

Solutions for Exercises for Lectures 2 & 3.

1

1. Let $X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then $X^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $X^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

Now $\rho(x^i) = X^i$ $0 \leq i \leq 2$ and we get

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(x^i \cdot x^j) &= \rho(x^{i+j}) = \rho(x^k) && (k \equiv i+j \pmod{3}) \\ & && (0 \leq k \leq 2) \\ &= X^k \\ &= X^i X^j && (\text{since } X^3 = \text{Id}) \\ &= \rho(x^i) \rho(x^j) \end{aligned}$$

so it's a group homomorphism i.e. a repⁿ.

2. $e_1 + e_2 + e_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is fixed by these matrices
i.e. $X \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \therefore X^i \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$

$\therefore \mathbb{C} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is a 1-D subrepⁿ.

Now the answer on whether there are more 1-D rep^{ns} depends on which field we work over:

suppose \mathbb{F} is stable under X (and hence X^i).

Then $Xv = \lambda v$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ i.e. v is an e-vector and λ an e-value.

$$\begin{aligned} \det(tI - X) &= \begin{vmatrix} t & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & t & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & t \end{vmatrix} = t(t^2) + 1(-1) + 0 \\ &= t^3 - 1 \\ &= (t-1)(t^2+t+1) \end{aligned}$$

Now we have the e-value 1 and we found $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ to be

an e-vector. Now the existence of other e-vectors depends on whether t^2+t+1 factorises over F

e.g. it doesn't factorise over \mathbb{R} and so there are no other 1-D subrep^s.

e.g. over \mathbb{C} $t^2+t+1 = (t-\omega)(t-\omega^2)$ where $\omega = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{3}\right)$ and so there are 2 more 1-D rep^s spanned by $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \omega \\ \omega^2 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \omega^2 \\ \omega \end{pmatrix}$ respectively.

$$\begin{aligned} 3. \quad \rho_j(x^m x^{m'}) &= \rho_j(x^{m+m'}) = \rho_j(x^k) \quad (\text{where } k = m+m' \pmod{n}) \\ &= \rho_j^k \quad (0 \leq k \leq n-1) \\ &= \rho_j^{m+m'} \quad \text{since } \rho^n = 1 \\ &= \rho_j(x^m) \rho_j(x^{m'}) \end{aligned}$$

So we get a repⁿ.

Obviously $\rho_j \cong \rho_{m+j} \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{Z}$ since $\rho^{tn+j} = \rho_j$.

Now we claim that $\rho_0, \dots, \rho_{n-1}$ are all non-isomorphic

This is easy: suppose $\psi: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an isom. between ρ_i and ρ_j . Then we have $\forall m$

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\rho_i(x^m) \cdot \lambda) &= \rho_j(x^m) \cdot \psi(\lambda) \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C}. \\ \psi(\rho_i^m \lambda) &= \rho_j^m \psi(\lambda) \\ \rho_i^m \psi(\lambda) & \text{ (by } \mathbb{C}\text{-linearity)} \end{aligned}$$

Thus if $\psi(\lambda) \neq 0$ we get $p_{im} = \cancel{p} p_{jm} \quad \forall m$

so that $p_i = p_j$ i.e. $i=j$ (since $0 \leq i, j \leq n-1$)

∴ This is a contradiction, so $p(\lambda) = 0 \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and so $\psi = 0$ i.e. ψ is not invertible, i.e. ψ is not an isom.

(4). We have to check that ρ^* is a group homomorphism.

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^*(g_1 g_2) &= \rho((g_1 g_2)^{-1})^T = \rho(g_2^{-1} g_1^{-1})^T \\ &\stackrel{\rho \text{ is a homom.}}{=} (\rho(g_2^{-1}) \rho(g_1^{-1}))^T \\ &= \rho(g_1^{-1})^T \rho(g_2^{-1})^T \\ &= \rho^*(g_1) \rho^*(g_2). \end{aligned}$$

Thus ρ^* is a repⁿ, and it has the same degree as ρ as it consists of matrices of the same size.

$$(5) \quad \rho^*(x) = \rho(x^{-1})^T = \rho(x^2)^T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \rho(x), \text{ so}$$

the rep^{ns} are the same.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{For } \rho_{n-2}: \quad \rho_j^*(x^k) &= \rho_j(x^{n-k})^T = \rho_j^{j(n-k)} \\ &= \rho_j^{(n-j)k} \quad (\text{since } \rho_j^n = 1) \\ &= \rho_{n-j}^*(x^k) \end{aligned}$$

So $\rho_j^* \cong \rho_{n-j}$.

