Torsion points on subvarieties of $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}^n$

Iskander Aliev and Chris Smyth

January 19, 2007

Summary

This paper is devoted to finding solutions of polynomial equations in roots of unity. It was conjectured by S. Lang and proved by M. Laurent that all such solutions can be described in terms of a finite number of parametric families called maximal torsion cosets. We obtain new explicit upper bounds for the number of maximal torsion cosets on an algebraic subvariety of $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}^n$. Our bounds improve on those currently in the literature, being the first that grow only polynomially with the maximum total degree of its defining polynomials.

2000 MS Classification: Primary 11G35; Secondary 14L40.

1 Introduction

Let $f_1, \ldots, f_t$ be the polynomials in n variables defined over $\mathbb{C}$. In this paper we deal with solutions of the system

$$\begin{cases} f_1(X_1, \ldots, X_n) = 0 \\ \vdots \\ f_t(X_1, \ldots, X_n) = 0 \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

in roots of unity. It will be convenient to think of such solutions as *torsion points* on the subvariety $\mathcal{V}(f_1, \ldots, f_t)$ of the complex algebraic torus $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}^n$ defined by the system (1). As an affine variety, we identify $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}^n$ with the Zariski open subset $x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n \neq 0$ of affine space $\mathbb{A}^n$, with the usual multiplication

$$(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \cdot (y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n) = (x_1 y_1, x_2 y_2, \ldots, x_n y_n)\,.$$

By *algebraic subvariety* of $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}^n$ we understand a Zariski closed subset. An *algebraic subgroup* of $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}^n$ is a Zariski closed subgroup. A *subtorus* of $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}^n$ is a geometrically irreducible algebraic subgroup. A *torsion coset* is a coset $\boldsymbol{\omega} H$, where H is a subtorus of $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}^n$ and $\boldsymbol{\omega} = (\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n)$ is a torsion point. Given an algebraic subvariety $\mathcal{V}$ of $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}^n$, a torsion coset C is called *maximal* in $\mathcal{V}$ if $C \subset \mathcal{V}$ and it is not properly contained in any other torsion coset in $\mathcal{V}$. A maximal 0–dimensional torsion coset will be also called *isolated* torsion point.

Let $N_{\rm tor}(\mathcal{V})$ denote the number of maximal torsion cosets contained in $\mathcal{V}$. A famous conjecture by Lang ([11], p. 221) proved by McQuillan [14] implies as a special case that $N_{\rm tor}(\mathcal{V})$ is finite. This special case had been settled by Ihara, Serre and Tate (see Lang [11], p. 201) when $\dim(\mathcal{V}) = 1$, and by Laurent [12] if $\dim(\mathcal{V}) > 1$. A different proof of this result was also given by Sarnak and Adams [17]. It follows that all solutions of the system (1) in roots of unity can be described in terms of a finite number of maximal torsion cosets on the subvariety $\mathcal{V}(f_1, \dots, f_t)$. It is then of interest to obtain an upper bound for this number. Zhang [20] and Bombieri and Zannier [5] showed that if $\mathcal{V}$ is defined over a number field K then $N_{\rm tor}(\mathcal{V})$ is effectively bounded in terms of d, n, $[K : \mathbb{Q}]$ and M, when the defining polynomials were of total degrees at most d and heights at most M. Schmidt [19] found an explicit upper bound for the number of maximal torsion cosets on an algebraic subvariety of $\mathbb{G}_{\rm m}^n$ that depends only on the dimension n and the maximum total degree d of the defining polynomials. Indeed, let

$$N_{\rm tor}(n, d) = \max_{\mathcal{V}} \; N_{\rm tor}(\mathcal{V}) \,,$$

where the maximum is taken over all subvarieties $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathbb{G}_{\rm m}^n$ defined by polynomial equations of total degree at most d. The proof of Schmidt's bound is based on a result of Schlickewei [18] about the number of nondegenerate solutions of a linear equation in roots of unity. This latter result was significantly improved by Evertse [8], and the resulting Evertse–Schmidt bound can then be stated as

$$N_{\rm tor}(n, d) \le (11d)^{n^2} \binom{n+d}{d}^{3\binom{n+d}{d}^2} . \tag{2}$$

In this paper we present a new approach to this problem and give new explicit upper bounds for the number of maximal torsion cosets on a subvariety of $\mathbb{G}_{\rm m}^n$. In contrast to earlier results, the bounds are of polynomial growth in the maximum total degree of defining polynomials.

1.1 The main results

We shall start with the case of hypersurfaces.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $f \in \mathbb{C}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$, $n \ge 2$, be a polynomial of total degree d and let $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(f)$ be the hypersurface in $\mathbb{G}_{\rm m}^n$ defined by f. Then*

$$N_{\rm tor}(\mathcal{H}) \le c_1(n)\, d^{\,c_2(n)} \,, \tag{3}$$

where $c_1(n)$ and $c_2(n)$ are effectively computable constants. We can take

$$c_1(n) = n^{\frac{3}{2}(2+n)5^n} \quad \textit{and} \quad c_2(n) = \frac{1}{16}(49 \cdot 5^{n-2} - 4n - 9)\,.$$

Let $f \in \mathbb{C}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ be a polynomial of degree d_i in X_i. Ruppert [16] conjectured that the number of isolated torsion points on $\mathcal{H}(f)$ is bounded by $c(n)\ d_1 \cdots d_n$. Theorem 1.1 is a step towards proving this conjecture. Furthermore, the results of Beukers and Smyth [2] for the plane curves (see Lemma 2.2 below) indicate that the following stronger conjecture might be true.

Conjecture. *The number of isolated torsion points on the hypersuface $\mathcal{H}(f)$ is bounded by $c(n)\mathrm{vol}_n(f)$, where $\mathrm{vol}_n(f)$ is the n-volume of the Newton polytope of the polynomial f.*

Concerning general varieties, we obtained the following result.

Theorem 1.2. *There are effectively computable constants $c_3(n)$ and $c_4(n)$ such that*

$$N_{\mathrm{tor}}(n, d) \leq c_3(n)\ d^{\,c_4(n)}\,. \tag{4}$$

Indeed we can take for $n \geq 2$

$$c_3(n) = n^{(2+n)2^{n-2}\sum_{i=2}^{n-1} c_2(i)} \prod_{i=2}^{n} c_1(i) \ \ \textit{and} \ \ c_4(n) = \sum_{i=2}^{n} c_2(i)2^{n-i} + 2^{n-1}\,.$$

It should be pointed out that the constants $c_i(n)$ in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 could be certainly improved. To simplify the presentation, we tried to avoid painstaking estimates. The proofs of the bounds are effective and give an algorithm for finding the maximal torsion cosets lying on a subvariety of $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}^n$ defined over $\mathbb{C}$. This algorithm is presented in Section 6.

1.2 An intersection argument

For $\boldsymbol{i} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, we abbreviate $\boldsymbol{X}^{\boldsymbol{i}} = X_1^{i_1} \cdots X_n^{i_n}$. Let

$$f(\boldsymbol{X}) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{i} \in \mathbb{Z}^n} a_{\boldsymbol{i}} \boldsymbol{X}^{\boldsymbol{i}}$$

be a Laurent polynomial. By the *support* of f we mean the set

$$S_f = \{\boldsymbol{i} \in \mathbb{Z}^n : a_{\boldsymbol{i}} \neq 0\}$$

and by the *exponent lattice* of f we mean the lattice $L(f)$ generated by the difference set $D(S_f) = S_f - S_f$, so that

$$L(f) = \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{D(S_f)\}\,.$$

Our next result and its proof is a generalisation of that for $n = 2$ in Beukers and Smyth [2].

Theorem 1.3. *Let $f \in \mathbb{C}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$, $n \geq 2$, be an irreducible polynomial with $L(f) = \mathbb{Z}^n$. Then for some m with $1 \leq m \leq 2^{n+1} - 1$ there exist m polynomials $f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_m$ with the following properties:*

(i) $\deg(f_i) \leq 2\deg(f)$ *for* $i = 1, \ldots, m$;

(ii) *For* $1 \leq i \leq m$ *the polynomials* f *and* f_i *have no common factor;*

(iii) *For any torsion coset* C *lying on the hypersurface* $\mathcal{H}(f)$ *there exists some* f_i, $1 \leq i \leq m$, *such that the coset* C *also lies on the hypersurface* $\mathcal{H}(f_i)$.

2 Lemmas required for the proofs

In this section, we give the definitions and basic lemmas we need in the rest of paper.

2.1 Finding the cyclotomic part of a polynomial in one variable

Let us consider the following one-variable version of the problem: given a polynomial $f \in \mathbb{C}[X]$, find all roots of unity ω that are zeroes of f. This is equivalent to finding the factor of f consisting of the product of all distinct irreducible cyclotomic polynomial factors of f, which we shall call the *cyclotomic part* of f. Algorithms for finding the cyclotomic part of f, using essentially the same ideas, were proposed in Bradford and Davenport [6] and Beukers and Smyth [2]. They are based on the following properties of roots of unity.

