

## ON THE CLOSED CONE OF CURVES OF ALGEBRAIC 3-FOLDS

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

1985 Math. USSR Izv. 24 193

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/0025-5726/24/1/A08>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 195.37.209.182

The article was downloaded on 19/09/2010 at 20:20

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

## ON THE CLOSED CONE OF CURVES OF ALGEBRAIC 3-FOLDS

UDC 512.7

V. V. SHOKUROV

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper the author establishes, under natural conditions, the local polyhedrality of the closed cone of curves of a three-dimensional algebraic variety in the part that is negative with respect to the canonical class. In particular, it is shown that there always exists an extremal ray giving a contraction. The results can be used in three-dimensional birational geometry.

Bibliography: 10 titles.

$X$  denotes throughout a *normal projective 3-fold* defined over an algebraically closed field  $k$  of characteristic 0. We recall the terminology of Mori [4] and Kawamata [3]. There are two real vector spaces associated with the variety  $X$ ,

$$N(X) = (\{1\text{-cycles on } X\} / \equiv) \otimes \mathbf{R}$$

and

$$N(X)^0 = (\{\text{Cartier divisors on } X\} / \equiv) \otimes \mathbf{R},$$

where  $\equiv$  denotes numerical equivalences; the intersection pairing

$$(\cdot): N(X)^0 \times N(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$$

is nondegenerate by definition of  $\equiv$ . On  $N(X)$  and  $N(X)^0$  we fix a Euclidean norm  $\|\cdot\|$ . This defines the *closed cone of curves*  $\overline{NE}(X) \subset N(X)$ , which is the closure with respect to  $\|\cdot\|$  of the cone  $NE(X)$  of effective 1-cycles on  $X$ . This cone is obviously independent of the choice of  $\|\cdot\|$ .

$K_X$  denotes the canonical Weil divisor of  $X$  [5]. By definition  $\mathcal{O}_{\text{Reg}(X)}(K_X) = \Omega_{\text{Reg}(X)}^3$ , where  $\text{Reg}(X) = X - \text{Sing}(X)$  is the set of nonsingular points of  $X$ .

By a **Q-Cartier divisor** we mean a linear combination of Cartier divisors with rational coefficients. We suppose furthermore that  $X$  is **Q-factorial**. This means that every Weil divisor  $D$  on  $X$  is a rational multiple of a Cartier divisor; that is, there exists an integer  $n$  such that  $nD$  is a Cartier divisor on  $X$ . On such a variety each Weil divisor  $D$  corresponds to a **Q-Cartier divisor**, and has a numerical class  $(D) \in N(X)^0$ . In particular, we can take the intersection of Weil divisors with 1-cycles. The Weil divisor  $K_X$  defines a **Q-Cartier**

divisor which we continue to denote by  $K_X$ . We recall that  $X$  is said to have *canonical singularities* (respectively *terminal singularities*) if for some resolution  $h: X' \rightarrow X$ ,

$$K_{X'} \equiv h^*K_X + \sum a_i E_i,$$

where the  $E_i$  are the exceptional divisors of  $h$ , and all the  $a_i$  are  $\geq 0$  (respectively  $> 0$ ). It is easy to check that this definition is independent of the resolution  $h$ . We assume from now on that  $X$  is a *variety with canonical singularities*.

We let  $\overline{NE}(X)^-$  denote the cone  $\{Z \in \overline{NE}(X) \mid Z \cdot K_X < 0\}$ .

By an *extremal ray* of  $\overline{NE}(X)^-$  we mean a ray  $R \subset \overline{NE}(X)^-$  such that

(i) If  $Z_1, Z_2 \in \overline{NE}(X)$  and  $Z_1 + Z_2 \in R$ , then  $Z_1, Z_2 \in R$ .

A ray  $R$  is said to be *locally polyhedral* if there exists a divisor  $D \in N(X)^0$  and a finite collection of curves  $C_1, \dots, C_r$  on  $X$  such that  $\overline{NE}(X) = \overline{NE}(X, D)^+ + \sum \mathbf{R}_+(C_i)$  and  $D \cdot Z < 0$  for all  $Z \in R - \{0\}$ ; here  $\overline{NE}(X, D)^+ = \{Z \in \overline{NE}(X) \mid D \cdot Z \geq 0\}$ . In this case the ray  $R$  satisfies Mori's conditions, namely

(ii)  $R$  is rational; that is,  $R = \mathbf{R}_+(C_R)$  for some curve  $C_R \subset X$ .

