

On the usefulness of an index due to Leray for studying the intersections of Lagrangian and symplectic paths

Maurice de Gosson ^{a,b,*}

^a *Universität Wien, Fakultät für Mathematik, NuHAG, Nordbergstrasse 15, AT-1090 Wien, Austria*

^b *Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik, Pf. 7280, DE-53072 Bonn, Germany*

Received 15 June 2008

Available online 3 May 2009

Dedicated to the memory of Jean Leray for his 100th birthday

Abstract

Using the ideas of Keller, Maslov introduced in the mid-1960's an index for Lagrangian loops, whose definition was clarified by Arnold. Leray extended Arnold results by defining an index depending on two paths of Lagrangian planes with transversal endpoints. We show that the combinatorial and topological properties of Leray's index suffice to recover all Lagrangian and symplectic intersection indices commonly used in symplectic geometry and its applications to Hamiltonian and quantum mechanics. As a by-product we obtain a new simple formula for the Hörmander index, and a definition of the Conley–Zehnder index for symplectic paths with arbitrary endpoints. Our definition leads to a formula for the Conley–Zehnder index of a product of two paths.

© 2009 Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

Résumé

Utilisant les idées de Keller, Maslov introduisit au milieu des années 1960 un indice pour les lacets lagrangiens ; Arnold clarifia par la suite la définition de Maslov. Leray étendit les résultats de Arnold en définissant un indice dépendant de deux chemins lagrangiens dont les extrémités sont transversales. Nous montrons que les propriétés combinatoires et topologiques qui caractérisent l'indice de Leray sont suffisantes pour retrouver tous les indices d'intersection lagrangiens et symplectiques communément utilisés en géométrie symplectique, et ses applications à la mécanique hamiltonienne et quantique. Nous obtenons en outre une nouvelle formule simple pour l'indice de Hörmander, ainsi qu'une définition de l'indice de Conley–Zehnder pour les chemins symplectiques sans condition de transversalité. Notre définition permet en outre de démontrer une formule pour l'indice de Conley–Zehnder du produit des deux chemins symplectiques.

© 2009 Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

MSC: 53D35; 37J05; 81S30

Keywords: Symplectic paths; Lagrangian paths; Maslov index; Conley–Zehnder index

* Address for correspondence: Universität Wien, Fakultät für Mathematik, NuHAG, Nordbergstrasse 15, AT-1090 Wien, Austria.
E-mail address: maurice.de.gosson@univie.ac.at.

Entia non sunt multiplicanda praeter necessitatem (William of Ockham)

1. Introduction

In the Preface to his *Lagrangian Analysis and Quantum Mechanics* [22] Jean Leray adds a *Historical note* where he tells us that [... *In Moscow in 1967 I.V. Arnold asked me my thoughts on Maslov's work. The present book is an answer to that question...*]. One of the most original features of Leray's "answer" to Arnol'd's question – and perhaps one of the most forgotten parts of Leray's mathematical work – is the introduction of a function m associating an integer to each pair of Lagrangian paths with same origin and transversal endpoints. This function – which Leray calls "Maslov index" – is uniquely characterized by two properties. The first of these properties is of combinatorial nature: if $\Lambda, \Lambda', \Lambda''$ are three such Lagrangian paths defined on $[0, 1]$, then

$$m(\Lambda, \Lambda') - m(\Lambda, \Lambda'') + m(\Lambda', \Lambda'') = \text{Inert}(\Lambda(1), \Lambda'(1), \Lambda''(1)).$$

(Inert is the index of inertia of a triple of Lagrangian planes), and the second is topological:

$$m(\Lambda, \Lambda') \text{ is locally constant on its domain.}$$

In [13] I proposed an extension of Leray's index to the nontransversal case using the properties of the signature of a triple of Lagrangian planes, due to Wall [38] and Kashiwara (in Lion and Vergne [24]). The paper [13] was preceded by two "Notes aux Comptes Rendus" [11,12], whose aim was to advertise and make totally rigorous the constructions in Lion and Vergne [24]. My constructions were taken up by Cappell, Lee, and Miller in [2] who compared my extension of the Leray index to other indices appearing in the literature (beware: the reference "M. de Gosson" is misspelled "E. Gossen" in this paper). I should add at this point that Dazord [7] had previously proposed an extension of Leray's index, using different methods; however (but neither Dazord nor I were aware of this at that time) Leray himself had constructed an extension of his index, in [23], using symplectic reduction techniques.

The aim of this paper is to propose an unifying approach to the theory of Lagrangian and symplectic intersection indices ("Maslov indices") based on the properties of the Leray index; we will show that the combinatorial and topological properties of that index allow a simple and elegant construction of all major Maslov indices for Lagrangian and symplectic paths available in the literature. In addition our approach leads to a very simple formula expressing the so-called Hörmander index in terms of the signature of a triple of Lagrangian planes, and to a redefinition of the Conley–Zehnder index for symplectic path with arbitrary endpoints; this redefinition allows us to prove a general product formula. In addition we show that the Conley–Zehnder index is simply related to the Maslov index and Morse's concavity index when the endpoint of the path satisfies a certain transversality condition.

We shortly discuss some related results obtained by other authors in the Conclusion to this article.

Notation 1 (General). Let $X = \mathbb{R}^n$; the vector space $Z = X \times X^*$ is endowed with the canonical symplectic form defined by:

$$\omega(z, z') = \langle p, x' \rangle - \langle p', x \rangle,$$

if $z = (x, p)$, $z' = (x', p')$. The symplectic group of (Z, ω) will be denoted by $\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$. The unitary group $U(n)$ is identified with a subgroup of $\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$. We denote by $\text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ the Lagrangian Grassmannian of (Z, ω) . We will write $X = X \times 0$ and $X^* = 0 \times X^*$.

Notation 2 (Cohomological). Let E be a set, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, and $(G, +)$ an Abelian group. A k -cochains on E with values in G is a function $f : E^{k+1} \rightarrow G$. The coboundary ∂f of a k -cochain is the $(k + 1)$ -cochain defined by:

$$\partial f(a_0, \dots, a_{k+1}) = \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} (-1)^j f(a_0, \dots, \hat{a}_j, \dots, a_{k+1}),$$

where the cap $\hat{}$ deletes the term it covers. We have $\partial^2 f = 0$. A k -cochain f is a *coboundary* if there exists a cochain g such that $f = \partial g$; a cochain f is a *cocycle* if $\partial f = 0$.

2. The Leray index

We denote by $C_{\ell_0}(\text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R}))$ the set of all continuous paths $[0, 1] \rightarrow \text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ joining a given base point ℓ_0 to ℓ in $\text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})$. Let $\overset{\ell_0}{\sim}$ be the equivalence relation on $C_{\ell_0}(\text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R}))$ defined by $\Lambda \overset{\ell_0}{\sim} \Lambda'$ if and only if Λ and Λ' are homotopic with fixed endpoints. Let $\pi^{\text{Lag}} : \text{Lag}_{\infty}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ be the universal covering of the Lagrangian Grassmannian; as a set $\text{Lag}_{\infty}(2n, \mathbb{R}) = C_{\ell_0}(\text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})) / \overset{\ell_0}{\sim}$; for $\ell_{\infty} \in \text{Lag}_{\infty}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ we write $\pi^{\text{Lag}}(\ell_{\infty}) = \ell$, and we will say that ℓ_{∞} covers ℓ .

2.1. Leray's index m

Using the intersection theory of Lefschetz chains, Leray constructs in [22, Ch. I, §2.5], a function

$$m : C_{X^*}(\text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})) \times C_{X^*}(\text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z},$$

defined for all pairs (Λ, Λ') with transversal endpoints; this function has the following homotopy property: $m(\Lambda, \Lambda') = m(\Lambda'', \Lambda''')$ if and only if $\Lambda \overset{\ell_0}{\sim} \Lambda''$ and $\Lambda' \overset{\ell_0}{\sim} \Lambda'''$. We can thus view m as a function:

$$m : \{(\ell_{\infty}, \ell'_{\infty}) : \ell \cap \ell' = 0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}.$$

Leray's index is characterized by the two following properties:

$$m(\ell_{\infty}, \ell'_{\infty}) - m(\ell_{\infty}, \ell''_{\infty}) + m(\ell'_{\infty}, \ell''_{\infty}) = \text{Inert}(\ell, \ell', \ell''), \quad (1)$$

$((\ell, \ell', \ell'')$ covering $(\ell_{\infty}, \ell'_{\infty}, \ell''_{\infty}))$, and

$$m \text{ is locally constant on its domain.} \quad (2)$$

The integer $\text{Inert}(\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3)$ is the index of inertia of the Lagrangian triple (ℓ, ℓ', ℓ'') ; it is defined in the following way (Leray [22, Ch. I, §2.5]): the transversality condition,

$$\ell \cap \ell' = \ell' \cap \ell'' = \ell'' \cap \ell = 0,$$

being equivalent to

$$Z = \ell \oplus \ell' = \ell' \oplus \ell'' = \ell'' \oplus \ell,$$

the relation $z + z' + z'' = 0$ ($z \in \ell$, $z' \in \ell'$, $z'' \in \ell''$) defines three quadratic forms $z \mapsto \omega(z', z'')$, $z' \mapsto \omega(z'', z)$, $z'' \mapsto \omega(z, z')$ such that $\omega(z', z'') = \omega(z'', z) = \omega(z, z')$. These quadratic forms have the same index of inertia $\text{Inert}(\ell, \ell', \ell'')$.

