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#### Abstract

We study Fano threefolds that can be obtained by blowing up the three-dimensional projective space along a smooth curve of degree six and genus three. We produce many new K-stable examples of such threefolds, and we describe all finite groups that can act faithfully on them.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $C$ be a smooth quartic curve in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$, let $D$ be a divisor of degree 2 on the curve $C$ such that

$$
h^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{C}(D)\right)=0
$$

Then $K_{C}+D$ is very ample [27], and the linear system $\left|K_{C}+D\right|$ gives an embedding $\phi: C \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{3}$. We set $C_{6}=\phi(C)$. Then $C_{6}$ is a smooth curve of degree 6 and genus 3 .

Let $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{3}$ be the blow up of the curve $C_{6}$. Then $X$ is a Fano threefold in the deformation family №2.12 in the Mori-Mukai list, and every smooth member of this family can be obtained in this way. Moreover, the Fano threefold $X$ can be given in $\mathbb{P}^{3} \times \mathbb{P}^{3}$ by
(थ) $\quad\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) M_{1}\left(\begin{array}{l}y_{0} \\ y_{1} \\ y_{2} \\ y_{3}\end{array}\right)=\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) M_{2}\left(\begin{array}{l}y_{0} \\ y_{1} \\ y_{2} \\ y_{3}\end{array}\right)=\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) M_{3}\left(\begin{array}{l}y_{0} \\ y_{1} \\ y_{2} \\ y_{3}\end{array}\right)=0$
for appropriate $4 \times 4$ matrices $M_{1}, M_{2}, M_{3}$ such that $\pi$ is induced by the projection to the first factor, where $\left(\left[x_{0}: x_{1}: x_{2}: x_{3}\right],\left[y_{0}: y_{1}: y_{2}: y_{3}\right]\right)$ are coordinates on $\mathbb{P}^{3} \times \mathbb{P}^{3}$.

Let $\pi^{\prime}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{3}$ be the morphism induced by the projection $\mathbb{P}^{3} \times \mathbb{P}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{3}$ to the second factor. Then $\pi^{\prime}$ is a blow up of $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ along a smooth curve $C_{6}^{\prime}$ of degree 6 and genus 3 , and the $\pi^{\prime}$-exceptional surface is spanned by the strict transforms of the trisecants of the curve $C_{6}$. Furthermore, we have

[^0]the following commutative diagram:
( $\star$

where $\chi$ is the birational map given by the linear system consisting of all cubic surfaces containing $C_{6}$. Note that the curves $C_{6}$ and $C_{6}^{\prime}$ are isomorphic, but they are not necessarily projectively isomorphic.

We can find the equations of the curves $C_{6}$ and $C_{6}^{\prime}$ as follows. Rewrite as

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
L_{10} y_{0}+L_{11} y_{1}+L_{12} y_{2}+L_{13} y_{3}=0 \\
L_{20} y_{0}+L_{21} y_{1}+L_{22} y_{2}+L_{23} y_{3}=0 \\
L_{30} y_{0}+L_{31} y_{1}+L_{32} y_{2}+L_{33} y_{3}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where the $L_{i j}$ 's are linear functions in $x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$. Set

$$
M=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
L_{10} & L_{11} & L_{12} & L_{13} \\
L_{20} & L_{21} & L_{22} & L_{23} \\
L_{30} & L_{31} & L_{32} & L_{33}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Let $f_{0}, f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}$ be the determinants of the $3 \times 3$ matrices obtained from the matrix $M$ by removing its first, second, third, fourth columns, respectively. Then $C_{6}=\left\{f_{0}=0, f_{1}=0, f_{2}=0, f_{3}=0\right\}$, and the birational map $\chi: \mathbb{P}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{3}$ in the diagram $\star$ 畆 given by

$$
\left[x_{0}: x_{1}: x_{2}: x_{3}\right] \mapsto\left[f_{0}: f_{1}: f_{2}: f_{3}\right]
$$

up to a composition with an automorphism of the projective space $\mathbb{P}^{3}$. Similarly, one can also describe the defining equations of the sextic curve $C_{6}^{\prime}$.

Example 1 ([19, 2]). Let

$$
X=\left\{x_{0} y_{1}+x_{1} y_{0}-\sqrt{2} x_{2} y_{2}=0, x_{0} y_{2}+x_{2} y_{0}-\sqrt{2} x_{3} y_{3}=0, x_{0} y_{3}+x_{3} y_{0}-\sqrt{2} x_{1} y_{1}=0\right\} \subset \mathbb{P}^{3} \times \mathbb{P}^{3}
$$

Then $X$ is a smooth Fano threefold in the family №2.12, the curve $C_{6}$ is given by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
2 \sqrt{2} x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}-x_{0}^{3}=0 \\
x_{0}^{2} x_{1}+\sqrt{2} x_{0} x_{2}^{2}+2 x_{2} x_{3}^{2}=0 \\
x_{0}^{2} x_{2}+\sqrt{2} x_{0} x_{3}^{2}+2 x_{1}^{2} x_{3}=0 \\
x_{0}^{2} x_{3}+\sqrt{2} x_{0} x_{1}^{2}+2 x_{1} x_{2}^{2}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

and $C_{6}^{\prime}$ is given by the same equations replacing each $x_{i}$ by $y_{i}$. One has $\operatorname{Aut}(X) \simeq \operatorname{PSL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{7}\right) \times \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2}$, and $X$ is the only smooth Fano threefold in the deformation family № 2.12 that admits a faithful action of the Klein simple group $\mathrm{PSL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{7}\right)$. The map $\chi$ in $\star$ can be chosen to be an involution.

The following result has been proven in [2].
Theorem $2([2, \S 5.4])$. Let $X$ be the Fano threefold from Example 1 . Then $X$ is $K$-stable.
Hence, a general member of the family № 2.12 is K-stable, since K-stability is an open condition. We expect that every smooth Fano threefold in this family is K-stable. To show this, it is enough to prove that

$$
\beta(\mathbf{F})=A_{X}(\mathbf{F})-S_{X}(\mathbf{F})>0
$$

for every prime divisor $\mathbf{F}$ over $X$ [22, 32], where $A_{X}(\mathbf{F})$ is the $\log$ discrepancy of the divisor $\mathbf{F}$, and

$$
S_{X}(\mathbf{F})=\frac{1}{\left(-K_{X}\right)^{3}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \operatorname{vol}\left(-K_{X}-u \mathbf{F}\right) d u
$$

Unfortunately, we are unable to prove this result at the moment. Instead, we prove a weaker result. To state it, let $E$ be the $\pi$-exceptional surface, and let $E^{\prime}$ be the $\pi^{\prime}$-exceptional surface.

Theorem A. Let $\mathbf{F}$ be a prime divisor over $X$ such that $\beta(\mathbf{F}) \leqslant 0$, and let $Z$ be its center on $X$. Then $Z$ is a point in the intersection $E \cap E^{\prime}$.

Let us present applications of this result. By [39, Corollary 4.14], Theorem A implies
Corollary 3. If $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ does not fix points in $E \cap E^{\prime}$, then $X$ is $K$-stable.
Since the action of the group $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{P}^{3}, C_{6}\right)$ lifts to $X$, Corollary 3 implies
Corollary 4. If $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{P}^{3}, C_{6}\right)$ does not fix a point in $C_{6}$, then $X$ is $K$-stable.
Since the group $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{P}^{3}, C_{6}\right)$ acts faithfully on the curve $C_{6}$, Corollary 4 implies the following generalization of Theorem 2, which has more applications (see Section 2).
Corollary 5. If $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{P}^{3}, C_{6}\right)$ is not cyclic, then $X$ is $K$-stable.
Proof. If the group $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{P}^{3}, C_{6}\right)$ fixes a point $P \in C_{6}$, it acts faithfully on the one-dimensional tangent space to the curve $C_{6}$ at the point $P$ by [20, Lemma 2.7], so that $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{P}^{3}, C_{6}\right)$ is cyclic.

What do we know about $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ ? This group is finite [9], and we have the following exact sequence:

$$
1 \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{P}^{3}, C_{6}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(X) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2}
$$

where $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{P}^{3}, C_{6}\right) \simeq \operatorname{Aut}(C,[D])$, and the final homomorphism is surjective $\Longleftrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(X)$ contains an element that swaps $E$ and $E^{\prime}$. For instance, if $X$ is the smooth Fano threefold from Example $\mathbb{1}$, then the group $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ contains such an element - it is the involution given by

$$
\left(\left[x_{0}: x_{1}: x_{2}: x_{3}\right],\left[y_{0}: y_{1}: y_{2}: y_{3}\right]\right) \mapsto\left(\left[y_{0}: y_{1}: y_{2}: y_{3}\right],\left[x_{0}: x_{1}: x_{2}: x_{3}\right]\right)
$$

which implies that $\operatorname{Aut}(X) \simeq \mathrm{PSL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{7}\right) \times \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2}$ in this case. In Section 4 we will discuss the possibilities for the group $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ in more details. In particular, we will present a criterion when $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ contains an element that swaps $E$ and $E^{\prime}$, and we will prove the following result (cf. [38, Theorem 1.1]).

Theorem B. A finite group $G$ has a faithful action on a smooth Fano threefold in the deformation family №2.12 if and only if $G$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\mathrm{PSL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{7}\right) \times \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2}$ or $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{4}^{2} \rtimes \mathfrak{S}_{3}$.

As we mentioned in Example 1, the family №2.12 contains a unique smooth Fano threefold that admits a faithful action of the group $\mathrm{PSL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{7}\right)$. Similarly, we prove in Section 4 that the deformation family № 2.12 contains a unique smooth threefold that admits a faithful action of the group $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{4}^{2} \rtimes \boldsymbol{\mu}_{3}$, and the full automorphism group of this threefold is $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{4}^{2} \rtimes \mathfrak{S}_{3}$.

Remark 6. Let $G$ be a subgroup in $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$. If $G$ has an element that swaps the surfaces $E$ and $E^{\prime}$, then $X$ is a $G$-Mori fiber space (over a point), and $X$ is also known as a $G$-Fano threefold (see [37]). In this case, it is natural to ask the following three nested questions:
(1) Is there a $G$-equivariant birational map $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{3}$ ? Cf. [12, 13, 29].
(2) Is $X G$-solid? Cf. [10, 36].
(3) Is $X G$-birationally rigid? Cf. [11].

Inspired by [29, Corollary 6.11], we conjecture that the answer to the first question is always negative. If $G \simeq \mathrm{PSL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{7}\right) \times \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2}$, then $X$ is $G$-birationally rigid [2, Theorem 5.23], so, in particular, it is $G$-solid. We believe that $X$ is also $G$-birationally rigid if $G \simeq \boldsymbol{\mu}_{4}^{2} \rtimes \mathfrak{S}_{3}$.

To consider more applications of Theorem A , let $\mathbb{k}$ be a subfield in $\mathbb{C}$ such that $C_{6}$ is defined over $\mathbb{k}$. Then $X$ and the Sarkisov link ( $\boldsymbol{\star}$ ) are defined over $\mathbb{k}$. In particular, the curve $C_{6}^{\prime}$ is defined over $\mathbb{k}$. Moreover, it follows from [4, 30] that $C_{6}^{\prime}$ and $C_{6}$ are isomorphic over $\mathbb{k}$, which can be shown directly. By [39, Corollary 4.14], Theorem A implies the following corollaries.

Corollary 7. If $E \cap E^{\prime}$ does not have $\mathbb{k}$-points, then $X$ is $K$-stable.
Corollary 8. If $C_{6}$ does not have $\mathbb{k}$-points, then $X$ is $K$-stable.
Using [39, Corollary 4.14], we also obtain
Corollary 9. Every smooth Fano threefold in the deformation family №2.12 which is defined over a subfield of the field $\mathbb{C}$ and does not have points in this subfield is $K$-stable.

We will present applications of Corollaries 8 and Corollary 9 in Section 2,
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## 2. Examples

2.1. $\mathfrak{S}_{4}$-invariant curves. Let us use notations introduced in Section $\mathbb{1}$. Suppose, in addition, that

$$
M_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & a & 1 & 0 \\
a & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & a \\
0 & -1 & a & 0
\end{array}\right), M_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 1 & 0 & a \\
1 & 0 & a & 0 \\
0 & a & 0 & -1 \\
a & 0 & -1 & 0
\end{array}\right), M_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & a & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & a \\
a & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & a & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $a \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $a\left(a^{6}-1\right) \neq 0$. Then $X$ is a smooth Fano threefold in the family № 2.12 , and

$$
M=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
a x_{1}+x_{2} & a x_{0}-x_{3} & a x_{3}+x_{0} & a x_{2}-x_{1} \\
a x_{3}+x_{1} & a x_{2}+x_{0} & a x_{1}-x_{3} & a x_{0}-x_{2} \\
a x_{2}-x_{3} & a x_{3}+x_{2} & a x_{0}+x_{1} & a x_{1}-x_{0}
\end{array}\right)
$$