(iii)  $R^\perp = \{D \in N(X)^0 \mid D \cdot R = 0\}$  contains an open subset of numerically effective divisors  $D \in N(X)^0$  for which  $D^\perp \cap \overline{NE}(X) = R$ .

To a locally polyhedral extremal ray  $R \subset \overline{NE}(X)^-$  we can apply Kawamata's technique [3], and so  $R$  determines a morphism  $\text{cont}_R: X \rightarrow Y$  contracting the extremal ray  $R$ . (Kawamata's preprint in fact assumes that  $X$  has terminal singularities, but this condition is not used in an essential way in his proof; see [7].)

We say that  $R$  is a ray of type (a) (respectively of type (b)) if  $R$  is a locally polyhedral extremal ray of  $\overline{NE}(X)^-$  such that the morphism  $\text{cont}_R: X \rightarrow Y$  is birational and contracts a surface  $E$  of  $X$  (respectively contracts only a finite set of curves of  $X$ ). Compare [3], Theorem 4.

**MAIN THEOREM.** *Let  $X$  be a projective normal  $\mathbf{Q}$ -factorial 3-fold with canonical singularities, and suppose that any compact subset of the cone  $\overline{NE}(X)^-$  has at most a finite number of extremal rays of type (b). Then  $\overline{NE}(X)$  is locally polyhedral in  $\overline{NE}(X)^-$ ; that is, for any ample divisor  $A$  and any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a finite set of curves  $C_1, \dots, C_r$  such that*

$$\overline{NE}(X) = \overline{NE}_\varepsilon(X, A) + \sum_{i=1}^r \mathbf{R}_+(C_i),$$

where  $\overline{NE}_\varepsilon(X, A) = \{Z \in \overline{NE}(X) \mid (K_X + \varepsilon A) \cdot Z \geq 0\}$ .

**COROLLARY.** *If  $K_X$  is not numerically effective, then  $\overline{NE}(X)^-$  always contains a locally polyhedral extremal ray  $R$ .*

This result was proved independently (but later) by Reid [7], using a closely related method.\*

### §2. The main lemma

**2.1. LEMMA.** *Let  $X$  be a projective normal  $\mathbf{Q}$ -factorial 3-fold with canonical singularities, let  $A$  be an ample Cartier divisor, and suppose that for some  $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$ ,  $D \in (A + \alpha K_X)$  is a numerically effective divisor such that*

- (i) *the face of  $\overline{NE}(X)$  given by  $R = D^\perp \cap \overline{NE}(X)$  satisfies  $R \subset \overline{NE}(X)^-$ , and*
- (ii) *either  $D^3 > 0$  or  $-D^2 K_X > 0$ .*

\*Translator's note. Much progress has been made on this problem in recent months: see [8], [9] and [10]. Both the Contraction Theorem and the Theorem on the Cone are now known in all dimensions.

Then either  $\overline{NE}(X)$  is locally polyhedral in a neighborhood of  $R$  (that is, there exist a finite set of curves  $C_1, \dots, C_r$  and an  $\epsilon > 0$  such that

$$\overline{NE}(X) = \overline{NE}_\epsilon(X, D) + \sum_{i=1}^r \mathbf{R}_+(C_i), \tag{2.2}$$

where  $\overline{NE}_\epsilon(X, D) = \{Z \in \overline{NE}(X) | (D + \epsilon K_X \cdot Z) \geq 0\}$ , or there exists a morphism  $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$  making  $X$  into a conic fibration, such that  $(C) \in R$  for a general fiber  $C = \varphi^{-1}(y)$ .

