

We observe some extra structure in the Hilbert scheme case: $\exists \mathbb{C}^*G(X, Y, a, b)$
 $t \cdot (X, Y, a, b) = (tX, t^{-1}Y, a, b)$. Let Π denote this torus, which is acting
in a hamiltonian fashion on $\text{Hilb}^n \mathbb{C}^2$.

Generally suppose that we have a \mathbb{C}^* action of a 1-D torus Π on a
symplectic resolution $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ of a conical symplectic singularity that
commutes with the \mathbb{C}^* -action on Y defining the conical structure.

Defⁿ: (Braden-Licata-Proudfoot-Webster) Let $\lambda \in \check{H}^2(X, \mathbb{C}^1)$, with W_X^λ and
 $W^\lambda(Y)$ defined as before. There is a Π -action on $W^\lambda(Y)$.

i) Decompose $W^\lambda(Y) = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} W^\lambda(Y)_i$ under the action of Π . Let
 $G^\lambda(Y)$ be the category of finitely generated $W^\lambda(Y)$ -modules
such that $W^\lambda(Y)_{\geq 0}$ is locally finite.

ii) Let $X_+ = \{x \in X : \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t \cdot x \text{ exists}\} \subseteq X$. Set $G^\lambda(X)$ to be full

subcategory of (W_X, \mathbb{C}^*) -mod, whose objects

(i) are supported on X_+ , i.e. for any lattice $M(0)$ we get $M(0)/_{hM(0)} \text{supp}$
on X_+

(ii) If $\hat{\xi}$ is a lift of the vector field of $\Pi G X$ to W_X , then \exists lattice
 $M(0)$ stable under $\hat{\xi}$ (cf. Defⁿ 28)

Propⁿ: Assume equivalences $(W_X^\lambda, \mathbb{C}^*)$ -mod $\xrightleftharpoons[\text{loc}]{\Gamma(\cdot)^{\mathbb{C}^*}} W^\lambda(Y)$ -mod. Then these
restrict to $G^\lambda(X) \rightleftarrows G^\lambda(Y)$ if \exists a finite # of Π -fixed points in X .

Proof: If $M \in G^\lambda(X)$ supported on X_+ then let $M(0)$ be a $\hat{\xi}$ -stable
lattice $\sim M(0)/_{hM(0)}$ in stable under $\text{Lie}(\Pi)$ -action & supported
on X_+ :

but all irreducible components of X_+ have rings of functions that
are non-positively graded (by construction) & so $\Gamma(M(0)/_{hM(0)})$
is graded by $\text{Lie}(\Pi)$ with bounded above eigenvalues.

Now $\Gamma(M)^{\mathbb{C}^*}$ has a filtⁿ $\Gamma(M(i))^{\mathbb{C}^*} = F^i(\Gamma(M)^{\mathbb{C}^*})$ & the

$$M(i) = h^{-i} M(0)$$

$$\text{associated graded gr } M^{C^*} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{M(i)[0]}{M(i-1)[0]}, \xrightarrow{h^i} \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{M(0)[i]}{M(1)[i]} = \frac{M}{hM}$$

so we see that $\text{gr } \Gamma(M)^{C^*} = \Gamma(\frac{M}{hM})$ and so the $\text{Lie}(\mathbb{T})$ -action on $\Gamma(M)^{C^*}$ is bounded above. Now it is clear that $W^\lambda(Y)$, acts locally nilpotently, and $W^\lambda(Y)_0$ acts locally finitely as the weight spaces are finite dimensional. (because fixed points are isolated)

Conversely, given $N \in G^\lambda(Y)$, choose a weight decomp" (for the \mathbb{T} -action) compatible with the filtration (possible since $\mathbb{T} \times C^*$ commute) and then $\text{Loc}(N)(0)$ is preserved by $\hat{\pi}$. We need to show that its support is contained in X_+ .

First $X_+ = V(f : f \in G(X) \text{ eigenvector with positive } C^*\text{-weight, non-key } \mathbb{T}\text{-weight})$
[such an f vanishes on any C^* -fixed pt; $\pi^{-1}(0)$ C^* -stable & projective, so contains fixed pt $\Rightarrow f$ is 0 on $\pi^{-1}(0)$. Now pick $x \in X_+$ and observe
 $f(x) = (t \cdot f)(t \cdot x) = \lim_{\substack{t \rightarrow 0 \\ (t \in \mathbb{T})}} (t \cdot f)(t \cdot x) = 0$ since $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t \cdot f$ exists and $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t \cdot x$

lies in $\pi^{-1}(0)$ [finiteness again: $\lambda \cdot x$ is a fixed point of \mathbb{T} $\forall \lambda \Rightarrow \lambda \cdot x = x \ \forall \lambda \in C^*$]; conversely all fns on X have non-negative C^* -weight by the conical hypothesis and only constants have degree 0. Suppose $x \in X$ vanishes on the above zero set. Then $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} (t^{-1} \cdot f)(x) = f(\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t \cdot x)$ exists $\forall f \in G(X)$ since either $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{-1} \cdot f$

exists because f has non-positive \mathbb{T} -degree, or $t^{-1} \cdot f$ belongs to ideal defining the zero set. Thus $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t \cdot x$ exists, so $x \in X_+$.]