(6) (a) $F[X]$ has a basis $(e_x : x \in X)$ where $e_x \in F[X]$ is the function $e_x(y) = \delta_{xy} = \begin{cases} 1 & y=x \\ 0 & y \neq x \end{cases}$

To see this: (i) $f \in F[X]$, then $f = \sum_{x \in X} f(x) e_x$

since ~~$f(y)$~~ $(\sum_{x \in X} f(x) e_x)(y) = \sum_{x \in X} f(x) e_x(y)$
 $= f(y)$

Thus $(e_x : x \in X)$ spans.

(ii) ~~f~~ Suppose that $\sum_{x \in X} \lambda_x e_x = 0 \quad \lambda_x \in F \quad \forall x$

then $0 = (\sum_{x \in X} \lambda_x e_x)(y) = \sum_{x \in X} \lambda_x e_x(y) = \lambda_y \quad \forall y \in X$

i.e. $(e_x : x \in X)$ linearly independent set.

(b) We need to check that $f \mapsto g \circ f$ is a linear mapping

i.e. $g \circ (\lambda_1 f_1 + \lambda_2 f_2) = \lambda_1 (g \circ f_1) + \lambda_2 (g \circ f_2) \quad \forall \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in F$
 $f_1, f_2 \in F^X$

Well let $x \in X$

$$\begin{aligned} (g \circ (\lambda_1 f_1 + \lambda_2 f_2))(x) &= (\lambda_1 f_1 + \lambda_2 f_2)(g^{-1}x) \\ &= \lambda_1 (f_1(g^{-1}x)) + \lambda_2 (f_2(g^{-1}x)) \\ &= \lambda_1 ((g \circ f_1)(x)) + \lambda_2 ((g \circ f_2)(x)) \\ &= (\lambda_1 (g \circ f_1) + \lambda_2 (g \circ f_2))(x) \end{aligned}$$

so the maps are equal!

(c) We need to show that

$$\rho: G \longrightarrow \text{GL}(V) \quad V = F[X]$$

$$g \longmapsto (f \longmapsto g \cdot f) \quad f \in V$$

is a group homomorphism:

($f \mapsto g \cdot f$) belongs to $\text{GL}(V)$ since its inverse is $f \mapsto g^{-1} \cdot f$

$$\rho(gh)(f) = (gh) \cdot f \quad \rho(g)\rho(h)(f) = g \cdot (h \cdot f)$$

ie we must show that $(gh) \cdot f = g \cdot (h \cdot f)$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{let } x \in X \quad ((gh) \cdot f)(x) &= f((gh)^{-1}x) \\ &= f((h^{-1}g^{-1})x) \quad \leftarrow G \text{ action on } X. \\ &= f(h^{-1}(g^{-1}x)) \\ &= (h \cdot f)(g^{-1}x) \\ &= (g \cdot (h \cdot f))(x). \end{aligned}$$

(d) It's not irreducible unless X is a single element, because

$$f = \sum_{x \in X} e_x \quad \text{is fixed by } G: (g \cdot f) = \sum_{x \in X} g \cdot e_x$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{we use } (g \cdot e_x)(y) &= e_x(g^{-1}y) = \begin{cases} 1 & x = g^{-1}y \\ 0 & x \neq g^{-1}y \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} 1 & gx = y \\ 0 & gx \neq y \end{cases} = e_{gx}(y) \end{aligned} \quad \left| \begin{aligned} & \stackrel{(*)}{=} \sum_{x \in X} e_{gx} \\ &= \sum_{x \in X} e_x = f \end{aligned} \right.$$

So $\langle F \rangle$ is a 1-D subgrpⁿ.

(7) (a) To start with we have arbitrary words of the form
 $a^{i_1} b^{i_2} a^{i_3} b^{i_4} \dots$

but we notice that the relation $bab^{-1} = a^{-1}$ can be rewritten $bab^{-1} = a^3$ and then $ba = a^3b$. This allows to ~~swap~~ move b's from the left to the right
 i.e. $ba^2 = \underline{b}a^2 = a^3\underline{b}a = a^3a^3b$

So all elements of D_8 can actually be written as
 $a^i b^j \quad i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Now we can choose $0 \leq i \leq 3, 0 \leq j \leq 1$ since $a^4 = b^2 = e$
 so we can write every element in the form

$a^i b^j$ with $0 \leq i \leq 3, 0 \leq j \leq 1$.

