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## Affine Brieskorn-Pham hypersurfaces

## Definition: Affine Brieskorn-Pham hypersurfaces

A hypersurface $X_{a_{0}, \ldots, a_{n}} \subset \mathbb{A}^{n+1}=0$ defined by an equation of the form

$$
X_{0}^{a_{0}}+\cdots X_{n}^{a_{n}}=0
$$

for an $(n+1)$-tuple of positive integers $a_{i}$.

## The Rigidity Conjecture

For $n \geq 2, X_{a_{0}, \ldots a_{n}}$ admits a non-trivial action of the additive group $\mathbb{G}_{a}$ if and only if:
(1) Either $a_{i}=1$ for some $i$,
(2) Or at least two distinct $a_{i}$ 's are equal to 2 .

## ADDITIVE GROUP ACTIONS AND CYLINDERS

## Notion of cylinder

(1) A cylinder in an algebraic variety $X$ is a Zariski open subset $U$ of $X$ isomorphic to $Z \times \mathbb{A}^{1}$ for some affine variety $Z$.
(2) A polar cylinder in a polarized variety $(X, H)-H$ an ample Weil $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on $X$ - is a cylinder $U$ in $X$ such that $D=X \backslash U$ is the support of an effective Weil $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $\mathbb{Q}$-linearly equivalent to $H$.

## Affine varieties with $\mathbb{G}_{a}$-actions have large automorphism groups

(1) An affine $\mathbb{G}_{a}$-variety contains an invariant principal cylinder.
(2) Consequence: if $\operatorname{dim} X \geq 2$ then $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ is an infinite dimensional group: it contains $\mathbb{G}_{a}^{\infty}=\operatorname{colim}_{n} \mathbb{G}_{a}^{n}$.

## Rigidity for Brieskorn-Pham surfaces

## Classical numerology and "easy" exclusion results

For $X=X_{a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}}=\left\{X_{0}^{a_{0}}+X_{1}^{a_{1}}+X_{2}^{a_{2}}=0\right\}$, we have the following dichotomy:
(1) $1 / a_{0}+1 / a_{1}+1 / a_{2} \leq 1 \Rightarrow \bar{\kappa}(X \backslash\{0\}) \geq 0 \Rightarrow X$ does not contain a cylinder.
(2) $1 / a_{0}+1 / a_{1}+1 / a_{2}>1$ and then $\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}\right)$ is one of the Platonic triplets $(2,2, m),(2,3,3),(2,3,4)$ and $(2,3,5)$. In this case $\bar{\kappa}(X \backslash\{0\})=-\infty$ : requires an additional algebraic/geometric study!

## Theorem (Kaliman-Zaidenberg 2000)

The rigidity conjecture holds for Brieskorn-Pham surfaces.

## WELL-FORMED HYPERSURFACES

## Definition

An affine Brieskorn-Pham hypersurface $X=X_{a_{0}, \ldots, a_{n}} \subset \mathbb{A}^{n+1}$ is called well-formed if the corresponding quasi-smooth weighted hypersurface

$$
\hat{X}=\left\{X_{0}^{a_{0}}+\cdots X_{n}^{a_{n}}=0\right\} \subset \mathbb{P}:=\mathbb{P}\left(w_{0}, \ldots, w_{n}\right), \quad w_{i}=\operatorname{lcm}_{i=0, \ldots, n}\left(a_{i}\right) / a_{i}
$$

is well-formed:
(1) $\operatorname{gcd}\left(w_{0}, \ldots, \hat{w}_{i}, \ldots w_{n}\right)=1, \forall i=0, \ldots, n$
(2) $\operatorname{codim}_{\hat{X}}(\hat{X} \cap \operatorname{Sing}(\mathbb{P})) \geq 2$.

## Proposition

For $n \geq 2, X=X_{a_{0}, \ldots, a_{n}} \subset \mathbb{A}^{n+1}$ is well-formed if and only if for every $i=0, \ldots, n, a_{i}$ divides $\operatorname{lcm}\left(a_{0}, \ldots, \hat{a}_{i}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$.

## Reduction of the Conjecture

## Chitayat Reduction Theorem

To prove the Rigidity Conjecture in any dimension $n \geq 3$ it suffices to prove it for well-formed Brieskorn-Pham hypersurfaces.