so that $C_{6}=\left\{f_{0}=0, f_{1}=0, f_{2}=0, f_{3}=0\right\}$ for

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
f_{0}=\left(1-a^{3}\right) x_{0}^{3}-\left(2 a^{2}+2 a\right) x_{0}^{2} x_{1}+\left(2 a^{2}+2 a\right) x_{0}^{2} x_{2}+ \\
+\left(2 a^{2}+2 a\right) x_{0}^{2} x_{3}+\left(a^{3}-1\right) x_{0} x_{1}^{2}-\left(2 a^{2}-2 a\right) x_{0} x_{1} x_{2}-\left(2 a^{2}-2 a\right) x_{0} x_{1} x_{3}+ \\
\quad+\left(a^{3}-1\right) x_{0} x_{2}^{2}+\left(2 a^{2}-2 a\right) x_{0} x_{2} x_{3}+\left(a^{3}-1\right) x_{0} x_{3}^{2}-\left(2 a^{3}+2\right) x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}, \\
f_{1}=\left(1-a^{3}\right) x_{0}^{2} x_{1}+\left(-2 a^{2}-2 a\right) x_{0} x_{1}^{2}+\left(2 a^{2}-2 a\right) x_{0} x_{1} x_{2}- \\
\quad-\left(2 a^{2}-2 a\right) x_{0} x_{1} x_{3}+\left(2 a^{3}+2\right) x_{0} x_{2} x_{3}+\left(a^{3}-1\right) x_{1}^{3}+\left(2 a^{2}+2 a\right) x_{1}^{2} x_{2}- \\
\quad-\left(2 a^{2}+2 a\right) x_{1}^{2} x_{3}+\left(-a^{3}+1\right) x_{1} x_{2}^{2}+\left(2 a^{2}-2 a\right) x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}+\left(1-a^{3}\right) x_{1} x_{3}^{2}
\end{array}\right\} \begin{array}{r}
f_{2}=\left(a^{3}-1\right) x_{0}^{2} x_{2}-\left(2 a^{2}-2 a\right) x_{0} x_{1} x_{2}-\left(2 a^{3}+2\right) x_{0} x_{1} x_{3}+ \\
\quad+\left(-2 a^{2}-2 a\right) x_{0} x_{2}^{2}-\left(2 a^{2}-2 a\right) x_{0} x_{2} x_{3}+\left(a^{3}-1\right) x_{1}^{2} x_{2}+\left(2 a^{2}+2 a\right) x_{1} x_{2}^{2}+ \\
\\
\quad+\left(2 a^{2}-2 a\right) x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}+\left(1-a^{3}\right) x_{2}^{3}+\left(2 a^{2}+2 a\right) x_{2}^{2} x_{3}+\left(a^{3}-1\right) x_{2} x_{3}^{2},
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{3}=\left(1-a^{3}\right) x_{0}^{2} x_{3}+\left(2 a^{3}+2\right) x_{0} x_{1} x_{2}-\left(2 a^{2}-2 a\right) x_{0} x_{1} x_{3}- \\
& -\left(2 a^{2}-2 a\right) x_{0} x_{2} x_{3}+\left(2 a^{2}+2 a\right) x_{0} x_{3}^{2}+\left(1-a^{3}\right) x_{1}^{2} x_{3}-\left(2 a^{2}-2 a\right) x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}+ \\
& \\
& +\left(2 a^{2}+2 a\right) x_{1} x_{3}^{2}+\left(1-a^{3}\right) x_{2}^{2} x_{3}+\left(2 a^{2}+2 a\right) x_{2} x_{3}^{2}+\left(a^{3}-1\right) x_{3}^{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from [34] that the curve $C_{6}$ is isomorphic to the plane quartic curve in $\mathbb{P}_{x, y, z}^{2}$ that is given by the equation $\operatorname{det}\left(x M_{1}+y M_{2}+z M_{3}\right)=0$, which can be rewritten as

$$
x^{4}+y^{4}+z^{4}+\lambda\left(x^{2} y^{2}+x^{2} z^{2}+y^{2} z^{2}\right)=0
$$

for $\lambda=-\frac{2 a^{4}+2}{\left(a^{2}+1\right)^{2}}$, cf. [18, § 14]. So, it follows from [17] that $\operatorname{Aut}\left(C_{6}\right) \simeq \mathfrak{S}_{4}$ if $\lambda \neq 0$ and $\lambda^{2}+3 \lambda+18 \neq 0$. Moreover, if $\lambda=0$, then $\operatorname{Aut}\left(C_{6}\right) \simeq \boldsymbol{\mu}_{4}^{2} \rtimes \mathfrak{S}_{3}$. Furthermore, if $\lambda^{2}+3 \lambda+18=0$, then $C_{6}$ is isomorphic to the Klein quartic curve, and $\operatorname{Aut}\left(C_{6}\right) \simeq \operatorname{PSL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{7}\right)$.
Lemma 10. The group $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{P}^{3}, C_{6}\right)$ contains a subgroup isomorphic to $\mathfrak{S}_{4}$.
Proof. Let $G$ be the subgroup in $\mathrm{PGL}_{4}(\mathbb{C})$ that is generated by the following transformations:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & i \\
0 & 0 & i & 0 \\
0 & -i & 0 & 0 \\
-i & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & i & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -i \\
-i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & i & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & -3 & -1 & 1 \\
-3 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\
-1 & 1 & -3 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 3
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
-3 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\
-1 & 1 & -3 & 1 \\
1 & -3 & -1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 3
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Then, using Magma, one can check that $G \simeq \mathfrak{S}_{4}$. Moreover, the curve $C_{6}$ is $G$-invariant.
Corollary 11. If $\lambda \neq 0$ and $\lambda^{2}+3 \lambda+18 \neq 0$, then $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{P}^{3}, C_{6}\right) \simeq \mathfrak{S}_{4}$.
Similarly, we prove
Lemma 12. The group $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ contains a subgroup isomorphic to $\mathfrak{S}_{4} \times \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2}$.
Proof. Let $G$ be the subgroup in $\mathrm{PGL}_{4}(\mathbb{C})$ that is defined in the proof of Lemma 10, Then $G \simeq \mathfrak{S}_{4}$, the group $G$ acts diagonally on $\mathbb{P}^{3} \times \mathbb{P}^{3}$, and $X$ is $G$-invariant. This gives an embedding $\mathfrak{S}_{4} \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(X)$. Moreover, since the matrices $M_{1}, M_{2}, M_{3}$ are symmetric, the involution

$$
\left(\left[x_{0}: x_{1}: x_{2}: x_{3}\right],\left[y_{0}: y_{1}: y_{2}: y_{3}\right]\right) \mapsto\left(\left[y_{0}: y_{1}: y_{2}: y_{3}\right],\left[x_{0}: x_{1}: x_{2}: x_{3}\right]\right)
$$

leaves $X$ invariant and commutes with the $\mathfrak{S}_{4}$-action, which implies the required assertion.
Corollary 13. If $\lambda \neq 0$ and $\lambda^{2}+3 \lambda+18 \neq 0$, then $\operatorname{Aut}(X) \simeq \mathfrak{S}_{4} \times \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2}$.
Applying Corollary 5, we conclude that the Fano threefold $X$ is K-stable.
2.2. $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{4}^{2} \rtimes \boldsymbol{\mu}_{3}$-invariant curve. Let $\widehat{G}$ be the subgroup in $\mathrm{GL}_{4}(\mathbb{C})$ generated by the matrices

$$
M=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
-1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right), N=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right), A=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right), B=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & i & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -i \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

and let $G$ be the image of the group $\widehat{G}$ in $\mathrm{PGL}_{4}(\mathbb{C})$ via the natural projection $\mathrm{GL}_{4}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_{4}(\mathbb{C})$. Then $\widehat{G} \simeq \boldsymbol{\mu}_{4} .\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{4}^{2} \rtimes \boldsymbol{\mu}_{3}\right)$ and $G \simeq \boldsymbol{\mu}_{4}^{2} \rtimes \boldsymbol{\mu}_{3}$, and their GAP ID's are [192,4] and [48,3], respectively. Using GAP [23], one can check that $H^{2}\left(G, \mathbb{C}^{*}\right) \simeq \boldsymbol{\mu}_{4}$, and $\widehat{G}$ is a covering group of the group $G$.

Lemma 14. Let $G^{\prime}$ be a subgroup in $\mathrm{PGL}_{4}(\mathbb{C})$ such that $G^{\prime} \simeq G$ and $G^{\prime}$ does not fix points in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$. Then $G^{\prime}$ is conjugate to $G$ in $\mathrm{PGL}_{4}(\mathbb{C})$.
Proof. The claim follows from [10, Lemma 2.7] and the classification of finite subgroups in $\mathrm{PGL}_{4}(\mathbb{C})$, which can be found in [5]. Alternatively, one can prove the required assertion analyzing irreducible representations of the group $\widehat{G}$, which can be found in [15].

The main goal of this subsection is to show that the projective space $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ contains a $G$-invariant irreducible smooth non-hyperelliptic curve of degree 6 and genus 3 , and this curve is unique up to the action of the normalizer of the group $G$ in $\mathrm{PGL}_{4}(\mathbb{C})$. First, let us describe the normalizer. Set

$$
C_{4}^{ \pm}=\left\{(1 \mp \sqrt{3} i) x_{1}^{2}-(1 \pm \sqrt{3} i) x_{2}^{2}+2 x_{3}^{2}=0,2 x_{0}^{2}-(1 \pm \sqrt{3} i) x_{1}^{2}-(1 \mp \sqrt{3} i) x_{2}^{2}=0\right\} \subset \mathbb{P}^{3} .
$$

Then $C_{4}^{ \pm}$is a $G$-invariant elliptic curve, and $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{P}^{3}, C_{4}^{ \pm}\right)$is the subgroup in $\mathrm{PGL}_{4}(\mathbb{C})$ generated by

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
-1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & i & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & i \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Note that $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{P}^{3}, C_{4}^{ \pm}\right) \simeq \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2}^{3} \cdot \mathfrak{A}_{4}$. Let $G_{192,185}$ be the subgroup in $\mathrm{PGL}_{4}(\mathbb{C})$ generated by

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
-1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & i & 0 & 0 \\
-i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & i & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Then its GAP ID is [192,185]. Note that $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{P}^{3}, C_{4}^{ \pm}\right) \triangleleft G_{192,185} \simeq \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2}^{3} \cdot \mathfrak{S}_{4}$ and $G \triangleleft G_{192,185}$.
Lemma 15. The normalizer in $\mathrm{PGL}_{4}(\mathbb{C})$ of the subgroup $G$ is the subgroup $G_{192,185}$.
Proof. This follows from the fact that the curve $C_{4}^{+}+C_{4}^{-}$is $G_{192,185}$-invariant.
Let us describe $G$-orbits in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ of length less than 48 . To do this, we let

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_{4} & =\operatorname{Orb}_{G}([1: 0: 0: 0]), \\
\Sigma_{12} & =\operatorname{Orb}_{G}([1+i: \sqrt{2}: 0: 0]), \\
\Sigma_{12}^{\prime} & =\operatorname{Orb}_{G}([1-i: \sqrt{2}: 0: 0]), \\
\Sigma_{16} & =\operatorname{Orb}_{G}([-1+\sqrt{3} i:-1-\sqrt{3} i: 2: 0]), \\
\Sigma_{16}^{\prime} & =\operatorname{Orb}_{G}([-1-\sqrt{3} i:-1+\sqrt{3} i: 2: 0]), \\
\Sigma_{16}^{u} & =\operatorname{Orb}_{G}([1: 1: 1: u]) \text { for } u \in \mathbb{C}, \\
\Sigma_{24}^{t} & =\operatorname{Orb}_{G}([2: t: 0: 0]) \text { for } t \in \mathbb{C} \text { such that } t \neq 0 \text { and } t \neq \pm \sqrt{2} \pm \sqrt{2} i .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\Sigma_{4}, \Sigma_{12}, \Sigma_{12}^{\prime}, \Sigma_{16}, \Sigma_{16}^{\prime}, \Sigma_{16}^{u}, \Sigma_{24}^{t}$ are $G$-orbits of length $4,12,12,16,16,16,24$, respectively.
Lemma 16. Let $\Sigma$ be a $G$-orbit in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ such that $|\Sigma|<48$. Then $\Sigma$ is one of the $G$-orbits

$$
\Sigma_{4}, \Sigma_{12}, \Sigma_{12}^{\prime}, \Sigma_{16}, \Sigma_{16}^{\prime}, \Sigma_{16}^{u}, \Sigma_{24}^{t}
$$

where $u \in \mathbb{C}$ and $t \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $0 \neq t \neq \pm \sqrt{2} \pm \sqrt{2} i$.
Proof. Let us describe subgroups of the group $G$. To do this, identify the matrices $M, N, A, B$ with their images in $\mathrm{PGL}_{4}(\mathbb{C})$. Set

$$
C=A N B M A^{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & i & 0 & 0 \\
-i & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then, using [15], we see that all proper subgroups of the group $G$ can be described as follows:
(i) $\langle B, C\rangle \simeq \boldsymbol{\mu}_{4}^{2}$ is the unique (normal) subgroup of order 16 ,
(ii) $\langle A, M, N\rangle \simeq \mathfrak{A}_{4}$ is one of four conjugated subgroups of order 12,
(iii) $\langle B, M, N\rangle \simeq \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2} \times \boldsymbol{\mu}_{4}$ is one of three conjugated subgroups of order 8,
(iv) $\langle M, N\rangle \simeq \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2}^{2}$ is the unique (normal) subgroup isomorphic to $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{2}^{2}$,
(v) $\langle B\rangle \simeq \boldsymbol{\mu}_{4}$ and $\langle C B\rangle \simeq \boldsymbol{\mu}_{4}$ are non-conjugate subgroups, their conjugacy classes consist of three subgroups, which are all subgroups of the group $G$ isomorphic to $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{4}$,
(vi) $\langle A\rangle \simeq \boldsymbol{\mu}_{3}$ is one of sixteen conjugated subgroups of order 3,
(vii) $\langle M\rangle \simeq \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2}$ is one of three conjugated subgroups of order 2 .

Now, let $\Gamma$ be the stabilizer in $G$ of a point in $\Sigma$. Then $\Gamma$ is a proper subgroup of the group $G$, since $G$ fixes no points in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$. So, we may assume that $\Gamma$ is one of the subgroups $\langle B, C\rangle,\langle A, M, N\rangle$, $\langle B, M, N\rangle,\langle M, N\rangle,\langle B\rangle,\langle C B\rangle,\langle A\rangle,\langle M\rangle$. On the other hand, one can check that
(i) $\langle B, C\rangle$ does not fix points in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$,
(ii) the only fixed point of $\langle A, M, N\rangle$ is the point $[1: 0: 0: 0] \in \Sigma_{4}$,
(iii) $\langle B, M, N\rangle$ does not fix points in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$,
(iv) $\langle M, N\rangle$ does not fix points in $\mathbb{P}^{3} \backslash \Sigma_{4}$,
(v) the only fixed point of $\langle B\rangle$ are the points

$$
[1+i: \sqrt{2}: 0: 0],[1+i:-\sqrt{2}: 0: 0],[0: 0: \sqrt{2}: 1+i],[0: 0:-\sqrt{2}: 1+i],
$$

which are contained in $\Sigma_{12}$, and the only fixed point of $\langle C B\rangle$ are the points

$$
[\sqrt{2}: 0: 1-i: 0],[-\sqrt{2}: 0: 1-i: 0],[0: \sqrt{2}: 0: 1-i],[0:-\sqrt{2}: 0: 1-i],
$$

which are contained in the $G$-orbit $\Sigma_{12}^{\prime}$,
(vi) the only fixed points of $\langle A\rangle$ are the points

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -[-1+\sqrt{3} i:-1-\sqrt{3} i: 2: 0] \in \Sigma_{16}, \\
& -[-1-\sqrt{3} i:-1+\sqrt{3} i: 2: 0] \in \Sigma_{16}^{\prime}, \\
& -[1: 1: 1: t] \in \Sigma_{16}^{t} \text { for any } t \in \mathbb{C}, \\
& -[0: 0: 0: 1] \in \Sigma_{4},
\end{aligned}
$$

(vii) all fixed points of $\langle M\rangle$ are contained in the lines $\left\{x_{0}=x_{1}=0\right\}$ and $\left\{x_{2}=x_{3}=0\right\}$.