Lemma 2.1 (Beukers and Smyth [2], Lemma 1). (i) *If* $g \in \mathbb{C}[X]$, $g(0) \neq 0$, *is a polynomial with the property that for every zero* α *of* g, *at least one of* $\pm\alpha^2$ *is also a zero, then all zeroes of* g *are roots of unity.*

(ii) *If* ω *is a root of unity, then it is conjugate to* ω^p *where*

$$\begin{cases} p = 2k+1\,, \;\; \omega^p = -\omega & \text{for } \omega \text{ a primitive } (4k)\text{th root of unity}\,;\\ p = k+2\,, \;\; \omega^p = -\omega^2 & \text{for } \omega \text{ a primitive } (2k)\text{th root of unity}\,,\ k \text{ odd}\,;\\ p = 2\,, \;\; \omega^p = \omega^2 & \text{for } \omega \text{ a } k\text{th root of unity}\,,\ k \text{ odd}\,. \end{cases}$$

In the special case $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$, Filaseta and Schinzel [9] constructed a deterministic algorithm for finding the cyclotomic part of f that works especially well when the number of nonzero terms is small compared to the degree of f.

2.2 Torsion points on plane curves

Let $f \in \mathbb{C}[X^{\pm 1}, Y^{\pm 1}]$ be a Laurent polynomial. The problem of finding torsion points on the curve $\mathcal{C}$ defined by the polynomial equation $f(X,Y) = 0$ has been addressed in Beukers and Smyth [2] and Ruppert [16]. The polynomial f can be written in the form

$$f(X,Y) = g(X,Y) \prod_i (X^{a_i} Y^{b_i} - \omega_i)\,,$$

where the ω_j are roots of unity and g is a polynomial (possibly reducible) that has no factor of the form $X^a Y^b - \omega$, for ω a root of unity.

Lemma 2.2 (Beukers and Smyth [2], Main Theorem)**.** *The curve $\mathcal{C}$ has at most $22\,\mathrm{vol}_2(g)$ isolated torsion points.*

Hence, for $f \in \mathbb{C}[X,Y]$, the number of isolated torsion points on the curve $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{H}(f)$ is at most $11\,(\deg(f))^2$. Furthermore, by Lemma 2.6 below, each factor $X^{a_i} Y^{b_i} - \omega_i$ of the polynomial f gives precisely one torsion coset. Summarizing the above observations, we get the inequality

$$N_{\mathrm{tor}}(\mathcal{C}) \leq 11(\deg(f))^2 + \deg(f)\,. \tag{5}$$

2.3 Lattices and torsion cosets

We recall some basic definitions. A *lattice* is a discrete subgroup of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Given a lattice L of rank k, any set of vectors $\{\boldsymbol{b}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{b}_k\}$ with $L = \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{\boldsymbol{b}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{b}_k\}$ or the matrix $\mathcal{B} = (\boldsymbol{b}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{b}_k)$ with rows $\boldsymbol{b}_i$ will be called a *basis* of L. The *determinant* of a lattice L with a basis $\mathcal{B}$ is defined to be

$$\det(L) = \sqrt{|\mathcal{B}\,\mathcal{B}^T|}\,.$$

By an *integer* lattice we understand a lattice $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$. An integer lattice is called *primitive* if $A = \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{R}}(A) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$. For an integer lattice A, we define the subgroup H_A of $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}^n$ by

$$H_A = \{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}^n : \boldsymbol{x}^{\boldsymbol{a}} = 1 \;\text{ for all }\; \boldsymbol{a} \in A\}\,.$$

Then, for instance, $H_{\mathbb{Z}^n}$ is the trivial subgroup.

Lemma 2.3 (See Schmidt [19], Lemmas 1 and 2)**.** *The map $A \mapsto H_A$ sets up a bijection between integer lattices and algebraic subgroups of $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}^n$. A subgroup $H = H_A$ is irreducible if and only if the lattice A is primitive.*

Let $\boldsymbol{\omega} = (\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n)$ be a torsion point and let $C = \boldsymbol{\omega} H_A$ be an r-dimensional torsion coset with $r \geq 1$. We will need the following parametric representation of C. Let $\mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\perp}(A)$ denote the orthogonal complement of $\mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{R}}(A)$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and let

$\mathcal{G} = (g_{ij})$ be an $r \times n$ integer matrix of rank r whose rows $\boldsymbol{g}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{g}_r$ form a basis of the lattice $\mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\perp}(A) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$. Then the coset C can be represented in the form

$$C = \left(\omega_1 \prod_{j=1}^{r} t_j^{g_{j1}}, \ldots, \omega_n \prod_{j=1}^{r} t_j^{g_{jn}}\right)$$

with parameters $t_1, \ldots, t_r \in \mathbb{C}^*$. We will say that $\mathcal{G}$ is an *exponent matrix* for the coset C. If $f \in \mathbb{C}[X_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, X_n^{\pm 1}]$ is a Laurent polynomial and for $\boldsymbol{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^r$

$$f_{\boldsymbol{j}}(\boldsymbol{X}) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{i} \in S_f : \boldsymbol{i}\mathcal{G}^T = \boldsymbol{j}} a_{\boldsymbol{i}} \boldsymbol{X}^{\boldsymbol{i}},$$

then $f(\boldsymbol{X}) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^r} f_{\boldsymbol{j}}(\boldsymbol{X})$ and

$$\text{the coset } C \text{ lies on } \mathcal{H}(f) \text{ if and only if } f_{\boldsymbol{j}}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) = 0 \text{ for all } \boldsymbol{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^r. \tag{6}$$

Let $\mathcal{U} = (\boldsymbol{u}_1, \boldsymbol{u}_2, \ldots, \boldsymbol{u}_n)$ be a basis of the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^n$. We will associate with $\mathcal{U}$ the new coordinates $(Y_1, \ldots, Y_n)$ in $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}^n$ defined by

$$Y_1 = \boldsymbol{X}^{\boldsymbol{u}_1}, \; Y_2 = \boldsymbol{X}^{\boldsymbol{u}_2}, \ldots, \; Y_n = \boldsymbol{X}^{\boldsymbol{u}_n}. \tag{7}$$

Suppose that the matrix $\mathcal{U}^{-1}$ has rows $\boldsymbol{v}_1, \boldsymbol{v}_2, \ldots, \boldsymbol{v}_n$. By the *image* of a Laurent polynomial $f \in \mathbb{C}[X_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, X_n^{\pm 1}]$ in coordinates $(Y_1, \ldots, Y_n)$ we mean the Laurent polynomial

$$f^{\mathcal{U}}(\boldsymbol{Y}) = f(\boldsymbol{Y}^{\boldsymbol{v}_1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{Y}^{\boldsymbol{v}_n}).$$

By the *image* of a torsion coset $C = \boldsymbol{\omega} H_A$ in coordinates $(Y_1, \ldots, Y_n)$ we mean the torsion coset

$$C^{\mathcal{U}} = (\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\boldsymbol{u}_1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{\omega}^{\boldsymbol{u}_n}) H_B,$$

where $B = \{\boldsymbol{a}\mathcal{U}^{-1} : \boldsymbol{a} \in A\}$.

Lemma 2.4. *The map $C \mapsto C^{\mathcal{U}}$ sets up a bijection between maximal torsion cosets on the subvarieties $\mathcal{V}(f_1, \ldots, f_t)$ and $\mathcal{V}(f_1^{\mathcal{U}}, \ldots, f_t^{\mathcal{U}})$.*

Proof. It is enough to observe that the map $\phi : \mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}^n \to \mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}^n$ defined by

$$\phi(\boldsymbol{x}) = (\boldsymbol{x}^{\boldsymbol{u}_1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}^{\boldsymbol{u}_n}) \tag{8}$$

is an automorphism of $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}^n$ (see Ch. 3 in Bombieri and Gubler [3] and Section 2 in Schmidt [19]). □

Remark. The automorphism (8) is called a *monoidal transformation*. We introduced the coordinates (7) to make the inductive argument used in the proofs of Theorems 1.1–1.2 more transparent.

For $f \in \mathbb{C}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ and $k \geq n$, we will denote by $T_i^k(f)$ the number of i-dimensional maximal torsion cosets on $\mathcal{H}(f)$, regarded as a hypersurface in $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}^k$. Let $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ be an integer lattice of rank n with $\det(A) > 1$ and let $\mathcal{A} = (\boldsymbol{a}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{a}_n)$ be a basis of A.

Lemma 2.5. *Suppose that the Laurent polynomials $f, f^* \in \mathbb{C}[X_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, X_n^{\pm 1}]$ satisfy*

$$f = f^*(\boldsymbol{X}^{\boldsymbol{a}_1}, \dots, \boldsymbol{X}^{\boldsymbol{a}_n})\,. \tag{9}$$

Then the inequalities

$$T_i^n(f^*) \le T_i^n(f) \le \det(A)\, T_i^n(f^*)\,, \quad i = 0, \dots, n-1 \tag{10}$$

hold.

