

Defⁿ 16 $D_V\text{-mod}_h$ is the category of all D_V -representations whose characteristic cycle has dimension $\dim V$ (or 0). Such representations are called holonomic.

Theorem 17 $D_V\text{-mod}_h$ is an abelian category, closed under extensions. It is artinian.

Proof: What the first claim means is that if $0 \rightarrow M_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha} M_2 \xrightarrow{\beta} M_3 \rightarrow 0$ is a short exact sequence in $D_V\text{-mod}$, then $M_1, M_3 \in D_V\text{-mod}_h \Leftrightarrow M_2 \in D_V\text{-mod}_h$

So to see this take a good filtration on M_2 and set $F_i M_1 = \alpha^{-1}(F_i M_2)$ and $F_i M_3 = \beta(F_i M_2)$, the induced filtrations. Then it is elementary to see

$$0 \rightarrow \text{gr } M_1 \xrightarrow{\text{gr } \alpha} \text{gr } M_2 \xrightarrow{\text{gr } \beta} \text{gr } M_3 \rightarrow 0$$

(e.g. $\text{gr } \alpha(x + F_{i-1} M_1) = \alpha(x) + F_{i-1} M_2$ and if $\alpha(x) \in F_{i-1} M_2$ then $x \in F_{i-1} M_1$ by construction...). Now commutative algebra shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Supp}(\text{gr } M_2) &= \text{Supp}(\text{gr } M_1) \cup \text{Supp}(\text{gr } M_3) \\ \text{Ch}(M_2) &= \text{Ch}(M_1) \cup \text{Ch}(M_3) \end{aligned}$$

and so the first claim is proved.

It is also true that $\forall \mathfrak{p} \text{ min}^d$ in $\text{Supp } M_2$ (i.e. irred pts of $\text{Ch}(M_2)$) we have

$$\text{mult}_{\mathfrak{p}} M_1 + \text{mult}_{\mathfrak{p}} M_3 = \text{mult}_{\mathfrak{p}} M_2$$

So it follows that

$$\underline{\text{Ch}} M_2 = \underline{\text{Ch}} M_1 + \underline{\text{Ch}} M_3.$$

Thus if we have a descending chain $N = N_1 \supseteq N_2 \supseteq N_3 \supseteq \dots$ we necessarily have $\underline{\text{Ch}} N_i \supseteq \underline{\text{Ch}} N_{i+1}$ and so the chain must stabilise. Thus the category is artinian. \square

Thus the first key problem is

CLASSIFY ALL SIMPLE HOLONOMIC D_V -MODULES.

Recall simple means there are no submodules other than 0 and itself. The theorem shows that each holonomic module has a finite composition series with simple sections

$$N = N_1 \supset N_2 \supset N_3 \supset \dots \supset N_r \supset N_{r+1} = 0$$

s.t. N_i/N_{i+1} simple. The Jordan-Hölder theorem states that although this filtration will not be unique, the number of times any simple appears is well-defined.

Let's look first at possible characteristic varieties of holonomic modules. Observe that since $\text{gr}M$ is graded we must have that $\text{Ch}M$ is \mathbb{C}^* -stable and so each irred. component of $\text{Ch}M$ is \mathbb{C}^* -stable.

DEF^N 18 Let $Y \subseteq V$ be smooth irreducible and set

$$T_Y^*V = \left\{ \alpha \in (T^*V)|_Y : \alpha(\hat{T}Y) = 0 \right\}$$

This is the conormal bundle of Y . (Observe that over $y \in Y$, the fibre has dimension $\dim V - \dim Y$. Thus $\dim(T_Y^*V) = \dim Y + (\dim V - \dim Y) = \dim V$.)