Now take f as above, let $i_f : X_f \rightarrow X$ be the inclusion of the principal open set. Then $i_f^{-1} \text{Loc}(N)(0)$ is a sheaf of flat $\mathbb{C}[h]$ -modules with fibre $G_{X_f} \otimes \text{gr } N$ at $h=0$. Now $\text{gr } N$ has finite dim^h wt spaces that are bounded

above (for the $\text{Lie}(\mathbb{T})$ -action) and so if f has positive degree it acts ^{locally} nilpotently on $\text{gr } N$, & if f has \mathbb{T} -degree 0, then the positivity of the C^* -action shows it acts locally nilpotent. Hence $G_{X_f} \otimes \text{gr } N = 0$ and so $i_f^{-1} \text{Loc}(N)(0) = 0$

i.e N is supported on X_+ . \square

e.g. $X = T^* \mathcal{B}$, $Y = N$. We have T - a max'l torus of G - acting on all spaces in a hamiltonian fashion. Let $\mathbb{T} \hookrightarrow T$ be a general one parameter

subgroup: then there are $|W|$ fixed points: $T \subset B$ with this giving a choice of positive roots ad $\alpha(t) > 0$ $t \in T$ generating the subgp. Then the the fixed points are $(wB, 0) \in T^*B$ and the attracting set to one of these is $X_+(w) = T_{BwB/B}^* G/B$, the conormal to a Schubert cell.

Moreover, $G^\lambda(N) = \text{Cat } \mathcal{G}$ for \mathcal{G} with $U(n)$ acting nilpotently, $U(\mathfrak{g})$ loc. fin & $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ via λ .

So we get back to the geometric description of category \mathcal{G} for lie algebras that we had before.

It is a fundamental (but non-trivial) property of category \mathcal{G} for a simple lie algebra that it is Koszul: if we consider a regular block \mathcal{G}_0 then the Koszul dual of $\mathcal{G}_0(\mathfrak{g})$ is $\mathcal{G}_0(\mathfrak{g}^\perp)$ where \perp is the Langlands dual of \mathfrak{g} .

Conjecture (BLPW): $\overset{\text{For } \lambda \text{ "good enough" }}{\leftarrow} \mathcal{G}^\lambda(Y)$ is Koszul. Its Koszul dual $(\mathcal{G}^\lambda(Y))!$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{G}^{\lambda!}(Y!)$ for some symplectic dual variety $Y!$.

Examples of symplectic duals are expected to include:

$$1: T^*(G/B) \perp T^*(G^\perp/B^\perp)$$

2. $\text{Hilb}^n \mathbb{C}^2$ is self-dual

3. $T^*(GL(n)/P)$ & resolution of Slodowy slice in $gl(n)$ to nilpotent orbit described by P .

"4. Higgs branch of the moduli space of vacua for an $N=4, d=3$ supersymmetric QFT dual to the Coulomb branch of the moduli space of same theory."

BV note: very few tools i.e. no RTI correspondence.

Last example: hypertoric Varieties, following Bellamy - Kawanou & Braden - Licata - Proudfoot - Webster.

CAVEAT: many definitions to do with the integral geometry here (i.e. $\Lambda, \gamma, \lambda, \xi$ to appear) are not stated precisely or with accurate technical hypotheses.

Start with $W_{\mathbb{Z}} = \text{span}_{\mathbb{Z}} \{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n\}$ with $\Lambda \subset W_{\mathbb{Z}}$, a rank k direct summand. Set $T^n = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[W_{\mathbb{Z}}]$, an n -dim^t torus. Then $\text{Lie}(T^n)^* = \mathfrak{t}^*$ is identified with $\mathbb{C}W_{\mathbb{Z}}$, and the character lattice $X(T) = W_{\mathbb{Z}}$.

Observe $T^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ (we'll use that the dual basis $\{h_1, \dots, h_n\}$ of $\{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n\}$ inherits the action $h_i \cdot x_j = \delta_{ij} x_i$ for $\mathbb{C}^n = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$.)

Let $\mathfrak{k} := (\mathbb{C}W_{\mathbb{Z}}/\mathbb{C}\Lambda)^* \subseteq (\mathbb{C}W_{\mathbb{Z}})^* \cong \text{Lie}(T^n) = \mathfrak{t}^*$, and let K be the corresponding subtorus of T^n that is connected. By construction $X(K) = W_{\mathbb{Z}}/\Lambda_0$. & $X(T/K) = \Lambda_0$.