The function m (which Leray calls ‘‘Maslov index’’) is very simple to describe explicitly in when $n = 1$. Identifying $\Lambda_{\infty}(1)$ with the set of all pairs $\ell(\theta) = (e^{i\theta}, \theta)$, $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $\pi^{\text{Lag}}(\ell(\theta)) = \ell = e^{i\theta}$, and

$$m(\ell(\theta), \ell(\theta')) = \left[\frac{\theta - \theta'}{2\pi} \right], \quad (3)$$

$[\cdot]$ being the integer part function. In the case $n > 1$ it can be explicitly computed using a formula due to Souriau [36]. Let $W(n, \mathbb{C})$ be the submanifold of $U(n, \mathbb{C})$ consisting of symmetric matrices:

$$W(n, \mathbb{C}) = \{u \in U(n, \mathbb{C}) : u = u^T\}$$

($u^T = \bar{u}^*$ the transpose of u). The mapping,

$$\text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \ni \ell = uX^* \mapsto uu^T \in W(n, \mathbb{C}),$$

is a homeomorphism identifying $\text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ with $W(n, \mathbb{C})$ and $\text{Lag}_{\infty}(2n, \mathbb{R})$, with

$$W_{\infty}(n, \mathbb{C}) = \{(w, \theta) : w \in W(n, \mathbb{C}), \det w = e^{i\theta}\}.$$

Souriau's formula says that

$$m(\ell_\infty, \ell'_\infty) = \frac{1}{2\pi} [\theta - \theta' + i \operatorname{Tr} \operatorname{Log}(-w(w'^{-1}))] + \frac{n}{2}, \tag{4}$$

and it is easily verified that this formula coincides with (3) when $n = 1$. The logarithm in (4) is well defined because $\ell \cap \ell' = 0$ if and only if $+1$ is not an eigenvalue of $w(w')^{-1}$ (see Leray [22, Ch. I, §2.2], or Souriau [36]). The function m possesses in addition following property: let γ and γ' be two elements of $\pi_1[\operatorname{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})]$. We have

$$m(\gamma \ell_\infty, \gamma' \ell'_\infty) = m(\ell_\infty, \ell'_\infty) + m(\gamma) - m(\gamma'), \tag{5}$$

where $m(\gamma)$ is the Maslov index of $\gamma \in \pi_1[\operatorname{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})] \cong (\mathbb{Z}, +)$. Recall that the Maslov index for loops is defined as follows: the composition of the natural isomorphism $\pi_1[\operatorname{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})] \cong \pi_1[W(n, \mathbb{C})]$ and of the morphism,

$$\pi_1[W(n, \mathbb{C})] \ni [\gamma] \mapsto \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_\gamma \frac{d(\det w)}{\det w} \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{6}$$

is an isomorphism

$$m : \pi_1[\operatorname{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})] \ni [\gamma] \xrightarrow{\cong} m(\gamma) \in (\mathbb{Z}, +). \tag{7}$$

2.2. The index μ and the Wall–Kashiwara signature

We now define an index μ by the formula:

$$\mu(\ell_\infty, \ell'_\infty) = 2m(\ell_\infty, \ell'_\infty) - n, \tag{8}$$

when $n = 1$ we have, in view of (3),

$$\mu(\ell(\theta), \ell(\theta')) = 2 \left[\frac{\theta - \theta'}{2\pi} \right]_{\text{ant}},$$

where $[k]_{\text{ant}} = \frac{1}{2}([k] - [-k])$ is the antisymmetric part of the integer part function $[\cdot]$.

Formula (1) becomes

$$\mu(\ell_\infty, \ell'_\infty) - \mu(\ell_\infty, \ell''_\infty) + \mu(\ell'_\infty, \ell''_\infty) = \tau(\ell, \ell', \ell''), \tag{9}$$

where

$$\tau(\ell, \ell', \ell'') = 2 \operatorname{Inert}(\ell, \ell', \ell'') - n. \tag{10}$$

One easily proves (de Gosson [13]) that $\tau(\ell, \ell', \ell'') = \tau^+ - \tau^-$ where τ^+ (resp. τ^-) is the number of > 0 (resp. < 0) eigenvalues of the quadratic form:

$$Q(z, z', z'') = \omega(z, z') - \omega(z, z'') + \omega(z', z''); \tag{11}$$

this identifies $\tau(\ell, \ell', \ell'')$ with the Wall–Kashiwara index [2,24,38]. For the sake of brevity, we will call τ the signature (of a triple of Lagrangian planes).

Remark 3. The signature τ is sometime called “Maslov index” in the literature. This is however somewhat misleading: the Maslov index is defined on loops (or paths) of Lagrangian planes, while τ depends on (triples of) points in the Lagrangian Grassmannian.

The signature τ is a totally antisymmetric 2-cocycle, that is $\varepsilon^* \tau = (-1)^{\operatorname{sgn}(\varepsilon)} \tau$ (ε any permutation of (ℓ, ℓ', ℓ'')) and $\partial \tau = 0$; it has the following properties (see [2,24,17]);

- τ is a linear symplectic invariant:

$$\tau(s\ell, s\ell', s\ell'') = \tau(\ell, \ell', \ell''), \tag{12}$$

for all $s \in \operatorname{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$;

- Let M be a symmetric automorphism of Z and $\ell_M = \{(x, Mx) : x \in X\}$. We have $\ell_M \in \text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})$, and

$$\tau(X^*, \ell_M, X) = \text{sign } M, \quad (13)$$

where $\text{sign } M$ is the difference between the number of > 0 and < 0 eigenvalues of M ;

- Let τ' and τ'' the signatures on $\text{Lag}(2n', \mathbb{R})$ and $\text{Lag}(2n'', \mathbb{R})$. Then $\tau = \tau' \oplus \tau''$ is the signature on $\text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})$, $n = n' + n''$, and

$$\tau(\ell'_1 \oplus \ell''_1, \ell'_2 \oplus \ell''_2, \ell'_3 \oplus \ell''_3) = \tau'(\ell'_1, \ell'_2, \ell'_3) + \tau''(\ell''_1, \ell''_2, \ell''_3). \quad (14)$$

Now, let us come to the crucial point: $\tau(\ell, \ell', \ell'')$ is defined for all triples (ℓ, ℓ', ℓ'') ; we may thus define $\mu(\ell_\infty, \ell'_\infty)$ for an arbitrary pair $(\ell_\infty, \ell'_\infty)$ by choosing $\ell''_\infty \in \text{Lag}_\infty(2n, \mathbb{R})$ such that $\ell'' \cap \ell = \ell'' \cap \ell' = 0$ and setting

$$\mu(\ell_\infty, \ell'_\infty) = \mu(\ell_\infty, \ell''_\infty) - \mu(\ell'_\infty, \ell''_\infty) + \tau(\ell, \ell', \ell''). \quad (15)$$

In fact, using the cocycle property $\partial\tau = 0$ one shows (de Gosson [13]) that the right-hand side of (15) does not depend on the choice of ℓ''_∞ , justifying the notation $\mu(\ell_\infty, \ell'_\infty)$ in the left-hand side. We will call μ the *Leray index* on $\text{Lag}_\infty(2n, \mathbb{R})$.

Following theorem summarizes the main properties of the Leray index:

Theorem 4.

- (i) The Leray index is the only function

$$\mu : \text{Lag}_\infty(2n, \mathbb{R}) \times \text{Lag}_\infty(2n, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

having the two following properties:

$$\mu(\ell_\infty, \ell'_\infty) - \mu(\ell_\infty, \ell''_\infty) + \mu(\ell'_\infty, \ell''_\infty) = \tau(\ell, \ell', \ell''), \quad (16a)$$

$$\mu \text{ is locally constant on } \{(\ell_\infty, \ell'_\infty) : \ell \cap \ell' = 0\}. \quad (16b)$$

- (ii) In addition μ is locally constant on the sets

$$\text{Lag}_\infty^2(2n; k) = \{(\ell_\infty, \ell'_\infty) : \dim(\ell \cap \ell') = k\},$$

for $1 \leq k \leq n$.

- (iii) We have:

$$\mu(\gamma\ell_\infty, \gamma'\ell'_\infty) = \mu(\ell_\infty, \ell'_\infty) + 2(m(\gamma) - m(\gamma')), \quad (17)$$

for all $\gamma, \gamma' \in \pi_1[\text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})]$. [In particular the range of μ is equal to \mathbb{Z} .]

