow 0$$

(it is surjective since we saw all deformations inherited a Poisson structure). But we also have $\text{PD}_{\text{et}, S}(\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]) = H^2(S^{\text{an}}, \mathbb{C}) = 0$ by the \mathbb{G}^\times -action.

Hence $\text{PD}_S(\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]) \cong T_S^!$. Now S is the semi-universal deformation, and it lives over $\mathfrak{h}_W = \mathfrak{g}/G$. Thus we deduce that it is the universal Poisson deformation of S .

Now consider the following spaces:

$$\begin{array}{c} \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} := G_B \times_{B} \mathfrak{b} = \{ (\tilde{b}, x) \in G_B \times \mathfrak{g} : x \in \tilde{b} \} \subset G_B \times \mathfrak{g} \\ \downarrow \mu \\ \mathfrak{g} \end{array}$$

This is called the Grothendieck-Springer simultaneous resolution. It admits a morphism

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h} \quad (\hat{b}, x) \mapsto x + [\hat{b}, \hat{b}] \in \hat{\mathfrak{b}} / [\hat{b}, \hat{b}] \cong \mathfrak{h}$$

In this way we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{h} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{g} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{h}_W \end{array} \quad \text{i.e. } \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \times_{\mathfrak{g}/W} \mathfrak{h} \quad \text{and this is a simultaneous resolution of} \\ \mathfrak{g} \times_{\mathfrak{g}/W} \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$$

There is a symplectic form on $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ by pullback of the form on \mathfrak{g} .

Now $\tilde{S} := \mu^{-1}(S \times_{\mathfrak{h}_W} \mathfrak{h})$; then $\mu|_{\tilde{S}} : \tilde{S} \rightarrow S \times_{\mathfrak{h}_W} \mathfrak{h}$ is a simultaneous res" and the symplectic form persists.

In particular we get $\tilde{S} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$, a Poisson (= symplectic) deformation of the resolution \tilde{S} of S . Moreover Slodowy proved that \tilde{S} was a semiuniversal deformation of the algebraic variety S . But then this is classified by $H^1(\tilde{S}, \mathcal{T}_{\tilde{S}}) \cong H^1(\tilde{S}, \Omega_{\tilde{S}}^1) \cong H^2(\tilde{S}, \mathbb{C}) = \text{PD}_S(\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon])$. So again \tilde{S} is the universal Poisson deformation.

These two results then extend to $S \times \mathbb{C}^{2n-2}$ and $\widetilde{S} \times \mathbb{C}^{2n-2}$: in the first case by Lemma ?, the second because $H^2(\widetilde{S} \times \mathbb{C}^{2n-2}) = H^2(\widetilde{S})$.

Theorem (Naumikawa) Let X be a symplectic singularity. Then PD_X is represented

Proof. Let U be the locus of smooth or rational double points, as before. It can be resolved minimally by $\widehat{U} \xrightarrow{\pi} U$ (where in a nbhd of a rational double point we just see the resolution of singularities from before).

Let $Z = X \setminus U$, $\text{codim } Z \geq 4 \Rightarrow H^j_Z(G_X) = 0 \quad j \leq 3$ by Lichten-Macaulayness

$$\therefore \rightarrow H^i(X, G_X) \rightarrow H^i(U, G_U) \rightarrow H^{i+1}_Z(X, G_X) \rightarrow \dots$$

& ratl sing of $X \Rightarrow H^i(U, G_U) = 0$ for $i=1, 2$. Then $H^{i+j}(\widehat{U}, G_{\widehat{U}}) \leftarrow H^i(U, R_f^j G_U)$ collapses as U has ratl. sing $\Rightarrow H^i(\widehat{U}, G_{\widehat{U}}) = H^2(\widehat{U}, G_{\widehat{U}}) = 0$.

*: $\exists \text{PD}_{\widehat{U}} \rightarrow \text{PD}_U$. This arises from a result of Wahl which says a "deform" of \widehat{U} (not nec Poisson) induces one on U ; then one takes the Poisson structure on \widehat{U} , restricts to smooth locus, passes down to U and extends, just as usual!

