



ELSEVIER

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

SCIENCE @ DIRECT®

ADVANCES IN
Mathematics

Advances in Mathematics 195 (2005) 205–258

www.elsevier.com/locate/aim

On the calculation of UNil

Frank Connolly^a, Andrew Ranicki^{b,*}

^a*Department of Mathematics, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, IN 46556-5683, USA*

^b*School of Mathematics, University of Edinburgh, King's Buildings, Edinburgh EH9 3JZ, Scotland, UK*

Received 23 April 2003; accepted 10 August 2004

Communicated by Michael Hopkins
Available online 22 September 2004

Abstract

Cappell's codimension 1 splitting obstruction surgery group UNil_n is a direct summand of the Wall surgery obstruction group of an amalgamated free product. For any ring with involution R we use the quadratic Poincaré cobordism formulation of the L -groups to prove that

$$L_n(R[x]) = L_n(R) \oplus \text{UNil}_n(R; R, R).$$

We combine this with Weiss' universal chain bundle theory to produce almost complete calculations of $\text{UNil}_*(\mathbb{Z}; \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z})$ and the Wall surgery obstruction groups $L_*(\mathbb{Z}[D_\infty])$ of the infinite dihedral group $D_\infty = \mathbb{Z}_2 * \mathbb{Z}_2$.

© 2004 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

MSC: 57N15; 57R67

Keywords: L-theory; UNil-groups; Infinite dihedral group

0. Introduction

The nilpotent K - and L -groups of rings are a rich source of algebraic invariants for geometric topology, giving results of two types: if the groups are zero it is possible to solve the associated splitting and classification problems, while if they are nonzero

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: connolly.1@nd.edu (F. Connolly), a.ranicki@ed.ac.uk (A. Ranicki).

the groups are infinitely generated and the solutions to the problems are definitely obstructed, see [2,4,6,8,9,10,11,16].

The unitary nilpotent L -groups UNil_* arise as follows. Suppose given a closed n -dimensional manifold X which is expressed as a union of codimension 0 submanifolds $X_1, X_{-1} \subseteq X$

$$X = X_1 \cup X_{-1}$$

with

$$X_0 = X_1 \cap X_{-1} = \partial X_{-1} = \partial X_1 \subseteq X$$

a codimension 1 submanifold. Assume X, X_{-1}, X_0, X_1 are connected, and that the maps $\pi_1(X_0) \rightarrow \pi_1(X_{\pm 1})$ are injective, so that by the van Kampen theorem the fundamental group of X is an amalgamated free product

$$\pi_1(X) = \pi_1(X_1) *_{\pi_1(X_0)} \pi_1(X_{-1})$$

with $\pi_1(X_i) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$ ($i = -1, 0, 1$) injective. Given another closed n -dimensional manifold M and a simple homotopy equivalence $f : M \rightarrow X$ there is a single obstruction

$$s(f) \in \text{UNil}_{n+1}(R; \mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_{-1})$$

to deforming f by an h -cobordism of domains to a homotopy equivalence of the form

$$f_1 \cup f_{-1} : M_1 \cup M_{-1} \rightarrow X_1 \cup X_{-1}$$

with $f_{\pm 1} : (M_{\pm 1}, \partial M_{\pm 1}) \rightarrow (X_{\pm 1}, \partial X_{\pm 1})$ homotopy equivalences of manifolds with boundary such that

$$f_1| = f_{-1}| : \partial M_1 = \partial M_{-1} \rightarrow \partial X_1 = \partial X_{-1}$$

and

$$R = \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(X_0)], \mathcal{B}_{\pm 1} = \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(X_{\pm 1}) \setminus \pi_1(X_0)].$$

Cappell [5,6] proved geometrically that the free Wall [21] surgery obstruction groups $L_* = L_*^h$ of the fundamental group ring

$$\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(X)] = \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(X_1)] *_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(X_0)]} \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(X_{-1})]$$

have direct sum decompositions

$$L_*(\Lambda) = \text{UNil}_*(R; \mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_{-1}) \oplus L'_*(\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(X_0)] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(X_1)] \times \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(X_{-1})])$$

with L'_* appropriately decorated intermediate relative L -groups. The split monomorphism

$$\text{UNil}_{n+1}(R; \mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_{-1}) \rightarrow L_{n+1}(\Lambda); s(f) \mapsto \sigma(g)$$

sends the splitting obstruction $s(f)$ to the surgery obstruction $\sigma(g)$ of the ‘unitary nilpotent cobordism’ of [6], an $(n + 1)$ -dimensional normal map cobordism between f and a split homotopy equivalence. The 4-periodicity $L_*(\Lambda) = L_{*+4}(\Lambda)$ extends to a 4-periodicity

$$\text{UNil}_*(R; \mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_{-1}) = \text{UNil}_{*+4}(R; \mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_{-1}).$$

Farrell [10] obtained a remarkable factorization

$$\text{UNil}_{n+1}(R; \mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_{-1}) \rightarrow \text{UNil}_{n+1}(\Lambda; \Lambda, \Lambda) \rightarrow L_{n+1}(\Lambda).$$

For this reason (and some others too) the groups $\text{UNil}_*(R; R, R)$ for any ring with involution R are of especial significance to us, and we introduce the abbreviation:

$$\text{UNil}_n(R) = \text{UNil}_n(R; R, R).$$

But even the groups $\text{UNil}_*(\mathbb{Z})$ have remained opaque for the last 30 years. Cappell [3–5] proved that $\text{UNil}_{4k}(\mathbb{Z}) = 0$ and that $\text{UNil}_{4k+2}(\mathbb{Z})$ is infinitely generated. The UNil -groups $\text{UNil}_*(R; \mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_{-1})$ are 2-primary torsion groups. Farrell [10] proved that $4\text{UNil}_*(R) = 0$, for any ring R . Connolly and Koźniewski [8] obtained an isomorphism

$$\text{UNil}_{4k+2}(\mathbb{Z}) \cong \bigoplus_1^{\infty} \mathbb{F}_2,$$

together with information on $\text{UNil}_{4k+2}(R)$ for various Dedekind domains and division rings. But that is nearly all that is known.

The infinite dihedral group is a free product of two copies of the cyclic group \mathbb{Z}_2 of order 2

$$D_{\infty} = \mathbb{Z}_2 * \mathbb{Z}_2.$$

Since the surgery obstruction groups $L_*(R[D_{\infty}])$ are hard to compute directly, the split monomorphisms $\text{UNil}_*(R) \rightarrow L_*(R[D_{\infty}])$ are more useful in computing $L_*(R[D_{\infty}])$

from $\text{UNil}_*(R)$ than the other way round. Connolly and Koźniewski [8] expressed $\text{UNil}_*(R; \mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_{-1})$ as the L -groups $L_*(\mathbb{A}_\alpha[x])$ of an *additive category* with involution $\mathbb{A}_\alpha[x]$. Although this expression did give new computations of $\text{UNil}_*(R)$, the L -theory of additive categories with involution [17] is not in general very computable.

The first goal of this paper therefore, is to provide a new description for $\text{UNil}_n(R)$ in terms of L -groups, which can be used to computational advantage. Cappell and Farrell observed that the infinite dihedral group $D_\infty = \mathbb{Z}_2 * \mathbb{Z}_2$ can also be viewed as an extension of \mathbb{Z} by \mathbb{Z}_2

$$\{1\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow D_\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \{1\},$$

so that the classifying space can be viewed both as a one-point union

$$K(D_\infty, 1) = K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 1) \vee K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 1) = \mathbb{R}P^\infty \vee \mathbb{R}P^\infty$$

and as the total space of a fibration

$$K(\mathbb{Z}, 1) = S^1 \rightarrow K(D_\infty, 1) \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 1) = \mathbb{R}P^\infty,$$

and that this should have implications for codimension 1 surgery obstruction theory with $\pi_1 = D_\infty$. This observation was used in [16, pp. 737–745] to prove geometrically that for the group ring $R = \mathbb{Z}[\pi]$ of a finitely presented group π

$$\text{UNil}_*(R) = NL_*(R) = \ker(L_*(R[x]) \rightarrow L_*(R))$$

with the involution on R extended to $R[x]$ by $\bar{x} = x$, and $R[x] \rightarrow R; x \mapsto 0$ the augmentation map. The NL -groups are L -theoretic analogues of the nilpotent K -group

$$NK_1(R) = \ker(K_1(R[x]) \rightarrow K_1(R)) = \widetilde{\text{Nil}}_0(R)$$

of Chapter XII of Bass [2], which is such that

$$K_1(R[x]) = K_1(R) \oplus NK_1(R).$$

Theorem A. *For any ring with involution R*

$$\text{UNil}_*(R) = NL_*(R)$$

so that

$$L_n(R[x]) = L_n(R) \oplus \text{UNil}_n(R).$$

We develop a new method for calculating $\widehat{\text{UNil}}_*(R)$, adopting the following strategy. The symmetric L -groups $L^*(R)$ of a ring R with an involution $R \rightarrow R; x \mapsto \bar{x}$ were defined by Mishchenko [12] and Ranicki [14,15] to be the cobordism groups of symmetric Poincaré complexes over R . The quadratic L -groups $L_*(R)$ were expressed in [14,15] as the cobordism groups of quadratic Poincaré complexes over R , and the two types of L -groups were related by an exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow L_n(R) \rightarrow L^n(R) \rightarrow \widehat{L}^n(R) \rightarrow L_{n-1}(R) \rightarrow \dots$$

with the hyperquadratic L -groups $\widehat{L}^*(R)$ the cobordism groups of (symmetric, quadratic) Poincaré pairs. The symmetric and hyperquadratic L -groups are not 4-periodic in general, but there are defined natural maps

$$L^n(R) \rightarrow L^{n+4}(R), \widehat{L}^n(R) \rightarrow \widehat{L}^{n+4}(R)$$

(which are isomorphisms for certain R , e.g. a Dedekind ring or the polynomial extension of a Dedekind ring). The 4-periodic versions of the symmetric and hyperquadratic L -groups

$$L^{n+4*}(R) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} L^{n+4k}(R), \widehat{L}^{n+4*}(R) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{L}^{n+4k}(R)$$

are related by an exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow L_n(R) \rightarrow L^{n+4*}(R) \rightarrow \widehat{L}^{n+4*}(R) \rightarrow L_{n-1}(R) \rightarrow \dots$$

The theory of Weiss [22,23] identified $\widehat{L}^{n+4*}(R)$ with the ‘twisted \mathcal{Q} -group’ $\mathcal{Q}_n(B^R, \beta^R)$ of the ‘universal chain bundle’ (B^R, β^R) over R , which can be computed (more or less effectively) from the Tate \mathbb{Z}_2 -cohomology groups of the involution on R

$$\begin{aligned} H_n(B^R) &= \widehat{H}^n(\mathbb{Z}_2; R) \\ &= \{a \in R \mid \bar{a} = (-1)^n a\} / \{b + (-1)^n \bar{b} \mid b \in R\}. \end{aligned}$$

In Proposition 11 we show that for a Dedekind ring with involution R

$$L^n(R[x]) = L^n(R), \quad NL^n(R) = 0$$

making the UNil -groups

$$\text{UNil}_n(R) = \ker(\mathcal{Q}_n(B^{R[x]}, \beta^{R[x]}) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_n(B^R, \beta^R))$$

accessible to computation.

Theorem B. For the ring \mathbb{Z} , we have

$$\text{UNil}_0(\mathbb{Z}) = 0, \text{UNil}_1(\mathbb{Z}) = 0$$

and there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2[x]/\mathbb{F}_2 \xrightarrow{\psi^2-1} \mathbb{F}_2[x]/\mathbb{F}_2 \rightarrow \text{UNil}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 0$$

with

$$\psi^2 : \mathbb{F}_2[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2[x]; a \mapsto a^2$$

the Frobenius map. $\text{UNil}_3(\mathbb{Z})$ is not finitely generated, with $4\text{UNil}_3(\mathbb{Z}) = 0$.

We now give an outline of the rest of this paper.

In Section 1 we define the groups $\text{UNil}_n(R)$ and the map $c : \text{UNil}_n(R) \rightarrow L_n(R[x])$, as well as the various other morphisms and groups with which we will be working. Theorem A is proved in Section 1.

In Section 2 we relate $\text{UNil}_n(R)$ for Dedekind R to the group of symmetric structures on the universal chain bundle of Weiss. We then make the calculations necessary to prove Theorem B.

1. Fundamental concepts. The proof of Theorem A

1.1. Algebraic L-groups

Throughout this paper R denotes a ring with an involution

$$R \rightarrow R; r \mapsto \bar{r}.$$

An R -module is understood to be a left R -module, unless a right R -module action is specified. Given an R -module P let P^t be the right R -module with the same additive group and

$$P^t \times R \rightarrow P^t; (x, r) \mapsto \bar{r}x.$$

The dual of an R -module P is the R -module

$$P^* = \text{Hom}_R(P, R),$$

$$R \times P^* \rightarrow P^*; (r, f) \mapsto (x \mapsto f(x)\bar{r}).$$

Write the evaluation pairing as

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : P^* \times P \rightarrow R; (f, x) \mapsto \langle f, x \rangle = f(x).$$

An element $\phi \in \text{Hom}_R(P, P^*)$ determines a sesquilinear form on P

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\phi : P \times P \rightarrow R; (x, y) \mapsto \langle \phi(x), y \rangle,$$

and we identify $\text{Hom}_R(P, P^*)$ with the additive group of such forms. The dual of a finitely generated (f.g.) projective R -module P is a f.g. projective R -module P^* , and the morphism

$$P \rightarrow P^{**}; x \mapsto (f \mapsto \overline{f(x)})$$

is an isomorphism, which we shall use to identify

$$P^{**} = P,$$

and to define the ε -duality involution

$$T_\varepsilon : \text{Hom}_R(P, P^*) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(P, P^*); \phi \mapsto \varepsilon\phi^*, \langle x, y \rangle_{\phi^*} = \overline{\langle y, x \rangle_\phi}.$$

For $\varepsilon = \pm 1$, any R -module chain complex C and any $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_2]$ -module chain complex X define the \mathbb{Z} -module chain complexes

$$\begin{aligned} X^\% (C, \varepsilon) &= \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_2]}(X, C^t \otimes_R C), \\ X_\% (C, \varepsilon) &= X \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_2]} (C^t \otimes_R C) \end{aligned}$$

with $T \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ acting on $C^t \otimes_R C$ by the signed transposition isomorphisms

$$T_\varepsilon : C_p^t \otimes_R C_q \rightarrow C_q^t \otimes_R C_p; x \otimes y \mapsto (-1)^{pq} \varepsilon y \otimes x.$$

We shall be mainly concerned with finite chain complexes C of f.g. projective R -modules, in which case we identify

$$C^t \otimes_R C = \text{Hom}_R(C^*, C)$$

using the natural \mathbb{Z} -module isomorphisms

$$C_p^t \otimes_R C_q \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C^p, C_q); x \otimes y \mapsto (f \mapsto \overline{f(x)} \cdot y)$$

with $C^p = (C_p)^*$. The signed transposition isomorphisms correspond to the signed duality isomorphisms

$$T_\varepsilon : \text{Hom}_R(C^p, C_q) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C^q, C_p); \phi \mapsto (-1)^{pq} \varepsilon \phi^*.$$

As in [14,15] the group of n -dimensional ε -symmetric (resp. ε -hyperquadratic, resp. ε -quadratic) structures on C is defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} Q^n(C, \varepsilon) &= H_n(W\%C), & \widehat{Q}^n(C, \varepsilon) &= H_n(\widehat{W}\%C), \\ Q_n(C, \varepsilon) &= H_n(W\%C) = H_n((W^{-*})\%C) \end{aligned}$$

where W (resp. \widehat{W}) denotes the standard free $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_2]$ -module resolution of \mathbb{Z} (resp. complete resolution) and

$$W^{-*} = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_2]}(W, \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_2]).$$

If $S^{-1}W^{-*}$ denotes the desuspension of W^{-*} , the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow S^{-1}W^{-*} \rightarrow \widehat{W} \rightarrow W \rightarrow 0$$

induces the exact sequence:

$$\dots \rightarrow Q_n(C, \varepsilon) \rightarrow Q^n(C, \varepsilon) \xrightarrow{J} \widehat{Q}^n(C, \varepsilon) \rightarrow Q_{n-1}(C, \varepsilon) \rightarrow \dots \tag{1}$$

Given a f.g. projective R -module P define the 0-dimensional f.g. projective R -module chain complex

$$C : \dots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow C_0 = P^* \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \dots .$$

At the risk of notational confusion, the 0-dimensional ε -symmetric and ε -quadratic Q -groups of C are written

$$\begin{aligned} Q^0(C, \varepsilon) &= Q^\varepsilon(P) = \ker(1 - T_\varepsilon : \text{Hom}_R(P, P^*) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(P, P^*)), \\ Q_0(C, \varepsilon) &= Q_\varepsilon(P) = \text{coker}(1 - T_\varepsilon : \text{Hom}_R(P, P^*) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(P, P^*)). \end{aligned}$$

Definition 1. An ε -symmetric form (P, ϕ) (resp. an ε -quadratic form (P, ψ)) over R is a f.g. projective R -module P together with an element $\phi \in Q^\varepsilon(P)$ (resp. $\psi \in Q_\varepsilon(P)$). The form is *nonsingular* if the R -module morphism

$$\phi : P \rightarrow P^* \text{ (resp. } N_\varepsilon(\psi) = (1 + T_\varepsilon)\psi : P \rightarrow P^*)$$

is an isomorphism.