Thm (Kashivara) 19i) T_Y^*V is \mathbb{C}^* -stable and lagrangian in T^*V .

ii) Suppose $\Lambda \subset T^*V$ is $\sqrt{\text{a closed}}$ irreducible \mathbb{C}^* -stable lagrangian. Then

$$\Lambda = \overline{T^*V}_{\pi(\Lambda)_{\text{reg}}} \quad \text{where } \pi: T^*V \rightarrow V.$$

Proof: i) T_Y^*V is obviously \mathbb{C}^* -stable. Let $\xi \in T_\lambda(T_Y^*V)$ with $\lambda \in T_Y^*V$. Recall L in the defⁿ of the symplectic structure on T^*V

$$L(\xi) = \langle \pi_* \xi, \lambda \rangle = 0 \quad \text{since } \pi_* \xi \in TY$$

$$\therefore L|_{T_Y^*V} = 0 \Rightarrow \omega = dL|_{T_Y^*V} = 0 \Rightarrow T_Y^*V \text{ is isotropic} \Rightarrow \text{lagrangian.}$$

ii) Set $Y = \pi(\Lambda)_{\text{reg}}$. The \mathbb{C}^* action on T^*V differentiates to a vector field on T^*V which is given by $\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i} \stackrel{E_n}{\rightarrow}$. Then since Λ is \mathbb{C}^* -stable we have that E_n is tangent to $T\Lambda_{\text{reg}}$ i.e. $E_n \in T\Lambda_{\text{reg}}$ and the lagrangian condⁿ then gives $0 = \omega(E_n, T\Lambda_{\text{reg}})$. But a simple calculation (with co-ordinates) shows that $\omega(E_n, -) = L(-)$. Thus $L|_\Lambda = 0$.

Now \exists dense open set $\Lambda_{\text{sm}} \subset \Lambda_{\text{reg}}$ s.t. $\pi: \Lambda_{\text{sm}} \xrightarrow{\subset Y}$ is smooth
i.e. $\forall \alpha \in \Lambda_{\text{sm}} \quad \pi_*: T_\alpha \Lambda \rightarrow T_{\pi(\alpha)} Y$. Then

$$\forall \beta \in T_\alpha \Lambda \quad 0 = L(\beta) = \langle \pi_*(\beta), \alpha \rangle \Rightarrow \alpha(T_{\pi(\alpha)} Y) = 0 \quad \text{i.e. } \alpha \in T_Y^*V \Rightarrow \Lambda_{\text{sm}} \subseteq T_Y^*V$$

$$\therefore \overline{\Lambda_{\text{sm}}} \subseteq \overline{T_Y^*V} \quad \text{and equality of dimⁿ + irred. gives } =. \quad \square$$

This statement now generalises to:

Cor 20 Let M be holonomic. Then \exists a stratification of $V = \bigcup_\alpha V_\alpha$ by locally closed sets
s.t. $\text{Ch}M \subseteq \bigcup_\alpha \overline{T_{V_\alpha}^*V}$ (just work component by component)

e.g. if $X \hookrightarrow V$ is a closed embedding then \exists a D_V -module $\mathcal{B}_{X/V}$ whose characteristic variety is T_X^*V

i.e. $\{p\} \hookrightarrow V$ $\mathcal{B}_{\{p\}/V} = D_V / D_V m_p$ where $m_p \triangleleft \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is the corresponding max^l ideal.

\leadsto suggests there may be an inductive description, but we'll need to deal with varieties more general than affine spaces V .

Start again!

Let X be a smooth variety.

\mathcal{D}_X = sheaf of differential operators on X . It is defined as the subsheaf of $\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{O}_X)$ generated locally by \mathcal{O}_X and \mathcal{T}_X , the tangent sheaf.

