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- Present several relations between Local and global Lipschitz geometry.
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## Theorem

Let $X$ and $Y$ be two connected smooth (without boundary) compact surfaces. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(1) $X$ and $Y$ are homeomorphic;
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## Classification of smooth compact surfaces

## Theorem

Let $X$ and $Y$ be two connected smooth (maybe with boundary) compact surfaces. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(1) $X$ and $Y$ are homeomorphic;
(2) $X$ and $Y$ are diffeomorphic;
(3) $X$ and $Y$ are inner lipeomorphic;
(4) $\theta(X)=\theta(Y), g(X)=g(Y)$ and $X$ and $Y$ have the number of boundary components.

## Non-compact surfaces

## Example

Let $X=\mathbb{R}^{2}, Y=\left\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} ; x^{2}+y^{2}=1\right\}$ and
$Z=\left\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} ; z=x^{2}+y^{2}\right\}$.
a) $\theta(X)=\theta(Y), g(X)=g(Y)$, but $X$ and $Y$ are not homeomorphic;
(b) $X$ and $Z$ are diffeomorphic, but they are not inner lipeomorphic;

## Non-smooth surfaces

## Example

Let $Y=\left\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} ;\left(x^{2}+\frac{9}{2} y^{2}+z^{2}-1\right)^{3}-x^{2} z^{3}-\frac{9}{200} y^{2} z^{3}=0\right\}$ and $X=\mathbb{S}^{2}$. Then $X$ and $Y$ are homeomorphic, but they are not inner lipeomorphic.


Figure: The heart surface and the sphere

## Local classification of surfaces

## Theorem of Birbrair

Given the germ of a semialgebraic set, $(X, a)$, with isolated singularity and connected link, there is a unique rational number $\beta \geq 1$ such that $(X, a)$ is inner homeomorphic to the germ at $0 \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ of the $\beta$-horn

$$
\left\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}: x^{2}+y^{2}=z^{2 \beta} \text { and } z \geq 0\right\}
$$
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## Definition

Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a semialgebraic surface with isolated inner Lipschitz singularities. Let us consider the following symbols:
i) For $p \in \operatorname{Sing}_{i n L i p}(X), \ell(X, p)$ denotes the number of connected components of the link of $X$ at $p$;
ii) We can consider a sufficient large radius $R>0$ (and $\rho=1 / R)$ such that

$$
X^{\prime}=(X \cap \overline{B(0, R)}) \backslash\left\{B\left(x_{1}, \rho\right) \cup \cdots \cup B\left(x_{s}, \rho\right)\right\}
$$

is a topological surface with boundary and its topological type does not depend on $R$. Thus, we define

$$
\theta(X)=\left\{\begin{aligned}
1, & \text { if } X^{\prime} \text { is orientable } \\
-1, & \text { if } X^{\prime} \text { is not orientable. }
\end{aligned}\right.
$$
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iii) $g(X)$ is the genus of $X^{\prime}$;
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## Definition

iii) $g(X)$ is the genus of $X^{\prime}$;
iv) For each $p \in X$, there is $r>0$ such that

$$
X \cap B(p, r)=\bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell(X, p)} X_{i}
$$

and each $X_{i}$ is a topological surface. Let $\beta_{i}$ be the horn exponent of $X_{i}$ at $p$ (given by Theorem of Birbrair). By reordering the indices, if necessary, we assume that $\beta_{1} \leq \beta_{2} \leq \cdots \leq \beta_{\ell(X, p)}$. In this way, we define $\beta(X, p)=\left(\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \cdots, \beta_{\ell(X, p)}\right)$.
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## Theorem (Fernandes and S. (2022))
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Given an end $E$ of $X$ (i.e., a connected component of $X \backslash B(0, R)$ ), there is a unique rational number $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$ such that $E$ is inner lipeomorphic to the $\beta$-tube
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## Definition

v) $e(X)$ is the number of ends of $X$, and if $E_{1}, \ldots, E_{e(X)}$ are the ends of $X$, then denote by $\beta_{i}$, the tube exponent of $E_{i}$, the only rational number smaller than or equal to 1 such that $E_{i}$ is a $\beta_{i}$-tube. By reordering the indices, if necessary, we assume that
$\beta_{1} \leq \beta_{2} \leq \cdots \leq \beta_{e(X)}$. In this way, we define
$\beta(X, \infty)=\left(\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{e(X)}\right)$.