PROOF. Let  $\alpha = m/n - \delta$ , where  $m$  and  $n$  are positive integers and  $0 < \delta \leq 1/n$ . Then

$$D \equiv A + (m/n)K_X - \delta K_X.$$

From (i), the divisor  $D_{m/n} = A + (m/n)K_X$  is numerically negative on  $R$ . By virtue of the proof of Theorem 1 in [3], in order to establish the decomposition (2.2) it is enough to check that, for some integer  $N > 0$ ,

$$|ND_{m/n}| \neq \emptyset. \tag{2.4}$$

We will prove this using Riemann-Roch and vanishing; consider a resolution  $h: X' \rightarrow X$  which is the standard resolution along the curves of canonical singularities, and is otherwise arbitrary. Then the exceptional divisors  $E_i$  which map to curves of  $X$  have discrepancy  $a_i = 0$ . We also assume that all exceptional divisors of  $h$  are nonsingular and intersect transversally. Set

$$\bar{h}(mK_X) = -[-mK_{X'} + \sum (m-1)a_i E_i] = mK_{X'} - \sum [(m-1)a_i] E_i,$$

where  $[ ]$  denotes the integral part of a number or a divisor. For  $n \gg 0$  the divisor  $D - (1/n - \delta)K_X \equiv A + ((m-1)/n)K_X$  will satisfy the hypothesis of the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem [1], except in the case  $D^3 = 0$  and  $\delta = 1/n$ . However, in this case, by (ii),  $D$  is a  $\mathbf{Q}$ -Cartier divisor with  $D^3 = 0$  and  $-D^2 K_X > 0$ ; then  $D$  defines a conic fibration  $\varphi_{|ND|}: X \rightarrow Y$ . This is proved in [2] and [3] assuming terminal singularities, and in general using Kawamata's technique in [6] and [7]. The general fiber  $C = \varphi^{-1}(y)$  obviously has class  $(C) \in R$  (by the definition of  $R$ ; see (i)). In this case we have one of the conclusions of the lemma, so that from now on we can assume that it does not occur. Then, by Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing,

$$h^i(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}(nh^*A + \bar{h}(mK_X))) = h^i(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}(-[ -nh^*A - (m-1)h^*K_X ] + K_{X'})) = 0$$

for all  $i > 0$ . Hence

$$h^0(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}(nh^*A + \bar{h}(mK_X))) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_{X'}(nh^*A + \bar{h}mK_X)) = \mathbf{R}\text{-R expression.}$$

Now note that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{h}(mK_X) &= mK_{X'} - \sum (m-1)a_j E_j - \sum \{(m-1)a_j E_j\} \\ &\equiv mh^*K_X + \sum (a_j - \{(m-1)a_j\}) E_j. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Hence

$$nh^*A + \bar{h}(mK_X) \equiv h^*(nA + mK_X) + \sum b_j E_j,$$

where  $b_j = O(1)$  as  $n \gg 0$ . By (2.3),  $nA = mK_X = nD + \delta nK_X$ . Writing down only the cubic and quadratic terms in the Riemann-Roch formula, and using the fact that  $|\delta n| \leq 1$ , we get

$$h^0(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}(nh^*A = \bar{h}(mK_X))) = \frac{1}{6}(nD + \delta nK_X)^3 - \frac{1}{4}(h^*(nD + \delta nK_X))^2 K_{X'} + \dots, \quad (2.6)$$

where the dots denote terms bounded by a linear function of  $n$ . We now prove that the right-hand side of (2.6) is strictly positive if  $n \gg 0$ . If  $D^3 > 0$  this is obvious. Suppose then that  $D^3 = 0$  and  $-D^2K_X > 0$ . If  $\alpha$  is rational, we have seen above that  $D$  defines a conic fibration of  $X$ , and since we are assuming that  $X$  is not a conic fibration,  $\alpha$  is irrational. Then letting  $m/n$  be a continued fraction approximation of  $\alpha$ , we can assume that  $\delta n \leq 1/n$ , and then for  $n \gg 0$  we get

$$h^0(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}(nh^*A + \bar{h}(mK_X))) = -\frac{1}{4}n^2D^2K_X + \dots > 0,$$

with the dots as before. Thus  $|nh^*A + \bar{h}(mK_X)| \neq \emptyset$  for suitable  $n \gg 0$ , and using (2.5) we get the required nonemptiness assertion (2.4). ■

### §3. Proof of the main theorem

3.1. *Choice of the curves  $C_i$ .* The cone  $\overline{NE}(X)^-$  can have at most a finite set of extremal rays of type (a) which “contract to a point”, since the exceptional surfaces  $E$  corresponding to these rays are disjoint in pairs, so that their classes in  $N(X)^0$  are linearly independent. We also have outside  $\overline{NE}_\epsilon(X, A)$  a finite set of extremal rays of type (a) which “contract onto a curve”, since there is a curve  $C$  in such rays with  $CK_X = -1$ . So first of all we assume that  $\{C_i\}$  includes a finite set of curves  $C_i$  giving the extremal rays  $\mathbf{R}_+(C_i)$  of type (a) outside  $\overline{NE}_\epsilon(X, A)$ .