Proof. The statement (i) was proven in de Gosson [13]. (The uniqueness statement is obvious: if δ is the difference between two functions satisfying conditions (16), then

$$\delta(\ell_\infty, \ell'_\infty) = \delta(\ell_\infty, \ell''_\infty) - \delta(\ell'_\infty, \ell''_\infty),$$

for all ℓ''_∞ hence δ is locally constant on $\text{Lag}_\infty(2n, \mathbb{R}) \times \text{Lag}_\infty(2n, \mathbb{R})$; since $\text{Lag}_\infty(2n, \mathbb{R})$ is connected δ is in fact constant; taking $\ell_\infty = \ell'_\infty$ that constant is 0.) (ii) The kernel of the quadratic form Q is isomorphic to $(\ell \cap \ell') \times (\ell' \cap \ell'') \times (\ell'' \cap \ell)$ [24, Proposition 1.9.3] hence τ is locally constant on each set $\text{Lag}_\infty^2(n; k) \times \text{Lag}_\infty^2(n; k') \times \text{Lag}_\infty^2(n; k'')$. Let now $(\ell_\infty, \ell'_\infty, \ell''_\infty)$ move continuously in such a way that $\dim(\ell \cap \ell') = k$ and $\ell \cap \ell' = \ell'' \cap \ell = 0$. Then $\mu(\ell_\infty, \ell'_\infty)$ and $\mu(\ell'_\infty, \ell''_\infty)$ remain constant in view of property (2) of m and $\tau(\ell, \ell', \ell'')$ also remains constant. The claim follows in view of (15). (iii) Formula (17) immediately follows from (5), the definition of μ , and the fact that $\pi^{\text{Lag}}(\gamma\ell_\infty) = \ell$. \square

Let $\text{Sp}_\infty(2n, \mathbb{R})$ be the universal covering group of $\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$. As a set, $\text{Sp}_\infty(2n, \mathbb{R})$ consists of the homotopy classes s_∞ of paths in $\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ joining the identity I to s . The projection $\pi^{\text{Sp}} : \text{Sp}_\infty(2n, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ associates to s_∞ its endpoint s . Let $\text{St}_{X^*}(n)$ be the isotropy subgroup of X^* in $\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$. The fibration

$$\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}) / \mathrm{St}_{X^*}(n) = \mathrm{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R}), \tag{18}$$

defines an isomorphism,

$$\mathbb{Z} \cong \pi_1[\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})] \rightarrow \pi_1[\mathrm{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})] \cong \mathbb{Z},$$

which is multiplication by 2 on \mathbb{Z} . It follows (Leray [22, Theorem 3,3°, p. 36]) that the action of $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ on $\mathrm{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ can be lifted to a transitive action of the universal covering $\mathrm{Sp}_\infty(2n, \mathbb{R})$ on the Maslov bundle $\mathrm{Lag}_\infty(2n, \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$(\alpha s_\infty)\ell_\infty = \beta^2(s_\infty\ell_\infty) = s_\infty(\beta^2\ell_\infty), \tag{19}$$

for all $(s_\infty, \ell_\infty) \in \mathrm{Sp}_\infty(2n, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathrm{Lag}_\infty(2n, \mathbb{R})$; α (resp. β) is the generator of $\pi_1[\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})]$ (resp. $\pi_1[\mathrm{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})]$) whose image in \mathbb{Z} is $+1$; note that the Maslov index of β is $m(\beta) = 1$.

The Leray index has the following property of symplectic invariance: for all $s_\infty, \ell_\infty, \ell'_\infty$ we have:

$$\mu(s_\infty\ell_\infty, s_\infty\ell'_\infty) = \mu(\ell_\infty, \ell'_\infty). \tag{20}$$

Set in fact, for fixed s_∞ , $\mu'(\ell_\infty, \ell'_\infty) = \mu(s_\infty\ell_\infty, s_\infty\ell'_\infty)$. The index satisfies μ' satisfies condition (16a) because of the symplectic invariance (12) of the signature, it also satisfies condition (16b) because $s\ell \cap s\ell' = 0$ is equivalent to $\ell \cap \ell' = 0$, hence $\mu' = \mu$ in view of the uniqueness statement in Theorem 4.

Let us finally mention the following dimensional additivity property of the Leray index: Let μ' and μ'' be the indices on $\mathrm{Lag}_\infty(n')$ and $\mathrm{Lag}_\infty(n'')$. Identifying $\mathrm{Lag}_\infty(n') \oplus \mathrm{Lag}_\infty(n'')$ with a submanifold of $\mathrm{Lag}_\infty(2n, \mathbb{R})$, $n = n' + n''$, we have $\mu = \mu' \oplus \mu''$, that is:

$$\mu(\ell'_{1,\infty} \oplus \ell''_{1,\infty}, \ell'_{2,\infty} \oplus \ell''_{2,\infty}) = \mu'(\ell'_{1,\infty}, \ell'_{2,\infty}) + \mu''(\ell''_{1,\infty}, \ell''_{2,\infty}). \tag{21}$$

This property readily follows from the dimensional additivity property (14) of the signature τ and definitions (15) and (8) of μ . (That Leray’s original index m is additive immediately follows from Souriau’s formula (4), identifying $W(n', \mathbb{C}) \oplus W(n'', \mathbb{C})$ with a submanifold of $W(n, \mathbb{C})$ in the obvious way.)

3. Maslov indices for Lagrangian paths

We give a general axiomatic definition of Maslov indices of Lagrangian paths (also called “Lagrangian intersection indices”).

3.1. Axiomatic definition

For $0 \leq k \leq n$ the set,

$$\mathrm{Lag}_\ell(2n; k) = \{ \ell' \in \mathrm{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R}) : \dim(\ell \cap \ell') = k \},$$

is the *stratum* of $\mathrm{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ of order k with respect to ℓ . The $\mathrm{Lag}_\ell(n; k)$ are connected submanifolds of $\mathrm{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})$, of codimension $k(k + 1)/2$ (see for instance Trèves [37]).

Let $[a, b]$ be an arbitrary compact interval and $\mathcal{C}(\mathrm{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R}))$ the set of all continuous mappings $\Lambda : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathrm{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})$. We will write Λ_{ab} when we want to emphasize that Λ is defined on $[a, b]$, and set $\Lambda(a) = \ell_a$, $\Lambda(b) = \ell_b$

A “Maslov index” on $\mathrm{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ is a mapping

$$\mathrm{Mas} : \mathcal{C}(\mathrm{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})) \times \mathrm{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \ni (\Lambda, \ell) \mapsto \mathrm{Mas}(\Lambda; \ell) \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$$

having the following four properties:

- (L₁) **Homotopy invariance:** If the paths Λ and Λ' in $\mathrm{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ have same endpoints, then $\mathrm{Mas}(\Lambda; \ell) = \mathrm{Mas}(\Lambda'; \ell)$ if and only if Λ and Λ' are homotopic with fixed endpoints;
- (L₂) **Additivity:** If Λ_{ab} and Λ'_{bc} are two consecutive paths, the concatenation $\Lambda''_{ac} = \Lambda_{ab} * \Lambda'_{bc}$ satisfies,

$$\mathrm{Mas}(\Lambda''_{ac}, \ell) = \mathrm{Mas}(\Lambda_{ab}, \ell) + \mathrm{Mas}(\Lambda'_{bc}, \ell),$$

for all $\ell \in \mathrm{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})$;

- (L3) **Zero in strata:** If $\Lambda(t) \in \text{Lag}_\ell(n; k)$ for all t , then $\text{Mas}(\Lambda, \ell) = 0$;
- (L4) **Restriction to loops:** If Λ_{aa} is a loop in $\text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})$, then $\text{Mas}(\Lambda_{aa}, \ell) = m(\Lambda_{aa})$ (the Maslov index (7) of Λ_{aa}).

The two following properties are an immediate consequence of the axioms above:

- (L5) **Antisymmetry:** $\text{Mas}(\Lambda_{ba}^o, \ell) = -\text{Mas}(\Lambda_{ab}, \ell)$ where Λ_{ba}^o is the inverse path of Λ_{ab} : $\Lambda_{ba}^o(t) = \Lambda_{ab}(a + b - t)$ for $t \in [a, b]$;

[Follows from (L2) and (L4) noting that the Maslov index of a contractible loop is 0];

- (L6) **Stratum homotopy:** if there exists a continuous mapping $h : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ such that $h(t, 0) = \Lambda(t)$, $h(t, 1) = \Lambda'(t)$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1$ and two integers k_0, k_1 ($0 \leq k_0, k_1 \leq n$) such that $h(0, s) \in \text{Lag}_\ell(2n; k_0)$ and $h(1, s) \in \text{Lag}_\ell(2n; k_1)$ for $0 \leq s \leq 1$, then $\text{Mas}(\Lambda; \ell) = \text{Mas}(\Lambda'; \ell)$.

[Define paths Γ_0 and Γ_1 joining $\Lambda'(0)$ to $\Lambda(0)$ and $\Lambda(1)$ to $\Lambda'(1)$, respectively, by $\Gamma_0(s) = h(0, 1 - s)$ and $\Gamma_1(s) = h(1, s)$ ($0 \leq s \leq 1$). Then $\Lambda * \Gamma_1 * \Lambda'^o * \Gamma_0$ is homotopic to a point, and hence, in view of (L2) and (L4):

$$\text{Mas}(\Lambda, \ell) + \text{Mas}(\Gamma_1, \ell) + \text{Mas}(\Lambda'^o, \ell) + \text{Mas}(\Gamma_0, \ell) = 0.$$

But, in view of (L3) we have $\text{Mas}(\Gamma_1, \ell) = \text{Mas}(\Gamma_0, \ell) = 0$, hence $\text{Mas}(\Lambda, \ell) + \text{Mas}(\Lambda'^o, \ell) = 0$ so that $\text{Mas}(\Lambda, \ell) = \text{Mas}(\Lambda', \ell)$ using (L3).]

3.2. Existence and uniqueness up to a coboundary

Let us state and prove the main result of this section:

Theorem 5.