## The invariant
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## Definition (Inner Lipschitz code)

Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a semialgebraic surface with isolated inner Lipschitz singularities. If $\operatorname{Reg}_{\text {inLip }}(X)$ is a connected set, then the collection of symbols

$$
\left\{\theta(X), g(X), \beta(X, \infty),\{\beta(X, p)\}_{p \in \operatorname{Sing}_{i n L i p}(X)}\right\}
$$

is called the inner Lipschitz code of $X$ and we denote it by $\operatorname{Code}_{\text {inLip }}(X)$.
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Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a semialgebraic surface with isolated inner Lipschitz singularities. If $\operatorname{Reg}_{\text {inLip }}(X)$ is a connected set, then the collection of symbols

$$
\left\{\theta(X), g(X), \beta(X, \infty),\{\beta(X, p)\}_{p \in \operatorname{Sing}_{i n L i p}(X)}\right\}
$$

is called the inner Lipschitz code of $X$ and we denote it by $\operatorname{Code}_{\text {inLip }}(X)$.

## Remark

We can also define $\operatorname{Code}_{\text {inLip }}(X)$ when $\operatorname{Reg}_{\text {inLip }}(X)$ is not connected, but we will not consider this case in our talk.
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## Example

Let us see the inner Lipschitz code of some well-known semialgebraic topological surfaces.
a) Right cylinder: $\{1,0,(0,0), \emptyset\}$;
b) Unbounded Moebius band

$$
\left\{(x, y, u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^{4}: x^{2}+y^{2}=1,\left(u^{2}-v^{2}\right) y=2 u v x\right\}:\{-1,0,1, \emptyset\}
$$

c) Global $\beta$-horn in $\mathbb{R}^{3} ; \beta \geq 1$ : $\{1,0,1,\{\beta\}\}$;
d) $\left\{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^{2}: z^{2}=w(w-a)(w-b)\right\} ; a, b \neq 0$ and $a \neq b$ :
$\{1,1,(1,1,1), \emptyset\}$;
e) Plane: $\{1,0,1, \emptyset\}$
f) Paraboloid in $\mathbb{R}^{3}:\{1,0,1 / 2, \emptyset\}$
g) Torus: $\{1,1, \emptyset, \emptyset\}$
h) Klein bottle: $\{-1,1, \emptyset, \emptyset\}$
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## Global classification of semialgebraic surfaces

Theorem (Fernandes and S. (2022))
Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}$ be semialgebraic surfaces with isolated inner Lipschitz singularities. Then, $X$ and $Y$ are inner lipeomorphic if, and only if, their inner Lipschitz code are equal.
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## Nash surfaces



Figure: An oriented Nash surface with 5 ends and genus 4.

## Classification of compact semialgebraic surfaces

## Classification of compact semialgebraic surfaces

## Theorem (Fernandes and S. (2022))

Let $N_{1}, N_{2} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be two Nash surfaces. Then, the following statements are equivalent:
(1) $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$ are homeomorphic and $\beta\left(N_{1}, \infty\right)=\beta\left(N_{2}, \infty\right)$;
(2) $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$ are diffeomorphic and $\beta\left(N_{1}, \infty\right)=\beta\left(N_{2}, \infty\right)$;
(3) $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$ are inner lipeomorphic;
(4) $\theta\left(N_{1}\right)=\theta\left(N_{2}\right), g\left(N_{1}\right)=g\left(N_{2}\right)$ and $\beta\left(N_{1}, \infty\right)=\beta\left(N_{2}, \infty\right)$.
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- For $\theta \in\{-1,1\}$ and $g \in \mathbb{N}$, let $N(\theta, g) \subset \mathbb{R}^{5}$ be a compact Nash surface such that $\theta(N(\theta, g))=\theta$ and $g(N(\theta, g))=g$;
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## Theorem (Fernandes and S. (2022))

Let $N \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a Nash surface. Then, $N(\theta(N), g(N), \beta(N, \infty))$ and $N$ are inner lipeomorphic.

