

Exercises on complete reducibility

1. We have two matrices left to calculate in the basis $(e_1+e_2+e_3, e_1, e_2)$

$$(13) e_1 = e_3 = (e_1+e_2+e_3) - e_1 - e_2$$

$$(13) e_2 = e_2$$

$$\therefore (13) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(132) e_1 = e_3 = (e_1+e_2+e_3) - e_1 - e_2$$

$$(132) e_2 = e_1$$

$$\therefore 132 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

For the final calculation, in the basis $(e_1+e_2+e_3, e_1-e_2, e_2-e_3)$ we need two more matrices:

$$(13) \cdot (e_1-e_2) = (e_3-e_2) = -(e_2-e_3)$$

$$(13) \cdot (e_2-e_3) = (e_2-e_1) = -(e_1-e_2)$$

$$\therefore (13) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(132) \cdot (e_1-e_2) = (e_3-e_1) = -(e_1-e_2) - (e_2-e_3)$$

$$(132) \cdot (e_2-e_3) = (e_1-e_2)$$

$$\therefore (132) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

2. $U = \mathbb{C}(e_1 + e_2 + e_3)$; we extend to a basis $(e_1 + e_2 + e_3, e_1, e_2)$
 (this choice is completely free at the moment; different choices will produce different p 's, and

$$\left. \begin{aligned} p(e_1) &= \cancel{p(e_1 + e_2 + e_3) - e_1} 0 \\ p(e_2) &= 0 \\ p(e_3) &= p((e_1 + e_2 + e_3) - e_1 - e_2) = e_1 + e_2 + e_3 \end{aligned} \right\} \begin{aligned} p(u+w) &= u \\ u &\in U \\ w &\in \text{sp}(e_1, e_2) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Now } q := \frac{1}{6} \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} \rho(\sigma) \cdot p \cdot \rho(\sigma^{-1})$$

$$\therefore q(e_1) = \frac{1}{6} \left(p(e_1) + \rho((12)) p(\rho((12))^{-1} \cdot e_1) + \dots \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \rho((12))e_1 &= e_2, & \rho((13))e_1 &= e_3, & \rho((23))e_1 &= e_1 \\ \rho((123))e_1 &= e_2, & \rho((132))e_1 &= e_3 \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore p(\rho((12))e_1) = 0 \quad p(\rho((13))e_1) = e_1 + e_2 + e_3, \quad p(\rho((23))e_1) = 0$$

$$p(\rho((123))e_1) = 0 \quad p(\rho((132))e_1) = e_1 + e_2 + e_3$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore q(e_1) &= \frac{1}{6} (0 + 0 + (e_1 + e_2 + e_3) + 0 + 0 + (e_1 + e_2 + e_3)) \\ &= \frac{1}{3} (e_1 + e_2 + e_3) \end{aligned}$$

Now a very similar argument gives $q(e_2) = \frac{1}{3}(e_1 + e_2 + e_3)$,
 $q(e_3) = \frac{1}{3}(e_1 + e_2 + e_3)$.

Since q is linear we get

$$q\left(\sum_{i=1}^3 \lambda_i e_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^3 \lambda_i q(e_i) = \frac{1}{3} \left(\sum_{i=1}^3 \lambda_i\right) (e_1 + e_2 + e_3)$$

Now $\sum_{i=1}^3 \lambda_i e_i \in \ker q \Leftrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^3 \lambda_i = 0$. A basis for this space is $(e_1 - e_2, e_2 - e_3)$ which is W .