- (i) For $\Lambda_{ab} \in \mathcal{C}(\text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R}))$ set $\Lambda(a) = \ell_a$ and $\Lambda(b) = \ell_b$. Let $\ell_{a,\infty} \in \text{Lag}_\infty(2n, \mathbb{R})$ be the homotopy class of an arbitrary path $\Lambda_{(a)}$ joining the base point ℓ_0 of $\text{Lag}_\infty(2n, \mathbb{R})$ to ℓ_a and $\ell_{b,\infty} \in \text{Lag}_\infty(2n, \mathbb{R})$ be the homotopy class of the concatenation $\Lambda_{(a)} * \Lambda_{ab}$ (thus $\pi^{\text{Lag}}(\ell_{a,\infty}) = \ell_a$ and $\pi^{\text{Lag}}(\ell_{b,\infty}) = \ell_b$). Let $\ell_\infty \in \text{Lag}_\infty(2n, \mathbb{R})$, $\pi^{\text{Lag}}(\ell_\infty) = \ell$. The formula,

$$\text{Mas}_{\text{Leray}}(\Lambda_{ab}; \ell) = \frac{1}{2}(\mu(\ell_{b,\infty}, \ell_\infty) - \mu(\ell_{a,\infty}, \ell_\infty)), \tag{22}$$

defines a Maslov index with respect to ℓ .

- (ii) $\text{Mas}_{\text{Leray}}$ has the following property: let $\Lambda' \in \mathcal{C}(\text{Lag}(2n', \mathbb{R}))$ and $\Lambda'' \in \mathcal{C}(\text{Lag}(2n'', \mathbb{R}))$ and identify $\Lambda' \oplus \Lambda''$ with an element of $\mathcal{C}(\text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R}))$ with $n = n' + n''$. Then

$$\text{Mas}_{\text{Leray}}(\Lambda' \oplus \Lambda''; \ell' \oplus \ell'') = \text{Mas}'_{\text{Leray}}(\Lambda'; \ell') + \text{Mas}''_{\text{Leray}}(\Lambda''; \ell''). \tag{23}$$

- (iii) Let Mas be an arbitrary Maslov index on $\text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})$; there exists a mapping $f : \{0, 1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ (only depending on Mas) such that

$$\text{Mas}(\Lambda; \ell) = \text{Mas}_{\text{Leray}}(\Lambda; \ell) + f(\dim(\ell_b \cap \ell)) - f(\dim(\ell_a \cap \ell)). \tag{24}$$

Proof. (i) We first note that the left-hand side of (22) does not depend on the choice of $\ell_{a,\infty}$ and ℓ_∞ : if $\ell'_{a,\infty}$ and ℓ'_∞ correspond to other choices of paths, then there exist integers γ and γ' in $\pi_1[\text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})]$ such that $\ell'_{a,\infty} = \gamma \ell_{a,\infty}$ and $\ell'_\infty = \gamma' \ell_\infty$. Of course we also have $\ell'_{b,\infty} = \gamma' \ell_{b,\infty}$ hence, using property (5) of the Leray index,

$$\mu(\ell_{b,\infty}, \ell_\infty) - \mu(\ell_{a,\infty}, \ell_\infty) = \mu(\ell'_{b,\infty}, \ell'_\infty) - \mu(\ell'_{a,\infty}, \ell'_\infty).$$

Let us now show that $\text{Mas}_{\text{Leray}}$ satisfies the axioms (L1)–(L4) defining a Maslov index. If Λ_{ab} and Λ'_{ab} are homotopic with fixed end points then $\ell'_{b,\infty} = \ell_{b,\infty}$ where $\ell'_{b,\infty}$ is defined as $\ell_{b,\infty}$, replacing Λ_{ab} by Λ'_{ab} , hence

$$\text{Mas}_{\text{Leray}}(\Lambda_{ab}; \ell) = \text{Mas}_{\text{Leray}}(\Lambda'_{ab}; \ell).$$

Suppose conversely that two paths Λ_{ab} and Λ'_{ab} have same endpoints, and that $\text{Mas}_{\text{Leray}}(\Lambda_{ab}; \ell) = \text{Mas}_{\text{Leray}}(\Lambda'_{ab}; \ell)$. The concatenations $\Lambda_{(a)} * \Lambda_{ab}$ and $\Lambda_{(a)} * \Lambda'_{ab}$ have the same endpoints and we can therefore find $\gamma \in \pi_1[\text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})]$ such that $\ell_{b,\infty} = \gamma \ell'_{b,\infty}$ where $\ell_{b,\infty}$ and $\ell'_{b,\infty}$ are the homotopy classes of $\Lambda_{(a)} * \Lambda_{ab}$ and $\Lambda_{(a)} * \Lambda'_{ab}$. In view of formula (17) in Proposition 4 we have $\mu(\ell'_{b,\infty}, \ell_\infty) = \mu(\ell_{b,\infty}, \ell_\infty) + 2m(\gamma)$; since $\text{Mas}_{\text{Leray}}(\Lambda_{ab}; \ell) = \text{Mas}_{\text{Leray}}(\Lambda'_{ab}; \ell)$ we must thus have $m(\gamma) = 0$ hence γ is homotopic to a point; it follows that $\ell_{b,\infty} = \ell'_{b,\infty}$ so that $\Lambda_{(a)} * \Lambda_{ab}$ and $\Lambda_{(a)} * \Lambda'_{ab}$ are homotopic, and Λ_{ab} and Λ'_{ab} are therefore also homotopic. We have proven that (L₁) holds. That property (L₂) is satisfied by $\text{Mas}_{\text{Leray}}$ is obvious. Assume now that $\Lambda(t) \cap \ell = 0$ for $a \leq t \leq b$. Then $\mu(\ell_{b,\infty}, \ell_\infty) = \mu(\ell_{a,\infty}, \ell_\infty)$ in view of the topological property (16b) of μ , hence property (L₃). That (L₄) is satisfied by $\text{Mas}_{\text{Leray}}$ immediately follows from formula (17). (ii) Formula (23) immediately follows from formula (22) using the additivity property (21) of the Leray index μ . (iii) In view of (L₁) and (L₄) the difference $\text{Mas}(\Lambda; \ell) - \text{Mas}_{\text{Leray}}(\Lambda; \ell)$ only depends on the triple (ℓ, ℓ_a, ℓ_b) . Let us denote this difference by $\delta_\ell(\ell_a, \ell_b)$. We claim that δ_ℓ is an antisymmetric cocycle: $\delta_\ell(\ell_a, \ell_b) = -\delta_\ell(\ell_b, \ell_a)$ and $\partial\delta_\ell = 0$. The antisymmetry is clear by (L₅). To prove that $\partial\delta_\ell = 0$, let Λ_{ab} , Λ_{bc} , and Λ_{ca} be three paths joining ℓ_a to ℓ_b , ℓ_b to ℓ_c , and ℓ_c to ℓ_a , respectively. In view of (L₁) and (L₄) we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Mas}(\Lambda_{ab}; \ell) - \text{Mas}(\Lambda_{ac}; \ell) + \text{Mas}(\Lambda_{bc}; \ell) &= m(\gamma), \\ \text{Mas}_{\text{Leray}}(\Lambda_{ab}; \ell) - \text{Mas}_{\text{Leray}}(\Lambda_{ac}; \ell) + \text{Mas}_{\text{Leray}}(\Lambda_{bc}; \ell) &= m(\gamma), \end{aligned}$$

where γ is the loop $\Lambda_{ab} * \Lambda_{bc} * \Lambda_{ca}$ and $m(\gamma)$ its Maslov index. This proves that $\partial\delta_\ell = 0$. It follows that

$$\text{Mas}(\Lambda; \ell) - \text{Mas}_{\text{Leray}}(\Lambda; \ell) = \delta_\ell(\ell_a, \ell) - \delta_\ell(\ell_b, \ell).$$

In view of axiom (L₃) the function $\ell_a \mapsto \delta_\ell(\ell_a, \ell)$ is locally constant on each stratum; formula (24) follows. \square

The following result describes the effect of a change of Lagrangian plane ℓ in the Maslov index; it will be useful for the study of the Hörmander index in Section 3.3.2:

Proposition 6. For all ℓ, ℓ' in $\text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ we have:

$$\text{Mas}(\Lambda_{ab}; \ell) - \text{Mas}(\Lambda_{ab}; \ell') = \tau(\ell_b, \ell, \ell') - \tau(\ell_a, \ell, \ell'). \tag{25}$$

Proof. In view of formulas (22) and (24) in Theorem 5 we have:

$$\text{Mas}(\Lambda_{ab}; \ell) - \text{Mas}(\Lambda_{ab}; \ell') \mu(\ell_{b,\infty}, \ell_\infty) - \mu(\ell_{b,\infty}, \ell'_\infty) - (\mu(\ell_{a,\infty}, \ell_\infty) - \mu(\ell_{a,\infty}, \ell'_\infty));$$

in view of property (16a) of μ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\ell_{b,\infty}, \ell_\infty) - \mu(\ell_{b,\infty}, \ell'_\infty) &= -\mu(\ell_\infty, \ell'_\infty) + \tau(\ell_b, \ell, \ell'), \\ \mu(\ell_{a,\infty}, \ell_\infty) - \mu(\ell_{a,\infty}, \ell'_\infty) &= -\mu(\ell_\infty, \ell'_\infty) + \tau(\ell_a, \ell, \ell'), \end{aligned}$$

hence (25). \square

Corollary 7. Let Λ_{ab} , Λ_{bc} , and Λ_{ca} be paths in $\text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ joining ℓ_a to ℓ_b , ℓ_b to ℓ_c , and ℓ_c to ℓ_a , respectively. The following “triangle equality”:

$$\text{Mas}(\Lambda_{ab}; \ell_c) + \text{Mas}(\Lambda_{bc}; \ell_a) + \text{Mas}(\Lambda_{ca}; \ell_b) = \tau(\ell_a, \ell_b, \ell_c) \tag{26}$$

holds for every Maslov index Mas on $\text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})$.