We can also see that the cone  $\{Z \in \overline{NE}(X) | (K_X + \epsilon A \cdot Z) \leq 0\}$  can have at most a finite set of rays of the form  $\mathbf{R}_+(C)$  where  $C = \varphi^{-1}(y)$  is the general fiber of a conic fibration  $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ . Indeed, then  $CK_X = -2$ , so that, assuming  $(K_X + \epsilon A \cdot C) < 0$ , the degree  $(A \cdot C) < 2/\epsilon$  is bounded, so that such curves belong to a bounded family. We include in  $\{C_i\}$  a finite set of curves which exhausts this set of rays.

By hypothesis, the half-cone  $\{Z \in \overline{NE}(X) | (K_X + \epsilon A \cdot Z) < 0\}$  has only a finite number of rays of type (b), and we add to  $\{C_i\}$  the curves corresponding to these.

Now consider the cone

$$V = \overline{NE}_\epsilon(X, A) + \sum_{i=1}^r \mathbf{R}_+(C_i) \subset \overline{NE}(X).$$

If  $V = \overline{NE}(X)$  then the theorem is proved. Otherwise  $\overline{NE}(X)$  contains a rational ray  $Z = \mathbf{R}_+(C) \not\subset V$ , and obviously  $(C \cdot K_X) < 0$ .

$$V_Z = \overline{NE}_\epsilon(X, A) + \sum_{i=1}^r \mathbf{R}_+(C_i) + Z \subset \overline{NE}(X),$$

so that  $Z$  is an edge of  $V_Z$ , and take a Cartier divisor  $D$  such that the hyperplane  $D^\perp$  passes through this edge, with  $D^\perp \cap V_Z = Z$ . Corresponding to  $D$  we have an affine line  $[D, K_X] \subset N(X)^0$ , and this line contains a divisor  $L_1$  such that  $L_1^\perp$  is a supporting hyperplane of  $\overline{NE}(X)$ , with  $L_1$  numerically effective and positive on  $V$ ; this  $L_1$  can be written as a combination  $L_1 = D + \alpha K_X$ , with  $\alpha > 0$ . By construction the cone  $R = L_1^\perp \cap \overline{NE}(X)$  is nonempty and is contained strictly inside the half-cone  $\overline{NE}(X)^-$ . Moreover, a suitable small neighborhood of  $R$  does not contain any of the rays  $\mathbf{R}_+(C_i)$ , and the divisor

$mL_1 - K_X$  is ample for  $m \gg 0$ . It follows that  $L_1^3 \geq 0$ . If  $L_1^3 > 0$  then it follows from the main lemma that  $\overline{NE}(X)$  is locally polyhedral in a neighborhood of  $R$ . Then  $L_1$  can be taken to be  $\mathbf{Q}$ -rational; but then  $R$  contains an extremal ray  $R'$  of type (a) or (b), which is impossible by construction. Hence  $L_1^3 = 0$ . Then  $-L_1^2 K_X \geq 0$ . If  $-L_1^2 K_X > 0$  then again using the main lemma we see that either  $R$  contains a ray of the form  $\mathbf{R}_+(C)$  where  $C = \varphi^{-1}(y)$  is the general fiber of a conic fibration, which is impossible by construction, or  $\overline{NE}(X)$  is locally polyhedral in a neighborhood of  $R$ . In this final case we again get either a ray of type (a) or (b), or a ray corresponding to a conic fibration, any of which are impossible by construction. Hence  $-L_1^2 K_X = 0$ .

3.2. We have thus arrived at the situation that  $L_1^3 = L_1^2 K_X = 0$ . Using Mori's argument from [4], §6, we see that  $L_1^2 \equiv 0$ . If  $\rho(X) \geq 3$  then there exists another  $L_2$  so that  $L_2^\perp$  is a supporting hyperplane of  $\overline{NE}(X)$  similar to  $L_1$ , but  $L_2$  are not proportional. Again  $L_2^2 \equiv 0$ . By the numerical effectivity of  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  we have  $L_1 L_2 \in \overline{NE}(X)$ . On the other hand,  $L_1^2 L_2 = L_1 L_2^2 = 0$ , and hence  $L_1 L_2 \in R_1 \cap R_2$ . If  $R_1 \cap R_2 = 0$ , then  $L_1 L_2 \equiv 0$ , so that by Mori's arguments it follows that  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are proportional, which is impossible by assumption. If  $R_1 \cap R_2 \neq 0$  then  $L_1 + L_2$  again satisfies the same conditions as  $L_1$ , and then  $(L_1 + L_2)^2 \equiv 0$ . Hence  $L_1 L_2 \equiv 0$ , which again leads to a contradiction.

