

A Restricted Dual Peaceman-Rachford Splitting Method for a Strengthened DNN Relaxation for QAP

Henry Wolkowicz

Dept. Comb. and Opt., University of Waterloo, Canada

Thur. May 19, 13:45-14:35 (BST) (08:45-09:35 EDT) 2022

At: **Modern Techniques of Very Large Scale Optimization**

joint with: Naomi Graham, Hao Hu, Jiyoung Im, Xinxin Li¹



¹ Jilin Univ., China

- **Splitting methods**: numerically hard, large scale problems (particularly successful for relaxations of **hard nonlinear discrete optimization** problems.)
- We consider a **Restricted Dual Peaceman-Rachford Splitting Method** with **strengthened bounds** for a **DNN relaxation**; and we solve many *NP*-hard problems to (provable) optimality
- Here: **quadratic assignment problem, QAP**, a fundamental **HARD** combinatorial optimization problems; QAP models many real-life problems such as facility location, VLSI design.

Exploiting Structure/Novel

- We use facial reduction, FR, to obtain a **natural splitting** of variables into cone/polyhedral constraints.
- We modify the subproblems by adding **redundant constraints**.
- We use provable lower and upper bounds.
- We **modify dual variable update** by exploiting scaling.
- We present extensive numerical experiments. In many instances the DNN relaxation resulted in the **global optimal solution of the QAP**.

Our Main Reference

A Restricted Dual Peaceman-Rachford Splitting Method for a Strengthened DNN Relaxation for QAP,

Graham/Hu/Im/Li/Wolkowicz in INFORMS J. Comput. 2022.

Further historical and current references are in the paper.

QAP, Quadratic Assignment Problem

Facility Location

Given: n facilities and n locations;

distance B_{st} between locations s, t ;

flow $A_{i,j}$ between facilities i, j ;

location (building) cost C_{is} facility i in location s .

$X = X_{ij} \in \Pi$ permutation matrix unknown 0, 1 variables

$X(\cdot) \in \mathbb{R}^{n^2}$, $n = 30$ instances still considered **hard**

trace formulation, $\langle Y, X \rangle = \text{trace}(YX^T)$

minimize total flow and location costs

$$p_{\text{QAP}}^* := \min_{X \in \Pi} \langle AXB - 2C, X \rangle,$$

Matrix Lifting to \mathbb{S}^{n^2+1}

$$X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}; \quad x = \text{vec}(X) \in \mathbb{R}^{n^2} \text{ (columnwise)}$$

$$Y := \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x \end{pmatrix} (1 \ x^T) \in \mathbb{S}^{n^2+1}$$

Block Representation

Indexing the rows and columns of Y from 0 to n^2 ,

$$Y = \begin{bmatrix} Y_{00} & \bar{y}^T \\ \bar{y} & \bar{Y} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \bar{y} = \begin{bmatrix} Y_{(20)} \\ \vdots \\ Y_{(n0)} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \bar{Y} = xx^T = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{Y}_{(11)} & \bar{Y}_{(12)} & \cdots & \bar{Y}_{(1n)} \\ \bar{Y}_{(21)} & \bar{Y}_{(22)} & \cdots & \bar{Y}_{(2n)} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \bar{Y}_{(n1)} & \ddots & \ddots & \bar{Y}_{(nn)} \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$\bar{Y}_{(ij)} = X_{:i} X_{:j}^T \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, \forall i, j = 1, \dots, n, \quad Y_{(j0)} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \forall j = 1, \dots, n$$

Lifted Objective

$$L_Q := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -(\text{vec}(C)^T) \\ -\text{vec}(C) & B \otimes A \end{bmatrix}, \quad (\otimes \text{ is Kronecker product})$$

Lifted QAP

$$\begin{aligned} p_{\text{QAP}}^* &= \min \langle AXB - 2C, X \rangle = \langle L_Q, Y \rangle \\ \text{s.t. } Y &:= \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x \end{pmatrix}^T \in \mathbb{S}_+^{n^2+1} \\ X &= \text{Mat}(x) \in \Pi, \end{aligned}$$

where $\text{Mat} = \text{vec}^*$, the adjoint transformation.