We refer to Ranicki [14–16,19] for various accounts of the construction of the free ε -symmetric (resp. quadratic) L -groups $L^n(R, \varepsilon)$ (resp. $L_n(R, \varepsilon)$) as the cobordism groups of n -dimensional ε -symmetric (resp. ε -quadratic) Poincaré complexes over R ($C, \phi \in Q^n(C, \varepsilon)$) (resp. $(C, \psi \in Q_n(C, \varepsilon))$) with

$$C : \cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow C_n \rightarrow C_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow C_0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots$$

an n -dimensional f.g. free R -module chain complex. The projective L -groups $L_p^*(R, \varepsilon)$ (resp. $L_*^p(R, \varepsilon)$) are constructed in the same way, using f.g. projective C .

The suspension of an R -module chain complex C is the R -module chain complex SC with

$$d_{SC} = d_C : (SC)_{r+1} = C_r \rightarrow (SC)_r = C_{r-1}.$$

As in [14,15, p. 105] use the natural \mathbb{Z} -module isomorphisms

$$S^2(W^\% (C, \varepsilon)) \cong W^\% (SC, -\varepsilon), \quad S^2(W_\% (C, \varepsilon)) \cong W_\% (SC, -\varepsilon)$$

to identify

$$Q^n(C, \varepsilon) = Q^{n+2}(SC, -\varepsilon), \quad Q_n(C, \varepsilon) = Q_{n+2}(SC, -\varepsilon)$$

and to define the skew-suspension maps

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{S}^n &: L^n(R, \varepsilon) \rightarrow L^{n+2}(R, -\varepsilon); (C, \phi) \mapsto (SC, \phi), \\ \bar{S}_n &: L_n(R, \varepsilon) \rightarrow L_{n+2}(R, -\varepsilon); (C, \psi) \mapsto (SC, \psi). \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2. A ring R is 1-dimensional if it is hereditary and noetherian, or equivalently if every submodule of a f.g. projective R -module is f.g. projective.

In particular, Dedekind rings are 1-dimensional.

Proposition 3 (Ranicki [14,15]). (i) For every ring with involution R the $\pm\varepsilon$ -quadratic skew-suspension maps \bar{S}_n are isomorphisms, so that

$$L_n(R, \varepsilon) = L_{n+2}(R, -\varepsilon) = L_{n+4}(R, \varepsilon),$$

with $L_{2n}(R, \varepsilon) = L_0(R, (-1)^n\varepsilon)$ the Witt group of stable isometry classes of nonsingular $(-1)^n\varepsilon$ -quadratic forms over R .

(ii) If R is 1-dimensional then the $\pm\varepsilon$ -symmetric skew-suspension maps \bar{S}^n are isomorphisms, so that

$$L^n(R, \varepsilon) = L^{n+2}(R, -\varepsilon) = L^{n+4}(R, \varepsilon)$$

with $L^{2n}(R, \varepsilon) = L^0(R, (-1)^n \varepsilon)$ the Witt group of stable isometry classes of nonsingular $(-1)^n \varepsilon$ -symmetric forms over R .

Proof. By algebraic surgery below the middle dimension, given by Proposition I.4.3 of [14,15] for (i), and Proposition I.4.5 of [14,15] for (ii). \square

For $\varepsilon = 1$ we write

$$\begin{aligned} X^{\%}(C, 1) &= X^{\%}C, & X_{\%}(C, 1) &= X_{\%}C, \\ Q^*(C, 1) &= Q^*(C), & \widehat{Q}^*(C, 1) &= \widehat{Q}^*(C), & Q_*(C, 1) &= Q_*(C), \\ L^*(R, 1) &= L^*(R), & L_*(R, 1) &= L_*(R). \end{aligned}$$

The hyperquadratic Q -groups $\widehat{Q}^*(C)$ are used in Section 2 to define chain bundles.

1.2. The nilpotent L -groups $L\text{Nil}$, $L\widetilde{\text{Nil}}$

Theorem A identifies the unitary nilpotent L -groups $\text{UNil}_*(R)$ with the nilpotent L -groups $L\widetilde{\text{Nil}}_*(R)$, whose definition we now recall.

We start with nilpotent K -theory.

Definition 4. (i) An R -nilmodule (P, ν) is a f.g. projective R -module P together with a nilpotent endomorphism $\nu : P \rightarrow P$, so that

$$\nu^N = 0 : P \rightarrow P$$

for some $N \geq 1$.

(ii) A morphism of R -nilmodules $f : (P, \nu) \rightarrow (P', \nu')$ is an R -module morphism $f : P \rightarrow P'$ such that $\nu'f = f\nu : P \rightarrow P'$.

(iii) The nilpotent K -groups of R are defined to be the K -groups

$$\text{Nil}_*(R) = K_*(\text{Nil}(R))$$

of the exact category $\text{Nil}(R)$ be of R -nilmodules. The reduced nilpotent K -groups

$$\widetilde{\text{Nil}}_*(R) = \ker(\text{Nil}_*(R) \rightarrow K_*(R))$$

are such that

$$\text{Nil}_*(R) = K_*(R) \oplus \widetilde{\text{Nil}}_*(R).$$

(iv) The NK -groups of R are defined by

$$NK_*(R) = \ker(K_*(R[x]) \rightarrow K_*(R)),$$

so that

$$K_*(R[x]) = K_*(R) \oplus NK_*(R).$$

Proposition 5 (Bass [2]). (i) *There is a natural identification*

$$NK_1(R) = \widetilde{\text{Nil}}_0(R)$$

using the split injection

$$\widetilde{\text{Nil}}_0(R) \rightarrow K_1(R[x]); (P, v) \mapsto \tau(1 + xv : P[x] \rightarrow P[x]).$$

(ii) *If R is 1-dimensional then*

$$\widetilde{\text{Nil}}_0(R) = 0.$$

Proof. (i) See Chapter XII of [2].

(ii) Given a nilmodule (P, v) with $v^N = 0 : P \rightarrow P$ for some $N \geq 1$ define the nilmodules

$$(P', v') = (\ker(v), 0), \quad (P'', v'') = (\text{im}(v), v|),$$

using the 1-dimensionality of R to ensure that the R -modules $\ker(v), \text{im}(v) \subseteq P$ are f.g. projective. It follows from the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (P', v') \rightarrow (P, v) \rightarrow (P'', v'') \rightarrow 0$$

that

$$[P, v] = [P', v'] + [P'', v''] \in \text{Nil}_0(R).$$

Now $v' = 0, (v'')^{N-1} = 0$, so proceeding inductively we obtain

$$[P, v] = \sum_{i=1}^N [\ker(v^i)/\ker(v^{i-1}), 0] \in K_0(R) \subseteq \text{Nil}_0(R)$$

and hence that $\widetilde{\text{Nil}}_0(R) = 0. \quad \square$

Definition 6. An n -dimensional R -nilcomplex (C, v) is a n -dimensional f.g. projective R -module chain complex

$$C : \cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow C_n \rightarrow C_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow C_1 \rightarrow C_0$$

together with a chain map $v : C \rightarrow C$ which is chain homotopy nilpotent, i.e. such that $v^N \simeq 0 : C \rightarrow C$ for some integer $N \geq 1$.

Proposition 7. *The chain equivalence classes of the following types of chain complexes are in one–one correspondence:*

(i) *n-dimensional chain complexes of R-nilmodules*

$$(C, v) : \cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow (C_n, v) \rightarrow (C_{n-1}, v) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow (C_1, v) \rightarrow (C_0, v),$$

(ii) *n-dimensional R-nilcomplexes (C, v),*

(iii) *(n + 1)-dimensional f.g. projective R[x]-module chain complexes*

$$D : \cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow D_{n+1} \rightarrow D_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow D_1 \rightarrow D_0$$

such that

$$H_*(R[x, x^{-1}] \otimes_{R[x]} D) = 0.$$

Proof. (i) \implies (ii): An *n*-dimensional chain complex of *R*-nilmodules is an *n*-dimensional *R*-nilcomplex.

(ii) \implies (iii): Given an *n*-dimensional *R*-nilcomplex (C, v) define the $(n+1)$ -dimensional f.g. projective $R[x]$ -module chain complexes

$$D = \mathcal{C}(x - v : C[x] \rightarrow C[x])$$

such that

$$H_*(R[x, x^{-1}] \otimes_{R[x]} D) = 0,$$

$$x = v : H_*(D) = H_*(C) \rightarrow H_*(D) = H_*(C).$$

(i) \iff (iii): See Proposition 3.1.2 of Ranicki [16]. \square

In particular, it follows from Proposition 7 that every *n*-dimensional *R*-nilcomplex is chain equivalent to an *n*-dimensional *R*-nilcomplex (C, v) with $v^N = 0 : C \rightarrow C$ for some $N \geq 1$ (rather than just $v^N \simeq 0$).

Now for nilpotent *L*-theory.

Definition 8 (Ranicki [16, p. 440; 18, p. 470]). (i) The ε -symmetric *Q* Nil-groups $Q \text{ Nil}^*(C, v, \varepsilon)$ of an *R*-nilcomplex (C, v) are the relative *Q*-groups in the exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow Q^{n+1}(C, -\varepsilon) \rightarrow Q \text{ Nil}^n(C, v, \varepsilon) \rightarrow Q^n(C, \varepsilon) \xrightarrow{\Gamma_y} Q^n(C, -\varepsilon) \rightarrow \cdots$$

with

$$\Gamma_v : W^\% (C, \varepsilon) \rightarrow W^\% (C, -\varepsilon); \phi \mapsto (1 \otimes v)\phi - \phi(v \otimes 1).$$

Similarly for the ε -quadratic Q Nil-groups $Q \text{ Nil}_*(C, v, \varepsilon)$, with an exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow Q_{n+1}(C, -\varepsilon) \rightarrow Q \text{ Nil}_n(C, v, \varepsilon) \rightarrow Q_n(C, \varepsilon) \xrightarrow{\Gamma_v} Q_n(C, -\varepsilon) \rightarrow \dots .$$

(ii) An n -dimensional ε -symmetric Poincaré nilcomplex over R $(C, v, \delta\phi, \phi)$ is an n -dimensional R -nilcomplex (C, v) together with an element

$$(\delta\phi, \phi) \in Q \text{ Nil}^n(C, v, \varepsilon)$$

such that $(C, \phi \in Q^n(C, \varepsilon))$ is an n -dimensional ε -symmetric Poincaré complex over R . The ε -symmetric LNil-group $L\text{Nil}^n(R, \varepsilon)$ is the cobordism group of n -dimensional ε -symmetric Poincaré nilcomplexes over R . Similarly in the ε -quadratic case, with $L\text{Nil}_n(R, \varepsilon)$.

(iii) The reduced ε -symmetric LNil-groups are defined by

$$L\widetilde{\text{Nil}}^*(R, \varepsilon) = \ker(L\text{Nil}^*(R, \varepsilon) \rightarrow L_p^*(R, \varepsilon))$$

with

$$L\text{Nil}^*(R, \varepsilon) = L_p^*(R, \varepsilon) \oplus L\widetilde{\text{Nil}}^*(R, \varepsilon).$$

Similarly in the ε -quadratic case, with $L\widetilde{\text{Nil}}_*(R, \varepsilon)$.

(iv) Extend the involution to $R[x]$ by $\bar{x} = x$. Use the augmentation map

$$R[x] \rightarrow R; x \mapsto 0$$

to define the nilpotent ε -symmetric L -groups of R

$$NL^*(R, \varepsilon) = \ker(L^*(R[x], \varepsilon) \rightarrow L^*(R, \varepsilon))$$

with

$$L^*(R[x], \varepsilon) = L^*(R, \varepsilon) \oplus NL^*(R, \varepsilon).$$

Similarly for the nilpotent ε -quadratic L -groups $NL_*(R, \varepsilon)$.

Proposition 9. (i) *The Q Nil-groups of an R -nilcomplex (C, v) are the Q -groups of the $R[x, x^{-1}]$ -contractible f.g. projective $R[x]$ -module chain complex*

$$D = C(x - v : C[x] \rightarrow C[x])$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} x = v : H_*(D) &= H_*(C) \rightarrow H_*(D) = H_*(C), \\ [1.ex]Q \text{ Nil}^n(C, v, \varepsilon) &= Q^{n+1}(D, -\varepsilon), \\ [1.ex]Q \text{ Nil}_n(C, v, \varepsilon) &= Q_{n+1}(D, -\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

An element $(\delta\phi, \phi) \in Q \text{ Nil}^n(C, v, \varepsilon)$ corresponds to an element

$$\Phi \in Q^{n+1}(D, -\varepsilon) = Q^{n-1}(S^{-1}D, \varepsilon)$$

with

$$\phi_0 = \Phi_0 : H^{n+1-*}(D) = H^{n-*}(C) \rightarrow H_*(D) = H_*(C),$$

so that $(C, v, \delta\phi, \phi)$ is an ε -symmetric Poincaré nilcomplex if and only if $(S^{-1}D, \Phi)$ is an ε -symmetric Poincaré complex. Similarly in the ε -quadratic case.

(ii) *The nilpotent ε -symmetric L -group of a ring with involution R fits into a split exact sequence:*

$$0 \rightarrow L_{\tilde{K}_0(R)}^n(R[x], \varepsilon) \rightarrow L_{\tilde{K}_0(R)}^n(R[x, x^{-1}], \varepsilon) \rightarrow L\text{Nil}^n(R, \varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$$

with the surjection split by the injection

$$\begin{aligned} L\text{Nil}^n(R, \varepsilon) &\rightarrow L_{\tilde{K}_0(R)}^n(R[x, x^{-1}], \varepsilon), \\ [1.ex](C, v, \delta\phi, \phi) &\mapsto (C[x, x^{-1}], [v, \delta\phi, \phi]) \oplus (C[x, x^{-1}], -\phi), \\ [1.ex]([v, \delta\phi, \phi]_s &= (x - v)\phi_s + T_\varepsilon\delta\phi_{s-1}, s \geq 0, \delta\phi_{-1} = 0). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly in the ε -quadratic case, with a split exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow L_n^{\tilde{K}_0(R)}(R[x], \varepsilon) \rightarrow L_n^{\tilde{K}_0(R)}(R[x, x^{-1}], \varepsilon) \rightarrow L\text{Nil}_n(R, \varepsilon) \rightarrow 0,$$

where the surjection split by the injection

$$\begin{aligned} L\text{Nil}_n(R, \varepsilon) &\rightarrow L_n^{\tilde{K}_0(R)}(R[x, x^{-1}], \varepsilon), \\ (C, v, \delta\psi, \psi) &\mapsto (C[x, x^{-1}], [v, \delta\psi, \psi]) \oplus (C[x, x^{-1}], -\psi), \\ ([v, \delta\psi, \psi]_s &= (x - v)\psi_s + T_\varepsilon\delta\psi_{s+1}, s \geq 0). \end{aligned}$$

(iii) *The morphism*

$$L\text{Nil}^n(R, \varepsilon) \rightarrow L_{\widetilde{K}_0(R)}^n(R[x], \varepsilon); (C, v, \delta\phi, \phi) \mapsto (C[x], \widetilde{\Phi})$$

$$(\widetilde{\Phi}_s = (1 - xv)\phi_s + xT_\varepsilon\delta\phi_{s-1}, \quad s \geq 0, \quad \delta\phi_{-1} = 0)$$

is an isomorphism, and

$$L^n(R[x], \varepsilon) = L^n(R, \varepsilon) \oplus L\widetilde{\text{Nil}}^n(R, \varepsilon), \quad NL^n(R, \varepsilon) = L\widetilde{\text{Nil}}^n(R, \varepsilon).$$

Similarly in the ε -quadratic case, with the morphism¹

$$L\text{Nil}_n(R, \varepsilon) \rightarrow L_n^{\widetilde{K}_0(R)}(R[x], \varepsilon), (C, v, \delta\psi, \psi) \mapsto (C[x], \widetilde{\Psi}),$$

$$(\widetilde{\Psi}_s = (1 - xv)\psi_s + xT_\varepsilon\delta\psi_{s+1}, \quad s \geq 0)$$

an isomorphism, and

$$L_n(R[x], \varepsilon) = L_n(R, \varepsilon) \oplus L\widetilde{\text{Nil}}_n(R, \varepsilon), \quad NL_n(R, \varepsilon) = L\widetilde{\text{Nil}}_n(R, \varepsilon).$$

Proof. (i) Ranicki [18, Propositions 34.5].