This implies the required assertion.
Now, we are ready to present a $G$-invariant irreducible smooth curve in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ of degree 6 and genus 3 . For every $u \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $u \neq 0$, let $\mathcal{M}_{3}^{u}$ be the linear subsystem in $\left|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(3)\right|$ that consists of all cubic surfaces passing through the $G$-orbit $\Sigma_{16}^{u}$. If $u^{4}=-3$, then the linear system $\mathcal{M}_{3}^{u}$ is 7-dimensional, and its base locus consists of one of the two elliptic curves $C_{4}^{+}$or $C_{4}^{-}$. One the other hand, if $u^{4} \neq-3$, then the linear subsystem $\mathcal{M}_{3}^{u}$ is 3 -dimensional, and its base locus is given by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(u^{4}-1\right) x_{3} x_{0}^{2}+\left(u^{4}+3\right) x_{0} x_{1} x_{2} u+\left(u^{4}-1\right) x_{3} x_{1}^{2}-4 x_{3}^{3} u^{2}+\left(u^{4}-1\right) x_{2}^{2} x_{3}=0  \tag{囚}\\
\left(u^{4}-1\right) x_{1} x_{0}^{2}-u\left(u^{4}+3\right) x_{0} x_{2} x_{3}+4 u^{2} x_{1}^{3}-\left(u^{4}-1\right) x_{1} x_{2}^{2}+\left(u^{4}-1\right) x_{3}^{2} x_{1}=0 \\
4 u^{2} x_{0}^{3}-\left(u^{4}-1\right) x_{0} x_{1}^{2}+\left(u^{4}-1\right) x_{0} x_{2}^{2}+\left(u^{4}-1\right) x_{3}^{2} x_{0}-u\left(u^{4}+3\right) x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}=0 \\
\left(u^{4}-1\right) x_{2} x_{0}^{2}+u\left(u^{4}+3\right) x_{0} x_{1} x_{3}-\left(u^{4}-1\right) x_{2} x_{1}^{2}-4 u^{2} x_{2}^{3}-\left(u^{4}-1\right) x_{3}^{2} x_{2}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Using this, one can check that the base locus is zero-dimensional unless

$$
u \in\left\{\frac{-1 \pm \sqrt{3}}{2}+\frac{1 \mp \sqrt{3}}{2} i, \frac{-1 \pm \sqrt{3}}{2}+\frac{-1 \pm \sqrt{3}}{2} i, \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{3}}{2}+\frac{1 \pm \sqrt{3}}{2} i, \frac{1 \mp \sqrt{3}}{2}+\frac{-1 \pm \sqrt{3}}{2} i\right\}
$$

On the other hand, if $u=\frac{-1 \pm \sqrt{3}}{2}+\frac{1 \mp \sqrt{3}}{2} i, u=\frac{-1 \pm \sqrt{3}}{2}+\frac{-1 \pm \sqrt{3}}{2} i, u=\frac{1 \pm \sqrt{3}}{2}+\frac{1 \pm \sqrt{3}}{2} i$ or $u=\frac{1 \mp \sqrt{3}}{2}+\frac{-1 \pm \sqrt{3}}{2} i$, then the equations ( $\mathbb{Q}$ ) define an irreducible $G$-invariant smooth curve in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ of degree 6 and genus 3 .

We will denote these curves by $C_{6}, C_{6}^{\prime}, C_{6}^{\prime \prime}, C_{6}^{\prime \prime \prime}$, respectively. To be precise, we have

$$
C_{6}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(x_{0}^{2}+x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right) x_{3}-i x_{3}^{3}-(1-i) x_{1} x_{0} x_{2}=0, \\
\left(x_{0}^{2}-x_{2}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}\right) x_{1}+i x_{1}^{3}+(1-i) x_{3} x_{0} x_{2}=0, \\
\left(x_{1}^{2}-x_{2}^{2}-x_{3}^{2}\right) x_{0}-i x_{0}^{3}-(1-i) x_{1} x_{3} x_{2}=0, \\
\left(x_{0}^{2}-x_{1}^{2}-x_{3}^{2}\right) x_{2}-i x_{2}^{3}-(1-i) x_{1} x_{3} x_{0}=0,
\end{array}\right.
$$

and $C_{6}^{\prime}, C_{6}^{\prime \prime}, C_{6}^{\prime \prime \prime}$ can be obtained from $C_{6}$ by applying elements of the normalizer $G_{192,185}$.
Fix $u=\frac{-1 \pm \sqrt{3}}{2}+\frac{1 \mp \sqrt{3}}{2} i$. Then ( $(\mathbb{Q})$ defines $C_{6}$. Choosing a different basis of the linear system $\mathcal{M}_{3}^{u}$, we obtain a birational map $\iota: \mathbb{P}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{3}$ given by $\left[x_{0}: x_{1}: x_{2}: x_{3}\right] \mapsto\left[h_{0}: h_{1}: h_{2}: h_{3}\right]$ for

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{0} & =(1+i) x_{3} x_{0} x_{2}-x_{1}^{3}+i x_{1}\left(x_{0}^{2}-x_{2}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}\right), \\
h_{1} & =(1+i) x_{3} x_{1} x_{2}-x_{0}^{3}-i x_{0}\left(x_{1}^{2}-x_{2}^{2}-x_{3}^{2}\right), \\
h_{2} & =(1+i) x_{3} x_{0} x_{1}-x_{2}^{3}-i x_{2}\left(x_{0}^{2}-x_{1}^{2}-x_{3}^{2}\right), \\
h_{3} & =(i-1) x_{1} x_{0} x_{2}-i x_{3}^{3}+x_{3}\left(x_{0}^{2}+x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

One can check that $\iota$ is a birational involution, and we have the following $G$-commutative diagram:

where $\pi$ is the blow up of the curve $C_{6}$, and $\tau$ is an involution. Then $X$ is smooth Fano threefold in the deformation family №2.12, which can be defined as complete intersection in $\mathbb{P}^{3} \times \mathbb{P}^{3}$ given by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y_{3} x_{0}-y_{2} x_{0}+i y_{2} x_{1}+y_{3} x_{1}-y_{0} x_{2}+i y_{1} x_{2}+y_{0} x_{3}+y_{1} x_{3}=0 \\
i y_{0} x_{0}-y_{1} x_{1}+y_{3} x_{2}+y_{2} x_{3}=0 \\
y_{2} x_{0}+y_{3} x_{0}+i y_{2} x_{1}-y_{3} x_{1}-y_{0} x_{2}-i y_{1} x_{2}-y_{0} x_{3}+y_{1} x_{3}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

and $\pi$ is induced by the projection to the first factor, where ( $\left.\left[x_{0}: x_{1}: x_{2}: x_{3}\right],\left[y_{0}: y_{1}: y_{2}: y_{3}\right]\right)$ are coordinates on $\mathbb{P}^{3} \times \mathbb{P}^{3}$. Thus, in the notations in Section [1, we have

$$
M_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & i & 1 \\
-1 & i & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), M_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right), M_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & i & -1 \\
-1 & -i & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Note that $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ are symmetric, $M_{3}$ is skew-symmetric, and the involution $\tau$ is given by

$$
\left(\left[x_{0}: x_{1}: x_{2}: x_{3}\right],\left[y_{0}: y_{1}: y_{2}: y_{3}\right]\right) \mapsto\left(\left[y_{0}: y_{1}: y_{2}: y_{3}\right],\left[x_{0}: x_{1}: x_{2}: x_{3}\right]\right)
$$

Corollary 17. One has $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{P}^{3}, C_{6}\right)=G$ and $\operatorname{Aut}(X) \simeq \boldsymbol{\mu}_{4}^{2} \rtimes \mathfrak{S}_{3}$.
Proof. First, using the classification of automorphism groups of smooth curves of genus three [17, 3], we see that $C_{6}$ is isomorphic to the Fermat quartic curve in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$. This can also be shown directly. Namely, it follows from [34] that $C_{6}$ is isomorphic to the plane quartic curve

$$
\left\{\operatorname{det}\left(x M_{1}+y M_{2}+z M_{3}\right)=0\right\} \subset \mathbb{P}_{x, y, z}^{2},
$$

which is projectively isomorphic to the Fermat plane quartic curve.
We conclude that $\operatorname{Aut}\left(C_{6}\right) \simeq \boldsymbol{\mu}_{4}^{2} \rtimes \mathfrak{S}_{3}$. Therefore, if $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{P}^{3}, C_{6}\right) \neq G$, then $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{P}^{3}, C_{6}\right) \simeq \boldsymbol{\mu}_{4}^{2} \rtimes \mathfrak{S}_{3}$, and the subgroup $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{P}^{3}, C_{6}\right) \subset \mathrm{PGL}_{4}(\mathbb{C})$ is contained in the normalizer of the group $G$ in $\mathrm{PGL}_{4}(\mathbb{C})$, which is impossible since the normalizer is the group $G_{192,185}$ by Lemma 15, and $G_{192,185}$ does not contain subgroups isomorphic to $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{4}^{2} \rtimes \mathfrak{S}_{3}$.

Therefore, we conclude that $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{P}^{3}, C_{6}\right)=G$. Now, one can explicitly check that $\langle G, \tau\rangle \simeq \boldsymbol{\mu}_{4}^{2} \rtimes \mathfrak{S}_{3}$, where we consider $G$ as a subgroup in $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$. This gives $\operatorname{Aut}(X) \simeq \boldsymbol{\mu}_{4}^{2} \rtimes \mathfrak{S}_{3}$.

By Corollary 5, the smooth Fano threefold $X$ is K-stable.
In Section 4, we will see that $X$ is the unique smooth Fano threefold in the family № 2.12 whose automorphism group is isomorphic to the group $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{4}^{2} \rtimes \mathfrak{S}_{3}$. To do this, we need the following result:

Theorem 18. The only $G$-invariant irreducible smooth curves in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ of degree 6 are $C_{6}, C_{6}^{\prime}, C_{6}^{\prime \prime}, C_{6}^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Proof. Let $C$ be a $G$-invariant irreducible smooth curve in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ of degree 6 , and let $g$ be its genus. Then $g \leqslant 4$ by the Castelnuovo bound. Thus, it follows from [7, 35] that either $g=1$, or $g=3$.

Note that $\Sigma_{4} \not \subset C$, because stabilizers in $G$ of points in $C$ are cyclic by [20, Lemma 2.7].
Let $\Pi=\left\{x_{3}=0\right\}$, and let $\Gamma$ be the the stabilizer of this plane in $G$. Then $\Gamma=\langle M, N, A\rangle \simeq \mathfrak{A}_{4}$, and all $\Gamma$-orbits in $\Pi$ of length less than 12 can be described as follows:
(i) $\Sigma_{4} \cap \Pi$ is the unique $\Gamma$-orbit of length 3,
(ii) $\Sigma_{12} \cap \Pi$ is a $\Gamma$-orbit of length 6 ,
(iii) $\Sigma_{12}^{\prime} \cap \Pi$ is a $\Gamma$-orbit of length 6 ,
(iv) $\Sigma_{24}^{t} \cap \Pi$ is a $\Gamma$-orbit of length 6 , where $0 \neq t \neq \pm \sqrt{2} \pm \sqrt{2} i$,
(v) $\Sigma_{16} \cap \Pi, \Sigma_{16}^{\prime} \cap \Pi$ and $\Sigma_{16}^{0} \cap \Pi$ are $\Gamma$-orbits of length 4 .

Thus, since $\Sigma_{4} \not \subset C, C \not \subset \Pi$, and $\Pi \cdot C$ is a $\Gamma$-invariant effective one-cycle of degree 6 , we conclude that $C$ contains at least one of the orbits $\Sigma_{12}$ or $\Sigma_{12}^{\prime}$, and $C$ does not contain $\Sigma_{16}, \Sigma_{16}^{\prime}$ and $\Sigma_{16}^{0}$.

If $g=1$, it follows from [7, 35] that $C$ does not contain $G$-orbits of length 12, which gives $g=3$. Then it follows from [7, 35] that $C$ contains two $G$-orbits of length 16, so $\Sigma_{16}^{t} \subset C$ for some $t \neq 0$.

Using the classification of automorphism groups of smooth curves of genus three [17, 3], we see that the curve $C$ is isomorphic to the Fermat quartic curve in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$. Hence, the curve $C$ is not hyperelliptic.

Let $\mathcal{M}_{3}$ be the linear subsystem in $\left|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(3)\right|$ that consists of all cubic surfaces passing through $C$. Then $\mathcal{M}_{3}$ is three-dimensional, and the curve $C$ is its base locus by [27], because $C$ is not hyperelliptic. Therefore, using the notations introduced earlier, we see that $\mathcal{M}_{3}=\mathcal{M}_{3}^{t}$ for an appropriate $t \in \mathbb{C}$. Now, arguing as above, we see that

$$
t \in\left\{\frac{-1 \pm \sqrt{3}}{2}+\frac{-1 \pm \sqrt{3}}{2} i, \frac{-1 \pm \sqrt{3}}{2}+\frac{1 \mp \sqrt{3}}{2} i, \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{3}}{2}+\frac{1 \pm \sqrt{3}}{2} i, \frac{1 \mp \sqrt{3}}{2}+\frac{-1 \pm \sqrt{3}}{2} i\right\}
$$

which implies that $C$ is one of the curves $C_{6}, C_{6}^{\prime}, C_{6}^{\prime \prime}, C_{6}^{\prime \prime \prime}$ as claimed.
2.3. Curves over $\mathbb{Q}$ without rational points. Let us use notations introduced in Section $\mathbb{1}$, Suppose, in addition, that

$$
C=\left\{x^{4}+x y z^{2}+y^{4}+y^{3} z-31 y z^{3}+4 z^{4}=0\right\} \subset \mathbb{P}_{x, y, z}^{2}
$$

Then $C$ is smooth. One can show that $C(\mathbb{Q})=\varnothing$ using the reduction modulo 3. Set

$$
P_{1}=[1-i: 0: 1], P_{2}=[1+i: 0: 1], P_{3}=[-1+i: 0: 1], P_{4}=[-1-i: 0: 1] .
$$

and $D=3\left(P_{3}+P_{4}\right)-K_{C}$. Then $D$ is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, and $D$ satisfies $(\Delta)$. Then $C_{6}$ is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, and it is isomorphic to $C$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. In particular, the curve $C_{6}$ does not contains $\mathbb{Q}$-rational points. Hence, by Corollary 8, the smooth Fano threefold $X$ is K-stable.