Characterizing Permutation Matrices

$$\|Xe - e\|^2 = \|X^T e - e\|^2 = 0, X \circ X = X, X^T X = XX^T = I,$$

o is Hadamard product; e is vector of all ones.

Facial reduction FR using $Xe - e = IXe - e = 0$

Let $x = \text{vec}(X)$; $y = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x \end{pmatrix}$, $Y = yy^T$

We have $IXe = (e^T \otimes I)\text{vec}(X)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} Xe - e = 0 &\iff y^T \begin{bmatrix} -e \\ (e^T \otimes I) \end{bmatrix} = 0 \\ &\iff Y \left(\begin{bmatrix} -e \\ (e^T \otimes I) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -e \\ (e^T \otimes I) \end{bmatrix}^T \right) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

We have an **exposing matrix** and can do FR, $Y = \hat{V}R\hat{V}^T$.

After FR, $Y = \widehat{V}R\widehat{V}^T$; Primal-Dual Strong Duality Holds

smaller, greatly simplified, many constraints are redundant:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(SDP)} \quad & \min_R \quad \langle \widehat{V}^T L_Q \widehat{V}, R \rangle \\ & \text{s.t.} \quad \mathcal{G}_{\bar{J}}(\widehat{V}R\widehat{V}^T) = u_0 \quad (\text{0-unit vector}) \\ & \quad \quad R \in \mathbb{S}_+^{(n-1)^2+1}. \end{aligned}$$

$\mathcal{G}_{\bar{J}}(\cdot)$ so-called *gangster operator* ([6] ZKRW'94)

fixes the elements in set \bar{J} .

Details: \widehat{V} , Facial Reduction

\widehat{Y}

barycenter of set of feasible lifted Y of rank one for the SDP relaxation;

$\widehat{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{(n^2+1) \times ((n-1)^2+1)}$

have orthonormal columns that span the range of \widehat{Y} (explicit representation is available)

Minimal Face

every feasible Y of the SDP relaxation is contained in the minimal face, \mathcal{F} of $\mathbb{S}_+^{n^2+1}$:

$$\mathcal{F} = \widehat{V} \mathbb{S}_+^{(n-1)^2+1} \widehat{V}^T \trianglelefteq \mathbb{S}_+^{n^2+1};$$

$$Y \in \mathcal{F} (\in \text{ri}(\mathcal{F})) \implies \text{Range}(Y) \subseteq (=) \text{Range}(\widehat{V}),$$

Details: Gangster Operator $\mathcal{G}_{\bar{J}}$

linear map $\mathcal{G}_{\bar{J}} : \mathbb{S}^{n^2+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{|\bar{J}|}$; (shoots holes in the matrix)

By abuse of notation, also from \mathbb{S}^{n^2+1} to \mathbb{S}^{n^2+1} , depending on the context:

$$\mathcal{G}_{\bar{J}} : \mathbb{S}^{n^2+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n^2+1}, \quad [\mathcal{G}_{\bar{J}}(Y)]_{ij} = \begin{cases} Y_{ij} & \text{if } (i,j) \in \bar{J} \text{ or } (j,i) \in \bar{J}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Gangster index set \bar{J}

union of the top left index (00) with set of indices $J, i < j$ in submatrix $\bar{Y} \in \mathbb{S}^{n^2}$:

(a) the off-diagonal elements in the n diagonal blocks in \bar{Y}

(b) the diagonal elements in the off-diagonal blocks in \bar{Y}

Many of these are redundant; still used in subproblems.