(ii) The ε -symmetric L -theory localization exact sequence of Proposition 3.7.2 of Ranicki [16]

$$\dots \rightarrow L_I^n(A, \varepsilon) \rightarrow L_{S^{-1}I}^n(S^{-1}A, \varepsilon) \xrightarrow{\partial} L_I^n(A, S, \varepsilon) \rightarrow L_I^{n-1}(A, \varepsilon) \rightarrow \dots$$

is defined for any ring with involution A , a central multiplicative subset $S \subseteq A$ of non-zero divisors, and any $*$ -invariant subgroup $I \subseteq \widetilde{K}_0(A)$, with $L_I^n(A, S, \varepsilon)$ the cobordism group of $(n - 1)$ -dimensional ε -symmetric Poincaré complexes (C, ϕ) over A such that

$$S^{-1}A \otimes_A C \simeq 0, \quad [C] \in I.$$

The boundary map is defined by

$$\partial : L_{S^{-1}I}^n(S^{-1}A, \varepsilon) \rightarrow L_I^n(A, S, \varepsilon); S^{-1}(C, \phi) \mapsto \partial(C, \phi)$$

with (C, ϕ) an n -dimensional $S^{-1}A$ -Poincaré ε -symmetric complex over A such that $[C] \in I$, and $\partial(C, \phi) = (\partial C, \partial\phi)$ the $(n - 1)$ -dimensional $S^{-1}A$ -contractible ε -symmetric

¹As noted by the referee the cycles $\widetilde{\Phi}, (1 + T)\widetilde{\Psi} \in (W^{\%}C[x])_n$ differ by a boundary involving $\delta\psi_0$.

Poincaré complex over A given by the boundary construction of p. 48 of [16], with $\partial C = \mathcal{C}(\phi_0 : C^{n-*} \rightarrow C)_{*+1}$. For

$$(A, S) = (R[x], \{x^k | k \geq 0\}), \quad S^{-1}A = R[x, x^{-1}], \quad I = \widetilde{K}_0(R) \subseteq \widetilde{K}_0(R[x])$$

the localization exact sequence breaks up into split exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow L_I^n(A, \varepsilon) \rightarrow L_{S^{-1}I}^n(S^{-1}A, \varepsilon) \xrightarrow{\partial} L_I^n(A, S, \varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} L_I^n(A, \varepsilon) &= L_{\widetilde{K}_0(R)}^n(R[x], \varepsilon), \\ L_{S^{-1}I}^n(S^{-1}A, \varepsilon) &= L_{\widetilde{K}_0(R)}^n(R[x, x^{-1}], \varepsilon), \\ L_I^n(A, S, \varepsilon) &= LNil^n(R, \varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

(Propositions 5.1.3, 5.1.4 of [16]). The formulae for $[v, \delta\phi, \phi]$ and $[v, \delta\psi, \psi]$ are from p. 445 of [16]. The identification $L_I^n(A, S, \varepsilon) = LNil^n(R, \varepsilon)$ can be deduced from (i), noting that by Proposition 7 a finite f.g. projective $R[x]$ -module chain complex D with projective class $[D] \in I$ is such that $R[x, x^{-1}] \otimes_{R[x]} D \simeq 0$ if and only if D is chain equivalent to $\mathcal{C}(x - v : C[x] \rightarrow C[x])$ for an R -nilcomplex (C, v) , with C R -module chain equivalent to D and $v \simeq x : C \simeq D \rightarrow C \simeq D$. The map

$$LNil^n(R, \varepsilon) \rightarrow L_I^n(R[x], S, \varepsilon), \quad (C, v, \delta\phi, \phi) \mapsto (S^{-1}D, \Phi)$$

is an isomorphism, which factors as

$$LNil^n(R, \varepsilon) \rightarrow L_{\widetilde{K}_0(R)}^n(R[x, x^{-1}], \varepsilon) \xrightarrow{\partial} L_I^n(R[x], S, \varepsilon)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} LNil^n(R, \varepsilon) &\rightarrow L_{\widetilde{K}_0(R)}^n(R[x, x^{-1}], \varepsilon), \\ (C, v, \delta\phi, \phi) &\mapsto (C[x, x^{-1}], \{(x - v)\phi_s + T_\varepsilon \delta\phi_{s-1} | s \geq 0\}) \quad (\delta\phi_{-1} = 0). \end{aligned}$$

(iii) The inclusion $R[x^{-1}] \rightarrow R[x, x^{-1}]$ induces a split injection

$$L_{\widetilde{K}_0(R)}^n(R[x^{-1}], \varepsilon) \rightarrow L_{\widetilde{K}_0(R)}^n(R[x, x^{-1}], \varepsilon) = L_{\widetilde{K}_0(R)}^n(R[x], \varepsilon) \oplus LNil^n(R, \varepsilon)$$

with image

$$L_p^n(R, \varepsilon) \oplus L\widetilde{Nil}^n(R, \varepsilon) = LNil^n(R, \varepsilon).$$

Replacing $R[x^{-1}]$ by $R[x]$, it follows that the morphism

$$L\text{Nil}^n(R, \varepsilon) \rightarrow L_{\tilde{K}_0(R)}^n(R[x^{-1}], \varepsilon),$$

$$(C, v, \delta\phi, \phi) \mapsto (C[x^{-1}], \{(1 - x^{-1}v)\phi_s + x^{-1}T_\varepsilon\delta\phi_{s-1} | s \geq 0\}) \quad (\delta\phi_{-1} = 0)$$

is an isomorphism. The inclusion $R[x^{-1}] \rightarrow R[x, x^{-1}]$ induces a split injection

$$L_{\tilde{K}_0(R)}^n(R[x^{-1}], \varepsilon) \rightarrow L_{\tilde{K}_0(R)}^n(R[x, x^{-1}], \varepsilon) = L_{\tilde{K}_0(R)}^n(R[x], \varepsilon) \oplus L\text{Nil}^n(R, \varepsilon)$$

with image

$$L_p^n(R, \varepsilon) \oplus L\widetilde{\text{Nil}}^n(R, \varepsilon),$$

and an isomorphism

$$L_p^n(R, \varepsilon) \oplus L\widetilde{\text{Nil}}^n(R, \varepsilon) \rightarrow L_{\tilde{K}_0(R)}^n(R[x^{-1}], \varepsilon). \quad \square$$

In the applications of the nilpotent L -groups to the unitary nilpotent L -groups we shall be particularly concerned with the Witt groups of ‘nilforms’ over R .

Define the Q Nil-groups of an R -nilmodule (P, v) to be the Q Nil-groups of the 0-dimensional R -nilcomplex (C, v^*) with

$$C : \dots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow C_0 = P^* \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \dots,$$

as given in the ε -symmetric case by

$$Q\text{Nil}^\varepsilon(P, v) = Q\text{Nil}^0(C, v^*, \varepsilon)$$

$$= \{\phi \in \text{Hom}_R(P, P^*) \mid \varepsilon\phi^* = \phi, v^*\phi = \phi v : P \rightarrow P^*\}$$

and in the ε -quadratic case by

$$Q\text{Nil}_\varepsilon(P, v)$$

$$= Q\text{Nil}_0(C, v^*, \varepsilon)$$

$$= \frac{\{(\delta\psi, \psi) \in \text{Hom}_R(P, P^*) \oplus \text{Hom}_R(P, P^*) \mid v^*\psi - \psi v = \delta\psi + \varepsilon\delta\psi^* : P \rightarrow P^*\}}{\{(\delta\chi - \varepsilon\delta\chi^* + v^*\chi - \chi v, \chi - \varepsilon\chi^*) \mid (\delta\chi, \chi) \in \text{Hom}_R(P, P^*) \oplus \text{Hom}_R(P, P^*)\}}.$$

There is an evident ε -symmetrization map

$$N_\varepsilon : Q\text{Nil}_\varepsilon(P, v) \rightarrow Q\text{Nil}^\varepsilon(P, v); \quad (\delta\psi, \psi) \mapsto N_\varepsilon(\psi).$$

Definition 10 (Ranicki [16, p. 452]). (i) A non-singular ε -symmetric nilform over R (P, ν, ϕ) consists of

- (a) an R -nilmodule (P, ν) ,
- (b) an element $\phi \in Q \text{Nil}^\varepsilon(P, \nu)$ such that $\phi : P \rightarrow P^*$ is an isomorphism.

Thus (P, ϕ) is a nonsingular ε -symmetric form over R , and there is defined an isomorphism of R -nilmodules

$$\phi : (P, \nu) \rightarrow (P^*, \nu^*).$$

A lagrangian for (P, ν, ϕ) is a direct summand $L \subseteq P$ such that

- (c) $\nu(L) \subseteq L$,
- (d) the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow L \xrightarrow{i} P \xrightarrow{i^*\phi} L^* \rightarrow 0$$

is exact, with $i : L \rightarrow P$ the inclusion.

In particular, L is a lagrangian for the nonsingular ε -symmetric form (P, ϕ) .

(ii) A nonsingular ε -quadratic nilform over R $(P, \nu, \delta\psi, \psi)$ consists of

- (a) an R -nilmodule (P, ν)
- (b) an element $(\delta\psi, \psi) \in Q \text{Nil}_\varepsilon(P, \nu)$ such that $N_\varepsilon(\psi) : P \rightarrow P^*$ is an isomorphism.

Thus (P, ψ) is a nonsingular ε -quadratic form over R , and there is defined an isomorphism of R -nilmodules

$$N_\varepsilon(\psi) : (P, \nu) \rightarrow (P^*, \nu^*).$$

A lagrangian for $(P, \nu, \delta\psi, \psi)$ is a direct summand $L \subseteq P$ such that

- (c) $\nu(L) \subseteq L$,
- (d) the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow L \xrightarrow{i} P \xrightarrow{i^*N_\varepsilon(\psi)} L^* \rightarrow 0$$

is exact, with $i : L \rightarrow P$ the inclusion,

- (e) $(i^*\delta\psi i, i^*\psi i) = (0, 0) \in Q \text{Nil}_\varepsilon(L, \nu|_L)$.

In particular, L is a lagrangian for the nonsingular ε -quadratic form (P, ψ) .

The notion of stable isometry of nilforms is now defined in the usual way using lagrangians and orthogonal direct sums, and $\text{LNil}^0(R, \varepsilon)$ (resp. $\text{LNil}_0(R, \varepsilon)$) is the Witt

group of nonsingular ε -symmetric (resp. ε -quadratic) nilforms over R . See [16, pp. 456–457] for the identification of $\text{LNil}^1(R, \varepsilon)$ (resp. $\text{LNil}_1(R, \varepsilon)$) with the Witt group of nonsingular ε -symmetric (resp. ε -quadratic) nilformations over R .

Proposition 11 (Ranicki [18, Proposition 41.3]). (i) For any ring with involution R the skew-suspension maps in the nilpotent $\pm\varepsilon$ -quadratic L -groups are isomorphisms, so that

$$\text{LNil}_n(R, \varepsilon) = \text{LNil}_{n+2}(R, -\varepsilon) = \text{LNil}_{n+4}(R, \varepsilon),$$

with $\text{LNil}_{2n}(R, \varepsilon) = \text{LNil}_0(R, (-1)^n \varepsilon)$ the Witt group of nonsingular $(-1)^n \varepsilon$ -quadratic nilforms over R . Similarly for $\widetilde{\text{LNil}}_*(R, \varepsilon)$.

(ii) If R is a Dedekind ring with involution then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LNil}^n(R, \varepsilon) &= \text{LNil}^{n+2}(R, -\varepsilon) = \text{LNil}^{n+4}(R, \varepsilon), \\ \text{LNil}^n(R, \varepsilon) &= L_p^n(R, \varepsilon), \quad \widetilde{\text{LNil}}^n(R, \varepsilon) = 0 \quad (n \geq 0). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. (i) In order to establish the 4-periodicity use algebraic surgery below the middle dimension, as for the ordinary ε -quadratic L -groups $L_n(R, \varepsilon)$ in Proposition I.4.3 of [14,15] (cf. Proposition 3 above).

(ii) The explicit proof in the case $n = 0$ [18, p. 588] extends to the general case as follows. Let $(C, v, \delta\phi, \phi)$ be an n -dimensional ε -symmetric Poincaré nilcomplex over R , representing an element of $\text{LNil}^n(R, \varepsilon)$, with

$$v^N = 0 : C \rightarrow C$$

for some $N \geq 1$. We reduce to the case $N = 1$ using the structure theory of f.g. modules over the Dedekind ring R : every f.g. R -module M fits into a split exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow T(M) \rightarrow M \rightarrow M/T(M) \rightarrow 0$$

with

$$T(M) = \{x \in M \mid ax = 0 \in M \text{ for some } a \neq 0 \in R\}$$

the torsion R -submodule and the quotient torsion-free R -module $M/T(M)$ is f.g. projective. In particular, for any R -nilmodule (P, v) with

$$v^N = 0 : P \rightarrow P$$

the R -submodule of P defined by

$$T_N(P, v) = \{x \in P \mid ax \in v^{N-1}(P) \text{ for some } a \neq 0 \in R\}$$

is such that

$$T_N(P, v)/v^{N-1}(P) = T(P/v^{N-1}(P)).$$

The torsion-free quotient R -module

$$(P/v^{N-1}(P))/T(P/v^{N-1}(P)) = P/T_N(P, v)$$

is f.g. projective, so that $T_N(P, v)$ is a direct summand of P . The inclusion defines a morphism of R -nilmodules

$$i : (T_N(P, v), 0) \rightarrow (P, v).$$

Moreover, if (P', v') is another R -nilmodule with $v'^N = 0$ and

$$\theta : (P, v) \rightarrow (P', v')^* = (P'^*, v'^*).$$

is a morphism of R -nilmodules then

$$i'^* \theta i = 0 : T_N(P, v) \rightarrow T_N(P', v')^*$$

since for any $x \in T_N(P, v)$, $x' \in T_N(P', v')$ there exist $a, a' \neq 0 \in R$, $y \in P$, $y' \in P'$ with

$$ax = v^{N-1}(y) \in P, \quad a'x' = v'^{N-1}(y') \in P'$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} a' \theta(x)(x') \bar{a} &= \theta(ax)(a'x') \\ &= \theta(v^{N-1}(y))(v'^{N-1}(y')) \\ &= \theta(v^{2N-2}(y))(y') \\ &= 0 \in R \quad (\text{since } 2N - 2 \geq N) \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\theta(x)(x') = 0 \in R.$$

Returning to the n -dimensional ε -symmetric Poincaré nilcomplex $(C, v, \delta\phi, \phi)$ with $v^N = 0 : C \rightarrow C$, let $i : (B, 0) \rightarrow (C^{n-*}, v^*)$ be the inclusion of the subcomplex defined by

$$B_r = T_N(C^{n-r}, v^*).$$

The chain map of R -nilmodule chain complexes defined by

$$f = i^* : (C, \nu) \rightarrow (D, 0) = (B^{n-*}, 0)$$

is such that

$$f^*(\delta\phi, \phi) = 0 \in Q \text{Nil}^n(D, 0, \varepsilon).$$

Algebraic surgery on $(C, \nu, \delta\phi, \phi)$ using the $(n + 1)$ -dimensional ε -symmetric nil-pair $(f : (C, \nu) \rightarrow (D, 0), (0, (\delta\phi, \phi)))$ over R results in a cobordant n -dimensional ε -symmetric Poincaré nilcomplex $(C', \nu', \delta\phi', \phi')$ over R with

$$\nu' \simeq 0 : C' \rightarrow C'. \quad \square$$

1.3. The unitary nilpotent L -groups UNil

Let R be any ring. An *involution* on an R - R bimodule \mathcal{A} is a homomorphism

$$\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}; a \mapsto \bar{a}$$

which satisfies

$$\bar{\bar{a}} = a, \overline{ras} = \bar{s}\bar{a}\bar{r} \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{A}, r, s \in R.$$