## Classification of minimal surfaces

## Classification of minimal surfaces

## Theorem (Fernandes and S. (2022))

Let $M_{1}, M_{2} \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ be two connected properly embedded minimal surfaces with finite total curvature. Then, the following statements are equivalent:
(1) $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ are homeomorphic;
(2) $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ are inner lipeomorphic;
(3) $g\left(M_{1}\right)=g\left(M_{2}\right)$ and $e\left(M_{1}\right)=e\left(M_{2}\right)$.
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## Classification of complex algebraic curves

## Theorem (Fernandes and S. (2022))

Let $C_{1}, C_{2} \subset \mathbb{C}^{2}$ be two complex algebraic curves. Then, the following statements are equivalent:
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## Corollary

Let $C_{1}, C_{2} \subset \mathbb{C}^{2}$ be two LNE complex algebraic curves. Then, the following statements are equivalent:
(1) $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are homeomorphic;
(2) $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are outer lipeomorphic.
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## Definition

Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be an unbounded closed subset. Let $\widehat{X}=\rho^{-1}(X) \cup\left\{e_{n+1}\right\}$, where $\rho: \mathbb{S}^{n} \backslash\left\{e_{n+1}\right\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is the stereographic projection of $e_{n+1}=(0, \ldots, 0,1) \in \mathbb{S}^{n}$.
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Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be an unbounded closed subset. Let $\widehat{X}=\rho^{-1}(X) \cup\left\{e_{n+1}\right\}$, where $\rho: \mathbb{S}^{n} \backslash\left\{e_{n+1}\right\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is the stereographic projection of $e_{n+1}=(0, \ldots, 0,1) \in \mathbb{S}^{n}$.

## Theorem (Fernandes and S. (2022))

Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}$ be semi-algebraic surfaces with isolated inner Lipschitz singularities. Then, $X$ and $Y$ are inner lipeomorphic if, and only if, the pointed spaces $\left(\widehat{X}, e_{n+1}\right)$ and $\left(\widehat{Y}, e_{m+1}\right)$ are inner lipeomorphic.

## Classification of compact semialgebraic surfaces

In the last result, the equivalence as pointed spaces can not be dropped.

## Example

Let $P=\left\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} ; z=x^{2}+y^{2}\right\}$ and $H=\left\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} ; z^{3}=x^{2}+y^{2}\right\}$. Then
$\widehat{P}=\left\{(x, y, z, w) \in \mathbb{S}^{3} ; z(1-w)=x^{2}+y^{2}\right\}$ and
$\widehat{H}=\left\{(x, y, z, w) \in \mathbb{S}^{3} ; z^{3}=\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)(1-w)\right\}$. Thus,
$\operatorname{Code}_{\text {inLip }}(P)=\left\{1,0, \frac{1}{2}, \emptyset\right\}$ and $\operatorname{Code}_{\text {inLip }}(H)=\left\{1,0,1, \frac{3}{2}\right\}$. Therefore, by Inner Lip Classification Theorem, $P$ and $H$ are not inner lipeomorphic. Moreover, $\operatorname{Code}_{\text {inLip }}(\widehat{P})=\operatorname{Code}_{\text {inLip }}(\widehat{H})=\left\{1,0, \emptyset,\left\{\frac{3}{2}\right\}\right\}$. Therefore, by Inner Lip Classification Theorem, $\widehat{P}$ and $\widehat{H}$ are inner lipeomorphic.

## Outline

## (1) Introduction and motivation

(2) Global classification of semialgebraic surfaces
(3) Consequences

- Classification of Nash surfaces
- Classification of minimal surfaces with finite total curvature
- Classification of complex algebraic curves
- One-point compactification
(4) Inner distance is conical
(5) Outer Lipschitz geometry: local vs. global
- Applications to the Ahern-Rudin's results
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In this talk, we fix an o-minimal structure $\mathcal{S}$ on $\mathbb{R}$.
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## Theorem (S. (2023))

Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a definable set in $\mathcal{S}$. Let $\varphi: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a radius function, i.e., $\varphi$ is a definable outer Lipschitz function such that there is $C \geq 1$ satisfying $\frac{1}{C}\|x\| \leq\|\varphi(x)\| \leq C\|x\|$ for all $x \in A$.
(a) If the link of $A$ at infinity is connected, then there are constants $K, r \geq 1$ such that for each $t \in(r,+\infty)$, we have

$$
d_{A, i n n}(x, y) \leq d_{A_{\varphi, t, i n n}}(x, y) \leq K d_{A, i n n}(x, y)
$$

for all $x, y \in A_{\varphi, t}=\{x \in A ; \varphi(x)=t\}$.
(b) If the link of $A$ at 0 is connected, then there are constants $K, r \geq 1$ such that for each $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{r}\right)$, we have

$$
d_{A, i n n}(x, y) \leq d_{A_{\varphi, t, i n n}}(x, y) \leq K d_{A, i n n}(x, y)
$$

for all $x, y \in A_{\varphi, t}$.
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## Definable Lipschitz geometry: Local vs. global