Motivated by **natural splitting of variables** from FR

Y - in polyhedral constraints subproblem

R - in SDP constraints subproblem

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min_{R, Y} & \langle L_Q, Y \rangle \\ \text{s.t.} & Y = \hat{V} R \hat{V}^T \quad (\text{splitting}) \\ & \mathcal{G}_j(Y) = u_0 \quad (\text{polyhedral}) \\ & 0 \leq Y \leq 1 \quad (\text{polyhedral}) \\ & R \succeq 0 \quad (\text{convex cone}) \end{array}$$

(DNN)

And, add redundant constraints to polyhedral and cone constraints.

Redundant Constraints Added to Subproblems

Let $Y \in \mathbb{S}^{n^2+1}$ be blocked as above.

Linearizations of Orthogonality: $XX^T = X^T X = I$

- $\text{b}^\circ \text{diag}(Y) : \mathbb{S}^{n^2+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n$, sum of $n \times n$ diagonal blocks of Y :

$$\text{b}^\circ \text{diag}(Y) := \sum_{k=1}^n Y_{(kk)} = I_n$$

- $\text{o}^\circ \text{diag}(Y) : \mathbb{S}^{n^2+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n$: traces of blocks $\bar{Y}_{(ij)}$:

$$\text{o}^\circ \text{diag}(Y) := \left(\text{trace} \left(\bar{Y}_{(ij)} \right) \right) = I_n$$

Linearizations of $0, 1, X_{ij}^2 - X_{ij} = 0$

$\text{arrow}(Y) : \mathbb{S}^{n^2+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n^2+1}$; difference of first column and diagonal of Y :

$$\text{arrow}(Y) := (Y_{(:,1)} - \text{diag}(Y)) = 0$$

trace constraint

By commutativity of the trace operator and $\widehat{V}^T \widehat{V} = I$:

$$\text{trace}(R) = \text{trace}(R\widehat{V}^T\widehat{V}) = \text{trace}(\widehat{V}R\widehat{V}^T) = \text{trace}(Y) = n + 1.$$

Cone constraints

$$\mathcal{R} := \left\{ R \in \mathbb{S}^{(n-1)^2+1} : R \succeq 0, \text{trace}(R) = n+1 \right\},$$

Polyhedral constraints

$$\mathcal{Y} := \left\{ Y \in \mathbb{S}^{n^2+1} : \mathcal{G}_J(Y) = u_0, 0 \leq Y \leq 1, \right. \\ \left. \text{b}^\circ \text{diag}(Y) = l, \text{o}^\circ \text{diag}(Y) = l, \text{arrow}(Y) = 0 \right\}$$

(Split) Model

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(DNN)} \quad \rho_{\text{DNN}}^* &:= \min_{R, Y} \langle L_Q, Y \rangle \\ &\text{s.t.} \quad Y = \widehat{V} R \widehat{V}^T \\ &\quad R \in \mathcal{R} \\ &\quad Y \in \mathcal{Y}. \end{aligned}$$

Recovering original X from \mathcal{Y} with redundant constraints

$Y \in \mathcal{Y} \implies X = \text{Mat}(\text{diagonal}(Y)) = \text{Mat}(\text{Row1}(Y))$ satisfy $Xe = X^T e = e$; (so doubly stochastic)

Lagrangian function, dual variable Z

$$\mathcal{L}(R, Y, Z) = \langle L_Q, Y \rangle + \langle Z, Y - \hat{V}R\hat{V}^T \rangle.$$

Strictly feasibility/onto $\hat{R} \succ 0$, $\hat{Y} = \hat{V}\hat{R}\hat{V}^T$ holds

first order optimality conditions:

$$0 \in -\hat{V}^T Z \hat{V} + \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{R}}(R), \quad (\text{dual } R \text{ feasibility}) \quad (1a)$$

$$0 \in L_Q + Z + \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{Y}}(Y), \quad (\text{dual } Y \text{ feasibility}) \quad (1b)$$

$$Y = \hat{V}R\hat{V}^T, \quad R \in \mathcal{R}, Y \in \mathcal{Y}, \quad (\text{primal feasibility}) \quad (1c)$$

where $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{R}}(R)$ (resp. $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{Y}}(Y)$) is **normal cone** to \mathcal{R} (resp. \mathcal{Y}) at R (resp. Y).