For any R -module P there is defined an R -module

$$\mathcal{A}P = \mathcal{A} \otimes_R P.$$

As in the special case $\mathcal{A} = R$ write the evaluation pairing as

$$\langle , \rangle : \mathcal{A}P^* \times P \rightarrow \mathcal{A}; (a \otimes f, x) \mapsto \langle a \otimes f, x \rangle = af(x).$$

An element $\phi \in \text{Hom}_R(P, \mathcal{A}P^*)$ determines a \mathcal{A} -valued sesquilinear form on P

$$\langle , \rangle_\phi : P \times P \rightarrow \mathcal{A}; (x, y) \mapsto \langle \phi(x), y \rangle,$$

and we identify $\text{Hom}_R(P, \mathcal{A}P^*)$ with the additive group of such forms. For $\varepsilon = \pm 1$ and a f.g. projective P define an involution

$$T_\varepsilon : \text{Hom}_R(P, \mathcal{A}P^*) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(P, \mathcal{A}P^*); \phi \mapsto \varepsilon\phi^*, \quad \langle x, y \rangle_{\phi^*} = \overline{\langle y, x \rangle_\phi}.$$

One then defines a map

$$N_\varepsilon = 1 + T_\varepsilon : \text{Hom}_R(P, \mathcal{A}P^*) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(P, \mathcal{A}P^*); \phi \mapsto \phi + \varepsilon\phi^t \tag{2}$$

with

$$\langle x, y \rangle_{N_\varepsilon(\phi)} = \langle x, y \rangle_\phi + \varepsilon \overline{\langle y, x \rangle_\phi}.$$

An \mathcal{A} -valued ε -symmetric form (P, λ) (resp. ε -quadratic form (P, μ)) over R is a f.g. projective R -module P together with an element of the group

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \in Q^\varepsilon(P, \mathcal{A}) &= \ker(1 - T_\varepsilon : \text{Hom}_R(P, \mathcal{A}P^*) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(P, \mathcal{A}P^*)), \\ \mu \in Q_\varepsilon(P, \mathcal{A}) &= \text{coker}(1 - T_\varepsilon : \text{Hom}_R(P, \mathcal{A}P^*) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(P, \mathcal{A}P^*)). \end{aligned}$$

As usual, for $\lambda \in Q^\varepsilon(P, \mathcal{A})$ we write

$$\lambda(x, y) = \langle \lambda(x), y \rangle \in \mathcal{A}$$

and for $\mu \in Q_\varepsilon(P, \mathcal{A})$ we write

$$\mu(x) = \langle \mu(x), x \rangle \in \mathcal{A}/\{a - \varepsilon\bar{a} \mid a \in \mathcal{A}\}.$$

The map N_ε induces a well defined map:

$$N_\varepsilon : Q_\varepsilon(P, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow Q^\varepsilon(P, \mathcal{A}); [\mu] \mapsto \mu + \varepsilon\mu^t. \tag{3}$$

Definition 12 (Cappell [5]). (i) Let $\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_{-1}$ be R -bimodules with involution. Assume $\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_{-1}$ are free as right R -modules. A nonsingular ε -quadratic unilform over $(R; \mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_{-1})$ is a quadruple

$$(P_1, P_{-1}, \mu_1, \mu_{-1})$$

where, for $\delta = \pm 1$, we require:

- (a) (P_δ, μ_δ) is a stably f.g. free \mathcal{B}_δ -valued ε -quadratic form over R ,
- (b) $P_\delta = P_{-\delta}^*$; we then identify $(P_\delta^*)^* = P_\delta$ in the usual way, and write the evaluation pairing as

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : P_1 \times P_{-1} \rightarrow R; (x, f) \mapsto f(x).$$

(c) If $\lambda_\delta = N_\varepsilon(\mu_\delta)$ is the associated ε -symmetric form to μ_δ , then the composite

$$P_1 \xrightarrow{\lambda_1} \mathcal{B}_1 P_{-1} \xrightarrow{\lambda_{-1} \otimes 1} \mathcal{B}_{-1} \mathcal{B}_1 P_1 \xrightarrow{\lambda_1 \otimes 1} \mathcal{B}_1 \mathcal{B}_{-1} \mathcal{B}_1 P_{-1} \xrightarrow{\lambda_{-1} \otimes 1} \dots$$

is eventually zero. (That is to say, for some k , the composite map $P_1 \rightarrow (\mathcal{B}_{-1} \mathcal{B}_1)^k P_1$ is zero.)

(ii) A *sublagrangian* for $(P_1, P_{-1}, \mu_1, \mu_{-1})$ is a pair of stably f.g. free direct summands $V_1 \subseteq P_1, V_{-1} \subseteq P_{-1}$ such that, for $\delta = \pm 1$

$$\langle V_1, V_{-1} \rangle = 0, \lambda_\delta(V_\delta) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_\delta V_{-\delta}, \mu_\delta(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in V_\delta. \tag{4}$$

We call (V_1, V_{-1}) a *lagrangian* if in addition:

$$V_1 = V_{-1}^\perp. \tag{5}$$

One can form orthogonal direct sums of ε -quadratic unilforms over $(R; \mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_{-1})$ in a rather obvious way. Cappell [5] defined $\text{UNil}_{2n}(R; \mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_{-1})$ to be the Witt group of stable isometry classes of nonsingular $(-1)^n$ -quadratic unilforms over $(R; \mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_{-1})$ modulo those admitting lagrangians, and showed (geometrically) that if π_{-1}, π_0, π_1 are finitely presented groups with $\pi_0 \subseteq \pi_{-1}, \pi_0 \subseteq \pi_1$ and

$$\pi = \pi_{-1} *_{\pi_0} \pi_1, \quad R = \mathbb{Z}[\pi_0], \quad \mathcal{B}_{\pm 1} = \mathbb{Z}[\pi_{\pm 1} \setminus \pi_0]$$

then the morphism defined by

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{UNil}_{2n}(R; \mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_{-1}) \rightarrow L_{2n}(\mathbb{Z}[\pi]), \\ &(P_1, P_{-1}, \mu_1, \mu_{-1}) \mapsto \left(\mathbb{Z}[\pi] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi_0]} (P_1 \oplus P_{-1}), \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 & 1 \\ 0 & \mu_{-1} \end{pmatrix} \right) \end{aligned}$$

is a split monomorphism.

If an ε -quadratic unilform $u = (P_1, P_{-1}, \mu_1, \mu_{-1})$ has a sublagrangian (V_1, V_{-1}) , then one can form a new ε -quadratic unilform (see [8, 6.3(f)])

$$u' = (V_{-1}^\perp/V_1, V_1^\perp/V_{-1}, \mu'_1, \mu'_{-1}),$$

so that

$$[u] = [u'] \in \text{UNil}_{2n}(R; \mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_{-1}).$$

1.4. The proof of Theorem A in the even-dimensional case

We begin by defining maps:

$$L\widetilde{\text{Nil}}_{2n}(R) \xrightarrow{c} \text{UNil}_{2n}(R; R, R) \xrightarrow{r} NL_{2n}(R) \subseteq L_{2n}(R[x]).$$

The proof will show that the maps c, r are both isomorphisms.

Let $\varepsilon = (-1)^n$.

Definition 13. The map

$$r : \text{UNil}_{2n}(R; R, R) \rightarrow NL_{2n}(R); u \mapsto r(u)$$

sends an ε -quadratic unilform $u = (P_1, P_{-1}, \mu_1, \mu_{-1})$ over $(R; R, R)$ to the ε -quadratic form $r(u)$ over $R[x]$ given by:

$$r(u) = (P_1[x] \oplus P_{-1}[x], \psi_0 + x\psi_1),$$

where

$$\psi_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & \mu_{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \psi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here, $\psi_i : (P_1 \oplus P_{-1})[x] \rightarrow (P_{-1} \oplus P_1)[x]$ ($i = 0, 1$) is the $R[x]$ -module morphism induced, using change of coefficients, from the R -module morphism of the same name

$$\psi_i : (P_1 \oplus P_{-1}) \rightarrow (P_1 \oplus P_{-1})^* = (P_{-1} \oplus P_1).$$

In order to verify that r is well-defined, first notice that

$$N_\varepsilon(\psi_0 + x\psi_1) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \varepsilon & 0 \end{pmatrix} (1 + v) : (P_1 \oplus P_{-1})[x] \rightarrow (P_1^* \oplus P_{-1}^*)[x],$$

where

$$v = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varepsilon\lambda_{-1} \\ x\lambda_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : (P_1 \oplus P_{-1})[x] \rightarrow (P_1 \oplus P_{-1})[x], \lambda_{\pm 1} : = N_\varepsilon(\mu_{\pm 1}).$$

Because

$$v^2 = \begin{pmatrix} x\varepsilon\lambda_{-1}\lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & x\lambda_1\lambda_{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Definition 12 shows that v is obviously nilpotent. Therefore $N_\varepsilon(\psi_0 + x\psi_1)$ is nonsingular.

To see that $[r(u)] \in NL_{2n}(R)$, notice that $\eta_*[r(u)] = [P_1 \oplus P_{-1}, \psi_0]$, and that $P_1 \oplus 0$ is a lagrangian for $(P_1 \oplus P_{-1}, \psi_0)$.

The rule $u \mapsto r(u)$ preserves orthogonal direct sums of forms. If (V_1, V_{-1}) is a lagrangian for u , then $V_1[x] \oplus V_{-1}[x]$ is a lagrangian for $r(u)$. We thus have a well-defined homomorphism:

$$r : \text{UNil}_{2n}(R; R, R) \rightarrow NL_{2n}(R).$$

Definition 14. The map

$$c : L\widetilde{\text{Nil}}_{2n}(R) \rightarrow \text{UNil}_{2n}(R; R, R); \quad z \mapsto c(z)$$

sends a nonsingular ε -quadratic nilform $z = (P, v, \delta\psi, \psi)$ over R (see Definition 10) to $c(z) = (P_1, P_{-1}, \mu_1, \mu_{-1})$, where

$$P_1 = P, \quad P_{-1} = P^*, \quad \mu_1 = \delta\psi - v^*\psi, \quad \mu_{-1} = -\phi^{-1}\psi^*\phi^{-1}$$

with $\phi = N_\varepsilon(\psi) : P \rightarrow P^*$ an isomorphism.

Using Definition 10 set

$$\lambda_1 = N_\varepsilon(\mu_1) = -\phi v = -v^*\phi,$$

noting that $N_{-\varepsilon}N_\varepsilon(\delta\psi) = 0$. Set also

$$\lambda_{-1} = N_\varepsilon(\mu_{-1}) = -\varepsilon\phi^{-1}.$$

Because $\lambda_{-1}\lambda_1 = \varepsilon v$, and v is nilpotent, it follows that $c(z)$ is an ε -quadratic unilform over $(R; R, R)$. The rule $z \mapsto c(z)$ preserves orthogonal direct sums. Moreover, if N is a lagrangian for z , then (N, N^\perp) is a lagrangian for $c(z)$. Therefore Definition 14 gives a homomorphism:

$$c : L\widetilde{\text{Nil}}_{2n}(R) \rightarrow \text{UNil}_{2n}(R; R, R). \tag{6}$$

Definition 15. The morphism

$$j : L\widetilde{\text{Nil}}_{2n}(R) \rightarrow NL_{2n}(R); \quad y \mapsto j(y)$$

sends $y = [P, v, \delta\psi, \psi]$ to

$$j(y) = [P[x], \psi + x(\delta\psi - v^*\psi)].$$

It was proved in [16, p. 445] that j is in fact an isomorphism. See Remark 16 for the precise matching up of the formula in Definition 15 with the morphism defined there.

The right-hand side in Definition 15 gives a nonsingular form because

$$N_\varepsilon(\psi + x(\delta\psi - v^*\psi)) = N_\varepsilon(\psi)(1 - xv),$$

an isomorphism by Definition 10. Moreover this right-hand side is in $NL_{2n}(R)$, also by Definition 10.

Remark 16. In order to obtain the formula in Definition 15 for $j(y)$ from the formula in [16, p. 445] one must make the following translation of the terminology there to our terminology:

$$\begin{aligned} A &= R, \quad C^0 = P, \quad C^i = 0 \text{ for } i \neq 0, \\ \psi_0 &= \psi, \quad \delta\psi_1 = \delta\psi, \end{aligned}$$

noting that the x^{-1} is our x , and the v^* there is our v . In the following argument we shall use the Witt group

$$\text{LNil}_{2n}^h(R) = L_{2n}(R) \oplus \widetilde{\text{LNil}}_{2n}(R)$$

of nonsingular $(-)^n$ -quadratic nilforms $(P, v, \delta\psi, \psi)$ over R with P a f.g. free R -module, and the split injection

$$\Delta : \text{LNil}_{2n}^h(R) \rightarrow L_{2n}(R[x, x^{-1}]); [P, v, \delta\psi, \psi] \mapsto [P[x, x^{-1}], (x^{-1} - v^*)\psi + \delta\psi]$$

defined there, along with the splitting map

$$\partial : L_{2n}(R[x, x^{-1}]) \rightarrow \text{LNil}_{2n}^h(R)$$

and the natural inclusion and projection:

$$\widetilde{\text{LNil}}_{2n}(R) \xrightarrow{i} \text{LNil}_{2n}^h(R) \xrightarrow{p} \widetilde{\text{LNil}}_{2n}(R).$$

Let $\tilde{E} : NL_{2n}(R) \rightarrow L_{2n}(R[x, x^{-1}])$ be the restriction of the natural monomorphism

$$E : L_{2n}(R[x]) \rightarrow L_{2n}(R[x, x^{-1}]).$$

Also, set

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\partial} &= p\partial : L_{2n}(R[x, x^{-1}]) \rightarrow L\tilde{\text{Nil}}_{2n}(R), \\ \tilde{\Delta} &= \Delta i : L\tilde{\text{Nil}}_{2n}(R) \rightarrow L_{2n}(R[x, x^{-1}]). \end{aligned}$$

Because $\partial\Delta = 1$, we get $\tilde{\partial}\tilde{\Delta} = 1$. According to the braid on p. 448 of [16], $\tilde{\partial}\tilde{E}$ is an isomorphism. The map j of Definition 15 is $j = (\tilde{\partial}\tilde{E})^{-1}$. To get the formula for j in Definition 15, note that the “devissage” map $\tilde{\partial}$ satisfies

$$\tilde{\partial} = \tilde{\partial}M$$

with

$$M : L_n(R[x, x^{-1}]) \rightarrow L_n(R[x, x^{-1}]); (P, \psi) \mapsto (P, x\psi).$$

Then from [16, p. 445], we translate and find:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Delta}(y) &= \tilde{\Delta}([P, v, \delta\psi, \psi]) \\ &= [P[x, x^{-1}], x^{-1}\{\psi + x(\delta\psi - v^*\psi)\}]. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} j(y) &= j(\tilde{\partial}M\tilde{\Delta}(y)) \\ &= \tilde{E}^{-1}M\tilde{\Delta}(y) \\ &= \tilde{E}^{-1}([P[x, x^{-1}], \psi + x(\delta\psi - v^*\psi)]) \\ &= [P[x], \psi + x(\delta\psi - v^*\psi)], \end{aligned}$$

as in Definition 15.

As explained above, [16] proves that j is an isomorphism.

Remark 17. The inverse of j

$$k = j^{-1} : NL_{2n}(R) \rightarrow L\tilde{\text{Nil}}_{2n}(R) \tag{7}$$

can be computed via Higman linearization (see [8, 3.6 (a)]) in the following way. By Higman linearization, each element of $NL_{2n}(R)$ can be represented in the form $[P[x], \psi_0 + x\psi_1]$. In these terms, the formula for $k = j^{-1}$ is

$$k[P[x], \psi_0 + x\psi_1] = [P, v, \delta\psi, \psi], \tag{8}$$

where

$$\psi = \psi_0, \quad v = (N_\varepsilon(\psi_0))^{-1}N_\varepsilon(\psi_1), \quad \delta\psi = v^*\psi_0 + \psi_1.$$

It is clear that $jk = 1$.