Proof. First, for any torsion point $\boldsymbol{\zeta} = (\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)$ on $\mathcal{H}(f^*)$, we will find all torsion points $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ on $\mathcal{H}(f)$ with $\boldsymbol{\zeta} = (\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\boldsymbol{a}_1}, \dots, \boldsymbol{\omega}^{\boldsymbol{a}_n})$. Putting the matrix $\mathcal{A}$ into Smith Normal Form (see Newman [15], p. 26) yields two matrices $\mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{W}$ in $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ with $\mathcal{WAV} = \mathcal{D}$, where $\mathcal{D} = \mathrm{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_n)$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.4, we may assume without loss of generality that $\mathcal{A} = \mathrm{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_n)$. Let $\vartheta_1, \dots, \vartheta_n$ be primitive d_1st, d_2nd, $\dots$, d_nth roots of $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n$, respectively. Then as we let $\vartheta_1, \dots, \vartheta_n$ vary over all possible such choices of these primitive roots

$$\begin{array}{l}\text{the torsion point } \boldsymbol{\zeta} \in \mathcal{H}(f^*) \text{ gives precisely } \det(A) \text{ torsion} \\ \text{points } \boldsymbol{\omega} = (\vartheta_1, \dots, \vartheta_n) \text{ on } \mathcal{H}(f) \text{ with } \boldsymbol{\zeta} = (\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\boldsymbol{a}_1}, \dots, \boldsymbol{\omega}^{\boldsymbol{a}_n})\,.\end{array} \tag{11}$$

Let now M_f and M_{f^*} denote the sets of all maximal torsion cosets of *positive* dimension on $\mathcal{H}(f)$ and $\mathcal{H}(f^*)$ respectively. We will define a map $\tau : M_f \to M_{f^*}$ as follows. Let $C \in M_f$ be an r-dimensional maximal torsion coset. Given any torsion point $\boldsymbol{\omega} = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n) \in C$, we can write the coset as $C = \boldsymbol{\omega} H_B$ for some primitive integer lattice B. Recall that C can be also represented in the form

$$C = \left(\omega_1 \prod_{j=1}^r t_j^{g_{j1}}, \dots, \omega_n \prod_{j=1}^r t_j^{g_{jn}} \right)\,, \tag{12}$$

where $t_1, \dots, t_r \in \mathbb{C}^*$ are parameters and the vectors $\boldsymbol{g}_j = (g_{j1}, \dots, g_{jn})$, $j = 1, \dots, r$, form a basis of the lattice $\mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\perp}(B) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$. Let $M = \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{\boldsymbol{g}_1 \mathcal{A}^T, \dots, \boldsymbol{g}_r \mathcal{A}^T\}$ and $L = \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{R}}(M) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$. Then we define

$$\tau(C) = \left(\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\boldsymbol{a}_1} \prod_{k=1}^r t_k^{s_{k1}}, \dots, \boldsymbol{\omega}^{\boldsymbol{a}_n} \prod_{k=1}^r t_k^{s_{kn}} \right)\,,$$

where $t_1, \dots, t_r \in \mathbb{C}^*$ are parameters and the vectors $\boldsymbol{s}_k = (s_{k1}, \dots, s_{kn})$, $k = 1, \dots, r$, form a basis of the lattice L. Let us show that τ is well-defined. First, the observation (6) implies that $\tau(C)$ is a maximal r-dimensional torsion coset on $\mathcal{H}(f^*)$. Now we have to show that $\tau(C)$ does not depend on the choice of $\boldsymbol{\omega} \in C$. Observe that any torsion point $\boldsymbol{\eta} \in C$ has the form

$$\boldsymbol{\eta} = \left(\omega_1 \prod_{j=1}^r \nu_j^{g_{j1}}, \dots, \omega_n \prod_{j=1}^r \nu_j^{g_{jn}} \right)\,,$$

where $\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_r$ are some roots of unity. Put $\boldsymbol{h}_j = \boldsymbol{g}_j \mathcal{A}^T$, $j = 1, \ldots, r$. It is enough to show that for any roots of unity $\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_r$ there exist roots of unity $\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_r$ such that

$$\prod_{j=1}^{r} \nu_j^{h_{ji}} = \prod_{k=1}^{r} \mu_k^{s_{ki}}\,, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n\,.$$

Since $M \subset L$, we have $\boldsymbol{h}_j \in L$, so that

$$\boldsymbol{h}_j = l_{j1}\boldsymbol{s}_1 + \cdots + l_{jr}\boldsymbol{s}_r\,, \quad l_{j1}, \ldots, l_{jr} \in \mathbb{Z}\,.$$

Now we can put

$$\mu_k = \nu_1^{l_{1k}} \nu_2^{l_{2k}} \cdots \nu_r^{l_{rk}}\,, \quad k = 1, \ldots, r\,.$$

Thus, the map τ is well-defined. It can be also easily shown that the map τ is surjective. This observation immediately implies the left hand side inequality in (10) for positive i. Moreover, by (11), we clearly have

$$T_0^n(f) = \det(A)\, T_0^n(f^*)\,, \tag{13}$$

so that the lemma is proved for the isolated torsion points.

Let now $D = \boldsymbol{\zeta} H' \in M^*$ be an r-dimensional maximal torsion coset. Suppose that $D = \tau(C)$ for some $C \in M_f$. We will show that $C = \boldsymbol{\omega} H$, where $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ can be chosen among $\det(A)$ torsion points listed in (11). This will immediately imply the right hand side inequality in (10) for positive i. We may assume without loss of generality that $H = H_B$ and $H' = H_{\mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\perp}(L)\cap\mathbb{Z}^n}$, with the lattices B and L defined as above. Let $\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_r$ be any roots of unity. Then the coset D can be represented as

$$D = \left(\zeta_1 \prod_{k=1}^{r} \mu_k^{s_{k1}} \prod_{k=1}^{r} t_k^{s_{k1}}, \ldots, \zeta_n \prod_{k=1}^{r} \mu_k^{s_{kn}} \prod_{k=1}^{r} t_k^{s_{kn}}\right)$$

for $\boldsymbol{\zeta} = (\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_n)$. Thus, it is enough to prove the existence of roots of unity $\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_r$ with

$$\prod_{k=1}^{r} \mu_k^{s_{ki}} = \prod_{j=1}^{r} \nu_j^{h_{ji}}\,, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n\,.$$

The lattice M is a sublattice of L and $\mathrm{rank}\,(M) = \mathrm{rank}\,(L)$. Therefore there exist positive integers $n_1, \ldots, n_r$ such that $n_i \boldsymbol{s}_i \in M$, $i = 1, \ldots, r$, and, consequently, we have

$$n_i \boldsymbol{s}_i = m_{i1}\boldsymbol{h}_1 + \cdots + m_{ir}\boldsymbol{h}_r\,, \quad m_{i1}, \ldots, m_{ir} \in \mathbb{Z}\,.$$

Now, if the roots of unity $\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_r$ satisfy $\rho_i^{n_i} = \mu_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, r$, we can put

$$\nu_j = \rho_1^{m_{1j}} \rho_2^{m_{2j}} \cdots \rho_r^{m_{rj}}\,, \quad j = 1, \ldots, r\,.$$

□

2.4 Torsion cosets of codimension one in $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}^n$

The next lemma allows us to detect the $(n-1)$-dimensional torsion cosets on hypersurfaces.

Lemma 2.6. *Suppose that the hypersurface $\mathcal{H}$ is defined by the polynomial $f \in \mathbb{C}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ with $f = \prod_i h_i$, where h_i are irreducible polynomials. Then the $(n-1)$-dimensional torsion cosets on $\mathcal{H}$ are precisely the hypersurfaces $\mathcal{H}(h_j)$ defined by the factors h_j of the form $\boldsymbol{X}^{\boldsymbol{m}_j} - \omega_j \boldsymbol{X}^{\boldsymbol{n}_j}$, where ω_j are roots of unity.*

Proof. Let ω be a root of unity and let $h = \boldsymbol{X}^{\boldsymbol{m}} - \omega \boldsymbol{X}^{\boldsymbol{n}}$ be a factor of f. Multiplying h by a monomial we may assume that h is a Laurent polynomial of the form $\boldsymbol{X}^{\boldsymbol{a}} - \omega$, where $\boldsymbol{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ is a *primitive* integer vector, so that $\gcd(a_1, \ldots, a_n) = 1$. Let A be the integer lattice generated by the vector $\boldsymbol{a}$, $\boldsymbol{b} = (b_1, \ldots, b_n)$ be an integer vector with $\langle \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{a} \rangle = 1$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the usual inner product, and put

$$\boldsymbol{\omega} = (\omega^{b_1}, \ldots, \omega^{b_n}) .$$

Now, all points of the torsion coset $C = \boldsymbol{\omega} H_A$ clearly satisfy the equation $\boldsymbol{X}^{\boldsymbol{a}} = \omega$. To show that any solution $\boldsymbol{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ of this equation belongs to C we observe that the point $(x_1 \omega^{-b_1}, \ldots, x_n \omega^{-b_n})$ belongs to the subtorus H_A.