One can explicitly find defining equations of $C_{6}$ as follows. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the linear system of cubic curves in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ whose general member is tangent to $C$ with multiplicity 3 at the points $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$. Then

$$
\left.\mathcal{M}\right|_{C}=3 P_{1}+3 P_{2}+\left|3\left(P_{3}+P_{4}\right)\right|
$$

Thus, to compute the embedding $C \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{3}$, it is enough to find a basis of the linear system $\mathcal{M}$, which can be done using linear algebra. After this, it is easy to find defining equations of the curve $C_{6}$.
2.4. Real pointless threefolds. Now, we explain how to construct real smooth Fano threefolds in the deformation family № 2.12 that do not have real points. By Corollary 9, all of them are K-stable. We start with
Example 19. Let $U$ be a three-dimensional Severi-Brauer variety defined over $\mathbb{R}$ such that $U \not \approx \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^{3}$. Recall from [24, 28] that $U$ exists, it is unique, and, in particular, it is isomorphic to its dual variety. Set $W=U \times U$. Then

$$
W_{\mathbb{C}} \simeq \mathbb{P}^{3} \times \mathbb{P}^{3}
$$

Since $U \simeq U^{\vee}$, the Picard group $\operatorname{Pic}_{\mathbb{R}}(W)$ contains a real line bundle $L$ such that $L_{\mathbb{C}}$ has degree $(1,1)$. Let $V$ be any smooth complete intersection of three divisors in $|L|$. Then $V$ is a smooth Fano threefold in the family №2.12, and $V$ does not have real points, because $W$ does not have real points.

Let us present another, more explicit, construction of pointless real smooth Fano threefolds in the deformation family №2.12. For a point $P=\left(\left[x_{0}: x_{1}: x_{2}: x_{3}\right],\left[y_{0}: y_{1}: y_{2}: y_{3}\right]\right) \in \mathbb{P}^{3} \times \mathbb{P}^{3}$, let us consider the symmetric matrix

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{00} & a_{01} & a_{02} & a_{03} \\
a_{01} & a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\
a_{02} & a_{12} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\
a_{03} & a_{13} & a_{23} & a_{33}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and the skew-symmetric matrix

$$
B=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & b_{01} & b_{02} & b_{03} \\
-b_{01} & 0 & b_{12} & b_{13} \\
-b_{02} & -b_{12} & 0 & b_{23} \\
-b_{03} & -b_{13} & -b_{23} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

defined (up to a common scalar multiple) as follows:

$$
a_{n m}=\frac{x_{n} y_{m}+x_{m} y_{n}}{2}
$$

and

$$
b_{n m}=\frac{x_{n} y_{m}-x_{m} y_{n}}{2 i}
$$

for every $n \in\{0,1,2,3\}$ and $m \in\{0,1,2,3\}$ such that $n \neq m$, and $a_{n n}=x_{n} y_{n}$ for each $n \in\{0,1,2,3\}$. Set $M=A+i B$. Then

$$
M=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
x_{0} y_{0} & x_{0} y_{1} & x_{0} y_{2} & x_{0} y_{3} \\
x_{1} y_{0} & x_{1} y_{1} & x_{1} y_{2} & x_{1} y_{3} \\
x_{2} y_{0} & x_{2} y_{1} & x_{2} y_{2} & x_{2} y_{3} \\
x_{3} y_{0} & x_{3} y_{1} & x_{3} y_{2} & x_{3} y_{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Therefore, we see that the constructed map $P \mapsto M$ gives us the Serge embedding $\mathbb{P}^{3} \times \mathbb{P}^{3} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{15}$, where we consider $\mathbb{P}^{15}$ as a projectivization of the vector space of all $4 \times 4$ matrices.

Now, we consider matrices $A$ and $B$ on their own, and we also assume that all $a_{i j}$ and $b_{i j}$ are real. Then $M$ is a Hermitian $4 \times 4$ matrix. Projectivizing the vector space of Hermitian $4 \times 4$ matrices, we obtain $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^{15}$ with coordinates $\left[a_{00}: a_{01}: \cdots: b_{13}: b_{23}\right]$. Let us consider $M$ as a point in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^{15}$, and set

$$
V=\left\{M \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^{15} \mid \operatorname{rank}(M) \leqslant 1\right\} \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^{15}
$$

Then $V$ is a real projective subvariety in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^{15}$. Moreover, over $\mathbb{C}$, the subvariety $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the image of the map $P \mapsto M$ constructed above, which implies that $V_{\mathbb{C}} \simeq \mathbb{P}^{3} \times \mathbb{P}^{3}$, so $V$ is a form of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^{3} \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^{3}$. But $V \not \approx \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^{3} \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^{3}$ over $\mathbb{R}$, because $V$ is the Weil restriction of $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ over the reals [25, Exercise 8.1.6], which implies that $V(\mathbb{R}) \neq \varnothing$, and $\operatorname{Pic}_{\mathbb{R}}(V)$ is generated by the class of a hyperplane section.

Now, let $H_{1}, H_{2}, H_{3}$ be three real hyperplane sections of $V \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^{15}$, and let $X=H_{1} \cap H_{2} \cap H_{3}$. Suppose that $X$ is smooth and three-dimensional. Then $X$ is a real form of a smooth Fano threefold in the deformation family № 2.12 such that $\operatorname{Pic}_{\mathbb{R}}(X)=\mathbb{Z}\left[-K_{X}\right]$. Moreover, Corollary 9 gives

Corollary 20. If $X$ does not have real points, then $X$ is $K$-stable
Such smooth Fano threefolds without real points do exists:
Example 21. Suppose that $H_{1}$ is cut out by $a_{00}+a_{11}+a_{22}+a_{33}=0$. Then $H_{1}$ is smooth, because its preimage in $\mathbb{P}^{3} \times \mathbb{P}^{3}$ via the map constructed above is given by

$$
x_{0} y_{0}+x_{1} y_{1}+x_{2} y_{2}+x_{3} y_{3}=0
$$

Moreover, the fivefold $H_{1}$ does not have real points. Indeed, if $M \in V$, then the corresponding real numbers $a_{00}, a_{11}, a_{22}, a_{33}$ are either all non-negative or all non-positive, and they cannot be all zero. Similarly, set $H_{2}=\left\{a_{03}+2 a_{12}=0\right\} \cap V$ and $H_{3}=\left\{a_{02}+a_{13}+a_{23}=0\right\} \cap V$. Then $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ is isomorphic to the complete intersection in $\mathbb{P}^{3} \times \mathbb{P}^{3}$ given by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{0} y_{0}+x_{1} y_{1}+x_{2} y_{2}+x_{3} y_{3}=0 \\
x_{0} y_{3}+x_{3} y_{0}+2 x_{1} y_{2}+2 x_{2} y_{1}=0 \\
x_{0} y_{2}+x_{2} y_{0}+x_{3} y_{1}+x_{1} y_{3}+x_{2} y_{3}+x_{3} y_{2}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

This complete intersection is a smooth threefold, so $X$ is smooth, and it has no real points, because the divisor $H_{1}$ does not have real points.

## 3. The proof of Theorem A

Let us use all notations and assumptions introduced in Section 1. To start with, let us present few results from [1, 2] that will be used in the proof of Theorem A. Let $\mathbf{F}$ be a prime divisor over $X$, and let $Z$ be its center on $X$. Suppose that

- either $Z$ is a point,
- or $Z$ is an irreducible curve.

Let $P$ be any point in $Z$. Choose an irreducible smooth surface $S \subset X$ such that $P \in S$. Set

$$
\tau=\sup \left\{u \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geqslant 0} \mid \text { the divisor }-K_{X}-u S \text { is pseudo-effective }\right\} .
$$

For $u \in[0, \tau]$, let $P(u)$ be the positive part of the Zariski decomposition of the divisor $-K_{X}-u S$, and let $N(u)$ be its negative part. Then $\beta(S)=1-S_{X}(S)$, where

$$
S_{X}(S)=\frac{1}{-K_{X}^{3}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \operatorname{vol}\left(-K_{X}-u S\right) d u=\frac{1}{20} \int_{0}^{\tau} P(u)^{3} d u
$$

Let us show how to compute $P(u)$ and $N(u)$. Set $H=\pi^{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right)$ and $H^{\prime}=\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)^{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right)$. Then

$$
H \sim 3 H^{\prime}-E^{\prime}, E \sim 8 H^{\prime}-3 E^{\prime}, H^{\prime} \sim 3 H-E, E^{\prime} \sim 8 H-3 E
$$

where $E$ and $E^{\prime}$ are exceptional surfaces of the blow ups $\pi$ and $\pi^{\prime}$, respectively.
Example 22. Suppose that $S \in|H|$. Then $\tau=\frac{4}{3}$. Moreover, we have

$$
P(u) \sim_{\mathbb{R}}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(4-u) H-E \text { for } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1 \\
(4-3 u) H^{\prime} \text { for } 1 \leqslant u \leqslant \frac{4}{3}
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
N(u)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \text { for } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1, \\
(u-1) E^{\prime} \text { for } 1 \leqslant u \leqslant \frac{4}{3},
\end{array}\right.
$$

which gives $S_{X}(S)=\frac{1}{20} \int_{0}^{\frac{4}{3}}(P(u))^{3} d u=\frac{1}{20} \int_{0}^{1}(2-u)\left(u^{2}-10 u+10\right) d u+\frac{1}{20} \int_{1}^{\frac{4}{3}}(4-3 u)^{3} d u=\frac{53}{120}$.

Example 23. Suppose that $S=E$. Then $\tau=\frac{1}{2}$,

$$
P(u) \sim_{\mathbb{R}}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
4 H-(1+u) E \text { for } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant \frac{1}{3} \\
(4-8 u) H^{\prime} \text { for } \frac{1}{3} \leqslant u \leqslant \frac{1}{2},
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
N(u)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \text { for } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant \frac{1}{3}, \\
(3 u-1) E^{\prime} \text { for } \frac{1}{3} \leqslant u \leqslant \frac{1}{2},
\end{array}\right.
$$

which gives $S_{X}(S)=\frac{1}{20} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} 4(1-u)\left(5-7 u^{2}-10 u\right) d u+\frac{1}{20} \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{3}} 64(1-2 u)^{3} d u=\frac{11}{60}$.
Now, we choose an irreducible curve $C \subset S$ that contains the point $P$. For instance, if $Z$ is a curve, and $S$ contains $Z$, then we can choose $C=Z$. Since $S \not \subset \operatorname{Supp}(N(u))$, we can write

$$
\left.N(u)\right|_{S}=d(u) C+N^{\prime}(u)
$$

where $d(u)=\operatorname{ord}_{C}\left(\left.N(u)\right|_{S}\right)$, and $N^{\prime}(u)$ is an effective $\mathbb{R}$-divisor on $S$ such that $C \not \subset \operatorname{Supp}\left(N^{\prime}(u)\right)$. Now, for every $u \in[0, \tau]$, we set

$$
t(u)=\sup \left\{v \in \mathbb{R}_{\geqslant 0} \mid \text { the divisor }\left.P(u)\right|_{S}-v C \text { is pseudo-effective }\right\} .
$$

For $v \in[0, t(u)]$, we let $P(u, v)$ be the positive part of the Zariski decomposition of $\left.P(u)\right|_{S}-v C$, and we let $N(u, v)$ be its negative part. Following [1, 2], we let

$$
S\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet}^{S} ; C\right)=\frac{3}{\left(-K_{X}\right)^{3}} \int_{0}^{\tau} d(u)\left(\left.P(u)\right|_{S}\right)^{2} d u+\frac{3}{\left(-K_{X}\right)^{3}} \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{\infty} \operatorname{vol}\left(\left.P(u)\right|_{S}-v C\right) d v d u
$$

which we can rewrite as

$$
S\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet}^{S} ; C\right)=\frac{3}{\left(-K_{X}\right)^{3}} \int_{0}^{\tau} d(u)\left(\left.P(u)\right|_{S}\right)^{2} d u+\frac{3}{\left(-K_{X}\right)^{3}} \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{t(u)}(P(u, v))^{2} d v d u
$$

If $Z$ is a curve, $Z \subset S$ and $C=Z$, then it follows from [1, 2] that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{A_{X}(\mathbf{F})}{S_{X}(\mathbf{F})} \geqslant \min \left\{\frac{1}{S_{X}(S)}, \frac{1}{S\left(W_{\bullet}, \bullet\right.} ; C\right)\right\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $f: \widetilde{S} \rightarrow S$ be the blow up of the point $P$, let $F$ be the $f$-exceptional curve, let $\widetilde{N}^{\prime}(u)$ be the strict transform on $\widetilde{S}$ of the $\mathbb{R}$-divisor $\left.N(u)\right|_{S}$, and let $\widetilde{d}(u)=\operatorname{mult}_{P}\left(\left.N(u)\right|_{S}\right)$. Then

$$
f^{*}\left(\left.N(u)\right|_{S}\right)=\widetilde{d}(u) F+\widetilde{N}^{\prime}(u)
$$

For every $u \in[0, \tau]$, set

$$
\widetilde{t}(u)=\sup \left\{v \in \mathbb{R}_{\geqslant 0} \mid \text { the divisor } f^{*}\left(\left.P(u)\right|_{S}\right)-v F \text { is pseudo-effective }\right\} .
$$

For $v \in[0, \widetilde{t}(u)]$, we let $\widetilde{P}(u, v)$ be the positive part of the Zariski decomposition of $f^{*}\left(\left.P(u)\right|_{S}\right)-v F$, and we let $\widetilde{N}(u, v)$ be its negative part. Let

$$
S\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet}^{S} ; F\right)=\frac{3}{\left(-K_{X}\right)^{3}} \int_{0}^{\tau} \widetilde{d}(u)\left(f^{*}\left(\left.P(u)\right|_{S}\right)\right)^{2} d u+\frac{3}{\left(-K_{X}\right)^{3}} \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{\infty} \operatorname{vol}\left(f^{*}\left(\left.P(u)\right|_{S}\right)-v F\right) d v d u
$$

Then

$$
S\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet}^{S} ; F\right)=\frac{3}{\left(-K_{X}\right)^{3}} \int_{0}^{\tau} \widetilde{d}(u)(\widetilde{P}(u, 0))^{2} d u+\frac{3}{20} \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{\tilde{t}(u)}(\widetilde{P}(u, v))^{2} d v d u
$$

For every point $O \in F$, we let

$$
S\left(W_{\bullet, 0,0}^{\widetilde{S}, F} ; O\right)=\frac{3}{\left(-K_{X}\right)^{3}} \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{\tilde{t}(u)}(\widetilde{P}(u, v) \cdot F)^{2} d v d u+F_{O}\left(W_{\bullet, 0, \bullet}^{\widetilde{S}, F}\right)
$$

for

$$
F_{O}\left(W_{\bullet, 0,0}^{\widetilde{S}, F}\right)=\frac{6}{\left(-K_{X}\right)^{3}} \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{\tilde{t}(u)}(\widetilde{P}(u, v) \cdot F) \cdot \operatorname{ord}_{O}\left(\left.\widetilde{N}^{\prime}(u)\right|_{F}+\left.\widetilde{N}(u, v)\right|_{F}\right) d v d u
$$

Then it follows from [1, 2] that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{A_{X}(\mathbf{F})}{S_{X}(\mathbf{F})} \geqslant \min \left\{\frac{1}{S_{X}(S)}, \frac{2}{S\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet}^{S} ; F\right)}, \inf _{O \in F} \frac{1}{S\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet \bullet}(\tilde{S}, F\right.} ; O\right)\right\} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, if $S_{X}(S)<1, S\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet}^{S} ; F\right)<2$ and $S\left(W_{\bullet, \boldsymbol{\bullet}, \boldsymbol{\circ}}^{\widetilde{S}} ; O\right)<1$ for every point $O \in F$, then $\beta(\mathbf{F})>0$.
Now, we are ready to prove Theorem A . We must show that $\beta(\mathbf{F})>0$ if $Z$ is not a point in $E \cap E^{\prime}$. If $Z$ is a surface, it follows from [21] that $\beta(\mathbf{F})>0$. Hence, we may assume that $Z$ is not a surface.