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of (24) and property (9) of μ . \square

Remark 8. Formula (26) can be used to define a signature in infinitely dimensional symplectic spaces, as soon as a Maslov index (with adequate properties) is known.

3.3. The Robbin–Salamon and Hörmander indices

We apply the results of last subsection to discuss two famous indices appearing in the mathematical literature.

3.3.1. The Robbin–Salamon index

In [34] Robbin and Salamon have constructed, using differentiability properties of Lagrangian paths, a mapping $\text{Mas}_{\text{RS}} : \mathcal{C}(\text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})) \times \ell \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ which they call “Maslov index”. In addition to (L₁)–(L₄) that index satisfies the following property:

(L₇) Spectral flow formula: Let the path $\Lambda_M : [a, b] \rightarrow \text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ be defined by $\Lambda_M(t) = \{(x, M(t)x) : x \in X\}$ where $M(t)$ is a symmetric linear automorphism of Z depending continuously on $t \in [a, b]$. Then

$$\text{Mas}_{\text{RS}}(\Lambda_M, X) = \frac{1}{2}(\text{sign } M(b) - \text{sign } M(a)). \quad (27)$$

This condition identifies Mas_{RS} with $\text{Mas}_{\text{Leray}}$:

Proposition 9. $\text{Mas}_{\text{Leray}}$ is the only Maslov index on $\text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ satisfying (L₇); hence $\text{Mas}_{\text{RS}} = \text{Mas}_{\text{Leray}}$.

Proof. (See de Gosson [14] for an alternative proof.) In view of formula (24) in Theorem 5 there exists f such that

$$\text{Mas}_{\text{RS}}(\Lambda_M; \ell) = \text{Mas}_{\text{Leray}}(\Lambda_M; \ell) + f(\dim(\ell_b \cap \ell)) - f(\dim(\ell_a \cap \ell)).$$

Set $\Lambda_M(a) = \ell_a$, $\Lambda_M(b) = \ell_b$. Since $\Lambda_M(t) \cap X^* = 0$ for $a \leq t \leq b$ we have $\mu(\ell_b, \infty, X_\infty^*) = \mu(\ell_a, \infty, X_\infty^*)$ in view of property (16b) of μ , and hence $\text{Mas}(\Lambda_M; X^*) = 0$ in view of (L₆) for every Maslov index Mas . Choosing in particular $\text{Mas} = \text{Mas}_{\text{Leray}}$ we have, in view of property (16a) of μ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\ell_a, \infty, X_\infty) &= \mu(\ell_a, \infty, X_\infty^*) - \mu(X_\infty, X_\infty^*) + \tau(\ell_a, X, X^*), \\ \mu(\ell_b, \infty, X_\infty) &= \mu(\ell_b, \infty, X_\infty^*) - \mu(X_\infty, X_\infty^*) + \tau(\ell_b, X, X^*), \end{aligned}$$

hence, by subtraction,

$$\text{Mas}_{\text{Leray}}(\Lambda_M, X) = \frac{1}{2}(\tau(\ell_b, X, X^*) - \tau(\ell_a, X, X^*)) = \frac{1}{2}(\text{sign } M(b) - \text{sign } M(a)),$$

where the second equality follows from the antisymmetry of τ and formula (13); $\text{Mas}_{\text{Leray}}$ thus satisfies (L₇), as claimed. Assume that Mas is another Maslov index satisfying (L₇). Then $\Delta = \text{Mas} - \text{Mas}_{\text{Leray}}$ satisfies

$$\Delta(\Lambda_M, X) = f(\dim(\ell_b \cap X)) - f(\dim(\ell_a \cap X)) = 0, \quad (28)$$

for some function $f : \{0, 1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ only depending on Mas . Since $\dim(\Lambda_M(t) \cap X) = n - \text{rank } M(t)$ can take any prescribed value in $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ by choosing adequately $M(t)$ it follows that $\dim(\ell_a \cap X)$ and $\dim(\ell_b \cap X)$ can take arbitrary values in $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ hence we must have $f = 0$. \square

3.3.2. The Hörmander index

In his study of pseudo-differential operators, Hörmander introduces in [21] a mapping:

$$\text{Hor} : \text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})^4 \ni (\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3, \ell_4) \rightarrow \text{Hor}(\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3, \ell_4) \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$$

(this index is also discussed in Duistermaat [8]). Robbin and Salamon [34] show that the Hörmander index is related to their index Mas_{RS} by the formula:

$$\text{Hor}(\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3, \ell_4) = \text{Mas}_{\text{RS}}(\Lambda_{34}, \ell_2) - \text{Mas}_{\text{RS}}(\Lambda_{34}, \ell_1), \quad (29)$$

where Λ_{34} is an arbitrary path in $\text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ joining ℓ_3 to ℓ_4 . In particular Hor is a symplectic invariant:

$$\text{Hor}(s\ell_1, s\ell_2, s\ell_3, s\ell_4) = \text{Hor}(\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3, \ell_4),$$

for every $s \in \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$.

Proposition 10. *The Hörmander index Hor is given by:*

$$\text{Hor}(\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3, \ell_4) = \frac{1}{2}(\tau(\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3) - \tau(\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_4)); \tag{30}$$

in particular it does not depend on the choice of the path Λ_{34} .

Proof. In view of formula (25) we can rewrite (29) as

$$\text{Hor}(\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3, \ell_4) = \frac{1}{2}(\tau(\ell_4, \ell_2, \ell_1) - \tau(\ell_3, \ell_2, \ell_1)),$$

which is (30) in view of the antisymmetry of the signature τ . \square

Remark 11. Formula (30) generalizes formula (3) of Theorem 3.5 in Robbin and Salamon [34] to the nontransversal case: it makes sense for all $\ell_j, j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$.

4. Symplectic paths

The intersection theory for symplectic paths is very similar to that developed above for Lagrangian paths.

4.1. The Leray indices μ_ℓ on $\text{Sp}_\infty(2n, \mathbb{R})$

We denote by $\mathcal{C}_I(\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}))$ the set of all continuous paths $[0, 1] \rightarrow \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ starting from the identity I in $\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$. We will write $\Sigma \sim \Sigma'$ when $\Sigma, \Sigma' \in \mathcal{C}_I(\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}))$ are homotopic with fixed endpoint. Denoting by $\pi^{\text{Sp}} : \text{Sp}_\infty(2n, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ the universal covering of $\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ we have the identification $\text{Sp}_\infty(2n, \mathbb{R}) = \mathcal{C}_I(\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})) / \sim$. If $s = \pi^{\text{Sp}}(s_\infty), s_\infty \in \text{Sp}_\infty(2n, \mathbb{R})$, we will say that s_∞ covers s .

For $(\Sigma, \ell) \in \mathcal{C}_I(\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})) \times \text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ we define:

$$\mu_\ell(\Sigma, \ell) = \mu(\Sigma \Lambda, \Lambda), \tag{31}$$

where Λ is an arbitrary element of $\mathcal{C}_{\ell_0}(\text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R}))$ joining the base point ℓ_0 to ℓ . Equivalently, μ_ℓ can be viewed as the mapping $\text{Sp}_\infty(2n, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ defined, for $(s_\infty, \ell) \in \text{Sp}_\infty(2n, \mathbb{R}) \times \text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})$, by:

$$\mu_\ell(s_\infty) = \mu(s_\infty \ell_\infty, \ell_\infty), \tag{32}$$

where ℓ_∞ covers ℓ . The notation μ_ℓ is motivated by following observations: assume that $\ell'_\infty \in (\pi^{\text{Lag}})^{-1}(\ell)$, then there exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\ell'_\infty = \beta^k \ell_\infty$ and hence, taking (19) and formula (17) in Proposition 4(iii) into account, $\mu(s_\infty \ell'_\infty, \ell'_\infty) = \mu(s_\infty \ell_\infty, \ell_\infty)$. We will call μ_ℓ the *Leray index on $\text{Sp}_\infty(2n, \mathbb{R})$ relatively to ℓ* . Setting $\tau_\ell(s, s') = \tau(\ell, s\ell, ss'\ell)$ the index μ_ℓ is the *only* mapping $\text{Sp}_\infty(2n, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying the two following properties:

$$\mu_\ell(s_\infty s'_\infty) = \mu_\ell(s_\infty) + \mu_\ell(s'_\infty) + \tau_\ell(s, s'), \tag{33a}$$

$$\mu_\ell \text{ is locally constant on } \{s_\infty : s\ell \cap \ell = 0\} \tag{33b}$$

(these properties immediately follow from the properties (16a), (16b) of μ ; for the uniqueness see de Gosson [13]).

Assume that s and s' are such that

$$sX^* \cap X^* = s'X^* \cap X^* = 0, \tag{34}$$

and identify s and s' with their matrices,

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} A'' & B'' \\ C'' & D'' \end{pmatrix},$$

in the canonical symplectic basis of $(X \oplus X^*, \sigma)$ this condition is equivalent to $\det B \neq 0$ and $\det B' \neq 0$. We have shown in [15] (also see de Gosson [17, p. 216]) that

$$\tau_{X^*}(s, s') = \text{sign}(B^{-1}A + D'(B')^{-1});$$

note that $B^{-1}A$ and $D'(B')^{-1}$ are symmetric because s and s' are symmetric. Performing explicitly the matrix multiplication ss' one sees that $B^{-1}A + D'(B')^{-1} = B^{-1}B''(B')^{-1}$ hence the formula above can be written:

$$\tau_{X^*}(s, s') = \text{sign}(B^{-1}B''(B')^{-1}). \tag{35}$$

Remark 12. In [34] Robbin and Salamon introduce a quadratic form they denote by $Q(s, s')$, and call it ‘‘composition form’’. In [15] we proved, using formula (13) that if condition (34) holds then $Q(s, s') = \tau_{X^*}(s, s')$; notice that $\tau_{X^*}(s, s')$ is however defined for arbitrary s, s' in $\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$, while Q is not.

