

Exercises for Lecture 8

(1) $C_3 \times C_3$ is abelian so each element is a conjugacy class and each irred. is one dimensional.

Let's write $C_3 \times C_3$ as generated by x ($x^3=e$) and y ($y^3=e$) (with $xy=yx$). Let $\zeta = \exp(2\pi i/3)$

For an irreducible of $C_3 \times C_3$ we have two choices to make: where x goes and where y goes. Now $x^3=e$ means x must go to a third root of 1, and the same for y ; so we get

$$\begin{aligned} x &\longmapsto \zeta^i \\ y &\longmapsto \zeta^j \end{aligned} \quad 0 \leq i, j \leq 2. \quad (\text{labelled } (i, j))$$

This gives us the 9 different irreducibles we need.

$C_3 \times C_3$	e	x	x^2	y	xy	x^2y	y^2	xy^2	x^2y^2
$\chi_{(0,0)}$	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
$\chi_{(1,0)}$	1	ζ	ζ^2	1	ζ	ζ^2	1	ζ	ζ^2
$\chi_{(2,0)}$	1	ζ^2	ζ	1	ζ^2	ζ	1	ζ^2	ζ
$\chi_{(0,1)}$	1	1	1	ζ	ζ	ζ	ζ^2	ζ^2	ζ^2
$\chi_{(1,1)}$	1	ζ	ζ^2	ζ	ζ^2	1	ζ^2	1	ζ
$\chi_{(2,1)}$	1	ζ^2	ζ	ζ	1	ζ^2	ζ^2	ζ	1
$\chi_{(0,2)}$	1	1	1	ζ^2	ζ^2	ζ	ζ	ζ	ζ
$\chi_{(1,2)}$	1	ζ	ζ^2	ζ^2	1	ζ	ζ	ζ^2	1
$\chi_{(2,2)}$	1	ζ^2	ζ	ζ^2	ζ	1	ζ	1	ζ^2

The calculation for $C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2$ is basically the same: we have generators x, y, z (they commute and $x^2=y^2=z^2=e$); each irred. rep^n is then labelled by (i, j, k) $0 \leq i, j, k \leq 1$ with

$$x \longmapsto (-1)^i, \quad y \longmapsto (-1)^j, \quad z \longmapsto (-1)^k.$$

This gives the following character table

$C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2$	e	x	y	xy	z	xz	yz	xyz
(0,0,0)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
(1,0,0)	1	-1	1	-1	1	-1	1	-1
(0,1,0)	1	1	-1	-1	1	1	-1	-1
(1,1,0)	1	-1	-1	1	1	-1	-1	1
(0,0,1)	1	1	1	1	-1	-1	-1	-1
(1,0,1)	1	-1	1	-1	-1	1	-1	1
(0,1,1)	1	1	-1	-1	-1	-1	1	1
(1,1,1)	1	-1	-1	1	-1	1	1	-1

(2) (a) We follow the technique of the proof of . Diagonalise g to get

$$g \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{C}$. Now $g^2 = e$ means that each $\lambda_i^2 = 1$ i.e. $\lambda_i = \pm 1$. It follows that

$$\chi(g) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}$$

Moreover, $1 \equiv \lambda_i \pmod{2}$ for each λ_i and so it follows that

$$\chi(g) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \equiv \sum_{i=1}^n 1 = \chi(e) \pmod{2}$$

(b) Again, diagonalise g , $g \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}$ where this

time $g^4 = e \Rightarrow \lambda_i^4 = 1 \quad \forall i$. i.e. $\lambda_i \in \{\pm 1, \pm \sqrt{-1}\}$.

Now g, g^{-1} conjugate $\Rightarrow \chi(g) = \chi(g^{-1})$ and so $\chi(g) \in \mathbb{R}$.