Conversely, let $C = \boldsymbol{\omega} H$ be an $(n-1)$-dimensional coset on $\mathcal{H}$. Since the exponent matrix of the coset C has rank $n-1$, there exists a primitive integer vector $\boldsymbol{a}$ such that and for all $\boldsymbol{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$ we have $\mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{R}}(L(f_{\boldsymbol{j}})) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n = \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{\boldsymbol{a}\}$. Since $f_{\boldsymbol{j}}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) = 0$, the Laurent polynomial $h_C = \boldsymbol{X}^{\boldsymbol{a}} - \boldsymbol{\omega}^{\boldsymbol{a}}$ will divide all $f_{\boldsymbol{j}}$ and, consequently, f. Multiplying by a monomial, we may assume that h_C is a factor of the desired form. Finally, noting that $H = H_{\mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{\boldsymbol{a}\}}$ and applying the result of the previous paragraph, we see that $C = \mathcal{H}(h_C)$.

□

2.5 Geometry of numbers

Let B_p^n with $p = 1, 2, \infty$ denote the unit n-ball with respect to the l_p-norm, and let γ_n be the Hermite constant for dimension n – see Section 38.1 of Gruber–Lekkerkerker [10]. For a convex body K and a lattice L, we also denote by $\lambda_i(K, L)$ the ith successive minimum of K with respect to L – see Section 9.1 ibid.

Lemma 2.7. *Let S be a subspace of $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $\dim(S) = \mathrm{rank}(S \cap \mathbb{Z}^n) = r < n$. Then there exists a basis $\{\boldsymbol{b}_1, \boldsymbol{b}_2, \ldots, \boldsymbol{b}_n\}$ of the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^n$ such that*

(i) $S \subset \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{\boldsymbol{b}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{b}_{n-1}\}$;

(ii) $|\boldsymbol{b}_i| < 1 + \frac{1}{2}(n-1)\gamma_{n-1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \gamma_{n-r}^{\frac{1}{2}} \det(S \cap \mathbb{Z}^n)^{\frac{1}{n-r}}$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

Proof. Suppose first that $r < n-1$. By Proposition 1 (ii) of Aliev, Schinzel and Schmidt [1], there exists a subspace $T \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\dim(T) = n-1$ such that $S \subset T$ and

$$\det(T \cap \mathbb{Z}^n) \le \gamma_{n-r}^{\frac{1}{2}} \det(S \cap \mathbb{Z}^n)^{\frac{1}{n-r}} . \tag{14}$$

In the case $r = n-1$ we will put $T = S$.

The subspace T can be considered as a standard $(n-1)$–dimensional euclidean space. Then by the Minkowski's second theorem for balls (see Theorem I, Ch. VIII of Cassels [7]) we have

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i(T \cap B_2^n, T \cap \mathbb{Z}^n) \le \gamma_{n-1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \det(T \cap \mathbb{Z}^n) .$$

Noting that $1 \le \lambda_1(T \cap B_2^n, T \cap \mathbb{Z}^n) \le \ldots \le \lambda_{n-1}(T \cap B_2^n, T \cap \mathbb{Z}^n)$, we get

$$\lambda_{n-1}(T \cap B_2^n, T \cap \mathbb{Z}^n) \le \gamma_{n-1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \det(T \cap \mathbb{Z}^n) . \tag{15}$$

Next, by Corollary of Theorem VII, Ch. VIII of Cassels [7], there exists a basis $\mathcal{B} = (\boldsymbol{b}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{b}_{n-1})$ of the lattice $T \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$ with $|\boldsymbol{b}_j| \le \max\{1, j/2\}\lambda_j(T \cap B_2^n, T \cap \mathbb{Z}^n)$, $j = 1, \ldots, n-1$. Consequently,

$$|\boldsymbol{b}_i| \le \frac{n-1}{2}\lambda_{n-1}(T \cap B_2^n, T \cap \mathbb{Z}^n) \le \frac{n-1}{2}\gamma_{n-1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \det(T \cap \mathbb{Z}^n)$$

$$\le \frac{n-1}{2}\gamma_{n-1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\gamma_{n-r}^{\frac{1}{2}} \det(S \cap \mathbb{Z}^n)^{\frac{1}{n-r}} , \; i = 1, \ldots, n-1 .$$

Further, we need to extend $\mathcal{B}$ to a basis of the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^n$. Let $\boldsymbol{a}$ be a primitive integer vector from $\mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\perp}(T \cap \mathbb{Z}^n)$. Clearly, all possible vectors $\boldsymbol{b}$ such that $(\boldsymbol{b}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{b}_{n-1}, \boldsymbol{b})$ is a basis of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ form the set $\{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{a} \rangle = \pm 1\} \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$, and this set contains a point $\boldsymbol{b}_n$ with

$$|\boldsymbol{b}_n| \le \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{a}|} + \mu(T \cap B_2^n, T \cap \mathbb{Z}^n) , \tag{16}$$

where $\mu(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the *inhomogeneous minimum* – see Section 13.1 of Gruber–Lekkerkerker [10]. By Jarnik's inequality (see Theorem 1 on p. 99 ibid.)

$$\mu(T \cap B_2^n, T \cap \mathbb{Z}^n) \le \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i(T \cap B_2^n, T \cap \mathbb{Z}^n) \le \frac{n-1}{2}\lambda_{n-1}(T \cap B_2^n, T \cap \mathbb{Z}^n) .$$

Consequently, by (16), (15) and (14), we have

$$|\boldsymbol{b}_n| < 1 + \frac{n-1}{2}\gamma_{n-1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\gamma_{n-r}^{\frac{1}{2}} \det(S \cap \mathbb{Z}^n)^{\frac{1}{n-r}} .$$

□

When L is a lattice on rank n, its *polar* lattice L^* is defined as

$$L^* = \{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y} \rangle \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for all } \boldsymbol{y} \in L\}\,.$$

Given a basis $\mathcal{B} = (\boldsymbol{b}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{b}_n)$ of L, the basis of L^* *polar* to $\mathcal{B}$ is the basis $\mathcal{B}^* = (\boldsymbol{b}_1^*, \dots, \boldsymbol{b}_n^*)$ with

$$\langle \boldsymbol{b}_i\,, \boldsymbol{b}_j^* \rangle = \delta_{ij}\,, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n\,,$$

where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta.

Corollary 2.1. *Let S be a subspace of $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $\dim(S) = \operatorname{rank}(S \cap \mathbb{Z}^n) = r < n$. Then there exists a basis $\mathcal{A} = (\boldsymbol{a}_1, \boldsymbol{a}_2, \dots, \boldsymbol{a}_n)$ of the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^n$ such that $\boldsymbol{a}_1 \in S^\perp$ and the vectors of the polar basis $\mathcal{A}^* = (\boldsymbol{a}_1^*, \boldsymbol{a}_2^*, \dots, \boldsymbol{a}_n^*)$ satisfy the inequalities*

$$|\boldsymbol{a}_i^*| < 1 + \frac{n-1}{2} \gamma_{n-1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \gamma_{n-r}^{\frac{1}{2}} \det(S \cap \mathbb{Z}^n)^{\frac{1}{n-r}}\,, \quad i = 1, \dots, n\,. \tag{17}$$

Proof. Applying Lemma 2.7 to the subspace S we get a basis $\{\boldsymbol{b}_1, \boldsymbol{b}_2, \dots, \boldsymbol{b}_n\}$ of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ satisfying conditions (i)–(ii). Observe that its polar basis $\{\boldsymbol{b}_1^*, \boldsymbol{b}_2^*, \dots, \boldsymbol{b}_n^*\}$ has its last vector $\boldsymbol{b}_n^*$ in $S^\perp$. Therefore, we can put $\boldsymbol{a}_1 = \boldsymbol{b}_n^*, \boldsymbol{a}_2 = \boldsymbol{b}_2^*, \dots, \boldsymbol{a}_{n-1} = \boldsymbol{b}_{n-1}^*, \boldsymbol{a}_n = \boldsymbol{b}_1^*$. □

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

The lemmas of the next two subsections will allow us to assume that $L(f) = \mathbb{Z}^n$.

3.1 $L(f)$ of rank less than n

Lemma 3.1. *Let $f \in \mathbb{C}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$, $n \geq 2$, be a polynomial of (total) degree d. Suppose that $L(f)$ has rank r less than n. Then there exists a polynomial $f^* \in \mathbb{C}[X_1, \dots, X_r]$ of degree at most d such that $L(f^*)$ also has rank r and*

$$T_i^n(f) \leq T_{i-n+r}^r(f^*)\,, \quad i = n-r, \dots, n-1\,. \tag{18}$$

Proof. Multiplying f by a monomial, we will assume without loss of generality that $S_f \subset L(f)$. Then there exists an integer vector $\boldsymbol{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_n) \in \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\perp}(S_f)$ and we may assume that $s_n \neq 0$. Consider the integer lattice $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ with the basis

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & s_1 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & s_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & s_{n-1} \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & s_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Observe that

$$f(X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}, 1) = f(\boldsymbol{X}^{\boldsymbol{a}_1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{X}^{\boldsymbol{a}_n}),$$

and, by Lemma 2.5, we have

$$T_i^n(f) \le T_{i-1}^{n-1}(f(X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}, 1)), \quad i = 1, \ldots, n-1.$$

Applying the same procedure to the polynomial $f(X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}, 1)$ and so on, we will remove $n - r$ variables and get the desired polynomial f^*.