We now turn to the proof of Theorem A in even dimensions. We only have to show that:

$$(i) \ ckr = 1, \quad (ii) \ rc = j. \tag{9}$$

The proof of (9) (i) is easiest: let $(P_1, P_{-1}, \mu_1, \mu_{-1})$ be an ε -quadratic uniform over $(R; R, R)$. By Definitions 13, 14 and (8), and direct calculation, we obtain:

$$ckr[P_1, P_{-1}, \mu_1, \mu_{-1}] = [P_1 \oplus P_{-1}, P_{-1} \oplus P_1, \tilde{\mu}_1, \tilde{\mu}_{-1}], \tag{10}$$

where

$$\tilde{\mu}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{\mu}_{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_{-1} & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Perform a sublagrangian construction on the right-hand side of (10), using the sublagrangian

$$V_1 = 0 \oplus P_{-1}, \quad V_{-1} = 0.$$

This yields

$$[P_1 \oplus P_{-1}, P_{-1} \oplus P_1, \tilde{\mu}_1, \tilde{\mu}_{-1}] = [P_1, P_{-1}, \mu_1, \mu_{-1}].$$

Therefore $ckr = 1$, proving Eq. (9)(i).

Next we prove Eq. (9)(ii).

Suppose $a = [P, v, \delta\psi, \psi] \in L\widetilde{\text{Nil}}_{2n}(R)$. By direct calculation and Definitions 13 and 14, we have

$$rc(a) = [P[x] \oplus P^*[x], \Psi_0 + x\Psi_1], \tag{11}$$

where

$$\Psi_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & 1 \\ 0 & -\phi^{-1}\psi^*\phi^{-1} & \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Psi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \delta\psi - v^*\psi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with $\phi = N_\varepsilon(\psi)$. By hypothesis (see Definition 10), (P, ψ) admits a lagrangian, say $N \subseteq P$. Let

$$V = (\phi N)[x] \subseteq P^*[x] \subseteq P[x] \oplus P^*[x].$$

By (11) V is a sublagrangian for $\Psi_0 + x\Psi_1$. In fact, setting $\Phi = N_\varepsilon(\Psi_0 + x\Psi_1)$, one readily computes that the Φ -orthogonal complement of V is

$$V_\Phi^\perp = \{(u, v) \in P[x] \oplus P^*[x] \mid \phi(u) - v \in V\}.$$

Therefore one obtains an isomorphism

$$g : P[x] \rightarrow V_\Phi^\perp/V; u \mapsto (u, \phi(u)).$$

Let $(V_\Phi^\perp/V, \Psi')$ be the sublagrangian construction on $cr(a)$ using V . We claim that

$$g : (P[x], \psi + x(\delta\psi - v^*\psi)) \rightarrow (V_\Phi^\perp/V, \Psi') \tag{12}$$

is an isometry. Since the right-hand side of (12) represents $rc(a)$, and the left-hand side is $j(a)$, this claim (12) will prove (9)(ii).

We prove (12) using the duality pairing

$$\begin{aligned} \{ , \} : (P^*[x] \oplus P[x]) \times (P[x] \oplus P^*[x]) &\rightarrow R[x], \\ ((\xi, \eta), (\eta', \xi')) &\mapsto \{(\xi, \eta), (\eta', \xi')\} = \langle \xi, \eta' \rangle + \overline{\langle \xi', \eta \rangle}. \end{aligned}$$

Eq. (12) amounts to the identity:

$$\langle [\psi + x(\delta\psi - v^*\psi)](u), v \rangle = \{\Psi(u, \phi(u)), (v, \phi(v))\} \quad (u, v \in P[x]), \tag{13}$$

where $\Psi = \Psi_0 + x\Psi_1 : P[x] \oplus P^*[x] \rightarrow P^*[x] \oplus P[x]$. The right-hand side of (13) is computed from (11) as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle [\phi + x(\delta\psi - v^*\psi)](u), v \rangle + \overline{\langle \phi(v), -\phi'\psi^*(u) \rangle} \\ = \langle [\phi + x(\delta\psi - v^*\psi)](u), v \rangle + \langle -\phi^*\phi^{-1}\psi^*(u), v \rangle \\ = \langle [(\phi - \varepsilon\psi^*) + x(\delta\psi - v^*\psi)](u), v \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

which is the left-hand side of (13). This proves (12) and therefore also (9)(ii). Therefore the proof of Theorem A, when n is even, is complete.

Remark 18. It seems appropriate to record here an explicit formula for the inverse isomorphism

$$c^{-1} : \text{UNil}_{2n}(R; R, R) \rightarrow L\widetilde{\text{Nil}}_{2n}(R)$$

which can be derived from (8), (9) and Definition 13, as follows.

For $[P_1, P_{-1}, \mu_1, \mu_{-1}] \in \text{UNil}_{2n}(R; R, R)$ we have:

$$c^{-1}([P_1, P_{-1}, \mu_1, \mu_{-1}]) = (P_1 \oplus P_{-1}, v, \delta\psi, \psi), \tag{14}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \psi &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & \mu_{-1} \end{pmatrix} : P_1 \oplus P_{-1} \rightarrow P_{-1} \oplus P_1, & \psi_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \delta\psi &= v^*\psi + \psi_1 : P_1 \oplus P_{-1} \rightarrow P_{-1} \oplus P_1, \\ v &= -N_\varepsilon(\psi)^{-1}N_\varepsilon(\psi_1) = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon\lambda_{-1}\lambda_1 & 0 \\ -\lambda_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

with $\lambda_{\pm 1} = N_\varepsilon(\mu_{\pm 1})$.

1.5. The proof of Theorem A in the odd-dimensional case

We begin by commenting that the “simple L -theory” version of Theorem A, in even dimensions, proceeds uneventfully, along the same lines as above. We explain this in some detail now.

$\text{UNil}_{2n}^s(R; \mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_{-1})$ is defined in [5, p. 1118]. Also,

$$L\text{Nil}_n^s(R) = L_n^s(R) \oplus L\widetilde{\text{Nil}}_n^s(R)$$

is defined in [16, pp. 466–468], where there are also constructed exact sequences:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow L_n^{I+}(R[x]) \xrightarrow{E^s} L_n^J(R[x, x^{-1}]) \rightarrow L_n^P(R) \oplus L\widetilde{\text{Nil}}_n^s(R) \rightarrow 0, \\ 0 \rightarrow L_n^{I-}(R[x^{-1}]) \rightarrow L_n^J(R[x, x^{-1}]) \xrightarrow{\tilde{c}^s} L_n^P(R) \oplus L\widetilde{\text{Nil}}_n^s(R) \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_\pm &= \widetilde{K}_1(R) \subseteq \widetilde{K}_1(R[x^{\pm 1}]) = \widetilde{K}_1(R) \oplus \widetilde{\text{Nil}}_0(R), \\ J &= \widetilde{K}_1(R) \oplus K_0(R) \subseteq \widetilde{K}_1(R[x, x^{-1}]) = \widetilde{K}_1(R) \oplus K_0(R) \oplus \widetilde{\text{Nil}}_0(R) \oplus \widetilde{\text{Nil}}_0(R). \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$NL_n^s(R) = \ker(\eta_* : L_n^{K_1(R)}(R[x]) \rightarrow L_n(R))$$

and let $\tilde{\Delta}^s, \tilde{E}^s, \tilde{\partial}^s$ be as in Remark 16, concluding that $\tilde{\partial}^s \tilde{E}^s$ is an isomorphism. As before, define

$$j^s = (\tilde{\partial}^s \tilde{E}^s)^{-1} : L\tilde{\text{Nil}}_{2n}(R) \rightarrow NL_{2n}^s(R)$$

using the formula of Definition 15. The maps

$$L\tilde{\text{Nil}}_{2n}^s(R) \xrightarrow{c^s} \text{UNil}_{2n}^s(R; R, R) \xrightarrow{r^s} NL_{2n}^s(R), \text{ and } k^s = (j^s)^{-1}$$

are now defined exactly as in Definitions 13, 8, and the proof that these are isomorphisms can now be repeated without change. In summary, we have:

Proposition 19. *The maps $L\tilde{\text{Nil}}_{2n}^s(R) \xrightarrow{c^s} \text{UNil}_{2n}^s(R; R, R) \xrightarrow{r^s} NL_{2n}^s(R)$ described in the paragraph above are isomorphisms. Moreover, $j^s = r^s c^s$.*

We now complete the proof of Theorem A in odd dimensions.

Let $S = R[z, z^{-1}]$, extending the involution on R to S by

$$\bar{z} = z^{-1}.$$

Let $i : R \rightarrow S$ be the inclusion. The split exact sequence of Shaneson [20] and Ranicki [13]

$$0 \rightarrow L_n^s(R) \xrightarrow{i_*} L_n^s(S) \rightarrow L_{n-1}(R) \rightarrow 0$$

yields the split exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow NL_n^s(R) \xrightarrow{i_*} NL_n^s(S) \rightarrow NL_{n-1}(R) \rightarrow 0.$$

Cappell [5] defined $\text{UNil}_{2n-1}(R; R, R)$ as the cokernel in the split exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \text{UNil}_{2n}^s(R; R, R) \rightarrow \text{UNil}_{2n}^s(S; S, S) \rightarrow \text{UNil}_{2n-1}(R; R, R) \rightarrow 0.$$

The isomorphism r^s of Proposition 19, being functorial, therefore induces an isomorphism:

$$r : \text{UNil}_{2n-1}(R; R, R) \rightarrow NL_{2n-1}(R).$$

This proves Theorem A.

2. Chain bundles and the proof of Theorem B

2.1. Universal chain bundles

We begin with a resumé of the results of Ranicki [14,15,19] and Weiss [22,23] which we need. As in Section 1, R is a ring with involution.

A chain bundle (B, β) over R is a projective R -module chain complex B together with a 0-cycle

$$\beta \in (\widehat{W}^{\%} B^{-*})_0.$$

(We shall be mainly concerned with cases when the chain modules B_r are f.g. projective.) A map of chain bundles $f : (C, \gamma) \rightarrow (B, \beta)$ is a chain map $f : C \rightarrow B$ such that

$$[\widehat{f}^{\%}(\beta)] = [\gamma] \in \widehat{Q}^0(C^{-*}),$$

with $\widehat{f}^{\%} : \widehat{W}^{\%} B^{-*} \rightarrow W^{\%} C^{-*}$ the chain map induced by f . Each chain bundle (B, β) determines a homomorphism

$$J_{\beta} : Q^n(B) \rightarrow \widehat{Q}^n(B); \phi \mapsto J(\phi) - \widehat{\phi}_0^{\%}(S^n \beta), \tag{15}$$

where J is as in (1), $\widehat{\phi}_0^{\%}$ is the map induced by $\phi_0 : B^{n-*} \rightarrow B$, and $S^n : \widehat{W}^{\%} C \rightarrow \Sigma^{-n} \widehat{W}^{\%} \Sigma^n(C)$ is the natural isomorphism of chain complexes. The map J_{β} is not induced by a chain map.

The Tate \mathbb{Z}_2 -cohomology group

$$\widehat{H}^r(\mathbb{Z}_2; R) = \{x \in R \mid \bar{x} = (-1)^r x\} / \{y + (-1)^r \bar{y} \mid y \in R\}$$

is an R -module via

$$R \times \widehat{H}^r(\mathbb{Z}_2; R) \rightarrow \widehat{H}^r(\mathbb{Z}_2; R); (a, x) \mapsto ax\bar{a}.$$

The Wu classes of a chain bundle (B, β) are the R -module morphisms

$$v_r(\beta) : H_r(B) \rightarrow \widehat{H}^r(\mathbb{Z}_2; R); x \mapsto \langle \beta_{-2r}, x \otimes x \rangle (r \in \mathbb{Z}). \tag{16}$$

The universal chain bundle (B^R, β^R) exists for each R . It is the chain bundle (unique up to equivalence) characterized by the requirement that map (16) is an isomorphism for each r . This implies the more general property that for each f.g. free chain complex C the map

$$k_C : H_n(C \otimes_R B^R) \rightarrow \widehat{Q}^n(C); f \mapsto S^{-n} f^{\%}(\beta) \tag{17}$$

is an isomorphism. A cycle $f \in (C \otimes_R B^R)_n$ is a chain map $f : (B^R)^{-*} \rightarrow S^{-n}C$, inducing a morphism

$$S^{-n} f^\% : \widehat{Q}^0((B^R)^{-*}) \rightarrow \widehat{Q}^0(S^{-n}C) = \widehat{Q}^n(C).$$

See [19,22,23].

2.2. The chain bundle exact sequence and the theorem of Weiss

For each chain bundle (B, β) , the map J_β above fits into an exact sequence:

$$\dots \rightarrow \widehat{Q}^{n+1}(B) \xrightarrow{H} Q_n(B, \beta) \xrightarrow{N_\beta} Q^n(B) \xrightarrow{J_\beta} \widehat{Q}^n(B) \rightarrow \dots, \tag{18}$$

where the group $Q_n(B, \beta)$ of “twisted quadratic structures” and the maps N_β and H are defined as follows.

$Q_n(B, \beta)$ is defined as the abelian group of equivalence classes of pairs (ϕ, θ) (called symmetric structures on (B, β)) where $\phi \in (W^\%B)_n$, $\theta \in (\widehat{W}^\%B)_{n+1}$ satisfy

$$d\phi = 0, \quad d\theta = J_\beta(\phi).$$

The addition is defined by

$$(\phi, \theta) + (\phi', \theta') = (\phi + \phi', \theta + \theta' + \xi) \quad \text{where } \xi_s = \phi_0 \beta_{s-n+1} \phi'_0.$$

One says that (ϕ, θ) is equivalent to (ϕ', θ') if there exist $\zeta \in (W^\%B)_{n+1}$, $\eta \in (\widehat{W}^\%B)_{n+2}$ such that

$$d\zeta = \phi' - \phi, \quad d\eta = \theta' - \theta + J(\zeta) + (\zeta_0, \phi_0, \phi'_0)^\%(S^n \beta).$$

Here $(\zeta_0, \phi_0, \phi'_0)^\% : (\widehat{W}^\%B^{-*})_n \rightarrow (\widehat{W}^\%B)_{n+1}$ is the chain homotopy from $\phi_0^\%$ to $(\phi'_0)^\%$ induced by ζ_0 (see [19, Section 3]).

The map H is defined by: $H(\theta) = [0, \theta]$.

The map N_β is defined by: $N_\beta([\phi, \theta]) = [\phi]$.

When $\beta = 0$, then $Q_n(B, 0) = Q_n(B)$ and (18) reduces to (1).

Recall now from [16, pp. 19, 39, 137], the cobordism groups $L_n(R, \varepsilon)$ (resp. $L^n(R, \varepsilon)$, $\widehat{L}^n(R, \varepsilon)$) of free n -dimensional ε -quadratic (resp. symmetric, resp. hyperquadratic) Poincaré complexes over R , where $\varepsilon = \pm 1$. These are related by a long exact

sequence and a skew-suspension functor:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \widehat{L}^{n+1}(R, \varepsilon) & \xrightarrow{H} & L_n(R, \varepsilon) & \longrightarrow & L^n(R, \varepsilon) & \longrightarrow & \widehat{L}^n(R, \varepsilon) \\
 \downarrow \widehat{S}^{n+1} & & \downarrow \overline{S}_n & & \downarrow \overline{S}^n & & \downarrow \widehat{S}^n \\
 \widehat{L}^{n+3}(R, -\varepsilon) & \longrightarrow & L_{n+2}(R, -\varepsilon) & \longrightarrow & L^{n+2}(R, -\varepsilon) & \longrightarrow & \widehat{L}^{n+2}(R, -\varepsilon).
 \end{array} \tag{19}$$

\overline{S}_n is an isomorphism for all n , and $L_n(R, 1)$ is the Wall surgery obstruction group, $L_n(R)$. But \widehat{S}^n and \overline{S}^n are not isomorphisms in general. Instead, the main result of Weiss [22,23] (see also [19]) identifies the limit of the maps \widehat{S}^n in terms of a functorial isomorphism:

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{L}^{n+2k}(R, (-1)^k) \xrightarrow{\cong} Q_n(B^R, \beta^R). \tag{20}$$

The skew-suspension maps \widehat{S}^n, S^n are isomorphisms for 1-dimensional R .