4.2. Symplectic Maslov indices

The Maslov index $m_{\text{Sp}}(\Sigma)$ of a continuous loop Σ in $\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ is defined as follows: set $\Sigma(t) = s_t$; then $u_t = (s_t^T s_t)^{-1/2} s_t$ is the orthogonal part in the polar decomposition of s_t ; $u_t \in \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \cap \text{O}(2n, \mathbb{R})$. Let us denote by U_t its image $\iota(u_t) \in \text{U}(n, \mathbb{C})$ by the morphism $\iota : \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \cap \text{O}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \text{U}(n, \mathbb{C})$ defined by:

$$U_t = \iota(u_t) = A + iB \quad \text{if } u_t = \begin{pmatrix} A & -B \\ B & A \end{pmatrix}.$$

Setting $\rho(s_t) = \det U_t$ the Maslov index of γ is, by definition, the degree of the loop $t \mapsto \rho(s_t)$ in the circle S^1 :

$$m_{\text{Sp}}(\Sigma) = \text{deg}[t \mapsto \det(\iota(u_t))], \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1. \tag{36}$$

For $\ell \in \text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ and $0 \leq k \leq n$ we set:

$$\text{Sp}_\ell(n; k) = \{s \in \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}) : \dim(s\ell \cap \ell) = k\}$$

($\text{Sp}_{X^*}(2n; k)$ is the preimage of $\text{Lag}_\ell(2n; k)$ under the fibration $\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})/\text{St}_{X^*}(n) = \text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})$). $\text{Sp}_\ell(2n; k)$ is a submanifold of $\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ with codimension $k(k + 1)/2$.

Let us denote by $\mathcal{C}(\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}))$ the set of all continuous mappings $\Sigma : [a, b] \rightarrow \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$. By definition, the symplectic Maslov index on $\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ associated to a Maslov index Mas is the mapping,

$$\text{Symp} : \mathcal{C}(\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})) \times \text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \mapsto \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z},$$

defined by:

$$\text{Symp}(\Sigma; \ell) = \text{Mas}(\Sigma\ell; \ell),$$

where $\Sigma\ell$ is the path in $\text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ defined by $\Sigma\ell(t) = \Sigma(t)\ell$.

The properties of the index Symp immediately follow from the properties (L₁)–(L₆) of Mas :

- (S₁) **Homotopy invariance:** If the paths Σ and Σ' have the same endpoints, then $\text{Symp}_L(\Sigma; \ell) = \text{Symp}_L(\Sigma'; \ell)$ if and only if Σ and Σ' are homotopic with fixed endpoints;
- (S₂) **Additivity:** If Σ and Σ' are two consecutive paths, then for all $\ell \in \text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})$:

$$\text{Symp}(\Sigma * \Sigma', \ell) = \text{Symp}(\Sigma, \ell) + \text{Symp}(\Sigma', \ell);$$
- (S₃) **Zero in strata:** If $\Sigma(t) \in \text{Sp}_\ell(n; k)$ for all t , then $\text{Symp}_L(\Sigma, \ell) = 0$;
- (S₄) **Restriction to loops:** If $\Sigma \in \mathcal{C}(\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}))$ is a loop, then $\text{Symp}(\Sigma; \ell)$ is the Maslov index for every ℓ : $\text{Symp}(\Sigma; \ell) = m_{\text{Sp}}(\Sigma)$;
- (S₅) **Antisymmetry:** $\text{Symp}(\Sigma^\circ, \ell) = -\text{Symp}(\Sigma, \ell)$ where $\Sigma^\circ(t) = \Sigma(a + b - t)$ if Σ is defined on $[a, b]$;
- (S₆) **Stratum homotopy:** if there exists a continuous mapping $h : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ such that $h(t, 0) = \Sigma(t)$; $h(t, 1) = \Sigma'(t)$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1$ and two integers k_0, k_1 ($0 \leq k_0, k_1 \leq n$) such that $h(0, s) \in \text{Sp}_\ell(2n; k_0)$ and $h(1, s) \in \text{Sp}_\ell(2n; k_1)$ for $0 \leq s \leq 1$, then $\text{Symp}(\Sigma; \ell) = \text{Symp}(\Sigma'; \ell)$.

Suppose that Mas is the Maslov index $\text{Mas}_{\text{Leray}}$ defined by formula (22) in Theorem 5; let us denote the corresponding symplectic Maslov index by $\text{Symp}_{\text{Leray}}$. We have:

$$\text{Symp}_{\text{Leray}}(\Sigma; \ell) = \frac{1}{2}(\mu_\ell(s_{b,\infty}) - \mu_\ell(s_{a,\infty})), \tag{37}$$

where $s_{a,\infty}$ and $s_{b,\infty}$ are defined as follows (cf. Theorem 5(i)): let $s_a = \Sigma(a)$, $s_b = \Sigma(b)$. Then $s_{a,\infty}$ is the homotopy class of an arbitrary path Σ_{0a} in $\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ joining the base point of $\text{Sp}_\infty(2n, \mathbb{R})$ to s_a , and $s_{b,\infty}$ is that of the concatenation $\Sigma_{0a} * \Sigma$.

The properties (S₁)–(S₆) listed above do not characterize uniquely Symp . However:

Proposition 13. Define $\Sigma_{ab} \in \mathcal{C}(\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}))$ by $\Sigma_{ab}(t)(x, p) = (x, M(t)x)$ where $M(t)$ is a symmetric endomorphism of \mathbb{R}^n . Then

$$\text{Symp}_{\text{Leray}}(\Sigma; X) = \frac{1}{2}(\text{sign } M(a) - \text{sign } M(b)), \tag{38}$$

and $\text{Symp}_{\text{Leray}}$ is the only symplectic Maslov index having this property.

Proof. Formula (38) is just a restatement of property (27) of the index $\text{Mas}_{\text{Leray}} = \text{Mas}_{\text{RS}}$. □

4.3. The Conley–Zehnder index

The Conley–Zehnder is an index of symplectic paths generalizing the usual Morse index for closed geodesics on Riemannian manifolds. It arises from trivializing a symplectic vector bundle over a periodic orbit of a Hamiltonian vector field on a symplectic manifold (or the Reeb vector field on a contact manifold). The Conley–Zehnder was originally designed to compute the spectral flow of the Cauchy–Riemann-type operators arising in Floer homology (Salamon and Zehnder [35]). It plays a crucial role in the study of periodic orbits in Hamiltonian systems (Long [25], Long and Zhu [26]) and in their applications to semiclassical mechanics via “Gutzwiller’s formula” and its variants as was recognized by Meinrenken [27–29].

4.3.1. Definition and axiomatic characterization

The subsets of $\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sp}^+(2n, \mathbb{R}) &= \{s \in \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}) : \det(s - I) > 0\}, \\ \text{Sp}^-(2n, \mathbb{R}) &= \{s \in \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}) : \det(s - I) < 0\}, \\ \text{Sp}^0(2n, \mathbb{R}) &= \{s \in \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}) : \det(s - I) = 0\}, \end{aligned}$$

partition $\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$; for instance, the symplectic matrices $s^+ = -I$ and $s^- = \begin{pmatrix} L & 0 \\ 0 & L^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$ with $L = \text{diag}(2, -1, \dots, -1)$ belong to $\text{Sp}^+(2n, \mathbb{R})$ and $\text{Sp}^-(2n, \mathbb{R})$, respectively. We will write:

$$\text{Sp}^\pm(2n, \mathbb{R}) = \text{Sp}^+(2n, \mathbb{R}) \cup \text{Sp}^-(2n, \mathbb{R}).$$

Here are two important properties of $\text{Sp}^\pm(2n, \mathbb{R})$ (see e.g. [6,20]):

- Sp1** $\text{Sp}^+(2n, \mathbb{R})$ and $\text{Sp}^-(2n, \mathbb{R})$ are arcwise connected;
- Sp2** Every loop in $\text{Sp}^+(2n, \mathbb{R})$ or $\text{Sp}^-(2n, \mathbb{R})$ is contractible to a point in $\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$.

Let us denote by $C_\pm(2n, \mathbb{R})$ the space of all paths $\Sigma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ with $\Sigma(0) = I$ and $\det(\Sigma(1) - I) \neq 0$, that is $\Sigma(1) \in \text{Sp}^\pm(2n, \mathbb{R})$. Any such path can be extended into a path $\tilde{\Sigma} : [0, 2] \rightarrow \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ such that $\tilde{\Sigma}(t) \in \text{Sp}^\pm(2n, \mathbb{R})$ for $1 \leq t \leq 2$ and $\tilde{\Sigma}(2) = s^+$ or $\tilde{\Sigma}(2) = s^-$. Let ρ be the mapping $\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow S^1$, $\rho(s_t) = \det u_t$, used in the definition (36) of the Maslov index for symplectic loops. The Conley–Zehnder index of the path Σ is, by definition, the winding number of the loop $(\rho \circ \tilde{\Sigma})^2$ in S^1 :

$$i_{\text{CZ}}(\Sigma) = \text{deg}[t \mapsto (\rho(\tilde{\Sigma}(t)))^2, 0 \leq t \leq 2].$$

It turns out that $i_{\text{CZ}}(\Sigma)$ is invariant under homotopy as long as the endpoint $s = \Sigma(1)$ remains in $\text{Sp}^\pm(n)$; in particular it does not change under homotopies with fixed endpoints so we may view i_{CZ} as defined on the subset

$$\text{Sp}_\infty^*(2n, \mathbb{R}) = \{s_\infty \in \text{Sp}_\infty(2n, \mathbb{R}) : \det(s - I) \neq 0\}$$

of the universal covering group $\text{Sp}_\infty(2n, \mathbb{R})$.