Recall that given $\eta \in X(K)$ we can define a toric variety

$$\mathbb{C}^n //_{\eta} K \supseteq T/K \quad (\text{a GIT quotient})$$

Defⁿ: Keep the above notation. The hypertoric variety attached to the data (Λ_0, η) is the GIT quotient

$$M = M(\Lambda_0, \eta) := \mathbb{C}^{n(0)} //_{\eta} K$$

where $\mu: T^* \mathbb{C}^n \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{k}^*$ is the moment map. on η

Under decent general (and explicit) conditions this is a symplectic resolution of $M^{\circ} = \mathbb{C}^{n(0)} //_{\mathfrak{k}}$.

Defⁿ: The hypertoric enveloping algebra is $U = D(\mathbb{C}^n)^K$.

Note that $D(\mathbb{C}^n)^T = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \subseteq U$, and we have $\text{gr } D(\mathbb{C}^n)^T = \mathbb{C}[h_1, \dots, h_n] = \text{Sym}(\mathfrak{t})$. From the construction of K as the conn. torus attached to \mathfrak{k} , we deduce $Z(U) = \langle h \in F, D(\mathbb{C}^n)^T : h + F_0 D(\mathbb{C}^n)^T \in \mathfrak{k} \rangle$. Let $\tilde{W} = \text{Spec } D(\mathbb{C}^n)^T$, so that this is a $\mathbb{C}W_{\mathbb{Z}}$ -torsor. Then points of $\text{Spec } Z(U)$ are labelled by $\tilde{W}/\mathbb{C}\Lambda_0$ orbits.

Given data: (Λ_0, λ) with $\lambda \in \tilde{W}/\mathbb{C}\Lambda_0$, the reduced hypertoric enveloping algebra is

$$U_{\lambda} = \frac{U}{m_{\lambda} U}, \quad \text{where } m_{\lambda} \in \text{Spec } Z(U).$$

By construction $\text{gr } \frac{U}{m_{\lambda} U} = \text{Spec } (\mathbb{C}^{n(0)} //_{\lambda} K)$. The main difference between the data (Λ_0, λ) & (Λ_0, η) is that $\eta \in X(K) = W_{\mathbb{Z}}/\Lambda_0$ is integral, $\lambda \in \tilde{W}/\mathbb{C}\Lambda_0$ is not.

Def: Let $p: \bar{W}(0)'' \rightarrow \bar{W}(0)''/K = \bar{W}(0)/_{\eta} K$. Let $\lambda \in \widehat{W}/_{\mathbb{C}\Lambda_0}$ and consider as before

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{C}^n) \longrightarrow \widetilde{W}_{T^*\mathbb{C}^n} = W_{T^*\mathbb{C}^n}[\hbar^{-1}].$$

$$\text{Then set } \mathcal{L}_\lambda = \widehat{W}|_{(T^*\mathbb{C}^n)''} /_{m_\lambda} \widehat{W}|_{(T^*\mathbb{C}^n)''} \supseteq K$$

The sheaf of hypertoric algebras associated to $(\Lambda_0, \eta, \lambda)$ is

$$(p_* \text{End}_{\widehat{W}}(\mathcal{L}_\lambda))^K =: \widehat{W}(\Lambda_0, \eta, \lambda)$$

By construction $\widehat{W}(\Lambda_0, \eta, \lambda)$ is a quantization of the hypertoric variety attached to (Λ_0, η) .

Thm (B-K) $T(\)^{\mathbb{C}^*}: (\widehat{W}(\Lambda_0, \eta, \lambda), \mathbb{C}^*)\text{-mod} \xrightarrow{\sim} U_\lambda\text{-mod}$ is an equivalence, provided a somewhat explicit combinatorial criterion on $(\Lambda_0, \eta, \lambda)$ holds: this in particular provides a relation between η & λ .

To define a category G we choose a 1-parameter subgroup of T/K which still acts on everything in sight. i.e. we need $\xi \in \Lambda_0^*$ -cocharacters of T/K . Given this, we can follow the recipe from earlier to construct

$$G(\Lambda_0, \lambda, \xi),$$

and similarly a geometric version of G , supported on $(\bar{W}(0)/_{\eta} K)_+$, each piece of which is a toric Lagrangian variety.

Thm (BLPW) Under suitable (mild, combinatorial) hypotheses:

(i) $G(\Lambda_0, \lambda, \xi)$ is a highest weight category (its simple objects are labelled by elements of $H_{\overline{W}}^{\text{red}}(\bar{W}(0)/_{\eta} K, \mathbb{C})$ attached to ξ).

(ii) All multiplicities of irreducibles in standards are either 0 or 1.
 (iii) $G(\Lambda_0, \lambda, \xi)$ is Koszul; its Koszul dual is $G(\Lambda_0^!, \lambda^!, \xi^!)$ where

$$\Lambda_0^! = \Lambda_0^\perp \subset W_Z \text{ w.r.t. standard inner product.}$$

$$\lambda^! = -\xi \in \Lambda_0^* \cong W_Z/\Lambda_0^!, \quad \xi^! = -\lambda \in W_Z/\Lambda_0 \cong (\Lambda_0^!)^*.$$