By earlier style analysis, both $\text{PD}_{\widehat{U}}$ & PD_U are minimal, represented by $R_{\widehat{U}}$ & R_U respectively. $\therefore R_U \rightarrow R_{\widehat{U}}$.

* $H^{i+j}(\widehat{U}, \mathbb{C}) \leftarrow H^i(U, R_{\widehat{U}}^j \mathbb{C})$. But $R^1 \pi_* \mathbb{C} = 0$ (forced by ratl sing) and so one gets an exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & H^2(U, \mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{\pi_* \mathbb{C}} & H^2(\widehat{U}, \mathbb{C}) & \rightarrow & H^0(U, R^2 \pi_* \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{PD}_{\widehat{U}}(\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]) & & \\ & & 0 & \rightarrow & \text{PD}_{\widehat{U}}(\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]) & \longrightarrow & H^0(\Sigma, \mathcal{J}\ell) \end{array}$$

Note that $R^2 \pi_* \mathbb{C}$ is supported on Σ : it turns out the two RHS are closely related i.e. the same dimension:

$$\dim \text{PD}_U(\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]) \leq \dim \text{PD}_{\widehat{U}}(\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon])$$

* Now we want to deduce that $\text{Spec}(R_{\widehat{U}}) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R_U)$ is "quasi-finite" i.e. closed fibre is finite. So take $\alpha: R_{\widehat{U}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[[t]]$ corresponding to a mapping from a curve s.t. $R_U \rightarrow R_{\widehat{U}} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathbb{C}[[t]]$ is trivial i.e. factors through $R_U / \mathfrak{m}_U^n = \mathbb{C}$. Restrict

to U_p & \tilde{U}_p for any $p \in \Sigma$ (germs of resolution of double pts) we can induce deformation
i.e. $R_{U_p} \rightarrow R_{\tilde{U}_p} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathbb{C}[[t]]$ factoring through R_{U_p}/m_{U_p} . But $\text{Spec}(R_{\tilde{U}_p}) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R_{U_p})$
is Calabi by the analysis we looked at at the beginning of the lecture \Rightarrow the deformation
of \tilde{U}_p is also trivial, as a Poisson deformation
 \Rightarrow whole deformation is trivial.

* $\text{tan.sp.PD}_{\tilde{U}}$ controlled by $H^2(\tilde{U}^{\text{an}}, \mathbb{C})$ (as we've seen). But then Gauss-Manin implies

$$H^2(\tilde{U}_n^{\text{an}}, T_n) = H^2(\tilde{U}^{\text{an}}, \mathbb{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} T_n \quad \text{for a deform } \tilde{U}_n \text{ over } T_n \text{ and so it}$$

is straightforward to check unobstructedness

(Write $R_{\tilde{U}} = \mathbb{C}[[x_1 - x_r]]/J$. Let $U(\varepsilon) \rightarrow A' \leftarrow A \rightarrow 0$ be a sequence in Art).

Then generally

$$\text{Hom}(R_{\tilde{U}}, A') \rightarrow \text{Hom}(R_{\tilde{U}}, A) \xrightarrow{\cong} (J/m_R J)^* \otimes I$$

is exact. Now we have $A' = \frac{\mathbb{C}[t]}{t^{n+1}}$, $A = \frac{\mathbb{C}[t]}{t^n}$ giving

$$0 \rightarrow (t^{n+1}) \rightarrow A' \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$$

$\downarrow \sharp$ $\downarrow t + t\varepsilon$

$$0 \rightarrow (t^n\varepsilon) \rightarrow A[\varepsilon] \rightarrow A''[\varepsilon] \times_{A''} A \rightarrow 0$$

$$\text{where } A'' = \frac{\mathbb{C}[t]}{t^{n-1}}$$

which on applying $\text{Hom}(R_{\tilde{U}}, -)$ give

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{PD}_{\tilde{U}}(A') \rightarrow \text{PD}_{\tilde{U}}(A) \rightarrow t^{n+1} \otimes (J/m_R J)^* \\ \downarrow \qquad \downarrow \qquad \downarrow \sharp \\ \text{PD}_{\tilde{U}}(A[\varepsilon]) \rightarrow \text{PD}_{\tilde{U}}(A''[\varepsilon] \times_{A''} A) \rightarrow t^n\varepsilon \otimes (J/m_R J)^* \end{array}$$

surjective by above observation $\therefore \text{PD}_{\tilde{U}}(A') \rightarrow \text{PD}_{\tilde{U}}(A)$ surjective.)