Lemma 24 (cf. [8]). Suppose that $Z$ is a curve, $Z \subset E$, and $\pi(Z)$ is not a point. Then $\beta(\mathbf{F})>0$.
Proof. Let $e$ be the invariant of the ruled surface $E$ defined in Proposition 2.8 in [26, Chapter V]. Then $e \geqslant-3$ [33]. Moreover, there exists a section $C_{0}$ of the projection $E \rightarrow C_{6}$ such that $C_{0}^{2}=-e$. Let $\ell$ a fiber of this projection. Then $\left.H\right|_{E} \equiv 6 \ell$ and $\left.E\right|_{E} \equiv-C_{0}+\lambda \ell$ for some integer $\lambda$. Since

$$
-28=-c_{1}\left(N_{C_{6} / \mathbb{P}^{3}}\right)=E^{3}=\left(-C_{0}+\lambda \ell\right)^{2}=-e-2 \lambda,
$$

we get $\lambda=\frac{28-e}{2}$, so $e$ is even and $e \geqslant-2$. Since $H^{\prime}$ is nef and $\left.H^{\prime}\right|_{E} \equiv C_{0}+(18-\lambda) \ell$, we get

$$
0 \leqslant H^{\prime} \cdot C_{0}=\left(C_{0}+(18-\lambda) \ell\right) \cdot C_{0}=\frac{8-e}{2}
$$

which implies that $e \leqslant 8$. Thus, we see that $e \in\{-2,0,2,4,6,8\}$.
Set $S=E$ and $C=Z$. Let us estimate $S\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet}^{S} ; C\right)$. It follows from Example 23 that $\tau=\frac{1}{2}$ and

$$
\left.P(u)\right|_{S} \equiv \begin{cases}(1+u) C_{0}+\frac{20+e+u e-28 u}{2} \ell \text { for } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant \frac{1}{3} \\ (4-8 u) C_{0}+2(1-2 u)(8+e) \ell \text { for } \frac{1}{3} \leqslant u \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\end{cases}
$$

If $0 \leqslant u \leqslant \frac{1}{2}$, then $N(u)=0$. If $\frac{1}{2} \leqslant u \leqslant \frac{1}{3}$, then $\left.N(u)\right|_{S}=\left.(3 u-1) E^{\prime}\right|_{S}$, where $\left.E^{\prime}\right|_{S} \equiv 3 C_{0}+\frac{12+3 e}{2} \ell$. By Proposition 2.20 in [26, Chapter V], we have $Z \equiv a C_{0}+b \ell$ for integers $a$ and $b$ such that $a \geqslant 0$ and $b \geqslant a e$. Since $\pi(Z)$ is not a point, we have $a \geqslant 1$. Then $\operatorname{ord}_{C}\left(\left.E^{\prime}\right|_{S}\right) \leqslant 3$. Hence, if $\frac{1}{3} \leqslant u \leqslant \frac{1}{2}$,
then $d(u) \leqslant 3(3 u-1)$. This gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S\left(W_{\bullet, 0}^{S}, C\right)=\frac{3}{20} \int_{\frac{1}{3}}^{\frac{1}{2}} 128(2 u-1)^{2} d(u) d u+\frac{3}{20} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \operatorname{vol}\left(\left.P(u)\right|_{S}-v C\right) d v d u \leqslant \\
& \leqslant \\
& \quad \frac{3}{20} \int_{\frac{1}{3}}^{\frac{1}{2}} 384(3 u-1)(2 u-1)^{2} d u+\frac{3}{20} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \operatorname{vol}\left(\left.P(u)\right|_{S}-v C\right) d v d u= \\
& =\frac{2}{45}+\frac{3}{20} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \operatorname{vol}\left(\left.P(u)\right|_{S}-v C\right) d v d u=\frac{2}{45}+\frac{3}{20} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \operatorname{vol}\left(\left.P(u)\right|_{S}-v\left(a C_{0}+b \ell\right)\right) d v d u
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we conclude that $S\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet}^{S} ; C\right) \leqslant \frac{2}{45}+\frac{3}{20} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \operatorname{vol}\left(\left.P(u)\right|_{S}-v\left(a C_{0}+b \ell\right)\right) d v d u$.
Suppose that $b \geqslant 0$. Then

$$
\frac{3}{20} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \operatorname{vol}\left(\left.P(u)\right|_{S}-v\left(a C_{0}+b \ell\right)\right) d v d u \leqslant \frac{3}{20} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \operatorname{vol}\left(\left.P(u)\right|_{S}-v C_{0}\right) d v d u
$$

On the other hand, we have

$$
\left.P(u)\right|_{S}-v C_{0} \equiv\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(1+u-v) C_{0}+\frac{20+e+u e-28 u}{2} \ell \text { if } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant \frac{1}{3} \\
(4-8 u-v) C_{0}+2(1-2 u)(8+e) \ell \text { if } \frac{1}{3} \leqslant u \leqslant \frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Hence, if $0 \leqslant u \leqslant \frac{1}{3}$, then the divisor $\left.P(u)\right|_{S}-v C_{0}$ is pseudoeffective $\Longleftrightarrow$ it is nef $\Longleftrightarrow v \leqslant 1+u$. Likewise, if $\frac{1}{3} \leqslant u \leqslant \frac{1}{2}$, then $\left.P(u)\right|_{S}-v C_{0}$ is pseudoeffective $\Longleftrightarrow$ it is nef $\Longleftrightarrow v \leqslant 4-8 u$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
S\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet}^{S} ; C\right) & \leqslant \frac{2}{45}+\frac{3}{20} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \operatorname{vol}\left(\left.P(u)\right|_{S}-v C_{0}\right) d v d u= \\
& =\frac{2}{45}+\frac{3}{20} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{3}} \int_{0}^{1+u}\left((1+u-v) C_{0}+\frac{20+e+u e-28 u}{2} \ell\right)^{2} d v d u+ \\
& +\frac{3}{20} \int_{\frac{1}{3}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{0}^{4-8 u}\left((4-8 u-v) C_{0}+2(1-2 u)(8+e) \ell\right)^{2} d v d u=\frac{23 e}{1440}+\frac{221}{360}<1,
\end{aligned}
$$

because $e \leqslant 8$. Then $\beta(\mathbf{F})>0$ by (11), since we know from Example 23 that $S_{X}(S)<1$.
Thus, to complete the proof, we may assume that $b<0$. Then $e<0$, so that $e=-2$, since $b \geqslant a e$. Hence, it follows from Proposition 2.21 in [26, Chapter V] that $a \geqslant 2$ and $b \geqslant-a$. Then

$$
\frac{3}{20} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \operatorname{vol}\left(\left.P(u)\right|_{S}-v C\right) d v d u \leqslant \frac{3}{20} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \operatorname{vol}\left(\left.P(u)\right|_{S}-v\left(2 C_{0}-2 \ell\right)\right) d v d u
$$

Moreover, arguing as above, we compute

$$
\frac{3}{20} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \operatorname{vol}\left(\left.P(u)\right|_{S}-v\left(2 C_{0}-2 \ell\right)\right) d v d u=\frac{41}{144}
$$

which gives $S\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet}^{S} ; C\right) \leqslant \frac{2}{45}+\frac{41}{144}=\frac{79}{240}<1$, so that $\beta(\mathbf{F})>0$ by (11).
Similarly, we prove that
Lemma 25. Suppose that $Z$ is a curve, $Z \subset E^{\prime}$, and $\pi^{\prime}(Z)$ is not a point. Then $\beta(\mathbf{F})>0$.
Now, suppose that $Z$ is not a point in $E \cap E^{\prime}$. To prove Theorem A, we must show that $\beta(\mathbf{F})>0$. Let $P$ be a general point in $Z$. By Lemmas 24 and 25, we may assume that either $P \notin E$ or $P \notin E^{\prime}$. Hence, without loss of generality, we may assume that $P \notin E$. Let us show that $\beta(\mathbf{F})>0$.

Let $S$ be a sufficiently general surface in $|H|$ that contains $P$. Then it follows from the adjunction formula that $-\left.K_{S} \sim H^{\prime}\right|_{S}$. Set $\Pi=\pi(S)$. Then $\Pi$ is a general plane in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ that contains $\pi(P)$. Write

$$
\Pi \cap C_{6}=\left\{P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}, P_{4}, P_{5}, P_{6}\right\}
$$

where $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}, P_{4}, P_{5}, P_{6}$ are distinct points. Then $\pi$ induces a birational morphism $\varpi: S \rightarrow \Pi$, which is a blow up of the intersection points $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}, P_{4}, P_{5}, P_{6}$.

Lemma 26. The divisor $-K_{S}$ is ample.
Proof. We must show that at most three points among $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}, P_{4}, P_{5}, P_{6}$ are contained in a line, and not all of these six points are contained in an irreducible conic.

If there exists a line $\ell \subset \Pi$ such that $\ell$ contains at least three points among $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}, P_{4}, P_{5}, P_{6}$, then $\ell$ is a trisecant of the curve $C_{6}$, so that the line $\ell$ is contained in $\pi\left(E^{\prime}\right)$, and its strict transform on the threefold $X$ is a fiber of the projection $E^{\prime} \rightarrow C_{6}^{\prime}$. But the planes in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ containing $\pi(P)$ and a trisecant of the curve $C_{6}$ form a one-dimensional family. Hence, a general plane in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ that contains the point $\pi(P)$ does not contain trisecants of the curve $C_{6}$. Therefore, we conclude that at most two points among $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}, P_{4}, P_{5}, P_{6}$ are contained in a line.

Similarly, if the points $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}, P_{4}, P_{5}, P_{6}$ are contained in an irreducible conic in $\Pi$, then its strict transform on the threefold $X$ has trivial intersection with $H^{\prime} \sim 3 H-E$, which implies that this conic is the image of a fiber of the projection $E^{\prime} \rightarrow C_{6}^{\prime}$, which is impossible, since these fibers are mapped to lines in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$. Therefore, the divisor $-K_{S}$ is ample.

Thus, we can identify $S$ with a smooth cubic surface in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$. Recall that $P \notin E$.
Lemma 27. Suppose that there exists a line $\ell \subset S$ such that $P \in \ell$. Then $\pi(\ell)$ is a conic.
Proof. If $\pi(\ell)$ is not a conic, then $\pi(\ell)$ is a secant of the curve $C_{6}$ that contains $\pi(P)$. Let us show that we can choose $\Pi$ such that it does not contain any secant of the curve $C_{6}$.

Let $\phi: \mathbb{P}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ be the linear projection from $\pi(P)$. Since $C_{6}$ is not hyperelliptic and $\pi(P) \notin C_{6}$, one of the following two possibilities holds:
(1) $\phi\left(C_{6}\right)$ is a singular curve of degree 6 , and $\phi$ induces a birational morphism $C_{6} \rightarrow \phi\left(C_{6}\right)$,
(2) $\phi\left(C_{6}\right)$ is a smooth cubic, and $\phi$ induces a double cover $C_{6} \rightarrow \phi\left(C_{6}\right)$.

In the second case, the curve $C_{6}$ is contained in an irrational cubic cone in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$, which is impossible, because the composition $\pi^{\prime} \circ \pi^{-1}$ birationally maps every cubic surface containing $C_{6}$ to a plane in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$. Thus, we see that $\phi\left(C_{6}\right)$ is a singular irreducible curve of degree 6 .

All secants of the curve $C_{6}$ containing $\pi(P)$ are mapped by $\phi$ to singular points of the curve $\phi\left(C_{6}\right)$. Since this curve has finitely many singular points, there are finitely many secants of the curve $C_{6}$ that pass through $\pi(P)$. Hence, since $\Pi$ is a general plane in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ that contains $\pi(P)$, we may assume that it does not contain secants of the curve $C_{6}$ containing $\pi(P)$, so $\pi(\ell)$ is a conic.

Let $T$ be the unique hyperplane section of the surface $S \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ that is singular at $P$. Then it follows from Lemma 27] that either $P$ is not contained in any line in $S$, and one of the following cases holds:
(a) $T$ is an irreducible cubic curve that has a node at $P$;
(b) $T$ is an irreducible cubic curve that has a cusp at $P$;
or $P$ is contained in a unique line $\ell \subset S, \pi(\ell)$ is a conic, and one of the following cases holds:
(c) $T=\ell+C_{2}$ for a smooth conic $C_{2}$ that intersect $\ell$ transversally at $P$;
(d) $T=\ell+C_{2}$ for a smooth conic $C_{2}$ that is tangent to $\ell$ at $P$.