## Theorem (S. (2023))

Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}$ be definable sets in $\mathcal{S}$ with connected links at infinity. Let $\sigma, \tilde{\sigma} \in\{i n n$, out $\}$. Then, the following statements are equivalent:
(1) There is a definable lipeomorphism at infinity $\varphi:\left(X, d_{X, \sigma}\right) \rightarrow\left(Y, d_{X, \tilde{\sigma}}\right)$ which preserves the outer distance to the origin;
(2) There is a germ of definable lipeomorphism $\psi:\left(\widehat{X}, d_{\widehat{X}, \sigma}, e_{n+1}\right) \rightarrow\left(\widehat{Y}, d_{\widehat{Y}, \tilde{\sigma}}, e_{m+1}\right)$ which preserves the last coordinate;
(3) There is a germ of lipeomorphism $\tilde{\varphi}:\left(\iota(X \backslash\{0\}), d_{\iota(X \backslash\{0\}), \sigma}, 0\right) \rightarrow\left(\iota(Y \backslash\{0\}), d_{\iota(Y \backslash\{0\}), \tilde{\sigma}}, 0\right)$ which preserves the outer distance to the origin.
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## Theorem (S. (2023))

Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}$ be definable sets in $\mathcal{S}$. Let $\sigma, \tilde{\sigma} \in\{$ inn, out $\}$. Then, $\left(X, d_{X, \sigma}\right)$ and $\left(Y, d_{X, \tilde{\sigma}}\right)$ are definably lipeomorphic if and only if the pointed stereographic modifications $\left(\widehat{X}, d_{\widehat{X}, \sigma}, \infty\right)$ and $\left(\widehat{Y}, d_{\widehat{Y}, \tilde{\sigma}}, \infty\right)$ are definably lipeomorphic.

## Outline
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## Theorem

Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}$ be sets. Then, the following statements are equivalent:
(1) $X$ and $Y$ are outer lipeomorphic at infinity;
(2) The germs of the stereographic modifications $\left(\widehat{X}, e_{n+1}\right)$ and $\left(\widehat{Y}, e_{m+1}\right)$ are outer lipeomorphic;
(3) The germs of the inversions $(\iota(X \backslash\{0\}), 0)$ and $(\iota(Y \backslash\{0\}), 0)$ are outer lipeomorphic.
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## Theorem

Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}$ be sets. Then, the following statements are equivalent:
(1) $X$ and $Y$ are outer lipeomorphic at infinity;
(2) The germs of the stereographic modifications $\left(\hat{X}, e_{n+1}\right)$ and $\left(\widehat{Y}, e_{m+1}\right)$ are outer lipeomorphic;
(3) The germs of the inversions $(\iota(X \backslash\{0\}), 0)$ and $(\iota(Y \backslash\{0\}), 0)$ are outer lipeomorphic.

This result appeared firstly in the preprint arXiv:2305.07469 [math.MG] written by Grandjean and Oliveira. However, our proofs are different. Their proof is by contradiction and the mine is a direct proof.

## Smoothness at infinity

Ahern and Rudin in 1993 defined the notion of a set to be $C^{1}$-smooth at infinity.
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## Definition

A set $V \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is $C^{1}$-smooth at infinity if $\iota(V \backslash\{0\}) \cup\{0\}$ is a $C^{1}$ submanifold around 0 .

## Theorem (Ahern and Rudin (2023))

A complex analytic set $V \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ is $C^{1}$-smooth at infinity if and only if $V$ is the union of an affine linear subspace of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ and a (possibly empty) finite set.
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A complex analytic set $V \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ is Lipschitz smooth at infinity if and only if $V$ is the union of an affine linear subspace of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ and a (possibly empty) finite set.
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Thus, we define the following:

## Definition

A set $V \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is Lipschitz smooth at infinity if $(\iota(V \backslash\{0\}) \cup\{0\}, 0)$ and $\left(\mathbb{R}^{k}, 0\right)$ are germs of outer lipeomorphic sets.

We obtain the following generalization of Ahern-Rudin's theorem:

## Theorem (S. (2023))

A complex analytic set $V \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ is Lipschitz smooth at infinity if and only if $V$ is the union of an affine linear subspace of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ and a (possibly empty) finite set.

## Theorem (Fernandes and S. (2020))

A complex analytic set $V \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ is outer lipeomorphic to an Euclidean space (outside of compact sets) if and only if $V$ is the union of an affine linear subspace of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ and a (possibly empty) finite set.

## Final comment

## Final comment

## An open problem

Classify the semialgebraic surfaces (with isolated singularities) up to outer lipeomorphisms (Local and global).

## Thank you!