(3) $W = \text{span}(e_1 - e_2, e_2 - e_3)$. Extend this to a basis with e_1 so that

$$p: V \rightarrow V, \quad p(w+u) = w \quad \text{where } w \in W \\ u \in \text{span}(e_1)$$

We do the same calculation as in (2):

$$p(e_1) = 0$$

$$p(e_2) = p(-(e_1 - e_2) + e_1) = -(e_1 - e_2) = e_2 - e_1$$

$$p(e_3) = p(-(e_1 - e_2) - (e_2 - e_3) + e_1) = -(e_1 - e_2) - (e_2 - e_3) \\ = e_3 - e_1$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } q(e_1) &= \frac{1}{6} (0 + \rho(12)p(\rho(12)^{-1}e_1) + \rho(23)p(\rho(23)^{-1}e_1) + \dots) \\ &= \frac{1}{6} (0 + \rho(12)(e_2 - e_1) + \rho(23)0 + \rho(13)(e_3 - e_1) \\ &\quad + \rho(123)(e_3 - e_1) + \rho(132)(e_2 - e_1)) \\ &= \frac{1}{6} ((e_1 - e_2) + (e_1 - e_3) + (e_1 - e_2) + (e_1 - e_3)) \\ &= \frac{1}{3} ((e_1 - e_2) + (e_1 - e_3)) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} q(e_2) &= \frac{1}{6} ((e_2 - e_1) + \rho(12)0 + \rho(23)(e_3 - e_1) + \rho(13)(e_2 - e_1) \\ &\quad + \rho(123)0 + \rho(132)(e_3 - e_1)) \\ &= \frac{1}{6} ((e_2 - e_1) + (e_2 - e_1) + (e_2 - e_3) + (e_2 - e_3)) \\ &= \frac{1}{3} ((e_2 - e_1) + (e_2 - e_3)) \end{aligned}$$

And finally

$$q(e_3) = \frac{1}{3} ((e_3 - e_1) + (e_3 - e_2))$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} q\left(\sum_{i=1}^3 \lambda_i e_i\right) &= \frac{1}{3} \left[\lambda_1 (e_1 - e_2) + \lambda_1 (e_1 - e_3) + \lambda_2 (e_2 - e_1) + \lambda_2 (e_2 - e_3) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \lambda_3 (e_3 - e_1) + \lambda_3 (e_3 - e_2) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{3} \left((2\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 - \lambda_3) e_1 + (-\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2 - \lambda_3) e_2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (-\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 + 2\lambda_3) e_3 \right) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\ker q = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^3 \lambda_i e_i : \begin{array}{l} 2\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 - \lambda_3 = 0 \\ -\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2 - \lambda_3 = 0 \\ -\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 + 2\lambda_3 = 0 \end{array} \right\}$

This has a 1-D solⁿ space $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 \neq 0$

$$\therefore \ker q = \text{sp}\{e_1 + e_2 + e_3\}.$$

(4) Suppose \mathfrak{g} is ^{not} irreducible. This means that we can find some basis of \mathbb{C}^2 s.t \mathfrak{g} has the form

$$\mathfrak{g}(g) = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1(g) & 0 \\ 0 & \beta_2(g) \end{pmatrix} \quad \forall g \in \mathfrak{g}$$

where $\beta_1(g), \beta_2(g) \in \text{GL}_1(\mathbb{C})$

i.e $\mathfrak{g}(g)$ is a diagonal matrix $\forall g \in \mathfrak{g}$. But then

$$\mathfrak{g}(g)\mathfrak{g}(h) = \mathfrak{g}(h)\mathfrak{g}(g) \quad \forall h, g \in \mathfrak{g}$$

since diagonal matrices commute with one another. This contradiction proves that \mathfrak{g} must have been irreducible.

Unitary Representations

$$(5) \langle x, x \rangle = \sum_{g \in G} (\rho(g)(x), \rho(g)(x)) = \sum_{g \in G} \|\rho(g)(x)\|^2 > 0$$

unless $\rho(g)(x) = 0$ i.e. unless $x = 0$.

Therefore the form is positive definite.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x, y \rangle &= \sum_{g \in G} (\rho(g)(x), \rho(g)(y)) = \sum_{g \in G} \overline{(\rho(g)(y), \rho(g)(x))} \\ &= \overline{\langle y, x \rangle} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \alpha x + \beta y, z \rangle &= \sum_{g \in G} (\rho(g)(\alpha x + \beta y), \rho(g)(z)) \\ &= \sum_{g \in G} (\alpha \rho(g)(x) + \beta \rho(g)(y), \rho(g)(z)) \\ &= \alpha \sum_{g \in G} (\rho(g)(x), \rho(g)(z)) + \beta \sum_{g \in G} (\rho(g)(y), \rho(g)(z)) \\ &= \alpha \langle x, z \rangle + \beta \langle y, z \rangle \end{aligned}$$

So the form is hermitian.