In other words, on an affine open set U we will have $\mathcal{D}_X(U)$ generated by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A = \mathcal{O}_X(U), & \mathcal{T}_X(U) = \text{Der}(A, A) = \{ \theta: A \rightarrow A \text{ } \mathbb{C}\text{-linear s.t. } \theta(ab) = a\theta(b) + b\theta(a) \} \\ \downarrow f & \downarrow \theta \\ & \mathbb{C} \end{array}$$

subject to $[\theta, f] = \theta(f)$ (noting that $[\theta_1, \theta_2] \in \text{Der}(A, A)$)

(In fact, around any point p one can find an open nbhd U and local co-ordinates meaning that $\mathcal{T}_X(U) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{O}_X(U) \partial_i$ with $[\partial_i, \partial_j] = 0$ and elements $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$

s.t. $\partial_i(x_j) = \delta_{ij}$ and (x_1, \dots, x_n) generate m_p in $\mathcal{O}_{X,p}$. (To prove this take the gen. set (x_1, \dots, x_n) which the theory of regular local rings give, then observe that dx_1, \dots, dx_n is an $\mathcal{O}_{X,p}$ -basis of $(\mathcal{T}_{X,p})^*$ and so can take an open set U where this freeness continues to hold.)

e.g. $A \setminus \{0\} = X$ $A = \mathcal{O}(X) = \mathbb{C}[x^{\pm 1}]$, $\text{Der} A = \mathbb{C}[x^{\pm 1}] \partial_x$. $\mathcal{D}_X = A_1[x^{-1}]$

e.g. $\mathbb{P}^1 = A_0^+ \cup A_0^-$ $\mathcal{O}(A_0^+) = \mathbb{C}[x]$, $\therefore \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(A_0^+) = A_1$ (variable x); $\mathcal{O}(A_0^-) = \mathbb{C}[x^{-1}]$

so $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(A_0^-) = A_1$ (variable x^{-1})

QN: $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathbb{P}^1) =$ diff ops that exist both on A_0^+ and A_0^- : $\partial_{x^{-1}} = -x^2 \partial_x$ (apply to x^i)
so find $x^2 \partial_x, x \partial_x, \partial_x$ common to both.

• there is a filtration by degree of operator: $\text{gr } \mathcal{D}_X = \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{T^*X}$ where $\pi: T^*X \rightarrow X$

• \mathcal{D}_X -mod: quasicoherent \mathcal{O}_X -modules such that \mathcal{D}_X acts and is locally finitely generated over \mathcal{D}_X .

We get good filtrations and $\text{Ch}(M) \subset T^*X$ \mathbb{C}^* -stable, involutive and therefore Bernstein's inequality. (meaning... $F_i M \subseteq F_{i+1} M \subseteq \dots$ each \mathcal{O}_X coherent in particular)

Prop 21 Suppose that $M \in \mathcal{D}_X\text{-mod}$ is coherent over \mathcal{O}_X . Then M is locally free over \mathcal{O}_X .

Pf: Being locally free is a local condⁿ: we want to prove that $\forall p \in X$ M_p is a free in a free $\mathcal{O}_{X,p}$ -module. Without loss of generality we may take local coordinates around p : $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ $\{\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n\}$ where $\mathcal{D}_X = \sum_{\alpha}^{\oplus} \mathcal{O}_X \partial^{\alpha}$ and $m_p \subset \mathcal{O}_{X,p}$ is generated by (x_1, \dots, x_n) .

M_p is fin. gen. so pick a basis $\bar{m}_1, \dots, \bar{m}_t \in \frac{M_p}{m_p M_p}$ by Nakayama's lemma m_1, \dots, m_t generate M_p as an $\mathcal{O}_{X,p}$ -module.

We will prove they are linearly independent. So suppose $\exists f_i \in \mathcal{O}_{X,p}$ s.t. $\sum_{i=1}^t f_i m_i = 0$ in M_p .

Define $\text{ord}(f_i) = \max\{l : f_i \in m^l\}$. Now $0 = \partial_j (\sum_{i=1}^t f_i m_i) = \sum_{i=1}^t (\partial_j(f_i) m_i + f_i \partial_j m_i)$

Let $\partial_j m_i = \sum_{k=1}^t h_{ij}^k m_k$, so that

$$0 = \sum_{s=1}^t \left[\partial_j(f_s) + \sum_{i=1}^t f_i h_{ij}^s \right] m_s$$