Let us construct another curve in $S$ that is also singular at $P$. Namely, for each $i \in\{1,2,3,4,5,6\}$, let $\ell_{i}$ be the proper transform on $S$ of the unique line in $\Pi$ that passes through the points $\pi(P)$ and $P_{i}$. Set $L=\ell_{1}+\ell_{2}+\ell_{3}+\ell_{4}+\ell_{5}+\ell_{6}$. Then it follows from Example 22 that

$$
\left.P(u)\right|_{S} \sim_{\mathbb{R}}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{2+u}{3} T+\frac{1-u}{3} L \text { if } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1 \\
(4-3 u) T \text { if } 1 \leqslant u \leqslant \frac{4}{3}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Recall from Example 22 that $\tau=\frac{4}{3}$ and $S_{X}(S)=\frac{53}{120}$.
Let $\widetilde{T}$ and $\widetilde{L}$ be the proper transforms on $\widetilde{S}$ of the curves $T$ and $L$, respectively. If $0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1$, then

$$
f^{*}\left(\left.P(u)\right|_{S}\right)-v F \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{2+u}{3} \widetilde{T}+\frac{1-u}{3} \widetilde{L}+\frac{10-4 u-3 v}{3} F,
$$

which implies that $\widetilde{t}(u)=\frac{10-4 u}{3}$. Similarly, if $1 \leqslant u \leqslant \frac{4}{3}$, then

$$
f^{*}\left(\left.P(u)\right|_{S}\right)-v F \sim_{\mathbb{R}}(4-3 u) \widetilde{T}+(8-6 u-v) F,
$$

which implies that $\widetilde{t}(u)=8-6 u$.
Finally, set $R=\left.E^{\prime}\right|_{S}$. Then $R$ is a smooth curve. Let $\widetilde{R}$ be its strict transform on $\widetilde{S}$. Then

$$
\tilde{N}^{\prime}(u)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \text { if } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1 \\
(u-1) \widetilde{R} \text { if } 1 \leqslant u \leqslant \frac{4}{3} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

So, if $0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1$ or $P \notin E^{\prime}$, then $\widetilde{d}(u)=0$. Similarly, if $1 \leqslant u \leqslant \frac{4}{3}$ and $P \in E^{\prime}$, then $\widetilde{d}(u)=u-1$.
Lemma 28. Suppose that $P$ is not contained in any line in $S$. Then $\beta(\mathbf{F})>0$.
Proof. The curve $T$ is irreducible. If $0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1$, then

$$
P(u, v) \sim_{\mathbb{R}}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{2+u}{3} \widetilde{T}+\frac{1-u}{3} \widetilde{L}+\frac{10-4 u-3 v}{3} F \text { if } 0 \leqslant v \leqslant \frac{6-3 u}{2} \\
\frac{20-8 u-6 v}{3} \widetilde{T}+\frac{1-u}{3} \widetilde{L}+\frac{10-4 u-3 v}{3} F \text { if } \frac{6-3 u}{2} \leqslant v \leqslant 3-u, \\
\frac{10-4 u-3 v}{3}(2 \widetilde{T}+\widetilde{L}+F) \text { if } 3-u \leqslant v \leqslant \frac{10-4 u}{3}
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
N(u, v)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \text { if } 0 \leqslant v \leqslant \frac{6-3 u}{2}, \\
(2 v-6+3 u) \widetilde{T} \text { if } \frac{6-3 u}{2} \leqslant v \leqslant 3-u, \\
(2 v-6+3 u) \widetilde{T}+(v+u-3) \widetilde{L} \text { if } 3-u \leqslant v \leqslant \frac{10-4 u}{3}
\end{array}\right.
$$

This gives

$$
(P(u, v))^{2}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u^{2}-v^{2}-8 u+10 \text { if } 0 \leqslant v \leqslant \frac{6-3 u}{2} \\
10 u^{2}+12 u v+3 v^{2}-44 u-24 v+46 \text { if } \frac{6-3 u}{2} \leqslant v \leqslant 3-u \\
(10-4 u-3 v)^{2} \text { if } 3-u \leqslant v \leqslant \frac{10-4 u}{3}
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
P(u, v) \cdot F=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
v \text { if } 0 \leqslant v \leqslant \frac{6-3 u}{2} \\
12-6 u-3 v \text { if } \frac{6-3 u}{2} \leqslant v \leqslant 3-u \\
30-12 u-9 v \text { if } 3-u \leqslant v \leqslant \frac{10-4 u}{3}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Similarly, if $1 \leqslant u \leqslant \frac{4}{3}$, then

$$
P(u, v) \sim_{\mathbb{R}}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(4-3 u) \widetilde{T}+(8-6 u-v) F \text { if } 0 \leqslant v \leqslant \frac{12-9 u}{2} \\
(8-6 u-v)(2 \widetilde{T}+F) \text { if } \frac{12-9 u}{2} \leqslant v \leqslant 8-6 u
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
N(u, v)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \text { if } 0 \leqslant v \leqslant \frac{12-9 u}{2} \\
(2 v+9 u-12) \widetilde{T} \text { if } \frac{12-9 u}{2} \leqslant v \leqslant 8-6 u
\end{array}\right.
$$

This gives

$$
(P(u, v))^{2}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
27 u^{2}-v^{2}-72 u+48 \text { if } 0 \leqslant v \leqslant \frac{12-9 u}{2} \\
3(8-6 u-v)^{2} \text { if } \frac{12-9 u}{2} \leqslant v \leqslant 8-6 u
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
P(u, v) \cdot F=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
v \text { if } 0 \leqslant v \leqslant \frac{12-9 u}{2} \\
24-18 u-3 v \text { if } \frac{12-9 u}{2} \leqslant v \leqslant 8-6 u
\end{array}\right.
$$

Thus, if $P \in E^{\prime}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
S\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet}^{S} ; F\right) & =\frac{3}{20} \int_{1}^{\frac{4}{3}}\left(27 u^{2}-72 u+48\right)(u-1) d u+\frac{3}{20} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{\frac{6-3 u}{2}} u^{2}-v^{2}-8 u+10 d v d u+ \\
& +\frac{3}{20} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\frac{6-3 u}{2}}^{3-u} 10 u^{2}+12 u v+3 v^{2}-44 u-24 v+46 d v d u+\frac{3}{20} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{3-u}^{\frac{10-4 u}{3}}(4 u+3 v-10)^{2} d v d u+ \\
& +\frac{3}{20} \int_{1}^{\frac{4}{3}} \int_{0}^{\frac{12-9 u}{2}} 27 u^{2}-v^{2}-72 u+48 d v d u+\frac{3}{20} \int_{1}^{\frac{4}{3}} \int_{\frac{12-9 u}{2}}^{8-6 u} 3(6 u+v-8)^{2} d v d u=\frac{41}{24}<2
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, if $P \notin E^{\prime}$, then $S\left(W_{\bullet \bullet \bullet}^{S} ; F\right)=\frac{409}{240}<\frac{41}{24}<2$.

Now, let $O$ be a point in $F$. Let us compute $S\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet, \bullet}^{\widetilde{S}, F} ; O\right)$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet, \bullet}^{\widetilde{S}, F} ; O\right)=\frac{3}{20} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{\frac{6-3 u}{2}} v^{2} d v d u+\frac{3}{20} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\frac{6-3 u}{2}}^{3-u}(12-6 u-3 v)^{2} d v d u+ \\
+ & \frac{3}{20} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{3-u}^{\frac{10-4 u}{3}}(30-12 u-9 v)^{2} d v d u+\frac{3}{20} \int_{1}^{\frac{4}{3}} \int_{0}^{\frac{12-9 u}{2}} 8 v^{2} d v d u+\frac{3}{20} \int_{1}^{\frac{4}{3}} \int_{\frac{12-9 u}{2}}^{8-6 u}(24-18 u-3 v)^{2} d v d u+F_{O}\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet \bullet \bullet}^{\widetilde{S}}, F\right.
\end{aligned},
$$

so that $S\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet, \bullet}^{\widetilde{S}, F} ; O\right)=\frac{63}{80}+F_{O}\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet, \bullet}^{\widetilde{S}}\right)$. In particular, if $P \notin E^{\prime}$ and $O \notin \widetilde{T} \cup \widetilde{C}$, then $F_{O}\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet, \bullet}^{\widetilde{S}, F}\right)=0$, which implies that $S\left(W_{\bullet, 0,0} \widetilde{\widetilde{S}, F} ; O\right)=\frac{63}{80}$. Let us compute $F_{O}\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet, 0} \widetilde{S}, F\right)$ in the remaining cases.

First, we deal with the case $P \notin E^{\prime}$. If $P \notin E^{\prime}$, then we have $O \notin \operatorname{Supp}\left(\tilde{N}^{\prime}(u)\right)$ for every $u \in\left[0, \frac{4}{3}\right]$. Moreover, if $P \notin E^{\prime}$ and $O \in \widetilde{L}$, then $O \notin \widetilde{T}$, and $\widetilde{L}$ intersects $F$ transversally at $O$, which gives

$$
S\left(W_{\bullet,,, 0}^{\widetilde{S}, F} ; O\right)=\frac{63}{80}+\frac{6}{20} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{3-u}^{\frac{10-4 u}{3}}(P(u, v) \cdot F)(v+u-3)(\widetilde{L} \cdot F)_{O} d v d u=\frac{19}{24}
$$

Similarly, if $P \notin E^{\prime}$ and $O \in \widetilde{T}$, then $O \notin \widetilde{L}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S\left(W_{\bullet,, \bullet \bullet}^{\widetilde{S}, F} ; O\right)=\frac{63}{80}+\frac{6}{20} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\frac{6-3 u}{2}}^{\frac{10-4 u}{3}}(P(u, v) \cdot F)(2 v-6+3 u)(\widetilde{T} \cdot F)_{O} d v d u+ \\
+ & \frac{6}{20} \int_{1}^{\frac{4}{3}} \int_{\frac{12-9 u}{2}}^{8-6 u}(P(u, v) \cdot F)(2 v+9 u-12)(\widetilde{T} \cdot F)_{O}=\frac{63}{80}+\frac{6}{20} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\frac{6-3 u}{2}}^{3-u}(12-6 u-3 v)(2 v-6+3 u)(\widetilde{T} \cdot F)_{O} d v d u+ \\
+ & \frac{6}{20} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{3-u}^{\frac{10-4 u}{3}}(30-12 u-9 v)(2 v-6+3 u)(\widetilde{T} \cdot F)_{O} d v d u+\frac{6}{20} \int_{1}^{\frac{4}{3}} \int_{\frac{12-9 u}{2}}^{8-6 u}(24-18 u-3)(2 v+9 u-12)(\widetilde{T} \cdot F)_{O},
\end{aligned}
$$

so $S\left(W_{\bullet,, \boldsymbol{\bullet}, ~}^{\widetilde{S}, F} ; O\right)=\frac{63}{80}+\frac{5}{96}(\widetilde{T} \cdot F)_{O} \leqslant \frac{63}{80}+\frac{5}{96} \widetilde{T} \cdot F=\frac{107}{120}$. Hence, if $P \notin E^{\prime}$, then $\beta(\mathbf{F})>0$ by (2).
Therefore, to complete the proof of the lemma, we may assume that $P \in E^{\prime}$. Since $R$ is smooth, the curve $\widetilde{R}$ intersects $F$ transversally at one point, so that

$$
\operatorname{ord}_{O}\left(\left.\widetilde{N}^{\prime}(u)\right|_{F}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \text { if } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1 \\
0 \text { if } 1 \leqslant u \leqslant \frac{4}{3} \text { and } O \neq \widetilde{R} \cap F \\
u-1 \text { if } 1 \leqslant u \leqslant \frac{4}{3} \text { and } O=\widetilde{R} \cap F
\end{array}\right.
$$

Hence, if $O \neq \widetilde{R} \cap F$, then $S\left(W_{\bullet, 0, \boldsymbol{0}}^{\widetilde{S}, F} ; O\right)$ can be computed as in the case $P \notin E^{\prime}$. Thus, we may also assume that $O=\widetilde{R} \cap F$. Moreover, if $O \in \widetilde{L}$, then our previous calculations give

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet, 0}^{\widetilde{S}, F} ; O\right)=\frac{6}{20} \int_{1}^{\frac{4}{3}} \int_{0}^{\tilde{t}(u)}(\widetilde{P}(u, v) \cdot F)(u-1) d v d u+\frac{19}{24}= \\
& \quad=\frac{6}{20} \int_{1}^{\frac{4}{3}} \int_{0}^{\frac{12-9 u}{2}} v(u-1) d v d u+\frac{6}{20} \int_{1}^{\frac{4}{3}} \int_{\frac{12-9 u}{2}}^{8-6 u}(24-18 u-3 v)(u-1) d v d u+\frac{19}{24}=\frac{191}{240} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, if $O \in \widetilde{T}$, then, using our previous computations, we get

$$
S\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet, \bullet}^{\widetilde{S}, F} ; O\right)=\frac{1}{241}+\frac{63}{80}+\frac{5}{96}(\widetilde{T} \cdot F)_{O} \leqslant \frac{1}{241}+\frac{63}{80}+\frac{5}{96} \widetilde{T} \cdot F=\frac{43}{48} .
$$

Thus, we see that $S\left(W_{\bullet, 0, \bullet}^{\widetilde{S}, F} ; O\right)<1$ for every point $O \in F$, so that $\beta(\mathbf{F})>0$ by (2).
To complete the proof of Theorem A, we may assume that $T=\ell+C_{2}$ and $P \in \ell \cap C_{2}$, where $\ell$ is a line such that $\pi(\ell)$ is a conic in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$, and $C_{2}$ is a smooth conic such that $\pi\left(C_{2}\right)$ is a line. Then $C_{2}$ is one of the curves $\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}, \ell_{3}, \ell_{4}, \ell_{5}, \ell_{6}$, so we may assume that $C_{2}=\ell_{6}$. Set $L^{\prime}=\ell_{1}+\ell_{2}+\ell_{3}+\ell_{4}+\ell_{5}$. Let us denote by $\widetilde{\ell}, \widetilde{C}_{2}, \widetilde{L}^{\prime}$ the strict transforms on the surface $\widetilde{S}$ of the curves $\ell, C_{2}, L^{\prime}$, respectively. Then $\widetilde{\ell} \cap \widetilde{L}^{\prime}=\varnothing$ and $\widetilde{C}_{2} \cap \widetilde{L^{\prime}}=\varnothing$. Moreover, if $0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1$, then

$$
P(u, v) \sim_{\mathbb{R}}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{2+u}{3} \widetilde{\ell}+\widetilde{C}_{2}+\frac{1-u}{3} \widetilde{L}^{\prime}+\frac{10-4 u-3 v}{3} F \text { if } 0 \leqslant v \leqslant 3-2 u, \\
\frac{13-4 u-3 v}{6} \widetilde{\ell}+\widetilde{C}_{2}+\frac{1-u}{3} \widetilde{L}^{\prime}+\frac{10-4 u-3 v}{3} F \text { if } 3-2 u \leqslant v \leqslant \frac{9-4 u}{3}, \\
\frac{10-4 u-3 v}{3}\left(2 \widetilde{\ell}+3 \widetilde{C}_{2}+F\right)+\frac{1-u}{3} \widetilde{L}^{\prime} \text { if } \frac{9-4 u}{3} \leqslant v \leqslant 3-u, \\
\frac{10-4 u-3 v}{3}\left(2 \widetilde{\ell}+\widetilde{L}^{\prime}+3 \widetilde{C}_{2}+F\right) \text { if } 3-u \leqslant v \leqslant \frac{10-4 u}{3},
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
N(u, v)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \text { if } 0 \leqslant v \leqslant 3-2 u, \\
\frac{v+2 u-3}{2} \widetilde{\ell} \text { if } 3-2 u \leqslant v \leqslant \frac{9-4 u}{3}, \\
(2 v+3 u-6) \widetilde{\ell}+(3 v+4 u-9) \widetilde{C}_{2} \text { if } \frac{9-4 u}{3} \leqslant v \leqslant 3-u, \\
(2 v+3 u-6) \widetilde{\ell}+(3 v+4 u-9) \widetilde{C}_{2}+(v+u-3) \widetilde{L}^{\prime} \text { if } 3-u \leqslant v \leqslant \frac{10-4 u}{3} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