(b) (we haven't actually proved that the 8 elements $\left\langle a, b : a^4 = b^2 = e, bab^{-1} = a^3 \right\rangle$ are all different in $\left\langle a, b : a^4 = b^2 = e, bab^{-1} = a^3 \right\rangle$; that will follow from Q.11 — at the moment we'll just assume it)

$e, \{a, a^3\}, \{a^2\}, \{b, a^2b\}, \{ab, a^3b\}$.

(by calculation)

e.g. $\{a, a^3\}$ are conjugate because of the relation
 $bab^{-1} = a^3$

e.g. ab, a^3b are conjugate since $b(ab)b^{-1} = ba = a^3b$

(8) (a) Exactly the same argument as 7(a) gives every element in $\{a^i b^j : 0 \leq i \leq n-1, 0 \leq j \leq 1\}$.

(b) The same arguments give: n even

$$\text{set } \{a^{2i}\}, \{a^i, a^{n-i}\}_{1 \leq i < \frac{n}{2}} \quad \{b, a^2b, a^4b, \dots, a^{n-2}b\}$$

$$\{ab, a^3b, a^5b, \dots, a^{n-1}b\}$$

n odd $\{e\}, \{a^i, a^{n-i}\}_{1 \leq i < \frac{n}{2}}, \{b, ab, a^2b, \dots, a^{n-1}b\}$.

(9) (a) e, a, b, ab, ba, aba . (Can you convince yourself these are really different? See Q.14)

(b) $a = (12), b = (23)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (12)^2 &= (23)^2 = e & (12)(23)(12) &= (23)(12)(23) \\ & & \text{"} & \text{"} \\ & & (13) &= (13). \end{aligned}$$

(10) Write $s_\alpha(x_1, \dots, x_r)$ as some word $x_1^{i_1} \dots x_r^{i_r} x_1^{j_1} \dots x_r^{j_r} \dots$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } \rho(s_\alpha(x_1, \dots, x_r)) &= \rho(x_1^{i_1} \dots x_r^{i_r} x_1^{j_1} \dots x_r^{j_r} \dots) \\ &= \rho(x_1)^{i_1} \dots \rho(x_r)^{i_r} \rho(x_1)^{j_1} \dots \rho(x_r)^{j_r} \dots \quad \text{by def}^n \\ &= X_1^{i_1} \dots X_r^{i_r} X_1^{j_1} \dots X_r^{j_r} \dots \\ &= s_\alpha(X_1, \dots, X_r) \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \rho(s_\alpha(x_1, \dots, x_r)) = e_H \iff s_\alpha(X_1, \dots, X_r) = e_H$$

(11) We need to check that $\rho(a^4) = Id_2 = \rho(b^2)$ and that $\rho(bab^{-1}) = \rho(a^{-1})$; then it follows from the analysis preceding Q.10 that we have a homomorphism from $\langle a, b : a^4 = b^2 = e, bab^{-1} = a^{-1} \rangle$ to $GL_2(F)$ i.e. a repⁿ.

$$\rho(a^4) = \rho(a)^4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^4 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{(by calculation)}$$

$$\rho(b^2) = \rho(b)^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ obviously}$$

$$\rho(hab^{-1}) = \rho(b)\rho(a)\rho(b)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \rho(a)^3 = \rho(a)^{-1} = \rho(a^{-1})$$

(11) (Aside: this also proves that ~~each~~ of the elements $a^i b^j$ ($0 \leq i \leq 3$, $0 \leq j \leq 1$) are all distinct since they are all sent to different matrices — that fully finishes off (7).)

$$(12) \text{ Here's 2.7: } a^i \xrightarrow{\sigma} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}^i, \quad b^j \xrightarrow{\sigma} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^j$$

we need to check that $a^3 = b^2 = e$, $bab^{-1} = a^{-1}$ are satisfied by these matrices: ^{the relations}

$$\sigma(a^3) = \sigma(a)^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma(b^2) = \sigma(b)^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\sigma(bab^{-1}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \sigma(a^{-1})$$

so we do get a repⁿ.

$$\text{Here's 2.4': } a \xrightarrow{\rho'} \begin{pmatrix} -1/2 & -\sqrt{3}/2 \\ \sqrt{3}/2 & -1/2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad b \xrightarrow{\rho'} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Again, we have to check the relations:

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1/2 & -\sqrt{3}/2 \\ \sqrt{3}/2 & -1/2 \end{pmatrix}^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{because the matrix represents rot}^n \text{ by } 2\pi/3)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -1/2 & -\sqrt{3}/2 \\ \sqrt{3}/2 & -1/2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1/2 & \sqrt{3}/2 \\ -\sqrt{3}/2 & -1/2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1/2 & -\sqrt{3}/2 \\ \sqrt{3}/2 & -1/2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$$

To prove they are isomorphic we think a little:

$B_1 = \rho'(b)$ is already diagonalised and so we have $B = \sigma(b)$
we could ask how we get

$$XB_1X^{-1} = B$$

$$\text{or } B_1 = X^{-1}BX$$

and we must answer that the two columns of X must be e-vectors for B with e-value -1 and 1 respectively. A quick calculation finds that the -1 e-vector for B is $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ -\alpha \end{pmatrix}$, the 1 e-vector is $\begin{pmatrix} \beta \\ \beta \end{pmatrix}$.

So we'll let $X = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ -\alpha & \beta \end{pmatrix}$ and then we'll get $XB_1X^{-1} = B$

We have to determine α, β so that $X\rho'(a)X^{-1} = \sigma(a)$.

Rewriting this gives $X\rho'(a) = \sigma(a)X$ and so we need to find α, β s.t.

~~RHS $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ -\alpha \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\alpha \\ \alpha \end{pmatrix}$~~

$$X\rho'(a) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \sigma(a)X \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad X\rho'(a) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \sigma(a)X \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{ie } \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2}\alpha + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\beta \\ \frac{1}{2}\alpha + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\beta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ 2\alpha \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\alpha - \frac{1}{2}\beta \\ \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\alpha - \frac{1}{2}\beta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\beta \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

It's easy to check that $\sqrt{3}\alpha = \beta$ solves these eqⁿ.

Therefore $\mathbb{C}^2 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \sqrt{3} \\ -1 & \sqrt{3} \end{pmatrix}} \mathbb{C}^2$

gives an isomorphism between (ρ', \mathbb{C}^2) and (σ, \mathbb{C}^2)

$$(13) D_4 = \langle a, b : a^4 = b^2 = e \quad bab^{-1} = a^{-1} \rangle$$

Any 1-D repⁿ (over \mathbb{C}) must ~~not~~ be a group homom.

$$\rho: D_4 \longrightarrow GL(1, \mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}^\times$$

and so it is determined by 2 non-zero complex nos $\rho(a), \rho(b)$. These cannot be arbitrary because they must satisfy the relations

$$\rho(a)^4 = 1$$

$$\rho(b)^2 = 1$$

$$\rho(b)\rho(a)\rho(b)^{-1} = \rho(a)^{-1}$$

These three cond^{ns} force $\rho(a) = \pm 1, \rho(b) = \pm 1$ as the four different possibilities. (In fact there are four 1-D rep^{ns} as long as we are not in a field of characteristic 2, when there is only 1.)

$$(14) S_3 = \langle a, b : a^2 = b^2 = e \quad aba = bab \rangle$$

~~Let~~ let $\rho(a) =: A = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \rho(b) =: B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$. We must

check that $A^2 = B^2 = \text{Id}_2, ABA = BAB$. This is an easy calculation.

(N.B. This shows that e, a, b, ab, ba, aba are distinct elements since ~~not all~~ all the matrices are distinct. This finishes off Q. 9(a) completely.)

In Q(b) we pointed out that $a \leftrightarrow (12) \quad b \leftrightarrow (23)$
Now look at the matrices for W given for (12), (23) in 3.6. They are exactly the above matrices!

Now suppose for a contradiction that this representation is reducible. Since this has degree 2 we must be able to

find a subspace of $\dim^n 1$ stable under the S_3 action. Suppose Cv is such a subspace. Then we must have $g(a)(v) = \lambda v$ and $g(b)(v) = \mu v$ i.e. v is an e -vector for both A and B . We'll calculate the e -vectors now:

$A = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ has e -values ± 1 ; e -vectors $\alpha \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ has value -1

e -vectors $\beta \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$ has value 1

$B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ has e -values ± 1 ; e -vectors $\gamma \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ value -1

e -vectors $\delta \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ value 1

All of these e -vectors are different, so the only possibility for v is 0 i.e. there is no 1-D S_3 stable subspace i.e. W is irreducible. \square