2.3. UNil and 1-dimensional rings

Recall from Definition 2 that a ring R is said to be 1-dimensional if it is hereditary and noetherian.

Proposition 20. *For any 1-dimensional ring R with involution, and any $n \geq 0$, there is a short exact sequence:*

$$0 \rightarrow \text{UNil}_n(R; R, R) \rightarrow Q_{n+1}(B^{R[x]}, \beta^{R[x]}) \rightarrow Q_{n+1}(B^R, \beta^R) \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. Following Definition 8 set

$$NQ_n(R) = \ker\{Q_n(B^{R[x]}, \beta^{R[x]}) \rightarrow Q_n(B^R, \beta^R)\}. \tag{21}$$

By Propositions 9 and 11

$$NL^n(R) = L\widetilde{\text{Nil}}^n(R) = 0 \text{ for all } n \geq 0.$$

So by (19) we get a square of isomorphisms, for all $n \geq 0$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 N\widehat{L}^{n+1}(R, \varepsilon) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & NL_n(R, \varepsilon) \\
 \widehat{S}^n \downarrow \cong & & S_n \downarrow \cong \\
 N\widehat{L}^{n+3}(R, -\varepsilon) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & NL_{n+2}(R, -\varepsilon).
 \end{array} \tag{22}$$

By Theorem A, (20)–(22), for all $n \geq 0$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{UNil}_n(R; R, R) &\cong NL_n(R, 1) \cong N\widehat{L}^{n+1}(R, 1) \\ &\cong \lim_k N\widehat{L}^{n+1+2k}(R, (-1)^k) \cong NQ_{n+1}(R). \end{aligned}$$

This proves (20). \square

2.4. Rules for calculating $Q_n(C, \gamma)$

Our goal, in the light of Proposition 20, is to compute $Q_n(B^A, \beta^A)$, especially when $A = \mathbb{Z}$. But first we explain three tools for computing $Q_n(C, \gamma)$ for any chain bundle (C, γ) over any ring with involution A .

(A) Suppose (C, γ) is a chain bundle and $C \otimes_A C$ is n -connected. Then

$$Q_i(C, \gamma) = 0 \text{ for } i \leq n - 1 \text{ and } Q^{n+1}(C) \xrightarrow{J_\gamma^{n+1}} \widehat{Q}^{n+1}(C) \xrightarrow{H^{n+1}} Q_n(C, \gamma) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. Moreover, for $i \leq n$, $J_\gamma^i = J^i : Q^i(C) \rightarrow \widehat{Q}^i(C)$, and J_γ^i is an isomorphism.

Proof. Use the spectral sequence

$$E_{p,q}^2 = H_p(\mathbb{Z}_2; H_q(C \otimes_A C)) \Rightarrow H_{p+q}((W^{-*})^\% C) = Q_{p+q}(C).$$

This proves $Q_i(C) = 0$, for $i \leq n$. Next,

$$J_\gamma^i([\phi]) = J^i([\phi]) - \phi_0^\%([S^i \gamma])$$

for any $[\phi] \in Q^i(C)$. But if $i \leq n$, ϕ_0 is null homotopic because $[\phi_0] = 0 \in H_i(C \otimes_A C)$. Consequently, $\phi_0^\% = 0$ and $J_\gamma^i = J^i$ for all $i \leq n$. But by the exact sequence 1, it follows that J_γ^i is an isomorphism for all $i \leq n$, and H^{n+1} is an epimorphism. This proves (A). \square

(B) Suppose (C, γ) is a chain bundle for which the chain complex C splits as

$$C = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} C(i).$$

Then

$$\gamma = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \gamma(i),$$

where $\gamma(i) \in \widehat{Q}^0(C(i))$, and the inclusions $C(i) \rightarrow C$ induce a long exact sequence:

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \rightarrow \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} Q_n(C(i), \gamma(i)) &\rightarrow Q_n(C, \gamma) \\ &\rightarrow \sum_{i < j} H_n(C(i) \otimes C(j)) \rightarrow \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} Q_{n-1}(C(i), \gamma(i)) \rightarrow \cdots \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Proof. On general principles

$$\widehat{Q}^n(C) = \sum_i \widehat{Q}^n(C(i))$$

and

$$Q^n(\sum_i C(i)) = \sum_i Q^n(C(i)) \oplus \sum_{i < j} H_n(C(i) \otimes C(j)).$$

Therefore, (B) is a consequence of a diagram chase applied to the following map of exact sequences obtained from (18):

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \sum_i Q_n(C(i), \gamma(i)) & \longrightarrow & \sum_i Q^n(C(i)) & \xrightarrow{\Sigma J_{\beta(i)}} & \sum_i \widehat{Q}^n(C(i)) & \longrightarrow & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong & & \\ Q_n(C, \gamma) & \longrightarrow & Q^n(C) & \xrightarrow{J_{\beta}} & \widehat{Q}^n(C) & \longrightarrow & \square \end{array}$$

(C) Suppose the chain complex C is concentrated in degrees $\leq n$. Then $Q^k(C) = 0$ if $k > 2n$. If, in addition, $H_n(C) = 0$, then $Q^{2n}(C) = 0$ as well.

The proof of (C) is straightforward from the definition of $W\%C$.

The A -modules $\widehat{H}^r(\mathbb{Z}_2; A)$ ($r = 0, 1$) will be said to be k -dimensional if they admit k -dimensional f.g. free A -module resolutions. In the next two subsections we compute $Q_n(B^A, \beta^A)$ for A with $2A = 0$ and $k = 0, 1$.

2.5. $Q_n(B^A, \beta^A)$ for 0-dimensional $\widehat{H}^*(\mathbb{Z}_2; A)$

Throughout this section we suppose $2A = 0$, the involution on A is trivial (and consequently A is commutative), and that $\widehat{H}^r(\mathbb{Z}_2; A)$ is a f.g. free A -module for each r .

This occurs, for example, when $A = \mathbb{F}$ or $\mathbb{F}[x]$, where \mathbb{F} is a perfect field of characteristic 2.

The Frobenius map

$$\psi^2 : A \rightarrow A; a \mapsto \psi^2(a) = a^2.$$

is a ring homomorphism which makes the target copy of A a module over the source copy of A . We denote the target copy A -module as A' ; thus A' is the additive group of A with A acting by

$$A \times A' \rightarrow A'; (a, x) \mapsto a^2x$$

and there is defined an A -module isomorphism

$$A' \rightarrow \widehat{H}^r(\mathbb{Z}_2; A); x \mapsto x.$$

In this case one can easily construct the universal chain bundle (B^A, β^A) for A with

$$d = 0 : (B^A)_r = A' \rightarrow (B^A)_{r-1} = A'.$$

The 0-cycle of $\widehat{W}^{\%} B^{-*}$

$$\beta = \sum_r \beta^{-2r} \in (\widehat{W}^{\%} B^{-*})_0 = \sum_r (\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_2]}(\widehat{W}, B_r^{-*} \otimes_R B_r^{-*}))_0$$

is obtained as follows. Here and below we view B_r as a chain complex concentrated in degree r . Its dual chain complex, B_r^{-*} , concentrated in degree $-r$, consists of $B^r = \text{Hom}_A(B_r, A)$.

Let $x_1 \dots x_k$ be a basis of A' over A . Let $x^1 \dots x^k$ be the dual basis. Write \underline{x}_i for the element x_i , viewed as a member of the ring A . Note that $B^r \otimes_A B^r$ is the A -module of bilinear forms on B_r with values in A , which is canonically identified with

$$(\widehat{W}^{\%} B_r^{-*})_0 = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_2]}(\widehat{W}_{-2r}, B_r^{-*} \otimes_R B_r^{-*}).$$

Therefore the elements $x^i \otimes x^i, \underline{x}_i(x^i \otimes x^i)$ and

$$\beta_{-2r} := \sum_{i=1}^k \underline{x}_i(x^i \otimes x^i)$$

are 0-cycles in $\widehat{W}^{\%} B_r^{-*}$, and bilinear forms on B_r . The matrix of the symmetric bilinear form β_{-2r} is diagonal:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \underline{x}_1 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & \underline{x}_2 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \underline{x}_3 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix}.$$

It follows that $\widehat{\nu}_r : H_r(B) \rightarrow A'$ is the identity map. So (B, β) is universal. Inclusion induces a map of chain bundles, $(B_r, \beta_{-2r}) \xrightarrow{\iota_r} (B, \beta)$.

Lemma 21. *Assume $2A = 0$, the involution on A is trivial, and A' is free and finitely generated over A . With notation as above, the map $\iota_r : Q_*(B_r, \beta_{-2r}) \rightarrow Q_*(B, \beta)$, and the exact sequence (18) for (B_r, β_{-2r}) , combine to give an exact sequence for each r :*

$$0 \rightarrow Q_{2r}(B, \beta) \rightarrow Q^{2r}(B_r) \xrightarrow{J_{\beta_{-2r}}} \widehat{Q}^{2r}(B_r) \rightarrow Q_{2r-1}(B, \beta) \rightarrow 0. \tag{24}$$

Proof. By (17) we have an isomorphism $B_r \otimes B_{n-r} \xrightarrow{k_{B_r}} \widehat{Q}^n(B_r)$. By 2.4(A), we have $Q_n(B_s, \beta_{-2s}) = 0$ for $n < 2s - 1$. Therefore (23) can be written

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s \leq r} \widehat{Q}^{2r+1}(B_s) &\rightarrow \sum_{s \leq r} Q_{2r}(B_s, \beta_{-2s}) \rightarrow Q_{2r}(B, \beta) \rightarrow \sum_{s < r} \widehat{Q}^{2r-1}(B_s) \\ &\rightarrow \sum_{s \leq r} Q_{2r-1}(B_s, \beta_{-2s}) \rightarrow Q_{2r-1}(B, \beta) \rightarrow \sum_{s < r} \widehat{Q}^{2r}(B_s) \\ &\rightarrow Q_{2r-2}(B_s, \beta_{-2s}). \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Now, for dimensional reasons, if $n > 2s$, $Q^n(B_s) = 0$, and so $\widehat{Q}^{n+1} B_s \xrightarrow{H} Q_n(B_x, \beta_{-2s})$ is an isomorphism. So (25) reduces to two pieces:

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{Q}^{2r+1}(B_r) \xrightarrow{H} Q_{2r}(B_r, \beta_{-2r}) &\rightarrow Q_{2r}(B, \beta) \rightarrow 0, \\ Q_{2r-1}(B_r, \beta_{-2r}) &\xrightarrow{\iota_r} Q_{2r}(B, \beta). \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

Now apply the exact sequence (18) and Rule 2.4 A to B_r to get

$$0 \rightarrow \text{coker}(H_{\beta_{-2r}}) \rightarrow Q^{2r}(B_r) \xrightarrow{J_{\beta_{-2r}}} \widehat{Q}^{2r}(B_r) \rightarrow Q_{2r-1}(B_r, \beta_{-2r}) \rightarrow 0,$$

which, together with (26) implies Lemma 21. \square

We now restrict ourselves to the case when $A = \mathbb{F}[x]$ where \mathbb{F} is a perfect field of characteristic 2. Then A' is free of rank 2 over A , generated by 1 and x . Since $B_r = A'$ for all r , the abelian group $Q^{2r}(B_r)$ can be identified with the additive group, $\text{Sym}_2(A)$, of 2×2 symmetric matrices over A . The A -module $\widehat{Q}^{2r}(B_r)$ can be identified with $\text{Sym}_2(A)/\text{Quad}_2(A)$ where $\text{Quad}_2(A)$ denotes the matrices of the form $M + M^t$. The map $J_{\beta_{2r}} : \text{Sym}_2(A) \rightarrow \text{Sym}_2(A)/\text{Quad}_2(A)$ then has the form

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\beta} \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ b & d \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ b & d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ b & d \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ b & d \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} a^2 + a + xb^2 & * \\ * & b^2 + d + xd^2 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

We intend to show that the kernel and cokernel of J_{β} can be identified with the kernel and cokernel of the map $\psi^2 - 1 : A \rightarrow A$.

We have two inclusion maps $A \xrightarrow{i} \text{Sym}_2(A)$, and $A \xrightarrow{i'} \text{Sym}_2(A)/\text{Quad}_2(A)$, both of the form:

$$a \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Denote the images of these two maps as X, X' . Note that $(J_{\beta})i = i'(\psi^2 - 1)$.

We use the following easily proved lemma:

Lemma 22. *Suppose X, X' are subgroups of two abelian groups Y, Y' . Suppose $j : Y \rightarrow Y'$ is a homomorphism such that $j(X) \subseteq X'$, and the induced map $\tilde{j} : Y/X \rightarrow Y'/X'$ is an isomorphism. Set $k = j|_X : X \rightarrow X'$. Then $\ker(k) = \ker(j)$, and the inclusion $X' \rightarrow Y'$ induces an isomorphism*

$$i : \text{coker}(k) \cong \text{coker}(j).$$

We want to apply this lemma when X, X' are as mentioned earlier and the role of $j : Y \rightarrow Y'$ is played by

$$J_{\beta} : \text{Sym}_2(A) \rightarrow \text{Sym}_2(A)/\text{Quad}_2(A).$$

This means we must first check that \tilde{j} is an isomorphism. In other words, we must check that each element $p \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ can be written in one and only one way in the form $b^2 + d + xd^2$ where $b, d \in \mathbb{F}[x]$.

Write

$$p = \sum_{j=0}^{2n+1} a_j x^j, \quad b = \sum_i b_i x^i, \quad d = \sum_i d_i x^i.$$

Then

$$b^2 + xd^2 + d = \sum_i (b_i^2 + d_{2i})x^{2i} + \sum_i (d_i^2 + d_{2i+1})x^{2i+1}.$$

Therefore the equation $p = b^2 + xd^2 + d$ reduces to equations,

$$d_i^2 + d_{2i+1} = a_{2i+1}; \quad b_i^2 + d_{2i} = a_{2i}.$$

One solves these recursively for d_i and b_i , working from higher to lower indices. Note that the first equation implies that $d_i = 0$ for all $i > n$. Therefore recursively, the equations

$$d_i^2 = d_{2i+1} + a_{2i+1}$$

specify d . Then the equations

$$b_i^2 = d_{2i} + a_{2i}$$

specify b . Here we use that \mathbb{F} is perfect. Therefore \tilde{j} is an isomorphism.

Applying the lemma, we conclude that if $A = \mathbb{F}[x]$ then

$$\ker(\psi^2 - 1) \stackrel{i}{\cong} \ker J_\beta; \quad \text{coker}(\psi^2 - 1) \stackrel{\tilde{i}}{\cong} \text{coker}(J_\beta). \tag{27}$$

The map \tilde{i} is induced by $A \xrightarrow{i'} \text{Sym}_2(A)/\text{Quad}_2(A)$.

Note that if $A = \mathbb{F}_2[x]$, then $\ker(\psi^2 - 1) = \mathbb{F}_2$ and the cokernel of $A \xrightarrow{\psi^2 - 1} A$ can be identified with the vector space $\{\sum_i a_i x^i \mid a_{2i} = 0 \text{ for } i > 0\}$.

Summarizing, we have a confirmation of the calculation of Connolly and Koźniewski [8]:

Theorem 23. For all k , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{UNil}_{2k+1}(\mathbb{F}_2; \mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2) &= 0, \\ \text{UNil}_{2k}(\mathbb{F}_2; \mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2) &\cong \text{coker}(\mathbb{F}_2[x]/\mathbb{F}_2 \xrightarrow{\psi^2-1} \mathbb{F}_2[x]/\mathbb{F}_2) \\ &\cong \left\{ \sum_i a_i x^i : a_{2i} = 0 \text{ for } i \geq 0, a_i \in \mathbb{F}_2 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. This is a consequence of Corollary 20, Lemma 21 and (27). \square

2.6. $Q_n(B^A, \beta^A)$ for 1-dimensional $\widehat{H}^*(\mathbb{Z}_2; A)$

In this subsection we deal with a ring A whose universal chain bundle (B^A, β^A) satisfies:

$$\text{For all } i, B_{2i}^A \xrightarrow{d} B_{2i-1}^A \text{ is zero; } B_{2i+1}^A \xrightarrow{d} B_{2i}^A \text{ is injective} \tag{28}$$

with B_r^A f.g. free A -modules. Thus $\widehat{H}^0(\mathbb{Z}_2; A)$ has a 1-dimensional f.g. free A -module resolution

$$0 \rightarrow B_{2i+1}^A \xrightarrow{d} B_{2i}^A \rightarrow \widehat{H}^0(\mathbb{Z}_2; A) \rightarrow 0$$

and $\widehat{H}^1(\mathbb{Z}_2; A) = 0$. (We shall see that this holds for $A = \mathbb{Z}$ or $\mathbb{Z}[x]$. The point is that Corollary 20 reduces the calculation of $\text{UNil}_*(\mathbb{Z}; \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z})$ to that of $Q_*(B^A, \beta^A)$ for such rings A .)