For subproblems

The primal-dual R, Y, Z are optimal if, and only if, normal cone conditions hold if, and only if,

$$R = \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}(R + \hat{V}^T Z \hat{V}) \quad (2a)$$

$$Y = \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{Y}}(Y - L_Q - Z) \quad (2b)$$

$$Y = \hat{V} R \hat{V}^T. \quad (2c)$$

Exploit structure

Let

$$\mathcal{Z}_A := \left\{ Z \in \mathbb{S}^{n^2+1} : (Z + L_Q)_{ij} = 0, \forall i, j \text{ (in arrow positions)}, \right. \\ \left. \text{and } \forall ij \in \mathcal{J}_R \text{ (redundant gangster positions)} \right\}.$$

Modified PRSM Algorithm

(restricted contractive Peaceman-Rachford splitting; redundant constraints in subproblems; modified dual variable)

Augmented Lagrangian function

$$\mathcal{L}_A(R, Y, Z) = \langle L_Q, Y \rangle + \langle Z, Y - \widehat{V}R\widehat{V}^T \rangle + \frac{\beta}{2} \|Y - \widehat{V}R\widehat{V}^T\|_F^2,$$

where β is a positive penalty parameter.

Dual variable Z

$$\mathcal{Z}_0 := \{Z \in \mathbb{S}^{n^2+1} : Z_{i,i} = 0, Z_{0,i} = Z_{i,0} = 0, i = 1, \dots, n^2\}$$

$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{Z}_0}$ projection onto \mathcal{Z}_0

PRSM for DNN

- **Initialize:** \mathcal{L}_A is augmented Lagrangian; $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ is under-relaxation parameter; $\beta \in (0, \infty)$ is penalty parameter; \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{Y} are subproblem sets; Y^0 ; and $Z^0 \in \mathcal{Z}_A$;

WHILE tolerances not met DO

- $R^{k+1} = \operatorname{argmin}_{R \in \mathcal{R}} \mathcal{L}_A(R, Y^k, Z^k)$
- $Z^{k+\frac{1}{2}} = Z^k + \gamma\beta \cdot \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{Z}_0} \left(Y^k - \widehat{V}R^{k+1}\widehat{V}^T \right)$
- $Y^{k+1} = \operatorname{argmin}_{Y \in \mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{L}_A(R^{k+1}, Y, Z^{k+\frac{1}{2}})$
- $Z^{k+1} = Z^{k+\frac{1}{2}} + \gamma\beta \cdot \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{Z}_0} \left(Y^{k+1} - \widehat{V}R^{k+1}\widehat{V}^T \right)$

ENDWHILE

Algorithm outline/remarks

- alternate minimization of variables R and Y interlaced by the dual variable Z update;
- R -update and the Y -update in are well-defined subproblems with unique solutions;
- many of the **constraints are redundant** in the SDP part but not within the subproblems; this improves rate of convergence and quality of Y when stopping early.
- **modified dual update** Z both after R -update and Y -update.

Theorem

Let $\{R^k\}, \{Y^k\}, \{Z^k\}$ be the sequences generated by the algorithm. Then the sequence $\{(R^k, Y^k)\}$ **converges** to a **primal optimal pair** (R^*, Y^*) , and $\{Z^k\}$ converges to an **optimal dual solution** $Z^* \in \mathcal{Z}_A$.

$$\mathcal{R} := \left\{ R \in \mathbb{S}_+^{(n-1)^2+1} : \text{trace}(R) = n + 1 \right\}.$$