Let $l = \min\{\text{ord}(f_i) : i=1, \dots, t\}$ $\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{ord} < l \\ \text{for some } j \\ \text{and } s \\ \text{unless } l=0 \end{array} \right\}$ $\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{ord} \geq l \end{array} \right\}$

\therefore can replace f_i 's with lower order terms. Iterate. $\Rightarrow l=0$. Now factor out m_p to get $\sum \bar{f}_i \bar{m}_i = 0$ with at least one $\bar{f}_i \neq 0 \nrightarrow$ unless all $f_i = 0$ to begin with. \square

DEF^N 22 Any \mathcal{D}_X -mod that is coherent over \mathcal{O}_X is an integrable connection:

i.e. $\mathcal{T}_X \longrightarrow \text{End}(M) : \theta \longmapsto \nabla_{\theta}$

- (i) $\nabla_{f\theta}(m) = f \nabla_{\theta}(m)$
 - (ii) $\nabla_{\theta}(fm) = \theta(f)m + f \nabla_{\theta}(m)$
 - (iii) $[\nabla_{\theta}, \nabla_{\theta'}] = \nabla_{[\theta, \theta']}$
- } connection
integrable

So we get a geometric restriction. We call the category of such objects $\text{Conn}(X)$

Prop 23 Let $M \in D_X$ -mod. The following are equivalent

- i) $\text{Ch } M = T_X^* X$
- ii) M is coherent over \mathcal{O}_X
- iii) M is a vector bundle on X of finite rank, with an $\sqrt{\text{integrable}}$ connection.

Proof: ii) \Leftrightarrow iii) is what we've just seen.

iii) \Rightarrow i) Take $F_i M = \begin{cases} M & i \geq 0 \\ 0 & i < 0 \end{cases}$. Then $\text{gr } M = F_0 M / \bigcup_{i < 0} F_i M = M$ so it's a good

filtration as this is f.g. \mathcal{O}_X . Then $T_X \cdot F_0 M \subseteq \bigcup_{i < 0} F_i M \Rightarrow T_X \subset \text{Ann } \text{gr } M \Rightarrow \text{Ch } M = T_X^* X$.

i) \Rightarrow ii) We work locally, so that $D_X = \sum_{\alpha} \mathcal{O}_X \partial^{\alpha}$ and $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_{T_X} = \mathcal{O}_X[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n]$

The condⁿ $\text{Ch } M = T_X^* X$ is equivalent to

$$\sqrt{\text{Ann}_{\mathcal{O}_X[\xi]}(\text{gr } M)} = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \text{ for any good filt}^n \text{ of } M.$$

Then, because of noetherianity, $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)^m \subseteq \text{Ann}_{\mathcal{O}_X[\xi]}(\text{gr } M)$ for $m \gg 0$

It follows that $(F_m D_X)(F_i M) \subseteq F_{i+m-1} M \quad \forall i$

But recall that $\text{gr } M$ is fin. gen. so that we can find all generators in $F_i M$ for i big enough. Then, for any j we have $(F_j D_X)(F_i M) = F_{i+j} M$. From these two observations it follows that $F_{i+n} M = F_{i+n-1} M$ for all i big enough. In other words the good filtration terminates. Hence $M = F_{i+n} M$ is a coherent \mathcal{O}_X -module. \square

So we have a description of each of all holonomic D -modules with characteristic variety $T_X^* X$ as $\text{Conn}(X)$

Thm 24 Let Y be a locally closed $\sqrt{\text{irreducible}}$ subvariety of X s.t. $Y \rightarrow X$ is affine. Let N be an irreducible element of $\text{Conn}(Y)$. Then

- i) \exists a "minimal extension" $L(Y, N) \in D_X$ -mod which is irreducible holonomic.
- ii) Every irreducible holonomic D_X -module is of the form $L(Y, N)$ for some Y and N .
- iii) $L(Y, N) \cong L(Y', N')$ iff $\bar{Y} = \bar{Y}'$ and \exists open dense $U \subseteq Y \cap Y'$ s.t. $N|_U \cong N'|_U$.