We clearly have

$$(B^A, \beta^A) = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} (B^A(i), \beta^A(i)), \text{ where } B^A(i) \text{ is: } \dots 0 \rightarrow B_{2i+1}^A \xrightarrow{d} B_{2i}^A \rightarrow 0 \dots$$

We first relate $Q_n(B^A, \beta^A)$ to $Q_n(B^A(0), \beta^A(0))$, for $n = -1, 0, 1, 2$, by analyzing the exact sequence (23), of the above direct sum splitting. By (2.4 A), we have

$$\sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} Q_m(B^A(i), \beta^A(i)) = \sum_{i \leq \frac{m+1}{4}} Q_m(B^A(i), \beta^A(i)).$$

Next, because of (17), and dimensional reasons, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i < j} H_m(B^A(i) \otimes B^A(j)) &= \sum_{2i < \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor} H_m(B^A(i) \otimes B^A(\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor - i)) \\ &= \sum_{2i < \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor} H_m(B^A(i) \otimes B^A) \\ &= \sum_{i < \frac{1}{2} \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor} \widehat{Q}^m(B^A(i)). \end{aligned}$$

But, by (18) and (2.4)(C), the map $\widehat{Q}^{m+1}(B^A(i)) \rightarrow Q_m(B^A(i), \beta^A(i))$ is an isomorphism if $i \leq (m - 2)/4$. Therefore, after we remove isomorphic direct summands from the exact sequence (23), it reduces to the much simpler long exact sequence:

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \rightarrow \sum_{\frac{m-2}{4} < i \leq \frac{m+1}{4}} Q_m(B^A(i), \beta^A(i)) \rightarrow Q_m(B^A, \beta^A) \\ \rightarrow \sum_{\frac{m-3}{4} < i < \frac{1}{2} \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor} \widehat{Q}^m(B^A(i)) \rightarrow \cdots \end{aligned}$$

So, we get

$$Q_m(B^A, \beta^A) \xrightarrow{\cong} Q_m(B^A(0), \beta^A(0)) \quad \text{for } m = -1, 0 \tag{29}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1(B^A, \beta^A) &= \ker\{Q^1(B^A(0)) \xrightarrow{J^1_{\beta^A(0)}} \widehat{Q}^1(B^A(0))\}, \\ Q_2(B^A, \beta^A) &= \text{im}\{Q^2(B^A(0)) \xrightarrow{J^2_{\beta^A(0)}} \widehat{Q}^2(B^A(0))\} = 0 \text{ by (2.4)(C)} \end{aligned}$$

whenever (B^A, β^A) is the universal chain bundle of A , and (B^A, β^A) satisfies (28).

Next we show that (28) holds when $A = \mathbb{Z}$ or $\mathbb{Z}[x]$.

2.6.1. The construction of (B^A, β^A) for certain rings A

Suppose A is a commutative ring with no elements of order 2, and trivial involution. Write

$$A_2 = A/2A.$$

Therefore $\widehat{H}^1(\mathbb{Z}_2; A) = 0$, and $\widehat{H}^0(\mathbb{Z}_2; A) = A'_2$, by which we mean the abelian group A_2 , equipped with the A -module structure:

$$A \times A_2 \rightarrow A_2; (a, x) \mapsto (a^2x).$$

Suppose further that there are elements $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r \in A, r > 0$, such that,

$$0 \rightarrow A^r \xrightarrow{\times 2} A^r \xrightarrow{j} A'_2 \rightarrow 0$$

is exact, where

$$j : A^r \rightarrow A'_2; (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r) \mapsto a_1^2 x_1 + a_2^2 x_2 + \dots + a_r^2 x_r.$$

(For example, if $A = \mathbb{Z}$ then $r = 1, x_1 = 1$, while if $A = \mathbb{Z}[x]$ then $r = 2, x_1 = 1, x_2 = x$.)

We show here how to construct the universal chain bundle (B, β) for A , so that (28) holds.

First we construct B . For all i , we define

$$\begin{aligned} B_i &= A^r, \\ B_{2i} &\xrightarrow{d=0} B_{2i-1}, \\ B_{2i+1} &= A^r \xrightarrow{d=\times 2} A^r = B_{2i}. \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

Next let $X \in M_r(A)$ be the diagonal matrix,

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & x_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & x_r \end{pmatrix}.$$

We define $\beta = \{\beta_{-i} \in (B^{-*} \otimes B^{-*})_i\}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{-4i} &= X \in M_r(A) = (B_{2i} \otimes B_{2i})^*, \\ \beta_{-4i-1} &= (\delta \otimes 1)\beta_{-4i}, \\ \beta_{-4i-2} &= -\frac{1}{2}(\delta \otimes \delta)\beta_{-4i} \text{ for all } i. \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

Here $\delta : B_0^{-*} \rightarrow B_{-1}^{-*}$ is the coboundary homomorphism.

As in (2.5), the map $\widehat{v}_{2i} : H_{2i}(B) \rightarrow A'_2$ is an isomorphism for all i , and so (B, β) is the universal chain bundle for A .

We can now apply calculation (29) to the computation of $Q_n(B^A, \beta^A)$, when $A = \mathbb{Z}$ or $\mathbb{Z}[x]$. Specifically, (29) and Corollary 20 give us the split short exact sequence if

$n = 0$ or -1 :

$$0 \rightarrow \text{UNil}_{n-1}(\mathbb{Z}; \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow Q_n(B^{\mathbb{Z}[x]}(0), \beta^{\mathbb{Z}[x]}(0)) \xrightarrow{\eta_*} Q_n(B^{\mathbb{Z}}(0), \beta^{\mathbb{Z}}(0)) \rightarrow 0, \quad (32)$$

where $\eta : \mathbb{Z}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is the augmentation map.

To simplify things further we define three families of groups, K_n, C_n, I_n , by the exactness of the following three split sequences:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow K_n \rightarrow \ker(J_{\beta(0)}^n(\mathbb{Z}[x])) \xrightarrow{\eta_*} \ker(J_{\beta(0)}^n(\mathbb{Z})) \rightarrow 0, \\ 0 &\rightarrow C_n \rightarrow \text{coker}(J_{\beta(0)}^{n+1}(\mathbb{Z}[x])) \xrightarrow{\eta_*} \text{coker}(J_{\beta(0)}^{n+1}(\mathbb{Z})) \rightarrow 0, \\ 0 &\rightarrow I_{n+1} \rightarrow \text{im}(J_{\beta(0)}^{n+1}(\mathbb{Z}[x])) \xrightarrow{\eta_*} \text{im}(J_{\beta(0)}^{n+1}(\mathbb{Z})) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

We next claim there is an isomorphism:

$$\text{UNil}_{-2}(\mathbb{Z}; \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) \cong C_{-1}. \quad (33)$$

To see this, note that $Q_n(B^A(0)) = 0$ for dimensional reasons if $n \leq -1$. Also, by (2.4)(A),

$$J_{\beta^A(0)}^{-1} = J^{-1} : Q^{-1}(B^A(0)) \rightarrow \widehat{Q}^{-1}(B^A(0)),$$

which is a monomorphism by (1). This implies that

$$Q_{-1}(B^A(0), \beta^A(0)) \cong \text{coker}(J_{\beta^A(0)}^0).$$

Therefore (32) simplifies when $n = -1$, to (33).

Now 29, Corollary 20, and the exact sequence (18) for $(B^A(0), \beta^A(0))$ (when $A = \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}[x]$) yield the following calculations:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{UNil}_0(\mathbb{Z}; \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) &\cong K_1, \\ \text{UNil}_1(\mathbb{Z}; \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) &\cong I_2, \\ 0 \rightarrow C_0 &\rightarrow \text{UNil}_{-1}(\mathbb{Z}; \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow K_0 \rightarrow 0, \\ C_{-1} &\cong \text{UNil}_{-2}(\mathbb{Z}; \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}). \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Therefore our goal is to calculate C_0, C_{-1}, K_0 , and K_1 . This is done in the next two subsections.

2.6.2. Calculation of $Q^n(B^A(0))$ and $\widehat{Q}^n(B^A(0))$

Recall from Ranicki [14,15] that for any ring with involution A and for any A -module chain complex C an element $\phi \in (\widehat{W}^{\%}C)_n$ is specified by the sequence of elements $(\dots, \phi_{-1}, \phi_0, \phi_1, \dots)$ of $C \otimes_A C$ defined by

$$\phi_i = \phi(e_i) \in (C \otimes_A C)_{n+i} \quad (i \in \mathbb{Z}),$$

where $e_i \in \widehat{W}_i$ is the standard basis element. Likewise, an element $\phi \in (W^{\%}C)_n$ is specified by a sequence (ϕ_0, ϕ_1, \dots) , with $\phi_i = \phi(e_i)$.

For the rest of this section we assume A is a ring satisfying the hypotheses at the beginning of Section 2.6.1.

Let $t : M_r(A) \rightarrow M_r(A)$ be the transpose map and define

$$\text{Sym}_r(A) = \ker(1 - t : M_r(A) \rightarrow M_r(A)),$$

$$\text{Quad}_r(A) = \text{im}(1 + t : M_r(A) \rightarrow M_r(A)).$$

Note that $B^A(0)$ is the algebraic mapping cone $\mathcal{C}(f)$ of the map $f : C \rightarrow D$, where $C = D = A^r$ is concentrated in degree 0, and $f = \times 2 : A^r \rightarrow A^r$. Therefore, for all m :

$$\widehat{Q}^{2m}(C) = \widehat{Q}^{2m}(D) \cong \text{Sym}_r(A)/\text{Quad}_r(A) : [\phi] \mapsto [\phi_{-2m}]$$

because $\phi_{-2m} \in A^r \otimes A^r = M_r(A)$ must be in the kernel of $1 - T$, for all $2m$ -cycles $\phi \in (\widehat{W}^{\%}D)_{2m}$.

Also, $Q^{2m+1}(C) = \widehat{Q}^{2m+1}(D) = 0$ for all m . Since the induced map, $f^{\%} : \widehat{Q}^m(C) \rightarrow \widehat{Q}^m(D)$ is multiplication by 4, we see $f^{\%} = 0$. So the sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \widehat{Q}^m(D) \rightarrow \widehat{Q}^m(B^A(0)) \rightarrow \widehat{Q}^m(\Sigma C) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact for all m .

If $m = 1$ the composite isomorphism,

$$\widehat{Q}^1(B^A(0)) \xrightarrow{\cong} \widehat{Q}^1(\Sigma C) \xrightarrow{\cong} \frac{\text{Sym}_r(A)}{\text{Quad}_r(A)}$$

is written as

$$\widehat{Q}^1(B^A(0)) \cong^{\beta^1} \frac{\text{Sym}_r(A)}{\text{Quad}_r(A)} : \beta^1([\phi_{-1}, \phi_0, \phi_1]) = [\phi_1]. \tag{35}$$

If $m = 0$ we write the inverse of the composite isomorphism

$$\frac{\text{Sym}_r(A)}{\text{Quad}_r(A)} \cong \widehat{Q}^0(D) \cong \widehat{Q}^0(B^A(0))$$

as

$$\widehat{Q}^0(B^A(0)) \cong^{\beta^0} \frac{\text{Sym}_r(A)}{\text{Quad}_r(A)} : \beta^0([\phi_0, \phi_1, \phi_2]) = [\phi_0]. \tag{36}$$

The calculation of $Q^m(B^A(0))$ requires more work.

Following Ranicki [14,15] we define $Q^m(f)$ as the m th homology group of the mapping cone of $f^\%$:

$$Q^m(f) = H_m(f^\% : W^\% C \rightarrow W^\% D),$$

for any chain map $f : C \rightarrow D$ of free A -module chain complexes. We also write $\mathcal{C}(f)$ for the mapping cone of such f , and we write $g : D \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(f)$ for the inclusion. The symmetrization map

$$H_m(C \otimes_A C) \rightarrow Q^m(C); \theta \mapsto \{ \phi_s = \begin{cases} (1+T)\theta & \text{if } s = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } s \geq 1 \end{cases} \}$$

fits into a natural transformation of exact sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} H_m(C \otimes_A C) & \xrightarrow{f} & H_m(D \otimes C) & \xrightarrow{g} & H_m(\mathcal{C}(f) \otimes C) & \longrightarrow & H_{m-1}(C \otimes_A C) \\ (1+T)\downarrow & & (1+T)f\downarrow & & (1+T)f\downarrow & & (1+T)\downarrow \\ Q^m(C) & \xrightarrow{f^\%} & Q^m(D) & \longrightarrow & Q^m(f) & \longrightarrow & Q^{m-1}(C) \end{array}$$

This leads to a further exact sequence relating $Q^m(f)$ to $Q^m(\mathcal{C}(f))$:

$$\dots \rightarrow Q^{m+1}(\mathcal{C}(f)) \rightarrow H_m(\mathcal{C}(f) \otimes C) \xrightarrow{(1+T)f} Q^m(f) \rightarrow Q^m(\mathcal{C}(f)) \rightarrow \dots$$

Now in the case at hand (where $C = D = A^r$, and $\mathcal{C}(f) = B^A(0)$), we have

$$Q^m(C) = Q^m(D) = \begin{cases} \text{Sym}_r(A) & \text{if } m = 0, \\ \frac{\text{Sym}_r(A)}{\text{Quad}_r(A)}, & \text{if } m \text{ is even and } m < 0, \\ 0 & \text{in all other cases.} \end{cases}$$

But $f^{\circ\%}$ is multiplication by 4. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} Q^0(f) &= \frac{\text{Sym}_r(A)}{4\text{Sym}_r(A)}, \\ 3pt]Q^{2m}(f) &= \frac{\text{Sym}_r(A)}{\text{Quad}_r(A)} \quad (m < 0), \\ Q^k(f) &= 0 \text{ for all other } k. \end{aligned}$$

So from the above exact sequence, we extract the following diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} H_0(\mathcal{C}(f) \otimes C) & \xrightarrow{(1+T)f} & Q^0(f) & \longrightarrow & Q^0(B^A(0)) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow = & & \\ \frac{M_r(A)}{2M_r(A)} & \xrightarrow{2(1+t)} & \frac{\text{Sym}_r(A)}{4\text{Sym}_r(A)} & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & Q^0(B^A(0)) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

Therefore α induces an isomorphism:

$$\frac{\text{Sym}_r(A)}{2\text{Quad}_r(A)} \stackrel{\alpha^0}{\cong} Q^0(B^A(0)); \quad \alpha^0([M]) = [(M, 0, 0)], \tag{37}$$

where $(M, 0, 0)$ is a 0-cycle in $W^{\%} B^A(0)$, for any

$$M \in \text{Sym}_r(A) \subseteq M_r(A) = A^r \otimes A^r = (B^A(0) \otimes B^A(0))_0.$$

Now $Q^m(B^A(0)) = 0$ if $m \geq 2$ by 2.4(C). Also by (1), if $m \leq -1$, the map $Q^m(B^A(0)) \xrightarrow{J^m} \widehat{Q}^m(B^A(0))$ is an isomorphism.

Therefore, we are only left with the calculation of $Q^1(B^A(0))$. Instead of the above method (which would yield the result) we calculate this by hand both for its therapeutic value and for its greater explicitness. The bottom line will be (38).

For each $M \in M_r(A)$, define

$$\phi^M = (\phi_0^M, \phi_1^M) \in (W\% B^A(0))_1$$

by

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1^M &= M \in M_r(A) = A^r \otimes A^r = B_1 \otimes B_1, \\ \phi_0^M &= M \oplus (-M) \in (B_1 \otimes B_0) \oplus (B_0 \otimes B_1), \end{aligned}$$

where $B_i = B^A(0)_i$.