Thus in $\chi(g) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i$ we must have that if $\pm \sqrt{-1}$ appears as a λ_i then there is ~~just~~ another copy $\mp \sqrt{-1}$ which will cancel this out, because otherwise $\chi(g) \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$, hence

$$\chi(g) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ \lambda_i = \pm 1}}^n \lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{ as req'd.}$$

(c) Again, diagonalise g , $g \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}$ with $\lambda_i^3 = 1$

i.e. $\lambda_i \in \{1, \omega, \omega^2\}$ where $\omega = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}/3)$. Since g and g^{-1} are conjugate we get $\chi(g) \in \mathbb{R}$. Split up the trace

$$\chi(g) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = \cancel{\sum} |\{i: \lambda_i=1\}| \cdot 1 + \cancel{\sum} |\{i: \lambda_i=\omega\}| \omega + \cancel{\sum} |\{i: \lambda_i=\omega^2\}| \omega^2$$

Now this is a real number $\Leftrightarrow \chi(g) = \overline{\chi(g)}$

$$\Leftrightarrow |\{i: \lambda_i=\omega\}| = |\{i: \lambda_i=\omega^2\}|$$

~~Thus~~ But $1+\omega+\omega^2=0$ (ω is a root of X^2+X+1) and so we find

$$\chi(g) = |\{i: \lambda_i=1\}| \cdot 1 + \frac{1}{2} (|\{i: \lambda_i=\omega\}| \cdot \cancel{\omega} + |\{i: \lambda_i=\omega^2\}|) \cdot 1 \in \mathbb{Z}$$

Note too that if $|\{i: \lambda_i=\omega\}| = m$ we have that $|\{i: \lambda_i=\omega^2\}| = m$ and so $|\{i: \lambda_i=1\}| = n-2m$ and then

$$\chi(g) = (n-2m) + m \cdot (-1) = n-3m \equiv n = \chi(e) \pmod{3}$$

(d) Diagonalise g , $g \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}$

Then $|\chi(g)| = \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i|$ by the triangle inequality, with equality iff $\lambda_i = \lambda_j$ ~~forall~~ $\forall i, j$

But $|\lambda_i| = 1$ since $\lambda_i^{\text{ord}(g)} = 1$. Thus

$$|\chi(g)| \leq n = |\chi(e)| \text{ with equality iff } \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix} \text{ is}$$

a scalar matrix.

(3) (a) We must check that $\det \rho$ is a homomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned}(\det \rho)(gg') &= \det(\rho(gg')) = \det(\rho(g)\rho(g')) \\ &= \det \rho(g) \cdot \det \rho(g') \\ &= (\det \rho)(g) (\det \rho)(g'). \quad \forall g, g' \in G.\end{aligned}$$

(b) § Since G is simple $\ker(\det \rho) \triangleleft G$ we must have $\ker(\det \rho) = \{e\}$ or $\ker(\det \rho) = G$. In the first case, $\det \rho$ is injective and so G is isomorphic to a subgroup of \mathbb{C}^* . But \mathbb{C}^* is abelian and so this would force G to be abelian, contradicting our initial hypothesis. It follows that $\ker(\det \rho) = G$ and so $\det \rho(g) = 1 \quad \forall g \in G$.

(4) \Leftarrow : If $\rho_V(g) = \text{Id}$ then clearly $\chi_V(g) = \chi_V(e)$ and so $g \in \ker \chi_V$.

\Rightarrow : Suppose $g \in \ker \chi_V$. Then $\chi_V(g) = \chi_V(e)$ and so $|\chi_V(g)| = |\chi_V(e)|$ and so by 2(d) $\rho_V(g)$ is a scalar matrix $\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$. But now if this is $\rho_V(g)$

we get $\chi_V(g) = \text{Tr} \left(\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix} \right) = n\lambda$ and so we

get $\chi_V(g) = n\lambda$ and $\chi_V(e) = n$. Thus $\lambda = 1$ and we deduce that $\rho_V(g) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \text{Id}$.

Now we've just proved that $\ker \chi_V = \{g \in G \mid \rho_V(g) = \text{Id}\}$. But this is, by definition, $\ker \rho_V$. As this is the kernel of a group homomorphism it follows that $\ker \rho_V$ is a normal subgroup of G , and so $\ker \chi_V \triangleleft G$, as required.