Now let $h \in G$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \rho(h)(x), \rho(h)(y) \rangle &= \sum_{g \in G} (\rho(g)(\rho(h)(x)), \rho(g)(\rho(h)(y))) \\ &= \sum_{g \in G} (\rho(gh)(x), \rho(gh)(y)) \\ &= \sum_{g \in G} (\rho(g)(x), \rho(g)(y)) \\ &= \langle x, y \rangle \end{aligned}$$

because $\{g \in G\} = \{gh : g \in G\}$

so it is G -invariant.

(6) We started with $(V, (\cdot, \cdot))$ a given vector space with hermitian form. Now we have found another form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ which is G -invariant. However by applying an element from $GL(V)$ we can move from $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ to (\cdot, \cdot) i.e. $\exists X \in GL(V)$ s.t. $\langle x, y \rangle = (Xx, Xy)$

(just think of bases: pick an orthonormal basis $\{e_i\}$ wrt (\cdot, \cdot) and $\{f_i\}$ wrt $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$; then X represents the mapping sending $f_i \mapsto e_i$)

Thus if we let $\sigma: G \rightarrow GL(V)$ be defined by $\sigma(g) = X^{-1} \rho(g) X$

then we find

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \sigma(g)(x), \sigma(g)(y) \rangle &= (X^{-1} \rho(g) X x, X^{-1} \rho(g) X y) \\ &= \langle \rho(g) X x, \rho(g) X y \rangle \\ &= \langle X x, X y \rangle = (x, y) \end{aligned}$$

and so σ is a unitary repⁿ w.r.t. (\cdot, \cdot) and it is equivalent to ρ .

(7) Let $u \in U^\perp$. Then ~~for~~ $\forall u \in V$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \rho(g)u, v \rangle &= \langle u, \rho(g)^{-1}v \rangle = 0 \text{ since } u \in U^\perp \\ \langle \rho(g)v, u \rangle &= \langle \rho(g)^{-1}u, v \rangle = 0 \text{ since } \rho(g)^{-1}u \in U \text{ and } v \in U^\perp \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore \rho(g)v \in U^\perp$ and so U^\perp is G -invariant.

Now $V = U \oplus U^\perp$ because

(i) $U \cap U^\perp = \{0\}$: let $u \in U \cap U^\perp$; then $\langle u, u \rangle = 0$ and so $u = 0$.

(ii) $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ restricts to a non-degenerate pairing

$$\forall u \in U \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \quad \langle v + U^\perp, u \rangle := \langle v, u \rangle \text{ (non-degeneracy follows since } \langle v + U^\perp, u \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } u \in U)$$

then $\langle v, u \rangle = 0 \quad \forall u \in U$ and so $v \in U^\perp$ and $v + U^\perp = 0 + U^\perp$.

Thus $\dim V/U^\perp = \dim U$ and so $\dim V = \dim U + \dim U^\perp$
and hence

$$V = U \oplus U^\perp \quad (\text{since already } U \oplus U^\perp \subseteq V)$$

(alternatively, just pick ~~a~~ basis restrict \langle, \rangle to U , then pick an orthonormal basis of U $\{e_1, \dots, e_t\}$ and then extend this to an orthonormal basis of V $\{e_1, \dots, e_t, e_{t+1}, \dots, e_n\}$. The elements $\{e_{t+1}, \dots, e_n\} \in U^\perp$ and so we get $\dim U + \dim U^\perp \geq \dim V$ and together with $U \oplus U^\perp \subseteq V$ this gives $U \oplus U^\perp = V$.)

(8) Let V be a repⁿ of G . ~~with~~ without loss of generality we can assume that V is unitary. Then let U be a subrepⁿ. Apply (7) shows that U has a G -invariant complement!