The Conley–Zehnder index is the unique mapping i_{CZ} associating to every path $\Sigma : [0, b] \rightarrow \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ such that $\Sigma(0) = I$ and $\Sigma(b) \in \text{Sp}^*(2n, \mathbb{R})$ an integer $i_{\text{CZ}}(\Sigma)$, and having the three following properties:

- (CZ₁) **Antisymmetry:** We have $i_{\text{CZ}}(\Sigma^{-1}) = -i_{\text{CZ}}(\Sigma)$ (where $\Sigma^{-1}(t) = (\Sigma(t))^{-1}$ for $t \in [0, b]$);
- (CZ₂) **Homotopy invariance:** $i_{\text{CZ}}(\Sigma)$ does not change when Σ is continuously deformed in such a way that its endpoint stays in $\text{Sp}^+(2n, \mathbb{R})$ (or $\text{Sp}^-(2n, \mathbb{R})$);
- (CZ₃) **Action of $\pi_1[\text{Sp}(n)]$:** We have $i_{\text{CZ}}(\alpha * \Sigma) = i_{\text{CZ}}(\Sigma) + 2$.

Here is a proof of the uniqueness of an index satisfying (CZ₁)–(CZ₃) (existence will be established below). Let δ_{CZ} be the difference between two such indices. In view of (CZ₃) we have $\delta_{\text{CZ}}(\alpha^k * \Sigma) = \delta_{\text{CZ}}(\Sigma)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ hence $\delta_{\text{CZ}}(\Sigma)$ only depends on the endpoint s of Σ ; δ_{CZ} is thus a function $\delta_{\text{CZ}} : \text{Sp}^*(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. Property (CZ₂) then implies that δ_{CZ} is constant on both $\text{Sp}^+(2n, \mathbb{R})$ and $\text{Sp}^-(2n, \mathbb{R})$. Since $\det(s^{-1} - I) = \det(s - I)$ the automorphisms s and s^{-1} always belong to the same set $\text{Sp}^+(n)$ or $\text{Sp}^-(n)$ if $\det(s - I) \neq 0$, property (CZ₁) implies that f must be zero on $\text{Sp}^*(2n, \mathbb{R})$.

Before we show the existence of the Conley–Zehnder index, let us remark that the homotopy invariance property (CZ₂) implies, in particular, that $i_{\text{CZ}}(\Sigma) = i_{\text{CZ}}(\Sigma')$ if the symplectic paths Σ and Σ' are homotopic with fixed endpoints. The integer $i_{\text{CZ}}(\Sigma)$ thus only depends on the homotopy class $s_\infty \in \text{Sp}_\infty(2n, \mathbb{R})$ of Σ . We can thus view the Conley–Zehnder index as a mapping $i_{\text{CZ}} : \text{Sp}_\infty^*(2n, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ where $\text{Sp}_\infty^*(2n, \mathbb{R}) = \pi^{-1}(\text{Sp}^*(2n, \mathbb{R}))$. We will therefore write indifferently $i_{\text{CZ}}(\Sigma)$ or $i_{\text{CZ}}(s_\infty)$.

4.3.2. Definition using Leray’s index

Let us equip the vector space $Z \oplus Z$ with the symplectic form $\omega^\ominus = \omega \oplus (-\omega)$. We denote by $\text{Sp}^\ominus(4n, \mathbb{R})$ and $\text{Lag}^\ominus(4n, \mathbb{R})$ the corresponding symplectic group and Lagrangian Grassmannian, and by μ^\ominus (resp. $\text{Mas}_{\text{Leray}}^\ominus$) the Leray (resp. Maslov) index on $\text{Lag}_\infty^\ominus(4n, \mathbb{R})$; the corresponding Leray index on $\text{Sp}_\infty^\ominus(4n, \mathbb{R})$ relative to $\Delta \in \text{Lag}^\ominus(4n, \mathbb{R})$ (cf. the notation (32)) is μ_Δ^\ominus .

Proposition 14. *The Conley–Zehnder index is given by the formula*

$$i_{\text{CZ}}(\Sigma) = \text{Mas}_{\text{Leray}}^\ominus(\Sigma^\ominus \Delta; \Delta), \tag{39}$$

where $\Sigma^\ominus = I \oplus \Sigma$ and $\Delta = \{(z, z) : z \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}\}$; equivalently,

$$i_{\text{CZ}}(\Sigma) = \frac{1}{2} \mu^\ominus((I \oplus s_1)_\infty \Delta_\infty, \Delta_\infty), \tag{40}$$

where $(I \oplus s)_\infty$ is the homotopy class in $\text{Sp}^\ominus(4n, \mathbb{R})$ of the path $I \oplus \Sigma \in \mathcal{C}(\text{Sp}^\ominus(4n, \mathbb{R}))$, that is,

$$i_{\text{CZ}}(\Sigma) = \frac{1}{2} \mu_\Delta^\ominus((I \oplus s_1)_\infty). \tag{41}$$

Proof. The equivalence between the definitions (39)–(41) is obvious. Let us prove that formula (39) indeed defines a Conley–Zehnder index. That (CZ₁) is satisfied follows at once from the equality $(s_\infty^\ominus)^{-1} = (I \oplus s^{-1})_\infty$ and the antisymmetry of μ_Δ^\ominus . To check property (CZ₂) it suffices to observe that to the generator α of $\pi_1[\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})]$ corresponds the generator $I_\infty \oplus \alpha$ of $\pi_1[\text{Sp}^\ominus(4n, \mathbb{R})]$ (I_∞ the constant path through $I \in \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$), and then to apply formula (17) in Theorem 4. Let us finally prove that (CZ₃) holds as well. Assume that s and s' belong to, say, $\text{Sp}^+(n)$. Let Σ be a path joining I to s in $\text{Sp}^+(n, \mathbb{R})$, and Σ' a path joining s to s' in $\text{Sp}^+(2n, \mathbb{R})$. Let Σ'_t be the restriction of Σ' to an interval $[0, t']$, $t' \leq t$ and consider the concatenation $\Sigma * \Sigma'_t$. We have $\det(\Sigma(t) - I) > 0$ for all $t \in [0, t']$ hence $\Sigma(t)\Delta \cap \Delta \neq 0$ as t varies from 0 to 1. It follows from the fact that μ_Δ^\ominus is locally constant on $\{s_\infty^\ominus : s^\ominus \Delta \cap \Delta = 0\}$ that the function $t \mapsto \mu_\Delta^\ominus(s_\infty^\ominus(t))$ is constant, and hence

$$\mu_\Delta^\ominus(s_\infty^\ominus) = \mu_\Delta^\ominus(s_\infty^\ominus(0)) = \mu_\Delta^\ominus(s_\infty^\ominus(1)) = \mu_\Delta^\ominus(s'^\ominus),$$

which was to be proven. \square

Formula (39) not only defines $i_{CZ}(\Sigma)$ when the endpoint of Σ lies in $\text{Sp}^0(2n, \mathbb{R})$; it actually makes sense for arbitrary paths $\Sigma \in \mathcal{C}_I(\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}))$.

4.3.3. Consequences; a product formula

Our redefinition of the Conley–Zehnder index leads to the proof of two non-trivial properties of the Conley–Zehnder index.

The first is a product formula. Let us introduce some terminology and notation. If $s \in \text{Sp}^*(n)$ then $s - I$ is invertible and we may define:

$$M_s = \frac{1}{2}J(s + I)(s - I)^{-1}. \tag{42}$$

One verifies without difficulty that M_s is a symmetric matrix; in [16] we called M_s the symplectic Cayley transform of s . It plays an important role in determining the correct argument of the Weyl symbol of metaplectic operators, as we showed in [16].

We will write:

$$\tau_\Delta^\ominus(s^\ominus, s'^\ominus) = \tau^\ominus(\Delta, s^\ominus \Delta, s^\ominus s'^\ominus \Delta).$$

In [18] we proved, using (41):

Theorem 15. Let $\Sigma, \Sigma' \in \mathcal{C}_I(\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}))$ and define $\Sigma \Sigma' \in \mathcal{C}_I(\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}))$ by $\Sigma \Sigma'(t) = \Sigma(t)\Sigma'(t)$ for $t \in [0, 1]$.

(i) We have:

$$i_{CZ}(\Sigma \Sigma') = i_{CZ}(\Sigma) + i_{CZ}(\Sigma') + \frac{1}{2}\tau_\Delta^\ominus(s^\ominus, s'^\ominus), \tag{43}$$

where $s = \Sigma(1)$ and $s' = \Sigma'(1)$.