Lemma 24. *If $M \in \text{Sym}_r(A)$, then ϕ^M is a 1-cycle in $W\% B^A(0)$, and the rule $M \mapsto \phi^M$ induces an isomorphism:*

$$\alpha^1 : \frac{\text{Sym}_r(A)}{2\text{Sym}_r(A)} \cong Q^1(B^A(0)). \tag{38}$$

Proof. For any $\phi = (\phi_0, \phi_1) \in (W\% B^A(0))_1$, $\phi = (\phi_0, \phi_1)$ where $\phi_i \in (B^A(0) \otimes_A B^A(0))_{i+1}$. We can write

$$\phi_0 = \kappa_1 \oplus \kappa_2,$$

where $\kappa_1 \in M_r(A) = B_1 \otimes_A B_0$, and $\kappa_2 \in M_r(A) = B_0 \otimes_A B_1$. ϕ is a 1-cycle if and only if:

$$(1) \partial\phi_0 = 0, \quad (2) (T - 1)\phi_0 = -\partial\phi_1, \quad (3) (T + 1)\phi_1 = 0,$$

where $T : B^A(0) \otimes B^A(0) \rightarrow B^A(0) \otimes B^A(0)$ is the twist chain map

$$T(x \otimes y) = (-1)^{|x||y|} y \otimes x.$$

These three conditions are equivalent to:

$$\kappa_2 = -\kappa_1, \quad (1 + t)\kappa_1 = 2\phi_1, \quad t\phi_1 = \phi_1 \text{ in } A^r \otimes_A A^r = M_r(A).$$

Here t denotes the transpose map in $M_r(A)$. Also a cycle ϕ as above is a boundary in $W\% B^A(0)$ if and only if there is an element $\psi \in B_1 \otimes B_1$, such that $\kappa_1 = 2\psi$ in $A^r \otimes A^r = M_r(A)$. Therefore the map

$$Q^1(B^A(0)) \rightarrow \text{Sym}_r(A)/2\text{Sym}_r(A) : [\phi] \mapsto \kappa_1 \text{ mod } (2A) \tag{39}$$

is an isomorphism.

The above discussion shows that if $M \in \text{Sym}_r(A)$, then ϕ^M is a 1-cycle, and if $M \in 2\text{Sym}_r(A)$, then ϕ^M is a boundary. Since the map (39) obviously sends ϕ^M to M , the proof is complete. \square

We summarize the calculations of this subsection as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \widehat{Q}^m(B^A(0)) &\cong \frac{\text{Sym}_r(A)}{\text{Quad}_r(A)} \text{ for all } m, \\
 Q^0(B^A(0)) &\cong \frac{\text{Sym}_r(A)}{2\text{Quad}_r(A)} \\
 Q^1(B^A(0)) &\cong \frac{\text{Sym}_r(A)}{2\text{Sym}_r(A)} \\
 Q^n(B^A(0)) &= 0 \text{ for } n \geq 2 \\
 Q^n(B^A(0)) &\cong \overset{J^n}{\widehat{Q}^n(B^A(0))} \text{ if } n \leq -1.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{40}$$

2.6.3. The maps $J_{\beta(0)}^0(A)$, $J_{\beta(0)}^1(A)$ and the groups C_{-1} , C_0 and K_1 , K_0

We first analyze the map $J_{\beta(0)}^0(A) : Q^0(B^A(0)) \rightarrow \widehat{Q}^0(B^A(0))$, when $A = \mathbb{Z}$ or $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ using the isomorphisms of (35)–(38). By 15, $\beta^0 \circ J_{\beta(0)}^0(A) \circ \alpha^0$ sends a matrix $M \in \frac{\text{Sym}_r(A)}{2\text{Quad}_r(A)}$ to

$$\beta^0(J^0([(M, 0, 0)])) - M^t X M = M - M X M \in \frac{\text{Sym}_r(A)}{\text{Quad}_r(A)}.$$

In the case when $A = \mathbb{Z}$, so that $r = 1$, and $X = 1$, we have $\beta^0 J_{\beta(0)}^0(\mathbb{Z}) \alpha^0$, sending $a \in \mathbb{Z}_4$ to $a - a^2 \in \mathbb{Z}_4/2\mathbb{Z}_4 = \mathbb{Z}_2$. So $J_{\beta(0)}^0(\mathbb{Z}) = 0$. Therefore

$$\ker J_{\beta(0)}^0(\mathbb{Z}) = Q^0(B^{\mathbb{Z}}(0)) \cong \mathbb{Z}_4; \quad \text{coker } J_{\beta(0)}^0(\mathbb{Z}) = \widehat{Q}^0(B^{\mathbb{Z}}(0)) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2.$$

Now we let $A = \mathbb{Z}[x]$. Set

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{J}^0 &= \beta^0 \circ J_{\beta(0)}^0(\mathbb{Z}[x]) \circ \alpha^0 : \text{Sym}_2(\mathbb{Z}[x])/2\text{Quad}_2(\mathbb{Z}[x]) \\
 &\rightarrow \text{Sym}_2(\mathbb{Z}[x])/2\text{Quad}_2(\mathbb{Z}[x]).
 \end{aligned}$$

For any

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Sym}_2(\mathbb{Z}[x])/2\text{Quad}_2(\mathbb{Z}[x]),$$

we compute from the above formula:

$$\mathcal{J}^0 \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a - a^2 - b^2x & b - ab - bdx \\ b - ab - bdx & d - b^2 - d^2x \end{pmatrix} \in \frac{\text{Sym}_2(A)}{\text{Quad}_2(A)}. \tag{41}$$

We want to apply Lemma 22 again. Let $j = \mathcal{J}^0$, and

$$Y = \frac{\text{Sym}_r(\mathbb{Z}[x])}{2\text{Quad}_r(\mathbb{Z}[x])}, \quad Y' = \frac{\text{Sym}_r(\mathbb{Z}[x])}{\text{Quad}_r(\mathbb{Z}[x])}, \quad X = (\mathbb{Z}_4[x]) \times (\mathbb{F}_2[x]),$$

$$X' = (\mathbb{F}_2[x]).$$

X and X' include into Y and Y' , respectively, by the rules: $(a, d) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 2d \end{pmatrix}$, and $a \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. We first will have to show that $Y/X \rightarrow Y'/X'$ is an isomorphism. To this end, we note an isomorphism, $\mathbb{F}_2[x] \times \mathbb{F}_2[x] \cong Y/i(X)$, defined by $(b, d) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ b & d \end{pmatrix}$, and an isomorphism $\mathbb{F}_2[x] \cong Y'/i'(X')$, given by $p \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & p \end{bmatrix}$. Therefore the claim that j induces an isomorphism, $Y/X \rightarrow Y'/X'$, amounts to the statement that each $p \in \mathbb{F}_2[x]$ can be written uniquely in the form, $p = b^2 + d + xd^2$, for some $b, d \in \mathbb{F}_2[x]$. But this was proved already in Section 2.5.

Define

$$k : \mathbb{Z}_4[x] \times \mathbb{F}_2[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2[x]; \quad (a, d) \mapsto a - a^2 \text{ mod } 2.$$

Clearly,

$$\ker(k) = \{(a, d) \in \mathbb{Z}_4[x] \times \mathbb{F}_2[x] | a = a_0 + 2a_1, \text{ for some } a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_4, a_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_4[x]\},$$

$$\text{coker}(k) = \text{coker}(\psi^2 - 1).$$

Applying Lemma 22, we see that i and i' induce isomorphisms:

$$\ker(k) \xrightarrow{i} \ker J_{\beta(0)}^0(\mathbb{Z}[x]), \quad \text{coker}(\psi^2 - 1) \xrightarrow{i'} \text{coker}(J_{\beta(0)}^0(\mathbb{Z}[x])).$$

Also, $i(a, d) = \alpha^0 \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 2d \end{bmatrix}$.

The augmentation map induced by η

$$Q^0(B^{\mathbb{Z}[x]}(0)) \xrightarrow{\eta_*} Q^0(B^{\mathbb{Z}}(0))$$

sends $\alpha^0 \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 2d \end{bmatrix}$ to $a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_4$, the degree zero coefficient of a . The same formula holds as well for $\eta_* : Q^0(B^{\mathbb{Z}[x]}(0)) \rightarrow Q^0(B^{\mathbb{Z}}(0))$.

Restricting η_* to $\ker J_{\beta(0)}^0(\mathbb{Z}[x])$, we get a short exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2[x] \times \mathbb{F}_2[x] \xrightarrow{k_2} \ker(J_{\beta(0)}^0(\mathbb{Z}[x])) \xrightarrow{\eta_*} \ker(J_{\beta(0)}^0(\mathbb{Z})) \rightarrow 0,$$

where k_2 is defined by

$$k_2(a, d) = \begin{pmatrix} 2a & 0 \\ 0 & 2d \end{pmatrix}.$$

This yields isomorphisms:

$$\mathbb{F}_2[x] \times \mathbb{F}_2[x] \xrightarrow{k_2} K_0, \quad \text{coker}\{(\psi^2 - 1) : \mathbb{F}_2[x]/\mathbb{F}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2[x]/\mathbb{F}_2\} \xrightarrow{k'_2} C_{-1}. \quad (42)$$

Here $(\psi^2 - 1) : \mathbb{F}_2[x]/\mathbb{F}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2[x]/\mathbb{F}_2$ is the map induced by $\psi^2 - 1 : \mathbb{F}_2[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2[x]$, and k'_2 is induced by i' .

Now we analyze $J_{\beta(0)}^1(A)$ similarly. Recall $B^A(0)$ is a chain complex concentrated in degrees 0 and 1: $B_0 = A^r$; $B_1 = A^r$, and its boundary map is $\partial = \times 2 : B_1 \rightarrow B_0$.

In order to understand the map $J_{\beta(0)}^1(A)$, we define, for any 1-cycle, $\phi \in (W^{\%} B^A(0))_1$, another 1-cycle

$$\gamma^\phi = \phi_0^{\%}(S^1(\beta(0))) \in (\widehat{W}^{\%} B^A(0))_1.$$

We know $\gamma^\phi = (\gamma_{-1}^\phi, \gamma_0^\phi, \gamma_1^\phi)$, where

$$\gamma_i^\phi = \gamma_i = \tilde{\phi}_0 \otimes \tilde{\phi}_0(\beta(0)_{i-1}).$$

Here $\tilde{\phi}_0 : B^A(0)^{1-*} \rightarrow B^A(0)$ is the chain map whose matrix is $\phi_0 \in (B^A(0) \otimes B^A(0))_1$.

We conclude:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_1 &= \tilde{\phi}_0 \otimes \tilde{\phi}_0(X) \in B_1 \otimes B_1, \\ \gamma_0 &= (1 \otimes \partial)\gamma_1 \in (B^A(0) \otimes B^A(0))_1, \\ \gamma_{-1} &= \frac{1}{2}(\partial \otimes \partial)\gamma_1 \in B_0 \otimes B_0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$J_{\beta(0)}^1(A) : Q^1(B^A(0)) \rightarrow \widehat{Q}^1(B^A(0)) \quad \text{is} : [\phi] \mapsto J^1([\phi]) - [\gamma^\phi].$$

Set

$$\mathcal{J}^1 = \beta^1 \circ J_{\beta(0)}^1(A) \circ \alpha^1.$$

We get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}^1(M) &= \beta^1(J^1[\phi^M]) - [\gamma_1^{\phi^M}] = M - M^t X M \\ &= M - M X M \text{ mod } \text{Quad}_r(A) \end{aligned}$$

for all $M \in \text{Sym}_r(A)$. (The formulae for \mathcal{J}^1 and \mathcal{J}^0 are identical!). Therefore formula (41) can also be used for \mathcal{J}^1 . We therefore conclude at once that we have an isomorphism, induced by β^1 :

$$\text{coker}\{(\psi^2 - 1) : \mathbb{F}_2[x]/\mathbb{F}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2[x]/\mathbb{F}_2\} \cong C_0. \tag{43}$$

To compute K_1 , we note from 41 that the kernel of $J_{\beta(0)}^1(\mathbb{Z}[x]) \circ \alpha^1$ is

$$\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a_0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \text{Sym}_2(\mathbb{F}_2[x]) : a_0 \in \mathbb{F}_2 \right\}.$$

Since $\widehat{\eta}_* \begin{bmatrix} a_0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_2$, we conclude at once that

$$K_1 = 0. \tag{44}$$

2.7. The calculation of $\text{UNil}_n(\mathbb{Z}; \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z})$ for all n

The results of the last section allow us to prove Theorem B of the Introduction:

Theorem 25. *There are isomorphisms:*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{UNil}_0(\mathbb{Z}; \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) &= 0, \\ \text{UNil}_1(\mathbb{Z}; \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) &= 0, \\ \text{UNil}_2(\mathbb{Z}; \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) &\cong \text{coker}\{(\psi^2 - 1) : \mathbb{F}_2[x]/\mathbb{F}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2[x]/\mathbb{F}_2\}, \end{aligned}$$

and an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2[x]/\mathbb{F}_2 \xrightarrow{(\psi^2 - 1)} \mathbb{F}_2[x]/\mathbb{F}_2 \rightarrow \text{UNil}_3(\mathbb{Z}; \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2[x] \times \mathbb{F}_2[x] \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. Note $I_2 = 0$, by (29). Therefore (44) and (34) imply the first two equations at once. The third equation is immediate from (34) and (42). The final exact sequence is immediate from (34), (42), and (43). \square

See [1,7] for further computations.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the referee for various helpful suggestions. This research was started during the visit of FXC to Edinburgh in 1994, which was supported by the SERC Visiting Fellowship GR/J94891.

References

- [1] M. Banagl, A. Ranicki, Generalized Arf invariants in algebraic L -theory, <http://arXiv.org/abs/math.AT/0304362>.
- [2] H. Bass, Algebraic K -theory, W. A. Benjamin Inc., New York, Amsterdam, 1968.
- [3] S.E. Cappell, Splitting obstructions for Hermitian forms and manifolds with $Z_2 \subset \pi_1$, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 79 (1973) 909–913.
- [4] S.E. Cappell, Manifolds with fundamental group a generalized free product. I, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 80 (1974) 1193–1198.
- [5] S.E. Cappell, Unitary nilpotent groups and Hermitian K -theory. I, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 80 (1974) 1117–1122.
- [6] S.E. Cappell, A splitting theorem for manifolds, Invent. Math. 33 (2) (1976) 69–170.
- [7] F. Connolly, J.F. Davis, The surgery obstruction groups of the infinite dihedral group, Geom. Topol. 8 (2004) 1043–1078.
- [8] F. Connolly, T. Koźniewski, Nil groups in K -theory and surgery theory, Forum Math. 7 (1) (1995) 45–76.
- [9] F.T. Farrell, The nonfiniteness of Nil, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 65 (2) (1977) 215–216.
- [10] F.T. Farrell, The exponent of UNil, Topology 18 (4) (1979) 305–312.
- [11] F.T. Farrell, W.C. Hsiang, Manifolds with $\pi_i = G \times_x T$, Amer. J. Math. 95 (1973) 813–848.
- [12] A.S. Miščenko, Homotopy invariants of multiply connected manifolds. III. Higher signatures, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 35 (1971) 1316–1355.
- [13] A. Ranicki, Algebraic L -theory. II. Laurent extensions, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 27 (1973) 126–158.
- [14] A. Ranicki, The algebraic theory of surgery. I. Foundations, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 40 (1) (1980) 87–192.
- [15] A. Ranicki, The algebraic theory of surgery. II. Applications to topology, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 40 (2) (1980) 193–283.
- [16] A. Ranicki, Exact sequences in the algebraic theory of surgery, Mathematical Notes, vol. 26, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1981.
- [17] A. Ranicki, Additive L -theory, K -theory 3 (2) (1989) 163–195.
- [18] A. Ranicki, High-dimensional knot theory, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, New York, 1998, Algebraic surgery in codimension 2, With an appendix by Elmar Winkelkemper.
- [19] A. Ranicki, Algebraic Poincaré cobordism, in: Topology, Geometry, and Algebra: Interactions and New Directions (Stanford, CA, 1999), Contemporary Mathematics, vol. 279, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2001, pp. 213–255.
- [20] J.L. Shaneson, Wall’s surgery obstruction groups for $G \times Z$, Ann. of Math. (2) 90 (1969) 296–334.
- [21] C.T.C. Wall, Surgery on compact manifolds, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 69, second ed., American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999, edited and with a foreword by A. A. Ranicki.

- [22] M. Weiss, Surgery and the generalized Kervaire invariant. I, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 51 (1) (1985) 146–192.
- [23] M. Weiss, Surgery and the generalized Kervaire invariant. II, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 51 (2) (1985) 193–230.