## Consequence for Brieskorn-Pham threefolds

For well-formed affine Brieskorn-Pham threefolds $X=X_{a_{0}, \ldots, a_{3}}$, we have the following dichotomy:
(1) $\sum 1 / a_{i} \leq 1: K_{\hat{X}}$ is either ample or trivial.
(2) $\sum 1 / a_{i}>1$ leads to a finite number of cases: $(2,3,3,6),(2,3,6,6)$, $(2,4,4,4),(3,3,3,3),(3,3,4,4),(3,3,5,5),(2,3,4,12),(2,3,5,30)$. In each of these cases, $\hat{X}$ is a del Pezzo surface with (at worse) cyclic quotient singularities.

## REDUCTION TO THE EXISTENCE OF POLAR CYLINDERS

## Kishimoto-Prokhorov-Zaidenberg correspondance

For well-formed affine Brieskorn-Pham threefolds $X=X_{a_{0}, \ldots, a_{3}}$, the following are equivalent:
(1) $X$ admits a non-trivial $\mathbb{G}_{a}$-action.
(2) $\hat{X}$ admits a $\mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}}(1)$-polar cylinder.

## Consequence:

(1) If $\sum 1 / a_{i} \leq 1$ then $X_{a_{0}, \ldots, a_{3}}$ does not admit any nontrivial $\mathbb{G}_{a}$-action.
(2) If $\sum 1 / a_{i}>1$ then $X=X_{a_{0}, \ldots, a_{3}}$ admits a nontrivial $\mathbb{G}_{a}$-action if and only if $\hat{X}$ admits a $-K_{\hat{X}}$-polar cylinder.

## EXCLUSION OF ANTI-CANONICAL POLAR CYLINDERS IN DEL PEZZO SURFACES

## Theorem (Cheltsov-Park-Won -2016)

(1) A smooth del Pezzo surface of degree $d$ admits an anticanonical polar cylinder if and only if $d \geq 4$.
(2) Complete classification of del Pezzo surfaces with at most du Val singularities admiting anticanonical polar cylinders.

## Consequences

(1) $(3,3,3,3)$ : Fermat cubic surface in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$, no cylinder.
(2) $(2,4,4,4)$ : Smooth del Pezzo surface of degree 2 in $\mathbb{P}(2,1,1,1)$, no cylinder.
(3) $(2,3,6,6)$ : Smooth del Pezzo surface of degree 1 in $\mathbb{P}(3,2,1,1)$, no cylinder.
(9) $(2,3,3,6)$ : del Pezzo surface of degre 3 in $\mathbb{P}(3,2,2,1)$ with three $A_{1}$-singularities, no cylinder.

## Remaining cases - Take 1

## Cylinders and non log-canonicity (Cheltov-Park)

Let $S$ be a del Pezzo surface with at worse log-canonical singularities and let $D \sim \mathbb{Q}-K_{S}$ be an effective Weil $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor such that $S \backslash \operatorname{Supp}(D)$ is a cylinder. Then the $\log$ pair $(S, D)$ is not log-canonical.

## Consequence:

A del Pezzo surface $S$ with quotient singularities and $\alpha$-invariant larger than or equal to 1 does not contain any $-K_{S}$-polar cylinder.
(1) $(3,3,4,4): \alpha=1$ (Cheltsov-Park-Shramov 2010), no cylinder.
(2) $(3,3,5,5): \alpha=2$ (Cheltsov-Park-Shramov 2010), no cylinder.

## Remaining cases - Take 2

## The last two cases

(1) $(2,3,5,30)$ : a del Pezzo surface of degree $2 / 15$ in $\mathbb{P}(15,10,6,1)$ with three cyclic quotient singularities of type $1 / 5(1,1), 1 / 3(1,1)$ and $1 / 2(1,1)$ supported on a line.
(2) $(2,3,4,12)$ : a del Pezzo surface of degree $2 / 3$ in $\mathbb{P}(6,4,3,1)$ with three cyclic quotient singularities of type $1 / 2(1,1), 1 / 3(1,1)$ and $1 / 3(1,1)$ supported on a line.

## Proposition and Theorem

The two surfaces above do not contain any anticanonical polar cylinder. Consequently, the Rigidity Conjecture is solved in dimension 3: an affine Brieskorn-Pham threefold $X_{a_{0}, \ldots, a_{3}}$ admits a nontrivial $\mathbb{G}_{a}$-action if and only if either one of the $a_{i}$ 's equals 1 or at least two the $a_{i}$ 's are equal to 2 .