3.3. Finally it remains to consider the case  $L_1^3 = L_1^2 K_X = 0$  and  $\rho(X) = 2$ , the case  $\rho(X) = 1$  being trivial. Here the extremality condition is trivial, and according to the results of [3] we need only the rationality of  $L_1$ . Indeed, if  $L_1$  is rational, then by Kawamata's results  $L_1^\perp$  is a supporting hyperplane for a ray  $R$  specifying a fibration of del Pezzo surfaces. But as with the rays giving conic fibrations, there are only a finite number of such rays outside  $\overline{NE}_e(X, A)$ . Thus we could have added to  $\{C_i\}$  the classes of curves  $C_i$  of general del Pezzo's surfaces in such fibrations having  $-(C_i K_X) \leq 9$ .

Thus  $L_1$  is an irrational divisor, so that we can assume that  $L_1 = D + \alpha K_X$  with  $\alpha$  irrational, and  $D$  an ample Cartier divisor. The equations  $L_1^3 = L_1^2 K_X = 0$  give polynomial equations of degree  $\leq 3$  and  $2$  in  $\alpha$ . Hence  $\alpha$  is a quadratic irrationality. Let  $\alpha'$  be the conjugate irrationality, and  $L_2 = D + \alpha' K_X$ . Now  $L_2$  must satisfy both the equations, since they have rational coefficients. It is easy to check that the cycle  $L_1 L_2$  is rational. But  $L_1^2 L_2 = 0$ . Hence  $L_1 L_2 \equiv 0$ , since otherwise by irrationality of  $\alpha$  we would have  $L_1 L_2 K_X = 0$ ; but if  $ZK_X = ZL_1 = 0$  then  $Z \equiv 0$ . The relation  $L_1 L_2 \equiv 0$  again leads to a contradiction, since  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are not proportional, so that  $D = \beta L_1 + \gamma L_2$ , and hence  $D^3 = (\beta L_1 + \gamma L_2)^3 = 0$ . This contradiction completes the proof of the main theorem. ■

Received 4/MAR/83

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Yujiro Kawamata, *A generalization of Kodaira-Ramanujam's vanishing theorem*, Math. Ann. **261** (1982), 43-46.
2. \_\_\_\_\_, *Finite generation of the pluricanonical ring for a 3-fold of general type*, preprint, Univ. of California, Berkeley, Calif., 1983.
3. \_\_\_\_\_, *Elementary contractions of algebraic 3-folds*, Ann. of Math. (2) **119** (1984), 95-110.\*\*
4. Shigefumi Mori, *Threefolds whose canonical bundles are not numerically effective*, Ann. of Math. (2) **116** (1982), 133-176.

\*\* The Russian original cites a preprint of this article.

5. Miles Reid, *Canonical 3-folds*, Journées de Géométrie Algébrique d'Angers, Juillet 1979/Algebraic Geometry, Angers, 1979 (A. Beauville, editor), Sijthoff & Noordhoff, Alphen aan den Rijn, 1980, pp. 273–310.
6. V. V. Shokurov, *Extremal contraction of algebraic 3-folds*, Birational Geometry of Algebraic Varieties, Yaroslav. Gos. Ped. Inst., Yaroslavl, 1983, pp. 74–90. (Russian)
7. Miles Reid, *Projective contractions according to Kawamata*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (to appear)
- 8\*\*\*. Yujiro Kawamata, *Cone of curves of algebraic varieties*, preprint, Univ. of Tokyo, Tokyo, 1983. (Results announced in Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. **59** (1983), 477–480.)
- 9\*\*\*. V. V. Shokurov, *The nonvanishing theorem*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **49** (1985) (to appear).
- 10\*\*\*. János Kollár, *Discreteness theorem*, Brandeis Univ., Waltham, Mass., 1984.

Translated by M. REID

---

\*\*\* Added by translator.