- $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}(W)$ projection of W onto \mathcal{R}
- completing the square at current Y^k, Z^k : the R -subproblem can be explicitly solved by the projection operator $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} R^{k+1} &= \operatorname{argmin}_{R \in \mathcal{R}} -\langle Z^k, \widehat{V}R\widehat{V}^T \rangle + \frac{\beta}{2} \left\| Y^k - \widehat{V}R\widehat{V}^T \right\|_F^2 \\ &= \operatorname{argmin}_{R \in \mathcal{R}} \frac{\beta}{2} \left\| Y^k - \widehat{V}R\widehat{V}^T + \frac{1}{\beta} Z^k \right\|_F^2 \\ &= \operatorname{argmin}_{R \in \mathcal{R}} \frac{\beta}{2} \left\| R - \widehat{V}^T \left(Y^k + \frac{1}{\beta} Z^k \right) \widehat{V} \right\|_F^2 \\ &= \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}} \left(\widehat{V}^T \left(Y^k + \frac{1}{\beta} Z^k \right) \widehat{V} \right) \end{aligned}$$

- Eigendecomposition and projection onto simplex.

Y-Subproblem

$$\mathcal{Y} := \{Y \in \mathbb{S}^{n^2+1} : \mathcal{G}_Y(Y) = u_0, 0 \leq Y \leq 1, \text{b}^\circ \text{diag}(Y) = I, \text{o}^\circ \text{diag}(Y) = I, \text{arrow}(Y) = 0\}$$

- $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{Y}}(W)$ projection of W onto \mathcal{Y}
- completing the square at current $R^{k+1}, Z^{k+\frac{1}{2}}$: the Y-subproblem can be explicitly solved by the projection operator $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{Y}}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} Y^{k+1} &= \underset{Y \in \mathcal{Y}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \langle L_Q, Y \rangle + \langle Z^{k+\frac{1}{2}}, Y - \widehat{V}R^{k+1}\widehat{V}^T \rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{\beta}{2} \left\| Y - \widehat{V}R^{k+1}\widehat{V}^T \right\|_F^2 \\ &= \underset{Y \in \mathcal{Y}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \frac{\beta}{2} \left\| Y - \left(\widehat{V}R^{k+1}\widehat{V}^T - \frac{1}{\beta} (L_Q + Z^{k+\frac{1}{2}}) \right) \right\|_F^2 \\ &= \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{Y}} \left(\widehat{V}R^{k+1}\widehat{V}^T - \frac{1}{\beta} (L_Q + Z^{k+\frac{1}{2}}) \right) \end{aligned}$$

- shooting holes; rounding to 0, 1

Provable Lower Bounds from Approximate Solutions

$$g(Z) := \min_{Y \in \mathcal{Y}} \langle L_Q + Z, Y \rangle - (n+1) \lambda_{\max}(\hat{V}^T Z \hat{V})$$

where $\lambda_{\max}(\hat{V}^T Z \hat{V})$ denotes largest eigenvalue of $\hat{V}^T Z \hat{V}$.

Theorem

$d_Z^* := \max_Z g(Z)$ is a concave maximization problem.
Furthermore, **strong duality holds** with main DNN problem:

$$p_{\text{DNN}}^* = d_Z^*, \text{ and } d_Z^* \text{ is attained.}$$

Z dual feasible $\implies g(Z)$ is a **provable** lower bound

Upper Bound from Nearest Permutation Matrix

Seemingly quadratic **nearest discrete problem** is a simplest LP

Given $\bar{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$

$$X^* = \operatorname{argmin}_{X \in \Pi} \frac{1}{2} \|X - \bar{X}\|_F^2 = \operatorname{argmin}_{X \in \Pi} -\langle \bar{X}, X \rangle = \operatorname{argmin}_{X \in \mathcal{D}} -\langle \bar{X}, X \rangle,$$

since Von Neumann-Birkhoff Theorem implies extreme points of doubly stochastic \mathcal{D} are the permutation matrices Π ; so can apply a simplex method or Hungarian method for assignment problem.

Upper bound

a feasible solution $X^* \in \Pi$ to the original QAP, gives a valid upper bound $\operatorname{trace}(AX^*B(X^*)^T)$.

Previous Approaches using an approximate optimum Y^{out}

(Exploit Perron-Frobenius to conclude $v_1 \geq 0$.)