□

3.2 $L(f)$ of rank n, $L(f) \subsetneq \mathbb{Z}^n$

Lemma 3.2. *Let $f \in \mathbb{C}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$, $n \ge 2$, be an irreducible polynomial of degree d. Suppose that $L(f)$ has rank n and $L(f) \subsetneq \mathbb{Z}^n$. Then there exists an irreducible polynomial $f^* \in \mathbb{C}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ of degree at most $c_1(n, d) = n^2(n+1)!d$ such that $L(f^*) = \mathbb{Z}^n$ and*

$$T_0^n(f) = \det(L(f)) T_0^n(f^*), \tag{19}$$

$$T_i^n(f) \le \det(L(f)) T_i^n(f^*), \quad i = 1, \ldots, n-1. \tag{20}$$

Proof. Since $S_f \subset dB_1^n$, we have $D(S_f) \subset dD(B_1^n) = 2dB_1^n$. Thus, multiplying f by a monomial, we may assume that f is a Laurent polynomial with $S_f \subset L(f) \cap 2dB_1^n$. Let $L^*(f)$ be the polar lattice for the lattice $L(f)$ and let $\mathcal{A}^* = (\boldsymbol{a}_1^*, \ldots, \boldsymbol{a}_n^*)$ be a basis of $L^*(f)$. Consider the map $\psi : L(f) \to \mathbb{Z}^n$ defined by

$$\psi(\boldsymbol{u}) = (\langle \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{a}_1^* \rangle, \ldots, \langle \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{a}_n^* \rangle).$$

The Laurent polynomial

$$f^*(\boldsymbol{X}) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{u} \in S_f} a_{\boldsymbol{u}} \boldsymbol{X}^{\psi(\boldsymbol{u})}$$

has $L(f^*) = \mathbb{Z}^n$. Observe that we have

$$f = f^*(\boldsymbol{X}^{\boldsymbol{a}_1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{X}^{\boldsymbol{a}_n}). \tag{21}$$

Therefore the polynomial f^* is irreducible and, by Lemma 2.5, the inequalities (20) hold. Note also that the equality (19) follows from (13).

Let us estimate the size of S_{f^*}. Recall that B_∞^n is the *polar reciprocal body* of B_1^n – see Theorem III of Ch. IV in Cassels [7]. Thus, by Theorem VI of Ch. VIII ibid., we have

$$\lambda_i(B_1^n, L(f)) \lambda_{n+1-i}(B_\infty^n, L^*(f)) \le n!.$$

Noting that $\lambda_i(B_1^n, L(f)) \geq 1$, we get the inequality

$$\lambda_n(B_\infty^n, L^*(f)) \leq n!\,. \tag{22}$$

Next, by Corollary of Theorem VII, Ch. VIII of Cassels [7], there exists a basis $\mathcal{A}^* = (\boldsymbol{a}_1^*, \ldots, \boldsymbol{a}_n^*)$ of the lattice $L^*(f)$ such that

$$\boldsymbol{a}_j^* \in \max\{1, j/2\}\lambda_j(B_\infty^n, L^*(f))B_\infty^n\,. \tag{23}$$

Combining the inequalities (22) and (23) we get the bound

$$||\boldsymbol{a}_j^*||_\infty \leq \frac{n \cdot n!}{2}\,.$$

Then, by the definition of the Laurent polynomial f^*, we have

$$S_{f^*} \subset (\max_{1\leq j\leq n} ||\boldsymbol{a}_j^*||_\infty) 2ndB_1^n \subset n^2 n! dB_1^n\,.$$

Thus, multiplying f^* by a monomial, we may assume that $f^* \in \mathbb{C}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ and

$$\deg(f^*) \leq n^2(n+1)!d = c_1(n, d)\,.$$

□

3.3 The case $L(f) = \mathbb{Z}^n$

Let

$$T(i, n, d) = \max_{\substack{f\in\mathbb{C}[X_1,\ldots,X_n] \\ \deg f\leq d}} T_i^n(f)\,, \quad i = 0, \ldots, n-1$$

be the maximum number of maximal torsion i-dimensional cosets lying on a subvariety of $\mathbb{G}_\mathrm{m}^n$ defined by a polynomial of degree at most d.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $f \in \mathbb{C}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$, $n \geq 2$, be an irreducible polynomial of degree at most d with $L(f) = \mathbb{Z}^n$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} T_0^n(f) \leq (2^{n+1}-1)(&T(0, n-1, c_2(n, d)) \textstyle\sum_{s=1}^{n-2} T(s, n-1, 2d^2) \\ &+ dT(0, n-1, 2d^2))\,, \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

$$\begin{aligned} T_1^n(f) \leq (2^{n+1}-1)\,(&T(1, n-1, c_2(n, d)) \textstyle\sum_{s=1}^{n-2} T(s, n-1, 2d^2) \\ &+ T(0, n-1, 2d^2))\,, \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &T_i^n(f) \leq (2^{n+1}-1)\,T(i, n-1, c_2(n, d)) \textstyle\sum_{s=i-1}^{n-2} T(s, n-1, 2d^2)\,, \\ &i = 2, \ldots, n-2\,, \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

$$T_{n-1}^n(f) \leq 1\,, \tag{27}$$

where $c_2(n, d) = n(n+1)d + 2(n-1)(n^2-1)n!d^3$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.6, we immediately get the inequality (27). Assume now that $\mathcal{H}(f)$ contains no $(n-1)$-dimensional cosets. Applying Theorem 1.3 to the polynomial f, we obtain $m \le 2^{n+1}-1$ polynomials $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m$ satisfying conditions (i)–(iii) of this theorem. For $1 \le k \le m$, put $g_k = \mathrm{Res}(f, f_k, X_n)$. By Theorem 1.3 (ii), the polynomials f and f_k have no common factor and thus $g_k \ne 0$. Recall also that g_k lies in the elimination ideal $\langle f, f_k \rangle \cap \mathbb{C}[X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}]$ and $\deg(g_k) \le \deg(f)\deg(f_k) \le 2d^2$.

Given a maximal i–dimensional torsion coset C on $\mathcal{H}(f)$, $i \le n-2$, its orthogonal projection $\pi(C)$ into the coordinate subspace corresponding to the indeterminates $X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}$ is a torsion coset in $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}^{n-1}$. Note that the coset $\pi(C)$ is either i or $i-1$ dimensional. The proof of inequalities (24)–(26) is based on the following observation.

Lemma 3.4. *Suppose that $1 \le k \le m$, $1 \le s \le n-2$ and $0 \le i \le s+1$. Then for any maximal torsion s-dimensional coset D on the hypersurface $\mathcal{H}(g_k)$ of $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}^{n-1}$, the number of maximal torsion i-dimensional cosets C on $\mathcal{H}(f)$ with $\pi(C) \subset D$ is at most $T(i, n-1, c_2(n,d))$.*

Proof. Let $D = \boldsymbol{\omega} H_B$, where B is a primitive sublattice of $\mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$ with $\mathrm{rank}\,(B) = n-1-s$. By Corollary 2.1, applied to the subspace $\mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\perp}(B)$, there exists a basis $\mathcal{A} = (\boldsymbol{a}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{a}_{n-1})$ of the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$ such that $\boldsymbol{a}_1 \in B$ and its polar basis $\mathcal{A}^* = (\boldsymbol{a}_1^*, \dots, \boldsymbol{a}_{n-1}^*)$ satisfies the inequality (17). Let C be a maximal torsion i-dimensional coset on $\mathcal{H}(f)$ with $\pi(C) \subset D$. Observe that the coset D and, consequently, the coset C satisfy the equation

$$(X_1, \dots, X_{n-1})^{\boldsymbol{a}_1} = \omega\,, \tag{28}$$

with the root of unity $\omega = \boldsymbol{\omega}^{\boldsymbol{a}_1}$. The basis $\mathcal{A}$ of $\mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$ can be extended to the basis $\mathcal{B} = ((\boldsymbol{a}_1, 0), \dots, (\boldsymbol{a}_{n-1}, 0), \boldsymbol{e}_n)$ of $\mathbb{Z}^n$, where $(\boldsymbol{a}_i, 0)$ denotes the vector $(a_{i1}, \dots, a_{in-1}, 0)$ and $\boldsymbol{e}_n = (0, \dots, 0, 1)$. Let $(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ be the coordinates associated with $\mathcal{B}$. By Lemma 2.4, the coset $C^{\mathcal{B}}$ is a maximal i–dimensional torsion coset on $\mathcal{H}(f^{\mathcal{B}})$ and, by (28), it lies on the subvariety of $\mathcal{H}(f^{\mathcal{B}})$ defined by the equation $Y_1 = \omega$. Therefore, the orthogonal projection of the coset $C^{\mathcal{B}}$ into the coordinate subspace corresponding to the indeterminates $Y_2, \dots, Y_n$ is a maximal i–dimensional torsion coset on the hypersurface $\mathcal{H}(f^{\mathcal{B}}(\omega, Y_2 \dots, Y_n))$ of $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}^{n-1}$. Here the polynomial $f^{\mathcal{B}}(\omega, Y_2, \dots, Y_n)$ is not identically zero. Otherwise the $(n-1)$-dimensional coset defined by (28) would lie on the hypersurface $\mathcal{H}(f)$.