This gives

$$
(P(u, v))^{2}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u^{2}-v^{2}-8 u+10 \text { if } 0 \leqslant v \leqslant 3-2 u \\
\frac{29}{2}-14 u-3 v+3 u^{2}-\frac{v^{2}}{2}+2 v u \text { if } 3-2 u \leqslant v \leqslant \frac{9-4 u}{3} \\
11 u^{2}+14 u v+4 v^{2}-50 u-30 v+55 \text { if } \frac{9-4 u}{3} \leqslant v \leqslant 3-u \\
(10-4 u-3 v)^{2} \text { if } 3-u \leqslant v \leqslant \frac{10-4 u}{3}
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
P(u, v) \cdot F=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
v \text { if } 0 \leqslant v \leqslant 3-2 u, \\
\frac{3}{2}-u+\frac{v}{2} \text { if } 3-2 u \leqslant v \leqslant \frac{9-4 u}{3}, \\
15-7 u-4 v \text { if } \frac{9-4 u}{3} \leqslant v \leqslant 3-u \\
30-12 u-9 v \text { if } 3-u \leqslant v \leqslant \frac{10-4 u}{3} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Furthermore, if $1 \leqslant u \leqslant \frac{4}{3}$, then

$$
P(u, v) \sim_{\mathbb{R}}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(4-3 u)\left(\widetilde{\ell}+\widetilde{C}_{2}\right)+(8-6 u-v) F \text { if } 0 \leqslant v \leqslant 4-3 u \\
\frac{12-9 u-v}{2} \widetilde{\ell}+(4-3 u) \widetilde{C}_{2}+(8-6 u-v) F \text { if } 4-3 u \leqslant v \leqslant \frac{20-15 u}{3}, \\
(8-6 u-v)\left(2 \widetilde{\ell}+3 \widetilde{C}_{2}+F\right) \text { if } \frac{20-15 u}{3} \leqslant v \leqslant 8-6 u
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
N(u, v)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \text { if } 0 \leqslant v \leqslant 4-3 u \\
\frac{v+3 u-4}{2} \tilde{\ell} \text { if } 4-3 u \leqslant v \leqslant \frac{20-15 u}{3}, \\
(9 u+2 v-12) \tilde{\ell}+(15 u+3 v-20) \widetilde{C}_{2} \text { if } \frac{20-15 u}{3} \leqslant v \leqslant 8-6 u
\end{array}\right.
$$

This gives

$$
(P(u, v))^{2}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
27 u^{2}-v^{2}-72 u+48 \text { if } 0 \leqslant v \leqslant 4-3 u \\
56-84 u-4 v+\frac{63}{2} u^{2}-\frac{v^{2}}{2}+3 v u \text { if } 4-3 u \leqslant v \leqslant \frac{20-15 u}{3} \\
4(8-6 u-v)^{2} \text { if } \frac{20-15 u}{3} \leqslant v \leqslant 8-6 u
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
P(u, v) \cdot F=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
v \text { if } 0 \leqslant v \leqslant 4-3 u \\
2-\frac{3 u}{2}+\frac{v}{2} \text { if } 4-3 u \leqslant v \leqslant \frac{20-15 u}{3} \\
32-24 u-4 v \text { if } \frac{20-15 u}{3} \leqslant v \leqslant 8-6 u
\end{array}\right.
$$

Now, as in the proof of Lemma 28, we compute

$$
S\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet}^{S} ; F\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{77}{45} \text { if } P \in E^{\prime} \\
\frac{1229}{720} \text { if } P \notin E^{\prime}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Similarly, if $O$ is a point in $F$, we can compute $S\left(W_{\bullet, 0,0}^{\widetilde{S}, F} ; O\right)$ as we did this in the proof of Lemma 28, The results of these computations are presented in the following two tables:

| condition | $O \in \widetilde{\ell} \cap \widetilde{C}_{2} \cap \widetilde{R}$ | $\widetilde{\ell} \cap \widetilde{C}_{2} \ni O \notin \widetilde{R}$ |  | $\widetilde{\ell} \cap \widetilde{R} \ni O \notin \widetilde{C}_{2}$ |  | $\widetilde{\ell} \ni O \notin \widetilde{R} \cup \widetilde{C}_{2}$ |  | $\widetilde{C}_{2} \cap \widetilde{R} \ni O \notin \widetilde{\ell}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $S\left(W_{\bullet, 0, \bullet}^{\widetilde{S}, F} ; O\right)$ | $\frac{163}{180}$ | $\frac{649}{720}$ |  | $\frac{1859}{2160}$ |  | $\frac{185}{216}$ |  | $\frac{1801}{2160}$ |
| condition | $\widetilde{C}_{2} \ni O \notin \widetilde{R} \cup \widetilde{\ell}$ | $O \in \widetilde{L}^{\prime} \cap \widetilde{R}$ | $\widetilde{L}^{\prime} \ni O \notin \widetilde{R} \mid \widetilde{R} \ni O \notin \widetilde{\ell} \cup \widetilde{C}^{\prime} \cap \widetilde{L}^{\prime}$ |  |  |  | $O \notin \widetilde{\ell} \cup \widetilde{C}^{\prime} \cap \widetilde{L^{\prime}} \cup \widetilde{R}$ |  |
| $S\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet, \bullet}^{\widetilde{S}, F} ; O\right)$ | $\frac{112}{135}$ | $\frac{571}{720}$ | $\frac{71}{90}$ |  | $\frac{71}{90}$ |  | $\frac{113}{144}$ |  |

Thus, we proved that $S\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet}^{S} ; F\right)<2$, and we proved that $S\left(W_{\bullet, \bullet, \bullet}^{\widetilde{S}, F} ; O\right)<1$ for every point $O \in F$. Therefore, using (2), we get $\beta(\mathbf{F})>0$. This completes the proof of Theorem A.

## 4. The proof of Theorem B

Let us use all assumptions and notations introduced in Section 1. Recall that

$$
\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{P}^{3}, C_{6}\right) \simeq \operatorname{Aut}(C,[D]) \subset \operatorname{Aut}(C)
$$

and all possibilities for the group $\operatorname{Aut}(C)$ are listed in [3, [17], where the two lists disagree a little bit. Moreover, since $\pi$ is $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{P}^{3}, C_{6}\right)$-equivariant, we can identify $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{P}^{3}, C_{6}\right)$ with a subgroup in $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$. Then the action of the group $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ on the set $\left\{E, E^{\prime}\right\}$ gives a monomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Aut}(X) / \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{P}^{3}, C_{6}\right) \hookrightarrow \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2}
$$

which is surjective if and only if $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ has an element that swaps the surfaces $E$ and $E^{\prime}$.
Remark 29 ([17, Example 7.2.6]). We can choose $M_{1}, M_{2}, M_{3}$ in (\$) to be symmetric $\Longleftrightarrow 2 D \sim K_{C}$. Moreover, if $M_{1}, M_{2}, M_{3}$ are symmetric, then $X$ admits the involution

$$
\left(\left[x_{0}: x_{1}: x_{2}: x_{3}\right],\left[y_{0}: y_{1}: y_{2}: y_{3}\right]\right) \mapsto\left(\left[y_{0}: y_{1}: y_{2}: y_{3}\right],\left[x_{0}: x_{1}: x_{2}: x_{3}\right]\right)
$$

In this case, we have $\operatorname{Aut}(X) \simeq \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{P}^{3}, C_{6}\right) \times \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2}$. For more details, see [34].
Remark 30 (Kuznetsov). Set $V=H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{C}\left(K_{C}+D\right)\right), W=H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{C}\left(2 K_{C}-D\right)\right)$ and $G=\operatorname{Aut}(C,[D])$. Let $\widehat{G}$ be a central extension of the group $G$ such that $D$ (considered as a line bundle) is $\widehat{G}$-linearizable. Then the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{C}(D)$ admits a $\widehat{G}$-equivariant resolvent

$$
0 \rightarrow W^{*} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}(-2) \rightarrow V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C}(D) \rightarrow 0
$$

which is known as the Beilinson resolvent. Since $W^{*} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}(-2) \rightarrow V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}(-1)$ is $\widehat{G}$-equivariant, the corresponding map $\rho: V^{*} \otimes W^{*} \rightarrow H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}(1)\right)$ is equivariant, where $H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}(1)\right) \simeq H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{C}\left(K_{C}\right)\right)$ as $\widehat{G}$-representations. On the other hand, the embedding $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{3} \times \mathbb{P}^{3}$ given by ( can be realized as

$$
X=\left(\mathbb{P}\left(V^{*}\right) \times \mathbb{P}\left(W^{*}\right)\right) \cap \mathbb{P}(\operatorname{ker}(\rho))
$$

and the $\widehat{G}$-action on $X$ factors through $G$, which is the natural $G$-action.
This remark gives
Lemma 31. There exists a group homomorphism $\eta: \operatorname{Aut}(X) \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(C)$ such that its restriction to the subgroup $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{P}^{3}, C_{6}\right) \simeq \operatorname{Aut}(C,[D])$ gives a natural embedding $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{P}^{3}, C_{6}\right) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(C)$.
Proof. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the two-dimensional linear system of divisors of degree $(1,1)$ on $\mathbb{P}^{3} \times \mathbb{P}^{3}$ that contains the threefold $X$. Then $\mathcal{M}$ can be identified with the projectivization of the three-dimensional vector space spanned by the matrices $M_{1}, M_{2}, M_{3}$, which we will identify with $\mathbb{P}_{x, y, z}^{2}$. Then $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ naturally acts on this $\mathbb{P}_{x, y, z}^{2}$, because the action of the group $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ on $X$ lifts to its action on $\mathbb{P}^{3} \times \mathbb{P}^{3}$.

Moreover, the $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$-action on $\mathbb{P}_{x, y, z}^{2}$ preserves the quartic curve in $\mathbb{P}_{x, y, z}^{2}$ given by

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(x M_{1}+y M_{2}+z M_{2}\right)=0
$$

which parametrizes singular divisors in $\mathcal{M}$. This curve is isomorphic to the curve $C$, which gives us the required homomorphism of groups $\eta: \operatorname{Aut}(X) \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(C)$. It follows from Remark 30 that this group homomorphism is functorial, so it gives a natural embedding $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{P}^{3}, C_{6}\right) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(C)$.

Corollary 32. Either $\operatorname{Aut}(X) \simeq \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{P}^{3}, C_{6}\right) \times \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2}$ or $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup $\operatorname{Aut}(C)$.
Now, we are ready to state a criterion when $\operatorname{Aut}(X) \neq \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{P}^{3}, C_{6}\right)$.
Lemma 33. $\operatorname{Aut}(X) \neq \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{P}^{3}, C_{6}\right) \Longleftrightarrow$ there is $g \in \operatorname{Aut}(C)$ such that $g^{*}(D) \sim K_{C}-D$.

Proof. By Remark 30, the left copy of $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ in $\left.\boldsymbol{\star}\right)$ can be be identified with $\mathbb{P}\left(H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{C}\left(K_{C}+D\right)\right)^{\vee}\right)$, while the right copy of $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ can be be identified with $\mathbb{P}\left(H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{C}\left(2 K_{C}-D\right)\right)^{\vee}\right)$. Thus, if Aut $(C)$ contains an automorphism $g$ such that $g^{*}(D) \sim K_{C}-D$, we can use it to identify both copies of $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ in $\lfloor$ © , which will give us an automorphism of $X$ that swaps exceptional surfaces of the blow ups $\pi$ and $\pi^{\prime}$.

Vice versa, if the group $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ is larger than $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{P}^{3}, C_{6}\right)$, it follows from the proof of Lemma 31 that there exists $g \in \operatorname{Aut}(C)$ such that $g^{*}(D) \sim K_{C}-D$.

Recall that $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{P}^{3}, C_{6}\right) \simeq \operatorname{Aut}(C,[D])$, where $D$ is a divisor on $C$ of degree 2 that satisfies ( $\forall$ ). Using Remark [29, Lemma 33 and its proof, we obtain

Corollary 34. One of the following three cases holds:

- $2 D \sim K_{C}$ and $\operatorname{Aut}(X) \simeq \operatorname{Aut}(C,[D]) \times \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2}$,
- $2 D \nsim K_{C}$, there is $g \in \operatorname{Aut}(C)$ such that $g^{*}(D) \sim K_{C}-D$, and

$$
\operatorname{Aut}(X) \simeq\langle\operatorname{Aut}(C,[D]), g\rangle
$$

- $\operatorname{Aut}(X) \simeq \operatorname{Aut}(C,[D])$, and $g^{*}(D) \nsim K_{C}-D$ for every $g \in \operatorname{Aut}(C)$.