- 1 $\text{vec}(\bar{X}) \cong \text{col. 1}(Y^{\text{out}})$; find nearest $X^* \in \Pi$.
- 2 $Y^{\text{out}} = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i v_i v_i^T$ spectral decomposition,
 $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_r > 0$; wlog $v_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n^2}$; $\text{vec}(\bar{X}) = \lambda_1 v_1$;
find nearest $X^* \in \Pi$.

Goemans-Williams type approximation algorithm, [3]

- $\xi \in (0, 1)^r$; in decreasing order; perturb eigenvalues;
- $\text{vec}(\bar{X}) = \sum_{i=1}^r \xi_i \lambda_i v_i$; find nearest $X^* \in \Pi$.
- repeat $\max\{1, \min(3 * \lceil \log(n) \rceil, \text{ubest} - \text{lbest})\}$ number of times; 'ubest' and 'lbest' best current upper and lower bounds

improved performance with PRSM : cnvrgnce rates; rel. gap

- [4, ADMM] Oliveira/W./Xu, ADMM for the SDP relaxation of the QAP, Math. Program. Comput., 10 (2018).
- recent: relaxation methods [1, C-SDP], [2, F2-RLT2-DA] and [5, SDPNAL].




sizes n : small, medium, large

$$n \in \{10, \dots, 20\}, \{21, \dots, 40\}, \{41, \dots, 64\}.$$




$n = 64$: $t(n^2 + 1) = 8,394,753$ variables;
nonnegativity cuts; SDP constraints

Conclusion

- We introduced a strengthened splitting method for solving the facially reduced DNN relaxation for the QAP.
- Our strengthened model and algorithm incorporates redundant constraints to the model that are not redundant in the subproblems; more specifically, the trace constraint in R -subproblem and projection onto doubly stochastic matrices in Y -subproblem.
- We exploit the structure of dual optimal multipliers and provide customized dual updates; leads to a new strategy for strengthening the provable lower bounds.
- codes can be downloaded with link
`https://www.math.uwaterloo.ca/%7Ehwolkowi/henry/reports/ADMMnPRSMcodes.zip`

-  J.F.S. Bravo Ferreira, Y. Khoo, and A. Singer.
Semidefinite programming approach for the quadratic assignment problem with a sparse graph.
Comput. Optim. Appl., 69(3):677–712, 2018.
-  K. Date and R. Nagi.
Level 2 reformulation linearization technique-based parallel algorithms for solving large quadratic assignment problems on graphics processing unit clusters.
INFORMS J. Comput., 31(4):771–789, 2019.
-  M.X. Goemans and D.P. Williamson.
Improved approximation algorithms for maximum cut and satisfiability problems using semidefinite programming.
J. Assoc. Comput. Mach., 42(6):1115–1145, 1995.

References II

-  D.E. Oliveira, H. Wolkowicz, and Y. Xu.
ADMM for the SDP relaxation of the QAP.
Math. Program. Comput., 10(4):631–658, 2018.
-  L. Yang, D. Sun, and K.-C. Toh.
SDPNAL+: a majorized semismooth Newton-CG
augmented Lagrangian method for semidefinite
programming with nonnegative constraints.
Math. Program. Comput., 7(3):331–366, 2015.
-  Q. Zhao, S.E. Karisch, F. Rendl, and H. Wolkowicz.
Semidefinite programming relaxations for the quadratic
assignment problem.
J. Comb. Optim., 2(1):71–109, 1998.
Semidefinite Programming and Interior-point Approaches
for Combinatorial Optimization Problems (Fields Institute,
Toronto, ON, 1996).

Thanks for your attention!

A Restricted Dual Peaceman-Rachford Splitting Method for a Strengthened DNN Relaxation for QAP

Henry Wolkowicz

Dept. Comb. and Opt., University of Waterloo, Canada

Thur. May 19, 13:45-14:35 (BST) (08:45-09:35 EDT) 2022

At: **Modern Techniques of Very Large Scale Optimization**

joint with: Naomi Graham, Hao Hu, Jiyoung Im, Xinxin Li²