The $(n-1-s)$–dimensional subspace $\mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{R}}(B)$ is generated by $n-1-s$ vectors of the difference set $D(S_{g_k})$ (see for instance the proof of Theorem 8 in [13] for details). Therefore,

$$\det(B) \le (\mathrm{diam}(S_{g_k}))^{n-1-s} < (4d^2)^{n-1-s}\,,$$

where $\mathrm{diam}(\cdot)$ denotes the diameter of the set. It is well known (see e. g. Bombieri and Vaaler [4], pp. 27–28) that $\det(B) = \det(\mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\perp}(B) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n-1})$. Hence, by (17), we have

$$S_{f^{\mathcal{B}}} \subset (n \max_{1 \le j \le n-1} ||\boldsymbol{a}_j^*||_\infty) d B_1^n \subsetneq (nd + 2n(n-1)\gamma_{n-1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \gamma_{n-1-s}^{\frac{1}{2}} d^3) B_1^n \,.$$

Multiplying $f^{\mathcal{B}}$ by a monomial, we may assume that $f^{\mathcal{B}} \in \mathbb{C}[Y_1, \ldots, Y_n]$. Now, observing that $\gamma_k^{k/2} \le k!$, we get

$$\deg(f^{\mathcal{B}}) < c_2(n,d) \,.$$

Therefore, we have shown that the maximal torsion coset D can contain projections of at most $T_i^{n-1}(f^{\mathcal{B}}(\omega, Y_2 \ldots, Y_n)) \le T(i, n-1, c_2(n,d))$ maximal torsion i-dimensional cosets of $\mathcal{H}(f)$.

□

By part (iii) of Theorem 1.3, given a maximal torsion i-dimensional coset C on $\mathcal{H}(f)$, its projection $\pi(C)$ lies on $\mathcal{H}(g_k)$ for some $1 \le k \le m$. If $i \ge 2$ then the coset $\pi(C)$ has positive dimension, and Lemma 3.4 implies the inequality (26). Suppose now that $i \le 1$. Let C be a maximal i–dimensional coset on $\mathcal{H}(f)$. The case when $\pi(C)$ lies in a torsion coset of positive dimension of one of the hypersurfaces $\mathcal{H}(g_k)$ is settled by Lemma 3.4. It remains only to consider the case when $\pi(C)$ is an isolated torsion point. The number of isolated torsion points $\boldsymbol{u}$ on $\mathcal{H}(f)$ whose projection $\pi(\boldsymbol{u})$ is an isolated torsion point on $\mathcal{H}(g_k)$ is at most $dT_0^{n-1}(g_k) \le dT(0, n-1, 2d^2)$. Now, each isolated torsion point on $\mathcal{H}(g_k)$ is the π–projection of at most one torsion 1-dimensional coset on $\mathcal{H}(f)$. These observations together with Lemma 3.4 imply the inequalities (24)–(25).

□

3.4 Completion of the proof

Put $T(n,d) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} T(i,n,d)$. We will show that for $n \ge 2$

$$T(n,d) \le (2nd)^{n+1} T(n-1, n^{8+4n} d^2) T(n-1, n^{8+4n} d^3) \,. \tag{29}$$

This inequality implies Theorem 1.1. Indeed, noting that, by (5), we have $T(2,d) \le 11d^2 + d$ and $N_{\text{tor}}(\mathcal{H}(f)) \le T(n,d)$, we get from (29) the inequality (3).

Let $f \in \mathbb{C}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ be a polynomial of degree d. The lattice $L(f)$ clearly has n linearly independent points in the difference set $D(S_f)$ and $D(S_f) \subset dD(B_1^n) = 2dB_1^n$. Therefore, by Lemma 8 in Cassels [7], Ch. V, the lattice $L(f)$ has a basis lying in ndB_1^n. Since $B_1^n \subset B_2^n$, for each irreducible factor f' of f the inequality

$$\det(L(f')) \le (nd)^n$$

holds. Then, by Lemmas 3.1–3.3 applied to all irreducible factors of f, we have for all $0 \le i \le n-1$

$$\begin{aligned} T_i^n(f) \le\ & d(2^{n+1}-1)(nd)^n \times \\ & \times T(i, n-1, c_2(n, c_1(n,d))) T(n-1, 2(c_1(n,d))^2) \,. \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

To avoid painstaking estimates we simply observe that for $n \geq 3$ and for all d we have $n^{8+4n}d^2 > 2(c_1(n,d))^2$ and $n^{8+4n}d^3 > c_2(n, c_1(n,d))$. Then the inequality (30) implies (29).

4 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Lemma 4.1. *For $n \geq 2$ the inequality*

$$N_{\mathrm{tor}}(n,d) \leq T(n,d) N_{\mathrm{tor}}(n-1, n^{2+n}d^2) \tag{31}$$

holds.

Proof. Suppose that the variety $\mathcal{V}$ is defined by the polynomials $f = f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_t$. Then any maximal torsion coset $\boldsymbol{\omega}H$ on $\mathcal{V}$ is contained in a maximal torsion coset $\boldsymbol{\omega}H'$ on the hypersurface $\mathcal{H}(f)$. Now, let $C = \boldsymbol{\omega}H_A$ with $\boldsymbol{\omega} = (\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n)$ be a maximal i–dimensional torsion coset on $\mathcal{H}(f)$ and suppose C does not lie on $\mathcal{V}$. By Corollary 2.1, applied to the subspace $\mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\perp}(A)$, there exists a basis $\mathcal{A} = (\boldsymbol{a}_1, \boldsymbol{a}_2, \ldots, \boldsymbol{a}_n)$ of the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^n$ such that $\boldsymbol{a}_1 \in A$ and its polar basis $\mathcal{A}^* = (\boldsymbol{a}_1^*, \boldsymbol{a}_2^*, \ldots, \boldsymbol{a}_n^*)$ satisfies the inequality (17). Let $(Y_1, \ldots, Y_n)$ be the coordinates associated with the basis $\mathcal{A}$. By (7), the coset $C^{\mathcal{A}}$ lies on the hypersurface of $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}^n$ defined by the equation

$$Y_1 = \omega\,, \tag{32}$$

with $\omega = \boldsymbol{\omega}^{\boldsymbol{a}_1}$. Observe that for any torsion coset $\boldsymbol{\zeta}H_B \subset \boldsymbol{\omega}H_A$, the lattice A is a sublattice of the lattice B and $\boldsymbol{\zeta} = (\omega_1 x_1, \ldots, \omega_n x_n)$ for some $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in H_A$. Consequently, $\boldsymbol{\zeta}H_B$ also satisfies (32). Then the number of maximal torsion cosets on $\mathcal{V}$ that are subcosets of C is at most the number of maximal torsion cosets on the subvariety of $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}^{n-1}$ defined by the equations

$$\begin{aligned} &f_2^{\mathcal{A}}(\omega, Y_2, \ldots, Y_n) = 0\,, \\ &\vdots \\ &f_t^{\mathcal{A}}(\omega, Y_2, \ldots, Y_n) = 0\,. \end{aligned}$$

Note that since $C \nsubseteq \mathcal{V}$, not all Laurent polynomials $f_i^{\mathcal{A}}(\omega, Y_2, \ldots, Y_n)$ are identically zero. The $(n-i)$–dimensional subspace $\mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{R}}(A)$ is spanned by $n-i$ vectors of the difference set $D(S_f)$. Therefore,

$$\det(A) \leq (\mathrm{diam}(S_f))^{n-i} < (2d)^{n-i}\,.$$

Note that $\det(A) = \det(\mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\perp}(A) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n)$. Hence, by (17), we have

$$S_{f_j^{\mathcal{A}}} \subset d(n \max_{1\leq j\leq n} ||\boldsymbol{a}_j^*||_\infty) B_1^n \subsetneq (nd + n(n-1)\gamma_{n-1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\gamma_{n-i}^{\frac{1}{2}} d^2) B_1^n$$

for $j = 2, \ldots, t$. Multiplying the Laurent polynomials $f_j^{\mathcal{A}}$ by a monomial, we may assume that $f_j^{\mathcal{A}} \in \mathbb{C}[Y_2, \ldots, Y_n]$. Noting that $\gamma_k^{k/2} \leq k!$, we get the inequalities

$$\deg(f_j^{\mathcal{A}}) < n(n+1)d + (n-1)(n^2-1)n!d^2\,, \quad j = 2, \ldots, t\,.$$

Finally, observe that for $n \geq 2$, $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and for all d, we have

$$n^{2+n}d^2 > n(n+1)d + (n-1)(n^2-1)n!d^2.$$

$\square$

By Theorem 1.1, $T(n,d) \leq c_1(n)d^{c_2(n)}$ and, consequently,

$$N_{\mathrm{tor}}(n,d) \leq c_1(n)d^{c_2(n)}N_{\mathrm{tor}}(n-1, n^{2+n}d^2)\,.$$

Noting that $N_{\mathrm{tor}}(1,d) = T(1,d) = d$ we obtain the inequality (4).