(ii) If s and s' are in $\text{Sp}^*(n)$, then

$$i_{CZ}(\Sigma \Sigma') = i_{CZ}(\Sigma) + i_{CZ}(\Sigma') + \frac{1}{2}\text{sign}(M_s + M_{s'}). \tag{44}$$

The second application of our redefinition of the Conley–Zehnder index is the following. Assume that the endpoint s of $\Sigma \in \mathcal{C}_I(\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}))$ satisfies the condition (34), that is $sX^* \cap X^* = 0$ and identify again s with its matrix $\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$ in the canonical basis (or, more generally, in any symplectic basis). The quadratic form W on $X \times X$ defined by

$$W(x, x') = \frac{1}{2}DB^{-1}x^2 - \langle B^{-1}x, x' \rangle + \frac{1}{2}B^{-1}Ax'^2,$$

is called a *generating function* of s ; the relation $(x, p) = s(x', p')$ is equivalent to $p = \partial_x W(x, x')$ and $p' = -\partial_{x'} W(x, x')$.

In [18] we proved the following result:

Theorem 16. Assume that the endpoint $s = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$ of $\Sigma \in \mathcal{C}_I(\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}))$ is such that $\det B \neq 0$. Then

$$i_{CZ}(\Sigma) = \frac{1}{2}(\mu_{X^*}(\Sigma) + \text{sign } W_{xx}), \tag{45}$$

where W_{xx} is the Hessian matrix of the quadratic form $x \mapsto W(x, x)$, that is,

$$W_{xx} = DB^{-1} - B^{-1} - (B^T)^{-1} + B^{-1}A. \tag{46}$$

The proof of formula (45) is rather lengthy, and makes repeated use of the properties of the signature cocycle τ so we do not duplicate it here.

Remark 17. The index of inertia $\text{Inert } W_{xx}$ of the quadratic form $x \mapsto W(x, x)$ is called *index of concavity*; it appears in Morse theory [30]. It is also considered in Nostre Marques et al. [31], in the proof of Lemma 2.9.

5. Concluding remarks

In addition to their simplicity, the constructions of the various intersection indices I have exposed have a conceptual appeal, in the sense that they do not make use of any supplementary hypothesis on the paths that are considered. In particular, there is no need to use any property of differentiability: the approach using Leray's index μ is purely combinatorial and topological. It is precisely the combinatorial property (16a) which makes it easy to use in all forms of practical calculations.

We notice that Py gives in [33] an interesting account of Wall's contributions; also see the seminal paper [1] by Barge and Ghys where related notions such as Euler's cocycle are studied in detail. Piccione and his collaborators have studied in [31,32] notions of Maslov indices on $\text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ and $\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ using methods different from ours (also see our joint work [19] where a similar approach is used). Clerc [4], Clerc and Ørsted [3], Clerc and Koufany [5] have extended the Leray index (and the associated Wall–Kashiwara signature) to the Shilov boundary of Hermitian symmetric spaces of tube type. These constructions are highly non-trivial, and deserve to be studied further. For instance, is there an analogue of a Conley–Zehnder index in their context? We finally note that our notion of symplectic Cayley transform has been generalized in Giambò and Girolimetti [10] who elaborate on our joint work with Piccione [19].

Professor Chaofeng Zhu (Nankai) has suggested (private communication) that the methods used in this paper can be extended to the case of infinitely dimensional symplectic Hilbert spaces. We will come back to this possibility in future work; for progresses in the infinite-dimensional case see the paper [9] by Furutani.

Acknowledgements

This work was written while the author was in residence at the Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik in Bonn. It has benefited from partial funding by the FWF (Projekt “Symplectic Geometry and Applications to TFA and QM”, Projektnummer P20442-N13).

References

- [1] J. Barge, É. Ghys, Cocycles d'Euler et de Maslov, *Math. Ann.* 294 (2) (1992) 235–265.
- [2] S.E. Cappell, R. Lee, E.Y. Miller, On the Maslov index, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 47 (1994) 121–186.
- [3] J.-L. Clerc, B. Ørsted, The Maslov index revisited, *Transform. Groups* 6 (2001) 303–320.
- [4] J.-L. Clerc, L'indice de Maslov généralisé, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* 83 (9) (2004) 99–114.
- [5] J.-L. Clerc, K. Koufany, Primitive du cocycle de Maslov généralisé, *Math. Ann.* 337 (2007) 91–138.
- [6] C.E. Conley, E. Zehnder, Morse-type index theory for flows and periodic solutions of Hamiltonian equations, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 37 (1978) 207–253.
- [7] P. Dazord, Invariants homotopiques attachés aux fibrés symplectiques, *Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble* 29 (2) (1979) 25–78.
- [8] J.J. Duistermaat, On the Morse index in variational calculus, *Adv. in Math.* 21 (1976) 173–195.
- [9] K. Furutani, Fredholm–Lagrangian–Grassmannian and the Maslov index, *J. Geom. Phys.* 51 (3) (2004) 269–331.
- [10] R. Giambò, V. Girolimetti, Generalized symplectic Cayley transforms and a higher order formula for the Conley–Zehnder index of symplectic paths, *Nonlinear Analysis, Theory, Methods & Applications* 68 (12) (2008) 3601–3608.
- [11] M. de Gosson, La définition de l'indice de Maslov sans hypothèse de transversalité, *C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris, Sér. I Math.* 310 (5) (1990) 279–282.
- [12] M. de Gosson, La relation entre $Sp_\infty(n)$ le revêtement universel du groupe symplectique $Sp(n)$, et $Sp(n) \times Z$, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* 310 (5) (1990) 245–248.
- [13] M. de Gosson, The structure of q -symplectic geometry, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* 71 (1992) 429–453.
- [14] M. de Gosson, Lagrangian path intersections and the Leray index, in: *Aarhus Geometry and Topology Conference*, in: *Contemp. Math.*, vol. 258, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000, pp. 177–184.
- [15] M. de Gosson, S. de Gosson, The cohomological interpretation of the indices of Robbin and Salamon, in: *Jean Leray 99 Conference Proceedings*, in: *Math. Phys. Studies*, vol. 4, Kluwer Academic Press, 2003.
- [16] M. de Gosson, The Weyl representation of metaplectic operators, *Lett. Math. Phys.* 72 (2005) 129–142.
- [17] M. de Gosson, *Symplectic Geometry and Quantum Mechanics, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications. Advances in Partial Differential Equations*, vol. 166, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2006.
- [18] M. de Gosson, S. de Gosson, An extension of the Conley–Zehnder index, a product formula and an application to the Weyl representation of metaplectic operators, *J. Math. Phys.* 47 (12) (2006).
- [19] M. de Gosson, S. de Gosson, P. Piccione, On a product formula for the Conley–Zehnder Index of symplectic paths and its applications, *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.* 34 (2) (2008) 167–183. Preprint arXiv:math.SG/0607024, 2006.
- [20] H. Hofer, K. Wysocki, E. Zehnder, Properties of pseudoholomorphic curves in symplectizations II: Embedding controls and algebraic invariants, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 2 (5) (1995) 270–328.

- [21] L. Hörmander, Fourier integral operators I, *Acta Math.* 127 (1971) 79–183.
- [22] J. Leray, *Lagrangian Analysis and Quantum Mechanics, A Mathematical Structure Related to Asymptotic Expansions and the Maslov Index*, The MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 1981.
- [23] J. Leray, Complément à la théorie d’Arnold de l’indice de Maslov, in: *Convegno di geometria симплектика et fisica matematica*, Instituto di Alta Matematica, Roma, 1973.
- [24] G. Lion, M. Vergne, *The Weil Representation, Maslov Index and Theta Series*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 6, Birkhäuser, 1980.
- [25] Y. Long, Index iteration theory for symplectic paths and multiple periodic solution orbits, *Frontiers Math.* 8 (2006) 341–353.
- [26] Y. Long, C. Zhu, Closed characteristics on compact convex hypersurfaces in R^{2n} , *Ann. of Math.* 155 (2002) 317–368.
- [27] E. Meinrenken, Trace formulas and the Conley–Zehnder index, *J. Geom. Phys.* 13 (1994) 1–15.
- [28] E. Meinrenken, Semiclassical principal symbols and Gutzwiller’s trace formula, *Rep. Math. Phys.* 31 (1992) 279–295.
- [29] E. Meinrenken, Semiclassical principal symbols and Gutzwiller’s trace formula, *Rep. Math. Phys.* 31 (1992) 279–295.
- [30] M. Morse, *The Calculus of Variations in the Large*, AMS, Providence, RI, 1935.
- [31] R.C. Nostre Marques, P. Piccione, D.V. Tausk, On the Morse and the Maslov index for periodic geodesics of arbitrary causal character, in: *Proc. Conf. Opava, Silesian University, Opava, Differential Geom. Appl.* (2001) 343–358.
- [32] P. Piccione, D.V. Tausk, V. Daniel, The Morse index theorem in semi-Riemannian geometry, *Topology* 41 (6) (2002) 1123–1159.
- [33] P. Py, Indice de Maslov et théorème de Novikov–Wall, *Bol. Soc. Mat. Mexicana* 11 (2005) 303–331.
- [34] J.W. Robbin, D.A. Salamon, The Maslov index for paths, *Topology* 32 (1993) 827–844.
- [35] D. Salamon, E. Zehnder, Morse theory for periodic solutions of Hamiltonian systems and the Maslov index, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 45 (10) (1992) 1303–1360.
- [36] J.-M. Souriau, Construction explicite de l’indice de Maslov, in: *Group Theoretical Methods in Physics*, in: *Lecture Notes in Physics*, vol. 50, Springer-Verlag, 1975, pp. 17–148.
- [37] F. Trèves, *Introduction to Pseudo-differential and Fourier Integral Operators* (two volumes), University Series in Mathematics, Plenum Press, 1980.
- [38] C.T.C. Wall, Nonadditivity of the signature, *Invent. Math.* 7 (1969) 269–274.