It follows that $R_{\tilde{U}}$ is regular. (i.e. $\mathbb{C}[\text{PD}_{\tilde{U}}(\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon])]^\wedge$).

* $\dim R_{\tilde{U}} \leq \dim R_n + \dim \pi^{-1}(0) = \dim R_n \leq \dim \text{PD}_{\tilde{U}}(\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]) \leq \dim \text{PD}_{\tilde{U}}(\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]) = \dim R_{\tilde{U}}$
 $\Rightarrow R_{\tilde{U}}$ is regular i.e. $\text{PD}_{\tilde{U}}$ unobstructed.

* Now, by Lemma?, $\text{PD}_U(U_n/T_n, T_n[\varepsilon]) \simeq \text{PD}_X(X_n/T_n, T_n[\varepsilon])$ so unobstructedness passes
to PD_X . \square

We will now pass from formal deformations to actual varieties by using a \mathbb{C}^* -action. So from now on assume that X is a conical symplectic singularity.

Lemma (Namikawa). Let X be a conical symplectic singularity and $f: Y \rightarrow X$ a partial resolution s.t. Y has terminal singularities. Then the \mathbb{C}^* -action on X lifts to Y .

(Def): A variety has terminal singularities if for any resolution $\tilde{f}: \tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$ we have $K_{\tilde{Y}} = \tilde{f}^*K_Y + \sum_{a_i > 0} a_i E_i$ where the E_i are the components of the exceptional divisor.)

Proof: $X = U$, the good set as usual with $\text{codim } Z \geq 4$ for $Z = X \setminus U$. Moreover $f|_{f^{-1}(U)}: \tilde{U} := f^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ is the min'l resolution. Therefore, by uniqueness of this resolution, we have that the \mathbb{C}^* -action extends to \tilde{U} . However $\text{codim}(Y, \tilde{U}) \geq 2$ since Namikawa proved that crepant partial resolutions of symplectic singularities are semi-small. (i.e. $\text{codim}(X, U) \leq \frac{1}{2}\text{codim}(Y, f^{-1}(U))$). Thus we get a rational map $\sigma: \mathbb{C}^* \times Y \dashrightarrow Y$ which is defined at least on \tilde{U} , and so in codim 1. Now let L be an f -ample line bundle (i.e. produces an embedding in $\mathbb{P}_X^{\text{H}^0(Y, L^m)}$). Set $\tilde{L}_t := \sigma^*(L|_{\tilde{U}})|_{\mathbb{C}^* \times \tilde{U}}$ a line bundle on \tilde{U} . $\text{Pic}(\tilde{U}) \cong H^2(\tilde{U}, \mathbb{Z})$ and so is discrete and so $\tilde{L}_t \cong \tilde{L}_s = L|_{\tilde{U}}$. As $L|_{\tilde{U}}$ extends to Y , so too does each \tilde{L}_t and so we get a line bundle on $\mathbb{C}^* \times Y$ extending $(\sigma|_U)^*L$. Call it σ^*L . Since $L = (\sigma^*L)|_{\{1\} \times X}$ is f -ample we see that L is ample on each fibre of f . But then $L_t = (\sigma^*L)|_{\{t\} \times X}$ are also f -ample since $\text{Pic}(Y)/_{f^*\text{Pic}(X)}$ is discrete. Thus we get

$$Y \dashrightarrow Y \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_X^{\text{H}(L^{\otimes m})} = Y \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_X^{\text{H}(L_t^{\otimes m})}$$

and so $\sigma_t: Y \rightarrow Y$. Putting these all together produces an action of \mathbb{C}^* : $\sigma_t \sigma_s = \sigma_{t+s}$ since they are equal on \tilde{U} . \square

Now we require a big modern theorem

Theorem (Birkar-Cascini-Hacon-McKernan) Let X have symplectic singularities. Then $\exists f: Y \rightarrow X$ a partial crepant resolution which is projective and s.t. Y is \mathbb{Q} -factorial and has terminal singularities.