Corollary 35. If $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ is not isomorphic to any subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(C)$, then $2 D \sim K_{C}$.
Using Corollary 34, we can find all possibilities for $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$, but this requires a lot of work, because we have to analyze $\operatorname{Pic}^{G}(C)$ for every subgroup $G \subset \operatorname{Aut}(C)$. This can be done using

Proposition 36 ([16]). Let $G$ be a subgroup in $\operatorname{Aut}(C)$. Then there exists exact sequence

$$
1 \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(G, \mathbb{C}^{*}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}(G, C) \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}^{G}(C) \rightarrow H^{2}\left(G, \mathbb{C}^{*}\right) \rightarrow 1,
$$

where $\operatorname{Pic}(G, C)$ is the group of $G$-linearized line bundles on $C$ modulo $G$-equivariant isomorphisms. and

Remark 37. Let $G$ be a subgroup in $\operatorname{Aut}(C)$, let $\Sigma_{1}, \ldots, \Sigma_{n}$ be all $G$-orbits in $C$ of length less that $|G|$. We may assume that $\left|\Sigma_{i}\right| \geqslant\left|\Sigma_{j}\right|$ for $i \geqslant j$. For every $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, set

$$
e_{i}=\frac{|G|}{\left|\Sigma_{i}\right|}=\text { the order of the stabilizer in } G \text { of a point in } \Sigma_{i} .
$$

The signature of the $G$-action on $C$ is the tuple $\left[g ; e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right]$, where $g$ is the genus of the curve $C / G$. If $C / G \simeq \mathbb{P}^{1}$, then it follows from [16] that

$$
\operatorname{Pic}(G, C) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \oplus \boldsymbol{\mu}_{a_{1}} \oplus \boldsymbol{\mu}_{a_{2}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \boldsymbol{\mu}_{a_{n-1}}
$$

for $a_{1}=d_{1}, a_{2}=\frac{d_{2}}{d_{1}}, \ldots, a_{n-1}=\frac{d_{n-1}}{d_{n-2}}$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{1} & =\operatorname{gcd}\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right) \\
d_{2} & =\operatorname{gcd}\left(e_{1} e_{2}, e_{1} e_{3}, \ldots, e_{i} e_{j}, \ldots, e_{n-1} e_{n}\right), \\
& \vdots \\
d_{n-1} & =\operatorname{gcd}\left(e_{1} e_{2} \cdots e_{n-1}, \ldots, e_{2} \cdots e_{n-1} e_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, if $\gamma$ is a generator of the free part of $\operatorname{Pic}^{G}(C)$ in this case, then we have

$$
4=\operatorname{deg}\left(K_{C}\right)=\operatorname{lcm}\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right)\left(n-2-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{e_{i}}\right) \operatorname{deg}(\gamma)
$$

Let us show how to compute $\operatorname{Pic}^{G}(C)$ in some cases.

Example 38. Suppose that $\operatorname{Aut}(C)$ contains a subgroup $G \simeq \mathfrak{S}_{4}$. Then $C$ is given in $\mathbb{P}_{x, y, z}^{2}$ by

$$
x^{4}+y^{4}+z^{4}+\lambda\left(x^{2} y^{2}+x^{2} z^{2}+y^{2} z^{2}\right)=0
$$

for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\lambda \notin\{-1,2,-2\}$. One can show that

$$
\operatorname{Aut}(C) \simeq\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathfrak{S}_{4} \text { if } \lambda \neq 0 \text { and } \lambda \neq \frac{-3 \pm 3 \sqrt{7} i}{2} \\
\boldsymbol{\mu}_{4}^{2} \rtimes \mathfrak{S}_{3} \text { if } \lambda=0 \\
\operatorname{PSL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{7}\right) \text { if } \lambda=\frac{-3 \pm 3 \sqrt{7} i}{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

We have $C / G \simeq \mathbb{P}^{1}$, and it follows from [31] that the signature is [0;2,2,2,3]. Thus, using Remark 37, we see that $\operatorname{Pic}(G, C) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \times \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2}^{2}$, and the free part of the $\operatorname{group} \operatorname{Pic}(G, C)$ is generated by $K_{C}$. Moreover, using GAP, we compute $\operatorname{Hom}\left(G, \mathbb{C}^{*}\right) \simeq H^{2}\left(G, \mathbb{C}^{*}\right) \simeq \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2}$. Therefore, using Proposition 36, we get the following exact sequence of group homomorphisms:

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \times \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2} \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}^{G}(C) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2} \rightarrow 0
$$

We also know from [14] that $\operatorname{Pic}(C)$ contains two $G$-invariant even theta-characteristics $\theta_{1}$ and $\theta_{2}$. This immediately implies that $\operatorname{Pic}^{G}(C)=\left\langle\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right\rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z} \times \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2}$.

Example $39\left([16)\right.$. Suppose that $\operatorname{Aut}(C) \simeq \operatorname{PSL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{7}\right)$. Then $C$ is given in $\mathbb{P}_{x, y, z}^{2}$ by

$$
x y^{3}+y z^{3}+z x^{3}=0
$$

Set $G=\operatorname{Aut}(C)$. Using Example 1 , we conclude that $\operatorname{Pic}^{G}(C)$ contains an even theta-characteristic $\theta$. Now, arguing as in Example 38, we get $\operatorname{Pic}^{G}(C)=\langle\theta\rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z}$.

Example 40. Suppose that $\operatorname{Aut}(C) \simeq \boldsymbol{\mu}_{4}^{2} \rtimes \mathfrak{S}_{3}$. Then $C$ is given in $\mathbb{P}_{x, y, z}^{2}$ by

$$
x^{4}+z^{4}+z^{4}=0,
$$

the group $\operatorname{Aut}(C)$ contains a unique subgroup isomorphic to $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{4}^{2} \rtimes \boldsymbol{\mu}_{3}$, and $C$ is the unique plane quartic curve admiting a faithful $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{4}^{2} \rtimes \boldsymbol{\mu}_{3}$-action. Let $G$ be this subgroup. Then the signature is $[0 ; 3,3,4]$. Therefore, using Remark 37, we get $\operatorname{Pic}(G, C) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \times \boldsymbol{\mu}_{3}$, where the free part is generated by $K_{C}$. Since $\operatorname{Hom}\left(G, \mathbb{C}^{*}\right) \simeq \boldsymbol{\mu}_{3}$ and $H^{2}\left(G, \mathbb{C}^{*}\right) \simeq \boldsymbol{\mu}_{4}$, it follows from Proposition 36 that

$$
\operatorname{Pic}^{G}(C) /\left\langle K_{C}\right\rangle \simeq \boldsymbol{\mu}_{4}
$$

Moreover, we know from Section 2.2 that $\operatorname{Pic}^{G}(C)$ contains a divisor $D$ of degree 2. Thus, we conclude that $\operatorname{Pic}^{G}(C)=\left\langle K_{C}, D\right\rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z} \times \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2}$, and $K_{C}-2 D$ is a two-torsion divisor.
Example 41. Let $C$ be the Fermat quartic curve from Example 40, and let $G=\operatorname{Aut}(C) \simeq \boldsymbol{\mu}_{4}^{2} \rtimes \mathfrak{S}_{3}$. Then the signature is $[0 ; 2,3,8]$, so it follows from Remark 37 that

$$
\operatorname{Pic}(G, C) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \times \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2}
$$

where the free part is generated by $K_{C}$. On can check that $\operatorname{Hom}\left(G, \mathbb{C}^{*}\right) \simeq \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2}$ and $H^{2}\left(G, \mathbb{C}^{*}\right) \simeq \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2}$. We claim that $\mathrm{Pic}^{G}(C)$ contains no divisors of degree 2. Indeed, if $\mathrm{Pic}^{G}(C)$ has a divisor $D$ of degree 2, then $\left|K_{C}+D\right|$ gives a $G$-equivariant embedding $\phi: C \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{3}$, which contradicts to Lemmas 14 and 15 , because $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{2}^{3} \cdot \mathfrak{S}_{4}$ does not contain subgroups isomorphic to $G$. Therefore, arguing as in Example 40, we see that $\operatorname{Pic}^{G}(C)=\left\langle K_{C}, \delta\right\rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z} \times \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2}$, where $\delta$ is a two-torsion divisor.

Using results described in Examples 38, 39, 40, 41, we get the following corollaries:
Corollary 42. If $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{P}^{3}, C_{6}\right)$ has a subgroup isomorphic to $\mathfrak{S}_{4}$, then one of the following holds:

- $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{P}^{3}, C_{6}\right) \simeq \mathfrak{S}_{4}$ and $\operatorname{Aut}(X) \simeq \mathfrak{S}_{4} \times \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2}$,
- $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{P}^{3}, C_{6}\right) \simeq \mathrm{PSL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{7}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Aut}(X) \simeq \operatorname{PSL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{7}\right) \times \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2}$.

Corollary 43. The smooth Fano threefold described in Example 1 is the unique smooth Fano threefold in the deformation family №2.12 that admits a faithful action of the group $\mathrm{PSL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{7}\right)$.
Corollary 44. The smooth Fano threefold described in Section 2.2 is the only smooth Fano threefold in the family №2. 12 that admits a faithful action of the group $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{4}^{2} \rtimes \boldsymbol{\mu}_{3}$.
Proof. Suppose that $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ has a subgroup isomorphic to $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{4}^{2} \rtimes \boldsymbol{\mu}_{3}$. Then arguing as in Example 41, we see that $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{P}^{3}, C_{6}\right) \simeq \boldsymbol{\mu}_{4}^{2} \rtimes \boldsymbol{\mu}_{3}$, and $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{P}^{3}, C_{6}\right)$ is conjugate to the subgroup $G$ that has been described in Section 2.2. Thus, the required assertion follows from Theorem 18 ,

Now, we are ready to prove Theorem B.
Proof of Theorem B. It is enough to show that the automorphism group $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\mathrm{PSL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{7}\right) \times \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2}$ or $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{4}^{2} \rtimes \mathfrak{S}_{3}$. Suppose this is not true. Let us seek for a contradiction.

Let $G=\operatorname{Aut}(C,[D])$. Then $G$ is also not isomorphic to a subgroup of $\mathrm{PSL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{7}\right) \times \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2}$ or $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{4}^{2} \rtimes \mathfrak{S}_{3}$. Therefore, using [14] and the classification of automorphism groups of smooth plane quartic curves, we see that $D$ is not an even theta-characteristic. So, by Corollary 35, the group Aut $(X)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of the group $\operatorname{Aut}(C)$.

Hence, using the classification of automorphism groups of smooth plane quartic curves again, we conclude that the group $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ is isomorphic to one of the following groups:

$$
\boldsymbol{\mu}_{9}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_{12}, \mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{3}\right)(\mathrm{GAP} \text { ID is }[24,3]), \boldsymbol{\mu}_{4} \cdot \mathfrak{H}_{4}(\text { GAP ID is }[48,33]),
$$

and it follows from Corollary 34 that either $G=\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ or $G$ is a subgroup in $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ of index 2. Thus, we have the following possibilities:

| $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ | $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{9}$ | $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{12}$ | $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{12}$ | $\mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{3}\right)$ | $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{4} \cdot \mathfrak{H}_{4}$ | $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{4} \cdot \mathfrak{A}_{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $G$ | $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{9}$ | $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{6}$ | $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{12}$ | $\mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{3}\right)$ | $\mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{3}\right)$ | $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{4} \cdot \mathfrak{A}_{4}$ |

Recall that $D$ is a divisor on the quartic curve $C$ such that $\operatorname{deg}(D)=2$, the divisor $D$ satisfies $\Delta$, and its class $[D] \in \operatorname{Pic}(C)$ is $G$-invariant. Let us show that in each of our cases, such $D$ does not exist.

First, using [17, 3], Proposition 36 and Remark 37, we can describe the equation of the curve $C$, the signature of the action of the group $G$ on the curve $C$, the structure of the group $\operatorname{Pic}^{G}(S)$, and the degree of a generator $\gamma$ of the free part of the group $\operatorname{Pic}^{G}(C)$. This gives the following possibilities:

| $G$ | Equation of $C$ | Signature | Structure of $\operatorname{Pic}^{G}(S)$ | $\operatorname{deg}(\gamma)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{6}$ | $y^{4}-x^{3} z+z^{4}=0$ | $[0 ; 2,3,3,6]$ | $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | 1 |
| $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{9}$ | $y^{3} z-x\left(x^{3}-z^{3}\right)=0$ | $[0 ; 3,9,9]$ | $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | 1 |
| $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{12}$ | $y^{4}-x^{3} z+z^{4}=0$ | $[0 ; 3,4,12]$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 1 |
| $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(3)$ | $y^{4}-x^{3} z+z^{4}=0$ | $[0 ; 2,3,6]$ | $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{6}$ | 4 |
| $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{4} \cdot \mathfrak{A}_{4}$ | $y^{4}-x^{3} z+z^{4}=0$ | $[0 ; 2,3,12]$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 4 |

In particular, if $G \cong \mathrm{SL}_{2}(3)$ or $G \simeq \boldsymbol{\mu}_{4} \cdot \mathfrak{H}_{4}$, then $C$ does not have $G$-invariant divisors of degree 2 . Hence, we see that $G$ is isomorphic to one of the following groups: $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{6}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_{9}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_{12}$.

Suppose that $G \simeq \boldsymbol{\mu}_{12}$. Then the action of $G$ on $C$ is generated by

$$
[x: y: z] \mapsto\left[\omega_{3} x: i y: z\right]
$$

where $\omega_{3}$ is a primitive cube root of the unity. Then $G$ fixes the point $P=[1: 0: 0]$, which implies that $\operatorname{Pic}^{G}(S)=\mathbb{Z}[P]$, so that $D \sim 2 P$, which contradicts to our assumption that $D$ satisfies $\Delta$,

Assume now that $G \simeq \boldsymbol{\mu}_{9}$. Then the $G$-action on the curve is given by

$$
[x: y: z] \mapsto \underset{24}{\left[\omega_{9} x: \omega_{9}^{-3} y: z\right]},
$$

where $\omega_{9}$ is a primitive ninth root of the unity. Set $P_{1}=[1: 0: 0]$ and $P_{2}=[0: 1: 0]$. Then

$$
\operatorname{Pic}^{G}(S)=\left\langle P_{1}, P_{2}\right\rangle,
$$

because $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ are fixed by the action of the group $G$, and the divisor $P_{1}-P_{2}$ is a 3 -torsion. Then $D$ is linearly equivalent to $2 P_{1}, 2 P_{2}$ or $P_{1}+P_{2}$, which contradicts $\Delta$.

Finally, consider the case where $G$ is isomorphic to $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{6}$. Then the $G$-action is given by

$$
[x: y: z] \mapsto\left[x:-y: \omega_{3} z\right]
$$

Set $P=[0: 0: 1], \Sigma_{2}=[1: i: 0]+[1:-i: 0]$, and $\Sigma_{2}^{\prime}=[1: 1: 0]+[1:-1: 0]$. Then

$$
K_{C} \sim 4 P \sim \Sigma_{2}+\Sigma_{2}^{\prime}
$$

and the divisors $P, \Sigma_{2}, \Sigma^{\prime}$ are $G$-invariant. This gives $\operatorname{Pic}^{G}(S)=\left\langle P, \Sigma_{2}\right\rangle$, and $2 P-\Sigma_{2}$ is a 3-torsion. Then $D$ is linearly equivalent to $2 P, \Sigma_{2}, \Sigma_{2}^{\prime}$, which contradicts $\Delta$.
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[^0]:    Throughout this paper, all varieties are assumed to be projective and defined over $\mathbb{C}$.