5 Proof of Theorem 1.3

5.1 f with rational coefficients

Suppose that $f \in \mathbb{Q}[X_1,\ldots,X_n]$, $n \geq 2$, is irreducible and has $L(f) = \mathbb{Z}^n$. We will show that $2^{n+1}-1$ polynomials

$$f(\epsilon_1 X_1,\ldots,\epsilon_n X_n)\,, \quad \epsilon_i = \pm 1\,, \text{ not all } \epsilon_i = 1 \tag{33}$$

$$f(\epsilon_1 X_1^2,\ldots,\epsilon_n X_n^2)\,, \quad \epsilon_i = \pm 1\,. \tag{34}$$

satisfy all conditions of the theorem.

The condition (i) clearly holds for all polynomials (33)–(34). Suppose now that f divides one of the polynomials (33). Let us consider the lattice

$$L_2 = \left\{(x_1,\ldots,x_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n : \frac{1-\epsilon_1}{2}x_1 + \ldots + \frac{1-\epsilon_n}{2}x_n \equiv 0 \mod 2\right\}$$

with the same choice of ϵ_i. Note that $\det(L_2) = 2$ and thus $L_2 \subsetneq \mathbb{Z}^n$. Then, for some $\boldsymbol{z} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, we have $\boldsymbol{z} + S_f \subset L_2$. Therefore the lattice $L(f)$ cannot coincide with $\mathbb{Z}^n$, a contradiction. This argument also implies that the polynomials (33) are pairwise coprime. Next, if f divides a polynomial f' from (34) then, since $f' \in \mathbb{Q}[X_1^2,\ldots,X_n^2]$, we have that each of the polynomials (33) also divides f'. Hence $2^n \deg f \leq \deg f' = 2\deg f$, so that $n = 1$, a contradiction. Consequently, the set of polynomials $f_1,\ldots,f_m$ consists of all the polynomials (33)–(34). Then condition (ii) is satisfied.

It remains only to check that the condition (iii) holds. Let $C = \boldsymbol{\omega}H$ be a torsion r-dimensional coset on the hypersurface $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(f)$. There is a root of unity ω such that $\boldsymbol{\omega} = (\omega^{i_1},\ldots,\omega^{i_n})$, where we may assume that $\gcd(i_1,\ldots,i_n) = 1$ so that, in particular, not all of the $i_1,\ldots,i_n$ are even. Next, we have

$$f(\omega^{i_1},\ldots,\omega^{i_n}) = 0$$

and by part (ii) of Lemma 2.1, also at least one of the $2^{n+1}-1$ equalities

$$f(\epsilon_1\omega^{i_1},\ldots,\epsilon_n\omega^{i_n})=0\,,\quad \epsilon_i=\pm 1\,,\ \text{not all } \epsilon_i=1$$

$$f(\epsilon_1\omega^{2i_1},\ldots,\epsilon_n\omega^{2i_n})=0\,,\quad \epsilon_i=\pm 1$$

holds. Therefore, the torsion point $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ lies on a hypersurface $\mathcal{H}'=\mathcal{H}(f')$, where f' is one of the polynomials $f_1,\ldots,f_m$. This settles the case $r=0$.

Suppose now that $r\geq 1$. We claim that the torsion coset C lies on $\mathcal{H}'$. To see this we observe that for all $\boldsymbol{j}\in\mathbb{Z}^r$ we have

$$f'_{\boldsymbol{j}}(\boldsymbol{\omega})=f_{\boldsymbol{j}}(\omega^{p\,i_1},\ldots,\omega^{p\,i_n})=0\,,$$

where p is the exponent from the part (ii) of Lemma 2.1. Hence by (6), C lies on $\mathcal{H}'$.

5.2 f with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}^{\mathrm{ab}}$

We now define the polynomials $f_1,\ldots,f_m$ in the case of f having coefficients lying in a cyclotomic field. Let us choose N to be the smallest integer such that, for some roots of unity $\zeta_1,\ldots,\zeta_n$, the polynomial $f(\zeta_1x_1,\ldots,\zeta_nx_n)$ has all its coefficients in $K=\mathbb{Q}(\omega_N)$, for ω_N a primitive Nth root of unity. Since for N odd $-\omega_N$ is a primitive $(2N)$th root of unity, we may assume either that N is odd or a multiple of 4.

We then replace f by this polynomial. When we have found the polynomials $f_1,\ldots,f_m$ for this new f, it is easy to go back and find those for the original f.

5.2.1 N odd

Take σ to be an automorphism of K taking ω_N to ω_N^2. We keep the polynomials f_i that come from (33) and replace the polynomials that come from (34) by

$$f^{\sigma}(\epsilon_1X_1^2,\ldots,\epsilon_nX_n^2)\,,\quad \epsilon_i=\pm 1\,,\quad \text{not divisible by }\ f\,. \tag{35}$$

We then claim that any torsion coset of $\mathcal{H}(f)$ either lies on one of the 2^n-1 hypersurfaces defined by (33) or on one of the 2^n hypersurfaces defined by one of the polynomials (35). Take a torsion coset $C=(\omega_l^{i_1},\ldots,\omega_l^{i_n})H$ of $\mathcal{H}(f)$, with $\gcd(i_1,\ldots,i_n)=1$. If $4\nmid l$ then we can extend σ to an automorphism of $K(\omega_l)$ which takes ω_l to one of $\pm\omega_l^2$. Therefore, the coset C also lies on a hypersurface defined by one of the polynomials (35). On the other hand, if $4|l$, we put $4k=\mathrm{lcm}\,(l,N)$. Then the automorphism, τ say, of $K(\omega_l)=\mathbb{Q}(\omega_{4k})$ mapping $\omega_{4k}\mapsto\omega_{4k}^{2k+1}$ takes $\omega_l\mapsto\omega_l^{2k+1}=-\omega_l$ and $\omega_N\mapsto\omega_N^{2k+1}=\omega_N$. Thus, C lies on a hypersurface defined by one of the polynomials (33).

5.2.2 $4|N$

We take the same coset C as in the previous case, again put $4k = \mathrm{lcm}\,(l, N)$, and use the same automorphism τ. Then τ takes $\omega_l \mapsto \omega_l^{2k}\omega_l = \pm\omega_l$ and $\omega_N \mapsto \omega_N^{2k}\omega_N = \pm\omega_N$. We now consider separately the four possibilities for these signs. Firstly, from the definition of k they cannot both be $+$ signs.

If

$$\tau(\omega_l) = \omega_l\,, \quad \tau(\omega_N) = -\omega_N$$

then C also lies on $\mathcal{H}(f^\tau)$. Note that $f^\tau \neq f$, by the minimality of N, so that they have a proper intersection.

If

$$\tau(\omega_l) = -\omega_l\,, \quad \tau(\omega_N) = \omega_N$$

then C also lies on a hypersurface defined by one of the polynomials (33). As $L(f) = \mathbb{Z}^n$, each has proper intersection with f, as we saw in Section 5.1.

Finally, if

$$\tau(\omega_l) = -\omega_l\,, \quad \tau(\omega_N) = -\omega_N$$

then C also lies on one of the hypersurfaces $\mathcal{H}(f_i^\tau)$, for f_i in (33). Suppose that for instance f and $f^\tau(-X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n)$ have a common component, so that $f^\tau(-X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n) = f(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n)$. Then we have

$$f(\omega_N X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n)^\tau = f^\tau(-\omega_N X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n) = f(\omega_N X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n)\,.$$

For any coefficient c of $f(\omega_N X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n)$, write $c = a + \omega_N \mathrm{b}$, where $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}(\omega_N^2)$. Then $c^\tau = a - \omega_N b = c$, so that $b = 0$, $c \in \mathbb{Q}(\omega_N^2)$. Consequently, $f(\omega_N X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n) \in \mathbb{Q}(\omega_N^2)[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$, contradicting the minimality of N. The same argument applies for other polynomials (33). Thus, C lies on one of $2^{n+1} - 1$ subvarieties defined by the polynomials (33) and the polynomials

$$f^\tau(\epsilon_1 X_1, \ldots, \epsilon_n X_n)\,, \quad \epsilon_i = \pm 1\,.$$

5.3 f with coefficients in $\mathbb{C}$

Let L be the coefficient field of f. Suppose that L is not a subfield of $\mathbb{Q}^{\mathrm{ab}}$. Without loss of generality, assume that at least one coefficient of f is equal to 1 and choose an automorphism $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}^{\mathrm{ab}})$ which does not fix f. Then since all roots of unity belong to $\mathbb{Q}^{\mathrm{ab}}$, f and f^σ have the same torsion cosets. Further, f and f^σ have no common component. Thus in this case we can take the set of f_i to be the single polynomial f^σ.

6 An algorithm

Let $\mathcal{V}$ be an algebraic subvariety of $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}^n$. In this section we will describe a new recursive algorithm that finds all maximal torsion cosets on $\mathcal{V}$. The algorithm consists of several reduction steps that reduce the problem to finding maximal torsion cosets of a finite number of subvarieties of $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}^{n-1}$. When $n = 2$ we can apply the algorithm of Beukers and Smyth [2].

6.1 Hypersurfaces

We first consider a hypersurface $\mathcal{H}$ defined by a polynomial $f \in \mathbb{C}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ with $f = \prod h_i$, where h_i are irreducible polynomials. By Lemma 2.6, the $(n-1)$-dimensional torsion cosets on $\mathcal{H}$ will precisely correspond to the factors h_j of the form $\boldsymbol{X}^{\boldsymbol{u}_j} - \omega_j \boldsymbol{X}^{\boldsymbol{v}_j}$, where ω is a root of unity. Now we will assume without loss of generality that f is irreducible and $\mathcal{H}$ contains no torsion cosets of dimension $n-1$. Then we proceed as follows.

H1. The proofs of Lemmas 3.1, 3.2 and Theorem 1.3 are effective. Consequently, applying Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, we may assume without loss of generality that $L(f) = \mathbb{Z}^n$. Next, applying Theorem 1.3, we get $m < 2^{n+1}$ polynomials $f_1, \ldots, f_m$ satisfying conditions (i)–(iii) of this theorem.

H2. For $1 \leq k \leq m$, calculate $g_k = \mathrm{Res}(f, f_k, X_n)$. Find all isolated torsion points $\boldsymbol{\zeta}_1, \boldsymbol{\zeta}_2, \ldots$ and all maximal torsion cosets $D_1, D_2, \ldots$ of positive dimension on the hypersurfaces $\mathcal{H}(g_k)$ of $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}^{n-1}$. For each coset $D_i = \boldsymbol{\eta}_i H_{B_i}$, take a primitive vector $\boldsymbol{a}_i \in B_i$ and put $\omega_i = \boldsymbol{\eta}_i^{\boldsymbol{a}_i}$.

H3. For each torsion point $\boldsymbol{\zeta}_i = (\zeta_{i1}, \ldots, \zeta_{i\,n-1})$, if $f(\zeta_{i1}, \ldots, \zeta_{i\,n-1}, X_n)$ is identically zero then the coset

$$(\zeta_{i\,1}, \ldots, \zeta_{i\,n-1}, t)$$

lies on $\mathcal{H}$. Otherwise, solving the polynomial equation $f(\zeta_{i\,1}, \ldots, \zeta_{i\,n-1}, X_n)$ in X_n, we will find all torsion points $\boldsymbol{\zeta}$ on $\mathcal{H}$ with $\pi(\boldsymbol{\zeta}) = \boldsymbol{\zeta}_i$. When all torsion cosets of positive dimension on $\mathcal{H}$ are found, we can easily determine which of the torsion points $\boldsymbol{\zeta}$ are isolated.

H4. For each D_i, extend the vector $\boldsymbol{a}_i$ to a basis $\mathcal{B}_i = ((\boldsymbol{a}_i, 0), \boldsymbol{z}_2, \ldots, \boldsymbol{z}_n)$ of $\mathbb{Z}^n$. Find all maximal torsion cosets $E_1, E_2, \ldots$ on the hypersurface in $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}^{n-1}$ defined by the polynomial $f^{\mathcal{B}_i}(\omega_i, Y_2, \ldots, Y_n)$. For each $E_j = \boldsymbol{\rho}_j H_{P_j}$ say with $\boldsymbol{\rho}_j = (\rho_{j\,2}, \ldots, \rho_{j\,n})$ put $\boldsymbol{\omega}_j = (\omega_i, \rho_{j\,2}, \ldots, \rho_{j\,n})$ and $A_j = \{(z, p_2, \ldots, p_n) : z \in \mathbb{Z},\ (p_2, \ldots, p_n) \in P_j\}$. Now the cosets $(\boldsymbol{\omega}_j H_{A_j})^{\mathcal{B}_i^{-1}}$ are the maximal torsion cosets on $\mathcal{H}$.

6.2 General subvarieties

Suppose now that $\mathcal{V}$ is defined by the polynomials $f_1, \ldots, f_t \in \mathbb{C}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$, when $t \geq 2$.

V1. Find all isolated torsion points $\boldsymbol{\zeta}_1, \boldsymbol{\zeta}_2, \ldots$ and all maximal torsion cosets $D_1, D_2, \ldots$ of positive dimension on the hypersurface $\mathcal{H}(f_1)$. Then $\boldsymbol{\zeta}_1, \boldsymbol{\zeta}_2, \ldots$, if on $\mathcal{V}$, are isolated torsion points on $\mathcal{V}$ as well.

V2. For each coset $D_i = \boldsymbol{\eta}_i H_{B_i}$, take a primitive vector $\boldsymbol{a}_i \in B_i$, put $\omega_i = \boldsymbol{\eta}_i^{\boldsymbol{a}_i}$ and extend the vector $\boldsymbol{a}_i$ to a basis $\mathcal{B}_i = (\boldsymbol{a}_i, \boldsymbol{z}_2, \ldots, \boldsymbol{z}_n)$ of $\mathbb{Z}^n$. Find all maximal torsion cosets $E_1, E_2, \ldots$ on the subvariety of $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}^{n-1}$ defined by the polynomials $f_k^{\mathcal{B}_i}(\omega_i, Y_2, \ldots, Y_n)$, $k = 2, \ldots, t$. For each $E_j = \boldsymbol{\rho}_j H_{P_j}$ with $\boldsymbol{\rho}_j = (\rho_{j\,2}, \ldots, \rho_{j\,n})$ put $\boldsymbol{\omega}_j = (\omega_i, \rho_{j\,2}, \ldots, \rho_{j\,n})$ and $A_j = \{(z, p_2, \ldots, p_n) : z \in \mathbb{Z}\,,\ (p_2, \ldots, p_n) \in P_j\}$. Now the cosets $(\boldsymbol{\omega}_j H_{A_j})^{\mathcal{B}_i^{-1}}$, along with the isolated torsion points found in step V1, are the maximal torsion cosets on $\mathcal{V}$.

The described algorithm clearly stops after a finite number of steps and the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 show that the algorithm finds all maximal torsion cosets on $\mathcal{V}$. Furthermore, the constants $c_i(n, d)$ give explicit bounds for the degrees of the polynomials generated at each step.

7 Acknowledgement

The authors are very grateful to Prof. Andrzej Schinzel for important comments and to Dr. Tristram De Piro for helpful discussions.

References

[1] *I. Aliev, A. Schinzel, W. M. Schmidt*, On vectors whose span contains a given linear subspace, Monatsh. Math. **144** (2005), no. 3, 177–191.

[2] *F. Beukers, C. J. Smyth*, Cyclotomic points on curves, Number theory for the millennium, I (Urbana, IL, 2000), 67–85, A K Peters, Natick, MA, 2002.

[3] *E. Bombieri, W. Gubler*, Heights in Diophantine geometry, New Mathematical Monographs, 4. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.

[4] *E. Bombieri, J. Vaaler*, On Siegel's Lemma, Invent. Math. 73 (1983) 11–32, Addendum, ibid. 75 (1984) 377.

[5] *E. Bombieri, U. Zannier*, Algebraic points on subvarieties of $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}^n$, Internat. Math. Res. Notices 1995, no. 7, 333–347.

[6] *R. J. Bradford, J. H. Davenport*, Effective tests for cyclotomic polynomials, Symbolic and Algebraic Computation (Rome, 1988), 244–251, Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., 358, Springer, Berlin, 1989.

[7] *J. W. S. Cassels*, An introduction to the geometry of numbers, Springer Grundlehren **99** (1959).

[8] *J-H. Evertse*, The number of solutions of linear equations in roots of unity, Acta Arith. **89** (1999), no. 1, 45–51.

[9] *M. Filaseta, A. Schinzel*, On testing the divisibility of lacunary polynomials by cyclotomic polynomials, Math. Comp. **73** (2004), no. 246, 957–965.

[10] *P. M. Gruber, C. G. Lekkerkerker*, Geometry of numbers, North–Holland, Amsterdam 1987.

[11] *S. Lang*, Fundamentals of diophantine geometry Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.

[12] *M. Laurent*, Equations diophantiennes exponentielles, Invent. Math. **78** (1984), no. 2, 299–327 .

[13] *J. McKee, C. J. Smyth*, There are Salem numbers of every trace, Bull. London Math. Soc. **37** (2005), no. 1, 25–36.

[14] *M. McQuillan*, Division points on semi-abelian varieties, Invent. Math. **120** (1995), no. 1, 143–159.

[15] *M. Newman*, Integral matrices, Academic Press, New York and London, 1972.

[16] *W. M. Ruppert*, Solving algebraic equations in roots of unity, J. Reine Angew. Math. **435** (1993), 119–156.

[17] *P. Sarnak, S. Adams*, Betti numbers of congruence groups, with an appendix by Ze'ev Rudnick, Israel J. Math. **88** (1994), no. 1-3, 31–72.

[18] *H. P. Schlickewei*, Equations in roots of unity, Acta Arith. **76** (1996), no. 2, 99–108.

[19] *W. M. Schmidt*, Heights of points on subvarieties of $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}^n$, Number theory (Paris, 1993–1994), 157–187, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 235, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1996.

[20] *S. Zhang*, Positive line bundles on arithmetic varieties, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **8** (1995), no. 1, 187–221.

School of Mathematics and Maxwell Institute for Mathematical Sciences, University of Edinburgh, Kings Buildings, Edinburgh EH9 3JZ U.K.
E-mail address: I.Aliev@ed.ac.uk, C.Smyth@ed.ac.uk